

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 13  
2019  
No. 7

**Coherent Tannaka duality and algebraicity of Hom-stacks**

Jack Hall and David Rydh



# Coherent Tannaka duality and algebraicity of Hom-stacks

Jack Hall and David Rydh

We establish Tannaka duality for noetherian algebraic stacks with affine stabilizer groups. Our main application is the existence of Hom-stacks in great generality.

## 1. Introduction

Classically, Tannaka duality reconstructs a group from its category of finite-dimensional representations [Tannaka 1939]. Various incarnations of Tannaka duality have been studied for decades. The focus of this article is a recent formulation for algebraic stacks [Lurie 2004] which we now recall.

Let  $X$  be a noetherian algebraic stack. We denote its abelian category of coherent sheaves by  $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ . If  $f: T \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of noetherian algebraic stacks, then there is an induced pullback functor

$$f^*: \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(T).$$

It is well-known that  $f^*$  has the following three properties:

- (i)  $f^*$  sends  $\mathcal{O}_X$  to  $\mathcal{O}_T$ .
- (ii)  $f^*$  preserves the tensor product of coherent sheaves.
- (iii)  $f^*$  is a right exact functor of abelian categories.

Hence, there is a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(T, X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \mathrm{Coh}(T)), \\ (f: T \rightarrow X) &\mapsto (f^*: \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(T)), \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side denotes the category with objects the functors  $F: \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(T)$  satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) above and morphisms given by natural isomorphisms of functors.

If  $X$  has affine diagonal (e.g.,  $X$  is the quotient of a variety by an affine algebraic group), then the functor above is known [Lurie 2004] to be fully faithful with image consisting of *tame* functors. Even

---

The first author was supported by the Australian Research Council DE150101799 while some of this work was completed. This collaboration was supported by the Göran Gustafsson foundation. The second author is also supported by the Swedish Research Council 2011-5599 and 2015-05554.

MSC2010: primary 14A20; secondary 14D23, 18D10.

Keywords: Tannaka duality, algebraic stacks, Hom-stacks, Mayer–Vietoris squares, formal gluings.

though tameness of a functor is a difficult condition to verify, Lurie was able to establish some striking applications to algebraization problems.

Various stacks of singular curves [Alper and Kresch 2016, Section 4.1] and log stacks can fail to have affine, quasifinite, or even separated diagonals. In particular, for applications in moduli theory, the results of [Lurie 2004] are insufficient. The main result of this article is the following theorem, which besides removing Lurie’s hypothesis of affine diagonal, obviates tameness.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. If  $T$  is an algebraic stack that is locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring (e.g., locally excellent), then the functor:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \mathrm{Coh}(T))$$

*is an equivalence.*

That  $X$  has *affine stabilizers* means that  $\mathrm{Aut}(x)$  is affine for every field  $k$  and point  $x: \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow X$ ; equivalently, the diagonal of  $X$  has affine fibers. Examples of algebraic stacks that are locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring include those that are locally of finite type over a field, over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , over a complete local noetherian ring, or over an excellent ring (see Remark 7.2). We also wish to emphasize that we do not assume that the diagonal of  $X$  is separated in Theorem 1.1. The restriction to stacks with affine stabilizers is a necessary condition for the equivalence in Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 10.1).

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 8.4, which also gives various refinements in the nonnoetherian situation and when  $X$  has quasifinite diagonal or is Deligne–Mumford.

**Main applications.** In work with J. Alper [2015], Theorem 1.1 is applied to resolve Alper’s conjecture on the local quotient structure of algebraic stacks [Alper 2010]. A more immediate application of Theorem 1.1 is the following algebraicity result for Hom-stacks, generalizing all previously known results and answering [Abramovich et al. 2011, Question 1.4].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $Z \rightarrow S$  and  $X \rightarrow S$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks such that  $Z \rightarrow S$  is proper and flat of finite presentation, and  $X \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite presentation, quasifinite, and has affine stabilizers. Then*

- (i) *the stack  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X): T \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_S(Z \times_S T, X)$ , is algebraic;*
- (ii) *the morphism  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite presentation, quasifinite, and has affine stabilizers; and*
- (iii) *if  $X \rightarrow S$  has affine (or quasifinite or separated) diagonal, then so has  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow S$ .*

Theorem 1.2 has already seen applications to log geometry [Wise 2016], an area which provides a continual source of stacks that are neither Deligne–Mumford nor have separated diagonals. In general, the condition that  $X$  has affine stabilizers is necessary (see Theorem 10.4). That the Hom-stacks above are quasifinite is nontrivial, and is established in Appendix B. The main result in Appendix B is a substantial generalization of the strongest boundedness result in the existing literature [Olsson 2006b, Proposition 5.10].

There are analogous algebraicity results for Weil restrictions (that is, restrictions of scalars).

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f: Z \rightarrow S$  and  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks such that  $f$  is proper and flat of finite presentation and  $f \circ g$  is locally of finite presentation, quasiseparated and has affine stabilizers. Then*

- (i) *the stack  $f_*X = \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X): T \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_Z(Z \times_S T, X)$  is algebraic;*
- (ii) *the morphism  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite presentation, quasiseparated and has affine stabilizers; and*
- (iii) *if  $g$  has affine (or quasifinite or separated) diagonal, then so has  $f_*X \rightarrow S$ .*

When  $Z$  has finite diagonal and  $X$  has quasifinite and separated diagonal, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 were proved in [Hall and Rydh 2014, Theorems 3 and 4]. In Corollary 9.2, we also excise the finite presentation assumptions on  $X \rightarrow S$  in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, generalizing the results of [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4] for stacks with quasifinite diagonal.

**Application to descent.** If  $X$  has quasifinite diagonal, then it is well-known that it is a stack for the fpqc topology [Laumon and Moret-Bailly 2000, Corollaire 10.7]. In general, it is only known that algebraic stacks satisfy effective descent for fppf coverings. Nonetheless, using that  $\mathrm{QCoh}$  is a stack for the fpqc-topology and Tannaka duality, we are able to establish the following result.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a quasiseparated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. Let  $\pi: T' \rightarrow T$  be an fpqc covering such that  $T$  is locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring and  $T'$  is locally noetherian. Then  $X$  satisfies effective descent for  $\pi$ .*

**Application to completions.** Another application concerns completions.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal. Assume that  $A$  is complete with respect to the  $I$ -adic topology. Let  $X$  be a noetherian algebraic stack and consider the natural morphism*

$$X(A) \rightarrow \varprojlim X(A/I^n)$$

*of groupoids. This morphism is an equivalence if either*

- (i)  *$X$  has affine stabilizers and  $A$  is a  $G$ -ring (e.g., excellent); or*
- (ii)  *$X$  has quasifinite diagonal; or*
- (iii)  *$X$  is Deligne–Mumford.*

Using methods from derived algebraic geometry, Corollary 1.5(ii) was recently proved for nonnoetherian complete rings  $A$  [Bhatt 2016; Bhatt and Halpern-Leistner 2017]. That  $X$  has affine stabilizers in Corollary 1.5 is necessary (see Theorem 10.5).

**On the proof of Tannaka duality.** We will discuss the proof of [Theorem 8.4](#), the refinement of [Theorem 1.1](#). The reason for this is that it is much more convenient from a technical standpoint to consider the problem in the setting of quasicoherent sheaves on potentially nonnoetherian algebraic stacks.

So let  $T$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks and let  $\mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  and  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$  denote their respective abelian categories of quasicoherent sheaves. We will assume that  $X$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated. Our principal concern is the properties of the functor

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_X(T) : \mathrm{Hom}(T, X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T)), \\ (f : T \rightarrow X) &\mapsto (f^* : \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)),\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side denotes the additive functors  $F : \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  satisfying

- (i)  $F(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_T$ ,
- (ii)  $F$  preserves the tensor product, and
- (iii)  $F$  is right exact and preserves (small) direct sums.

We call such  $F$  *cocontinuous tensor functors*.

An algebraic stack  $X$  has the *resolution property* if every quasicoherent sheaf is a quotient of a direct sum of vector bundles. In [Theorem 4.11](#) we establish the equivalence of  $\omega_X(T)$  when  $X$  has affine diagonal and the resolution property. This result has appeared in various forms in the work of others [[Schäppi 2012](#), Theorem 1.3.2; [Savin 2006](#); [Brandenburg 2014](#), Corollary 5.7.12] and forms an essential stepping stone in the proof of our main theorem ([Theorem 8.4](#)).

In general, there are stacks — even schemes — that do not have the resolution property. Indeed, if  $X$  has the resolution property, then  $X$  has at least affine diagonal [[Totaro 2004](#), Proposition 1.3]. Our proof uses the following three ideas to overcome this problem:

- (i) If  $U \subseteq X$  is a quasicompact open immersion and  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  is a tensor functor, then there is an induced tensor functor  $\mathrm{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(V)$  where  $V \subseteq T$  is the “inverse image of  $U$ ”. The proof of this is based on ideas of Brandenburg and Chirvasitu [[2014](#)]. ([Section 5](#))
- (ii) If  $X$  is an infinitesimal neighborhood of a stack with the resolution property, then  $\omega_X(T)$  is an equivalence for all  $T$ . ([Section 6](#))
- (iii) There is a constructible stratification of  $X$  into stacks with affine diagonal and the resolution property ([Proposition 8.2](#)). We deduce the main theorem by induction on the number of strata using formal gluings [[Moret-Bailly 1996](#); [Hall and Rydh 2016](#)]. This step uses special cases of Corollaries [1.4](#) and [1.5](#). (Sections [7](#) and [8](#))

In the third step, we assume that our functors preserve sheaves of finite type.

**Open questions.** Concerning (ii), it should be noted that we do not know the answers to the following two questions.

**Question 1.6.** If  $X_0$  has the resolution property and  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  is a nilpotent closed immersion, then does  $X$  have the resolution property?

The question has an affirmative answer if  $X_0$  is cohomologically affine, e.g.,  $X_0 = B_k G$  where  $G$  is a linearly reductive group scheme over  $k$ . The question is open if  $X_0 = B_k G$  where  $G$  is not linearly reductive, even if  $X = B_{k[\epsilon]} G_\epsilon$  where  $G_\epsilon$  is a deformation of  $G$  over the dual numbers [Conrad 2010].

**Question 1.7.** If  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  is a nilpotent closed immersion and  $\omega_{X_0}(T)$  is an equivalence, is then  $\omega_X(T)$  an equivalence?

Step (ii) answers neither of these questions but uses a special case of the first question (Lemma 6.2) and the conclusion (Main Lemma 6.1) is a special case of the second question.

The following technical question also arose in this research.

**Question 1.8.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack with quasicompact and quasiseparated diagonal and affine stabilizers. Let  $k$  be a field. Is every morphism  $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$  affine?

If  $X$  étale-locally has quasiaffine diagonal, then Question 1.8 has an affirmative answer (Lemma 4.7). This makes finding counterexamples extraordinarily difficult and thus very interesting. This question arose because if  $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$  is nonaffine, then  $\omega_X(\text{Spec } k)$  is not fully faithful (Theorem 10.2). This explains our restriction to natural isomorphisms in Theorem 1.1. Note that every morphism  $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$  as in Question 1.8 is at least quasiaffine [Rydh 2011a, Theorem B.2]. We do not know the answer to the question even if  $X$  has separated diagonal and is of finite type over a field.

**On the applications.** Let  $T$  be a noetherian algebraic stack that is locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring, and let  $Z$  be a closed substack defined by a coherent ideal  $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_T$ . Let  $Z^{[n]}$  be the closed substack defined by  $J^{n+1}$ . Assume that the natural functor  $\text{Coh}(T) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$  is an equivalence of categories. Then an immediate consequence of Tannaka duality (Theorem 1.1) is that

$$\text{Hom}(T, X) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Hom}(Z^{[n]}, X)$$

is an equivalence of categories for every noetherian algebraic stack  $X$  with affine stabilizers. This applies in particular if  $A$  is excellent and  $I$ -adically complete and  $T = \text{Spec } A$  and  $Z = \text{Spec } A/I$ ; this gives Corollary 1.5. More generally, it also applies if  $T$  is proper over  $\text{Spec } A$  and  $Z = T \times_{\text{Spec } A} \text{Spec } A/I$  (Grothendieck's existence theorem). This latter case is fed into Artin's criterion to prove Theorem 1.2 (the remaining hypotheses have largely been verified elsewhere).

There are also nonproper stacks  $T$  satisfying  $\text{Coh}(T) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$ , such as global quotient stacks with proper good moduli spaces (see [Geraschenko and Zureick-Brown 2015; Alexeev and Brion 2005] for some special cases). This featured in the resolution of Alper's conjecture [Alper et al. 2015].

Such statements, and their derived versions, were also recently considered by Halpern-Leistner and Preygel [2014]. There, they considered variants of our Theorem 1.2. For their algebraicity results, their assumption was similar to assuming that  $\text{Coh}(T) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$  was an equivalence (though they also considered other derived versions), and that  $X \rightarrow S$  was locally of finite presentation with affine diagonal.



**Relation to other work.** As mentioned in the beginning of the introduction (Section 1), Lurie identifies the image of  $\omega_X(T)$  with the *tame* functors when  $X$  is quasicompact with affine diagonal [Lurie 2004]. Tameness means that faithful flatness of objects is preserved. This is a very strong assumption that makes it possible to directly pull back a smooth presentation of  $X$  to a smooth covering of  $T$  and deduce the result by descent. Note that every tensor functor preserves coherent flat objects — these are vector bundles and hence dualizable — but this does not imply that flatness of quasicoherent objects are preserved. Lurie’s methods also work for nonnoetherian  $T$ .

Brandenburg and Chirvasitu [2014], have shown that  $\omega_X(T)$  is an equivalence for every quasicompact and quasiseparated scheme  $X$ , also for nonnoetherian  $T$ . The key idea of their proof is the tensor localization that we have adapted in Section 5. Using this technique, we give a slightly simplified proof of their theorem in Theorem 5.10.

When  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal, derived variants of Theorem 1.1 have recently been considered by various authors [Fukuyama and Iwanari 2013; Bhatt 2016; Bhatt and Halpern-Leistner 2017]. Specifically, they were concerned with symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -functors  $G: D(X) \rightarrow D(T)$  between stable  $\infty$ -categories of quasicoherent sheaves. These functors are assumed to preserve derived tensor products, connective complexes (i.e., are right  $t$ -exact) and pseudocoherent complexes. Hence, such a functor induces a right-exact tensor functor  $H^0(G): \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  preserving sheaves of finite type. When  $T$  is locally noetherian, our main result (Theorem 8.4) thus implies that of [Bhatt and Halpern-Leistner 2017, Theorem 1.4]. When  $X$  has finite stabilizers, the right  $t$ -exactness is sometimes automatic [Fukuyama and Iwanari 2013; Bhatt 2016; Ben-Zvi 2010].

Conversely, given a tensor functor  $F: \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$ , it is not obvious how to derive it to a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -functor  $LF: D(X) \rightarrow D(T)$  without additional assumptions. In particular, without additional assumptions, our results cannot be deduced from the derived variants. If, however, we assume

- (i) there are enough flat quasicoherent sheaves on  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $F$  takes exact sequences of flat quasicoherent sheaves to exact sequences,
- (iii)  $D(X)$  and  $D(T)$  are compactly generated,
- (iv)  $X$  and  $T$  have affine diagonals or are noetherian and affine-pointed,

then  $LF$  exists. Indeed, the first two conditions permit us to derive  $F$  in the usual way to a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -functor  $D(\mathrm{QCoh}(X)) \rightarrow D(\mathrm{QCoh}(T))$ . The last two conditions, combined with [Hall et al. 2018, Theorem 1.2], establish the equivalences  $D(X) \simeq D(\mathrm{QCoh}(X))$  and  $D(T) \simeq D(\mathrm{QCoh}(T))$ . Condition (i) is known to hold when  $X$  has the resolution property or is a scheme with affine diagonal. Condition (ii) is part of the tameness assumption in [Lurie 2004]. Condition (iii) is known to hold if  $X$  has quasifinite and separated diagonal or étale-locally has the resolution property in characteristic 0 [Hall and Rydh 2017].

We do not address the Tannaka *recognition* problem, i.e., which symmetric monoidal categories arise as the category of quasicoherent sheaves on an algebraic stack. For gerbes, this has been done in characteristic zero by Deligne [1990, Théorème 7.1]. For stacks with the resolution property, this has been done by Schäppi [2018, Theorem 1.4; 2015, Theorems 1.2.2 and 5.3.10]. Similar results from the derived perspective have been considered by Wallbridge [2012] and Iwanari [2018].

## 2. Symmetric monoidal categories

A *symmetric monoidal category* is the data of a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a tensor product  $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , and a unit  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  that together satisfy various naturality, commutativity, and associativity properties [Mac Lane 1971, VII.7]. A symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed* if for any  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  the functor  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  admits a right adjoint, which we denote as  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -)$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring; then the category of  $A$ -modules,  $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$ , together with its tensor product  $\otimes_A$ , is a symmetric monoidal category with unit  $A$ . In fact,  $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$  is even closed: the right adjoint to  $- \otimes_A M$  is the  $A$ -module  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, -)$ . If  $A$  is noetherian, then the subcategory of finite  $A$ -modules,  $\mathrm{Coh}(A)$ , is also a closed symmetric monoidal category.

A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between symmetric monoidal categories is *lax symmetric monoidal* if for each  $M$  and  $M'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  there are natural maps  $F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(M') \rightarrow F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M')$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  that are compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure. If these maps are both isomorphisms, then  $F$  is *symmetric monoidal*. Note that if  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a symmetric monoidal functor, then a right adjoint  $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  to  $F$  is always lax symmetric monoidal.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. The functor  $- \otimes_A B : \mathrm{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(B)$  is symmetric monoidal. It admits a right adjoint,  $\mathrm{Mod}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(A)$ , which is given by the forgetful functor. This forgetful functor is lax monoidal, but not monoidal.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a symmetric monoidal category, then a *commutative  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra* consists of an object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a multiplication  $m : A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} A \rightarrow A$  and a unit  $e_A : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A$  with the expected properties [Mac Lane 1971, VII.3]. Let  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the category of commutative  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebras. The category  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  is naturally endowed with a symmetric monoidal structure that makes the forgetful functor  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  symmetric monoidal.

**Example 2.3.** If  $A$  is a ring, then  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}(A))$  is the category of commutative  $A$ -algebras.

The following observation will be used frequently: if  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a lax symmetric monoidal functor and  $A$  is a commutative  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra, then  $F(A)$  is a commutative  $\mathcal{D}$ -algebra.

## 3. Abelian tensor categories

An *abelian tensor category* is a symmetric monoidal category that is abelian and the tensor product is right exact and preserves finite direct sums in each variable (i.e., preserves all finite colimits in each variable).



Recall that an abelian category is *Grothendieck* if it is closed under small direct sums, filtered colimits are exact, and it has a generator [Stacks Project, Tag 079A]. Also, recall that a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between two Grothendieck abelian categories is *cocontinuous* if it is right-exact and preserves small direct sums, equivalently, it preserves all small colimits.

A *Grothendieck abelian tensor category* is an abelian tensor category such that the underlying abelian category is Grothendieck abelian and the tensor product is cocontinuous in each variable. By the special adjoint functor theorem [Kashiwara and Schapira 2006, Proposition 8.3.27(iii)], if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, then it is also closed.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $\text{Mod}(A)$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category. If  $A$  is noetherian, then  $\text{Coh}(A)$  is an abelian tensor category but not Grothendieck abelian — it is not closed under small direct sums.

A *tensor functor*  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an additive symmetric monoidal functor between abelian tensor categories. Let GTC be the 2-category of Grothendieck abelian tensor categories and cocontinuous tensor functors. By the special adjoint functor theorem, if  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a cocontinuous tensor functor, then  $F$  admits a (lax symmetric monoidal) right adjoint.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $T$  be a ringed site. The category of  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -modules  $\text{Mod}(T)$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category with unit  $\mathcal{O}_T$  and the internal Hom is the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_T}(M, -)$  [Kashiwara and Schapira 2006, Sections 18.1–2].

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack. The category of quasicoherent sheaves  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category with unit  $\mathcal{O}_X$  [Stacks Project, Tag 0781]. The internal Hom is  $\text{QC}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, -))$ , where QC denotes the quasicoherator (the right adjoint to the inclusion of the category of quasicoherent sheaves in the category of lisse-étale  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules). If  $X$  is an algebraic stack, then  $\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(X))$  is the symmetric monoidal category of quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras.

If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of algebraic stacks, then the resulting functor  $f^*: \text{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$  is a cocontinuous tensor functor. If  $f$  is flat, then  $f^*$  is exact. We always denote the right adjoint of  $f^*$  by  $f_*: \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(Y)$ . If  $f$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated, then  $f_*$  coincides with the pushforward of lisse-étale  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules [Olsson 2007, Lemma 6.5(i)]. In particular, if  $f$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated, then  $f_*: \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(Y)$  preserves directed colimits (work smooth-locally on  $Y$  and then apply [Stacks Project, Tag 0738]) and is lax symmetric monoidal.

**Definition 3.4.** Given abelian tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , we let  $\text{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{r\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  denote the categories of cocontinuous and right exact tensor functors, respectively, and natural transformations. The transformations are required to be natural with respect to both homomorphisms and the symmetric monoidal structure. We let  $\text{Hom}_{c\otimes, \simeq}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  denote the groupoids of cocontinuous and right exact tensor functors, respectively, together with natural isomorphisms.

We conclude this section with some useful facts for the paper. We first consider modules over algebras, which are addressed, for example, in Brandenburg’s thesis [2014, Section 5.3] in even greater generality.

**3.1. Modules over an algebra in tensor categories.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let  $A$  be a commutative  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra. Define  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  to be the category of  $A$ -modules. Objects are pairs  $(M, a)$ , where  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $a: A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \rightarrow M$  is an action of  $A$  on  $M$ . Morphisms  $\phi: (M, a) \rightarrow (M', a')$  in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  are those  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $\phi: M \rightarrow M'$  that preserve the respective actions. We identify  $A$  with  $(A, m) \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  where  $m: A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} A \rightarrow A$  is the multiplication. It is straightforward to show that  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, with tensor product  $\otimes_A$  and unit  $A$ , and the natural forgetful functor  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  preserves all limits and colimits [Kashiwara and Schapira 2006, Section 4.3].

If  $s: A \rightarrow B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra homomorphism, then there is a natural cocontinuous tensor functor

$$s^*: \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(B), \quad (M, a) \mapsto (B \otimes_A M, B \otimes_A a).$$

Suppose  $f^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a cocontinuous tensor functor with right adjoint  $f_*: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . If  $A$  is a commutative  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra, then there is a natural induced cocontinuous tensor functor

$$f_A^*: \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(f^*A), \quad (M, a) \mapsto (f^*M, f^*a).$$

Noting that  $\epsilon: f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -algebra homomorphism, there is a natural induced cocontinuous tensor functor

$$\bar{f}^*: \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) \xrightarrow{f_*^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) = \mathcal{D}.$$

Moreover, if we let  $\eta: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow f_*f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} = f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$  denote the unit, then  $f^* = \bar{f}^*\eta^*$ . We have the following striking characterization of module categories.

**Proposition 3.5** [Brandenburg 2014, Proposition 5.3.1]. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let  $A$  be a commutative algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for every Grothendieck abelian tensor category  $\mathcal{D}$ , there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \otimes}(\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \{(F, h): F \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), h \in \text{Hom}_{\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D})}(F(A), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})\},$$

where a morphism  $(F, h) \rightarrow (F', h')$  is a natural transformation  $\alpha: F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $h = h' \circ \alpha(A)$ .

The following corollary is immediate (see [Brandenburg 2014, Corollary 5.3.7]).

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $p: Y' \rightarrow Y$  be an affine morphism of algebraic stacks. Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack and let  $g^*: \text{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. If  $X'$  is the affine  $X$ -scheme  $\text{Spec}_X(g^*p_*\mathcal{O}_{Y'})$  with structure morphism  $p': X' \rightarrow X$ , then there is a 2-cocartesian diagram in GTC:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{QCoh}(X') & \xleftarrow{g'^*} & \text{QCoh}(Y') \\ p'^* \uparrow & & \uparrow p^* \\ \text{QCoh}(X) & \xleftarrow{g^*} & \text{QCoh}(Y). \end{array}$$

Moreover, the natural transformation  $g^*p_* \Rightarrow p'_*g'^*$  is an isomorphism.

Note that if  $g^*$  comes from a morphism  $g: X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $X' \cong X \times_Y Y'$ .

**3.2. Inverse limits of abelian tensor categories.** We will now briefly discuss some inverse limits that will be crucial when we apply Tannaka duality to establish the algebraicity of Hom-stacks in [Theorem 1.2](#). The following notation will be useful.

**Notation 3.7.** Let  $i: Z \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion of algebraic stacks defined by a quasicoherent ideal  $I$ . For each integer  $n \geq 0$ , we let  $i^{[n]}: Z^{[n]} \rightarrow X$  denote the closed immersion defined by the quasicoherent ideal  $I^{n+1}$ , which we call the  $n$ -th infinitesimal neighborhood of  $Z$ .

Let  $X$  be a noetherian algebraic stack and  $i: Z \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion. Let  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  denote the category  $\varprojlim_n \mathrm{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$ . The arguments of [\[Stacks Project, Tag 087X\]](#) easily extend to establish the following:

- (i)  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  is an abelian tensor category with
  - (a) unit:  $\{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{[n]}}\}$ ,
  - (b) tensor product:  $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 0} \otimes \{N_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \{M_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{[n]}}} N_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ,
  - (c) addition:  $\{f_n: M_n \rightarrow N_n\}_{n \geq 0} + \{g_n: M_n \rightarrow N_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \{f_n + g_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and
  - (d) cokernels:  $\mathrm{coker}(\{f_n: M_n \rightarrow N_n\}_{n \geq 0}) = \{\mathrm{coker} f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .
- (ii) If  $U$  is a noetherian algebraic stack and  $p: U \rightarrow X$  is a flat morphism, then the pullback  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(U, U \times_X Z)$  is an exact tensor functor.
- (iii) Exactness in  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  may be checked on a flat, noetherian covering of  $X$ .

Computing  $\ker(\{f_n: M_n \rightarrow N_n\}_{n \geq 0})$  is more involved without additional flatness assumptions. The problem is that in general the system of kernels  $\{\ker f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is not an adic system; that is, the morphism  $(\ker f_{n+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{[n+1]}}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{[n]}} \rightarrow \ker(f_n)$  need not be an isomorphism. As shown in the proof of [\[Stacks Project, Tag 087X\]](#),  $\ker\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  ends up being the stable value of the  $\ker f_n$  (in the sense of the Artin–Rees lemma).

The abelian category  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  is also the limit of  $\mathrm{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$  as an abelian tensor category. This is made precise by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8.**  *$\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  is the limit of the inverse system of categories  $\{\mathrm{Coh}(Z^{[n]})\}_{n \geq 0}$  in the 2-category of abelian tensor categories with right exact tensor functors and natural isomorphisms of tensor functors.*

*Proof.* It remains to verify that for every abelian tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  is a right exact tensor functor if and only if the induced functors  $q_n \circ F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X, Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$  are right exact tensor functors. This follows from the description of the abelian tensor structure of  $\mathrm{Coh}(X, Z)$  in (a)–(d) above.  $\square$

## 4. Tensorial algebraic stacks

Let  $T$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks. There is an induced functor

$$\omega_X(T): \mathrm{Hom}(T, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T))$$

that takes a morphism  $f$  to  $f^*$ . We also let  $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}^{\mathrm{ft}}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T))$  denote the full subcategory of functors that preserve sheaves of finite type. Similarly, we let  $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T))$  denote the

subcategory with all objects but only natural isomorphisms of functors. Clearly,  $\omega_X(T)$  factors through all of these subcategories and we let  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$ ,  $\omega_X^{\text{ft}}(T)$  and  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}(T)$  denote the respective factorizations. Note that when  $X$  and  $T$  are locally noetherian, the natural functor:

$$\text{Hom}_{r\otimes}(\text{Coh}(X), \text{Coh}(T)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{c\otimes}^{\text{ft}}(\text{QCoh}(X), \text{QCoh}(T))$$

is an equivalence of categories. Thus, [Theorem 1.1](#) says that  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence.

Since  $\text{QCoh}(-)$  is a stack in the fpqc topology, the target categories of the functors  $\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_{X,\simeq}$ ,  $\omega_X^{\text{ft}}$  and  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}$  are stacks in the fpqc topology when varying  $T$  — for an elaborate proof of this, see [\[Liu and Tseng 2012, Theorem 1.1\]](#). The source categories  $\text{Hom}(T, X)$  are groupoids and, when varying  $T$ , form a stack for the fppf topology in general and for the fpqc topology when  $X$  has quasiasfine diagonal [\[Laumon and Moret-Bailly 2000, Corollaire 10.7\]](#).

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $T$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks. We say that a tensor functor  $f^*: \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(T)$  is *algebraic* if it arises from a morphism of algebraic stacks  $f: T \rightarrow X$ . If  $f, g: T \rightarrow X$  are morphisms, then a natural transformation  $\tau: f^* \Rightarrow g^*$  of tensor functors is *realizable* if it is induced by a 2-morphism  $f \Rightarrow g$ . We say that  $X$  is *tensorial* if  $\omega_X(T)$  is an equivalence for every algebraic stack  $T$ , or equivalently, for every affine scheme  $T$  [\[Brandenburg 2014, Definition 3.4.4\]](#).

We begin with a descent lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack. Let  $p: T' \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of algebraic stacks that is covering for the fpqc topology. Let  $T'' = T' \times_T T'$  and  $T''' = T' \times_T T' \times_T T'$ . Assume that  $p$  is a morphism of effective descent for  $X$  (e.g.,  $p$  is flat and locally of finite presentation).

- (i) Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms and let  $\tau, \tau': f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  be 2-morphisms. If  $p^*\tau = p^*\tau': f_1 \circ p \Rightarrow f_2 \circ p$  then  $\tau = \tau'$ .
- (ii) Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms and let  $\gamma: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  be a natural transformation. If  $p^*\gamma: p^*f_1^* \Rightarrow p^*f_2^*$  is realizable and  $\omega_X(T'')$  is faithful, then  $\gamma$  is realizable.
- (iii) Let  $f^*: \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(T)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. If  $p^*f^*$  is algebraic,  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T'')$  is fully faithful and  $\omega_X(T''')$  is faithful, then  $f^*$  is algebraic.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to observe that  $\text{Hom}(-, X)$  is a stack in groupoids for the covering  $p$  and  $\text{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\text{QCoh}(X), \text{QCoh}(-))$  is an fpqc stack in categories, so the result boils down to a straightforward and general result for a 1-morphism of such stacks.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{AlgSt}$  be a full 2-subcategory of algebraic stacks, such that if  $p: T' \rightarrow T$  is representable and smooth with  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ . For example,  $\mathcal{C}$  could be the 2-category of locally noetherian algebraic stacks or the 2-category of algebraic stacks that are locally the spectra of  $G$ -rings. Let  $\omega \in \{\omega_X, \omega_{X,\simeq}, \omega_X^{\text{ft}}, \omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}\}$ . If  $\omega(T)$  is faithful (or fully faithful or an equivalence) for every affine scheme  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\omega(T)$  is faithful (or fully faithful or an equivalence, respectively) for every algebraic stack  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\omega$  is faithful if and only if  $\omega_X$  is faithful and that if  $\omega$  is fully faithful, then so is  $\omega_{X,\simeq}$ . The conclusion of the lemma holds for disjoint unions of affine schemes in  $\mathcal{C}$  since  $\mathrm{QCoh}(\coprod_i T_i) = \prod_i \mathrm{QCoh}(T_i)$ . We then deduce the conclusion for every stack  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with affine diagonal. This follows from [Lemma 4.2](#) applied to a presentation  $T' \rightarrow T$  where  $T'$  is a disjoint union of affine schemes since  $T''$  and  $T'''$  then also are disjoint unions of affine schemes. We may then similarly deduce the conclusion for every stack  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with affine double diagonal since  $T''$  and  $T'''$  then have affine diagonals. Finally we deduce the conclusion for every stack  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  since the triple diagonal of  $T$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We next recall two basic lemmas on tensorial stacks. The first is the combination of [\[Brandenburg 2014, Corollaries 5.3.4 and 5.6.4\]](#).

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $q: X' \rightarrow X$  be a quasiaffine morphism of algebraic stacks. If  $T$  is an algebraic stack and  $\omega_X(T)$  is faithful, fully faithful or an equivalence; then so is  $\omega_{X'}(T)$ . In particular, if  $X$  is tensorial, then so is  $X'$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $q$  is the composition of a quasicompact open immersion followed by an affine morphism, it suffices to treat these two cases separately. When  $q$  is affine the result is an easy consequence of [Proposition 3.5](#). If  $q$  is a quasicompact open immersion, then the counit  $q^*q_* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X')}$  is an isomorphism; the result now follows from [\[Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014, Proposition 2.3.6\]](#).  $\square$

The second lemma is well-known (e.g., it is a very special case of [\[Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014, Theorem 3.4.2\]](#)).

**Lemma 4.5.** *Every quasiaffine scheme is tensorial.*

*Proof.* By [Lemma 4.4](#), it is sufficient to prove that  $X = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$  is tensorial, which is well-known. We refer the interested reader to [\[Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014, Corollary 2.2.4\]](#) or [\[Brandenburg 2014, Corollary 5.2.3\]](#).  $\square$

Lacking an answer to [Question 1.8](#) in general, we are forced to make the following definition to treat natural transformations that are not isomorphisms.

**Definition 4.6.** An algebraic stack  $X$  is *affine-pointed* if every morphism  $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow X$ , where  $k$  is a field, is affine.

Note that if  $X$  is affine-pointed, then it has affine stabilizers. The following lemma shows that many algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers that are encountered in practice are affine-pointed.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack.*

- (i) *If  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal, then  $X$  is affine-pointed.*
- (ii) *Let  $g: V \rightarrow X$  be a quasifinite and faithfully flat morphism of finite presentation (not necessarily representable). If  $V$  is affine-pointed, then  $X$  is affine-pointed.*

*Proof.* Throughout, we fix a field  $k$  and a morphism  $x: \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow X$ .

For (i), since  $k$  is a field, every extension in  $\operatorname{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$  is split; thus  $x_*$  is cohomologically affine [Alper 2013, Definition 3.1]. Since  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal, this property is preserved after pulling back  $x$  along a smooth morphism  $p: U \rightarrow X$ , where  $U$  is an affine scheme [Alper 2013, Proposition 3.10(vii)]. By Serre's criterion [EGA II 1961, 5.2.2], the morphism  $\operatorname{Spec} k \times_X U \rightarrow U$  is affine; and this case follows.

For (ii), the pullback of  $g$  along  $x$  gives a quasifinite and faithfully flat morphism  $g_0: V_0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ . Since  $V_0$  is discrete with finite stabilizers, there exists a finite surjective morphism  $W_0 \rightarrow V_0$  where  $W_0$  is a finite disjoint union of spectra of fields. By assumption  $W_0 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V$  is affine; hence so is  $V_0 \rightarrow V$  (by Chevalley's theorem [Rydh 2015, Theorem 8.1] applied smooth-locally on  $V$ ). By descent,  $\operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow X$  is affine and the result follows.  $\square$

The following lemma highlights the benefits of affine-pointed stacks.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks and let  $\gamma: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If  $X$  is affine-pointed, then the induced maps of topological spaces  $|f_1|, |f_2|: |T| \rightarrow |X|$  coincide.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if  $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$ , where  $k$  is a field, then  $\gamma$  is realizable. Since  $X$  is affine-pointed, the morphisms  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are affine. Also, the natural transformation  $\gamma$  induces, by adjunction, a morphism of quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras  $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T): (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow (f_1)_*\mathcal{O}_T$ . In particular,  $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T)$  induces a morphism  $v: T \rightarrow T$  over  $X$ . We are now free to replace  $X$  by  $T$ ,  $f_2$  by  $\operatorname{id}_T$ , and  $f_1$  by  $v$ . Since  $T$  is affine, the result now follows from Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

We can now prove the following proposition (generalizing Lurie's corresponding result for an algebraic stack with affine diagonal).

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack.*

- (i) *If  $T$  is an algebraic stack and  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal, then the functor  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful.*
- (ii) *Let  $T$  be a quasiaffine scheme and let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be quasiaffine morphisms.*
  - (a) *If  $\alpha, \beta: f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  are 2-morphisms and  $\alpha^* = \beta^*$  as natural transformations  $f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .*
  - (b) *Let  $\gamma: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism or  $X$  is affine-pointed, then  $\gamma$  is realizable.*

*Proof.* For (i), we may assume that  $T$  is an affine scheme (Lemma 4.3). Then every morphism  $T \rightarrow X$  is quasiaffine and the result follows by (ii) and Lemma 4.7(i).

For (ii), there are quasicompact open immersions  $i_k: T \hookrightarrow V_k$  over  $X$ , where  $V_k := \operatorname{Spec}_X((f_k)_*\mathcal{O}_T)$  and  $k = 1, 2$ . Let  $v_k: V_k \rightarrow X$  be the induced 1-morphism.

We first treat (a). The hypotheses imply that  $\alpha_* = \beta_*$  as natural isomorphisms of functors from  $(f_2)_*$  to  $(f_1)_*$ . In particular,  $\alpha_*$  and  $\beta_*$  induce the same 1-morphism from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  over  $X$ . Since  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are open immersions, they are monomorphisms; hence  $\alpha = \beta$ .

We now treat (b). The natural transformation  $\gamma: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  uniquely induces a natural transformation of lax symmetric monoidal functors  $\gamma^\vee: (f_2)_* \Rightarrow (f_1)_*$ . In particular, there is an induced morphism



of quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras  $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T): (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow (f_1)_*\mathcal{O}_T$ ; hence a morphism of algebraic stacks  $g: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  over  $X$ . Note that  $\gamma^\vee$  uniquely induces a natural transformation of lax symmetric monoidal functors  $(i_2)_* \Rightarrow g_*(i_1)_*$ , and by adjunction we have a uniquely induced natural transformation of tensor functors  $\gamma': (g \circ i_1)^* \Rightarrow i_2^*$ .

Replacing  $X$  by  $V_2$ ,  $f_1$  by  $g \circ i_1$ ,  $f_2$  by  $i_2$ , and  $\gamma$  by  $\gamma'$ , we may assume that  $f_2$  is a quasicompact open immersion such that  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T$  is an isomorphism.

If  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism, then  $f_1$  is also a quasicompact open immersion. Let  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  denote closed substacks of  $X$  whose complements are  $f_1(T)$  and  $f_2(T)$ , respectively. Then  $f_2^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \cong 0$ ; indeed, by definition we have  $Z_2 \cap f_2(T) = \emptyset$ . In particular, the isomorphism  $\gamma(\mathcal{O}_Z)$  implies that  $f_1^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \cong f_2^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \cong 0$ ; hence,  $f_1(T) \subseteq f_2(T)$ . Arguing similarly, we obtain the reverse inclusion and we see that  $f_1(T) = f_2(T)$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are open immersions, we obtain the result when  $\gamma$  is assumed to be an isomorphism.

Otherwise, [Lemma 4.8](#) implies that  $f_1$  factors through  $f_2(T) \subseteq X$ . We may now replace  $X$  by  $T$  and  $\gamma$  with  $(f_2)_*(\gamma)$  [[Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014](#), Proposition 2.3.6]. Then  $X$  is quasiaffine and the result follows from [Lemma 4.5](#).  $\square$

From [Proposition 4.9\(b\)](#), we obtain an analogue of [Lemma 4.8](#) for natural isomorphisms of functors when  $X$  has affine stabilizers (as opposed to affine-pointed).

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks and let  $\gamma: f_1^* \simeq f_2^*$  be a natural isomorphism of cocontinuous tensor functors. If  $X$  has affine stabilizers and quasicompact diagonal, then the induced maps of topological spaces  $|f_1|, |f_2|: |T| \rightarrow |X|$  coincide.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result when  $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$ , where  $k$  is a field. Since  $X$  has affine stabilizers and quasicompact diagonal the morphisms  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are quasiaffine [[Rydh 2011a](#), Theorem B.2]. The result now follows from [Proposition 4.9\(b\)](#).  $\square$

The following result, in a slightly different context, was proved by Schäppi [[2012](#), Theorem 1.3.2]. Using the Totaro–Gross theorem, we can simplify Schäppi’s arguments in the algebro-geometric setting.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. If  $X$  has the resolution property, then it is tensorial.*

*Proof.* By Totaro–Gross [[Gross 2017](#)], there is a quasiaffine morphism  $g: X \rightarrow \operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}$ . By [Lemma 4.4](#), it is enough to prove that  $X = \operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}$  is tensorial.

We must prove that  $\omega_X(T)$  is an equivalence for every algebraic stack  $T$ . Since  $X$  is quasicompact with affine diagonal, the functor  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful for every  $T$  ([Proposition 4.9](#)). Thus, it remains to prove that for every algebraic stack  $T$ , every cocontinuous tensor functor  $f^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(T)$  is algebraic. To this end, we note the following. Let  $Y$  be an algebraic stack. Then

- (i) the dualizable objects in  $\operatorname{QCoh}(Y)$  are the vector bundles [[Brandenburg 2014](#), Proposition 4.7.5]; and
- (ii) every tensor functor  $g^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(T)$  preserves dualizable objects and exact sequences of dualizable objects [[Brandenburg 2014](#), Definition 4.7.1 and Lemma 4.7.10].

Now let  $p: \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}$  be the universal  $\operatorname{GL}_N$ -bundle and let  $\mathcal{A} = p_* \mathbb{Z}$  be the regular representation. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

of flat quasicoherent sheaves. Write  $\mathcal{A}$  as the directed colimit of its subsheaves  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  of finite type containing the unit and let  $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda = \mathcal{A}_\lambda / \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$  are vector bundles.

Thus, let  $f^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(\operatorname{BGL}_{N, \mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(T)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. Then by (i) and (ii) above there are exact sequences of vector bundles:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{A}_\lambda \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{Q}_\lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $f^*$  is cocontinuous, we also obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

of flat quasicoherent sheaves. In particular,  $f^* \mathcal{A}$  is a faithfully flat algebra.

Let  $V = \operatorname{Spec}_T(f^* \mathcal{A})$ ; then  $r: V \rightarrow T$  is faithfully flat. By [Corollary 3.6](#), we have a cocartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{QCoh}(V) & \xleftarrow{f'^*} & \operatorname{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}) \\ r^* \uparrow & & \uparrow p^* \\ \operatorname{QCoh}(T) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \operatorname{QCoh}(X). \end{array}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$  is tensorial ([Lemma 4.5](#)), the functor  $f'^*$  is algebraic. Thus,  $f'^* p^* \simeq r^* f^*$  is algebraic. Descent along  $r: V \rightarrow T$  ([Lemma 4.2\(iii\)](#)) implies that  $f^*$  is algebraic.  $\square$

## 5. Tensor localizations

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stack. Let  $i: Z \rightarrow X$  be a finitely presented closed immersion defined by an ideal sheaf  $I$ . Let  $j: U \rightarrow X$  be the open complement of  $Z$ . Let  $T$  be an algebraic stack and let  $f^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(T)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. Let  $i_T: Z_T \rightarrow T$  be the closed immersion defined by the ideal  $I_T := \operatorname{Im}(f^* I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T)$ . Let  $j_T: U_T \rightarrow T$  denote the complement of  $Z_T$ .*

(i) *There exists an essentially unique cocontinuous tensor functor*

$$f_U^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(U_T),$$

*such that there is an isomorphism of tensor functors  $j_T^* f^* \simeq f_U^* j^*$ .*

(ii) *For each integer  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$f_{Z^{[n]}}^* := (i_T^{[n]})^* f^* (i^{[n]})_*: \operatorname{QCoh}(Z^{[n]}) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(Z_T^{[n]})$$

is a cocontinuous tensor functor and there is a canonical isomorphism of tensor functors  $(i_T^{[n]})^* f^* \simeq f_{Z^{[n]}}^* (i^{[n]})^*$ . Moreover,  $f^* (i^{[n]})_* \simeq (i_T^{[n]})_* f_{Z^{[n]}}^*$ .

In addition, if  $f^*$  preserves sheaves of finite type, then the same is true of  $f_U^*$  and  $f_{Z^{[n]}}^*$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 5.1** features in a key way in the proof of our main theorem (**Theorem 8.4**), which we prove via stratifications and formal gluings. From this context, we hope that the long and technical statement of **Theorem 5.1** should appear to be quite natural. While **Theorem 5.1(ii)** follows easily from the results of **Section 3.1**, **Theorem 5.1(i)** is more subtle. It turns out, however, that it is a consequence of a more general result about Grothendieck abelian tensor categories (**Theorem 5.8**), which is what we will spend most of this section proving.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck abelian category. A *Serre subcategory* is a full nonempty subcategory  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  closed under subquotients and extensions. Serre subcategories are abelian and the inclusion functor is exact. A Serre subcategory is *localizing* if it is also closed under small direct sums in  $\mathcal{C}$ , equivalently, it is closed under small colimits in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

If  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a Serre subcategory, then there is a quotient  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{K}$  and an exact functor  $q^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ , which is universal for exact functors out of  $\mathcal{C}$  that vanish on  $\mathcal{K}$  [**Gabriel 1962**, Chapitre III]. Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  is localizing if and only if the quotient  $q^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is a *localization*, that is,  $q^*$  admits a right adjoint  $q_*: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ; it follows that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is Grothendieck abelian,  $q^*$  is cocontinuous,  $q_*$  is fully faithful and  $q^* q_* \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . This statement follows by combining the Gabriel–Popescu theorem (e.g., [**Bucur and Deleanu 1968**, Theorem 6.25]) with [**Bucur and Deleanu 1968**, Proposition 6.21].

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory. We say that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a *tensor ideal* if  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under tensor products with objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  is also localizing, then we say that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a *localizing tensor ideal*.

If  $f^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an exact cocontinuous tensor functor between Grothendieck abelian tensor categories, then  $\ker(f^*)$  is a localizing tensor ideal. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a localizing tensor ideal, then the quotient  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K}$  is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, the localization  $q^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is an exact cocontinuous tensor functor and  $\ker(q^*) = \mathcal{K}$ ; in this situation, we will refer to  $q^*$  as a *tensor localization*.

**Example 5.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of algebraic stacks. If  $f$  is flat, then  $f^*$  is exact. If  $f$  is a quasicompact flat monomorphism (e.g., a quasicompact open immersion), then  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  is the quotient of  $\text{QCoh}(Y)$  by  $\ker(f^*)$ . This follows from the fact that the counit  $f^* f_* \rightarrow \text{id}$  is an isomorphism so that  $f_*$  is a section of  $f^*$  [**Gabriel 1962**, Proposition III.2.5].

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category. For  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  let  $\varphi_M: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M)$  denote the adjoint to the canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \rightarrow M$ . Let the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  of  $M$  be the kernel of  $\varphi_M$ , which we consider as an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(X)$ . Then  $\text{Ann}_{\text{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{QC}(\text{Ann}_{\text{Mod}(X)}(\mathcal{F}))$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of finite type, then  $\text{Ann}_{\text{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Ann}_{\text{Mod}(X)}(\mathcal{F})$ .

Recall that an object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  is *finitely generated* if the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d_{\lambda}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, \varinjlim_{\lambda} d_{\lambda})$$

is bijective for every direct system  $\{d_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with monomorphic bonding maps. A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *locally finitely generated* if it is cocomplete (all small colimits exist) and has a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of finitely generated objects such that every object  $c$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a directed colimit of objects from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 5.5.** Let  $X$  be a quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stack. The finitely generated objects in  $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$  are the quasicoherent sheaves of finite type. Thus  $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$  is locally finitely generated [Rydh 2016].

We also require the following definition.

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $q^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a tensor localization. Then it is *supported* if  $q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/\operatorname{Ann}(K)) \cong 0$  for every finitely generated object  $K$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $q^*(K) \cong 0$ .

The notion of a supported tensor localization is very natural.

**Example 5.7.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a flat monomorphism of quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stacks, then the tensor localization  $f^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$  of Example 5.2 is supported. Indeed, if  $M$  is a quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of finite type in the kernel of  $f^*$ , then  $f^* \operatorname{Ann}_{\operatorname{QCoh}(Y)}(M) = \operatorname{Ann}_{\operatorname{QCoh}(X)}(f^*M) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

We now have our key result, which also generalizes [Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014, Lemma 3.3.6].

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally finitely generated Grothendieck abelian tensor category. Let  $q^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a supported tensor localization. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category. If  $f^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a cocontinuous tensor functor such that  $f^*(K) \cong 0$  for every finitely generated object  $K$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $q^*(K) \cong 0$ , then  $f^*$  factors essentially uniquely through a cocontinuous tensor functor  $g^*: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . If  $f^*$  preserves finitely generated objects, then so does  $g^*$ .

Note that Theorem 5.8 is trivial if  $f^*$  is exact. The challenge is to use the symmetric monoidal structure to deduce this also when  $f^*$  is merely right-exact. The proof we give is a straightforward generalization of [Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014, Lemma 3.3.6]. First, we will see how Theorem 5.8 implies Theorem 5.1.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* For (ii), note that  $(i^{[n]})_*$  identifies  $\operatorname{QCoh}(Z^{[n]})$  with the category of modules over the algebra  $A_n = \mathcal{O}_X/I^{n+1}$ . The algebra  $f^*A_n$  is  $\mathcal{O}_T/I_T^{n+1}$  and  $(f_{Z^{[n]}})^* = (f_{A_n})^*$  in the terminology of Section 3.1.

For (i), recall that  $\operatorname{QCoh}(X)$  is locally finitely generated (Example 5.5) and that  $j^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(U)$  is a supported localization (Example 5.7). If  $K \in \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$  is finitely generated and  $j^*K = 0$ , then  $I^m K = 0$  for sufficiently large  $m$ . Thus, the natural map  $I^m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K \cong K$  is zero. Applying  $j_T^* f^*$ , the map becomes the identity since  $j_T^* f^*(I^m) \rightarrow j_T^* f^*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_{U_T}$  is an isomorphism. It follows that  $j_T^* f^* K = 0$ . We may thus apply Theorem 5.8 and deduce that  $j_T^* f^*$  factors via  $j^*$  and a tensor functor  $f_U^*: \operatorname{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{QCoh}(U_T)$ .  $\square$

To prove [Theorem 5.8](#) we require the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.9** [[Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014](#), Lemma 3.3.2]. *Let  $f^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -ideal such that  $f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/I) \cong 0$ , then  $f^*(I) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $f^*$  is right-exact and  $f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/I) = 0$ , it follows that  $f^*(I) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is surjective. Let  $J = f^*(I)$  and let  $\varphi: J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$  denote the surjection. The multiplication  $I \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I \rightarrow I$  factors through  $I \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I$  and gives rise to the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} J & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_J \otimes \varphi} & J \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \varphi \otimes \text{id}_J \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} J & \xrightarrow{\cong} & J. \end{array}$$

Let  $\eta_F$  denote the unit of the adjunction between  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F$  and  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(F, -)$ . Then we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J & \xrightarrow{\eta_J(J)} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, J \otimes J) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}om(-, \text{id}_J \otimes \varphi)} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}om(-, \varphi \otimes \text{id}_J) & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{\eta_J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes J) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, J). \end{array}$$

But the top row also factors as

$$J \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}}(J)} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}, J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}om(\varphi, -)} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})$$

which is injective since  $\eta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}}$  is an isomorphism and  $\varphi$  is surjective. It follows that  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(J, J)$  is injective, hence so is  $\varphi: J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.8.* If  $K \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally finitely generated, it may be written as a directed colimit  $K = \varinjlim_{\lambda} K_{\lambda}$ , where  $K_{\lambda} \subseteq K$  and  $K_{\lambda}$  is finitely generated. If  $K \in \ker(q^*)$ , then  $q^*K_{\lambda} \subseteq q^*K \cong 0$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{K} := \ker(q^*) \subseteq \ker(f^*)$ .

Let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $K, Q \in \mathbf{K}$ . We have to prove that  $f^*(M \rightarrow N)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $N_0$  be the image of  $M$  in  $N$ . By right-exactness, we have an exact sequence  $f^*(K) \rightarrow f^*(M) \rightarrow f^*(N_0) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $f^*(K) = 0$ , we have that  $f^*(M) = f^*(N_0)$ . We may thus replace  $M$  with  $N_0$  and assume that  $K = 0$  and  $M \rightarrow N$  is injective.

Write  $N$  as the directed colimit of finitely generated subobjects  $N_{\lambda}^{\circ} \subseteq N$ . Let  $N_{\lambda} = M + N_{\lambda}^{\circ} \subseteq N$  and  $I_{\lambda} = \text{Ann}(N_{\lambda}/M)$ . By definition, we have that  $I_{\lambda} \otimes N_{\lambda}/M \rightarrow N_{\lambda}/M$  is zero; hence  $I_{\lambda} \otimes N_{\lambda} \rightarrow N_{\lambda}$  factors through  $M$ .

Note that  $N_{\lambda}/M = N_{\lambda}^{\circ}/(N_{\lambda}^{\circ} \cap M)$  is a quotient of a finitely generated object and a subobject of  $Q$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/I_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{K}$  since  $q^*$  is supported. We conclude that  $f^*(I_{\lambda}) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  is an isomorphism using

**Lemma 5.9.** Now consider the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_\lambda \otimes M & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ I_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda & \longrightarrow & N_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^*(M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(M) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ f^*(N_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(N_\lambda), \end{array}$$

where the right diagram is obtained by applying  $f^*$  to the left diagram. It follows that  $f^*(M) \rightarrow f^*(N_\lambda)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $f^*$  is cocontinuous, it follows that  $f^*(M) \rightarrow f^*(N) = \varinjlim f^*(N_\lambda)$  is an isomorphism.

This proves that  $f^* = g^*q^*$  where  $g^* = f^*q_*$ . It is readily verified that  $g^*$  is cocontinuous (it preserves small direct sums and is right-exact). If  $M \in \mathcal{Q}$  is a finitely generated object, then we may find a finitely generated object  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $M = q^*N$ . Indeed, by assumption  $q_*M$  is a filtered colimit of finitely generated objects. It follows that there is a finitely generated subobject  $N \subseteq q_*M$  such that  $q^*N \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism. If  $f^*$  preserves finitely generated objects, then  $g^*M = f^*N$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

To show how powerful tensor localization is, we can quickly prove that tensoriality is local for the Zariski topology — even for stacks.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stack. Let  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n X_k$  be an open covering by quasicompact open substacks. If every  $X_k$  is tensorial, then so is  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $j_k: X_k \rightarrow X$  denote the open immersion and let  $I_k$  be an ideal of finite type defining a closed substack complementary to  $X_k$  [Rydh 2016, Proposition 8.2].

Let  $T$  be an algebraic stack. First we will show that  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful. Thus, let  $f, g: T \rightarrow X$  be two morphisms and suppose that we are given a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors  $\gamma: f^* \Rightarrow g^*$ . Then  $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k) \twoheadrightarrow g^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k)$  so there is an inclusion  $f^{-1}(X_k) \subseteq g^{-1}(X_k)$  for every  $k$ . Let  $T_k = f^{-1}(X_k)$ , let  $j_{k,T}: T_k \rightarrow T$  denote the corresponding open immersion and let  $f_k, g_k: T_k \rightarrow X_k$  denote the restrictions of  $f$  and  $g$ . Since  $(f_k)^* = j_{k,T}^* f^*(j_k)_*$  and  $(g_k)^* = j_{k,T}^* g^*(j_k)_*$ , we obtain a natural transformation  $\gamma_k: f_k^* \Rightarrow g_k^*$ , hence a unique 2-isomorphism  $f_k \Rightarrow g_k$ . Since  $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^n T_k$ , it follows by fppf-descent, that  $\omega_X(T)$  is faithful (Lemma 4.2(i)). As this holds for all  $T$ , we also have that  $\omega_X(T_k \cap T_{k'})$  is faithful and it follows by fppf-descent that  $\omega_X(T)$  is full (Lemma 4.2(ii)).

For essential surjectivity, let  $f^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor. The surjection  $\mathcal{O}_T \twoheadrightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k)$  defines a closed subscheme and we let  $j_{k,T}: T_k \rightarrow T$  denote its open complement. By Theorem 5.1(i),  $j_{k,T}^* f^*$  factors via  $j_k^*$  and a tensor functor  $f_k^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T_k)$ . The latter is algebraic by assumption; hence, so is  $j_{k,T}^* f^* = f_k^* j_k^*$ .

Finally, since  $\mathcal{O}_X/I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/I_n = 0$ , it follows that  $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_n) = 0$  so  $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^n T_k$  is an open covering. We conclude that  $f^*$  is algebraic by fppf descent (Lemma 4.2(iii)).  $\square$

Combining Theorem 5.10 with Lemma 4.5 we obtain a short proof of the main result of [Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014].

**Corollary 5.11** (Brandenburg–Chirvasitu). *Every quasicompact and quasiseparated scheme is tensorial.*



## 6. The main lemma

The main result of this section is the following technical lemma, which proves that the tensorial property extends over nilpotent thickenings of quasicompact algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers having the resolution property.

**Main Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $i: X_0 \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion of algebraic stacks defined by a quasicoherent ideal  $I$  such that  $I^n = 0$  for some integer  $n > 0$ . Suppose that  $X_0$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers. If  $X_0$  has the resolution property, then  $X$  is tensorial.*

We have another lemma that will be crucial for proving [Main Lemma 6.1](#).

**Lemma 6.2.** *Consider a 2-cocartesian diagram of algebraic stacks:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & U \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{j} & X, \end{array}$$

*such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i)  *$i$  is a nilpotent closed immersion;*
- (ii)  *$U_0$  is an affine scheme; and*
- (iii)  *$X_0$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers.*

*If  $X_0$  has the resolution property, then so has  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $X_0$  has affine diagonal by the Totaro–Gross theorem; hence  $p_0$  is affine. By [\[Hall 2017, Proposition A.2\]](#), the square is a geometric pushout. In particular,  $j$  is a nilpotent closed immersion,  $p$  is affine, and the natural map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_U \times_{p_* i_* \mathcal{O}_{U_0}} j_* \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$  is an isomorphism. By the Totaro–Gross theorem [\[Gross 2017, Corollary 5.9\]](#), there exists a vector bundle  $V_0$  on  $X_0$  such that the total space of the frame bundle of  $V_0$  is quasiasffine. Let  $E_0 = p_0^* V_0$ ; then, since  $U_0$  is affine, there exists a vector bundle  $E$  on  $U$  equipped with an isomorphism  $\alpha: i^* E \rightarrow E_0$ . Let  $V$  be the quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $p_* E \times_{\alpha} j_* V_0$ . By [\[Ferrand 2003, Théorème 2.2\(iv\)\]](#),  $V$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  and there is an isomorphism  $j^* V \cong V_0$ . By [\[Gross 2017, Proposition 5.7\]](#), it follows that  $X$  has the resolution property.  $\square$

*Proof of Main Lemma 6.1.* We prove the result by induction on  $n > 0$ . The case  $n = 1$  is [Theorem 4.11](#). So we let  $n > 1$  be an integer and we will assume that if  $W_0 \hookrightarrow W$  is any closed immersion of algebraic stacks defined by an ideal  $J$  such that  $J^{n-1} = 0$  and  $W_0$  has the resolution property, then  $W$  is tensorial. We now fix a closed immersion of algebraic stacks  $i: X_0 \rightarrow X$  defined by an ideal  $I$  such that  $I^n = 0$  and  $X_0$  has the resolution property. It remains to prove that  $X$  is tensorial.

We observe that the Totaro–Gross theorem [\[Gross 2017, Corollary 5.9\]](#) implies that  $X_0$  has affine diagonal; thus,  $X$  has affine diagonal. We have seen that  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful ([Proposition 4.9](#)) so it remains to prove that  $\omega_X(T)$  is essentially surjective. By descent, it suffices to prove that if  $T$  is an affine

scheme and  $f^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  is a cocontinuous tensor functor, then there exists an étale and surjective morphism  $c: T' \rightarrow T$  such that  $c^*f^*$  is algebraic (Lemma 4.2(iii)).

By Corollary 3.6, there is a 2-cocartesian diagram in GTC

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{QCoh}(T_0) & \xleftarrow{f_0^*} & \mathrm{QCoh}(X_0) \\ k^* \uparrow & & \uparrow i^* \\ \mathrm{QCoh}(T) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \mathrm{QCoh}(X), \end{array}$$

where  $k: T_0 \rightarrow T$  is the closed immersion defined by the image  $K$  of  $f^*I$  in  $\mathcal{O}_T$ . In particular,  $K^n = 0$ . Since  $X_0$  has the resolution property,  $f_0^*$  is given by a morphism of algebraic stacks  $f_0: T_0 \rightarrow X_0$  (Theorem 4.11).

Let  $p: U \rightarrow X$  be a smooth and surjective morphism, where  $U$  is an affine scheme; then,  $p$  is affine. The pullback of  $p$  along the morphism  $i \circ f_0: T_0 \rightarrow X$  results in a smooth and affine surjective morphism of schemes  $q_0: V_0 \rightarrow T_0$ . By [EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967, 17.16.3(ii)], there exists an affine étale and surjective morphism  $c_0: T'_0 \rightarrow T_0$  such that the pullback  $q'_0: V'_0 \rightarrow T'_0$  of  $q_0$  to  $T'_0$  admits a section. By [EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967, 18.1.2], there exists a unique affine étale morphism  $c: T' \rightarrow T$  lifting  $c_0: T'_0 \rightarrow T_0$ . After replacing  $T$  with  $T'$  and  $f^*$  with  $c^*f^*$ , we may thus assume that  $q_0$  admits a section (Lemma 4.2(iii)).

Let  $X' = \mathrm{Spec}_X(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)$ . Let  $I' = I(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -ideal generated by  $I$  and let  $X'_0 = V(I')$ . Then  $X'$  is a quasicompact stack with affine diagonal,  $X'_0 \rightarrow X'$  is a closed immersion defined by an ideal whose  $n$ -th power vanishes and  $X'_0$  has the resolution property. Let  $f'^* = \bar{f}^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X') \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  be the resulting tensor functor.

Since  $f'^*$  is right-exact, it follows that  $K = \mathrm{im}(f'^*I' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T)$ . Also,  $I' \subseteq f'_*K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ . Thus  $V(f'_*K) \subseteq X'_0$ , so has the resolution property. Note that  $f'^*I' \rightarrow f'^*f'_*K \rightarrow K$  is surjective. Since  $f'_*$  is lax symmetric monoidal, for each integer  $l \geq 1$  the morphism  $(f'_*K)^{\otimes l} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$  factors through  $f'_*(K^{\otimes l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ . In particular,  $(f'_*(K^l))^2 \subseteq f'_*(K^{l+1})$  and  $(f'_*K)^n = 0$ . We may thus replace  $X$  by  $X'$ ,  $X_0$  by  $V(f'_*K)$ ,  $f^*$  by  $f'^*$ ,  $I$  by  $f'_*K$  and assume henceforth that

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_T$  is an isomorphism,
- (ii)  $I = f_*K$  for some  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -ideal  $K$  with  $K^n = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f_*(K^l)^2 \subseteq f_*(K^{l+1})$  for each integer  $l \geq 1$ ,
- (iv)  $(f_*K)^l \subseteq f_*(K^l)$  for  $l \geq 1$ , and
- (v)  $q_0: V_0 \rightarrow T_0$  admits a section.

For each integer  $l \geq 0$  let  $I_l = f_*(K^{l+1})$ , which is a quasicoherent sheaf of ideals on  $X$ . Let  $i_l: X_l \rightarrow X$  be the closed immersion defined by  $I_l$  and let  $k_l: T_l \rightarrow T$  be the closed immersion defined by  $K^{l+1}$ . Since  $f^*f_*(K^{l+1}) \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T$  factors through  $K^{l+1}$ , it follows that  $k_l^*f^*(i_l)_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_l}) = \mathcal{O}_{T_l}$ . Hence,  $f_l^* = k_l^*f^*(i_l)_*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X_l) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T_l)$  is a tensor functor and  $k_l^*f^* \simeq f_l^*(i_l)^*$  (Theorem 5.1(ii)).

By condition (iv), we see that  $i_l: X_0 \rightarrow X_l$  is a closed immersion of algebraic stacks defined by an ideal whose  $(l+1)$ -th power is zero. In particular, if  $l < n-1$ , then  $X_l$  is tensorial by the inductive hypothesis. Thus, the tensor functor  $f_l^*$  is given by an affine morphism  $f_l: T_l \rightarrow X_l$ .

We will now prove by induction on  $l \geq 0$  that  $X_l$  has the resolution property. Since  $X_{n-1} = X$ , the result will then follow from [Theorem 4.11](#). Note that (iii) implies that the closed immersion  $X_l \rightarrow X_{l+1}$  is a square zero extension of  $X_l$  by  $I_l/I_{l+1}$ . Let  $m = n-2$ .

*Claim 1.* If  $M \in \text{QCoh}(T_m)$ , then the natural map  $f_*(k_m)_*M \rightarrow p_*p^*f_*(k_m)_*M$  is split injective.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Form the cartesian diagram of algebraic stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_0 & \longrightarrow & V_m & \xrightarrow{g_m} & U_m & \xrightarrow{u_m} & U \\ q_0 \downarrow & & q_m \downarrow & & p_m \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ T_0 & \longrightarrow & T_m & \xrightarrow{f_m} & X_m & \xrightarrow{i_m} & X. \end{array}$$

Now observe that  $f_*(k_m)_*M \cong (i_m)_*(f_m)_*M$ . Since  $f_m^*$  is given by a morphism  $f_m: T_m \rightarrow X_m$ , there are natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} p_*p^*f_*(k_m)_*M &\cong p_*p^*(i_m)_*(f_m)_*M \\ &\cong p_*(u_m)_*p_m^*(f_m)_*M \\ &\cong p_*(u_m)_*(g_m)_*q_m^*M \\ &\cong (i_m)_*(f_m)_*(q_m)_*q_m^*M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it remains to prove that the natural map  $M \rightarrow (q_m)_*q_m^*M$  is split injective. But  $q_m$  is affine, so  $(q_m)_*q_m^*M \cong (q_m)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_m}} M$ . Thus, we are reduced to proving that  $\mathcal{O}_{T_m} \rightarrow (q_m)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_m}$  is split injective. By (v),  $q_0$  admits a section. Since  $q_m$  is smooth and  $T_m$  is affine, the section that  $q_0$  admits lifts to a section of  $q_m$ . This implies that the morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{T_m} \rightarrow (q_m)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_m}$  is split injective.  $\square$

*Claim 2.* If  $0 \leq l < n-1$ , then the natural maps  $I_l/I_{l+1} \rightarrow p_*p^*(I_l/I_{l+1})$  are split injective.

*Proof of Claim 2.* If  $N \in \text{QCoh}(T_m)$ , then  $f_*(k_m)_*N = (i_m)_*(f_m)_*N$ . Since  $f_m$  is an affine morphism, it follows that  $f_*(k_m)_*: \text{QCoh}(T_m) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$  is exact. If  $P$  is one of the modules  $K^{l+1}$ ,  $K^{l+2}$ , or  $K^{l+1}/K^{l+2}$ , then  $K^{m+1}P = 0$ , so the natural map  $P \rightarrow (k_m)_*k_m^*P$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $f_*P \cong f_*(k_m)_*k_m^*P$ . Hence,  $I_l/I_{l+1} \cong f_*(k_m)_*k_m^*(K^{l+1}/K^{l+2})$  and the claim now follows from Claim 1.  $\square$

So we let  $l \geq 0$  be an integer, which we assume to be  $< n-1$ . We will assume that  $X_l$  has the resolution property and we will now prove that  $X_{l+1}$  has the resolution property. Retaining the notation of Claim 1, there is a 2-commutative diagram of algebraic stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_l & \longrightarrow & U_{l+1} \\ \downarrow p_l & & \downarrow \\ X_l & \longrightarrow & X_{l+1}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \tilde{X}_{l+1} \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

where both the inner and outer squares are 2-cartesian and the inner square is 2-cocartesian. Let  $Q_l = I_l/I_{l+1}$ . The morphism  $X_l \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{l+1}$  is a square zero extension of  $X_l$  by  $(p_l)_* p_l^* Q_l \cong p_* p^* Q_l$  and the morphism  $\tilde{X}_{l+1} \rightarrow X_{l+1}$  is the morphism of  $X_l$ -extensions given by the natural map  $Q_l \rightarrow (p_l)_* p_l^* Q_l$ . By Claim 2, the morphism  $Q_l \rightarrow (p_l)_* p_l^* Q_l$  is split injective and so there is an induced splitting  $X_{l+1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{l+1}$  which is affine. By [Gross 2017, Proposition 4.3(i)], it remains to prove that  $\tilde{X}_{l+1}$  has the resolution property, which is just Lemma 6.2.  $\square$

## 7. Formal gluings

Let  $T$  be an algebraic stack, let  $i: Z \hookrightarrow T$  be a finitely presented closed immersion and let  $j: U \rightarrow T$  denote its complement. A *flat Mayer–Vietoris square* is a cartesian square of algebraic stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{j'} & T' \\ \pi_U \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & T \end{array}$$

such that  $\pi$  is flat and the induced morphism  $\pi_Z: T' \times_T Z \rightarrow Z$  is an isomorphism [Moret-Bailly 1996; Hall and Rydh 2016].

Given an affine noetherian scheme  $T = \operatorname{Spec} A$  and a closed subscheme  $Z = V(I)$  we obtain a flat Mayer–Vietoris square as follows: let  $U = T \setminus Z$ , let  $T' = \operatorname{Spec} \hat{A}$ , where  $\hat{A}$  is the  $I$ -adic completion of  $A$ , and let  $U' = U \times_T T'$ . We call such squares *formal gluings*. While we will state our results more generally, the flat Mayer–Vietoris squares of relevance to this article will always be formal gluings.

If  $F: \operatorname{AlgSt}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}$  is a pseudofunctor, then there is a natural functor

$$\Phi_F: F(T) \rightarrow F(T') \times_{F(U')} F(U).$$

Here  $\operatorname{AlgSt}$  denotes the 2-category of algebraic stacks. For the purposes of this paper, it is enough to consider pseudofunctors defined on affine schemes, that is, fibered categories over affine schemes.

In this article, we will only consider two examples of pseudofunctors  $F$ . Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack.

- (i) We may view  $X$  as a pseudofunctor via the 2-Yoneda lemma:  $T \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(T, X) \simeq X(T)$ . Note that the flat Mayer–Vietoris square is a pushout (i.e., cocartesian) if and only if  $\Phi_X$  is an equivalence.
- (ii) There is also the pseudofunctor  $X_{\otimes}(-) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\otimes}(\operatorname{QCoh}(X), \operatorname{QCoh}(-))$ . Note that  $\Phi_{X_{\otimes}}$  is an equivalence if and only if quasicoherent sheaves can be glued along the flat Mayer–Vietoris square.

The following theorem follows from the main results of [Hall and Rydh 2016] (and almost from [Moret-Bailly 1996]).

**Theorem 7.1.** *Consider a flat Mayer–Vietoris square as above. Then*

- (i)  $\Phi_{X_{\otimes}}$  is an equivalence of categories;
- (ii)  $\Phi_X$  is fully faithful; and is an equivalence if

- (a)  $\Delta_X$  is quasiaffine; or
- (b)  $X$  is Deligne–Mumford; or
- (c)  $T$  is locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring (see [Remark 7.2](#)).

*Proof.* By [\[Hall and Rydh 2016, Theorem B\(1\)\]](#) (or one of [\[Moret-Bailly 1996, 0.3\]](#) and [\[Ferrand and Raynaud 1970, Appendice\]](#) when  $\pi$  is affine), there is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T') \times_{\mathrm{QCoh}(U')} \mathrm{QCoh}(U).$$

Thus, we have (i). Claims (ii) and (a) are [\[Hall and Rydh 2016, Theorem B\(3\)\]](#) and claims (b) and (c) are [\[Hall and Rydh 2016, Theorems E and A\]](#) respectively. Under some additional assumptions:  $\pi$  is affine,  $\Delta_X$  is quasicompact and separated, and in (c)  $T'$  is locally noetherian; claims (a)–(c) also follow from [\[Moret-Bailly 1996, 6.2 and 6.5.1\]](#).  $\square$

**Remark 7.2.** Recall that a noetherian ring  $A$  is *excellent* [\[Matsumura 1989, page 260; 1980, Chapter 13; EGA IV<sub>2</sub> 1965, 7.8.2\]](#), if:

- (i)  $A$  is a  $G$ -ring, that is,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has geometrically regular fibers for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ .
- (ii) The regular locus  $\mathrm{Reg} B \subseteq \mathrm{Spec} B$  is open for every finitely generated  $A$ -algebra  $B$ .
- (iii)  $A$  is universally catenary.

If (i) and (ii) hold, then we say that  $A$  is *quasiexcellent*. All  $G$ -ring assumptions in this paper originate from [\[Moret-Bailly 1996; Hall and Rydh 2016\]](#) via [Theorem 7.1](#). The assumptions are used to guarantee that the formal fibers are geometrically regular so that Néron–Popescu desingularization applies. Note that whereas being a  $G$ -ring and being quasiexcellent are local for the smooth topology [\[Matsumura 1989, 32.2\]](#), excellency does not descend even for finite étale coverings [\[EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967, 18.7.7\]](#).

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack. Let  $A$  be a ring and let  $I \subset A$  be a finitely generated ideal. Let  $T = \mathrm{Spec} A$ ,  $Z = V(I)$  and  $U = T \setminus Z$ . Let  $i: Z \rightarrow T$  and  $j: U \rightarrow T$  be the resulting immersions.*

- (i) *Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks.*
  - (a) *Assume that  $\ker(\mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_U) \cap \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n = 0$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta: f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  be 2-morphisms. If  $\alpha_U = \beta_U$  and  $\alpha_{Z^{[n]}} = \beta_{Z^{[n]}}$  for all  $n$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .*
  - (b) *Assume that  $T$  is noetherian and that  $\omega_X(T)$  is faithful for all noetherian  $T$ . Let  $t: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If  $j^*(t)$  and  $(i^{[n]})^*(t)$  are realizable for all  $n$ , then  $t$  is realizable.*
- (ii) *Assume either (a)  $T$  is the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring, or (b)  $T$  is noetherian and  $X$  has quasiaffine or unramified diagonal. Further, assume that  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  is fully faithful for all noetherian  $T$ . Let  $f^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(T)$  be a cocontinuous tensor functor that preserves sheaves of finite type. If  $j^*f^*$  and  $(i^{[n]})^*f^*$  are algebraic for all  $n$ , then  $f^*$  is algebraic.*

The assumption in (a) says that the filtration  $\{\emptyset \hookrightarrow Z \hookrightarrow T\}$  is *separating* ([Definition A.1](#)). This is automatic if  $T$  is noetherian ([Lemma A.2](#)).

*Proof of Corollary 7.3.* First, we show (ii). By assumption, the induced functor  $(i^{[n]})^* f^*$  comes from a morphism  $f^{[n]}: Z^{[n]} \rightarrow X$ . Pick an étale cover  $q: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  such that  $f^{[0]} \circ q: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow X$  has a lift  $g: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow W$ , where  $p: W \rightarrow X$  is a smooth morphism and  $W$  is affine. Descent (Lemma 4.2(iii)) implies that we are free to replace  $T$  with an étale cover, so we may assume that  $f^{[0]}$  also has a lift  $g: Z \rightarrow W$  [EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967, 18.1.1].

Since  $p$  is smooth, we may choose compatible lifts  $g^{[n]}: Z^{[n]} \rightarrow W$  of  $f^{[n]}$  for all  $n$ . But  $W$  is affine, so there is an induced morphism  $\hat{g}: \hat{T} \rightarrow W$ , where  $\hat{T} = \text{Spec } \hat{A}$  and  $\hat{A}$  denotes the completion of  $A$  at the ideal  $I$ . Let  $\hat{f} = p \circ \hat{g}$ . Then  $(i^{[n]})^* \hat{f}^* = (f^{[n]})^* = (i^{[n]})^* f^*$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\text{Coh}(\hat{T}) = \varprojlim_n \text{Coh}(Z_n)$  (Lemma 3.8), it follows that  $\hat{f}^* \simeq \pi^* f^*$  where  $\pi: \hat{T} \rightarrow T$  is the completion morphism. Indeed, this last equivalence may be verified after restricting both sides to quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of finite type (Example 5.5) and both sides send quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of finite type to  $\text{Coh}(\hat{T})$ .

Let  $\hat{j}: \hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{T}$  be the pullback of  $j$  along  $\pi$ ; then we obtain a flat Mayer–Vietoris square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{U} & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & \hat{T} \\ \pi_U \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & T. \end{array}$$

Since  $U$  and  $\hat{U}$  are noetherian,  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(U)$  and  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(\hat{U})$  are fully faithful. Thus, there is an essentially unique morphism of algebraic stacks  $h: U \rightarrow X$  such that  $h^* \simeq j^* f^*$ . But there are isomorphisms:

$$\hat{j}^* \hat{f}^* \simeq \hat{j}^* \pi^* f^* \simeq \pi_U^* j^* f^* \simeq \pi_U^* h^*,$$

so  $\hat{f} \circ \hat{j} \simeq h \circ \pi_U$ . That  $f^*$  is algebraic now follows from Theorem 7.1.

For (b), we proceed similarly. Consider the representable morphism  $E \rightarrow T$  given by the equalizer of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then 2-isomorphisms between  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  correspond to  $T$ -sections of  $E$ . By assumption, we have compatible sections  $\tau_U \in E(U)$  and  $\tau^{[n]} \in E(Z^{[n]})$  for all  $n$ . Choose an étale presentation  $E' \rightarrow E$  by an affine scheme  $E'$ . We may replace  $T$  with an étale cover (Lemma 4.2(ii)) and thus assume that  $\tau^{[0]}$  lifts to  $E'$ . In particular, there are compatible lifts of all the  $\tau^{[n]}$  to  $E'$ . Since  $E'$  is affine, we get an induced morphism  $\hat{T} \rightarrow E'$ ; thus, a morphism  $\hat{T} \rightarrow E$ . Equivalently, we get a 2-isomorphism between  $f_1 \circ \pi$  and  $f_2 \circ \pi$ . The induced 2-isomorphism between  $\pi^* f_1^*$  and  $\pi^* f_2^*$  equals  $\pi^* t$  since it coincides on the truncations. We may now apply Theorem 7.1 to deduce that  $t$  is realized by a 2-morphism  $\tau: f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ .

For (a), we consider the representable morphism  $r: R \rightarrow T$  given by the equalizer of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It suffices to prove that  $r$  is an isomorphism. Note that  $r$  is always a monomorphism and locally of finite presentation. By assumption, there are compatible sections of  $r$  over  $U$  and  $Z^{[n]}$  for all  $n$ , thus  $r_U$  and  $r_{Z^{[n]}}$  are isomorphisms for all  $n$ . By Proposition A.3,  $r$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 7.4.** We do not know if the condition that  $f^*$  preserves sheaves of finite type in (ii) is necessary. We do know that for any sheaf  $F$  of finite type, the restrictions of  $f^* F$  to  $U$  and  $Z^{[n]}$  are coherent but this does not imply that  $f^* F$  is coherent. For example, if  $A = k[[x]]$ , and  $I = (x)$ , then the  $A$ -module  $k((x))/k[[x]]$  is not finitely generated but becomes 0 after tensoring with  $A/(x^n)$  or  $A_x$ .



## 8. Tannaka duality

In this section, we prove our general Tannaka duality result ([Theorem 8.4](#)) and as a consequence also establish [Theorem 1.1](#). To accomplish this, we consider the following refinement of [[Hall and Rydh 2015a](#), Definition 2.4].

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $X$  be a quasicompact algebraic stack. A *finitely presented filtration* of  $X$  is a sequence of finitely presented closed immersions  $\emptyset = X_0 \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow X_r \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $|X_r| = |X|$ . The *strata* of the filtration are the locally closed finitely presented substacks  $Y_k := X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$ .

Stacks that have affine stabilizers can be stratified into stacks with the resolution property.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers.
- (ii)  $X$  has a finitely presented filtration  $(X_k)$  with strata of the form  $Y_k = [U_k / \mathrm{GL}_{N_k}]$  where  $U_k$  is quasiffine.
- (iii)  $X$  has a finitely presented filtration  $(X_k)$  with strata  $Y_k$  that are quasicompact with affine diagonal and the resolution property.

*Proof.* That (i) $\implies$ (ii) is [[Hall and Rydh 2015a](#), Proposition 2.6(i)]. That (ii) $\iff$ (iii) is the Totaro–Gross theorem [[Gross 2017](#)]. That (iii) $\implies$ (i) is straightforward.  $\square$

When in addition  $X$  is noetherian or, more generally,  $X$  has finitely presented inertia, this result is due to Kresch [[1999](#), Proposition 3.5.9] and Drinfeld and Gaiitsgory [[2013](#), Proposition 2.3.4]. They construct stratifications by quotient stacks of the form  $[V_k / \mathrm{GL}_{N_k}]$ , where each  $V_k$  is quasiprojective and the action is linear. This implies that the strata have the resolution property. When  $X$  has finitely presented inertia the situation is simpler since  $X$  can be stratified into gerbes [[Rydh 2016](#), Corollary 8.4], something which is not possible in general.

**Remark 8.3.** Drinfeld and Gaiitsgory [[2013](#), Definition 1.1.7] introduced the notion of a QCA stack. These are (derived) algebraic stacks that are quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers and finitely presented inertia. The condition on the inertia is presumably only used for [[Drinfeld and Gaiitsgory 2013](#), Proposition 2.3.4] and could be excised using [Proposition 8.2](#).

We now state and prove the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 8.4.** *Let  $T$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks and consider the functor*

$$\omega_X(T) : \mathrm{Hom}(T, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T))$$

*and its variants  $\omega_X^{\mathrm{ft}}(T)$ ,  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  and  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\mathrm{ft}}(T)$  (see [Section 4](#)). Assume that  $X$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers and that  $T$  is locally noetherian. Then*

- (i)  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  is fully faithful;
- (ii)  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful if  $X$  is affine-pointed;

- (iii)  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence of groupoids if either
- (a)  $T$  is locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring; ([Remark 7.2](#))
  - (b)  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal; or
  - (c)  $X$  is Deligne–Mumford.

In particular,  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence if  $T$  is locally excellent and  $X$  has affine stabilizers, and  $\omega_X^{\text{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence if  $T$  is locally noetherian and  $X$  either has quasiaffine diagonal or is Deligne–Mumford.

When  $X$  has quasiaffine diagonal, we have already seen that  $\omega_X(T)$  is fully faithful without any noetherian assumptions on  $T$  ([Proposition 4.9\(i\)](#)). In some situations, we can also prove faithfulness for nonnoetherian  $T$ , see [Proposition 8.5](#) below.

*Proof of Theorem 8.4.* We will first prove that  $\omega_X(T)$  is faithful, then prove that  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  and  $\omega_X(T)$  are fully faithful (the latter under the assumption that  $X$  is affine-pointed) and finally prove that  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\text{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence under the assumptions in (iii). By [Lemma 4.3](#), it is enough to prove these results when  $T = \text{Spec } A$  is affine.

*Stratification on  $X$ .* Choose a filtration  $(X_k)$  as in [Proposition 8.2](#). We will prove the theorem by induction on the number of strata  $r$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $X = \emptyset$  and there is nothing to prove. If  $r \geq 1$ , then  $U := X \setminus X_1$  has a filtration of length  $r - 1$ ; thus by induction the theorem holds over  $U$ .

Let  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  be the ideal defining  $Z := X_1$ . Let  $i^{[n]}: Z^{[n]} \hookrightarrow X$  be the closed substack defined by  $I^{n+1}$  and let  $j: U \rightarrow X$  be its complement. The filtration was chosen such that  $Z$  has the resolution property. Thus  $\omega_{Z^{[n]}}(T)$  is an equivalence of categories for every  $n \geq 0$  by the [Main Lemma 6.1](#). In particular, the theorem holds over  $Z^{[n]}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

*Setup.* For faithfulness, pick two maps  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  and two 2-isomorphisms  $\tau_1, \tau_2: f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  and assume that  $\omega_X(T)(\tau_1) = \omega_X(T)(\tau_2)$ . We need to prove that  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

For fullness of  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  (resp.  $\omega_X(T)$ ), pick two maps  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  and a natural isomorphism (resp. transformation)  $\gamma: f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$  of cocontinuous tensor functors. We need to prove that  $\gamma$  is realizable.

For essential surjectivity, pick a cocontinuous tensor functor  $f^*: \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(T)$  preserving sheaves of finite type. We need to prove that  $f^*$  is algebraic.

*Pulled-back stratification on  $T$ .* For faithfulness and fullness, let  $I_T = \text{Im}(f_2^* I \rightarrow f_2^* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T)$ , which is a finitely generated ideal because  $f_2$  is a morphism. For essential surjectivity, let  $I_T = \text{Im}(f^* I \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T)$ , which is a finitely generated ideal since  $f^*$  is assumed to preserve finite type objects. Let  $i_T^{[n]}: Z_T^{[n]} \hookrightarrow T$  be the finitely presented closed immersion defined by  $I_T^{n+1}$  and let  $j_T: U_T \hookrightarrow T$  be its complement, a quasicompact open immersion.

*Result holds on strata.* For faithfulness and fullness, we have that  $U_T = f_1^{-1}(U) = f_2^{-1}(U)$ ; for faithfulness this is obvious and for fullness of  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  and  $\omega_X(T)$  this follows from [Corollary 4.10](#) and [Lemma 4.8](#) (when  $X$  affine-pointed), respectively. We also have that  $Z_T^{[n]} = f_2^{-1}(Z^{[n]}) \hookrightarrow f_1^{-1}(Z^{[n]})$ . Thus, by the inductive assumption and the case  $r = 1$ , after restricting to either  $U_T$  or  $Z_T^{[n]}$  we have that  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$  (for faithfulness), and that  $\gamma$  is realizable (for fullness).

For essential surjectivity, [Theorem 5.1](#) produces for every  $n \geq 0$  essentially unique cocontinuous tensor functors  $f_U^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(U_T)$  and  $f_{Z^{[n]}}^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(Z^{[n]}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(Z_T^{[n]})$  such that  $j_T^* f^* \simeq f_U^* j^*$  and  $(i_T^{[n]})^* f^* \simeq (f_{Z^{[n]}}^*)^* (i^{[n]})^*$ . By the inductive assumption,  $f_U^*$  is algebraic and the case  $r = 1$  implies that  $f_{Z^{[n]}}^*$  is algebraic for each  $n \geq 0$ . In particular,  $j_T^* f^*$  and  $(i_T^{[n]})^* f^*$  is algebraic for each  $n \geq 0$ .

*Formal gluing.* The result now follows from [Corollary 7.3](#) which uses the noetherian assumption on  $T$ .  $\square$

We also have some partial results in the nonnoetherian situation.

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow X$  be morphisms of algebraic stacks. Assume that  $X$  is quasicompact and quasiseparated with affine stabilizers. Further assume that either*

- (i)  *$T$  has no embedded associated points; or*
- (ii)  *$f_2$  factors as  $T \rightarrow S \rightarrow X$  where  $S$  is locally noetherian and  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  is flat.*

*Then  $\omega_X(T): \mathrm{Hom}(f_1, f_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(f_1^*, f_2^*)$  is injective. In particular, if  $T$  has no embedded associated points, then  $\omega_X(T)$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* The proof is identical with the proof of faithfulness in [Theorem 8.4](#). We only have to argue that [Corollary 7.3\(a\)](#) applies. That is, we have to show that the filtration  $\{\emptyset \hookrightarrow Z_T \hookrightarrow T\}$  is separating.

If  $T$  has no embedded associated points, then the stratification  $\emptyset \subset Z_T \subset T$  is separating by [Lemma A.2](#). If  $f_2$  factors as in (ii), then the stratification  $\{\emptyset \hookrightarrow Z_T \hookrightarrow T\}$  is the pull-back along  $\pi$  of a stratification  $\{\emptyset \hookrightarrow Z_S \hookrightarrow S\}$ , hence separating by [Lemma A.2](#).  $\square$

We conclude with the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First, observe that if  $Y$  is a noetherian algebraic stack, then  $\mathrm{QCoh}(Y)$  may be identified as the ind-category of  $\mathrm{Coh}(Y)$  [[Lurie 2004](#), 3.9–10]. Essentially by definition, this induces an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \mathrm{Coh}(T)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}^{\mathrm{ft}}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(T)).$$

It is thus enough to prove that  $\omega_{X,\simeq}^{\mathrm{ft}}(T)$  is an equivalence of groupoids, which follows from [Theorem 8.4](#).  $\square$

## 9. Applications

In this section, we address the applications outlined in the introduction.

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* Let  $T' \rightarrow T$  be an fpqc covering where  $T$  is an algebraic stack, locally the spectrum of a  $G$ -ring, and  $T'$  is a locally noetherian algebraic stack. Since  $X$  is an fppf-stack, we may assume that  $T$  and  $T'$  are affine and that  $T' \rightarrow T$  is faithfully flat. Let  $T'' = T' \times_T T'$ . Since  $X$  has affine stabilizers, the functor  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T)$  is an equivalence, the functor  $\omega_{X,\simeq}(T')$  is fully faithful and the functor  $\omega_X(T'')$  is faithful for morphisms  $T'' \rightarrow T' \rightarrow X$  ([Theorem 8.4](#) and [Proposition 8.5](#)). Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(-))$  is an fpqc stack, it follows that  $T' \rightarrow T$  is a morphism of effective descent for  $X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* As  $A$  is noetherian,  $\mathrm{Coh}(A) = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Coh}(A/I^n)$ . Thus, by Theorem 8.4,

$$\begin{aligned} X(A) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \mathrm{Coh}(A)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \varprojlim \mathrm{Coh}(A/I^n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(X), \mathrm{Coh}(A/I^n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim X(A/I^n). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* First, we prove (i); that is, the stack  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is algebraic. We begin with the following standard reductions: we can assume that  $S$  is affine;  $X \rightarrow S$  is quasicompact, so is of finite presentation; and  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $S$  is now assumed to be excellent, we can prove the algebraicity of  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  using a variant of Artin's criterion for algebraicity due to the first author [Hall 2017, Theorem A]. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is

- [1] a stack for the étale topology;
- [2] limit preserving, equivalently, locally of finite presentation;
- [3] homogeneous, that is, satisfies a strong version of the Schlessinger–Rim criteria;
- [4] effective, that is, formal deformations can be algebraized;
- [5] the automorphisms, deformations, and obstruction functors are coherent.

The main result of this article provides a method to prove [4] in maximum generality, which we address first. Thus, let  $T = \mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow S$ , where  $(B, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete local noetherian ring. Let  $T_n = \mathrm{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$ . Since  $Z \rightarrow S$  is proper, for every noetherian algebraic stack  $W$  with affine stabilizers there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(Z \times_S T, W) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(W), \mathrm{Coh}(Z \times_S T)) && \text{(Theorem 1.1)} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(W), \varprojlim \mathrm{Coh}(Z \times_S T_n)) && \text{[Olsson 2005, Theorem 1.4]} \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes, \simeq}(\mathrm{Coh}(W), \mathrm{Coh}(Z \times_S T_n)) && \text{(Lemma 3.8)} \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(Z \times_S T_n, W) && \text{(Theorem 1.1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  and  $S$  have affine stabilizers, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(Z \times_S T, X) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_S(Z \times_S T_n, X);$$

that is, the stack  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is effective and so satisfies [4].

The remainder of Artin's conditions are routine, so we will just sketch the arguments and provide pointers to the literature where they are addressed in more detail. Condition [1] is just étale descent and [2] is standard — see, for example, [Laumon and Moret-Bailly 2000, Proposition 4.18]. For conditions [3] and [5], it will be convenient to view  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  as a substack of another moduli problem. This lets us avoid having to directly discuss the deformation theory of nonrepresentable morphisms of algebraic stacks.

If  $W \rightarrow S$  is a morphism of algebraic stacks, let  $\underline{\text{Rep}}_{W/S}$  denote the  $S$ -groupoid that assigns to each  $S$ -scheme  $T$  the category of *representable* morphisms of algebraic stacks  $V \rightarrow W \times_S T$  such that the composition  $V \rightarrow W \times_S T \rightarrow T$  is proper, flat and of finite presentation. There is a morphism of  $S$ -groupoids

$$\Gamma: \underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rep}}_{Z \times_S X/S},$$

which is given by sending a  $T$ -morphism  $f: Z \times_S T \rightarrow X \times_S T$  to its graph  $\Gamma(f): Z \times_S T \rightarrow (Z \times_S X) \times_S T$ . It is readily seen that  $\Gamma$  is formally étale since  $Z \rightarrow S$  is flat. Hence, it is sufficient to verify conditions [3] and [5] for  $\underline{\text{Rep}}_{Z \times_S X/S}$  [Hall 2017, Lemmas 1.5(9), 6.3 and 6.11]. That  $\underline{\text{Rep}}_{Z \times_S X/S}$  is homogeneous follows immediately from [Hall 2017, Lemma 9.3]. A description of the automorphism, deformation and obstruction functors of  $\underline{\text{Rep}}_{Z \times_S X/S}$  in terms of the cotangent complex are given on [Hall 2017, page 173], which mostly follows from the results of [Olsson 2006a]. That these functors are coherent is [Hall 2014, Theorem C]. This completes the proof of (i).

We now address (ii) and (iii), that is, the separation properties of the algebraic stack  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  relative to  $S$ . Let  $T$  be an affine scheme. Let  $Z_T$  and  $X_T$  denote  $Z \times_S T$  and  $X \times_S T$ , respectively. Suppose we are given two  $T$ -morphisms  $f_1, f_2: Z_T \rightarrow X_T$  and consider  $Q := \text{Isom}_{Z_T}(f_1, f_2) = X \times_{X \times_S X} Z_T$ . Then  $Q \rightarrow Z_T$  is representable and of finite presentation. If  $\pi: Z_T \rightarrow T$  denotes the structure morphism, then  $\pi_* Q$  is an algebraic space which is locally of finite presentation, being the pull-back of the diagonal of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  along the morphism  $T \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \times_S \underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  corresponding to  $(f_1, f_2)$ .

Let  $P$  be one of the properties: affine, quasiaffine, separated, quasiseparated. Assume that  $\Delta_X$  has  $P$ ; then  $Q \rightarrow Z_T$  has  $P$ . We claim that the induced morphism  $\pi_* Q \rightarrow T$  has  $P$ . For the properties affine and quasiaffine, this is [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3(i),(ii)]. For quasiseparated (resp. separated), this is [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3(ii),(iv)] applied to the quasiaffine morphism (resp. closed immersion)  $Q \rightarrow Q \times_Z Q$  and the Weil restriction  $\pi_* Q \rightarrow \pi_* Q \times_T \pi_* Q = \pi_*(Q \times_Z Q)$ . In particular, we have proved that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is algebraic and locally of finite presentation with quasiseparated diagonal over  $S$ .

Now by Theorem B.1,  $\Delta_{\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)/S} = \underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, \Delta_{X/S})$  is of finite presentation, so  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is also quasiseparated. It remains to prove that it has affine stabilizers. To see this, we may assume that  $T$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. In this situation, either  $\pi_* Q$  is empty or  $f_1 \simeq f_2$ ; it suffices to treat the latter case. In the latter case,  $T \rightarrow X \times_S X$  factors through the diagonal  $\Delta_{X/S}: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ , so it is sufficient to prove that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, I_{X/S})$ , where  $I_{X/S}: X \times_{X \times_S X} X \rightarrow X$  is the inertia stack, has affine fibers. But  $I_{X/S}$  defines a group over  $X$  with affine fibers, and the result follows from Theorem B.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $f: Z \rightarrow S$  be a proper and flat morphism of finite presentation between algebraic stacks. For any morphism  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  of algebraic stacks, the forgetful morphism  $f_* X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is an open immersion.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that if  $T$  is an affine  $S$ -scheme and  $h: Z \times_S T \rightarrow X \times_S T$  is a  $T$ -morphism, then the locus of points where  $g_T \circ h: Z \times_S T \rightarrow Z \times_S T$  is an isomorphism is open on  $T$ .

First, consider the diagonal of  $g_T \circ h$ . This morphism is proper and representable and the locus on  $T$  where this map is a closed immersion is open [Rydh 2011b, Lemma 1.8(iii)]. We may thus assume

that  $g_T \circ h$  is representable. Repeating the argument on  $g_T \circ h$ , we may assume that  $g_T \circ h$  is a closed immersion. That the locus in  $T$  where  $g_T \circ h$  is an isomorphism is open now follows easily by studying the étale locus of  $g_T \circ h$ , see [Olsson 2006b, Lemma 5.2]. The result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* That  $f_*X \rightarrow S$  is algebraic, locally of finite presentation, with quasicompact and quasiseparated diagonal and affine stabilizers follows from Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 9.1. The additional separation properties of  $f_*X$  follows from [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3(i),(ii) and (iv)] applied to the diagonal and double diagonal of  $X \rightarrow Z$ .  $\square$

As claimed in the introduction, we now extend [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4]. The statement of the following corollary uses the notion of a morphism of algebraic stacks that is *locally of approximation type* [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Section 1]. A trivial example of a morphism locally of approximation type is a quasiseparated morphism that is locally of finite presentation. It is hoped that every quasiseparated morphism of algebraic stacks is locally of approximation type, but this is currently unknown. It is known, however, that morphisms of algebraic stacks that have quasifinite and locally separated diagonal are locally of approximation type [Rydh 2015]. In particular, all quasiseparated morphisms of algebraic stacks that are relatively Deligne–Mumford are locally of approximation type.

**Corollary 9.2.** *Let  $f: Z \rightarrow S$  be a proper and flat morphism of finite presentation between algebraic stacks.*

- (i) *Let  $h: X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers that is quasiseparated and locally of approximation type. Then  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$  is algebraic, quasiseparated and locally of approximation type with affine stabilizers. If  $h$  is locally of finite presentation, then so is  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow S$ . If the diagonal of  $h$  is affine (or quasiaffine, or separated), then so is the diagonal of  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow S$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  be a morphism of algebraic stacks such that  $f \circ g: X \rightarrow S$  has affine stabilizers and is quasiseparated and locally of approximation type. Then the  $S$ -stack  $f_*X$  is algebraic, quasiseparated and locally of approximation type with affine stabilizers. If  $g$  is locally of finite presentation, then so is  $f_*X \rightarrow S$ . If the diagonal of  $g$  is affine (or quasiaffine, or separated), then so is the diagonal of  $f_*X \rightarrow S$ .*

*Proof.* For (i), we may immediately reduce to the situation where  $S$  is an affine scheme. Since  $f$  is quasicompact, we may further assume that  $h$  is quasicompact. By [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Lemma 1.1], there is an fppf covering  $\{S_i \rightarrow S\}$  such that each  $S_i$  is affine and  $X \times_S S_i \rightarrow S_i$  factors as  $X \times_S S_i \rightarrow X_i^0 \rightarrow S_i$ , where  $X_i^0 \rightarrow S_i$  is of finite presentation and  $X \times_S S_i \rightarrow X_i^0$  is affine. Combining the results of [Hall and Rydh 2015a, Theorem 2.8] with [Rydh 2015, Theorems D and 7.10], we can arrange so that each  $X_i^0 \rightarrow S$  has affine stabilizers (or has one of the other desired separation properties).

Thus, we may now replace  $S$  by  $S_i$  and may assume that  $X \rightarrow S$  factors as  $X \xrightarrow{q} X_0 \rightarrow S$ , where  $q$  is affine and  $X_0 \rightarrow S$  is of finite presentation with the appropriate separation condition. By Theorem 1.2, the stack  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X_0)$  is algebraic and locally of finite presentation with the appropriate separation



condition. By [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3(i)], the morphism  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X_0)$  is representable by affine morphisms; the result follows.

For (ii) we argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

## 10. Counterexamples

In this section we give four counterexamples (Theorems 10.1, 10.2, 10.4, and 10.5):

- In Theorems 1.1 and 8.4, and Proposition 8.5 it is necessary that  $X$  has affine stabilizer groups.
- In Theorem 8.4(ii), it is necessary that  $X$  is affine-pointed.
- In Theorem 1.2, it is necessary that  $X$  has affine stabilizer groups.
- In Corollary 1.5, it is necessary that  $X$  has affine stabilizer groups.

For this section, the following definition will be important. Let  $k$  be a field and let  $G$  be an algebraic group scheme over  $k$ ; we say that  $G$  is *antiaffine* if  $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G) = k$  [Brion 2009]. Abelian varieties are always antiaffine, but there are many other antiaffine group schemes [Brion 2009, Section 2]. Antiaffine group schemes are always smooth, connected, and commutative [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Corollaire III.3.8.3]. In general, there is always a largest antiaffine  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $G_{\mathrm{ant}}$  contained in the center of  $G$  such that the resulting quotient  $G/G_{\mathrm{ant}}$  is affine. In fact,  $G_{\mathrm{ant}} = \ker(G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G))$ ; in particular, if  $G$  is not affine, then  $G_{\mathrm{ant}}$  is nontrivial [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Théorème III.3.8.2].

**Theorem 10.1.** *Let  $X$  be a quasiseparated algebraic stack. If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field and  $x: \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow X$  is a point with nonaffine stabilizer, then  $\mathrm{Aut}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(x^*)$  is not injective. In particular,  $\omega_X(\mathrm{Spec} k)$  is not faithful and  $X$  is not tensorial.*

*Proof.* By assumption, the stabilizer group scheme  $G_x$  of  $x$  is not affine. Let  $H = (G_x)_{\mathrm{ant}}$  be the largest antiaffine subgroup of  $G_x$ ; then  $H$  is a nontrivial antiaffine group scheme over  $k$  and the quotient group scheme  $G_x/H$  is affine [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Section III.3.8]. The induced morphism  $B_k H \rightarrow B_k G_x \rightarrow X$  is thus quasiaffine by [Rydh 2011a, Theorem B.2].

By [Brion 2009, Lemma 1.1], the morphism  $p: \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow B_k H$  induces an equivalence of Grothendieck abelian tensor categories  $p^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(B_k H) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Spec} k)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Aut}(p) = H(k) \neq \{\mathrm{id}_p\} = \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(p^*)$ , the functor  $\omega_{B_k H}(\mathrm{Spec} k)$  is not faithful. Hence  $\omega_X(\mathrm{Spec} k)$  is not faithful by Lemma 4.4.  $\square$

We also have the following theorem.

**Theorem 10.2.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact and quasiseparated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. If  $k$  is a field and  $x_0: \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow X$  is a nonaffine morphism, then there exists a field extension  $K/k$  and a point  $y: \mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mathrm{Isom}(y, x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\otimes}(y^*, x^*)$  is not surjective, where  $x$  denotes the  $K$ -point corresponding to  $x_0$ . In particular,  $\omega_X(\mathrm{Spec} K)$  is not full.*

*Proof.* To simplify notation, we let  $x = x_0$ . Since  $X$  has quasicompact diagonal,  $x$  is quasiaffine [Rydh 2011a, Theorem B.2]. By Lemma 4.4, we may replace  $X$  by  $\mathrm{Spec}_X(x_*k)$  and consequently assume that  $x$  is a quasicompact open immersion and  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow x_*k$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $x$  is a section to a

morphism  $f: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ . Since  $x$  is not affine, it follows that there exists a closed point  $y$  disjoint from the image of  $x$ . In particular, there is a field extension  $K/k$  and a  $k$ -morphism  $y: \operatorname{Spec} K \rightarrow X$  whose image is a closed point disjoint from  $x$ .

We now base change the entire situation by  $\operatorname{Spec} K \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ . This results in two morphisms  $x_K, y_K: \operatorname{Spec} K \rightarrow X \otimes_k K$ , where  $x_K$  is a quasicompact open immersion such that  $\mathcal{O}_{X \otimes_k K} \cong (x_K)_* K$  and  $y_K$  has image a closed point disjoint from the image of  $x_K$ . We replace  $X, k, x$ , and  $y$  by  $X \otimes_k K, K, x_K$ , and  $y_K$  respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{G}_y \subseteq X$  be the residual gerbe associated to  $y$ , which is a closed immersion. We define a natural transformation  $\gamma^\vee: x_* \Rightarrow y_*$  at  $k$  to be the composition  $x_* k \cong \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_y} \rightarrow y_* k$  and extend to all of  $\operatorname{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$  by taking colimits. By adjunction, there is an induced natural transformation  $\gamma: y^* \rightarrow x^*$ . A simple calculation shows that  $\gamma$  is a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. Since its adjoint  $\gamma^\vee$  is not an isomorphism,  $\gamma$  is not an isomorphism; thus  $\gamma$  is not realizable. The result follows.  $\square$

The following lemma is a variant of [Bhatt 2016, Example 4.12], which B. Bhatt communicated to the authors.

**Lemma 10.3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field and let  $G/k$  be an antiaffine group scheme of finite type. Let  $Z/k$  be a regular scheme with a closed subscheme  $C$  that is a nodal curve over  $k$ . Then there is a compatible system of  $G$ -torsors  $E_n \rightarrow C^{[n]}$  such that there does not exist a  $G$ -torsor  $E \rightarrow Z$  that restricts to the  $E_n$ 's.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $G$  is smooth, connected and commutative [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Section III.3.8]. Furthermore, by Chevalley's theorem, there is an extension  $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ , where  $A$  is an abelian variety (of positive dimension) and  $H$  is affine. Let  $x_A \in A(k)$  be an element of infinite order and let  $x \in G(k)$  be any lift of  $x_A$ .

Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the normalization of  $C$ . Let  $F_0 \rightarrow C$  be the  $G$ -torsor obtained by gluing the trivial  $G$ -torsor on  $\tilde{C}$  along the node by translation by  $x$ . Note that the induced  $A$ -torsor  $F_0/H \rightarrow C$  is not torsion as it is obtained by gluing along the nontorsion element  $x_A$ .

We may now lift  $F_0 \rightarrow C$  to  $G$ -torsors  $F_n \rightarrow C^{[n]}$ . Indeed, the obstruction to lifting  $F_{n-1}$  to  $F_n$  lies in  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\operatorname{Lg}_0^* L_{BG/k}^\bullet, I^n/I^{n+1})$ , where  $g_0: C \rightarrow BG$  is the morphism corresponding to  $F_0 \rightarrow C$  and  $I$  is the ideal defining  $C$  in  $Z$ . Since  $G$  is smooth, the cotangent complex  $L_{BG/k}^\bullet$  is concentrated in degree 1 and since  $C$  is a curve, it has cohomological dimension 1. It follows that the obstruction group is zero.

Now given a  $G$ -torsor  $F \rightarrow Z$ , there is an induced  $A$ -torsor  $F/H \rightarrow Z$ . Since  $Z$  is regular, the torsor  $F/H \rightarrow Z$  is torsion in  $H^1(Z, A)$  [Raynaud 1970, XIII 2.4 and 2.6]. Thus,  $F/H \rightarrow Z$  cannot restrict to  $F_0/H \rightarrow C$  and the result follows.  $\square$

We now have the following theorem, which is a counterexample to [Aoki 2006a, Theorem 1.1; 2006b, Case I].

**Theorem 10.4.** *Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be a quasiseparated morphism of algebraic stacks. If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field and  $x: \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow X$  is a point with nonaffine stabilizer, then there exists a morphism  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow S$*

and a proper and flat family of curves  $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ , where  $Z$  is regular, such that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}(Z, X \times_S \mathbb{A}_k^1)$  is not algebraic.

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be the stabilizer group scheme of  $x$  and let  $G$  be the largest antiaffine subgroup scheme of  $Q$ ; thus,  $G$  is a nontrivial antiaffine group scheme over  $k$  and the quotient group scheme  $Q/G$  is affine [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Section III.3.8].

Let  $Z$  be a proper family of curves over  $T = \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathrm{Spec} k[t]$  with regular total space and a nodal curve  $C$  as the fiber over the origin; for example, take  $Z = \mathrm{Proj}_T(k[t][x, y, z]/(y^2z - x^2z - x^3 - tz^3))$  over  $T$ . Let  $T_n = V(t^{n+1})$ ,  $\hat{T} = \mathrm{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{T,0}$ ,  $Z_n = Z \times_T T_n$ , and  $\hat{Z} = Z \times_T \hat{T}$ . We now apply Lemma 10.3 to  $C$  in  $\hat{Z}$  and  $G$ . Since  $Z_n = C^{[n]}$ , this produces an element in

$$\varprojlim_n \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)(T_n) = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}(Z_n, BG)$$

that does not lift to

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)(\hat{T}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\hat{Z}, BG).$$

This shows that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)$  is not algebraic.

By [Rydh 2011a, Theorem B.2], the morphism  $x$  factors as  $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow BQ \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow X$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the residual gerbe,  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow X$  is quasiaffine and  $BQ \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is affine. Since  $Q/G$  is affine, it follows that the induced morphism  $BG \rightarrow BQ \rightarrow X$  is quasiaffine. By [Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3(ii)], the induced morphism  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, X \times_S T)$  is quasiaffine. In particular, if  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, X \times_S T)$  is algebraic, then  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)$  is algebraic, which is a contradiction. The result follows.  $\square$

The following theorem extends [Bhatt 2016, Example 4.12].

**Theorem 10.5.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack with quasicompact diagonal. If  $X$  does not have affine stabilizers, then there exists a noetherian two-dimensional regular ring  $A$ , complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ , such that  $X(A) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n X(A/I^n)$  is not an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in |X|$  be a point with nonaffine stabilizer group. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 10.4, there exists an algebraically closed field  $k$ , an antiaffine group scheme  $G/k$  of finite type and a quasiaffine morphism  $BG \rightarrow X$ . An easy calculation shows that it is enough to prove the theorem for  $X = BG$ .

Let  $A_0 = k[x, y]$  and let  $A$  be the completion of  $A_0$  along the ideal  $I = (y^2 - x^3 - x^2)$ . Then  $Z = \mathrm{Spec} A$  and  $C = \mathrm{Spec} A/I$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 10.3 and we obtain an element in  $\varprojlim_n X(A/I^n)$  that does not lift to  $X(A)$ .  $\square$

## Appendix A: Monomorphisms and stratifications

In this appendix, we introduce some notions and results needed for the faithfulness part of Theorem 8.4 when  $T$  is not noetherian. This is essential for the proof of Corollary 1.4.

We recall Definition 8.1: let  $X$  be a quasicompact algebraic stack. A *finitely presented filtration* of  $X$  is a sequence of finitely presented closed immersions  $\emptyset = X_0 \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow X_r \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $|X_r| = |X|$ . The *strata* of the filtration are the locally closed finitely presented substacks  $Y_k := X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$ .

As in [Notation 3.7](#), the  $n$ -th *infinitesimal neighborhood* of  $X_k$  is the finitely presented closed immersion  $X_k^{[n]} \hookrightarrow X$  which is given by the ideal  $I_k^{n+1}$  where  $X_k \hookrightarrow X$  is given by  $I_k$ . The  $n$ -th *infinitesimal neighborhood of the stratum*  $Y_k$  is the locally closed finitely presented substack  $Y_k^{[n]} := X_k^{[n]} \setminus X_{k-1}$ .

**Definition A.1.** A finitely presented filtration  $(X_k)$  of  $X$  is *separating* if the family  $\{j_k^n: Y_k^{[n]} \rightarrow X\}_{k,n}$  is separating [[EGA IV<sub>3</sub> 1966](#), 11.9.1]; that is, if the intersection  $\bigcap_{k,n} \ker(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow (j_k^n)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_k^{[n]}})$  is zero as a lisse-étale sheaf.

**Lemma A.2.** *Every finitely presented filtration  $(X_k)$  on  $X$  is separating if either*

- (i)  *$X$  is noetherian; or*
- (ii)  *$X$  has no embedded (weakly) associated point.*

*If  $X$  is noetherian with a filtration  $(X_k)$  and  $X' \rightarrow X$  is flat, then  $(X_k \times_X X')$  is a separating filtration on  $X'$ .*

*Proof.* As the question is smooth-local, we can assume that  $X$  and  $X'$  are affine schemes. If  $X$  is noetherian, then by primary decomposition there exists a separating family  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \text{Spec } A_i \rightarrow X$  where the  $A_i$  are artinian. As every  $\text{Spec } A_i$  factors through some  $Y_k^{[n]}$ , it follows that  $(X_k)$  is separating. In general,  $\{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow X\}_{x \in \text{Ass}(X)}$  is separating [[Lazard 1964](#), 1.2, 1.5, 1.6]. If  $x$  is a nonembedded associated point, then  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a one-point scheme and factors through some  $Y_k^{[n]}$  and the first claim follows.

For the last claim, we note that a finite number of the infinitesimal neighborhoods of the strata suffices in the noetherian case and that flat morphisms preserve kernels and finite intersections.  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic stack with a finitely presented filtration  $(X_k)$ . Let  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism locally of finite type. If  $f|_{Y_k^{[n]}}$  is an isomorphism for every  $k$  and  $n$ , then  $f$  is a surjective closed immersion. If in addition  $(X_k)$  is separating, then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* First note that  $f$  is a surjective and quasicompact monomorphism.

We will prove that  $f$  is a closed immersion by induction on the number of strata  $r$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $X = \emptyset$  and there is nothing to prove. If  $r = 1$ , then  $X = X_1^{[n]} = Y_1^{[n]}$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and the result follows. If  $r \geq 2$ , then let  $U = X \setminus X_1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $f|_U$  is a surjective closed immersion. We may also assume that  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $|X_1| \neq |X|$  since these cases are trivial.

Verifying that  $f$  is a closed immersion is local on  $X$ , so we may assume that  $X$ , and hence  $Z$ , are schemes [[Laumon and Moret-Bailly 2000](#), Théorème A.2]. Then  $f$  is a closed immersion if and only if  $f$  is proper [[EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967](#), 18.12.6]. By the valuative criterion for properness, we may thus assume that  $X = \text{Spec } V$  is the spectrum of a henselian valuation ring. Note that  $f|_U$  is now an isomorphism since  $X$  is reduced.

By [[EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967](#), 18.12.3], there is a decomposition  $Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$ , where  $Z_1 \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion and  $Z_2 \cap f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \emptyset$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $V$ . It remains to prove that  $Z_2 = \emptyset$ .

Since  $X_1$  is local, hence connected, and  $f|_{X_1}$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $Z_2 \cap f^{-1}(X_1) = \emptyset$ . Similarly, since  $U$  is integral, hence connected, and  $f|_U$  is an isomorphism, it follows that either  $Z_2 = \emptyset$  or  $Z_2 = U$ . In the former case we are done. We will now show that the latter case is impossible.

Since  $V$  is a valuation ring and  $X_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} V$  is a finitely presented closed immersion, there is an  $a \in V$  such that  $X_1 = \operatorname{Spec} V/(a)$  and  $U = D(a)$ . Write  $Z_1 = \operatorname{Spec} V/J$  for some ideal  $J$ . Since the closed immersion  $Z_1 \hookrightarrow X$  is an isomorphism over  $X_1^{[n]}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have that  $J \subseteq (a^n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . The condition that  $Z_1 \cap D(a) = \emptyset$  is equivalent to  $a^n \in J$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . That is,  $J = (a^n)$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . This implies that  $(a^{n+1}) = (a^n)$  is an equality for all  $n \gg 0$ , which is absurd since  $a$  is neither zero nor a unit. Hence,  $Z_2 = \emptyset$  and  $f$  is a surjective closed immersion.

For general  $X$ , if  $(X_k)$  is separating, then the schematic image of  $f$  contains all the  $Y_k^{[n]}$ , and hence equals  $X$  by definition. This proves the last claim.  $\square$

The following example illustrates that a closed immersion  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  as in [Proposition A.3](#) need not be an isomorphism even if  $f$  is of finite presentation.

**Example A.4.** Let  $A = k[x, z_1, z_2, \dots]/(xz_1, \{z_k - xz_{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1}, \{z_i z_j\}_{i, j \geq 1})$  and  $B = A/(z_1)$ . Then  $A/(x^n) = k[x]/(x^n) = B/(x^n)$  and  $A_x = k[x]_x = B_x$  but the surjection  $A \rightarrow B$  is not an isomorphism.

## Appendix B: A relative boundedness result for Hom stacks

Here we prove the following relative boundedness result for Hom stacks.

**Theorem B.1.** *Let  $f: Z \rightarrow S$  be a proper, flat and finitely presented morphism of algebraic stacks. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be algebraic stacks that are locally of finite presentation and quasiseparated over  $S$  and have affine stabilizers over  $S$ . Let  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  be a finitely presented  $S$ -morphism. If  $g$  has affine fibers, then*

$$\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, g): \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \rightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, Y)$$

*is of finite presentation. If in addition  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a group, then  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is a group with affine fibers.*

[Theorem B.1](#) is used in [Theorems 1.2](#) and [1.3](#) to establish the quasicompactness of the diagonal of Hom-stacks and Weil restrictions. Prior to [Theorem B.1](#), the strongest boundedness result is due to Olsson [\[2006b, Proposition 5.10\]](#). There it is assumed that  $g$  is finite and  $f$  is representable.

Without using [Theorem B.1](#), the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) give the algebraicity of the Hom-stacks and that they have quasiseparated diagonals. In the setting of [Theorem B.1](#), we may conclude that  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is a quasiseparated morphism of algebraic stacks that are locally of finite presentation over  $S$ . It remains to prove that the morphism  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact.

**Preliminary reductions.** If  $W$  and  $T$  are algebraic stacks over  $S$ , let  $W_T = W \times_S T$ ; similarly for morphisms between stacks over  $S$ . We will use this notation throughout this appendix.

As the question is local on  $S$ , we may assume that  $S$  is an affine scheme. We may also assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are of finite presentation over  $S$  since it is enough to prove the theorem after replacing  $Y$  with an open quasicompact substack and  $X$  with its inverse. By standard approximation results, we may then assume that  $S$  is of finite type over  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ . For the remainder of this article, all stacks will be of finite presentation over  $S$  and hence excellent with finite normalization.

By noetherian induction on  $S$ , to prove that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact, we may assume that  $S$  is integral and replace  $S$  with a suitable dense open subscheme. Moreover, we may also replace  $Z \rightarrow S$  with the pull-back along a dominant map  $S' \rightarrow S$ . Recall that there exists a field extension  $K'/K(S)$  such that  $(Z_{K'})_{\mathrm{red}}$  is geometrically reduced and  $(Z_{K'})_{\mathrm{norm}}$  is geometrically normal over  $K'$ . After replacing  $S$  with a dense open subset of the normalization in  $K'$ , we may thus assume that

- (i)  $Z_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow S$  is flat with geometrically reduced fibers; and
- (ii)  $Z_{\mathrm{norm}} \rightarrow S$  is flat with geometrically normal fibers;

since these properties are constructible [EGA IV<sub>3</sub> 1966, 9.7.7(iii) and 9.9.4(iii)].

We now prove three reduction results. Throughout, we will assume the following:

- $Z$  is proper and flat over  $S$ .
- $X$  and  $Y$  are finitely presented algebraic stacks over  $S$  with affine stabilizers.
- $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a representable morphism over  $S$ .

Our first reduction result is similar to [Olsson 2006b, Lemma 5.11].

**Lemma B.2.** *If  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S'}(Z', g_{S'})$  is quasicompact for every scheme  $S'$ , morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  and nilimmersion  $Z' \rightarrow Z_{S'}$  such that  $Z' \rightarrow S'$  is proper and flat with geometrically reduced fibers, then  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact.*

*Proof.* Assume that the condition holds. To prove that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact, we may assume that  $S$  is integral. We may also assume that  $Z_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow S$  has geometrically reduced fibers. Pick a sequence of square-zero nilimmersions  $Z_{\mathrm{red}} = Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow Z_n = Z$ . After replacing  $S$  with a dense open subset, we may assume that all the  $Z_i \rightarrow S$  are flat. Thus, it suffices to show that if  $j: Z_0 \rightarrow Z$  is a square-zero closed immersion where  $Z_0$  is flat over  $S$  and  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z_0, g)$  is quasicompact, then  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact. Now argue as in [Olsson 2006b, Lemma 5.11], but this time using the deformation theory of [Olsson 2006a, Theorem 1.5] and the semicontinuity theorem of [Hall 2014, Theorem A].  $\square$

Before we proceed, we make the following observation: fix an  $S$ -scheme  $T$  and an  $S$ -morphism  $y: Z_T \rightarrow Y$ . This corresponds to a map  $T \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, Y)$ . The pullback of  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  along this map is isomorphic to the Weil restriction  $\mathbf{R}_{Z_T/T}(X \times_{g,Y,y} Z_T)$ , which we will denote as  $H_{Z/S,g}(y)$ . Note that our hypotheses guarantee that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y)$  is locally of finite type and quasiseparated over  $T$ .

The second reduction is for a (partial) normalization.

**Lemma B.3.** *If  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S'}(Z', g_{S'})$  is quasicompact for every scheme  $S'$ , morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  and finite morphism  $Z' \rightarrow Z_{S'}$  such that  $Z' \rightarrow S'$  is proper and flat with geometrically normal fibers, then  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$  is quasicompact.*

*Proof.* By Lemma B.2, we may assume that  $Z \rightarrow S$  is flat with geometrically reduced fibers. We will use induction on the maximal fiber dimension  $d$  of  $Z \rightarrow S$ . After modifying  $S$ , we may assume that  $W := Z_{\mathrm{norm}} \rightarrow S$  is flat with geometrically normal fibers. Let  $Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$  and  $W_0 \hookrightarrow W$  be the closed substacks given by the conductor ideal of  $W \rightarrow Z$ .

After replacing  $S$  with a dense open subset, we may assume that  $Z_0 \rightarrow S$  and  $W_0 \rightarrow S$  are flat and that  $W \rightarrow Z$  is an isomorphism over an open subset  $U \subseteq Z$  that is dense in every fiber. In particular, since  $Z_0 \cap U = \emptyset$ , the dimensions of the fibers of  $Z_0 \rightarrow S$  are strictly smaller than  $d$ . Thus, by induction we may assume that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z_0, g)$  is quasicompact. But

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_0 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & W \\ h_0 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow h \\ Z_0 & \xhookrightarrow{j} & Z \end{array}$$

is a bicartesian square and remains so after arbitrary base change over  $S$  since  $W_0 \rightarrow S$  is flat. Indeed, that it is cartesian is [Hall 2017, Lemma A.3(i)]. That it is cocartesian and the commutes with arbitrary base change over  $S$  follows from the arguments of [Hall 2017, Lemma A.4, A.8] and the existence of pinchings of algebraic spaces [Kollár 2012, Theorem 38].

It remains to prove that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \rightarrow T$  is quasicompact, where  $T$  is an integral scheme of finite type over  $S$  and  $y: Z_T \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism. The bicartesian square above implies that

$$H_{Z/S,g}(y) \simeq H_{Z_0/S,g}(yj) \times_{H_{W_0/S,g}(yhi)} H_{W/S,g}(yh).$$

The result follows, since  $H_{Z_0/S,g}(yj)$  and  $H_{W/S,g}(yh)$  are quasicompact and  $H_{W_0/S,g}(yhi)$  is quasiseparated.  $\square$

We have the following variant of  $h$ -descent [Rydh 2010, Theorem 7.4].

**Lemma B.4.** *Let  $S$  be an algebraic stack, let  $T$  be an algebraic  $S$ -stack and let  $g: T' \rightarrow T$  be a universally subtrusive (e.g., proper and surjective) morphism of finite presentation such that  $g$  is flat over an open substack  $U \subseteq T$ . If  $T$  is weakly normal in  $U$  (e.g.,  $T$  normal and  $U$  open dense), then for every representable morphism  $X \rightarrow S$ , the following sequence of sets is exact:*

$$X(T) \longrightarrow X(T') \rightrightarrows X(T' \times_T T')$$

where  $X(T) = \mathrm{Hom}_S(T, X)$  etc.

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that given a morphism  $f: T' \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \pi_1 = f \circ \pi_2: T' \times_T T' \rightarrow X$ , there exists a unique morphism  $h: T \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ . By fppf-descent over  $U$ , there is a unique  $h|_U: U \rightarrow X$  such that  $f|_{g^{-1}(U)} = h|_U \circ g|_{g^{-1}(U)}$ . Consider the morphism  $\tilde{g}: \tilde{T}' = T' \sqcup U \rightarrow T$ . The morphism  $\tilde{f} = (f, h|_U): \tilde{T}' \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\pi}_1 = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\pi}_2$  where  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  denotes the projections of  $\tilde{T}' \times_X \tilde{T}' \rightarrow \tilde{T}'$ . By assumption,  $\tilde{g}$  is universally subtrusive and weakly normal. Thus, by  $h$ -descent [Rydh 2010, Theorem 7.4], we have an exact sequence

$$X(T) \longrightarrow X(\tilde{T}') \rightrightarrows X((\tilde{T}' \times_T \tilde{T}')_{\mathrm{red}}).$$

Indeed, by smooth descent we can assume that  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}'$  are schemes so that [Rydh 2010, Theorem 7.4] applies. We conclude that  $\tilde{f}$  comes from a unique morphism  $h: T \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$



We now have our last general reduction result.

**Proposition B.5.** *Let  $w: W \rightarrow Z$  be a proper surjective morphism over  $S$ . Assume that  $Z \rightarrow S$  has geometrically normal fibers and  $W \rightarrow S$  is flat. If  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(W, g)$  is quasicompact, then so is  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, g)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $S$  is an integral scheme. After replacing  $S$  with an open subscheme, we may also assume that  $W \rightarrow Z$  is flat over an open subset  $U \subseteq Z$  that is dense in every fiber over  $S$  and  $W \times_Z W$  is flat over  $S$ . It remains to prove that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \rightarrow T$  is quasicompact, where  $T$  is an integral scheme of finite type over  $S$  and  $y: Z_T \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism. By assumption,  $H_{W/S,g}(yw) \rightarrow T$  is quasicompact. Now consider the sequence

$$H_{Z/S,g}(y) \longrightarrow H_{W/S,g}(yw) \rightrightarrows H_{W \times_Z W/S,g}(yv),$$

where  $v: W \times_Z W \rightarrow Z$  is the natural map. There is a canonical morphism  $\varphi: H_{Z/S,g}(y) \rightarrow E$ , where  $E$  denotes the equalizer of the parallel arrows. Since  $H_{W/S,g}(yw)$  is quasicompact (and  $H_{W \times_Z W/S,g}(yv)$  is quasiseparated), the equalizer  $E$  is quasicompact. It is thus enough to show that  $\varphi$  is quasicompact. Thus, pick a scheme  $T'$  and a morphism  $T' \rightarrow E$  and let us show that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \times_E T'$  is quasicompact.

By noetherian induction on  $T'$ , we may assume that  $T'$  is normal. The morphism  $T' \rightarrow E$  gives an element of  $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(W_{T'}, X)$  such that the two images in  $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(W_{T'} \times_{Z_{T'}} W_{T'}, X)$  coincide. Noting that  $Z_{T'}$  is normal, [Lemma B.4](#) applies to  $W_{T'} \rightarrow Z_{T'}$  and gives a unique element in  $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(Z_{T'}, X) = \mathrm{Hom}_T(T', H_{Z/S,g}(y))$ . Thus, the morphism  $\varphi_{T'}: H_{Z/S,g}(y) \times_E T' \rightarrow T'$  has a section. Repeating the argument with  $T' = \mathrm{Spec} \kappa(t')$  for every point  $t' \in T'$ , we see that  $\varphi_{T'}$  is injective, so the section is surjective. It follows that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \times_E T'$  is quasicompact.  $\square$

### **Proof of the main result.**

*Proof of Theorem B.1.* As usual, we may assume that  $S$  is an affine integral scheme. By [Lemma B.3](#), we may in addition assume that  $Z \rightarrow S$  has geometrically normal fibers. Let  $W \rightarrow Z$  be a proper surjective morphism with  $W$  a projective  $S$ -scheme [\[Olsson 2005\]](#). By replacing  $S$  with a dense open, we may assume that  $W \rightarrow S$  is flat. By [Proposition B.5](#), we may replace  $Z$  with  $W$  and assume that  $Z$  is a (projective) scheme. Repeating the first reduction, we may still assume that  $Z \rightarrow S$  has geometrically normal fibers.

As before, it remains to prove that  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \rightarrow T$  is quasicompact, where  $T$  is an integral  $S$ -scheme of finite type and  $y: Z_T \rightarrow Y$  is an  $S$ -morphism. Hence, it suffices to prove the following claim.

*Claim.* Let  $S$  be integral. If  $Z \rightarrow S$  is projective with geometrically normal fibers and  $q: Q \rightarrow Z$  is representable with affine fibers, then  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Q) \rightarrow S$  is quasicompact.

*Proof of Claim.* Let  $\bar{Q} = \mathrm{Spec}_Z(q_* \mathcal{O}_Q)$  and let  $Q \rightarrow \bar{Q} \rightarrow Z$  be the induced factorization. Since  $Q \rightarrow Z$  has affine fibers,  $Q \rightarrow \bar{Q}$  is an isomorphism over an open dense subset  $U \subseteq Z$ . After replacing  $S$  with a dense open subscheme, we may assume that  $U$  is dense in every fiber over  $S$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q}) \rightarrow S$  is affine [\[Hall and Rydh 2015b, Theorem 2.3\(i\)\]](#), it is enough to prove that  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q})$  is quasicompact. We may thus replace  $Q, Z, U$  and  $S$  with  $Q \times_{\bar{Q}} (Z \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q})), Z \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q}), U \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q})$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(\bar{Q})$ . We may thus assume that  $Q \rightarrow Z$  is an isomorphism over  $U$ .  $\square$

Since  $Q$  is an algebraic space, there exists a finite surjective morphism  $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\tilde{Q}$  is a scheme. In particular, there is a finite field extension  $L/K(U)$  such that the normalization of  $Q$  in  $L$  is a scheme. Take a splitting field  $L'/L$  and let  $Z'$  be the normalization of  $Z$  in  $L'$ . Then  $Q' := (Q \times_Z Z')_{\text{norm}} = Q_{\text{norm}/L'}$  is a scheme. By replacing  $S$  with a normalization in an extension of  $K(S)$  and shrinking, we may assume that  $Z' \rightarrow S$  and  $Q' \rightarrow S$  are flat with geometrically normal fibers. By [Proposition B.5](#), it is enough to prove that  $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(Q \times_Z Z')$  is quasicompact.

There is a natural morphism  $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(Q') \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(Q \times_Z Z')$ , which we claim is surjective. To see this, we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. Then  $Z'$  and  $Q'$  are normal and any section  $Z' \rightarrow Q \times_Z Z'$  lifts uniquely to a section  $Z' \rightarrow Q'$ . Indeed,  $Z' \times_{Q \times_Z Z'} Q' \rightarrow Z'$  is finite and an isomorphism over  $U$ , hence has a canonical section. We can thus replace  $Q$  and  $Z$  with  $Q'$  and  $Z'$  and assume that  $Q$  is a scheme.

Since  $Q$  is a scheme, it is locally separated; hence, there is a  $U$ -admissible blow-up  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  such that the strict transform  $Q' \rightarrow Z'$  of  $Q \rightarrow Z$  is étale [\[Raynaud and Gruson 1971, Théorème 5.7.11\]](#). After shrinking  $S$ , we may assume that  $Z' \rightarrow S$  is flat. Then since  $U \subseteq Z'$  remains dense after arbitrary pull-back over  $S$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(Q \times_Z Z') = \mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(Q').$$

Replacing  $Q \rightarrow Z$  with  $Q' \rightarrow Z'$  ([Proposition B.5](#)), we may thus assume that  $Q \rightarrow Z$  in addition is étale.

Finally, we note that the étale morphism  $Q \rightarrow Z$  corresponds to a constructible sheaf on  $Z_{\text{ét}}$  and that  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Q)$  is nothing but the étale sheaf  $f_{\text{ét},*}Q$ . By a special case of the proper base change theorem [\[SGA 4<sub>3</sub> 1973, XIV.1.1\]](#),  $f_{\text{ét},*}Q$  is constructible, so  $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \rightarrow S$  is of finite presentation.

For the second part of the theorem on groups: let  $T$  be the spectrum of an algebraically closed field and let  $y: Z_T \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. By the first part  $H_{Z/S,g}(y) \simeq \mathbf{R}_{Z_T/T}(Q)$  is then a group scheme  $G$  of finite type over  $T$ , where  $Q = X \times_Y Z_T$ . Let  $K = G_{\text{ant}}$  be the largest antiaffine subgroup of  $G$ ; it is normal, connected and smooth and the quotient  $G/K$  is affine [\[Demazure and Gabriel 1970, Section III.3.8\]](#).

The universal family  $G \times_T Z_T \rightarrow Q$  is a group homomorphism and induces a group homomorphism  $K \times_T Z_T \rightarrow Q$ . It is enough to show that this factors through the unit section of  $Q \rightarrow Z_T$ , because this forces  $K = 0$ . Note that for every stack  $W \rightarrow T$ , the pull-back  $K \times_T W \rightarrow W$  is an antiaffine group in the sense that the push-forward of  $\mathcal{O}_{K \times_T W}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_W$  (flat base change).

We will now use the results on finitely presented filtrations in [Appendix A](#). Since  $Q \rightarrow Z_T$  has affine fibers, there is a finitely presented filtration  $(Z_{T,i})$  of  $Z_T$  with strata  $V_i = Z_{T,i} \setminus Z_{T,i-1}$  such that  $Q \times_{Z_T} V_i \rightarrow V_i$  are affine for every  $i$ . By Chevalley's theorem,  $Q \times_{Z_T} V_i^{[n]} \rightarrow V_i^{[n]}$  is affine for every  $i$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $K \times_T V_i^{[n]} \rightarrow V_i^{[n]}$  is antiaffine, it follows that  $K \times_T V_i^{[n]} \rightarrow Q \times_{Z_T} V_i^{[n]}$  factors through the unit section  $V_i^{[n]} \rightarrow Q \times_{Z_T} V_i^{[n]}$  for every  $i$  and  $n$ .

Let  $E$  be the equalizer of  $K \times_T Z_T \rightarrow Q$  and the constant map  $K \times_T Z_T \rightarrow Q$  to the unit. The above discussion shows that the monomorphism  $E \rightarrow K \times_T Z_T$  is an isomorphism over every infinitesimal neighborhood  $V_i^{[n]}$  of every stratum  $V_i$ , hence an isomorphism ([Proposition A.3](#), using that the filtration is separating since  $Z_T$  is noetherian).  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dan Abramovich for asking us whether [Theorem 1.2](#) held, which was the original motivation for this article. We would also like to thank Martin Brandenburg for his many comments on a preliminary draft and for sharing his dissertation with us. In particular, he made us aware of [Proposition 3.5](#), which greatly simplified [Corollary 3.6](#). Finally, we would like to thank Bhargav Bhatt for several useful comments and suggestions, Jarod Alper for several interesting and supportive discussions, and Andrew Kresch for some encouraging remarks.

## References

- [Abramovich et al. 2011] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli, “[Twisted stable maps to tame Artin stacks](#)”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **20**:3 (2011), 399–477. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Alexeev and Brion 2005] V. Alexeev and M. Brion, “[Moduli of affine schemes with reductive group action](#)”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **14**:1 (2005), 83–117. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Alper 2010] J. Alper, “[On the local quotient structure of Artin stacks](#)”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214**:9 (2010), 1576–1591. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Alper 2013] J. Alper, “[Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks](#)”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **63**:6 (2013), 2349–2402. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Alper and Kresch 2016] J. Alper and A. Kresch, “[Equivariant versal deformations of semistable curves](#)”, *Michigan Math. J.* **65**:2 (2016), 227–250. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Alper et al. 2015] J. Alper, J. Hall, and D. Rydh, “[A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks](#)”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv 1504.06467](#)
- [Aoki 2006a] M. Aoki, “[Hom stacks](#)”, *Manuscripta Math.* **119**:1 (2006), 37–56. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Aoki 2006b] M. Aoki, “[Erratum: ‘Hom stacks’](#)”, *Manuscripta Math.* **121**:1 (2006), 135. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ben-Zvi 2010] D. Ben-Zvi, [Reply to: “How to unify various reconstruction theorems \(Gabriel–Rosenberg, Tannaka, Balmers\)”](#), MathOverflow answer, 2010, <http://mathoverflow.net/q/16334>.
- [Bhatt 2016] B. Bhatt, “[Algebraization and Tannaka duality](#)”, *Camb. J. Math.* **4**:4 (2016), 403–461. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bhatt and Halpern-Leistner 2017] B. Bhatt and D. Halpern-Leistner, “[Tannaka duality revisited](#)”, *Adv. Math.* **316** (2017), 576–612. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Brandenburg 2014] M. Brandenburg, *Tensor categorical foundations of algebraic geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 2014, <https://tinyurl.com/dissbran>.
- [Brandenburg and Chirvasitu 2014] M. Brandenburg and A. Chirvasitu, “[Tensor functors between categories of quasi-coherent sheaves](#)”, *J. Algebra* **399** (2014), 675–692. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Brion 2009] M. Brion, “[Anti-affine algebraic groups](#)”, *J. Algebra* **321**:3 (2009), 934–952. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bucur and Deleanu 1968] I. Bucur and A. Deleanu, *Introduction to the theory of categories and functors*, Pure Appl. Math. **19**, Wiley, London, 1968. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Conrad 2010] B. Conrad, “[Smooth linear algebraic groups over the dual numbers](#)”, MathOverflow post, 2010, <http://mathoverflow.net/q/22078>.
- [Deligne 1990] P. Deligne, “[Catégories tannakiennes](#)”, pp. 111–195 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, II*, edited by P. Cartier et al., Progr. Math. **87**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Demazure and Gabriel 1970] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques, I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs*, Masson, Paris, 1970. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Drinfeld and Gaitsgory 2013] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory, “[On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks](#)”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23**:1 (2013), 149–294. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [EGA II 1961] A. Grothendieck, “[Eléments de géométrie algébrique, II: Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes](#)”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **8** (1961), 5–222. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [EGA IV<sub>2</sub> 1965] A. Grothendieck, “[Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, II](#)”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **24** (1965), 5–231. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [EGA IV<sub>3</sub> 1966] A. Grothendieck, “[Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, III](#)”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **28** (1966), 5–255. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [EGA IV<sub>4</sub> 1967] A. Grothendieck, “[Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, IV](#)”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **32** (1967), 5–361. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ferrand 2003] D. Ferrand, “[Conducteur, descente et pincement](#)”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **131**:4 (2003), 553–585. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ferrand and Raynaud 1970] D. Ferrand and M. Raynaud, “[Fibres formelles d’un anneau local noethérien](#)”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **3** (1970), 295–311. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fukuyama and Iwanari 2013] H. Fukuyama and I. Iwanari, “[Monoidal infinity category of complexes from Tannakian viewpoint](#)”, *Math. Ann.* **356**:2 (2013), 519–553. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gabriel 1962] P. Gabriel, “[Des catégories abéliennes](#)”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Geraschenko and Zureick-Brown 2015] A. Geraschenko and D. Zureick-Brown, “[Formal GAGA for good moduli spaces](#)”, *Algebr. Geom.* **2**:2 (2015), 214–230. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gross 2017] P. Gross, “[Tensor generators on schemes and stacks](#)”, *Algebr. Geom.* **4**:4 (2017), 501–522. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall 2014] J. Hall, “[Cohomology and base change for algebraic stacks](#)”, *Math. Z.* **278**:1-2 (2014), 401–429. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall 2017] J. Hall, “[Openness of versality via coherent functors](#)”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **722** (2017), 137–182. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall and Rydh 2014] J. Hall and D. Rydh, “[The Hilbert stack](#)”, *Adv. Math.* **253** (2014), 194–233. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall and Rydh 2015a] J. Hall and D. Rydh, “[Algebraic groups and compact generation of their derived categories of representations](#)”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **64**:6 (2015), 1903–1923. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall and Rydh 2015b] J. Hall and D. Rydh, “[General Hilbert stacks and Quot schemes](#)”, *Michigan Math. J.* **64**:2 (2015), 335–347. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall and Rydh 2016] J. Hall and D. Rydh, “[Mayer–Vietoris squares in algebraic geometry](#)”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv 1606.08517](#)
- [Hall and Rydh 2017] J. Hall and D. Rydh, “[Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks](#)”, *Compos. Math.* **153**:11 (2017), 2318–2367. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hall et al. 2018] J. Hall, A. Neeman, and D. Rydh, “[One positive and two negative results for derived categories of algebraic stacks](#)”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (online publication January 2018).
- [Halpern-Leistner and Preygel 2014] D. Halpern-Leistner and A. Preygel, “[Mapping stacks and categorical notions of properness](#)”, preprint, 2014. [arXiv 1402.3204](#)
- [Iwanari 2018] I. Iwanari, “[Tannaka duality and stable infinity-categories](#)”, *J. Topol.* **11**:2 (2018), 469–526. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kashiwara and Schapira 2006] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *[Categories and sheaves](#)*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **332**, Springer, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kollár 2012] J. Kollár, “[Quotients by finite equivalence relations](#)”, pp. 227–256 in *Current developments in algebraic geometry*, edited by L. Caporaso et al., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **59**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kresch 1999] A. Kresch, “[Cycle groups for Artin stacks](#)”, *Invent. Math.* **138**:3 (1999), 495–536. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Laumon and Moret-Bailly 2000] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *[Champs algébriques](#)*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3)* **39**, Springer, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lazard 1964] D. Lazard, “[Sur les modules plats](#)”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **258** (1964), 6313–6316. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu and Tseng 2012] Y.-H. Liu and H.-H. Tseng, “[Stacks associated to abelian tensor categories](#)”, preprint, 2012. [arXiv 1206.0076](#)
- [Lurie 2004] J. Lurie, “[Tannaka duality for geometric stacks](#)”, preprint, 2004. [arXiv math/0412266](#)
- [Mac Lane 1971] S. Mac Lane, *[Categories for the working mathematician](#)*, Graduate Texts in Math. **5**, Springer, 1971. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Matsumura 1980] H. Matsumura, *[Commutative algebra](#)*, 2nd ed., Mathematics Lecture Note Series **56**, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1980. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Matsumura 1989] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Moret-Bailly 1996] L. Moret-Bailly, “Un problème de descente”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **124**:4 (1996), 559–585. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Olsson 2005] M. C. Olsson, “On proper coverings of Artin stacks”, *Adv. Math.* **198**:1 (2005), 93–106. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Olsson 2006a] M. C. Olsson, “Deformation theory of representable morphisms of algebraic stacks”, *Math. Z.* **253**:1 (2006), 25–62. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Olsson 2006b] M. C. Olsson, “Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars”, *Duke Math. J.* **134**:1 (2006), 139–164. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Olsson 2007] M. Olsson, “Sheaves on Artin stacks”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **603** (2007), 55–112. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Raynaud 1970] M. Raynaud, *Faisceaux amples sur les schémas en groupes et les espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math. **119**, Springer, 1970. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Raynaud and Gruson 1971] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, “Critères de platitude et de projectivité: techniques de ‘platification’ d’un module”, *Invent. Math.* **13** (1971), 1–89. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ryd 2010] D. Rydh, “Submersions and effective descent of étale morphisms”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **138**:2 (2010), 181–230. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ryd 2011a] D. Rydh, “Étale dévissage, descent and pushouts of stacks”, *J. Algebra* **331** (2011), 194–223. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ryd 2011b] D. Rydh, “Representability of Hilbert schemes and Hilbert stacks of points”, *Comm. Algebra* **39**:7 (2011), 2632–2646. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ryd 2015] D. Rydh, “Noetherian approximation of algebraic spaces and stacks”, *J. Algebra* **422** (2015), 105–147. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ryd 2016] D. Rydh, “Approximation of sheaves on algebraic stacks”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016**:3 (2016), 717–737. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Savin 2006] V. Savin, “Tannaka duality on quotient stacks”, *Manuscripta Math.* **119**:3 (2006), 287–303. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schäppi 2012] D. Schäppi, “A characterization of categories of coherent sheaves of certain algebraic stacks”, 2012. To appear in *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*. [arXiv 1206.2764](#)
- [Schäppi 2015] D. Schäppi, “Constructing colimits by gluing vector bundles”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv 1505.04596](#)
- [Schäppi 2018] D. Schäppi, “Which abelian tensor categories are geometric?”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **734** (2018), 145–186. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [SGA 4<sub>3</sub> 1973] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3: Exposés IX–XIX* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963–1964), Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer, 1973. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Stacks Project] P. Belmans, A. J. de Jong, et al., “The Stacks project”, electronic reference, <https://tinyurl.com/stacksproject>.
- [Tannaka 1939] T. Tannaka, “Über den Dualitätssatz der nichtkommutativen topologischen Gruppen”, *Tohoku Math. J.* **45** (1939), 1–12. [Zbl](#)
- [Totaro 2004] B. Totaro, “The resolution property for schemes and stacks”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **577** (2004), 1–22. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wallbridge 2012] J. Wallbridge, “Tannaka duality over ring spectra”, preprint, 2012. [arXiv 1204.5787](#)
- [Wise 2016] J. Wise, “Moduli of morphisms of logarithmic schemes”, *Algebra Number Theory* **10**:4 (2016), 695–735. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Martin Olsson

Received 2017-07-05    Revised 2018-10-31    Accepted 2019-03-08

[jackhall@math.arizona.edu](mailto:jackhall@math.arizona.edu)

Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States

[dary@math.kth.se](mailto:dary@math.kth.se)

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

# Algebra & Number Theory

[msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant)

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$385/year for the electronic version, and \$590/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.


---

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13      No. 7      2019

---

Crystalline comparison isomorphisms in $p$ -adic Hodge theory: the absolutely unramified case	1509
FUCHENG TAN and JILONG TONG	
Pseudorepresentations of weight one are unramified	1583
FRANK CALEGARI and JOEL SPECTER	
On the $p$ -typical de Rham–Witt complex over $W(k)$	1597
CHRISTOPHER DAVIS	
Coherent Tannaka duality and algebraicity of Hom-stacks	1633
JACK HALL and DAVID RYDH	
Supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ distinguished by a Galois involution	1677
VINCENT SÉCHERRE	
A vanishing result for higher smooth duals	1735
CLAUS SORESENSEN	