

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13

2019

No. 8

Manin's b -constant in families

Akash Kumar Sengupta



Manin's b -constant in families

Akash Kumar Sengupta

We show that the b -constant (appearing in Manin's conjecture) is constant on very general fibers of a family of algebraic varieties. If the fibers of the family are uniruled, then we show that the b -constant is constant on general fibers.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field of k of characteristic 0 and L a big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . Let $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \subset \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the cone of pseudoeffective divisors. The Fujita invariant or the a -constant is defined as

$$a(X, L) = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid [K_X] + t[L] \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)\}.$$

The invariant $\kappa(X, L) = -a(X, L)$ was introduced and studied by Fujita [1987; 1992] under the name Kodaira energy. The a -constant was introduced in the context of Manin's conjecture in [Franke et al. 1989].

The b -constant is defined as follows [Franke et al. 1989; Batyrev and Manin 1990]:

$$b(X, L) = \text{codim of minimal supported face of } \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \text{ containing the class of } K_X + a(X, L)L.$$

For a singular variety X , the a - and b -constants of L are defined to be the a - and b -constants of π^*L on a resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a family of projective varieties and L an f -big and f -nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. By semicontinuity the a -constant of the fibers $a(X_t, L|_{X_t})$ is constant on very general fiber (see [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017, Theorem 4.3]). It follows from invariance of log plurigenera that if the fibers are uniruled then the a -constant is constant on general fibers.

In this paper we investigate the behavior of the b -constant in families and answer the questions posed in [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017]. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a projective morphism of irreducible varieties over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, such that the generic fiber is geometrically integral. Let L be an f -big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then there exists a countable union of proper closed subvarieties $Z = \bigcup_i Z_i \subsetneq T$, such that*

$$b(X_t, L|_{X_t}) = b(X_{\bar{\eta}}, L|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}})$$

MSC2010: primary 14E30; secondary 14G05.

Keywords: Manin's conjecture, minimal model program, rational points, Néron–Severi group.

for all $t \in T \setminus Z$, where $\eta \in T$ is the generic point. In particular, the b -constant is constant on very general fibers.

If the fibers of the family are uniruled, then we have the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a projective morphism of irreducible varieties over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, such that the generic fiber is geometrically integral. Let L be an f -big and f -nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Suppose a general fiber X_t is uniruled. Then there exists a proper closed subscheme $W \subsetneq T$ such that*

$$b(X_t, L|_{X_t}) = b(X_{\bar{\eta}}, L|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}})$$

for $t \in T \setminus W$ and $\eta \in T$ is the generic point. In particular, the b -constant is constant on general fibers in a family of uniruled varieties.

One can not replace the very general condition in Theorem 1.1 by just general. For example, in a family of K3-surfaces the b -constant of a fiber is the same as the Picard rank and there exist families where the Picard rank jumps on infinitely many subvarieties. Invariance of the b -constant in general fiber of a family of uniruled varieties was proved in [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017] under the assumption $\kappa(K_{\tilde{X}_t} + a(X_t, L|_{X_t})\beta^*(L|_{X_t})) = 0$ for some resolution of singularities $\beta : \tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$. Theorem 1.2 generalizes their result to get rid of this condition on fibers.

One of the motivations for studying the behavior of a - and b -constants is Manin's conjecture about asymptotic growth of rational points on Fano varieties proposed in [Franke et al. 1989; Batyrev and Manin 1990]. The following version was suggested by Peyre [2003] and later stated in [Le Rudulier 2013; Browning and Loughran 2017].

Manin's conjecture. Let X be a Fano variety defined over a number field F and $\mathcal{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|)$ a big and nef adelically metrized line bundle on X with associated height function $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then there exists a thin set $Z \subset X(F)$ such that one has

$$\#\{x \in X(F) \setminus Z \mid H_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq B\} \sim c(F, X(F) \setminus Z, \mathcal{L}) B^{a(X, L)} \log B^{b(X, L)-1}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$.

For the geometric consistency of Manin's conjecture, a necessary condition is that the a - and b -constants achieve a maximum as we vary over subvarieties of X . The behavior of the a - and b -constants in families was used in [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017] to show this necessary condition. The a - and b -constants also play a role in determining and counting the dominant components of the space $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ of morphisms from \mathbb{P}^1 to a smooth Fano variety X (see [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2019] for details).

The ideas in proving our results are as follows. To prove Theorem 1.1, we analyze the behavior of the b -constant under specialization and combine this with the constancy of the Picard rank and the a -constant in very general fibers to obtain the desired conclusion. The key step for Theorem 1.2 is to prove constancy on closed points when $k = \mathbb{C}$. We run a $(K_X + aL)$ -MMP over the base T , to obtain a relative minimal model $X \dashrightarrow X'$ where $a = a(X_t, L|_{X_t})$. We pass to a relative canonical model $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Z$ over T and

base change to $t \in T$, to obtain $\phi_t : X_t \dashrightarrow Z_t$ as the canonical model for (X_t, aL_{X_t}) . Using a version of the global invariant cycles theorem (see Lemma 2.11), we observe that $b(X_t, L_t)$ is same as the rank of the monodromy invariant subspace of $N^1(Y'_z)_{\mathbb{R}}$, where Y'_z is a general fiber of $X'_t \rightarrow Z_t$. Then using topological local triviality of algebraic morphisms we conclude that the monodromy invariant subspace has constant rank.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the preliminaries. In Section 3 and 4 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper we always work in characteristic 0.

Néron–Severi group. Let X be a smooth proper variety over a field k . The Néron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$ is defined as the quotient of the group of Weil divisors, $\text{Cl}(X)$, modulo algebraic equivalence. We denote $N^1(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\equiv$, the quotient of Cartier divisors by numerical equivalence. We denote $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and similarly $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [Néron 1952], $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a finite-dimensional vector space and its rank $\rho(X)$ is called the Picard rank. If X is a smooth projective variety, then $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark 2.1. Let X be a smooth variety over an algebraically closed field k . If $k \subset k'$ is an extension of algebraically closed fields, then the natural homomorphism $\text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_{k'})$ is an isomorphism. So the Picard rank is unchanged under base extension of algebraically closed fields.

Let $X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth proper morphism of irreducible varieties. Suppose $s, t \in T$ such that s is a specialization of t , i.e., s is in the closure of $\{t\}$. Let $X_{\bar{t}}$ denote the base change to the algebraic closure of the residue field $k(t)$.

Proposition 2.2 [Maulik and Poonen 2012, Proposition 3.6]. *In the situation above, it is possible to choose a specialization homomorphism*

$$\text{sp}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}} : \text{NS}(X_{\bar{t}}) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_{\bar{s}})$$

such that:

- (a) $\text{sp}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}}$ is injective. In particular $\rho(X_{\bar{s}}) \geq \rho(X_{\bar{t}})$.
- (b) If $\text{sp}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}}$ maps a class $[L]$ to an ample class, then L is ample.

If $\rho(X_{\bar{s}}) = \rho(X_{\bar{t}})$, then the homomorphism $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{t}})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_{\bar{s}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth projective morphism of irreducible varieties over \mathbb{C} . In Section 12 of [Kollár and Mori 1992], the local system $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ was introduced. This is a sheaf in the analytic topology defined as

$$\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)(U) = \{\text{sections of } \mathcal{N}^1(X/T) \text{ over } U \text{ with open support}\}$$

for analytic open $U \subset T$, and the functor $\mathcal{N}^1(X/T)$ is defined as $N^1(X \times_T T')$ for any $T' \rightarrow T$. It was shown in [Kollár and Mori 1992, 12.2] that $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ is a local system with finite monodromy

and $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)|_t = N^1(X_t)$ for very general $t \in T$. We can base change to a finite étale cover of $T' \rightarrow T$ so that $\mathcal{GN}^1(X'/T')$ has trivial monodromy. Then we have a natural identification of the fibers of $\mathcal{GN}^1(X'/T')$ and $N^1(X'/T')$. Therefore, for $t' \in T'$ very general, the natural map $N^1(X'/T') \rightarrow N^1(X'_{t'})$ is an isomorphism. One can prove the same results over any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, by using the Lefschetz principle.

Geometric invariants. The pseudoeffective cone $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ is the closure of the cone of effective divisor classes in $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. The interior of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ is the cone of big divisors $\text{Big}^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 2.3. Let L be a big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} divisor on X . The a -constant is

$$a(X, L) = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_X + tL \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)\}.$$

For a singular projective variety we define $a(X, L) := a(\tilde{X}, \pi^*L)$ where $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of X . It is invariant under pull-back by a birational morphism of smooth varieties and hence independent of the choice of the resolution. By [Boucksom et al. 2013] we know that $a(X, L) > 0$ if and only if X is uniruled. We note that, by flat base change, the a -constant is independent of base change to another field.

It was shown in [Birkar et al. 2010] that, if X is uniruled with klt singularities and L is ample, then $a(X, L)$ is a rational number. If L is big and not ample, then $a(X, L)$ can be irrational (see [Hassett et al. 2015, Example 6]). For a smooth projective variety X , the function $a(X, _) : \text{Big}^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function (see [Lehmann et al. 2018, Lemma 3.2]).

Definition 2.4. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow T$ of irreducible varieties is called a family of varieties if the generic fiber is geometrically integral. A family of projective varieties is a projective morphism which is a family of varieties.

We recall the following result about the a -constant in families:

Theorem 2.5 [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017; Hacon et al. 2013]. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth family of uniruled projective varieties over an algebraically closed field. Let L be an f -big and f -nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on X . Then there exists a nonempty subset $U \subset T$ such that $a(X_t, L|_{X_t})$ is constant for $t \in U$ and the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(K_{X_t} + a(X_t, L|_{X_t})L|_{X_t})$ is constant for $t \in U$.*

Definition 2.6. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k and L a big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. The b -constant is defined as

$$b(k, X, L) = \text{codim of minimal supported face of } \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \text{ containing the class of } K_X + a(X, L)L.$$

It is invariant under pullback by a birational morphism of smooth varieties [Hassett et al. 2015]. For a singular variety X we define $b(k, X, L) := b(k, \tilde{X}, \pi^*L)$, by pulling back to a resolution. By Remark 2.1, if we have an extension $k \subset k'$ of algebraically closed fields, the pull back map $\text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_{k'})$ is an isomorphism and the pseudoeffective cones are isomorphic by flat base change. Also, $K_X + a(X, L)L$ maps to $K_{X_{k'}} + a(X_{k'}, L_{k'})L_{k'}$ under this isomorphism. Therefore the b -constant is unchanged, i.e.,

$b(k', X_{k'}, L_{k'}) = b(k, X, L)$. From now on, when our base field is algebraically closed we write $b(X, L)$ instead of $b(k, X, L)$.

Minimal and canonical models. Let (X, Δ) be a klt pair, with Δ a \mathbb{R} -divisor and $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a projective morphism. A pair (X', Δ') sitting in a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X' \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow f' \\ & T & \end{array}$$

is called a \mathbb{Q} -factorial minimal model of (X, Δ) over T if:

- (1) X' is \mathbb{Q} -factorial.
- (2) f' is projective.
- (3) ϕ is a birational contraction.
- (4) $\Delta' = \phi_* \Delta$.
- (5) $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is f' -nef.
- (6) $a(E, X, \Delta) < a(E, X', \Delta')$ for all ϕ -exceptional divisors $E \subset X$. Equivalently, if for a common resolution $p : W \rightarrow X$ and $q : W \rightarrow X'$, we may write

$$p^*(K_X + \Delta) = q^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + E$$

where $E \geq 0$ is q -exceptional and the support of E contains the strict transform of the ϕ -exceptional divisors.

A canonical model over T is defined to be a projective morphism $g : Z \rightarrow T$ with a surjective morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow Z$ with connected geometric fibers from a minimal model such that $K_{X'} + \Delta' = \pi^* H$ for an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor H on Z which is ample over T .

Suppose $K_X + \Delta$ is f -pseudoeffective and Δ is f -big, then by [Birkar et al. 2010], we may run a $(K_X + \Delta)$ -MMP with scaling to obtain a \mathbb{Q} -factorial minimal model (X', Δ') over T . It follows that (X', Δ') is also klt. Then the basepoint freeness theorem implies that $(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ is f' -semiample. Hence there exists a relative canonical model $g : Z \rightarrow T$. In particular, if Δ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor, the \mathcal{O}_T -algebra

$$\mathfrak{R}(X', \Delta') = \bigoplus_m f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\lfloor m(K_{X'} + \Delta') \rfloor)$$

is finitely generated. Let $X' \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Proj}_T(\mathfrak{R}(X', \Delta'))$ be the Stein factorization of the natural morphism. Then Z is the relative canonical model over T .

The following result relates the relative MMP over a base to the MMP of the fibers (see [de Fernex and Hacon 2011, Theorem 4.1; Kollár and Mori 1992, 12.3] for related statements).

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a flat projective morphism of normal varieties. Suppose X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and D be an effective \mathbb{R} -divisor such that (X, D) is klt. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow Z$ be the contraction of a $K_X + D$ -negative extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X/T)$. Suppose for $t \in T$ very general, the restriction map $N^1(X/T) \rightarrow N^1(X_t)$ is surjective and X_t is \mathbb{Q} -factorial.*

Let $t \in T$ be very general. If $\psi_t : X_t \rightarrow Z_t$ is not an isomorphism, then it is a contraction of a $K_{X_t} + D_t$ -negative extremal ray, and:

- (a) *If ψ is of fiber type, so is ψ_t .*
- (b) *If ψ is a divisorial contraction of a divisor G , then ψ_t is a divisorial contraction of G_t and $N^1(Z/T) \rightarrow N^1(Z_t)$ is surjective.*
- (c) *If ψ is a flipping contraction and $\psi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ is the flip, then ψ_t is a flipping contraction and X_t^+ is the flip of $\psi_t : X_t \rightarrow Z_t$. Also, $N^1(X^+/T) \rightarrow N^1(X_t^+)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since the natural restriction map $N^1(X/T) \rightarrow N^1(X_t)$ is surjective for very general $t \in T$, any curve in X_t that spans a $K_X + D$ -negative extremal ray R of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X/T)$, also spans a $K_{X_t} + D_t$ negative extremal ray R_t of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X_t)$. For $t \in T$ general, the base change Z_t is normal and the morphism $X_t \rightarrow Z_t$ has connected fibers, hence $\psi_{t*}\mathcal{O}_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_t}$. Hence ψ_t is the contraction of the ray R_t for very general $t \in T$.

If ψ is of fiber type, then so is ψ_t for general $t \in T$. Let us assume that ψ is birational.

Suppose ψ is a divisorial contraction of a divisor G . Then all components of G_t are contracted. By the injectivity of $N_1(X_t) \rightarrow N_1(X/T)$, we see that ψ_t is an extremal divisorial contraction of G_t (and G_t is irreducible). Since X_t is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, we have the surjectivity of $N^1(Z/T) \rightarrow N^1(Z_t)$.

Suppose ψ is a flipping contraction and $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X^+$ is the flip. For very general $t \in T$, $X_t \rightarrow Z_t$ is a small birational contraction of the ray R_t . Also, $X_t^+ \rightarrow Z_t$ is also small birational and $K_{X_t^+} + (\phi_*D)_t$ is ψ^+ -ample for $t \in T$ general. Therefore $\phi_t : X_t \dashrightarrow X_t^+$ is the flip. The surjectivity of $N^1(X^+/T) \rightarrow N^1(X_t^+)$ follows from ψ_t being an isomorphism in codimension one. \square

The next proposition allows us to compare minimal and canonical models over a base to those of a general fiber.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth morphism. Suppose X is smooth and Δ is an f -big and f -nef \mathbb{R} -divisor such that (X, Δ) is klt. Suppose the local system $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ has trivial monodromy. Let $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be the relative minimal model obtained by running a $(K_X + \Delta)$ -MMP over T and $\pi : X' \rightarrow Z$ be the morphism to the canonical model over T . Then for a general $t \in T$:*

- (1) *The base change $\phi_t : X_t \dashrightarrow X'_t$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial minimal model of (X_t, Δ_t) .*
- (2) *Also, $\pi_t : X'_t \rightarrow Z_t$ is the canonical model of (X_t, Δ_t) .*

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ has trivial monodromy, the natural restriction morphism $N^1(X/T) \xrightarrow{\sim} N^1(X_t)$ is an isomorphism for $t \in T$ very general. Then Lemma 2.7 implies that, for very general $t \in T$, the base change $\phi_t : X_t \dashrightarrow X'_t$ is a composition of steps of the $(K_{X_t} + \Delta_t)$ -MMP. In particular, X'_t is \mathbb{Q} -factorial for a very general $t \in T$. The fibers X'_t have terminal singularities, by [Lehmann et al. 2018,

Lemma 2.4]. Hence [Kollár and Mori 1992, 12.1.10] implies that there is a nonempty open $U \subset T$ such that X'_t is \mathbb{Q} -factorial for $t \in U$. For a general $t \in T$, the conditions (2)–(6) in the definition of a minimal model follows easily. Therefore, (X'_t, Δ'_t) is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial minimal model of (X_t, Δ_t) for general $t \in T$.

(2) Let $g : Z \rightarrow T$ be the relative canonical model. Now Z is normal. Therefore, for a general $t \in T$, the base change Z_t is normal and $X'_t \rightarrow Z_t$ has geometrically connected fibers. Also, $K_{X'} + \Delta = g^*H$ where H is a π -ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on Z . By adjunction, $K_{X'_t} + \Delta'_t$ is pull-back of an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on Z_t . Hence, $X'_t \rightarrow Z_t$ is the canonical model for general $t \in T$. \square

Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety over an algebraically closed field and L a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . The following result (contained in [Lehmann et al. 2018]) gives a geometric interpretation of the b -constant.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a $K_X + a(X, L)L$ -minimal model. Then:*

- (1) $b(X, L) = b(X', \phi_*L)$.
- (2) *If $\kappa(K_X + a(X, L)L) = 0$ then $b(X, L) = \text{rk } N^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}}$.*
- (3) *If $\kappa(K_X + a(X, L)L) > 0$ and $\pi : X' \rightarrow Z$ is the morphism to the canonical model and Y' is a general fiber of π . Then*

$$b(X, L) = \text{rk } N^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}} - \text{rk } N_{\pi}^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{rk}(\text{im}(N^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(Y')_{\mathbb{R}}))$$

where $N_{\pi}^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the span of the π -vertical divisors and $N^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(Y')_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the restriction map.

Proof. Part (1) is the statement of Lemma 3.5 in [Lehmann et al. 2018]. Part (2) follows from part (1). By abundance, $K_X + a(X, L)\phi_*L$ is semiample. Then $\kappa(K_X + a(X, L)L) = 0$ implies that $K_X + a(X, L)\phi_*L \equiv 0$. Hence, $b(X, L) = b(X', \phi_*L) = \text{rk } N^1(X')_{\mathbb{R}}$. Part (3) follows from the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [Lehmann et al. 2018]. \square

In the case when the fibers are adjoint-rigid, constancy of the b -constant was proved in [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017].

Proposition 2.10 [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017, Proposition 4.4]. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth family of projective varieties. Suppose L is an f -big and f -nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume that for a general member X_t , we have $\kappa(K_{X_t} + a(X_t, L_t)L_t) = 0$. Then $b(X_t, L_t)$ is constant for general $t \in T$.*

Global invariant cycles. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of complex algebraic varieties. Then, by Verdier's generalization of Ehresmann's theorem [Verdier 1976, Corolaire 5.1], there exists a Zariski open $U \subset Z$ such that $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a topologically locally trivial fibration (in the analytic topology), i.e., every point $z \in U$ has a neighborhood $N \subset U$ in the analytic topology, such that there is a fiber preserving

homeomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & N \times F \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & N & \end{array}$$

where $F = \pi^{-1}(z)$. Consequently we have a monodromy action of $\pi_1(U, z)$ on the cohomology of the fiber $H^i(X_z, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of normal projective varieties. Note that by generic smoothness and the discussion above, given any resolution of singularities $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, we may choose a Zariski open $U \subset Z$ such that $\pi \circ \mu$ is smooth over U and $(\pi \circ \mu)^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ and $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ are topologically locally trivial fibrations.

The following result is an adaptation of Deligne's global invariant cycles theorem [1971] to the case of singular varieties, which helps us to compute the b -constant.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of normal projective varieties over \mathbb{C} where X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Let $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. Let $U \subset Z$ be a Zariski open subset such that $\pi \circ \mu$ is smooth over U and $(\pi \circ \mu)^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ and $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ are topologically locally trivial fibrations (in the analytic topology). Suppose for general $z \in U$, the fiber $X_z := \pi^{-1}(z)$ is rationally connected with rational singularities. Then*

$$\mathrm{im}(N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$$

for general $z \in U$, where $H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$ is the monodromy invariant subspace.

Proof. Let \tilde{X}_z be the fiber of $\pi \circ \mu$ over z . For $z \in U$ general, $\mu_z : \tilde{X}_z \rightarrow X_z$ is a resolution of singularities. Since X_z is rationally connected, \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence and numerical equivalence of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors coincide, i.e., $\mathrm{Pic}(X_z)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We know $h^1(\tilde{X}_z, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_z}) = h^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_z}) = 0$ since \tilde{X}_z is smooth rationally connected. We also have $h^1(X_z, \mathcal{O}_{X_z}) = h^2(X_z, \mathcal{O}_{X_z}) = 0$, because X_z has rational singularities. Therefore $H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $H^2(X_z, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Consider the natural restriction map on cohomology groups $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q})$. By Deligne's global invariant cycles theorem [1971] (or [Voisin 2003, 4.3.3]) we know that for $z \in U$,

$$\mathrm{im}(H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q})) = H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1(U, z)}.$$

and if $\alpha \in H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$ is a Hodge class then there is a Hodge class $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ restricts to α . Since $H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we see that

$$\mathrm{im}(H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{Q})) \simeq \mathrm{im}(N^1(\tilde{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

for $z \in U$. In particular

$$\mathrm{im}(N^1(\tilde{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$$

for $z \in U$.

Now the following diagram of pull-back morphisms commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow \mu^* & & \downarrow \mu_z^* \\ N^1(\tilde{X})_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}^*} & N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

Since $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\mu_z : \tilde{X}_z \rightarrow X_z$ are resolutions of singularities for general $z \in U$, the vertical morphisms are injective. Therefore

$$\mathrm{im}(i^*) \simeq \mathrm{im}(\mu_z^* \circ i^*) = \mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^* \circ \mu^*)$$

Since X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, we have $N^1(\tilde{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mu^* N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \bigoplus_j \mathbb{R} E_j$ where E_j are the μ -exceptional divisors. For $z \in U$ general, the restriction of a μ -exceptional divisor E_j to \tilde{X}_z is μ_z -exceptional. In $N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $\mathrm{im}(\mu_z^*) \cap \bigoplus_j \mathbb{R} E_j^z = 0$ where E_j^z are μ_z -exceptional. Therefore

$$\mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^* \circ \mu^*) = \mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^*) \cap \mathrm{im}(\mu_z^*).$$

Recall that we have the isomorphisms given by first Chern class $N^1(\tilde{X}_z)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{R})$ and $N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})$. We know that $\mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^*) \simeq H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$ and the monodromy actions on $H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\tilde{X}_z, \mathbb{R})$ commute with the pullback map μ_z^* . Hence

$$\mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^*) \cap \mathrm{im}(\mu_z^*) \simeq H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{im}(N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X_z)_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{im}(\tilde{i}^*) \cap \mathrm{im}(\mu_z^*) \simeq H^2(X_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U, z)}$$

for general $z \in U$. □

3. Constancy on very general fibers

Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a projective morphism and L is an f -big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor. We denote $L_{\bar{t}} := L|_{X_{\bar{t}}}$, the restriction to the geometric fiber of t .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $X \rightarrow T$ be a smooth projective family of varieties and $s, t \in T$ such that s is a specialization of t :*

- (a) $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X_{\bar{t}})$ maps into $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X_{\bar{s}})$ under the specialization morphism $\mathrm{sp}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}} : \mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X_{\bar{t}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(X_{\bar{s}})$.
- (b) Suppose $a(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}}) = a(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}})$ and $\rho(X_{\bar{t}}) = \rho(X_{\bar{s}})$. Then $b(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}}) \geq b(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}})$.

Proof. (a) Let D be an effective divisor in $\mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{t}})_{\mathbb{R}}$. We may pick a discrete valuation ring R with a morphism $\phi : \mathrm{Spec} R = \{s', t'\} \rightarrow T$ where s' and t' map to s and t respectively and t' is the generic point. By Remark 2.1 we have isomorphisms $\mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{t}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{t}'})$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{s}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{s}'})$. Therefore we may assume T is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R and t is the generic point t' . Now D is defined over a finite extension L of $k(t')$. We can replace R by a discrete valuation ring R_L with quotient field L . Then the image of D under $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{t'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}(\phi^* X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_{s'})$ is effective by semicontinuity.

After passing to the algebraic closure and taking quotient by algebraic equivalence we conclude that, $\text{sp}_{\bar{i}, \bar{s}}$ maps D to an effective divisor class.

(b) Since $\rho(X_{\bar{i}}) = \rho(X_{\bar{s}})$, we have an isomorphism $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{i}})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_{\bar{s}})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $a := a(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}}) = a(X_{\bar{i}}, L_{\bar{i}})$. Note that $\text{sp}_{\bar{i}, \bar{s}}$ maps $K_{X_{\bar{i}}} + aL_{\bar{i}}$ to $K_{X_{\bar{s}}} + aL_{\bar{s}}$. Let F be a supporting hyperplane of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{s}})$ corresponding to the minimal supporting face containing $K_{X_{\bar{s}}} + aL_{\bar{s}}$. Since $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{i}}) \subset \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{s}})$, we see that F is a supporting hyperplane of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{i}})$ containing $K_{X_{\bar{i}}} + aL_{\bar{i}}$. Therefore,

$$b(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}}) = \text{codim}(F \cap \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{s}})) \leq \text{codim}(F \cap \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{i}})) \leq b(X_{\bar{i}}, L_{\bar{i}}). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X \rightarrow T$ a smooth projective family. Let $\eta \in T$ be the generic point. We denote $a = a(X_{\bar{\eta}}, L_{\bar{\eta}})$, $n = \rho(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ and $b = b(X_{\bar{\eta}}, L_{\bar{\eta}})$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$T_m := \{t \in T \mid a(X_t, L_t) \leq a - \frac{1}{m}\}, \quad T_0 := \{t \in T \mid \rho(X_t) > n\}$$

and

$$T_{\infty} := \{t \in T \mid a(X_t, L_t) = a, \rho(X_t) = n, b(X_t, L_t) < b\}.$$

We let $Z_T := \bigcup_m T_m \cup T_{\infty} \cup T_0$. Then:

- (a) Z_T is closed under specialization.
- (b) If we base change by a morphism of schemes $g : T' \rightarrow T$, then $Z_{T'} = g^{-1}(Z_T)$.

Proof. (a) Let $t \in Z_T$ and s a specialization of t in T . If $t \in T_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then Lemma 3.1(a) implies that $K_{X_{\bar{s}}} + a(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}})L_{\bar{s}} \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X_{\bar{s}})$. Therefore, $a(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}}) \leq a(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}})$ and hence $s \in T_m$. If $t \in T_0$, then by Proposition 2.2(a), $\rho(X_{\bar{s}}) \geq \rho(X_{\bar{t}})$ and $s \in T_0$. If $t \notin T_0 \cup \bigcup_m T_m$, then $\rho(X_{\bar{t}}) = n$ and $a(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}}) = a$. Then Lemma 3.1(b) implies $b(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}}) \leq b(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}}) < b$. Therefore $s \in T_{\infty}$ and Z_T is closed under specialization.

(b) This follows from the fact that the Picard number and a - and b -constants are invariant under algebraically closed base extension. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By passing to a resolution of singularities and using generic smoothness, we may exclude a closed subset of T to assume the family $f : X \rightarrow T$ is smooth and T is affine. Since our base field k is algebraically closed, we may find a subfield $k' \subset k$ which is the algebraic closure of a field finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} , and there exists a finitely generated k' -algebra A such that our family $X \rightarrow T$ and L are a base change of a family $X_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ and a line bundle L_A on X_A . Now $B = \text{Spec } A$ is countable and hence $Z_B = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{\bar{b}\}$ is a countable union of closed subsets by Lemma 3.2(a). Now Lemma 3.2(b) implies that Z_T is a countable union of closed subsets. \square

4. Family of uniruled varieties

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a projective family of uniruled varieties over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 and L an f -nef and f -big \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor.

By a standard argument using the Lefschetz principle, it is enough to prove the statement for $k = \mathbb{C}$. We will henceforth assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

We can reduce to the statement for closed points only, as follows. Let us assume that there is an open $U \subset T$ such that $b(X_t, L_t) = b$ is constant for all closed points $t \in U$. Let $s \in U$ and $Z = \overline{\{s\}} \cap U$. By applying Theorem 1.1 to the family over Z , we may find $F = \bigcup_i F_i \subset Z$ a countable union of closed subvarieties such that $b(X_{\bar{t}}, L_{\bar{t}})$ is constant on $Z \setminus F$. Since \mathbb{C} is uncountable, there exists a closed point $t \in Z \setminus F$. Now $s \in Z \setminus F$, since s is the generic point of Z . Therefore, $b(X_{\bar{s}}, L_{\bar{s}}) = b(X_t, L_t) = b$. Since $s \in U$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $b(X_t, L_t) = b$ for all $t \in U$. Therefore it is enough to prove the statement for closed points.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for closed points when $k = \mathbb{C}$. We may replace X by a resolution, and by generic smoothness, we may exclude a closed subset of the base to assume that $f : X \rightarrow T$ is a smooth family. By Theorem 2.5, we can shrink T such that $a(X_t, L_t) = a$ for all $t \in T$ and $\kappa(K_{X_t} + aL_t)$ is independent of t . We may assume that T is affine. Since L is f -big and f -nef, we can replace L by a \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent divisor to assume that (X, aL) is klt.

Since the local system $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ has finite monodromy, we can base change to a finite étale cover of T to assume that $\mathcal{GN}^1(X/T)$ has trivial monodromy.

If $\kappa(K_{X_t} + aL_t) = 0$ then we can conclude by Proposition 2.10. Let us assume that $\kappa(K_{X_t} + aL_t) = k > 0$ for all $t \in T$.

Since $K_X + aL$ is f -pseudoeffective and aL is f -big, we may run a $(K_X + aL)$ -MMP over T to obtain a relative minimal model $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow Z$ be the morphism to the relative canonical model over T . By Proposition 2.8, we may replace T by an open subset to assume that the base change $\phi_t : X_t \dashrightarrow X'_t$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial minimal model and $\pi_t : X'_t \rightarrow Z_t$ is the canonical model for (X_t, aL_t) for all $t \in T$.

For $z \in Z$, we denote the image of z in T by t and let X'_z denote the fiber of $\pi : X' \rightarrow Z$ over z .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X'_z & \longrightarrow & X'_t & \longrightarrow & X' & & \\
 & \searrow & & \searrow \pi_t & & \searrow \pi & \\
 & & \text{Spec } k(z) & \longrightarrow & Z_t & \longrightarrow & Z \\
 & & & & \downarrow g_t & & \downarrow g \\
 & & & & \text{Spec } k(t) & \longrightarrow & T
 \end{array}$$

Let $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ be a resolution of singularities. We may replace T by an open subset to assume that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow T$ is smooth. Let \tilde{X}_z be the fiber of $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ over $z \in Z$. By [Verdier 1976, Corrolaire 5.1] we can find a Zariski open $U_Z \subset Z$ such that $\tilde{\pi}$ is smooth over U_Z and $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_Z) \rightarrow U_Z$ and $\pi^{-1}(U_Z) \rightarrow U_Z$ both are topologically locally trivial fibrations (in the analytic topology). Again we may replace T by a Zariski open $V \subset T$ to assume that $U_Z \rightarrow T$ is a topologically locally trivial fibration (in the analytic topology). Let $U_t \subset Z_t$ denote the fiber of U_Z over $t \in T$.

For all $z \in U_Z$, there is a monodromy action of $\pi_1(U_t, z)$ on $H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{Z})$ acting by an integral matrix M_z on the free part. Now for any two points z and z' in U_Z , the fundamental groups $\pi_1(U_t, z)$ and $\pi_1(U_t, z')$ are isomorphic, since $U_Z \rightarrow T$ is a locally trivial fibration. Also, the cohomology groups $H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X'_{z'}, \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic, because $\pi^{-1}(U_Z) \rightarrow U_Z$ is a locally trivial fibration. Since the monodromy actions depend continuously on $z \in U_Z$, we see that M_z is constant. Therefore the monodromy invariant subspaces have constant rank, i.e., $\text{rk } H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U_t, z)}$ is constant for all $z \in U_Z$.

By [Hacon and McKernan 2007] we know that a general fiber X'_z is rationally connected and has terminal singularities. Since X'_t is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, Lemma 2.11 implies that

$$\text{rk}(\text{im}(N^1(X'_t)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X'_z)_{\mathbb{R}})) = \text{rk } H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U_t, z)}.$$

for general $z \in U_t$. Now using Proposition 2.9(3) we have

$$b(X_t, L_t) = \text{rk } H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U_t, z)}$$

for general $z \in U_Z$. Since $\text{rk } H^2(X'_z, \mathbb{R})^{\pi_1(U_t, z)}$ is constant for $z \in U_Z$, we may conclude that $b(X_t, L_t)$ is constant for general $t \in T$.

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my advisor Professor János Kollár for fruitful discussions and his constant support and encouragement. I am very thankful to Professor Brian Lehmann and Professor Sho Tanimoto for useful comments on a preliminary version of the paper. I would like to thank Sebastián Olano and Ziquan Zhuang for interesting conversations. I would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper and for useful comments. Partial financial support was provided by the NSF under grant number DMS-1502236.

References

- [Batyrev and Manin 1990] V. V. Batyrev and Y. I. Manin, “Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné [sic] des variétés algébriques”, *Math. Ann.* **286**:1-3 (1990), 27–43. MR Zbl
- [Birkar et al. 2010] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**:2 (2010), 405–468. MR Zbl
- [Boucksom et al. 2013] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Păun, and T. Peternell, “The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **22**:2 (2013), 201–248. MR Zbl
- [Browning and Loughran 2017] T. D. Browning and D. Loughran, “Varieties with too many rational points”, *Math. Z.* **285**:3-4 (2017), 1249–1267. MR Zbl
- [Deligne 1971] P. Deligne, “Théorie de Hodge, II”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **40** (1971), 5–57. MR Zbl
- [de Fernex and Hacon 2011] T. de Fernex and C. D. Hacon, “Deformations of canonical pairs and Fano varieties”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **651** (2011), 97–126. MR Zbl
- [Franke et al. 1989] J. Franke, Y. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel, “Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **95**:2 (1989), 421–435. MR Zbl
- [Fujita 1987] T. Fujita, “On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive”, pp. 167–178 in *Algebraic geometry* (Sendai, Japan, 1985), edited by T. Oda, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987. MR Zbl

- [Fujita 1992] T. Fujita, “On Kodaira energy and adjoint reduction of polarized manifolds”, *Manuscripta Math.* **76**:1 (1992), 59–84. MR Zbl
- [Hacon and McKernan 2007] C. D. Hacon and J. McKernan, “On Shokurov’s rational connectedness conjecture”, *Duke Math. J.* **138**:1 (2007), 119–136. MR Zbl
- [Hacon et al. 2013] C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, and C. Xu, “On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **177**:3 (2013), 1077–1111. MR Zbl
- [Hassett et al. 2015] B. Hassett, S. Tanimoto, and Y. Tschinkel, “Balanced line bundles and equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015**:15 (2015), 6375–6410. MR Zbl
- [Kollár and Mori 1992] J. Kollár and S. Mori, “Classification of three-dimensional flips”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5**:3 (1992), 533–703. MR Zbl
- [Le Rudulier 2013] C. Le Rudulier, “Points algébriques de hauteur bornée sur une surface”, preprint, 2013, Available at <http://cecile.lerudulier.fr/Articles/surfaces.pdf>.
- [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2017] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto, “On the geometry of thin exceptional sets in Manin’s conjecture”, *Duke Math. J.* **166**:15 (2017), 2815–2869. MR Zbl
- [Lehmann and Tanimoto 2019] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto, “Geometric Manin’s conjecture and rational curves”, *Compos. Math.* **155**:5 (2019), 833–862. MR Zbl
- [Lehmann et al. 2018] B. Lehmann, S. Tanimoto, and Y. Tschinkel, “Balanced line bundles on Fano varieties”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **743** (2018), 91–131. MR Zbl
- [Maulik and Poonen 2012] D. Maulik and B. Poonen, “Néron–Severi groups under specialization”, *Duke Math. J.* **161**:11 (2012), 2167–2206. MR Zbl
- [Néron 1952] A. Néron, “Problèmes arithmétiques et géométriques rattachés à la notion de rang d’une courbe algébrique dans un corps”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **80** (1952), 101–166. MR Zbl
- [Peyre 2003] E. Peyre, “Points de hauteur bornée, topologie adélique et mesures de Tamagawa”, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **15**:1 (2003), 319–349. MR Zbl
- [Verdier 1976] J.-L. Verdier, “Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini–Sard”, *Invent. Math.* **36** (1976), 295–312. MR Zbl
- [Voisin 2003] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry, II*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **77**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003. MR Zbl

Communicated by Shigefumi Mori

Received 2018-08-21 Revised 2019-01-20 Accepted 2019-03-10

akashs@math.princeton.edu

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$385/year for the electronic version, and \$590/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13 No. 8 2019

Moduli of stable maps in genus one and logarithmic geometry, II DHRUV RANGANATHAN, KELI SANTOS-PARKER and JONATHAN WISE	1765
Multiplicity one for wildly ramified representations DANIEL LE	1807
Theta operators on unitary Shimura varieties EHUD DE SHALIT and EYAL Z. GOREN	1829
Infinitely generated symbolic Rees algebras over finite fields AKIYOSHI SANNAI and HIROMU TANAKA	1879
Manin's b -constant in families AKASH KUMAR SENGUPTA	1893
Equidimensional adic eigenvarieties for groups with discrete series DANIEL R. GULOTTA	1907
Cohomological and numerical dynamical degrees on abelian varieties FEI HU	1941
A comparison between pro- p Iwahori–Hecke modules and mod p representations NORIYUKI ABE	1959



1937-0652(2019)13:8;1-5