

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 14
2020
No. 6

**The Hilbert scheme of hyperelliptic Jacobians
and moduli of Picard sheaves**

Andrea T. Ricolfi



The Hilbert scheme of hyperelliptic Jacobians and moduli of Picard sheaves

Andrea T. Ricolfi

Let C be a hyperelliptic curve embedded in its Jacobian J via an Abel–Jacobi map. We compute the scheme structure of the Hilbert scheme component of Hilb_J containing the Abel–Jacobi embedding as a point. We relate the result to the ramification (and to the fibres) of the Torelli morphism $\mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ along the hyperelliptic locus. As an application, we determine the scheme structure of the moduli space of Picard sheaves (introduced by Mukai) on a hyperelliptic Jacobian.

Introduction	1381
1. Ramification of Torelli and the Hilbert scheme	1383
2. Moduli spaces with level structures	1386
3. Proof of the main theorem	1389
4. An application to moduli spaces of Picard sheaves	1393
Acknowledgements	1396
References	1396

Introduction

Main result. In this paper we study the deformation theory of a smooth *hyperelliptic* curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, embedded in its Jacobian $J = (\text{Pic}^0 C, \Theta_C)$ via an Abel–Jacobi map

$$\text{aj}: C \hookrightarrow J.$$

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic different from 2. Our aim is to compute the scheme structure of the Hilbert scheme component

$$\text{Hilb}_{C/J} \subset \text{Hilb}_J$$

containing the point defined by aj . It is well-known that the embedded deformations of C into J are parametrised by translations of C , and that they are *obstructed* as long as $g \geq 3$ (see the next section for more details). In other words $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is *singular*, with reduced underlying variety isomorphic to J . The tangent space dimension to the Hilbert scheme has been computed in [Lange and Sernesi 2004; Griffiths 1967]. The result is

$$\dim_k H^0(C, N_C) = 2g - 2.$$

MSC2010: primary 14C05; secondary 14H40, 14K10.

Keywords: Jacobian, Torelli morphism, Hilbert schemes, Picard sheaves, Fourier–Mukai transform.

Therefore, as $\dim J = g$, the nonreduced structure of $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ along J is accounted for (up to first order) by $g - 2$ extra tangents. By homogeneity of the Jacobian, it is natural to expect a decomposition

$$\text{Hilb}_{C/J} = J \times R_g$$

for some artinian scheme R_g with embedding dimension $g - 2$. As we shall see, this is precisely what happens, and R_g turns out to be the “smallest” (in the sense of Lemma 3.3) artinian scheme with the required embedding dimension. More precisely, let

$$R_g = \text{Spec } k[s_1, \dots, s_{g-2}]/\mathfrak{m}^2, \quad (0-1)$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = (s_1, \dots, s_{g-2})$ is the maximal ideal of the origin. The main result of this paper (proved in Theorem 3.6 in the main body) is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over a field k of characteristic different from 2, and let J be its Jacobian. Then there is an isomorphism of k -schemes*

$$\text{Hilb}_{C/J} \cong J \times R_g,$$

where R_g is the artinian scheme (0-1).

Interpretation. Let \mathcal{M}_g be the moduli stack of smooth curves of genus g , and let \mathcal{A}_g be the moduli stack of principally polarised abelian varieties of dimension g . The Torelli morphism

$$\tau_g: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$$

sends a curve C to its Jacobian $J = \text{Pic}^0 C$, principally polarised by the Theta divisor Θ_C . One can interpret the artinian scheme R_g as the fibre of τ_g over a hyperelliptic point $[J, \Theta_C] \in \mathcal{A}_g$. This makes explicit the link between the *ramification* of τ_g along the hyperelliptic locus (in other words, the failure of the infinitesimal Torelli property) and the singularities of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ (in other words, the *obstructions* to deform C in J). We come back to this in Section 3B.

Moduli of Picard sheaves. As an application of our result, in Section 4 we compute the scheme structure of certain moduli spaces of *Picard sheaves* on a hyperelliptic Jacobian J . Mukai introduced these spaces as an application of his Fourier transform; he completed their study in the nonhyperelliptic case [Mukai 1981; 1987], leaving open the hyperelliptic one.

Let F be the Fourier–Mukai transform of a line bundle $\xi = \mathcal{O}_C(dp_0)$, where $p_0 \in C$ and we assume $1 \leq d \leq g - 1$ to ensure that F is a simple sheaf on J . Let $M(F)$ be the connected component of the moduli space of simple sheaves containing the point $[F]$. Mukai proved that $M(F)_{\text{red}} = \hat{J} \times J$, the isomorphism being given by the family of twists and translations of F [Mukai 1987, Example 1.15]. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1, we prove the following (see Theorem 4.2 in the main body of the text).

Theorem 2. *There is an isomorphism of k -schemes*

$$M(F) \cong \hat{J} \times J \times R_g.$$

Enumerative geometry of abelian varieties. A motivation for understanding the *scheme structure* of classical moduli spaces such as the Hilbert scheme (Theorem 1) and the moduli space of Picard sheaves (Theorem 2) comes from the subject of enumerative geometry of abelian varieties.

For instance, the Hilbert scheme of curves (in a 3-fold) is the main player in Donaldson–Thomas theory — see, for instance, [Bryan et al. 2018] for an exhaustive treatment (including several interesting conjectures) of the Enumerative Geometry of curves on abelian surfaces and 3-folds. Understanding the scheme structure (or even the closed points!) of the Hilbert scheme of curves on a 3-fold is very often a hopeless problem. Of course, Donaldson–Thomas theory has developed several sophisticated tools to deal with the lack of an explicit description of the Hilbert scheme; however, this paper shows that, at least for an arbitrary *Abel–Jacobi curve*, the Hilbert scheme can be described completely. Thus an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 is the explicit description of the Donaldson–Thomas theory of an Abel–Jacobi curve; see Section 3C.

On the other hand, it is conceivable that the theory of *Picard sheaves*, arising as a direct application of the Fourier–Mukai transform, could be exploited to aim for a deeper understanding of the intersection theory and cohomology of Jacobians, and possibly their compactifications. Having at one’s disposal global results such as Theorem 2 might allow one to treat the *whole* moduli space (the universal Jacobian over the moduli space of curves) at once in developing a theory of *tautological rings* for (possibly compactified, universal) Jacobians, by combining Fourier–Mukai techniques with suitable analogues of the intersection theoretic calculations carried out in [Pagani et al. 2018].

Conventions. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$. All curves are smooth and proper over k , they are (geometrically) connected, and their Jacobians are principally polarised by the Theta divisor.

1. Ramification of Torelli and the Hilbert scheme

In this section we provide the framework for where the problem tackled in this paper naturally lives in.

1A. Deformations of Abel–Jacobi curves. The following theorem was proved in the stated form by Lange and Sernesi, but see also the work of Griffiths [1967].

Theorem 1.1 [Lange and Sernesi 2004, Theorem 1.2]. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 3$:*

- (i) *If C is nonhyperelliptic, then $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is smooth of dimension g .*
- (ii) *If C is hyperelliptic, then $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is irreducible of dimension g and everywhere nonreduced, with Zariski tangent space of dimension $2g - 2$.*

In both cases, the only deformations of C in J are translations.

The statement of Theorem 1.1 is proved over \mathbb{C} in [Lange and Sernesi 2004], but it holds over algebraically closed fields k of arbitrary characteristic. To see this, we need Collino’s extension of the Ran–Matsusaka criterion for Jacobians to an arbitrary field, which we state here for completeness.

Theorem 1.2 [Collino 1984]. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension g over an algebraically closed field k . Let D be an effective 1-cycle generating X and let $\Theta \subset X$ be an ample divisor such that $D \cdot \Theta = g$. Then (X, Θ, D) is a Jacobian triple.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $C \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be a smooth curve of genus g and fix an Abel–Jacobi map $C \hookrightarrow J$. Consider the normal bundle exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_C \rightarrow T_J|_C \rightarrow N_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we have a canonical identification $T_J|_C = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C$, the induced cohomology sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, N_C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(C, T_C) \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^{\otimes 2}. \tag{1-1}$$

Since $H^0(C, N_C)$ is the tangent space to the Hilbert scheme, and $\dim_k H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = g$, it is clear that $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is smooth of dimension g if and only if $\partial = 0$, if and only if σ is injective. The map σ factors through the subspace $\text{Sym}^2 H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$, and its dual is the multiplication map

$$\mu_C: \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C^2),$$

where K_C is the canonical line bundle of C . For a modern, fully detailed proof of the identification $\sigma^\vee = \mu_C$, we refer the reader to [Landesman 2019, Theorem 4.3]. By a theorem of Max Noether [Arbarello et al. 1985, Chapter III, Section 2], the map μ_C is surjective if and only if C is nonhyperelliptic (see also [Griffiths 1967; Andreotti 1958] for different proofs). If C is hyperelliptic, the quotient $H^0(C, N_C)/H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = \text{Im } \partial$ has dimension $g - 2$, as shown directly in [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Section 2] by choosing appropriate bases of differentials. This proves part (i) of Theorem 1.1, along with the count $h^0(C, N_C) = 2g - 2$ (and the nonreducedness statement) of part (ii). So in the nonhyperelliptic case, $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is smooth of dimension g .

To finish the proof of part (ii), suppose C is hyperelliptic, and let $D \subset J$ be a closed 1-dimensional k -subscheme defining a point of $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$. Then D is represented by the *minimal cohomology class*

$$\frac{\Theta_C^{g-1}}{(g-1)!}$$

on J . This implies at once that D generates J , and that $D \cdot \Theta_C = g$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, $(\text{Pic}^0 D, \Theta_D)$ and (J, Θ_C) are isomorphic as principally polarised abelian varieties. By Torelli’s theorem, this implies (using also that C is hyperelliptic) that D is a translate of C . Thus $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is irreducible of dimension g , and its k -points coincide with those of J . The result follows. \square

Corollary 1.3. *Let J be the Jacobian of a nonhyperelliptic curve C . Then the family of translations of C inside J induces an isomorphism*

$$J \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}_{C/J}.$$

Proof. The natural morphism $h: J \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is proper (since J is proper and the Hilbert scheme is proper, hence separated), injective on points and tangent spaces — since the tangent map at $0 \in J$ is the map $dh: H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, N_C)$ in the sequence (1-1). Thus h is a closed immersion, in particular it is unramified. However, the proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that $h: J \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is bijective and, since C is nonhyperelliptic, dh is an isomorphism. Thus h is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 1.4. If C is a generic complex curve of genus at least 3, its 1-cycle on J is not algebraically equivalent to the cycle of $-C$ by a famous theorem of Ceresa [1983]. Here $-C$ is the image of C under the automorphism $-1: J \rightarrow J$. Therefore the Hilbert scheme Hilb_J contains another component $\text{Hilb}_{-C/J}$, disjoint from $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ and still isomorphic to J .

1B. Torelli problems. Consider the Torelli morphism

$$\tau_g: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$$

from the stack of nonsingular curves of genus g to the stack of principally polarised abelian varieties, sending a curve to its (canonically polarised) Jacobian. The *infinitesimal Torelli problem* asks whether the Torelli morphism is an immersion. It is well-known that τ_g is ramified along the hyperelliptic locus; this is again Noether’s theorem, stating that μ_C , the *codifferential* of τ_g at $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$, is not surjective. So, even though τ_g is injective on geometric points by Torelli’s theorem, it is not an immersion.

To sum up, we have the following. Let C be an arbitrary smooth curve of genus $g \geq 3$, and let J be its Jacobian. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) C is hyperelliptic.
- (ii) $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is singular at $[aj: C \hookrightarrow J]$.
- (iii) The embedded deformations of C into J are obstructed.
- (iv) $\tau_g: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is ramified at $[C]$.
- (v) Infinitesimal Torelli fails at C .

The *local Torelli problem* for curves, studied by Oort and Steenbrink [1980], asks whether the morphism

$$t_g: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$$

between the coarse moduli spaces is an immersion. These schemes do not represent the corresponding moduli functors, so the local structure of t_g is not (directly) linked with deformation theory of curves and their Jacobians. However, introducing suitable level structures, one replaces the normal varieties \mathcal{M}_g and \mathcal{A}_g with smooth varieties

$$M_g^{(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad A_g^{(n)}$$

that are *fine* moduli spaces for the corresponding moduli problem, and are étale over \mathcal{M}_g and \mathcal{A}_g , respectively.

Let $p \geq 0$ be the characteristic of the base field. Oort and Steenbrink show that t_g is an immersion if $p = 0$. The answer to the local Torelli problem is also affirmative if $p > 2$, at almost all points of M_g . More precisely, t_g is an immersion at those points in M_g representing curves C such that $\text{Aut } C$ has no elements of order p [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Corollary 3.2]. Finally, t_g is *not* an immersion if $p = 2$ and $g \geq 5$ [loc. cit., Corollary 5.3].

2. Moduli spaces with level structures

In this section we introduce the moduli spaces of curves and abelian varieties we will be working with throughout.

2A. Level structures. Let S be a scheme. An abelian scheme over S is a group scheme $X \rightarrow S$ which is smooth and proper and has geometrically connected fibres. We let $\hat{X} \rightarrow S$ denote the dual abelian scheme. A polarisation on $X \rightarrow S$ is an S -morphism $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ such that its restriction to every geometric point $s \in S$ is of the form

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}}: X_s \rightarrow \hat{X}_s, \quad x \mapsto t_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee,$$

for some ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X_s . Here and in what follows, t_x is the translation $y \mapsto x + y$ by the element $x \in X_s$. We say λ is *principal* if it is an isomorphism.

Fix an integer $n > 0$ and an abelian scheme $X \rightarrow S$ of relative dimension g . Multiplication by n is an S -morphism of group schemes

$$[n]: X \rightarrow X,$$

and we denote its kernel by X_n . Assuming n is not divisible by p , we have that X_n is an étale group scheme over S , locally isomorphic in the étale topology to the constant group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. One has $\hat{X}_n = X_n^D$, where the superscript D denotes the Cartier dual of a finite group scheme. Then any principal polarisation λ on X induces a skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$E_n: X_n \times_S X_n \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \lambda} X_n \times_S X_n^D \xrightarrow{e_n} \mu_n,$$

where e_n is the Weil pairing. The group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is Cartier dual to μ_n . We endow $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^g \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_n^g$ with the standard symplectic structure, given by the $2g \times 2g$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_g \\ -\mathbb{1}_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 2.1 [Oort and Steenbrink 1980]. A (symplectic) level- n structure on a principally polarised abelian scheme $(X/S, \lambda)$ is a symplectic isomorphism

$$\alpha: (X_n, E_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}.$$

A level- n structure on a smooth proper curve $C \rightarrow S$ is a level structure on its Jacobian $\text{Pic}^0(C/S) \rightarrow S$.

Curves with level structure are represented by pairs (C, α) . We consider (C, α) and (C', α') as being isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $u: C \xrightarrow{\sim} C'$ such that the induced isomorphism $J(u): J' \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ between the Jacobians takes α' to α . An isomorphism between (X, λ, α) and (X', λ', α') is an isomorphism $(X', \lambda') \xrightarrow{\sim} (X, \lambda)$ of principally polarised abelian schemes, taking α' to α .

Remark 2.2. If C is a curve of genus $g \geq 3$ with trivial automorphism group, and α is a level structure on C , then (C, α) is not isomorphic to $(C, -\alpha)$. On the other hand, if J denotes the Jacobian of C , one has that (J, Θ_C, α) and $(J, \Theta_C, -\alpha)$ are isomorphic, because the automorphism $-1: J \rightarrow J$, defined globally on J , identifies the two pairs.

2A1. Choice of level. As indicated by Theorem 2.3 below, moduli spaces of curves and abelian varieties with level structure are well behaved when the condition $(p, n) = 1$ is met. For later purposes, we need to strengthen the condition $(p, n) = 1$. Note that $p = \text{char } k$ is fixed, as well as the genus g . However, we are free to choose $n \geq 3$, and the condition we require is that the order of the symplectic group

$$|\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})| = n^{g^2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g (n^{2i} - 1)$$

is not divisible by p . In particular, this implies $(p, n) = 1$. From now on,

$$n \text{ is fixed in such a way that } p \text{ does not divide } |\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|. \tag{2-1}$$

This condition implies that the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts freely and transitively on the set of symplectic level- n structures on a smooth curve defined over k . This will be used in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

2B. Moduli spaces. Let $\mathcal{M}_g^{(n)}$ be the functor $\text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sending a k -scheme S to the set of S -isomorphism classes of curves of genus g with level- n structure. Similarly, let $\mathcal{A}_g^{(n)}$ be the functor sending S to the set of S -isomorphism classes of principally polarised abelian schemes of relative dimension g over S equipped with a level- n structure.

Theorem 2.3. *If $n \geq 3$ and $(p, n) = 1$, the functors $\mathcal{M}_g^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_g^{(n)}$ are represented by smooth quasiprojective varieties $M_g^{(n)}$ and $A_g^{(n)}$ of dimensions $3g - 3$ and $g(g + 1)/2$ respectively.*

Proof. For the statement about $\mathcal{M}_g^{(n)}$ we refer to [Popp 1977], whereas the one about $\mathcal{A}_g^{(n)}$ is [Mumford 1965, Theorem 7.9]. □

Consider the morphism

$$j_n: M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow A_g^{(n)} \tag{2-2}$$

sending a curve with level structure to its Jacobian, as usual principally polarised by the Theta divisor. The map j_n is generically of degree two onto its image, essentially because of Remark 2.2. To link it back to $t_g: M_g \rightarrow A_g$, Oort and Steenbrink form the geometric quotient

$$V^{(n)} = M_g^{(n)} / \Sigma,$$

where

$$\Sigma : M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow M_g^{(n)} \tag{2-3}$$

is the involution sending $[D, \beta] \mapsto [D, -\beta]$. Note that Σ is the identity if $g \leq 2$. The map j_n factors through a morphism

$$\iota : V^{(n)} \rightarrow A_g^{(n)},$$

which turns out to be injective on geometric points [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Lemma 1.11]. In fact, we need the following stronger statement:

Theorem 2.4 [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Theorem 3.1]. *If $g \geq 2$ and $\text{char } k \neq 2$ then ι is an immersion.*

Oort and Steenbrink use this result crucially to solve the local Torelli problem as we recalled in Section 1B. For us, it is not important to have the statement of local Torelli (which strictly speaking only holds globally in characteristic 0); all we need in our argument is Theorem 2.4, which is why we require the base field k to have characteristic $p \neq 2$.

The following result was proven in [Deligne and Mumford 1969, Proposition 5.8] in greater generality. We give a short proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.5. *The maps $\varphi : M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ and $\psi : A_g^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ forgetting the level structure are étale.*

Proof. We start by showing that φ is flat. Choose an atlas for \mathcal{M}_g , that is, an étale surjective map $a : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ from a scheme. Form the fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{b} & M_g^{(n)} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \varphi \\ U & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathcal{M}_g \end{array}$$

and pick a point $u \in U$, with image $y = a(u) \in \mathcal{M}_g$. The fibre $V_u \subset V$ is contained in $b^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(y)$, which is étale over $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ because b is étale. In particular, since $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is finite, the same is true for V_u . Therefore $V \rightarrow U$ is a map of smooth varieties with fibres of the same dimension (zero); by “miracle flatness” [EGA IV₃ 1966, Proposition 15.4.2], it is flat; therefore φ is flat. On the other hand, the geometric fibres of φ are the symplectic groups $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and they are reduced by our choice of n (see (2-1) in Section 2A1). Hence φ is smooth of relative dimension zero, that is, étale. The same argument applies to the map ψ , with the symplectic group replaced by $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1$. □

Remark 2.6. The maps $M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow M_g$ and $A_g^{(n)} \rightarrow A_g$ down to the coarse moduli schemes are still finite Galois covers, but they are not étale.

By Lemma 2.5, we can identify the tangent space to a point $[C, \alpha] \in M_g^{(n)}$ with the tangent space to its image $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ under φ , and similarly on the abelian variety side. Moreover, the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_g^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{j_n} & A_g^{(n)} \\
 \varphi \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \psi \\
 \mathcal{M}_g & \xrightarrow{\tau_g} & \mathcal{A}_g
 \end{array} \tag{2-4}$$

allows us to identify the map

$$\sigma : H^1(C, T_C) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C),$$

already appeared in (1-1), with the tangent map of j_n at a point $[C, \alpha]$. As we already mentioned, in [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Section 2] it is shown that if C is hyperelliptic the kernel of σ has dimension $g - 2$.

3. Proof of the main theorem

3A. Proof of Theorem 1. Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$ and let J be its Jacobian. Fix an Abel–Jacobi embedding $C \hookrightarrow J$ and let

$$H := \text{Hilb}_{C/J}$$

be the Hilbert scheme component containing such embedding as a point. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Z} & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & H \times J \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \\
 H & &
 \end{array}$$

be the universal family over the Hilbert scheme.

Lemma 3.1. *The restriction morphism*

$$\iota^* : \text{Pic}^0(H \times J/H) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{Z}/H)$$

is an isomorphism of abelian schemes over H .

Proof. We use the *critère de platitude par fibres* [EGA IV₃ 1966, Théorème 11.3.10] in the following special case: suppose given a scheme S and an S -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (a) X/S is finitely presented and flat,
- (b) Y/S is locally of finite type, and
- (c) $f_s : X_s \rightarrow Y_s$ is flat for each $s \in S$. Then f is flat.

Applying this to $(S, f) = (H, \iota^*)$, we conclude that ι^* is flat. But $\text{Pic}^0(H \times J/H)$ is isomorphic, over H , to the constant abelian scheme $H \times J$, and ι^* is an isomorphism on each fibre over H . Therefore it is a flat, unramified and bijective morphism, hence an isomorphism. □

Let α be a fixed level- n structure on J , with $n \geq 3$ chosen as in Section 2A1. Form the constant level structure α_H on the abelian scheme $H \times J \rightarrow H$. Transferring the level structure α_H from $H \times J$ to $\text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{Z}/H)$ using the isomorphism ι^* of Lemma 3.1, we can now regard $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H$ as a family of Abel–Jacobi curves with level- n structure. Since $M_g^{(n)}$ is a *fine* moduli space for these objects, we obtain a morphism

$$f: H \rightarrow M_g^{(n)}. \tag{3-1}$$

Note that the topological image of f is just the point $x \in M_g^{(n)}$ corresponding to $[C, \alpha]$. The tangent map df at the point $[C] \in H$ is the connecting homomorphism

$$\partial: H^0(C, N_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C),$$

already appeared in (1-1).

Our next goal is to view the Hilbert scheme H over a suitable artinian scheme R_g . Recall the Torelli type morphism j_n introduced in (2-2). We define

$$R_g \subset M_g^{(n)}$$

to be the scheme-theoretic fibre of j_n over the moduli point $[J, \alpha] \in A_g^{(n)}$. Let $y \in V^{(n)}$ be the image of the point $x = [C, \alpha]$ under the quotient map

$$M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow V^{(n)} = M_g^{(n)} / \Sigma,$$

where Σ is the involution first appeared in (2-3). During the proof of [Oort and Steenbrink 1980, Corollary 3.2] it is shown that one can choose local coordinates t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3} around x such that $\Sigma^*t_i = t_i$ if $i = 1, \dots, 2g - 1$ and $\Sigma^*t_i = -t_i$ if $i = 2g, \dots, 3g - 3$. Oort–Steenbrink deduce that

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_y = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x^\Sigma = k[[t_1, \dots, t_{2g-1}, t_{2g}^2, t_{2g}t_{2g+1}, \dots, t_{3g-3}^2]]. \tag{3-2}$$

Since we have a factorisation

$$j_n: M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow V^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\iota} A_g^{(n)}$$

where ι is an *immersion* by Theorem 2.4, we deduce from (3-2) that

$$R_g = \text{Spec } k[s_1, \dots, s_{g-2}]/\mathfrak{m}^2,$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = (s_1, \dots, s_{g-2}) \subset k[s_1, \dots, s_{g-2}]$. For instance, R_3 is the scheme of dual numbers $k[s]/s^2$, and if $g = 4$ we get the triple point $k[s, t]/(s^2, st, t^2)$.

Recall the cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, N_C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(C, T_C) \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^{\otimes 2}, \tag{3-3}$$

where σ factors through $\text{Sym}^2 H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$, the tangent space of \mathcal{A}_g at $[J, \Theta_C]$. Since C is hyperelliptic, the image of ∂ has dimension $g - 2 > 0$. In other words, the differential $\partial = df$, where f was defined

in (3-1), does not vanish at the point $[C] \in H$. Thus f is not scheme-theoretically constant, although $x = [C, \alpha] \in M_g^{(n)}$ is the only point in the image. On the other hand, the composition

$$j_n \circ f : H \rightarrow M_g^{(n)} \rightarrow A_g^{(n)}$$

is the constant morphism since its differential is identically zero. Indeed the composition

$$\sigma \circ \partial : H^0(C, N_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

vanishes by exactness of (3-3). So the image point $[J, \alpha]$ does not deform even at first order, and we conclude that f factors through the scheme-theoretic fibre of j_n . This gives us a morphism

$$\pi : H \rightarrow R_g. \tag{3-4}$$

We will exploit the following technical lemma:

Lemma 3.2 [Kollár 1996, Lemma 1.10.1]. *Let R be the spectrum of a local ring, $p: U \rightarrow V$ a morphism over R , with $U \rightarrow R$ flat and proper. If the restriction $p_0: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$ of p over the closed point $0 \in R$ is an isomorphism, then p is an isomorphism.*

Recall that $J = H_{\text{red}}$, so we have a closed immersion $J \hookrightarrow H$ (with empty complement). Consider the closed point $0 \in J$ corresponding to C . Let us fix a regular sequence f_1, \dots, f_g in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{J,0}$. Choose lifts $\tilde{f}_i \in \mathcal{O}_{H,0}$ along the natural surjection $\mathcal{O}_{H,0} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{J,0}$, for $i = 1, \dots, g$. Then we consider the zero scheme

$$i : S_g = Z(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_g) \hookrightarrow H, \tag{3-5}$$

the largest artinian scheme supported at $0 \in H$. We next show that the composition

$$\rho = \pi \circ i : S_g \hookrightarrow H \rightarrow R_g \tag{3-6}$$

is an isomorphism, where π is defined in (3-4). We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\ell: k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathfrak{m}^2 \twoheadrightarrow B$ be a surjection of local Artin k -algebras such that the differential $d\ell$ is an isomorphism. Then ℓ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $d\ell$ is an isomorphism by assumption, B has embedding dimension d , hence it can be written as a quotient $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/I$, so that its maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{m}_B = \mathfrak{m}/I$. Starting from the surjection ℓ , it is then clear that $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subset I$, and we have to show the other inclusion. This follows from the chain of isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2 = \frac{\mathfrak{m}/I}{(\mathfrak{m}/I)^2} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}/I}{\mathfrak{m}^2/I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2}{I/\mathfrak{m}^2},$$

where the first isomorphism is $(d\ell)^\vee$. □

Lemma 3.4. *The tangent map $d\rho: T_{S_g} \rightarrow T_{R_g}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The kernel of $H^1(C, T_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^{\otimes 2}$, namely the image of $\partial: H^0(C, N_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)$, is the tangent space T_{R_g} to the artinian scheme R_g , as the latter is by definition the fibre of j_n . We then have a direct sum decomposition $T_0H = T_0J \oplus T_{R_g}$. The intersection of S_g and J inside H is the reduced origin $0 \in J$, so the linear subspace $T_{S_g} \subset T_0H$ intersects T_0J trivially, which implies that the tangent map

$$d\rho: T_{S_g} \subset T_0J \oplus T_{R_g} \rightarrow T_{R_g}$$

is injective. On the other hand, the inclusion $T_{S_g} \subset T_0H$ is cut out by independent linear functions, again because $T_{S_g} \cap T_0J = (0)$. It follows that the linear inclusion $T_{S_g} \subset T_0H$ has codimension equal to $\dim T_0J = g$, thus

$$\dim T_{S_g} = \dim T_0H - g = g - 2 = \dim T_{R_g}.$$

The result follows. □

Corollary 3.5. *The map $\rho: S_g \rightarrow R_g$ of (3-6) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The map ρ is proper, injective on points and, by Lemma 3.4, injective on tangent spaces. Then it is a closed immersion; in fact, by Lemma 3.4 again, it is an isomorphism on tangent spaces, so by Lemma 3.3 it is an isomorphism. □

The corollary yields a section of π ,

$$s = i \circ \rho^{-1}: R_g \xrightarrow{\sim} S_g \hookrightarrow H,$$

which finally allows us to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$, and let J be its Jacobian. Then there is an isomorphism of schemes*

$$J \times R_g \xrightarrow{\sim} H.$$

Proof. If $g = 2$, the Hilbert scheme is nonsingular because $\partial: H^0(C, N_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)$, the connecting homomorphism in (1-1), vanishes. If $g \geq 3$, consider the translation action $\mu: J \times H \rightarrow H$ by J on the Hilbert scheme and the composition

$$J \times R_g \xrightarrow{\text{id}_J \times s} J \times H \xrightarrow{\mu} H,$$

viewed as a morphism over the artinian scheme R_g . Since it restricts to the identity id_J over the closed point of R_g , by Lemma 3.2 it must be an isomorphism. □

3B. Relation between Hilbert scheme and Torelli. Let $z = [J, \Theta_C]$ be a point in the image of the Torelli morphism $\tau_g: \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$. The fibre of τ_g over $\text{Spec } k(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is, topologically, just a point, by Torelli's theorem. This point is scheme-theoretically reduced if C is nonhyperelliptic. However, thanks to the cartesian diagram (2-4), what we can observe is that $\tau_g^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{M}_g \times_{\mathcal{A}_g} \text{Spec } k(z) \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ is the artinian scheme R_g when z represents a hyperelliptic Jacobian. Theorem 3.6 thus fully develops in a qualitative form the idea already present in [Lange and Sernesi 2004], namely that understanding the ramification

(the fibres) of the Torelli morphism is equivalent to understanding the singularities of the Hilbert scheme; what the present work shows is that these singularities are controlled by the artinian scheme R_g .

The results proved so far essentially show the following:

Proposition 3.7. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$, and let J be its Jacobian. Then $\tau_g^{-1}([J, \Theta_C])$ is isomorphic to the largest closed subscheme of $\text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ supported at $[aj: C \hookrightarrow J]$.*

Proof. In the nonhyperelliptic case, we have $\tau_g^{-1}([J, \Theta_C]) \cong \text{Spec } k$, because τ_g is unramified at $[C]$. The result then follows because $J \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{C/J}$ is an isomorphism (by Corollary 1.3). In the hyperelliptic case we get, using Corollary 3.5,

$$S_g \xrightarrow{\sim} R_g = \tau_g^{-1}([J, \Theta_C]),$$

where $S_g \subset \text{Hilb}_{C/J}$, introduced in (3-5), is precisely the largest subscheme of the Hilbert scheme supported at $[aj: C \hookrightarrow J]$. □

3C. Donaldson–Thomas invariants for Jacobians. Let C be a smooth complex projective curve of genus 3. One can study the “ C -local Donaldson–Thomas invariants” of the abelian 3-fold $J = \text{Pic}^0 C$. As explained in [Ricolfi 2018a; 2018b], these invariants are completely determined by the “BPS number” of the curve,

$$n_C = \nu_H(\mathcal{I}_C) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

in the sense that their generating function is equal to the rational function

$$n_C \cdot q^{-2}(1+q)^4.$$

Here $\nu_H: \text{Hilb}_{C/J} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the Behrend function of the Hilbert scheme. The Behrend function attached to a general finite type \mathbb{C} -scheme X is an invariant of the singularities of X . It was introduced in [Behrend 2009] and is now a key tool in Donaldson–Thomas theory. For a smooth scheme Y one has that ν_Y is the constant $(-1)^{\dim Y}$, and moreover $\nu_{X \times Y} = \nu_X \cdot \nu_Y$ for two complex schemes X and Y . While for nonhyperelliptic C we have $n_C = -1$ (because the Hilbert scheme is a copy of the smooth 3-fold J), the structure result

$$\text{Hilb}_{C/J} = J \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s]/s^2$$

in the hyperelliptic case yields $n_C = -2$, because the scheme of dual numbers has Behrend function $\nu_{R_3} = 2$.

4. An application to moduli spaces of Picard sheaves

Mukai [1981] introduced his celebrated Fourier transform, and gave an application to the moduli space of Picard sheaves on Jacobians of curves. We now review his results on nonhyperelliptic Jacobians and extend them to the hyperelliptic case. We assume that the base field k is, as ever, algebraically closed of characteristic different from 2.

We let $\Phi: D^b(\hat{J}) \rightarrow D^b(J)$ be the Fourier transform with kernel the Poincaré line bundle $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Pic}(\hat{J} \times J)$. If $\hat{p}: \hat{J} \times J \rightarrow \hat{J}$ and $\hat{p}: \hat{J} \times J \rightarrow J$ are the projections, by definition one has

$$\Phi(\mathcal{E}) = R p_{*}(\hat{p}^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}).$$

We will denote by $\Phi^i(\mathcal{E})$ the i -th cohomology sheaf of the complex $\Phi(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $p_0 \in C$ be a point on a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let us form the line bundle $\xi = \mathcal{O}_C(dp_0)$. From now on we view it as a sheaf on \hat{J} by pushing it forward along the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{aj}: C \hookrightarrow J$ followed by the identification of J with its dual. Applying his Fourier transform, Mukai constructs

$$F = \Phi^1(\text{aj}_* \xi), \tag{4-1}$$

a Picard sheaf of rank $g - d - 1$ living on J . Assume $1 \leq d \leq g - 1$, so that by [Mukai 1981, Lemma 4.9] we know that F is simple (that is, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_J}(F) = k$), and

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_J}^1(F, F) = \begin{cases} 2g & \text{if } C \text{ is not hyperelliptic,} \\ 3g - 2 & \text{if } C \text{ is hyperelliptic.} \end{cases} \tag{4-2}$$

Let Spl_J be the moduli space of simple coherent sheaves on J , and let $M(F) \subset \text{Spl}_J$ be the connected component containing the point corresponding to F . It is shown in [loc. cit., Theorem 4.8] that if $g = 2$ or C is nonhyperelliptic, the morphism

$$f: \hat{J} \times J \rightarrow M(F), \quad (\eta, x) \mapsto t_x^* F \otimes \mathcal{P}_\eta, \tag{4-3}$$

is an isomorphism. By (4-2), the space $M(F)$ is reduced precisely when C has genus 2 or is nonhyperelliptic. For C hyperelliptic, f turns out to be an isomorphism onto the reduction $M(F)_{\text{red}} \subsetneq M(F)$, as Mukai showed [1987, Example 1.15].

Remark 4.1. The moduli space $M(F)$ is a priori only an algebraic space. But an algebraic space is a scheme if and only if its reduction is a scheme. Therefore $M(F)$ is a scheme because of the isomorphism $\hat{J} \times J \cong M(F)_{\text{red}}$.

The following result, which can be seen as a corollary of Theorem 3.6, completes the study of Picard sheaves on Jacobians considered by Mukai, namely those of rank $g - d - 1$, with $d \leq g - 1$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let J be its Jacobian and F a Picard sheaf as above. Then, as schemes,*

$$M(F) = \hat{J} \times J \times R_g.$$

Proof. The case $g = 2$ is already covered by Mukai’s tangent space calculation. By Theorem 3.6, it is enough to exhibit an isomorphism $\hat{J} \times H \xrightarrow{\sim} M(F)$, where as usual $H \subset \text{Hilb}_J$ is the Hilbert scheme component containing the Abel–Jacobi point $[C]$. We will do this by extending the morphism (4-3)

defined by Mukai, that is, completing the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{J} \times J & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M(F)_{\text{red}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \hat{J} \times H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M(F)
 \end{array} \tag{4-4}$$

and showing that the extension ϕ is an isomorphism. Recall that via the identification $J = H_{\text{red}}$ we can identify a k -valued point $x \in J(k)$ with a k -valued point of H . Also, for any such point $x \in J \subset H$, we will use the notation $x + p_0$ for the point on the Abel–Jacobi curve $t_x C \subset J$ obtained by translating $p_0 \in C \subset J$ via the automorphism $t_x: J \rightarrow J$. Let

$$\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\iota} H \times J \rightarrow H$$

be the universal family of the Hilbert scheme: the fibre of $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H$ over $\text{Spec } k(x) \hookrightarrow H$ is the subscheme $t_x C \subset J$, and ι , the universal Abel–Jacobi map, restricts to $\text{aj} \circ t_{-x}: t_x C \rightarrow C \hookrightarrow \{x\} \times J$ over the point $x \in H$. We now construct a section σ of $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H$ restricting to the divisor dp_0 on C (in other words, a “universal” version of ξ). If $q: H \rightarrow J$ denotes the projection (forgetting the nonreduced structure) and $u: J \rightarrow J$ is the composition $t_{dp_0} \circ [d]$, the section σ is the map

$$\sigma: H \xrightarrow{(1_H, q)} H \times J \xrightarrow{1_H \times u} H \times J, \quad x \mapsto (x, d(x + p_0)).$$

Here we view $d(x + p_0)$ as a degree d divisor on the translated Abel–Jacobi curve $t_x C \subset J$, in particular the image of σ clearly lands inside \mathcal{Z} . Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\sigma)$ be the associated line bundle on the total space \mathcal{Z} . Then, by construction, restricting \mathcal{L} to a fibre of $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H$ we get

$$\mathcal{L}|_{t_x C} = \mathcal{O}_{t_x C}(d(x + p_0)) = t_{-x}^* \xi. \tag{4-5}$$

If we consider the pushforward $\iota_* \mathcal{L}$ to $H \times J$, using (4-5) we obtain

$$(\iota_* \mathcal{L})|_{x \times J} = (\text{aj} \circ t_{-x})_*(\mathcal{L}|_{t_x C}) = \text{aj}_* \xi. \tag{4-6}$$

Note that \mathcal{L} is flat over H (because $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H$ is flat), therefore the same is true for $\iota_* \mathcal{L}$. Since taking the Fourier–Mukai transform commutes with base change, (4-6) yields

$$\Phi^1(\iota_* \mathcal{L})|_{x \times J} = \Phi^1(\text{aj}_* \xi) = F. \tag{4-7}$$

Now we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\hat{J} \times J) \times J & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (J \times J) \times J & \xrightarrow{m \times \text{id}_J} & J \times J & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & J \\
 \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \hat{J} \times J & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_{13}} & (\hat{J} \times H) \times J & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (J \times H) \times J & \xrightarrow{\mu \times \text{id}_J} & H \times J
 \end{array}$$

where m and μ are the translation actions by J on J and H respectively. The Fourier–Mukai transform $\Phi^1(\iota_* \mathcal{L})$ lives on $H \times J$ and is flat over H , by flatness of $\iota_* \mathcal{L}$. By (4-7), we know that the families of

sheaves $\Phi^1(\iota_*\mathcal{L})|_{J \times J}$ and $\text{pr}_1^* F$ (both flat over J) define the same morphism $J \rightarrow M(F)$, namely the constant morphism hitting the point $[F]$. Since Mukai’s morphism $\hat{J} \times J \rightarrow M(F)$, defined in (4-3), corresponds (after identifying J with its dual) to the family of sheaves

$$(m \times \text{id}_J)^* \text{pr}_1^* F \otimes (\text{pr}_{13} \circ i)^* \mathcal{P},$$

it follows that the family

$$(\mu \times \text{id}_J)^* \Phi^1(\iota_*\mathcal{L}) \otimes \text{pr}_{13}^* \mathcal{P}$$

defines an extension $\phi: \hat{J} \times H \rightarrow M(F)$, completing diagram (4-4). We know that ϕ is an isomorphism around $[\xi] \mapsto [F]$. Indeed, ϕ is precisely the morphism constructed by Mukai [1987, Proposition 1.12], where he proves that $M(\xi)$ and $M(F)$ are isomorphic along a Zariski open subset. The construction is homogeneous, in the sense that ϕ does not depend on the initial point $[\xi] \in M(\xi)$. Therefore ϕ is globally an isomorphism, as claimed. \square

Remark 4.3. The connected component $M(\xi)$ of the moduli space of simple sheaves containing the point $[\xi]$ is the relative Picard variety $\text{Pic}^d(\mathcal{Z}/H)$, which can be identified with $\hat{J} \times H$ by Lemma 3.1. It is possible to adapt the proof of [Mukai 1987, Proposition 1.12] to show that the birational map

$$\text{Pic}^d(\mathcal{Z}/H) \dashrightarrow M(F)$$

is everywhere defined (and an isomorphism), giving an immediate proof of Theorem 4.2. We preferred to present the argument above, because the construction makes the isomorphism $\phi: \hat{J} \times H \rightarrow M(F)$ arise directly, as a “thickening” of Mukai’s isomorphism $\hat{J} \times J \rightarrow M(F)_{\text{red}}$. Moreover the argument makes explicit use of (the properties of) the Fourier–Mukai transform.

Acknowledgements

I want to thank Alberto Collino for generously sharing his insight and ideas on the problem. I owe an intellectual debt to Richard Thomas, who patiently explained me how to make part of the argument work. I also thank Martin Gulbrandsen, Michał Kapustka, Aaron Landesman and Filippo Viviani for helpful discussions, and the anonymous referees for suggesting several improvements.

References

- [Andreotti 1958] A. Andreotti, “On a theorem of Torelli”, *Amer. J. Math.* **80**:4 (1958), 801–828. MR Zbl
- [Arbarello et al. 1985] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves, I*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **267**, Springer, 1985. MR Zbl
- [Behrend 2009] K. Behrend, “Donaldson–Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170**:3 (2009), 1307–1338. MR Zbl
- [Bryan et al. 2018] J. Bryan, G. Oberdieck, R. Pandharipande, and Q. Yin, “Curve counting on abelian surfaces and threefolds”, *Algebr. Geom.* **5**:4 (2018), 398–463. MR Zbl
- [Ceresa 1983] G. Ceresa, “ C is not algebraically equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **117**:2 (1983), 285–291. MR Zbl

- [Collino 1984] A. Collino, “A new proof of the Ran–Matsusaka criterion for Jacobians”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92**:3 (1984), 329–331. MR Zbl
- [Deligne and Mumford 1969] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, “The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 75–109. MR Zbl
- [EGA IV₃ 1966] A. Grothendieck, “Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, III”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **28** (1966), 5–255. MR Zbl
- [Griffiths 1967] P. A. Griffiths, “Some remarks and examples on continuous systems and moduli”, *J. Math. Mech.* **16** (1967), 789–802. MR Zbl
- [Kollár 1996] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **32**, Springer, 1996. MR Zbl
- [Landesman 2019] A. Landesman, “The infinitesimal Torelli problem”, preprint, 2019. arXiv
- [Lange and Sernesi 2004] H. Lange and E. Sernesi, “On the Hilbert scheme of a Prym variety”, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **183**:3 (2004), 375–386. MR Zbl
- [Mukai 1981] S. Mukai, “Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **81** (1981), 153–175. MR Zbl
- [Mukai 1987] S. Mukai, “Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an abelian variety”, pp. 515–550 in *Algebraic geometry* (Sendai, Japan, 1985), edited by T. Oda, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987. MR Zbl
- [Mumford 1965] D. Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (2) **34**, Springer, 1965. MR Zbl
- [Oort and Steenbrink 1980] F. Oort and J. Steenbrink, “The local Torelli problem for algebraic curves”, pp. 157–204 in *Journées de géométrie algébrique d’Angers* (Angers, France, 1979), edited by A. Beauville, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, Netherlands, 1980. MR Zbl
- [Pagani et al. 2018] N. Pagani, A. T. Ricolfi, and J. van Zelm, “Pullbacks of universal Brill–Noether classes via Abel–Jacobi morphisms”, 2018. To appear in *Math. Nachr.* arXiv
- [Popp 1977] H. Popp, *Moduli theory and classification theory of algebraic varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. **620**, Springer, 1977. MR Zbl
- [Ricolfi 2018a] A. T. Ricolfi, “The DT/PT correspondence for smooth curves”, *Math. Z.* **290**:1-2 (2018), 699–710. MR Zbl
- [Ricolfi 2018b] A. T. Ricolfi, “Local contributions to Donaldson–Thomas invariants”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2018**:19 (2018), 5995–6025. MR Zbl

Communicated by Ravi Vakil

Received 2018-09-14 Revised 2019-12-31 Accepted 2020-02-10

aricolfi@sissa.it

Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany

Current address:

Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, Trieste, Italy

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$415/year for the electronic version, and \$620/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 14 No. 6 2020

Unobstructedness of Galois deformation rings associated to regular algebraic conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations	1331
DAVID-ALEXANDRE GUIRAUD	
The Hilbert scheme of hyperelliptic Jacobians and moduli of Picard sheaves	1381
ANDREA T. RICOLFI	
Endomorphism algebras of geometrically split abelian surfaces over \mathbb{Q}	1399
FRANCESC FITÉ and XAVIER GUITART	
Uniform Yomdin–Gromov parametrizations and points of bounded height in valued fields	1423
RAF CLUCKERS, ARTHUR FOREY and FRANÇOIS LOESER	
Gowers norms control diophantine inequalities	1457
ALED WALKER	
Modular invariants for real quadratic fields and Kloosterman sums	1537
NICKOLAS ANDERSEN and WILLIAM D. DUKE	
Generically free representations, I: Large representations	1577
SKIP GARIBALDI and ROBERT GURALNICK	
Classification of some vertex operator algebras of rank 3	1613
CAMERON FRANC and GEOFFREY MASON	



1937-0652(2020)14:6;1-W