



Constructions of difference sets in nonabelian 2-groups

T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland

Dedicated to the memory of Robert A. Liebler, a friend and mentor, and a passionate advocate for studying the action of finite nonabelian groups on combinatorial designs.

Difference sets have been studied for more than 80 years. Techniques from algebraic number theory, group theory, finite geometry, and digital communications engineering have been used to establish constructive and nonexistence results. We provide a new theoretical approach which dramatically expands the class of 2-groups known to contain a difference set, by refining the concept of covering extended building sets introduced by Davis and Jedwab in 1997. We then describe how product constructions and other methods can be used to construct difference sets in some of the remaining 2-groups. In particular, we determine that all groups of order 256 not excluded by the two classical nonexistence criteria contain a difference set, in agreement with previous findings for groups of order 4, 16, and 64. We provide suggestions for how the existence question for difference sets in 2-groups of all orders might be resolved.

1. Motivation and overview

Difference sets were introduced by Singer [1938] as regular automorphism groups of projective geometries. These examples are contained in the multiplicative group of a finite field, and hence the difference sets in those geometric settings occur in cyclic groups. In the decades following, difference sets were discovered in other abelian groups and subsequently in nonabelian groups. The central objective is to determine which groups contain at least one difference set. Researchers have developed a range of techniques in pursuit of this objective, taking advantage of connections with design theory, coding theory, cryptography, sequence design, and digital communications.

A k-subset D of a group G of order v is a difference set with parameters (v, k, λ) if, for all nonidentity elements g in G, the equation

$$xy^{-1} = g$$

has exactly λ solutions (x, y) with $x, y \in D$; the related parameter n is defined to be $k - \lambda$. The complement of a difference set with parameters (v, k, λ) is itself a difference set, with parameters $(v, v - k, v - 2k + \lambda)$ and the same related parameter n. The difference set is nontrivial if 1 < k < v - 1. A (v, k, λ) difference set in G is equivalent to a symmetric (v, k, λ) design with a regular automorphism group G [Beth et al. 1999].

MSC2020: 05B10, 05E18.

Davis was supported by NSA grant H98230-12-1-0243. Jedwab was supported by NSERC.

Keywords: difference set, nonabelian, 2-group, construction.

^{© 2023} MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

Given an element $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, where each $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $A^{(-1)}$ for the element $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1}$. It is customary in the study of difference sets to abuse notation by identifying a subset *D* of a group *G* with the element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ which is its {0, 1}-valued characteristic function. The subset *D* of *G* is then a difference set if and only if the {0, 1}-valued characteristic function *D* satisfies the equation

$$DD^{(-1)} = n + \lambda G$$
 in $\mathbb{Z}G$,

in which *n* represents n_{1_G} . Throughout, we shall instead identify the subset *D* of *G* with the element of $\mathbb{Z}G$ which is its $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued characteristic function (taking the value -1 for each element of *G* in *D*, and +1 for each element of *G* not in *D*). Under this convention, the subset *D* of *G* is a difference set if and only if the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function *D* satisfies

$$DD^{(-1)} = 4n + (v - 4n)G$$
 in $\mathbb{Z}G$.

When v = 4n, this reduces to

$$DD^{(-1)} = |G|, (1)$$

in which case the subset *D* is called a *Hadamard* difference set because the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued $v \times v$ incidence matrix, whose rows and columns are indexed by the elements of *G* and whose (g, h) entry is the coefficient of $g^{-1}h$ in *D*, is a Hadamard matrix.

Example 1.1 [Bruck 1955]. Let $G = C_2^4 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$, where C_2 denotes the multiplicative cyclic group of order 2. The set

$$D = \{1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1x_2x_3x_4\}$$

is a (16, 6, 2) Hadamard difference set in *G*. We identify this set with the element $D = -1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 - x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, and then $DD^{(-1)} = 16$.

We call a group containing a Hadamard difference set a *Hadamard group*, and denote the class of Hadamard groups by \mathcal{H} . It is an outstanding problem in combinatorics to determine which groups belong to the class \mathcal{H} ; see [Davis and Jedwab 1996] for a survey and [Jungnickel and Schmidt 1998] for a summary of subsequent results. This paper focuses on determining which 2-groups (namely groups whose order is a power of 2) belong to \mathcal{H} . The relation v = 4n between the parameters of a difference set forces the parameters to be

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (4N^2, 2N^2 - N, N^2 - N)$$
⁽²⁾

for some integer N [Kesava Menon 1962]. Here N can be positive or negative, and the two values $\pm N$ give the parameters of complementary difference sets and designs. A nontrivial difference set in a 2-group must also have parameters of the form (2), where $N = 2^d$ for some positive integer d [Mann 1965]. We therefore restrict attention to the parameters

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (2^{2d+2}, 2^{2d+1} - 2^d, 2^{2d} - 2^d),$$

where *d* is a nonnegative integer. The groups of order 2^{2d+2} form a rich source of potential Hadamard difference sets: there are 2 nonisomorphic groups of order 4 (both of which contain a trivial Hadamard difference set); 14 of order 16; 267 of order 64; 56,092 of order 256; and 49,487,367,289 groups of order 1024 [Besche et al. 2002; Burrell 2022; Sloane 2022].

The following product construction contains, as a special case, the earlier result [Kesava Menon 1962; Turyn 1965] that the class \mathcal{H} is closed under direct products.

Theorem 1.2 (Dillon [1985] product construction). Suppose that $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, and that G is a group containing subgroups H_1 and H_2 satisfying $G = H_1H_2$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let D_1 and D_2 be difference sets in H_1 and H_2 , respectively, and let $D = D_1D_2$. By hypothesis, every element g of G has a unique representation $g = h_1h_2$ for some $h_1 \in H_1$ and $h_2 \in H_2$, and so D is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued. Then

$$DD^{(-1)} = (D_1D_2)(D_1D_2)^{(-1)} = D_1D_2D_2^{(-1)}D_1^{(-1)} = D_1|H_2|D_1^{(-1)} = |H_1||H_2| = |G|.$$

In a seminal paper, Turyn used algebraic number theory to prove a first nonexistence result for Hadamard 2-groups.

Theorem 1.3 [Turyn 1965]. Let G be a group of order 2^{2d+2} containing a normal subgroup K of order less than 2^d such that G/K is cyclic. Then $G \notin \mathcal{H}$.

Corollary 1.4 (Turyn exponent bound). Suppose $G \in \mathcal{H}$ is an abelian group of order 2^{2d+2} . Then G has exponent at most 2^{d+2} .

Dillon later proved a second nonexistence result for Hadamard 2-groups.

Theorem 1.5 [Dillon 1985]. Let G be a group of order 2^{2d+2} containing a normal subgroup K of order less than 2^d such that G/K is dihedral. Then $G \notin \mathcal{H}$.

In the ensuing 35 years since the publication of [Dillon 1985], no further nonexistence results for Hadamard 2-groups have been found. In this paper we shall present constructive results that identify new Hadamard 2-groups. In preparation, we introduce some further conventions that will be used throughout.

Let

$$E_r := C_2^r = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle$$

be the elementary abelian group of order 2^r . The group E_r is isomorphic to the additive group of the vector space $U_r := GF(2)^r$ comprising all binary *r*-tuples $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_r)$, and an explicit isomorphism is given by

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) \mapsto x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}.$$

The *characters* of E_r are the homomorphisms from E_r into the multiplicative group $\{1, -1\}$ given by

$$\chi_u : x^a \mapsto (-1)^{u \cdot a}$$
 for all $a \in U_r$

as u ranges over U_r .

We consider integer-valued functions on *G* to be interchangeable with elements of $\mathbb{Z}G$: we identify an integer-valued function *F* on *G* with the element $\sum_{g \in G} F(g)g$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, and conversely we identify a group ring element $\sum_{g \in G} F_g g$ with the function *F* on *G* given by $F(g) = F_g$. The character χ_u of E_r may then be written in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}E_r$ as

$$\chi_{u} = \sum_{a \in U_{r}} \chi_{u}(x^{a})x^{a} = \sum_{a \in U_{r}} (-1)^{u \cdot a} x^{a} = \sum_{a \in U_{r}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{u_{i}a_{i}} x_{i}^{a_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{a_{i}=0}^{1} (-1)^{u_{i}a_{i}} x_{i}^{a_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + (-1)^{u_{i}} x_{i}).$$
(3)

This is consistent with the common notation χ_0 for the principal character, which takes the value 1 at every group element; we identify this function in $\mathbb{Z}E_r$ with the group ring element $\sum_{e \in E_r} e$, or simply E_r . For each nonzero $u \in U_r$, the complement of the subset of E_r associated with the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function χ_u is a subgroup of E_r of index 2, and as u ranges over the nonzero values of U_r we obtain all $2^r - 1$ subgroups of E_r of index 2 in this way.

Example 1.6. Let $E_2 = C_2^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$. The four characters of E_2 are the functions χ_u as *u* ranges over $U_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. Expressed in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}E_2$, these functions are

$$\chi_{00} = 1 + x + y + xy = (1 + x)(1 + y),$$

$$\chi_{01} = 1 + x - y - xy = (1 + x)(1 - y),$$

$$\chi_{10} = 1 - x + y - xy = (1 - x)(1 + y),$$

$$\chi_{11} = 1 - x - y + xy = (1 - x)(1 - y),$$

(where we abbreviate $\chi_{(0,1)}$, for example, as χ_{01}).

The subgroups of E_2 corresponding to χ_{01} , χ_{10} , χ_{11} are $\{1, x\}$, $\{1, y\}$, $\{1, xy\}$, respectively.

The group ring interpretation of the characters of E_2 shown in Example 1.6 illustrates the following fundamental properties, which underlie our new constructions of difference sets. These properties can all be derived directly from (3), noting that $\chi_v^{(-1)} = \chi_v$ for all $v \in U_r$.

Proposition 1.7. Let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of E_r . Then for all $u, v \in U_r$, in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}E_r$ we have:

- (i) $\chi_u \chi_v^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} 2^r \chi_u & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v. \end{cases}$
- (ii) $\sum_{u \in U_r} \chi_u = 2^r$.
- (iii) $\sum_{e \in E_r} \chi_u(e) = \begin{cases} 2^r & \text{if } u = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Since all characters of E_r are $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued, Proposition 1.7(iii) implies that every nonprincipal character on E_r takes the values 1 and -1 equally often.

McFarland gave the following difference set construction based on hyperplanes of a vector space, which produces examples in 2-groups. We prove the construction by interpreting the hyperplanes in terms of characters.

Theorem 1.8 (McFarland [1973] hyperplane construction). Let *J* be a group of order 2^{d+1} . Then $J \times E_{d+1} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. See [Dillon 2010]. Let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$ be the set of characters of E_{d+1} . Label the elements of J arbitrarily as $J = \{g_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$, and let $G = J \times E_{d+1}$. We see from Proposition 1.7(i) and (ii) that, in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function

$$D = \sum_{u \in U_{d+1}} g_u \chi_u \tag{4}$$

on G satisfies

$$DD^{(-1)} = \sum_{u,v \in U_{d+1}} g_u \chi_u \chi_v^{(-1)} g_v^{-1}$$

= $2^{d+1} \sum_{u \in U_{d+1}} g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1}$ (5)

$$=2^{d+1}\sum_{u\in U_{d+1}}^{u\in U_{d+1}}\chi_u$$
(6)

$$= 2^{d+1} \cdot 2^{d+1} = |G|.$$

Therefore D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

We shall show how the proof of Theorem 1.8 can be adapted so that the result still holds when E_{d+1} is a normal subgroup of index 2^{d+1} of a group *G*, but not necessarily a direct factor. The key consideration is how to obtain (6) from (5). The following combinatorial result allows us to do so, by showing that there is a choice for coset representatives g_u of E_{d+1} in *G* satisfying $\{g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1} : u \in U_{d+1}\} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$. Note that a group *H* acts as a group of permutations on a set *S* if there is a homomorphism ϕ (called the *action* of *H* on *S*) from *H* to the group of permutations of *S*.

Theorem 1.9 [Drisko 1998, Corollary 5]. Let p be a prime and let H be a finite p-group. Suppose that H acts as a group of permutations on a set S of size |H| according to the action ϕ , and that S contains an element that is fixed under ϕ . Then there is a bijection θ from S to H satisfying

$$\{\phi(\theta(s))(s): s \in S\} = S.$$

The bijection θ in Theorem 1.9 selects an element $\theta(s)$ of the group H for each $s \in S$, so that the resulting set of actions of $\theta(s)$ on s is a permutation of the set S. We now explain how this result can be used to extend Theorem 1.8 as desired, proving a conjecture due to Dillon [1990b].

Corollary 1.10 [Drisko 1998, Corollary 9]. Let G be a group of order 2^{2d+2} containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^{d+1}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{E} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$ be the set of characters of $E \cong C_2^{d+1}$. We wish to apply Theorem 1.9 with $S = \hat{E}$ and H = G/E. Since *E* is normal in *G*, and the complements of the subsets of *E* associated

364 T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland with the characters χ_u for nonzero *u* are exactly the subgroups of *E* of index 2, we have

$$g\chi_u g^{-1} \in \hat{E}$$
 for all $g \in G$ and $\chi_u \in \hat{E}$.

Therefore G/E acts on \hat{E} as a group of permutations under the conjugation action

$$\phi(gE)(\chi_u) = g\chi_u g^{-1}$$
 for all $gE \in G/E$ and $\chi_u \in \hat{E}$,

and the element $\chi_0 = E$ of \hat{E} is fixed under ϕ . Theorem 1.9 then shows that there is a bijection θ from \hat{E} to G/E satisfying

$$\{\phi(\theta(\chi_u))(\chi_u): \chi_u \in \hat{E}\} = \hat{E}.$$
(7)

Writing $\theta(\chi_u) = g_u E$ for each $u \in U_{d+1}$, this gives a set $\{g_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$ of coset representatives for *E* in *G* satisfying

$$\{g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1} : u \in U_{d+1}\} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}.$$
(8)

Use the coset representatives g_u to define D as in (4). The proof of Theorem 1.8 now carries through unchanged, using (8) to obtain (6) from (5).

We next illustrate the construction described in Corollary 1.10, for a specific group of order 16.

Example 1.11. Let *G* be the order 16 modular group $C_8 \rtimes_5 C_2 = \langle x, y : x^8 = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^5 \rangle$, and set $X = x^4$ and Y = y. Let $E = \langle X, Y \rangle \cong C_2^2$, which is normal but not central in *G*, and let $\hat{E} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_2\}$ be the set of characters of *E*:

$$\chi_{00} = (1+x^4)(1+y), \quad \chi_{01} = (1+x^4)(1-y), \quad \chi_{10} = (1-x^4)(1+y), \quad \chi_{11} = (1-x^4)(1-y).$$

The center of G is $\langle x^2 \rangle$.

The group $G/E = \{E, xE, x^2E, x^3E\}$ acts on \hat{E} as a group of permutations under the conjugation action ϕ , under which E and x^2E map to the identity permutation on \hat{E} , and xE and x^3E map to the permutation of \hat{E} that fixes χ_{00} and χ_{01} but swaps χ_{10} and χ_{11} .

A bijection θ from \hat{E} to G/E satisfying (7) is

$$\theta(\chi_{00}) = E, \quad \theta(\chi_{01}) = x^2 E, \quad \theta(\chi_{10}) = x E, \quad \theta(\chi_{11}) = x^3 E,$$

and therefore

$$D = \chi_{00} + x^2 \chi_{01} + x \chi_{10} + x^3 \chi_{11}$$

is a difference set in G.

The Turyn exponent bound of Corollary 1.4 gives a necessary condition for an abelian 2-group to belong to \mathcal{H} . A series of papers, including [Davis 1991] and [Dillon 1990a], gave constructions in pursuit of a sufficient condition. Kraemer [1993] eventually showed that the necessary condition is also sufficient. This result was proved again by Jedwab [1992] using the alternative viewpoint of a perfect binary array: a matrix representation of the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued characteristic function of a Hadamard difference set in an abelian group.

Theorem 1.12 [Kraemer 1993]. Let G be an abelian group of order 2^{2d+2} . Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if G has exponent at most 2^{d+2} .

We next give an instructive example of a Hadamard difference set in an abelian 2-group, which illustrates a fundamental insight on which this paper is based. The group ring elements A_u in Example 1.13 are presented for now without explanation of their origin, but will be revisited in Example 4.13. Group ring elements A, B are orthogonal if $AB^{(-1)} = 0$.

Example 1.13. Let $G = C_8^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$, and set $X = x^2$ and $Y = y^2$. Let $K = \langle X, Y \rangle \cong C_4^2$ and $E_2 = \langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$, and let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_2\}$ be the set of characters of E_2 . Define four group ring elements in $\mathbb{Z}K$ by

$$A_{00} = A_{01} = A_{10} = 1 + X + Y - XY$$
 and $A_{11} = 1 + X + Y + XY.$ (9)

Direct calculation shows that the A_u satisfy the condition

$$A_u \chi_u A_u^{(-1)} = 4\chi_u \quad \text{for all } u \in U_2.$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

Now in $\mathbb{Z}K$ let

$$B_{00} = A_{00}\chi_{00} = (1 + X + Y - XY)(1 + X^{2})(1 + Y^{2}),$$

$$B_{01} = A_{01}\chi_{01} = (1 + X + Y - XY)(1 + X^{2})(1 - Y^{2}),$$

$$B_{10} = A_{10}\chi_{10} = (1 + X + Y - XY)(1 - X^{2})(1 + Y^{2}),$$

$$B_{11} = A_{11}\chi_{11} = (1 + X + Y + XY)(1 - X^{2})(1 - Y^{2}).$$

Then from Proposition 1.7(i) and (10), the $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ have the property, for all $u, v \in U_2$, that

$$B_{u}B_{v}^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} 16\chi_{u} & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v, \end{cases}$$
(11)

and in particular the B_u are pairwise orthogonal. It follows that the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on G given by

$$D = B_{00} + yB_{01} + xB_{10} + xyB_{11}$$

satisfies

$$DD^{(-1)} = 16(\chi_{00} + \chi_{01} + \chi_{10} + \chi_{11}) = 64$$

by Proposition 1.7(ii), and so D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

We now show how the condition (10) satisfied by the group ring elements A_u in Example 1.13 can be used to construct difference sets in groups of order 64 other than C_8^2 .

Proposition 1.14. Let G be a group of order 64 containing a normal subgroup $K \cong C_4^2$. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $K = \langle X, Y \rangle \cong C_4^2$. Let $E_2 = \langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle$ be the unique subgroup of K isomorphic to C_2^2 , and let $\widehat{E_2} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_2\}$ be the set of characters of E_2 . Define four group ring elements in $\mathbb{Z}K$ as in (9), and for each $u \in U_2$ let B_u be the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function $A_u \chi_u$ on K. The A_u satisfy (10), and therefore the B_u have the pairwise orthogonality property (11) for all $u, v \in U_2$.

Now E_2 is the unique subgroup of K isomorphic to C_2^2 , and K is normal in G, so E_2 is normal in G. Therefore G/K acts on $\widehat{E_2}$ as a group of permutations under the conjugation action

$$\phi(gK)(\chi_u) = g\chi_u g^{-1}$$
 for all $gK \in G/K$ and $\chi_u \in \widehat{E_2}$,

and $\chi_0 = E_2$ is fixed under ϕ . We may therefore apply Theorem 1.9 with $S = \widehat{E_2}$ and H = G/K to show that there is a set $\{g_u : u \in U_2\}$ of coset representatives for K in G satisfying

$$\{g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1} : u \in U_2\} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_2\}.$$
(12)

Let *D* be the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on *G* defined by

$$D=\sum_{u\in U_2}g_uB_u \text{ in }\mathbb{Z}G.$$

We calculate

$$DD^{(-1)} = \sum_{u,v \in U_2} g_u B_u B_v^{(-1)} g_v^{-1} = 16 \sum_{u \in U_2} g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1}$$

by (11), and then from (12) and Proposition 1.7(ii) we have

$$DD^{(-1)} = 16 \sum_{u \in U_2} \chi_u = 64$$

Therefore D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

We use the proof of Proposition 1.14 as a model for establishing our principal result, stated below as Theorem 1.15. The key idea is to determine group ring elements A_u satisfying a condition analogous to (10), which ensures that the associated group ring elements $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ have an orthogonality property analogous to (11). Application of Theorem 1.9 then allows us to construct a group ring element Dcorresponding to a Hadamard difference set. By taking r = 2 in Theorem 1.15 and restricting the group G to be abelian, and combining with the Turyn exponent bound of Corollary 1.4, we recover Kraemer's Theorem 1.12.

Theorem 1.15 (main result). Let d and r be integers satisfying $d \ge 1$ and $2 \le r \le d + 1$. Let G be a group of order 2^{2d+2} containing a normal abelian subgroup of index 2^r , rank r, and exponent at most 2^{d-r+2} . Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

We remark that this paper develops several concepts previously used to construct difference sets. In particular, the constructed group ring elements B_u can be interpreted as covering extended building sets, as introduced by Davis and Jedwab [1997] (see the discussion at the end of Section 2). The novelty here is that imposing the additional structure $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ allows us to handle dramatically more nonabelian groups than before, as illustrated in the proof of Proposition 1.14. Likewise, Proposition 1.14 itself was previously established by Dillon [1990b; 2010] by decomposing a difference set in C_8^2 into four orthogonal group ring elements B_u as in Example 1.13. However, the generalization of Proposition 1.14

to Theorem 1.15 relies crucially on recognizing the additional structure $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ of these group ring elements, whose importance was not previously apparent.

Each of the two groups of order 4 belongs to \mathcal{H} trivially. The third column of Table 1 below shows the number of groups of order 16, 64, and 256 which are possible members of \mathcal{H} , after taking into account those that are excluded by the necessary conditions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. We now summarize the theoretical and computational efforts of many researchers over several decades to determine whether these conditions are also sufficient for groups of these orders, with reference to results to be presented in Section 4.

In the 1970s, Whitehead [1975] and Kibler [1978] independently showed by construction that each of the 12 nonexcluded groups of order 16 belongs to \mathcal{H} . We can recover this result by applying Theorem 1.15 to account for the 10 groups containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to C_2^2 , and then using Proposition 4.1 to handle the remaining 2 groups.

In 1990, a collaborative effort led by Dillon showed by a combination of construction and computer search that each of the 259 nonexcluded groups of order 64 belongs to \mathcal{H} ; Liebler and Smith [1993] resolved the status of the final group at the conclusion of a sabbatical visit to Dillon by Smith. Using the software package GAP [2020], we can streamline this effort by applying in sequence the following construction methods: Theorem 1.15 to account for the 237 groups containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to C_2^3 or C_4^2 ; the product construction of Proposition 4.7 to account for 17 further groups; the transfer methods of Section 4C to account for 4 further groups; and the modified signature set method of Section 4D to account for the final group.

In 2011, Dillon initiated a further collaborative effort to investigate the groups of order 256, whose conclusion was that each of the 56,049 nonexcluded groups of order 256 belongs to \mathcal{H} . Major contributions were made by Applebaum [2013], and the status of the final group was resolved by Yolland [2016]. Using GAP, we can likewise streamline this effort by applying in sequence the following construction methods: Theorem 1.15 to account for the 54,633 groups containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to $C_2^4 \times C_2$ or C_8^2 ; the product construction of Proposition 4.7 to account for 1,358 further groups; the transfer methods of Section 4C to account for 57 further groups; and the modified signature set method of Section 4D to account for the final group.

These theoretical and computational results are summarized in Theorem 1.16 and in Table 1.

Theorem 1.16. *The necessary conditions of Theorems* 1.3 *and* 1.5 *for the existence of a difference set are also sufficient in groups of order* 4, 16, 64, *and* 256.

Theorem 1.16 naturally prompts the following question (about whose answer the authors of this paper have different opinions).

Question 1.17. Are the necessary conditions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 for the existence of a difference set in a 2-group also sufficient? That is, does every group *G* of order 2^{2d+2} , not containing a normal subgroup *K* of order less than 2^d such that G/K is cyclic or dihedral, belong to \mathcal{H} ?

Group order	Total # groups	# not excluded by Theorems 1.3, 1.5	# in \mathcal{H} by				
			Theorem 1.15	Sections 4A–4B	Section 4C	Section 4D	
16	14	12	10	2			
64	267	259	237	17	4	1	
256	56,092	56,049	54,633	1,358	57	1	

368 T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland

Table 1. Membership in \mathcal{H} of 2-groups of order 16, 64, and 256. Figures in column 5 onwards are for groups not previously counted in column 4 onwards.

The answer to Question 1.17 is "yes" for $d \le 3$, by Theorem 1.16. It seems that resolution of this question for d > 3 must depend only on theoretical methods: currently there is not even a database of the 49,487,367,289 groups of order 1024 [Besche et al. 2002; Burrell 2022], and the authors do not know how to estimate the proportion of the nonexcluded groups of order 2^{2d+2} that are accounted for by Theorem 1.15 as *d* grows large.

The rest of this paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we identify the "signature set" property underlying the construction of Proposition 1.14. In Section 3, we prove our principal result of Theorem 1.15 by restricting attention to signature sets on abelian 2-groups. In Section 4, we describe the various other construction methods used to complete the determination of the groups of order 64 and 256 belonging to \mathcal{H} , involving signature sets on nonabelian groups, products of perfect ternary arrays, transfer methods, and a modification of signature sets. In Section 5, we provide implementation details of the construction methods for groups of order 256 and describe how to quickly verify on a desktop computer that all 56,049 nonexcluded groups of this order belong to \mathcal{H} . In Section 6, we propose some directions for future research.

2. Signature sets

In this section, we identify the structure underlying Proposition 1.14 and set out a framework for proving our principal result, Theorem 1.15.

Definition 2.1. Let *K* be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of *E*. A *signature block on K with respect to* χ_u is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function A_u on a set of coset representatives for *E* in *K* that satisfies

$$A_u \chi_u A_u^{(-1)} = \frac{|K|}{2^r} \chi_u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}K$$

A signature set on K with respect to E is a multiset $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$, where each A_u is a signature block on K with respect to χ_u .

Note that a trivial signature set on C_2^r with respect to itself is given by

$$A_u = 1$$
 for each $u \in U_r$.

We state two immediate consequences of Definition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. Let K be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and suppose $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ is a signature set on K with respect to E. Let $\hat{E} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of E, and let $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ for each $u \in U_r$. Then:

- (i) For each $u \in U_r$, the function B_u is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on K.
- (ii) For all $u, v \in U_r$, in $\mathbb{Z}K$ we have

$$B_u B_v^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} |K| \chi_u & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v \end{cases}$$

(and so in particular the B_u are pairwise orthogonal).

Proof. (i) Each A_u is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on a set of coset representatives for E in K, and each χ_u is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on E. Therefore each $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on K.

(ii) For all $u, v \in U_r$, in $\mathbb{Z}K$ we have

$$B_{u}B_{v}^{(-1)} = A_{u}\chi_{u}\chi_{v}^{(-1)}A_{v}^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} 2^{r}A_{u}\chi_{u}A_{u}^{(-1)} & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v \end{cases}$$

by Proposition 1.7(i). Since the A_u form a signature set on K with respect to E, this gives

$$B_u B_v^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} |K|\chi_u & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following theorem is modeled on that of Proposition 1.14. We remark that K need not be a 2-group and need not be abelian.

Theorem 2.3. Let G be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and suppose K is a normal subgroup of G of index 2^r containing E. Suppose there exists a signature set on K with respect to E. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{E} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of *E*. We shall apply Theorem 1.9 with $S = \hat{E}$ and H = G/K. Since *E* is normal in *G*, and the complements of the subsets of *E* associated with the characters χ_u for nonzero *u* are exactly the subgroups of *E* of index 2,

$$g\chi_u g^{-1} \in \hat{E}$$
 for all $g \in G$ and $\chi_u \in \hat{E}$.

Therefore G/K acts on \hat{E} as a group of permutations under the conjugation action

$$\phi(gK)(\chi_u) = g\chi_u g^{-1}$$
 for all $gK \in G/K$ and $\chi_u \in \hat{E}$,

and the element $\chi_0 = E$ of \hat{E} is fixed under ϕ . Apply Theorem 1.9 to show that there is a set $\{g_u : u \in U_r\}$ of coset representatives for *K* in *G* satisfying

$$\{g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1} : u \in U_r\} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}.$$
(13)

By assumption, there is a signature set $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ on K with respect to E. Let $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ for each $u \in U_r$, and use the coset representatives g_u to define

$$D = \sum_{u \in U_r} g_u B_u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}G, \tag{14}$$

which is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on G by Lemma 2.2(i). We calculate in $\mathbb{Z}G$ that

$$DD^{(-1)} = \sum_{u,v \in U_r} g_u B_u B_v^{(-1)} g_v^{-1} = |K| \sum_{u \in U_r} g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1}$$

by Lemma 2.2(ii). Then from (13) and Proposition 1.7(ii) we have

$$DD^{(-1)} = |K| \sum_{u \in U_r} \chi_u = 2^r |K| = |G|.$$

Therefore D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

The motivating examples of Section 1 both occur as special cases of Theorem 2.3. Corollary 1.10 arises by taking $|G| = 2^{2d+2}$ and r = d + 1, with $E = K \cong C_2^{d+1}$ normal in *G*, and using a trivial signature set on *K* with respect to itself. Proposition 1.14 arises by taking |G| = 64 and r = 2, with $K = \langle X, Y \rangle \cong C_4^2$ normal in *G* and $E = \langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle$ (the unique subgroup of *K* isomorphic to C_2^2), and using the nontrivial signature set $\{A_{ij} : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on *K* with respect to *E* specified in (9).

Theorem 2.3 establishes the existence of a difference set in G by reference to Theorem 1.9, whose proof as given in [Drisko 1998] is not constructive. To construct such a difference set explicitly, one must therefore determine suitable coset representatives for the normal subgroup K in G satisfying (13). This determination currently requires a computer search that can be computationally expensive, particularly for groups of order 256; see Section 5.

We point out a connection to the study of bent functions (see [Carlet and Mesnager 2016] for a survey), which are equivalent to Hadamard difference sets in elementary abelian 2-groups. Take $G = E_{d+1}^2$ and $E = K = E_{d+1}$ in Theorem 2.3, and let $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ be a trivial signature set on K with respect to E for which each A_u is chosen arbitrarily in $\{\pm 1\}$. In this case, the choice of coset representatives $\{g_u : u \in U_{d+1}\}$ for K in G used to construct the difference set D in the proof of Theorem 2.3 is arbitrary. Let a be the Boolean function on U_{d+1} defined by

$$A_u = (-1)^{a(u)}$$
 for each $u \in U_{d+1}$.

Then the {0, 1}-valued characteristic function of *D* is the Maiorana–McFarland bent function $f(u, v) = \pi(u) \cdot v + a(u)$, where π is an arbitrary permutation of U_{d+1} .

In view of Theorem 2.3, our objective in Section 3 is to construct a signature set on a large class of groups K (which we take to be abelian in Section 3, and nonabelian in Section 4). In the remainder of this section, we introduce some preparatory results about signature sets.

We firstly show that a group automorphism of K fixing E maps a signature block on K to another signature block on K.

Proposition 2.4. Let K be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and let σ be a group automorphism of K which fixes E. Suppose that A_u is a signature block on K with respect to the character χ_u of E, for some $u \in U_r$. Then σ induces a map on $\mathbb{Z}K$ under which $\sigma(A_u)$ is a signature block on K with respect to the character $\sigma(\chi_u)$ of E.

Proof. The signature block A_u is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for E in K. Since the automorphism σ fixes E, the images of these coset representatives under σ are also a set of coset representatives for E in K on which $\sigma(A_{\mu})$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued. Furthermore

$$\sigma(A_u)\sigma(\chi_u)\sigma(A_u)^{(-1)} = \sigma(A_u\chi_uA_u^{(-1)}) = \frac{|K|}{2^r}\sigma(\chi_u)$$

so $\sigma(A_u)$ is a signature block on K with respect to the character $\sigma(\chi_u)$ of E.

We next give a simple product construction for signature sets.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose there exists a signature set on a group K_r with respect to a normal subgroup $E_r \cong C_2^r$, and there exists a signature set on a group K_s with respect to a normal subgroup $E_s \cong C_2^s$. Then there exists a signature set on $K_r \times K_s$ with respect to $E_r \times E_s$.

Proof. Let $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ be a signature set on K_r with respect to E_r , and let $\{\alpha_v : v \in U_s\}$ be a signature set on K_s with respect to E_s . We claim that $\{A_u \alpha_v : u \in U_r, v \in U_s\}$ is a signature set on $K_r \times K_s$ with respect to its normal subgroup $E_r \times E_s$.

The function $A_u \alpha_v$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for $E_r \times E_s$ in $K_r \times K_s$, because A_u is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for E_r in K_r and α_v is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for E_s in K_s .

Let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of E_r , and let $\{\psi_v : v \in U_s\}$ be the set of characters of E_s . The set of characters of $E_r \times E_s$ is $\{\chi_u \psi_v : u \in U_r, v \in U_s\}$, and for each $u \in U_r$ and $v \in U_s$ we have

$$(A_{u}\alpha_{v})(\chi_{u}\psi_{v})(A_{u}\alpha_{v})^{(-1)} = A_{u}\chi_{u}(\alpha_{v}\psi_{v}\alpha_{v}^{(-1)})A_{u}^{(-1)}$$

$$= A_{u}\chi_{u}\frac{|K_{s}|}{2^{s}}\psi_{v}A_{u}^{(-1)}$$

$$= (A_{u}\chi_{u}A_{u}^{(-1)})\frac{|K_{s}|}{2^{s}}\psi_{v}$$

$$= \frac{|K_{r}|}{2^{r}}\chi_{u}\frac{|K_{s}|}{2^{s}}\psi_{v}$$

$$= \frac{|K_{r} \times K_{s}|}{2^{r+s}}(\chi_{u}\psi_{v}).$$

To illustrate the previously unrecognized power of the signature set approach, note that Applebaum [2013] used computer search to show that 643 of the 714 groups of order 256, whose membership in \mathcal{H} was then undetermined, belong to \mathcal{H} . Since all 643 of these groups contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to $C_4^2 \times C_2$, this result follows directly from Theorem 2.3 simply by exhibiting a signature set on $C_4^2 \times C_2$ with respect to its unique subgroup isomorphic to C_2^3 . This can be constructed by using Proposition 2.5

371

372 T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland to take the product of a signature set on C_4^2 with respect to its unique subgroup isomorphic to C_2^2 (see Example 1.13) with a trivial signature set on C_2 with respect to itself.

Finally, we derive constraints on a signature set in terms of |K| and |E|. We will use these constraints to show how Theorem 2.3 can be viewed as refining a construction method for difference sets introduced by Davis and Jedwab [1997], by interpreting a signature set on an abelian group as a special kind of covering extended building set.

Lemma 2.6. Let K be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and suppose that $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ is a signature set on K with respect to E. Let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of E, and let $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ for each $u \in U_r$. Then the number of times the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function B_u on K takes the value -1 is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|K| & \text{if } u \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}|K| \pm \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|} & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2(i), each B_u is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on K.

Case 1: $u \neq 0$. By Proposition 1.7(iii), the number of times the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function χ_u on *E* takes the value -1 is $\frac{1}{2}|E|$. Since A_u is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on a set of coset representatives for *E* in *K*, the number of times $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ takes the value -1 is $\frac{1}{2}|E||K : E| = \frac{1}{2}|K|$.

Case 2: u = 0. Let $c \in \{0, 1, ..., |K|\}$ be the number of times that B_0 takes the value -1, and let J be a group of order 2^r . By Theorem 2.3, the group $G = J \times K$ contains a Hadamard difference set D whose corresponding $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function is defined in (14) as

$$D = g_0 B_0 + \sum_{u \neq 0} g_u B_u$$
(15)

for some choice of coset representatives $\{g_u : u \in U_r\}$ for K in G. By (2), the parameters of the difference set D satisfy

$$|G| = 2^r |K| = 4N^2$$
 and $|D| = 2N^2 - N$

for some integer N, and eliminating N gives

$$|D| = 2^{r-1}|K| \pm \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|}.$$

But |D| equals the number of times that the function D takes the value -1, which from (15) and the result for Case 1 gives

$$|D| = c + (2^r - 1)\frac{1}{2}|K|.$$

Equate the two expressions for |D| to give

$$c = \frac{1}{2}|K| \pm \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|}.$$

Note from Example 1.13 that the number of times the function A_u takes the value -1 is not determined for $u \neq 0$ solely from the hypotheses of Lemma 2.6. However, for u = 0 this number is determined as $\frac{1}{2^r} \left(\frac{|K|}{2} \pm \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|} \right)$ by Lemma 2.6 and the relation $B_0 = A_0 \chi_0$, because the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function $\chi_0 = E$ takes the value 1 exactly 2^r times.

We can now interpret Theorem 2.3 in the framework of [Davis and Jedwab 1997] for the case that *K* is abelian. Suppose $\{A_u : u \in U_r\}$ is a signature set on an abelian group *K* with respect to $E = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_r \rangle \cong C_2^r$, and let $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ for each $u \in U_r$. In the language of [Davis and Jedwab 1997], we claim that the subsets $\{\frac{1}{2}(K - B_u) : u \in U_r\}$ of *K* then form a $(\frac{1}{2}|K|, \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|}, 2^r, \pm)$ covering extended building set on *K* (satisfying the key additional constraint that $B_u = A_u \chi_u$ for each *u*). To prove the claim, we require firstly that

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}(K - B_u)\right| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|K| \pm \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|} & \text{for a single value of } u, \\ \frac{1}{2}|K| & \text{for all other values of } u. \end{cases}$$

This is given by Lemma 2.6, because $\left|\frac{1}{2}(K - B_u)\right|$ is the number of times that the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function B_u takes the value -1. To complete the proof of the claim, we also require that, for each nonprincipal character ψ of the abelian group K (namely a nontrivial homomorphism from K to the complex roots of unity),

$$\left|\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}(K-B_u)\right)\right| = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^{r-2}|K|} & \text{for a single value of } u \text{ that depends on } \psi, \\ 0 & \text{for all other values of } u. \end{cases}$$

This is given by applying ψ to the case u = v of Lemma 2.2(ii) to obtain $|\psi(B_u)|^2 = |K|\psi(\chi_u)$, and noting that ψ maps each x_i to $\{1, -1\}$ so that from (3) we have

$$\psi(\chi_u) = \begin{cases} 2^r & \text{for a single value of } u \text{ that depends on } \psi, \\ 0 & \text{for all other values of } u. \end{cases}$$

3. Proof of main result

In this section we prove our main result, Theorem 1.15, as a corollary of Theorem 3.1 below. For an abelian 2-group K of rank r, we shall abbreviate "a signature set on K with respect to its unique subgroup isomorphic to C_2^r " as "a signature set on K".

Theorem 3.1. Let d and r be integers satisfying $d \ge 1$ and $2 \le r \le d+1$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{d,r}$ be the set of all abelian groups of order 2^{2d-r+2} , rank r, and exponent at most 2^{d-r+2} . Then there exists a signature set on each $K_{d,r} \in \mathcal{K}_{d,r}$.

Note in Theorem 3.1 that if *E* is the unique subgroup of $K_{d,r} \in \mathcal{K}_{d,r}$ isomorphic to C_2^r , then *E* is normal in *G*. We may therefore apply Theorem 2.3 to obtain Theorem 1.15 as a corollary of Theorem 3.1.

We shall prove Theorem 3.1 using a recursive construction for signature sets on abelian 2-groups. To illustrate the main ideas, we begin with a proof of the special case r = 2.

Theorem 3.2 (rank 2 case of Theorem 3.1). Let *d* be a nonnegative integer. Then there exists a signature set on $K_d = C_{2d}^2$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $d \ge 1$. The case d = 1 is true because there exists a trivial signature set on C_2^2 .

Assume all cases up to $d-1 \ge 1$ are true. Let $K_{d-1} = \langle X, Y \rangle$, where $X^{2^{d-1}} = Y^{2^{d-1}} = 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a signature set $\{A_{ij} : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on K_{d-1} with respect to $\langle X^{2^{d-2}}, Y^{2^{d-2}} \rangle$. By associating the group ring $\mathbb{Z}K_{d-1}$ with the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/\langle 1 - X^{2^{d-1}}, 1 - Y^{2^{d-1}} \rangle$, we may regard each group ring element A_{ij} as a polynomial $A_{ij}(X, Y)$ in X and Y, and regard each character of $\langle X^{2^{d-2}}, Y^{2^{d-2}} \rangle$ as a polynomial

$$\chi_{ij}(X,Y) = (1+(-1)^i X^{2^{d-2}})(1+(-1)^j Y^{2^{d-2}}) \quad \text{for } (i,j) \in U_2.$$

By assumption, in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/\langle 1 - X^{2^{d-1}}, 1 - Y^{2^{d-1}} \rangle$ we have

$$A_{ij}(X,Y)\chi_{ij}(X,Y)A_{ij}(X,Y)^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-4}\chi_{ij}(X,Y) \quad \text{for each } (i,j) \in U_2.$$
(16)

Let $K_d = \langle x, y \rangle$, where $x^{2^d} = y^{2^d} = 1$, and let $E = \langle x^{2^{d-1}}, y^{2^{d-1}} \rangle$. We wish to construct a signature set $\{\alpha_{ij} : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on K_d with respect to E. Define the α_{ij} in $\mathbb{Z}K_d$ in terms of the polynomials A_{ij} via

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{00} &= (1+x^{2^{d-2}})A_{00}(x, y^2) + y(1-x^{2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x, y^2), \\ \alpha_{01} &= (1+x^{2^{d-2}})A_{01}(x, y^2) + y(1-x^{2^{d-2}})A_{11}(x, y^2), \\ \alpha_{10} &= (1+y^{2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x^2, y) + x(1-y^{2^{d-2}})A_{11}(x^2, y), \\ \alpha_{11} &= (1+x^{2^{d-2}}y^{2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x^2, xy) + x(1-x^{2^{d-2}}y^{2^{d-2}})A_{11}(x^2, xy), \end{aligned}$$
(17)

and let the characters of E be

$$\psi_{ij} = (1 + (-1)^i x^{2^{d-1}})(1 + (-1)^j y^{2^{d-1}})$$
 for each $(i, j) \in U_2$.

We first use Proposition 2.4 to show it is sufficient to prove for each $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ that α_{ij} is a signature block with respect to ψ_{ij} . Let σ be the group automorphism of K_d that maps x to itself and maps y to xy. Then $\sigma(\alpha_{10}) = \alpha_{11}$ by definition, and σ fixes E, and

$$\sigma(\psi_{10}) = (1 - x^{2^{d-1}})(1 + x^{2^{d-1}}y^{2^{d-1}}) = (1 - x^{2^{d-1}})(1 - y^{2^{d-1}}) = \psi_{11}.$$

Therefore if α_{10} is a signature block on K_d with respect to ψ_{10} , then α_{11} is a signature block on K_d with respect to ψ_{11} by Proposition 2.4.

We next show that α_{00} is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on a set of coset representatives for *E* in K_d , and a similar argument shows that the same holds for α_{01} and α_{10} . By definition, $A_{00}(X, Y)$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

$$\{X^{i}Y^{j}, X^{i}Y^{j+2^{d-2}}, X^{i+2^{d-2}}Y^{j}, X^{i+2^{d-2}}Y^{j+2^{d-2}}\}$$

for $0 \le i < 2^{d-2}$, $0 \le j < 2^{d-2}$. Therefore $A_{00}(x, y^2)$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values $\{x^i y^{2j}, x^i y^{2j+2^{d-1}}, x^{i+2^{d-2}} y^{2j}, x^{i+2^{d-2}} y^{2j+2^{d-1}}\}$

for $0 \le i < 2^{d-2}$, $0 \le j < 2^{d-2}$, and so $(1 + x^{2^{d-2}})A_{00}(x, y^2)$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

{
$$x^{i}y^{2j}, x^{i}y^{2j+2^{d-1}}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{2j}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{2j+2^{d-1}}$$
}

for $0 \le i < 2^{d-1}$, $0 \le j < 2^{d-2}$. Likewise, $y(1 - x^{2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x, y^2)$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

{
$$x^{i}y^{2j+1}, x^{i}y^{2j+2^{d-1}+1}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{2j+1}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{2j+2^{d-1}+1}$$
}

for $0 \le i < 2^{d-1}, 0 \le j < 2^{d-2}$. Combining, α_{00} is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

{
$$x^{i}y^{j}, x^{i}y^{j+2^{d-1}}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{j}, x^{i+2^{d-1}}y^{j+2^{d-1}}$$
}

for $0 \le i < 2^{d-1}, 0 \le j < 2^{d-1}$.

It remains to show that in $\mathbb{Z}K_d$ we have

$$\alpha_{ij}\psi_{ij}\alpha_{ij}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2}\psi_{ij} \quad \text{for each } (i,j) \neq (1,1).$$
(18)

Using $x^{2^d} = 1$, for $i, k \in \{0, 1\}$ we have the identity

$$(1+x^{2^{d-1}})(1+(-1)^{i}x^{2^{d-2}})(1+(-1)^{k}x^{-2^{d-2}}) = \begin{cases} 2(1+x^{2^{d-1}})(1+(-1)^{i}x^{2^{d-2}}) & \text{if } i=k, \\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq k, \end{cases}$$

and multiplication by $1 + (-1)^j y^{2^{d-1}}$ for $j \in \{0, 1\}$ then gives

$$(1+(-1)^{i}x^{2^{d-2}})\psi_{0j}(1+(-1)^{k}x^{-2^{d-2}}) = \begin{cases} 2(1+x^{2^{d-1}})\chi_{ij}(x,y^{2}) & \text{if } i=k, \\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq k. \end{cases}$$
(19)

We can now establish (18) for (i, j) = (0, 0). Using (17), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{00}\psi_{00}\alpha_{00}^{(-1)} &= ((1+x^{2^{d-2}})A_{00}(x,y^2) + y(1-x^{2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x,y^2)) \times \psi_{00} \\ &\times ((1+x^{-2^{d-2}})A_{00}(x,y^2)^{(-1)} + y^{-1}(1-x^{-2^{d-2}})A_{10}(x,y^2)^{(-1)}) \\ &= 2(1+x^{2^{d-1}})A_{00}(x,y^2)\chi_{00}(x,y^2)A_{00}(x,y^2)^{(-1)} \\ &+ 2(1+x^{2^{d-1}})A_{10}(x,y^2)\chi_{10}(x,y^2)A_{10}(x,y^2)^{(-1)}, \end{aligned}$$
(20)

using (19) with $i \neq k$ to remove the terms involving $A_{00}(x, y^2)A_{10}(x, y^2)^{(-1)}$ and $A_{10}(x, y^2)A_{00}(x, y^2)^{(-1)}$, and using (19) with i = k to simplify the surviving terms. Take X = x and $Y = y^2$ in (16) to show that, in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle 1 - x^{2^{d-1}}, 1 - y^{2^d} \rangle$,

$$A_{ij}(x, y^2)\chi_{ij}(x, y^2)A_{ij}(x, y^2)^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-4}\chi_{ij}(x, y^2) \quad \text{for each } (i, j) \in U_2.$$

This implies that, in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle 1 - x^{2^d}, 1 - y^{2^d} \rangle$,

$$(1+x^{2^{d-1}})A_{ij}(x, y^2)\chi_{ij}(x, y^2)A_{ij}(x, y^2)^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-4}(1+x^{2^{d-1}})\chi_{ij}(x, y^2) \quad \text{for each } (i, j) \in U_2.$$

Substitution in (20) then gives

$$\alpha_{00}\psi_{00}\alpha_{00}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-3}(1+x^{2^{d-1}})(\chi_{00}(x, y^2) + \chi_{10}(x, y^2)) = 2^{2d-2}\psi_{00},$$

so (18) holds for (i, j) = (0, 0).

A similar derivation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{01}\psi_{01}\alpha_{01}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-3}(1+x^{2^{d-1}})(\chi_{01}(x,y^2)+\chi_{11}(x,y^2)) = 2^{2d-2}\psi_{01}, \\ &\alpha_{10}\psi_{10}\alpha_{10}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-3}(1+y^{2^{d-1}})(\chi_{10}(x^2,y)+\chi_{11}(x^2,y)) = 2^{2d-2}\psi_{10}, \end{aligned}$$

so that (18) holds for (i, j) = (0, 1) and (i, j) = (1, 0).

Therefore the α_{ij} form a signature set on K_d with respect to E. This shows that case d is true and completes the induction.

We next illustrate the recursive construction method used in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.3. A trivial signature set $\{A_{ij}^1 : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on C_2^2 with respect to itself is given by $A_{ij}^1 = 1$ for all $(i, j) \in U_2$.

Apply the recursion (17) with d = 2 to obtain the signature set $\{A_{ij}^2 : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on $C_4^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ with respect to $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$ given by

$$A_{00}^{2} = A_{01}^{2} = (1+x) + y(1-x) = 1 + x + y - xy,$$

$$A_{10}^{2} = (1+y) + x(1-y) = 1 + x + y - xy,$$

$$A_{11}^{2} = (1+xy) + x(1-xy) = 1 + x - x^{2}y + xy.$$

Apply the recursion (17) again with d = 3 to obtain the signature set $\{A_{ij}^3 : (i, j) \in U_2\}$ on $C_8^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ with respect to $\langle x^4, y^4 \rangle \cong C_2^2$ given by

$$\begin{split} A_{00}^{3} &= (1+x^{2})A_{00}^{2}(x, y^{2}) + y(1-x^{2})A_{10}^{2}(x, y^{2}) \\ &= (1+x^{2})(1+x+y^{2}-xy^{2}) + y(1-x^{2})(1+x+y^{2}-xy^{2}), \\ A_{01}^{3} &= (1+x^{2})A_{01}^{2}(x, y^{2}) + y(1-x^{2})A_{11}^{2}(x, y^{2}) \\ &= (1+x^{2})(1+x+y^{2}-xy^{2}) + y(1-x^{2})(1+x-x^{2}y^{2}+xy^{2}), \\ A_{10}^{3} &= (1+y^{2})A_{10}^{2}(x^{2}, y) + x(1-y^{2})A_{11}^{2}(x^{2}, y) \\ &= (1+y^{2})(1+x^{2}+y-x^{2}y) + x(1-y^{2})(1+x^{2}-x^{4}y+x^{2}y), \\ A_{11}^{3} &= (1+x^{2}y^{2})A_{10}^{2}(x^{2}, xy) + x(1-x^{2}y^{2})A_{11}^{2}(x^{2}, xy) \\ &= (1+x^{2}y^{2})(1+x^{2}+xy-x^{3}y) + x(1-x^{2}y^{2})(1+x^{2}-x^{5}y+x^{3}y). \end{split}$$

We note that the recursion (17) in the proof of Theorem 3.2 has a simpler form when expressed in terms of group ring elements $B_{ij} = A_{ij} \chi_{ij}$ and $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} \psi_{ij}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{00}(x, y) &= (1 + x^{2^{d-1}})(B_{00}(x, y^2) + yB_{10}(x, y^2)),\\ \beta_{01}(x, y) &= (1 + x^{2^{d-1}})(B_{01}(x, y^2) + yB_{11}(x, y^2)),\\ \beta_{10}(x, y) &= (1 + y^{2^{d-1}})(B_{10}(x^2, y) + xB_{11}(x^2, y)),\\ \beta_{11}(x, y) &= (1 - y^{2^{d-1}})(B_{10}(x^2, xy) + xB_{11}(x^2, xy)). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove Theorem 3.1 in full generality, using the proof of Theorem 3.2 as a model. We abbreviate some of the proof, focusing attention on the parts for which a new argument or additional care is needed.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof is by induction on $d \ge 1$. In the case d = 1, we have r = 2 and $\mathcal{K}_{1,2} = \{C_2^2\}$. The case d = 1 is therefore true, because there exists a trivial signature set on C_2^2 .

Assume all cases up to $d-1 \ge 1$ are true. We shall write $u = (i, j, u_3, ..., u_r) \in U_r$ as (i, j, v), where $v = (u_3, ..., u_r)$. Let

$$K_{d,r} = C_{2^{a_1}} \times \cdots \times C_{2^{a_r}} = \langle x, y, x_3, \dots, x_r \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{d,r},$$

where $x^{2^{a_1}} = y^{2^{a_2}} = x_3^{2^{a_3}} = \dots = x_r^{2^{a_r}} = 1$ and $d - r + 2 \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \ge a_r \ge 1$ and $\sum_i a_i = 2d - r + 2$. The unique subgroup of $K_{d,r}$ isomorphic to C_2^r is $E_{d,r} = \langle x^{2^{a_1-1}}, y^{2^{a_2-1}}, x_3^{2^{a_3-1}}, \dots, x_r^{2^{a_r-1}} \rangle$.

If $a_r = 1$, then by the inductive hypothesis there is a signature set on the group $\langle x, y, x_3, ..., x_{r-1} \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{d-1,r-1}$. In that case we may use Proposition 2.5 to combine this with a trivial signature set on C_2 in order to obtain the required signature set on $K_{d,r}$ with respect to $E_{d,r}$.

We may therefore take $d - r + 2 \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_r \ge 2$. This implies that $r \le d$, and if r > 2 then $a_3 \le d - r + 1$ (otherwise $2d - r + 2 = \sum_i a_i \ge 3(d - r + 2) + (r - 3)2 = 3d - r$, giving the contradiction $r \le d \le 2$). By the inductive hypothesis, the group

$$C_{2^{a_1-1}} \times C_{2^{a_2-1}} \times C_{2^{a_3}} \times \cdots \times C_{2^{a_r}} = \langle X, Y, x_3, \dots, x_r \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{d-1,r},$$

where $X^{2^{a_1-1}} = Y^{2^{a_2-1}} = x_3^{2^{a_3}} = \dots = x_r^{2^{a_r}} = 1$, therefore contains a signature set $\{A_{ijv} : (i, j, v) \in U_r\}$ with respect to $E_{d-1,r} = \langle X^{2^{a_1-2}}, Y^{2^{a_2-2}}, x_3^{2^{a_3-1}}, \dots, x_r^{2^{a_r-1}} \rangle$.

Regard each group ring element A_{ijv} as a polynomial in X, Y, x_3, \ldots, x_r , but abbreviate this as $A_{ijv}(X, Y)$ because we will make variable substitutions only for X and Y. Similarly, regard each character of $E_{d-1,r}$ as a polynomial

$$\chi_{ijv}(X,Y) = (1 + (-1)^{i} X^{2^{a_{1}-2}})(1 + (-1)^{j} Y^{2^{a_{2}-2}})\tau_{v}$$

where

$$\tau_v = (1 + (-1)^{u_3} x_3^{2^{a_3 - 1}}) \dots (1 + (-1)^{u_r} x_r^{2^{a_r - 1}}).$$

By assumption, in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y, x_3, ..., x_r]/\langle 1 - X^{2^{a_1-1}}, 1 - Y^{2^{a_2-1}}, 1 - x_3^{2^{a_3}}, ..., 1 - x_r^{2^{a_r}} \rangle$ we have

$$A_{ijv}(X,Y)\chi_{ijv}(X,Y)A_{ijv}(X,Y)^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r}\chi_{ijv}(X,Y) \quad \text{for each } (i,j,v) \in U_r.$$
(21)

We wish to construct a signature set $\{\alpha_{ijv} : (i, j, v) \in U_r\}$ on $K_{d,r}$ with respect to $E_{d,r}$. Define the α_{ijv} in $\mathbb{Z}K_{d,r}$ in terms of the polynomials A_{ijv} via

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{00v} &= (1 + x^{2^{a_1 - 2}}) A_{00v}(x, y^2) + y(1 - x^{2^{a_1 - 2}}) A_{10v}(x, y^2), \\ \alpha_{01v} &= (1 + x^{2^{a_1 - 2}}) A_{01v}(x, y^2) + y(1 - x^{2^{a_1 - 2}}) A_{11v}(x, y^2), \\ \alpha_{10v} &= (1 + y^{2^{a_2 - 2}}) A_{10v}(x^2, y) + x(1 - y^{2^{a_2 - 2}}) A_{11v}(x^2, y), \\ \alpha_{11v} &= (1 + x^{2^{a_1 - 2}} y^{2^{a_2 - 2}}) A_{10v}(x^2, x^{2^{a_1 - a_2}} y) + x(1 - x^{2^{a_1 - 2}} y^{2^{a_2 - 2}}) A_{11v}(x^2, x^{2^{a_1 - a_2}} y), \end{aligned}$$

$$(22)$$

and let the characters of $E_{d,r}$ be

$$\psi_{ijv} = (1 + (-1)^i x^{2^{a_1-1}})(1 + (-1)^j y^{2^{a_2-1}})\tau_v \quad \text{for each } (i, j, v) \in U_r.$$

We firstly use Proposition 2.4 to show it is sufficient to prove for each $(i, j, v) \neq (1, 1, v)$ that α_{ijv} is a signature block with respect to ψ_{ijv} . Let σ be the group automorphism of $K_{d,r}$ that maps x to itself and maps y to $x^{2^{a_1-a_2}}y$ (which has order 2^{a_2}). Then $\sigma(\alpha_{10v}) = \alpha_{11v}$ by definition, and σ fixes $E_{d,r}$,

378 T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland and $\sigma(\psi_{10v}) = \psi_{11v}$. Therefore if α_{10v} is a signature block on $K_{d,r}$ with respect to ψ_{10v} , then α_{11v} is a signature block on $K_{d,r}$ with respect to ψ_{11v} by Proposition 2.4.

We next show that each α_{00v} is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on a set of coset representatives for $E_{d,r}$ in $K_{d,r}$, and a similar argument shows that the same holds for each α_{01v} and α_{10v} . Fix $z = x_3^{i_3} \dots x_r^{i_r}$. By definition, $A_{00v}(X, Y)$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

$$\{X^{i}Y^{j}z, X^{i}Y^{j+2^{a_{2}-2}}z, X^{i+2^{a_{1}-2}}Y^{j}z, X^{i+2^{a_{1}-2}}Y^{j+2^{a_{2}-2}}z\}$$

for $0 \le i < 2^{a_1-2}$, $0 \le j < 2^{a_2-2}$. It follows that α_{00v} is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on exactly one of the four values

{
$$x^{i}y^{j}z, x^{i}y^{j+2^{a_{2}-1}}z, x^{i+2^{a_{1}-1}}y^{j}z, x^{i+2^{a_{1}-1}}y^{j+2^{a_{2}-1}}z$$
}

for $0 \le i < 2^{a_1 - 1}, 0 \le j < 2^{a_2 - 1}$.

It remains to show that in $\mathbb{Z}K_{d,r}$ we have

$$\alpha_{ijv}\psi_{ijv}\alpha_{ijv}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r+2}\psi_{ijv} \quad \text{for each } (i, j, v) \neq (1, 1, v).$$
(23)

For $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$, we have the identity

$$(1+(-1)^{i}x^{2^{a_{1}-2}})\psi_{0jv}(1+(-1)^{k}x^{-2^{a_{1}-2}}) = \begin{cases} 2(1+x^{2^{a_{1}-1}})\chi_{ijv}(x,y^{2}) & \text{if } i=k, \\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq k, \end{cases}$$
(24)

from which we now establish (23) for (i, j, v) = (0, 0, v). We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{00v}\psi_{00v}\alpha_{00v}^{(-1)} &= ((1+x^{2^{a_1-2}})A_{00v}(x,y^2) + y(1-x^{2^{a_1-2}})A_{10v}(x,y^2)) \times \psi_{00v} \\ &\times ((1+x^{-2^{a_1-2}})A_{00v}(x,y^2)^{(-1)} + y^{-1}(1-x^{-2^{a_1-2}})A_{10v}(x,y^2)^{(-1)}) \\ &= 2(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})A_{00v}(x,y^2)\chi_{00v}(x,y^2)A_{00v}(x,y^2)^{(-1)} \\ &+ 2(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})A_{10v}(x,y^2)\chi_{10v}(x,y^2)A_{10v}(x,y^2)^{(-1)}, \end{aligned}$$
(25)

using (24). Take X = x and $Y = y^2$ in (21) to show that, in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, x_3, ..., x_r]/(1 - x^{2^{a_1}}, 1 - y^{2^{a_2}}, 1 - x^{2^{a_3}}, ..., 1 - x^{2^{a_r}}),$

$$(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})A_{ijv}(x, y^2)\chi_{ijv}(x, y^2)A_{ijv}(x, y^2)^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r}(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})\chi_{ijv}(x, y^2) \quad \text{for each } (i, j, v) \in U_r.$$

Substitution in (25) then gives

$$\alpha_{00v}\psi_{00v}\alpha_{00v}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r+1}(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})(\chi_{00v}(x, y^2) + \chi_{10v}(x, y^2)) = 2^{2d-2r+2}\psi_{00v}$$

so (23) holds for (i, j, v) = (0, 0, v).

A similar derivation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{01v}\psi_{01v}\alpha_{01v}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r+1}(1+x^{2^{a_1-1}})(\chi_{01v}(x,y^2) + \chi_{11v}(x,y^2)) = 2^{2d-2r+2}\psi_{01v}, \\ &\alpha_{10v}\psi_{10v}\alpha_{10v}^{(-1)} = 2^{2d-2r+1}(1+y^{2^{a_2-1}})(\chi_{10v}(x^2,y) + \chi_{11v}(x^2,y)) = 2^{2d-2r+2}\psi_{10v}, \end{aligned}$$

so that (23) holds for (i, j, v) = (0, 1, v) and (i, j, v) = (1, 0, v).

Therefore the α_{ijv} form a signature set on $K_{d,r}$ with respect to $E_{d,r}$. This shows that case *d* is true and completes the induction.

We now illustrate the recursive construction method used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.4. We shall construct a signature set on $C_8 \times C_4^2$. By Example 3.3, there is a signature set $\{A'_{ik} : (i, k) \in U_2\}$ on $C_4^2 = \langle x, z \rangle$ with respect to $\langle x^2, z^2 \rangle$ given by

$$A'_{00} = A'_{01} = A'_{10} = 1 + x + z - xz,$$
$$A'_{11} = 1 + x - x^2z + xz$$

Use the product construction of Proposition 2.5 to combine this with a trivial signature set on C_2 , producing a signature set $\{A_{ijk} : (i, j, k) \in U_3\}$ on $C_4 \times C_2 \times C_4 = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ with respect to $\langle x^2, y, z^2 \rangle \cong C_2^3$ given by

$$A_{000} = A_{010} = A_{001} = A_{011} = A_{100} = A_{110} = 1 + x + z - xz,$$
$$A_{101} = A_{111} = 1 + x - x^2 z + xz.$$

Now apply the recursion (22) to produce a signature set $\{\alpha_{ijk} : (i, j, k) \in U_3\}$ on $C_8 \times C_4^2 = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ with respect to $\langle x^4, y^2, z^2 \rangle \cong C_2^3$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{000} &= (1+x^2)(1+x+z-xz) + y(1-x^2)(1+x+z-xz), \\ \alpha_{001} &= (1+x^2)(1+x+z-xz) + y(1-x^2)(1+x-x^2z+xz), \\ \alpha_{010} &= (1+x^2)(1+x+z-xz) + y(1-x^2)(1+x+z-xz), \\ \alpha_{011} &= (1+x^2)(1+x+z-xz) + y(1-x^2)(1+x-x^2z+xz), \\ \alpha_{100} &= (1+y)(1+x^2+z-x^2z) + x(1-y)(1+x^2+z-x^2z), \\ \alpha_{101} &= (1+y)(1+x^2-x^4z+x^2z) + x(1-y)(1+x^2-x^4z+x^2z), \\ \alpha_{110} &= (1+x^2y)(1+x^2+z-x^2z) + x(1-x^2y)(1+x^2+z-x^2z), \\ \alpha_{111} &= (1+x^2y)(1+x^2-x^4z+x^2z) + x(1-x^2y)(1+x^2-x^4z+x^2z). \end{aligned}$$

4. Further construction methods

As shown in Table 1, our main result (Theorem 1.15) uses signature sets on abelian groups to provide constructions for difference sets in the great majority of the groups of order 64 and 256 that are not excluded by Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. In this section, we describe the methods that were used to show that the 22 remaining groups of order 64, and the 1,416 remaining groups of order 256, all belong to \mathcal{H} .

In Section 4A, we present a construction method arising under Theorem 2.3 from a signature set on a nonabelian group; recall that Definition 2.1 for a signature set does not require the group K to be abelian. In Section 4B, we present a product construction using perfect ternary arrays, without constraining these arrays in relation to a subgroup. In Section 4C, we describe three nonsystematic methods of transferring a difference set in one group to another. We used the methods of Sections 4A–4C to establish that all but one of the 22 remaining nonexcluded groups of order 64, and all but one of the 1,416 remaining nonexcluded groups of order 256, belong to \mathcal{H} . In Section 4D, we describe the construction of a Hadamard difference set in both of these final groups using group representations. In Section 4E, we show that the signature set construction of Section 4A and the perfect ternary array product construction of Section 4B are closely

related and can sometimes be combined, which could in future assist in determining which 2-groups of order larger than 256 belong to \mathcal{H} .

4A. *Signature set on nonabelian group.* Our first construction method applies Theorem 2.3 to a signature set on a nonabelian group to produce Hadamard difference sets in a variety of larger groups. We illustrate this method by exhibiting a signature set on the quaternion group of order 8.

Proposition 4.1. Let $Q = \langle x, y : x^4 = y^4 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}, x^2 = y^2 \rangle$ be the quaternion group of order 8, and let G be a group of order 16 containing a subgroup isomorphic to Q. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $E_1 = \langle x^2 \rangle \cong C_2$, and let

$$\chi_0 = 1 + x^2, \quad \chi_1 = 1 - x^2$$

be the characters of E_1 . Since E_1 is the unique subgroup of Q isomorphic to C_2 , and Q has index 2 and so is normal in G, we have that E_1 is normal in G. Therefore by Theorem 2.3 with r = 1, it is sufficient to exhibit a signature set $\{A_0, A_1\}$ on Q with respect to E_1 (and then according to (14) there is a difference set in G of the form $g_0A_0\chi_0 + g_1A_1\chi_1$).

Let A = 1 - x - y - xy, and let $\{A_0, A_1\} = \{A, A\}$. Then A is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on a set of coset representatives for E_1 in Q, and direct calculation shows that $AA^{(-1)} = 4$ in $\mathbb{Z}Q$. Since E_1 is a central subgroup of Q, we therefore have in $\mathbb{Z}Q$ that

$$A_{u}\chi_{u}A_{u}^{(-1)} = A_{u}A_{u}^{(-1)}\chi_{u} = 4\chi_{u} = \frac{1}{2}|Q|\chi_{u} \text{ for } u \in \{0, 1\},$$

as required.

As noted prior to Table 1, we can use Theorem 1.15 and Proposition 4.1 to recover the classification of Hadamard groups of order 16 carried out in the 1970s: Theorem 1.15 accounts for the 10 groups containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to C_2^2 , and Proposition 4.1 accounts for 2 further groups (the generalized quaternion group and the semidihedral group) containing a subgroup isomorphic to Q.

Furthermore, using Proposition 2.5 we may now take the product of a signature set on Q with respect to E_1 given in the proof of Proposition 4.1, and a trivial signature set on C_2 , to give a signature set on $Q \times C_2$ with respect to $E_1 \times C_2 \cong C_2^2$. Then from Theorem 2.3, every group of order 64 containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to $Q \times C_2$ belongs to \mathcal{H} .

We now use a Hadamard difference set to construct a signature set on certain groups of order 2^{2d+1} .

Proposition 4.2. Suppose *D* is a Hadamard difference set in a group *H*, and let $E_1 \cong C_2$. Then $\{D, D\}$ is a signature set on $H \times E_1$ with respect to E_1 .

Proof. We are given that *D* is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on the set *H* of coset representatives for E_1 in $H \times E_1$. Let $\{A_0, A_1\} = \{D, D\}$, and write $E_1 = \langle x \rangle$ so that the characters of E_1 are $\chi_0 = 1 + x$ and $\chi_1 = 1 - x$. Since *x* commutes with *D*, we have in $\mathbb{Z}(H \times E_1)$ that

$$A_u \chi_u A_u^{(-1)} = DD^{(-1)} \chi_u = |H| \chi_u = \frac{1}{2} |H \times E_1| \chi_u \quad \text{for } u \in \{0, 1\},$$

as required.

Corollary 4.3. Suppose $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let G be a group containing a normal subgroup $E_1 \cong C_2$, and containing $H \times E_1$ as a subgroup of index 2. Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, there exists a signature set on $H \times E_1$ with respect to E_1 . Since E_1 and $H \times E_1$ are both normal in G, we have $G \in \mathcal{H}$ by Theorem 2.3.

The technique of constructing Hadamard difference sets from signature sets on nonabelian groups appears to have significant potential, but we do not currently have a method of producing such signature sets that is as powerful as the recursive construction used to prove Theorem 3.1 for abelian groups.

4B. *Product of perfect ternary arrays.* Our second construction method relies on a key feature of the proof of Proposition 4.1, namely the existence of a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued function A on the group Q satisfying $AA^{(-1)} = 4$ in $\mathbb{Z}Q$. This function A is also $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for a subgroup of Q, but we do not require this additional structure in the following definition.

Definition 4.4. Let *G* be a group. A *perfect ternary array* in *G* is a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued function *T* on *G* satisfying $TT^{(-1)} = c$ in $\mathbb{Z}G$ for some integer *c*.

The set of elements of a group G on which a group ring element $A \in \mathbb{Z}G$ is nonzero is the *support* of A; the size of this set is the *weight* of A, written wt(A). We firstly show that the integer c in Definition 4.4 is equal to the weight of the perfect ternary array, and that it is a square.

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a group, and suppose $T = \sum_{g \in G} t_g g$ is a perfect ternary array where each $t_g \in \{+1, 0, -1\}$. Then $TT^{(-1)} = wt(T) = \left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g\right)^2$.

Proof. For some integer c, we have

$$c = TT^{(-1)} = \left(\sum_{h \in G} t_h h\right) \left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g g^{-1}\right) = \sum_{k \in G} \left(\sum_{g \in G} t_{kg} t_g\right) k$$

by writing $k = hg^{-1}$. Comparison of the coefficients of 1_G and $k \neq 1_G$ gives

$$c = \sum_{g \in G} t_g^2,$$

$$0 = \sum_{g \in G} t_{kg} t_g \quad \text{for } k \neq 1_G.$$
(26)

These relations together give

$$c = \sum_{k \in G} \sum_{g \in G} t_{kg} t_g = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} t_h \right) t_g = \left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \right)^2.$$

The result follows by combining with (26), noting that $\sum_{g \in G} t_g^2 = \text{wt}(T)$ because T is $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued.

By Lemma 4.5 and (1), we may regard a Hadamard difference set in a group *G* as a perfect ternary array *T* in *G* for which $TT^{(-1)} = |G|$. A survey of results on the matrix representation of a perfect ternary array in an abelian group is given in [Arasu and Dillon 1999]. We next give two examples of perfect ternary arrays of weight 4, whose properties can be verified by direct calculation. The second example appears in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

- **Example 4.6** (unpublished work of Dillon [1990]). (i) Suppose *G* is a group containing a nonidentity element *x* and an involution (element of order 2) *y* that commutes with *x*. Then T = 1 x y xy is a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in *G*.
- (ii) Let $Q = \langle x, y : x^4 = y^4 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}, x^2 = y^2 \rangle$ be the quaternion group of order 8. Then T = 1 x y xy is a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in Q.

Every perfect ternary array of weight 4 in a group of even order is equivalent to Example 4.6(i) or (ii) [Bhattacharya and Smith 2008, Lemma 2].

We now construct a Hadamard difference set as a product of perfect ternary arrays.

Proposition 4.7 (unpublished work of Dillon [1990], Bhattacharya and Smith [2008]). Let T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_s be subsets of a group G, and let $D = \prod_{i=1}^{s} T_i$. Suppose that

- (i) each T_i is a perfect ternary array in G,
- (ii) wt(D) = $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{wt}(T_i)$,
- (iii) wt(D) = |G|.

Then D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

Proof. By condition (ii), D is a {+1, 0, -1}-valued function on G. Now $DD^{(-1)} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{wt}(T_i)$ by Lemma 4.5, and then by conditions (ii) and (iii) we have $DD^{(-1)} = |G|$.

Since a Hadamard difference set is a special case of a perfect ternary array, we may regard Theorem 1.2 as constructing a Hadamard difference set in *G* as the product D_1D_2 of two perfect ternary arrays D_1 and D_2 contained in subgroups H_1 and H_2 of *G*. In contrast, Proposition 4.7 constructs Hadamard difference sets as the product of *s* perfect ternary arrays T_i , with the important relaxation that each T_i need not be structurally constrained in relation to a subgroup of *G*.

This generality gives Proposition 4.7 considerable power. We take each T_i to be either a perfect ternary array of weight 4 (having one of the two forms of Example 4.6), or else a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup of G. This allows us to construct all 27 inequivalent difference sets in the 12 groups of order 16 contained in \mathcal{H} [Bhattacharya and Smith 2008]; a difference set in 17 of the 22 remaining nonexcluded groups of order 64; and a difference set in 1,358 of the 1,416 remaining nonexcluded groups of order 256; see Table 1. However, the same generality means that testing whether a group G lies in \mathcal{H} because of Proposition 4.7 (involving a computer search over all suitable perfect ternary arrays) is significantly slower than testing whether G lies in \mathcal{H} because of Theorem 1.15 (involving simply testing whether Gcontains a suitable normal abelian subgroup); see Section 5 for further details. **4C.** *Transfer methods.* The construction methods of previous sections are collectively sufficient to demonstrate that the great majority of the groups of order 64 and 256 that are not excluded by Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 belong to \mathcal{H} . The key in almost all of these demonstrations is the existence of a signature set on a normal subgroup, from which a difference set arises using Theorem 2.3. Nonetheless, while the signature set concept is very powerful, it does not appear to be sufficient to determine \mathcal{H} completely. The reason is that some groups (2 of order 64, and 10 of order 256) have the property that each of their normal subgroups also occurs as a normal subgroup of a group that is not in \mathcal{H} . We therefore require construction methods that do not rely on a signature set. We now describe three such methods, each of which uses a difference set in one group to discover a difference set in another (and so "transfers" a difference set between the two groups).

The first transfer method makes use of the equivalence between a difference set in a group G and a symmetric design on whose points G acts as a regular (sharply transitive) automorphism group. If the full automorphism group of the design is sufficiently large, it may well contain other subgroups which also act regularly on the points of the design; in this case, each of these subgroups also contains a difference set. For example, the group C_2^4 contains a difference set giving a (16, 6, 2) symmetric design whose 2-rank is 6, and the automorphism group of this design contains 12 nonisomorphic subgroups of order 16 acting regularly on the points of the design. We thereby transfer a single difference set in C_2^4 contains a difference set giving a (64, 28, 12) symmetric design whose 2-rank is 8, and the automorphism group of this design contains 8, and the automorphism group of this design contains 171 nonisomorphic subgroups of order 64 acting regularly on the points of the design. We thereby transfer a single difference set in difference set in C_2^6 to 170 of the other 258 Hadamard groups of order 64.

The second transfer method applies when a difference set gives an algebraic structure in the group ring that also exists in other group rings. An example is Dillon's proof [1985] of Theorem 1.5, which transfers a putative difference set in a group with a large dihedral quotient to a difference set in a group with a large cyclic quotient in order to apply the nonexistence result of Theorem 1.3. A second example is Theorem 2.3, which can be viewed as using Theorem 1.9 to transfer a difference set in an abelian group that contains K to a difference set in a variety of nonabelian groups containing K. A third example is [Dillon 1990a, Theorem 2], which transfers a difference set among groups sharing a subgroup H of index 2 and a central element g not in H. In general, suppose that a group G is known to contain a difference set D, and that G contains a large normal subgroup K. Let $\{g_u\}$ be a set of coset representatives for K in G, and partition the elements of D according to their membership of the cosets of K to write $D = \sum_u g_u D_u$, where each $D_u \in \mathbb{Z}K$. Now let G' be a group having the same order as G and containing a normal subgroup K' isomorphic to K. Let ϕ be an isomorphism from K to K'. To transfer the difference set D from G to G' we seek, by hand or by computer search, a set of coset representatives $\{g'_u\}$ for K' in G' for which $\sum_u g'_u \phi(D_u)$ is a difference set in G'.

The third transfer method takes advantage of the structure created by the GAP method for labeling group elements. A difference set D with parameters (v, k, λ) in a group G of order v can be represented in GAP as a k-subset S of the element labels $\{1, 2, ..., v\}$. Given such a subset S representing a difference

set in G, we test in GAP whether the same subset S also represents a difference set in another group G' of order v. This method appears to have a greater chance of success when the GAP numbering for G' is close to that of G, which often occurs when G' has similar structure to G.

None of these three transfer methods is systematic, and it is not yet clear when they can be expected to succeed. Nonetheless, we were able to apply them to show that all but one of the remaining 5 nonexcluded groups of order 64, and all but one of the remaining 58 nonexcluded groups of order 256, belong to \mathcal{H} ; see Table 1. We construct a difference set in the final group of order 64 and of order 256 in Section 4D.

4D. *The final group of order 64 and of order 256.* The final two groups whose membership in \mathcal{H} we wish to demonstrate are the order 64 modular group

$$M_{64} = C_{32} \rtimes_{17} C_2 = \langle x, y : x^{32} = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{17} \rangle,$$

and the order 256 group

$$C_{64} \rtimes_{47} C_4 = \langle x, y : x^{64} = y^4 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{47} \rangle$$

that is referenced in [GAP 2020] as SmallGroup(256, 536). These nonabelian groups are each a cyclic extension of a cyclic group, and have small center and high exponent. Historically, they were the last groups of their order whose membership in \mathcal{H} was determined: M_{64} in 1991 [Liebler and Smith 1993], and SmallGroup(256, 536) in 2016 [Yolland 2016].

We firstly describe the original construction method used in [Liebler and Smith 1993] and [Yolland 2016], which strengthens the representation theory approach used in [Davis and Smith 1994, Section 5] to construct a difference set in the order 256 group $C_{64} \rtimes_{33} C_4 = \langle x, y : x^{64} = y^4 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{33} \rangle$. We shall then reinterpret these constructions as arising from a modification of a signature set.

Proposition 4.8. Let G be a 2-group, let g be a central involution in G, and let \natural be the natural map from G onto $G/\langle g \rangle$. Suppose there are $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued functions D_0 , D_1 on G for which $D_0(1+g)$ and $D_1(1-g)$ have disjoint supports whose union is G, and for which

$$\natural (D_0) \natural (D_0)^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{4} |G| \quad in \mathbb{Z}(G/\langle g \rangle),$$
(27)

$$D_1(1-g)D_1^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{4}|G|(1-g) \quad in \mathbb{Z}G.$$
(28)

Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$ *.*

Proof. We note that the existence of a central involution g in the 2-group G follows from the class equation for finite groups. Let

$$D = D_0(1+g) + D_1(1-g) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}G,$$
(29)

which is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on G by the assumption on the supports of $D_0(1+g)$ and $D_1(1-g)$.

We now calculate

$$DD^{(-1)} = 2D_0(1+g)D_0^{(-1)} + 2D_1(1-g)D_1^{(-1)} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}G.$$
(30)

By (27), in $\mathbb{Z}(G/\langle g \rangle)$ we have

 $\frac{1}{4}|G|1_{G/\langle g\rangle} = \natural(D_0)\natural(D_0)^{(-1)} = (D_0\langle g\rangle)(D_0^{(-1)}\langle g\rangle) = D_0D_0^{(-1)}\langle g\rangle,$

so that in $\mathbb{Z}G$ we have

$$\frac{1}{4}|G|(1+g) = D_0 D_0^{(-1)}(1+g) = D_0(1+g) D_0^{(-1)}$$

because g is central in G. Substitute this and (28) into (30) to obtain

$$DD^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{2}|G|(1+g) + \frac{1}{2}|G|(1-g) = |G|.$$

Therefore D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

When applying Proposition 4.8, we firstly seek a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_0 satisfying condition (27), namely that $\natural(D_0)$ is a perfect ternary array of weight $\frac{1}{4}|G|$ in the factor group $G/\langle g \rangle$. We then seek a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_1 satisfying (28) for which $D_0(1+g)$ and $D_1(1-g)$ have disjoint supports whose union is G. It turns out that finding D_0 is relatively easy, whereas finding D_1 is much more difficult.

Example 4.9 (Liebler and Smith [1993] construction for M_{64}). We apply Proposition 4.8 to construct a Hadamard difference set in $M_{64} = C_{32} \rtimes_{17} C_2 = \langle x, y : x^{32} = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{17} \rangle$. The center of M_{64} is $\langle x^2 \rangle$, so x^{16} is a central involution.

A $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_0 satisfying

$$\mathfrak{l}(D_0)\mathfrak{l}(D_0)^{(-1)} = 16 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}(M_{64}/\langle x^{16} \rangle)$$

is given by

$$D_0 = A_{00}(1+y) + A_{01}(1-y),$$

where

$$A_{00} = -x^7(1+x^8) + (1-x^8)$$
, and $A_{01} = x(1+x^8) + x^4(1-x^8)$.

This was easily found by hand, because the factor group $M_{64}/\langle x^{16}\rangle$ is isomorphic to the abelian group $C_{16} \times C_2$.

A $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_1 satisfying

$$D_1(1-x^{16})D_1^{(-1)} = 16(1-x^{16})$$
 in $\mathbb{Z}M_{64}$

is given by

$$D_1 = A_{10}(1+y) + A_{11}(1-y),$$

where

$$A_{10} = (x^6 - x^5)(1 - x^8)$$
, and $A_{11} = (x^2 + x^3)(1 + x^8)$.

This was found by hand using the irreducible representations induced by the character (homomorphism) that maps x^{16} to -1.

385

Now $D_0(1+x^{16})$ has support $(1+x+x^4+x^7)\langle x^8, y\rangle$, and $D_1(1-x^{16})$ has support $(x^2+x^3+x^5+x^6)\langle x^8, y\rangle$. These supports are disjoint and their union is M_{64} . We conclude from the construction of Proposition 4.8 that $D = D_0(1+x^{16}) + D_1(1-x^{16})$ corresponds to a difference set in M_{64} .

Example 4.10 (Yolland [2016] construction for SmallGroup(256, 536)). We apply Proposition 4.8 to construct a Hadamard difference set in $G = C_{64} \rtimes_{47} C_4 = \langle x, y : x^{64} = y^4 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{47} \rangle$. The center of *G* is $\langle x^{32} \rangle$, so x^{32} is a central involution.

A $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_0 satisfying

$$\natural(D_0)\natural(D_0)^{(-1)} = 64 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}(G/\langle x^{32} \rangle)$$

is given by

$$D_0 = A_{00}(1+y^2) + A_{01}(1-y^2),$$

where

$$A_{00} = ((1 - x^8) - x^2(1 + x^8))(1 + x^{16}) + (x^5 + x^6 y)(1 + x^8)(1 - x^{16}),$$

$$A_{01} = ((1 - x^8) - x^5(1 + x^8))y(1 + x^{16}) + (-x^3(1 - x^8)y + x^3(1 + x^8))(1 - x^{16}).$$

This was found by hand by seeking a perfect ternary array of weight 64 in the nonabelian factor group $G/\langle x^{32} \rangle \cong C_{32} \rtimes_{15} C_4$.

A $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued group ring element D_1 satisfying

$$D_1(1-x^{32})D_1^{(-1)} = 64(1-x^{32})$$
 in $\mathbb{Z}G$

is given by

$$D_1 = A_{10}(1+y^2) + A_{11}(1-y^2),$$

where

$$A_{10} = -((x + x^4 + x^9 + x^{12} + x^{14})(1 + x^{16}) + (x^6 + x^7 - x^{15})(1 - x^{16})),$$

$$A_{11} = -((x - x^9 + x^{10})(1 + x^{16}) + (x^2 + x^4 - x^7 + x^{12} - x^{15})(1 - x^{16}))y.$$

This was found by a difficult computer search. Although a naive search for D_1 involves a search space of size 2^{64} , the search was shortened by using the irreducible representations induced by the character (homomorphism) that maps x^{32} to -1, and by making some simplifying assumptions about the structure of the target difference set [Yolland 2016].

Now $D_0(1+x^{32})$ has support $(1+x^2+x^3+x^5+(1+x^3+x^5+x^6)y)\langle x^8, y^2 \rangle$, and $D_1(1-x^{32})$ has support $(x+x^4+x^6+x^7+(x+x^2+x^4+x^7)y)\langle x^8, y^2 \rangle$. These supports are disjoint and their union is *G*. We conclude from the construction of Proposition 4.8 that $D = D_0(1+x^{32}) + D_1(1-x^{32})$ corresponds to a difference set in *G*.

We now reinterpret Examples 4.9 and 4.10 as arising from a modification of a signature set.

Lemma 4.11. Let G be a group containing a normal subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$ be the set of characters of E. Let A_u be a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued function on G for each $u \in U_r$, where the A_u have disjoint supports whose union is a set of coset representatives for E_r in G. Suppose that

$$\sum_{u \in U_r} A_u \chi_u A_u^{(-1)} = \frac{|G|}{2^r} \quad in \ \mathbb{Z}G.$$
 (31)

Then $G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let

$$D=\sum_{u\in U_r}A_u\chi_u\quad\text{in }\mathbb{Z}G,$$

which by the assumption on the supports of the A_u is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued function on G. We calculate $DD^{(-1)} = |G|$ using Proposition 1.7(i), and so D corresponds to a Hadamard difference set in G.

By Proposition 1.7(ii), one way to achieve (31) in Lemma 4.11 would be for the A_u to satisfy the condition in $\mathbb{Z}G$ that

$$A_u \chi_u A_u^{(-1)} = \frac{|G|}{2^{2r}} \chi_u \quad \text{for each } u \in U_r.$$
(32)

Such a set of A_u would be similar, but not identical, to a signature set on G with respect to E: the conditions on the supports in Lemma 4.11 are different from those in Definition 2.1, and the constant in (32) is $|G|/2^{2r}$ rather than $|G|/2^r$.

A crucial observation in reinterpreting Examples 4.9 and 4.10 is that a weaker condition than (32) suffices. In particular, in the case r = 2, this condition can be weakened to

$$A_{0j}\chi_{0j}A_{0j}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{16}|G|\chi_{0j} \quad \text{for each } j \in \{0, 1\},$$
(33)

$$A_{10}\chi_{10}A_{10}^{(-1)} + A_{11}\chi_{11}A_{11}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{16}|G|(\chi_{10} + \chi_{11}),$$
(34)

in which the expressions $A_{10}\chi_{10}A_{10}^{(-1)}$ and $A_{11}\chi_{11}A_{11}^{(-1)}$ behave like a "complementary pair" whose sum is the same as if (32) held.

In Example 4.9, the group M_{64} contains the normal subgroup $E_2 = \langle x^{16}, y \rangle \cong C_2^2$ whose characters are

$$\chi_{ij} = (1 + (-1)^i x^{16})(1 + (-1)^j y) \text{ for } (i, j) \in U_2.$$

The difference set D takes the form

$$D = D_0(1+x^{16}) + D_1(1-x^{16}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in U_2} A_{ij}\chi_{ij}$$

where the A_{ij} take the values specified in the example. These A_{ij} satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.11 on their supports. Since conjugation by *x* fixes χ_{00} and χ_{01} but swaps χ_{10} and χ_{11} , we find by direct calculation that

$$A_{0j}\chi_{0j}A_{0j}^{(-1)} = 4\chi_{0j}$$
 for each $j \in \{0, 1\}$

$$A_{10}\chi_{10}A_{10}^{(-1)} + A_{11}\chi_{11}A_{11}^{(-1)} = (2(1-x^{-1})\chi_{10} + 2(1-x)\chi_{11}) + (2(1+x^{-1})\chi_{10} + 2(1+x)\chi_{11})$$

= 4(\chi_10 + \chi_11),

so that (33) and (34) hold.

The reinterpretation of Example 4.10 is similar. SmallGroup(256, 536) contains the normal subgroup $E_2 = \langle x^{32}, y^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$, whose characters are

$$\chi_{ij} = (1 + (-1)^i x^{32})(1 + (-1)^j y^2)$$
 for $(i, j) \in U_2$.

The difference set D takes the form

$$D = D_0(1+x^{32}) + D_1(1-x^{32}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in U_2} A_{ij}\chi_{ij}$$

where the A_{ij} take the values specified in the example. These A_{ij} satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.11 on their supports. Conjugation by *x* fixes χ_{00} and χ_{01} but swaps χ_{10} and χ_{11} , and we find once again (after a long calculation) that (33) and (34) hold.

4E. *Combination of signature sets and perfect ternary arrays.* The nonabelian signature set approach of Section 4A and the perfect ternary array product construction of Section 4B are closely related. For example, Proposition 4.2 may be interpreted as constructing a signature set on $H \times E_1$ from a perfect ternary array *D* in *H*. We now illustrate how a perfect ternary array in a factor group can be used to create a signature block with respect to a specific character. We believe the illustrated method could be useful in future studies of the existence pattern for Hadamard difference sets in 2-groups of order greater than 256.

Lemma 4.12. Let K be a group containing a central subgroup $E \cong C_2^r$, and let χ be a character of E. Suppose that $\chi = H\chi'$ in $\mathbb{Z}E$ for some subgroup H of E. Let \natural be the natural map from K onto K/H, and suppose that A is a $\{+1, 0, -1\}$ -valued function on K for which $\natural(A)$ is a perfect ternary array of weight 2^{2j} in K/H. Then

$$A\chi A^{(-1)} = 2^{2j}\chi \quad in \mathbb{Z}K.$$

Proof. Since $\natural(A)$ is a perfect ternary array of weight 2^{2j} in K/H, in $\mathbb{Z}(K/H)$ we have by Lemma 4.5 that

$$2^{2j} 1_{K/H} = \natural(A)\natural(A)^{(-1)} = (AH)(A^{(-1)}H) = AA^{(-1)}H.$$

For $k \in K$, interpret the element kH in K/H as |H| elements in K, so that in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}K$ the above equation becomes

$$2^{2j}H = AA^{(-1)}H.$$

By assumption we have $\chi = H\chi'$, and H and χ' are central in K because E is. Therefore in $\mathbb{Z}K$ we have

$$A\chi A^{(-1)} = AH\chi' A^{(-1)} = AA^{(-1)}H\chi' = 2^{2j}H\chi' = 2^{2j}\chi.$$

In Lemma 4.12, note that the group ring condition $\chi = H\chi'$ is equivalent to $H \in \text{Ker}(\chi)$ when the character χ is considered as a homomorphism of *E*. Also note that if *E* has index 2^{2j} in *K*, and *A* is $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued on a set of coset representatives for *E* in *K*, then the conclusion of Lemma 4.12 is that *A* is a signature block on *K* with respect to χ .

We now use Lemma 4.12 to explain the origin of the signature set introduced in Example 1.13.

Example 4.13. Let $K = \langle X, Y \rangle \cong C_4^2$ and $E = \langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$, and let $\{\chi_u : u \in U_2\}$ be the set of characters of *E*. We use Lemma 4.12 to construct the signature set

$$A_{00} = A_{01} = A_{10} = 1 + X + Y - XY$$
 and $A_{11} = 1 + X + Y + XY$

on K that was presented in Example 1.13 without explanation of its origin.

For $\chi = \chi_{00}$ or χ_{10} , take $H = \langle Y^2 \rangle$ and A = 1 - X - Y - XY. Then $\natural(A)$ is a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in K/H by Example 4.6(i), because $\natural(Y)$ is an involution that commutes with the nonidentity element $\natural(X)$. Lemma 4.12 then shows that A is a signature block on K with respect to χ_{00} and χ_{10} . Since $A_{00}\chi_{00} = -XYA\chi_{00}$ and $A_{10}\chi_{10} = XA\chi_{10}$ in $\mathbb{Z}K$, it follows from Definition 2.1 and Proposition 1.7(i) that $A_{00} = A_{10}$ is a signature block on K with respect to both χ_{00} and χ_{10} . By symmetry in X and Y, it follows that A_{01} is also a signature block on K with respect to χ_{01} .

For $\chi = \chi_{11}$, take $H = \langle X^2 Y^2 \rangle$ and $A = 1 + X + XY - X^2 Y$. Then $\natural(A)$ is a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in K/H by Example 4.6(i), because $\natural(XY)$ is an involution that commutes with the nonidentity element $\natural(X)$. By Lemma 4.12 and the relation $A_{11}\chi_{11} = A\chi_{11}$ in $\mathbb{Z}K$, we conclude that A_{11} is a signature block on K with respect to χ_{11} .

5. Computer implementation for groups of order 256

In this section, we provide further details of the streamlined procedure used to establish that each of the 56,049 groups of order 256 not excluded by Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 belongs to \mathcal{H} . We then describe online databases containing difference sets found by this procedure, and explain how the overall result can be quickly verified on a desktop computer using the accepted GAP package *DifSets* [Peifer 2019; DifSets 2019]. We note that *DifSets* provides (via the LoadDifferenceSets command) a listing of all inequivalent difference sets in groups of order 16 and 64.

5A. *Procedure.* As previously summarized in Section 1, the streamlined procedure for groups of order 256 comprises three stages:

Stage 1: Use Theorem 1.15 to account for the 54,633 groups containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to C_2^4 or $C_4^2 \times C_2$ or C_8^2 .

Stage 2: Use the product construction of Proposition 4.7 to account for 1, 358 further groups.

Stage 3: Apply the transfer methods of Section 4C to account for 57 further groups, and the modified signature set method of Section 4D to account for the final group. We do not describe this stage further.

The relationship between the groups handled by Stages 1 and 2 is shown in Figure 1.



390 T. Applebaum, J. Clikeman, J. A. Davis, J. F. Dillon, J. Jedwab, T. Rabbani, K. Smith and W. Yolland

Figure 1. Theorem 1.15 and Proposition 4.7 show that at most 58 of the 56,049 nonexcluded groups of order 256 lie outside \mathcal{H} .

In Stage 1, we wish to construct a difference set in a group *G* of order 256 containing a normal abelian subgroup *K*, where *K* is isomorphic to C_2^4 or $C_4^2 \times C_2$ or C_8^2 . A signature set on *K* is provided trivially for the case C_2^4 (see the remark following Definition 2.1), by Example 3.4 for the case $C_4^2 \times C_2$, and by Example 3.3 for the case C_8^2 . We then apply the method in the proof of Theorem 2.3 to construct a difference set in *G*. This requires a set $\{g_u : u \in U_r\}$ of coset representatives for *K* in *G* satisfying (13), namely

$$\{g_u \chi_u g_u^{-1} : u \in U_r\} = \{\chi_u : u \in U_r\}$$

The existence of such a set is guaranteed by Theorem 1.9, but the proof of this result in [Drisko 1998] is nonconstructive. We therefore conduct a search for a suitable set of coset representatives $\{g_u\}$. This search is exhaustive for the cases $C_4^2 \times C_2$ and C_8^2 , but random for the case C_2^4 whose search space has size $15! > 10^{12}$.

The results of applying this search procedure to all 56,049 nonexcluded groups, for each of the three choices of K independently, are shown in Figure 2.

In Stage 2, we distinguish six instances of the product construction of Proposition 4.7 according to the form of its input perfect ternary arrays $T_1, T_2, ..., T_s$.

- (i) $\mathbf{H}_{64} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_4$ form. Take T_1 to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup H_1 of G of order 64, and T_2 to be a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in G having the form of Example 4.6(ii) where the quaternion group $Q = \langle x, y \rangle$ of order 8 intersects H_1 in the two-element subgroup $\{1, x^2\}$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{H_{64}} \cdot \mathbf{H_4}$ form. Take T_1 to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup H_1 of G of order 64, and T_2 to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup H_2 of G of order 4, where $G = H_1H_2$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$.
- (iii) $\mathbf{H_{16}} \cdot \mathbf{H_{16}}$ form. For i = 1, 2, take T_i to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup H_i of G of order 16, where $G = H_1 H_2$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$.
- (iv) $\mathbf{H_{64}} \cdot \mathbf{T_1}$ form. Take T_1 to be a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in *G* having the form of Example 4.6(i), and T_2 to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup of *G* of order 64.



Figure 2. Theorem 1.15 shows that at most 1,416 of the 56,049 nonexcluded groups of order 256 lie outside \mathcal{H} .

- (v) $\mathbf{H_{16}} \cdot \mathbf{T_1} \cdot \mathbf{T_2}$ form. Take each of T_1 , T_2 to be a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in *G* having either of the two forms of Example 4.6, and T_3 to be a Hadamard difference set in a subgroup of *G* of order 16.
- (vi) $\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4$ form. Take each of T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 to be a perfect ternary array of weight 4 in *G* having either of the two forms of Example 4.6.

For each of these six forms, we conduct a search for a suitable set of perfect ternary arrays satisfying all the required conditions. The search for the forms (i) to (iii) is relatively fast because the search is restricted to subgroups of the appropriate order. However, the search for the forms (iv) to (vi) is not constrained in this way and can take considerably longer; the search for form (vi) sometimes requires more than a day for a single group.

We therefore begin by searching all 56, 049 nonexcluded groups for each of the forms (i) to (iii) independently, with results as shown in Figure 2. We then conduct a search for each of the forms (iv) to (vi) in that order, but only over those groups in which no previous form has been found. The number of groups accounted for and remaining at each step of Stage 2 is shown below:

	$H_{64} \cdot Q_4$ and $H_{64} \cdot H_4$ and $H_{16} \cdot H_{16}$	$H_{64} \cdot T_1$	$H_{16} \cdot T_1 \cdot T_2$	$T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot T_4$
# groups accounted for	51,957	3,119	236	18
# groups remaining	4,092	973	737	719

The Stage 2 searches are exhaustive in that none of the remaining 719 groups contains a difference set having one of the six forms (i) to (vi).



Figure 3. Forms $H_{64} \cdot Q_4$ and $H_{64} \cdot H_4$ and $H_{16} \cdot H_{16}$ of Proposition 4.7 show that at most 4,092 of the 56,049 nonexcluded groups of order 256 lie outside \mathcal{H} .

List	List name	Database name
$\begin{array}{c} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \end{array}$	HDS256_Normal_02x02x02x02 HDS256_Normal_04x04x02 HDS256_Normal_08x08	HDS256_NormalSubgroupTransversal.txt
$egin{array}{c} L_4 \ L_5 \ L_6 \end{array}$	HDS256_H64byQ4 HDS256_H64byH4 HDS256_H16byH16	HDS256_PTAProduct.txt
$egin{array}{c} L_7 \ L_8 \ L_9 \end{array}$	HDS256_H64byT1 HDS256_H16byT1byT2 HDS256_T1byT2byT3byT4	HDS256_SubgroupProduct.txt
L_{10}	HDS256	HDS256.txt

 Table 2. Organization of difference set databases in [Smith 2022].

5B. *Databases and verification.* The website [Smith 2022] contains ten lists in GAP format, organized into four databases as shown in Table 2.

Lists L_1 to L_3 correspond to the three circles in Figure 2 (Stage 1). Lists L_4 to L_6 correspond to the three circles in Figure 3 (forms (i) to (iii) of Stage 2). Lists L_7 to L_9 correspond to forms (iv) to (vi) of Stage 2. Each entry of the lists L_1 to L_9 contains at least two fields: a catalog number *i* that identifies the group SmallGroup(256, *i*), and a list of 120 indices taken from $\{1, 2, ..., 256\}$ in which index *j* labels group element *j* according to the GAP ordering given by Elements(SmallGroup(256, *i*)).

The list L_{10} contains one entry for each of the 56,092 groups of order 256. If SmallGroup(256, *i*) is one of the 43 groups excluded by Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 (see Table 1), then entry *i* of L_{10} is an empty list of indices. Otherwise, this entry is a list of 120 indices corresponding to a representative difference set in SmallGroup(256, *i*). The representative difference set is taken from list L_1 if possible, otherwise from L_2 , and so on to L_9 . This accounts for the origin of all but 58 of the nonempty entries of L_{10} .

After reading the list HDS256 into the current directory, the following GAP code uses Peifer's accepted GAP package *DifSets* [2019] to verify that HDS256 contains an index list corresponding to a difference set for 56,049 groups of the 56,092 groups of order 256, and an empty index list for the remaining 43 groups:

```
LoadPackage("DifSets");
empty := 0;
count := 0;
for i in [1..Length(HDS256)] do;
    if HDS256[i] = [] then
       empty := empty+1;
    else
       if IsDifferenceSet(SmallGroup(256,i), HDS256[i]) then
           count := count+1;
       fi;
       fi;
       fi;
       fi;
       rint("HDS256 contains ", Length(HDS256), " index lists, of which\n");
Print(count, " correspond to a difference set and ", empty, "
       are empty\n");
```

It took less than 20 minutes to run this code on a 2013 iMac desktop computer using a standard implementation of GAP, producing the following output:

```
HDS256 contains 56092 index lists, of which 56049 correspond to a difference set and 43 are empty
```

Although we found it considerably more difficult to construct a difference set in some groups of order 256 than in others, there is no significant variation in verification time among groups of a given order using the IsDifferenceSet command of *DifSets*.

6. Future directions

In this section, we propose directions for future research into Hadamard difference sets and their relations to other combinatorial objects.

We have described in this paper a streamlined procedure for demonstrating that all groups of order 64 and 256, apart from those that are excluded by the classical nonexistence results of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5,

belong to the class \mathcal{H} of Hadamard difference sets. While we consider this to be a major achievement in combinatorics, it is unsatisfactory that we do not yet have a completely theoretical demonstration.

We now propose the following directions for future research into Hadamard difference sets, with three overall objectives in mind. The first objective is to simplify and unify the various techniques of Section 4, removing the reliance on extensive computer search and the nonsystematic transfer methods. The second objective is to develop recursive or direct construction techniques for nonabelian groups, that are as powerful as Theorem 3.1 is for constructing signature sets on abelian groups. The third and ultimate objective is to resolve Question 1.17.

- D1. The concept of signature sets on abelian groups (Theorem 3.1) and on nonabelian groups (Section 4A) appears to be very powerful. Develop construction methods to determine all nonabelian groups on which there is a signature set relative to a normal elementary abelian subgroup.
- D2. Apply Lemma 4.12 to create signature sets in nonabelian groups, generalizing the model of Example 4.13.
- D3. Understand when and why the transfer methods of Section 4C succeed.
- D4. Develop a general theory based on the method of Section 4D so that transfer methods are no longer needed for groups of order 64 and 256.
- D5. Representation theory was used to help find the group ring element D_1 in Examples 4.9 and 4.10. Apply representation theory to unify and extend the construction methods of Section 4.
- D6. In the study of bent functions, which are equivalent to Hadamard difference sets in elementary abelian 2-groups, one asks how many inequivalent examples exist in a given group. Determine how many inequivalent Hadamard difference sets in (not necessarily elementary abelian) 2-groups can be constructed using the methods of this paper.
- D7. Formulate a theoretical framework that can be systematically applied to determine all 2-groups belonging to \mathcal{H} .
- D8. Extend the transfer methods of Section 4C to construct Hadamard difference sets in new groups whose order is not a power of 2, for example in groups of order 100 [Golemac and Vučičić 2001], 144 [Vučičić 2019], or 400 [Mandić and Vučičić 2016].

We also propose some further research directions involving the relation of Hadamard difference sets to other combinatorial objects:

D9. Difference sets in the Hadamard, McFarland, Spence, and Chen–Davis–Jedwab families have parameters (v, k, λ) satisfying $gcd(v, k - \lambda) > 1$, and are known to share construction methods based on covering extended building sets and semiregular relative difference sets [Davis and Jedwab 1997; Chen 1997]. Adapt the signature set approach for Hadamard difference sets in order to construct difference sets in nonabelian groups for the other three families, and the associated semiregular relative difference sets in nonabelian groups for all four families.

- D10. Determine how many inequivalent designs arise from the Hadamard difference sets constructed in this paper.
- D11. Determine how many inequivalent binary codes arise from the incidence matrices of the Hadamard difference sets constructed in this paper.

References

- [Applebaum 2013] T. Applebaum, Difference Sets in Non-Abelian 2-Groups, Honors thesis, University of Richmond, 2013.
- [Arasu and Dillon 1999] K. T. Arasu and J. F. Dillon, "Perfect ternary arrays", pp. 1–15 in *Difference sets, sequences and their correlation properties* (Bad Windsheim, 1998), edited by A. Pott et al., NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci. **542**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Besche et al. 2002] H. U. Besche, B. Eick, and E. A. O'Brien, "A millennium project: constructing small groups", *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **12**:5 (2002), 623–644. MR Zbl
- [Beth et al. 1999] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, *Design theory, Vol. I*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **69**, Cambridge University Press, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Bhattacharya and Smith 2008] C. Bhattacharya and K. W. Smith, "Factoring (16, 6, 2) Hadamard difference sets", *Electron. J. Combin.* **15**:1 (2008), Research Paper 112, 16. MR Zbl
- [Bruck 1955] R. H. Bruck, "Difference sets in a finite group", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 464–481. MR Zbl
- [Burrell 2022] D. Burrell, "On the number of groups of order 1024", Comm. Algebra 50:6 (2022), 2408–2410. MR Zbl
- [Carlet and Mesnager 2016] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, "Four decades of research on bent functions", *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **78**:1 (2016), 5–50. MR Zbl
- [Chen 1997] Y. Q. Chen, "On the existence of abelian Hadamard difference sets and a new family of difference sets", *Finite Fields Appl.* **3**:3 (1997), 234–256. MR Zbl
- [Davis 1991] J. A. Davis, "Difference sets in abelian 2-groups", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 57:2 (1991), 262–286. MR Zbl
- [Davis and Jedwab 1996] J. A. Davis and J. Jedwab, "A survey of Hadamard difference sets", pp. 145–156 in *Groups*, *difference sets*, *and the Monster* (Columbus, OH, 1993), edited by K. T. Arasu et al., Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ. **4**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996. MR Zbl
- [Davis and Jedwab 1997] J. A. Davis and J. Jedwab, "A unifying construction for difference sets", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 80:1 (1997), 13–78. MR Zbl
- [Davis and Smith 1994] J. A. Davis and K. Smith, "A construction of difference sets in high exponent 2-groups using representation theory", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **3**:2 (1994), 137–151. MR Zbl
- [DifSets 2019] D. Peifer, "GAP package DifSets: an algorithm for enumerating all difference sets in a group", 2019, available at https://www.gap-system.org/Packages/difsets.html. Version 2.3.1. Zbl
- [Dillon 1985] J. F. Dillon, "Variations on a scheme of McFarland for noncyclic difference sets", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **40**:1 (1985), 9–21. MR Zbl
- [Dillon 1990a] J. F. Dillon, "Difference sets in 2-groups", pp. 65–72 in *Finite geometries and combinatorial designs* (Lincoln, NE, 1987), edited by E. S. Kramer and S. S. Magliveras, Contemp. Math. **111**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990. MR Zbl
- [Dillon 1990b] J. F. Dillon, "A survey of difference sets in 2-groups: Hadamard groups of order 64", University of Vermont, 1990. Presented at Marshall Hall conference.
- [Dillon 2010] J. F. Dillon, "Some REALLY beautiful Hadamard matrices", Cryptogr. Commun. 2:2 (2010), 271–292. MR Zbl

[Drisko 1998] A. A. Drisko, "Transversals in row-Latin rectangles", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 84:2 (1998), 181–195. MR Zbl

- [GAP 2020] The GAP Group, "GAP: groups, algorithms, and programming", 2020, available at http://www.gap-system.org. Version 4.11.0.
- [Golemac and Vučičić 2001] A. Golemac and T. Vučičić, "New difference sets in nonabelian groups of order 100", J. Combin. Des. 9:6 (2001), 424–434. MR Zbl
- [Jedwab 1992] J. Jedwab, "Generalized perfect arrays and Menon difference sets", *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **2**:1 (1992), 19–68. MR Zbl

- [Jungnickel and Schmidt 1998] D. Jungnickel and B. Schmidt, "Difference sets: a second update", pp. 89–118 in *Combinatorics* '98, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **53**, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Kesava Menon 1962] P. Kesava Menon, "On difference sets whose parameters satisfy a certain relation", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 739–745. MR Zbl
- [Kibler 1978] R. E. Kibler, "A summary of noncyclic difference sets, k < 20", J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 25:1 (1978), 62–67. MR Zbl
- [Kraemer 1993] R. G. Kraemer, "Proof of a conjecture on Hadamard 2-groups", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 63:1 (1993), 1–10. MR Zbl

[Liebler and Smith 1993] R. A. Liebler and K. W. Smith, "On difference sets in certain 2-groups", pp. 195–211 in *Coding theory*, *design theory*, *group theory* (Burlington, VT, 1990), edited by D. Jungnickel et al., Wiley, New York, 1993. MR

[Mandić and Vučičić 2016] J. Mandić and T. Vučičić, "On the existence of Hadamard difference sets in groups of order 400", *Adv. Math. Commun.* **10**:3 (2016), 547–554. MR

[Mann 1965] H. B. Mann, Addition theorems: The addition theorems of group theory and number theory, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1965. MR Zbl

[McFarland 1973] R. L. McFarland, "A family of difference sets in non-cyclic groups", J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 15 (1973), 1–10. MR

[Peifer 2019] D. Peifer, "An algorithm for enumerating difference sets", J. Softw. Algebra Geom. 9:1 (2019), 35-41. MR Zbl

[Singer 1938] J. Singer, "A theorem in finite projective geometry and some applications to number theory", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43**:3 (1938), 377–385. MR Zbl

[Sloane 2022] N. J. A. Sloane, "Number of groups of order 2^n ", 2022, available at https://oeis.org/A000679.

[Smith 2022] K. Smith, "Difference Set Databases", 2022, available at https://tinyurl.com/DifferenceSetDatabase.

[Turyn 1965] R. J. Turyn, "Character sums and difference sets", Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 319–346. MR Zbl

[Vučičić 2019] T. Vučičić, "Hadamard difference sets and related combinatorial objects in groups of order 144", *Rad Hrvat. Akad. Znan. Umjet. Mat. Znan.* **23**(**538**) (2019), 13–29. MR Zbl

[Whitehead 1975] E. G. Whitehead, Jr., "Difference sets and sum-free sets in groups of order 16", *Discrete Math.* **13**:4 (1975), 399–407. MR Zbl

[Yolland 2016] W. Yolland, "Existence of a difference set in the last group of order 256", summer research report, Simon Fraser University, 2016.

Communicated by Sergey Fomin

Received 2020-12-02 Revised 2022-02-04 Accepted 2022-04-04

applebaum.taylor@gmail.com	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Richmond, Richmond, VA, United States
Current address:	DeepMind, London, United Kingdom
jclikeman@gmail.com	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Richmond, Richmond, VA, United States
Current address:	Google, Mountain View, CA, United States
jdavis@richmond.edu	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Richmond, Richmond, VA, United States
jfdillon@gmail.com	National Security Agency, Fort George G Meade, MD, United States
jed@sfu.ca	Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
trabbani@cs.umd.edu	Department of Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States
kenwsmith54@gmail.com	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX, United States
william@metaoptima.com	Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
Current address:	MetaOptima Technology Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada

mathematical sciences publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Antoine Chambert-Loir Université Paris-Diderot France EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR David Eisenbud University of California Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$485/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 17 No. 2 2023

Torsion points on elliptic curves over number fields of small degree MAARTEN DERICKX, SHELDON KAMIENNY, WILLIAM STEIN and MICHAEL STOLL	267
Tame fundamental groups of pure pairs and Abhyankar's lemma JAVIER CARVAJAL-ROJAS and AXEL STÄBLER	309
Constructions of difference sets in nonabelian 2-groups T. APPLEBAUM, J. CLIKEMAN, J. A. DAVIS, J. F. DILLON, J. JEDWAB, T. RABBANI, K. SMITH and W. YOLLAND	359
The principal block of a \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -spets and Yokonuma type algebras RADHA KESSAR, GUNTER MALLE and JASON SEMERARO	397
Geometric properties of the Kazhdan–Lusztig Schubert basis CRISTIAN LENART, CHANGJIAN SU, KIRILL ZAINOULLINE and CHANGLONG ZHONG	435
Some refinements of the Deligne–Illusie theorem PIOTR ACHINGER and JUNECUE SUH	465
A transference principle for systems of linear equations, and applications to almost twin primes PIERRE-YVES BIENVENU, XUANCHENG SHAO and JONI TERÄVÄINEN	497