

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18

2024

No. 11

**Rooted tree maps for multiple L -values
from a perspective of harmonic algebras**

Hideki Murahara, Tatsushi Tanaka and Noriko Wakabayashi



Rooted tree maps for multiple L -values from a perspective of harmonic algebras

Hideki Murahara, Tatsushi Tanaka and Noriko Wakabayashi

We show the image of rooted tree maps forms a subspace of the kernel of the evaluation map of multiple L -values. To prove this, we define the diamond product as a modified harmonic product and describe its properties. We also show that τ -conjugate rooted tree maps are their antipodes.

1. Introduction

In [8] the second author found the Connes–Kreimer’s Hopf algebra of rooted trees \mathcal{H} acts on $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$, the noncommutative polynomial ring in two indeterminates. We refer to the elements in $\text{End}(\mathfrak{H})$ assigned to rooted trees as rooted tree maps. Rooted tree maps possess the rules coming from their coproducts. In particular, to primitive elements in \mathcal{H} , derivations in $\text{End}(\mathfrak{H})$ are assigned. Rooted tree maps give rise to a broad class of relations (including duality, derivation relation, and Ohno’s relation) among multiple zeta values. It is then shown that the class of relations coming from rooted tree maps is equivalent to the linear part of Kawashima’s relation [2]. A pending issue is that the map assigned to the antipode of a rooted tree is nothing but the conjugation of the original map by τ , the antiautomorphism on \mathfrak{H} characterized by interchanging x and y , which is shown in [7] by using additional algebraic properties of rooted tree maps and harmonic algebras.

The second and the third authors generalize the domain of such rooted tree maps so that they must induce a broad class of relations among multiple L -values [9]. Unlike the case of multiple zeta values, they only show that the maps assigned to the antipodes of rooted trees induce relations among multiple L -values. We show in this paper that their first prospect is true owing to further algebraic properties of rooted tree maps and harmonic algebras to establish the basics of rooted tree maps for multiple L -values.

To be more precise, let μ_r be the set of r -th roots of unity. For an index set $(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{s}) = (k_1, \dots, k_l; s_1, \dots, s_l)$ with $k_1, \dots, k_l \geq 1$, $s_1, \dots, s_l \in \mu_r$, $(k_1, s_1) \neq (1, 1)$, the multiple L -value of shuffle type (abbreviated as MLV) is defined in [1] by the convergent series

$$L(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{s}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m > m_1 > \dots > m_l > 0} \frac{s_1^{m_1 - m_2} \dots s_{l-1}^{m_{l-1} - m_l} s_l^{m_l}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_l^{k_l}}.$$

MSC2020: primary 11M32; secondary 05C05, 16T05.

Keywords: Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees, rooted tree maps, harmonic products, multiple zeta values, multiple L -values.

If $r = 1$, this is nothing but the multiple zeta value (abbreviated as MZV). The MZVs and the MLVs have been well-studied in the last three decades.

The index set $(\mathbf{k}; s)$ is often identified with the word $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{k},s} := z_{k_1,s_1} \cdots z_{k_l,s_l}$, where $z_{k,s}$ stands for $x^{k-1}y_s$, in the noncommutative polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}_r := \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y_s \mid s \in \mu_r \rangle$. Then MLVs are algebraically discussed via the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{A}_r^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\mathcal{L}(1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{k},s}) = L(\mathbf{k}; s)$. (\mathcal{A}_r^0 is a subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_r generated by admissible words, detailed in the next section.)

On the other hand, (nonplanar) rooted trees are finite and connected graphs with no cycles and a special vertex called the root. For example,



and so on. The topmost vertex of each rooted tree represents the root. The algebra generated by them has a Hopf algebra structure known as the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees, which appeared in [4] by Arne Dür. (One can even trace it back to the work by J. Butcher in the 1960s.) In [3], it is used in the study of perturbative quantum field theory and is well-studied in the last quarter century.

Rooted tree maps (abbreviated as RTMs), first defined in [8] based on the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees, induce a certain class of relations among MZVs. In other words, a part of $\ker \mathcal{L}$ comes from the RTMs if $r = 1$. Although this phenomenon is expected to be extended naturally to any positive integer r , the only result proved in [9] is for RTMs taken conjugation by a certain involution τ . We study some algebraic properties of RTMs for MLVs using the harmonic algebra as are studied in [7] in the MZVs case. We then show the aforementioned expectation is true and τ -conjugate RTM is nothing but its antipode.

2. Main results

Let \mathcal{A}_r^1 and \mathcal{A}_r^0 be subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_r given by

$$\mathcal{A}_r \supset \mathcal{A}_r^1 = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^1 \supset \mathcal{A}_r^0 = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{r,+}^1 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mu_r} \mathcal{A}_r y_s, \quad \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^0 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mu_r} x \mathcal{A}_r y_s \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{s,t \in \mu_r \\ t \neq 1}} y_t \mathcal{A}_r y_s.$$

Each word $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{k},s} \in \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^0$ is called admissible and corresponds to the index set $(\mathbf{k}; s)$ with $(k_1, s_1) \neq (1, 1)$. Let $z = x + y_1$, $z_s^\delta = x + \delta(s)y_s \in \mathcal{A}_r$, where $\delta(1) = 0$ and $\delta(s) = 1$ if $s \neq 1$.

Denote by \mathcal{H} the \mathbb{Q} -vector space generated by rooted forests, i.e., disjoint unions of rooted trees. This \mathcal{H} has a structure of a connected Hopf algebra, which is briefly described in the next section. We assign to any rooted tree t a linear map $\tilde{t} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_r)$, which we call a RTM, elaborated in Section 4. The assignment $\tilde{\cdot}$ is known to be an algebra homomorphism, and hence we can assign to any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ a linear map $\tilde{f} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_r)$. Using the notation of the diamond product \diamond_s ($s \in \mu_r$), which is described in Section 5, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique $F_f \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$ such that*

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w) = z_s^\delta (F_f \diamond_s w)$$

for any $s \in \mu_r$ and any $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

The product \diamond_s is a variation of the harmonic product. Indeed, [Proposition 5.4](#) below asserts that

$$v \diamond_s w = \psi_s(\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)), \tag{1}$$

where $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, and $*$ is the harmonic product. Here, $\psi_s = \varphi \mathcal{I} M_s$, where φ is the automorphism on \mathcal{A}_r determined by $\varphi(x) = z$ and $\varphi(y_s) = z_s^\delta - z (= \delta(s)y_s - y_1)$ for $s \in \mu_r$, and \mathcal{I} and $M_s (s \in \mu_r)$ are linear maps on \mathcal{A}_r defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(z_{k,s} x^a) = z_{k_1, s_1} z_{k_2, s_1 s_2} \cdots z_{k_l, s_1 \cdots s_l} x^a, \quad M_s(z_{k,s} x^a) = z_{k_1, s s_1} z_{k_2, s_2} \cdots z_{k_l, s_l} x^a$$

for $a \geq 0$. Note that φ is an involution. According to [\[6\]](#), we have

$$z_s^\delta \cdot \psi_s(\mathcal{A}_{1,+}^1 * \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^1) \subset \ker \mathcal{L} \tag{2}$$

for any $s \in \mu_r$. Hence, for $s \in \mu_r$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^1$, and $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the augmentation ideal of \mathcal{H} , i.e., $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w) = z_s^\delta (F_f \diamond_s w) = z_s^\delta \cdot \psi_s(\varphi(F_f) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)) \in \ker \mathcal{L}.$$

Thus we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *For $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{A}_{r,+}^0) \subset \ker \mathcal{L}$.*

Remark 2.3. This result was expected but not proved in [\[9\]](#). Still we do not know the way to prove this directly from the definition of RTM (except that the case of $r = 1$, the MZV case, which is done in [\[8\]](#)).

Let S be the antipode of \mathcal{H} . Then, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we find that the antipode $\widetilde{S(f)}$ is described similarly by using the diamond product \diamond_s .

Theorem 2.4. *For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique $G_f \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$ such that*

$$\widetilde{S(f)}(z_s^\delta w) = z_s^\delta (G_f \diamond_s w)$$

for any $s \in \mu_r$ and any $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

As is defined in [\[9\]](#), let τ be the antiautomorphism on \mathcal{A}_r defined by $\tau(x) = y_1$, $\tau(y_1) = x$, and $\tau(y_s) = -y_s$ ($s \neq 1$). Note that τ is an involution. Then we show the following result, which is a generalization of [\[7, Theorem 1.5\]](#).

Theorem 2.5. *For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\widetilde{S(f)} = \tau \tilde{f} \tau$.*

Hence, for $s \in \mu_r$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_{r,+}^1$, and $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\tau \tilde{f} \tau(z_s^\delta w) = \overline{S(f)}(z_s^\delta w) = z_s^\delta(G_f \diamond_s w) = z_s^\delta \cdot \psi_s(\varphi(G_f) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)) \in \ker \mathcal{L}$$

because of (1), (2), and Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. Thus again we have the following, proved first in [9, Theorem 2.4]:

Corollary 2.6. *For $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\tau \tilde{f} \tau(\mathcal{A}_{r,+}^0) \subset \ker \mathcal{L}$.*

3. Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees

We briefly review the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees [3]. A rooted tree is a finite, connected, acyclic, and oriented graph with a special vertex called the root from which every edge directly or indirectly originates. A rooted forest is a product (disjoint union) of rooted trees. The empty forest (with no tree in it) denoted by $\mathbb{1}$ is the neutral element for the product. We denote by \mathcal{T} the \mathbb{Q} -vector space freely generated by rooted trees.

As is mentioned in the previous section, we denote by \mathcal{H} the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by rooted trees. As a vector space, \mathcal{H} is freely generated by rooted forests. The \mathbb{Q} -linear map called the grafting operator $B_+ : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is defined by $B_+(\mathbb{1}) = \bullet$ and, for a rooted forest f of positive degree, all the roots of connected components of f are grafted to a single new vertex, which becomes the new root. For example, we have

$$B_+(\bullet \curvearrowright \bullet) = \curvearrowright, \quad B_+(\bullet \cdots \bullet - 2 \updownarrow \updownarrow) = \curvearrowright - 2 \updownarrow \updownarrow.$$

In particular, the map B_+ increases the degree of the graph by 1.

We define the coproduct Δ on \mathcal{H} recursively by multiplicativity and

$$\Delta(t) = t \otimes \mathbb{1} + (\mathbb{1} \otimes B_+) \Delta(f) \tag{3}$$

for $t = B_+(f)$. In terms of Hochschild cohomology of bialgebras, the grafting operator B_+ satisfies the Hochschild 1-cocycle condition. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbb{1}) &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \\ \Delta(\bullet) &= \bullet \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \bullet, \\ \Delta(\bullet \bullet) &= \bullet \bullet \otimes \mathbb{1} + 2 \bullet \otimes \bullet + \mathbb{1} \otimes \bullet \bullet, \\ \Delta(\updownarrow) &= \updownarrow \otimes \mathbb{1} + \bullet \otimes \bullet + \mathbb{1} \otimes \updownarrow, \\ \Delta(\curvearrowright) &= \curvearrowright \otimes \mathbb{1} + \bullet \bullet \otimes \bullet + 2 \bullet \otimes \updownarrow + \mathbb{1} \otimes \curvearrowright. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that the coproduct Δ is coassociative but not cocommutative.

The counit $\hat{\mathbb{1}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is defined by vanishing on $\text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\hat{\mathbb{1}}(\mathbb{1}) = 1$. If we denote the product by $m : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, we define the antipode S by the antiautomorphism on \mathcal{H} satisfying

$$m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \mathbb{1} \circ \hat{\mathbb{1}} = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta.$$

Then the tuple $(\mathcal{H}, m, \mathbb{1}, \Delta, \hat{\mathbb{1}}, S)$ forms a Hopf algebra known as the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees.

4. Rooted tree maps

We introduce rooted tree maps developed in [9]. Let the identity map on \mathcal{A}_r be assigned to the empty forest $\mathbb{1}$, i.e., $\tilde{\mathbb{1}} = \text{id}$. For any rooted forest f of positive degree, we define the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ by the following four conditions:

- (I) if $f = \bullet$, $\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)$ and $\tilde{f}(z) = 0$,
- (II) $\widehat{B_+}(f)(z_s^\delta) = R_{z-z_s^\delta} R_{2z-z_s^\delta} R_{z-z_s^\delta}^{-1} \tilde{f}(z_s^\delta)$ and $\widehat{B_+}(f)(z) = 0$,
- (III) if $f = gh$, $\tilde{f}(v) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{h}(v))$ for $v \in \{z, z_s^\delta \mid s \in \mu_r\}$,
- (IV) $\tilde{f}(wv) = M(\widehat{\Delta}(f))(w \otimes v)$ for $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $v \in \{z, z_s^\delta \mid s \in \mu_r\}$,

where $s \in \mu_r$, R_w denotes the right multiplication map by w , that is, $R_w(v) = vw$ for $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $M : \mathcal{A}_r \otimes \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ denotes the concatenation product, and $\widehat{\Delta}(f) = \sum_{(f)} \tilde{f}' \otimes \tilde{f}''$ when $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f' \otimes f''$. As a matter of fact, the assignment $\tilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_r)$ is an algebra homomorphism. We find that $\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta)$ always ends with $z - z_s^\delta$, and hence the condition (II) is well-defined. We also find that the image $\tilde{f}(v)$ in the condition (III) does not depend on how to decompose f into g and h because of the commutativity property of RTMs which is proved by induction on graph order. We can also show the conditions (III) and (IV) hold for any $v \in \mathcal{A}_r$; see [8, Theorem 1.2] or [9, Theorem 2.2]. We call \tilde{f} the RTM assigned to $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Example 4.1 (calculations of images of RTMs). Since $\tilde{\bullet}(z_s^\delta) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)$ and $\Delta(\bullet) = \bullet \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \bullet$,

$$\tilde{\bullet}(z_s^\delta) = \tilde{\bullet}(z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)) = \tilde{\bullet}(z_s^\delta)(z - z_s^\delta) + z_s^\delta \tilde{\bullet}(z - z_s^\delta) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)^2 + (z_s^\delta)^2(z - z_s^\delta).$$

Then we calculate

$$\tilde{\curvearrowright}(z_s^\delta) = \widehat{B_+}(\bullet \bullet)(z_s^\delta) = R_{z-z_s^\delta} R_{2z-z_s^\delta} R_{z-z_s^\delta}^{-1} \tilde{\bullet}(z_s^\delta) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)(2z - z_s^\delta)(z - z_s^\delta) + (z_s^\delta)^2(2z - z_s^\delta)(z - z_s^\delta).$$

5. Harmonic product and diamond product

The harmonic product $* : \mathcal{A}_r \times \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is defined by \mathbb{Q} -bilinearity and

- (I) $1 * w = w * 1 = w$,
- (II) $vy_s * wy_t = (v * wy_t)y_s + (vy_s * w)y_t + (v * w)xy_{st}$,
- (III) $vx * w = v * wx = (v * w)x$

for $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $s, t \in \mu_r$. It is associative and commutative. The tuples $(\mathcal{A}_r^1, *)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_r^0, *)$ are subalgebras of $(\mathcal{A}_r, *)$. The composition $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}$ is known as the evaluation map of MLVs of harmonic type. It is an algebra homomorphism with respect to $*$ (see [1]).

Lemma 5.1. For $k, l \geq 1$, $s, t \in \mu_r$, and $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have

$$(i) \quad v z_{k,s} * w z_{l,t} = (v * w z_{l,t}) z_{k,s} + (v z_{k,s} * w) z_{l,t} + (v * w) z_{k+l,st},$$

$$(ii) \quad z_{k,s} v * z_{l,t} w = z_{k,s} (v * z_{l,t} w) + z_{l,t} (z_{k,s} v * w) + z_{k+l,st} (v * w).$$

Proof. Because of the condition (III), it is enough to show when $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r^1$.

To show (i), substitute $v x^{k-1}$ and $w x^{l-1}$ into v and w , respectively, in the condition (II) and then use the condition (III).

We show (ii) by induction on total degree of words. If $v = w = 1$, it follows from (i) for $v = w = 1$.

If $v = v' z_{m,a}$ ($v' \in \mathcal{A}_r^1$, $m \geq 1$, $a \in \mu_r$) and $w = 1$, the left-hand side equals

$$(z_{k,s} v' * z_{l,t}) z_{m,a} + z_{k,s} v z_{l,t} + z_{k,s} v' z_{l+m,ta} \quad (4)$$

because of (i). The first term turns into

$$(z_{k,s} (v' * z_{l,t}) + z_{l,t} z_{k,s} v' + z_{k+l,st} v') z_{m,a}$$

by induction, and hence we have

$$(4) = z_{k,s} ((v' * z_{l,t}) z_{m,a} + v z_{l,t} + v' z_{l+m,ta}) + z_{l,t} z_{k,s} v + z_{k+l,st} v.$$

Again by (i), we see that this coincides with the right-hand side. The proof goes similarly if $v = 1$ and $w = w' z_{n,b}$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $n \geq 1$, $b \in \mu_r$).

If $v = v' z_{m,a}$ and $w = w' z_{n,b}$, the left-hand side equals

$$(z_{k,s} v' * z_{l,t} w) z_{m,a} + (z_{k,s} v * z_{l,t} w') z_{n,b} + (z_{k,s} v' * z_{l,t} w') z_{m+n,ab}$$

because of (i). This turns into

$$\begin{aligned} & (z_{k,s} (v' * z_{l,t} w) + z_{l,t} (z_{k,s} v' * w) + z_{k+l,st} (v' * w)) z_{m,a} \\ & + (z_{k,s} (v * z_{l,t} w') + z_{l,t} (z_{k,s} v * w') + z_{k+l,st} (v * w')) z_{n,b} \\ & + (z_{k,s} (v' * z_{l,t} w') + z_{l,t} (z_{k,s} v' * w') + z_{k+l,st} (v' * w')) z_{m+n,ab} \end{aligned}$$

by induction. Again by (i), we see that this coincides with the right-hand side. \square

From now on, let $y = y_1$ for simplicity. For $s \in \mu_r$, we define the \mathbb{Q} -bilinear map $\diamond_s : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \diamond_s w &= w, \\ v \diamond_s 1 &= \psi_s \varphi(v), \\ vx \diamond_s wx &= (v \diamond_s wx)x - (vy \diamond_s w)x, \\ vy \diamond_s wx &= (v \diamond_s wx)y + (vy \diamond_s w)x, \\ vx \diamond_s wy &= (v \diamond_s wy)x + (vx \diamond_s w)y, \\ vy \diamond_s wy &= (v \diamond_s wy)y - (vx \diamond_s w)y, \\ vx \diamond_s wy_t &= (v \diamond_s wy_t)x + (v \diamond_s wz_t)y_t - (vy \diamond_s w)y_t, \\ vy \diamond_s wy_t &= (v \diamond_s wy_t)y - (v \diamond_s wz_t)y_t + (vy \diamond_s w)y_t, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $1 \neq t \in \mu_r$. When $r = 1$, the product \diamond_1 corresponds to the one defined in [5] and is commutative. In general, 1 is the left unit but not the right unit. For example, one checks $y \diamond_s 1 = z - z_s^\delta$.

Lemma 5.2. *For $s \in \mu_r$, $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

$$vz \diamond_s w = v \diamond_s wz = (v \diamond_s w)z.$$

Proof. By definition, we easily see $vz \diamond_s w = (v \diamond_s w)z$.

We prove $v \diamond_s wz = (v \diamond_s w)z$ for words v, w by induction on $d = \deg(v)$. It is obvious if $d = 0$. Assume $d \geq 1$. If $v = v'x$, by definition (in particular, adding the third and fifth identities in (5)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v'x \diamond_s wz &= (v' \diamond_s wz)x - (v'y \diamond_s w)x + (v'x \diamond_s w)y \\ &= (v' \diamond_s wz)x - (v'z \diamond_s w)x + (v'x \diamond_s w)z. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the first two terms cancel out, and hence we obtain the assertion. The proof goes similarly when $v = v'y$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *For $s, t \in \mu_r$, $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

- (i) $vx \diamond_s wz_t^\delta = (v \diamond_s wz_t^\delta)z_t^\delta - (vy \diamond_s w)z_t^\delta$,
- (ii) $vy \diamond_s wz_t^\delta = (v \diamond_s wz_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1) + (vy \diamond_s w)z_t^\delta$.

Proof. By the third and seventh identities in (5), we have (i). By (i), Lemma 5.2, and $y \diamond_t 1 = z - z_t^\delta$, we have (ii). \square

We put $z_s = x + y_s$ for simplicity (and hence $z_1 = z$). Note that $\varphi(z_s) = z_s^\delta$.

Proposition 5.4. *For $s \in \mu_r$, $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

$$v \diamond_s w = \psi_s(\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)).$$

Proof. If $v = 1$ or $w = 1$, it is obvious. Otherwise, the proof goes by induction on $\deg(v) + \deg(w)$.

If $v = v'z$, by definitions, the right-hand side turns into

$$\psi_s(\varphi(v'z) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)) = \psi_s(\varphi(v')x * \psi_s^{-1}(w)) = \psi_s((\varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w))x) = \psi_s(\varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w))z.$$

Then, by the induction hypothesis, this equals $(v' \diamond_s w)z$, which equals the left-hand side because of Lemma 5.2.

Similarly, if $w = w'z$, the right-hand side turns into

$$\psi_s(\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w'z)) = \psi_s(\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w')x) = \psi_s((\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w'))x) = \psi_s(\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w'))z,$$

which equals the left-hand side.

To complete the proof, we show when $v = v'x$ and $w = w'z_t^\delta$. In this case, the right-hand side turns into

$$\psi_s(\varphi(v')z * \psi_s^{-1}(w'z_t^\delta)). \tag{6}$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $\varphi(w') = z_{k_1, t_1} \cdots z_{k_n, t_n}$. Then, by definitions, we find

$$\psi_s^{-1}(w' z_t^\delta) = \psi_s^{-1}(w') z_{t/t_n}.$$

Hence, we have

$$(6) = \psi_s((\varphi(v')y * \psi_s^{-1}(w')) z_{t/t_n} + (\varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w')) y_{t/t_n} z + (\varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w')) x z_{t/t_n}). \quad (7)$$

Since $\varphi(v') \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $\psi_s^{-1}(w') = z_{k_1, t_1/s} z_{k_2, t_2/t_1} \cdots z_{k_n, t_n/t_{n-1}}$, and the harmonic product has combinatorial meaning of overlapping shuffle, the subscript of 'y' in the last z_{t/t_n} or z changes into

$$s \times \left(\frac{t_1}{s} \times \frac{t_2}{t_1} \times \cdots \times \frac{t_n}{t_{n-1}} \right) \times \frac{t}{t_n} = t \quad \text{or} \quad s \times \left(\frac{t_1}{s} \times \frac{t_2}{t_1} \times \cdots \times \frac{t_n}{t_{n-1}} \times \frac{t}{t_n} \right) = t,$$

respectively, after the map ψ_s applies. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) &= \psi_s(\varphi(v')y * \psi_s^{-1}(w') + \varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w') y_{t/t_n} + (\varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w')) x) z_t^\delta \\ &= \psi_s(-\varphi(v')y * \psi_s^{-1}(w') + \varphi(v') * \psi_s^{-1}(w' z_t^\delta)) z_t^\delta \\ &= (-v' y \diamond_s w' + v' \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) z_t^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is by the induction hypothesis. By [Lemma 5.3\(i\)](#), this coincides with the left-hand side. \square

Lemma 5.5. *The product \diamond_s gives a left \mathcal{A}_1 -module structure to \mathcal{A}_r for any $s \in \mu_r$.*

Proof. For $s \in \mu_r$, $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, We have

$$\begin{aligned} (u \diamond_1 v) \diamond_s w &= (\varphi(\varphi(u) * \varphi(v))) \diamond_s w \\ &= \psi_s((\varphi(u) * \varphi(v)) * \psi_s^{-1}(w)) \\ &= \psi_s(\varphi(u) * (\varphi(v) * \psi_s^{-1}(w))) \\ &= \psi_s(\varphi(u) * (\psi_s^{-1}(v \diamond_s w))) = u \diamond_s (v \diamond_s w) \end{aligned}$$

by [Proposition 5.4](#) and the associativity of $*$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *For $s, t \in \mu_r$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

$$y \diamond_s v z_t^\delta w = (y \diamond_s v) z_t^\delta w + v z_t^\delta (y \diamond_s w) + v z_t^\delta (z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta) w.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $\deg(w)$. When $w = 1$, we have

$$y \diamond_s v z_t^\delta = (y \diamond_s v) z_t^\delta + (1 \diamond_s v z_t^\delta) (y \diamond_t 1) \quad (8)$$

by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#). Since $y \diamond_t 1 = y \diamond_s 1 + (z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta)$, we have

$$(8) = (y \diamond_s v) z_t^\delta + v z_t^\delta (y \diamond_s 1) + v z_t^\delta (z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta)$$

and the assertion. If $w = w' z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), by the induction hypothesis and [Lemma 5.2](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (y \diamond_s v z_t^\delta w') z \\ &= (y \diamond_s v) z_t^\delta w' z + v z_t^\delta (y \diamond_s w') z + v z_t^\delta (z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta) w' z = \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

If $w = w'z_{t'}^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (1 \diamond_s v z_t^\delta w' z_{t'}^\delta)(y \diamond_{t'} 1) + (y \diamond_s v z_t^\delta w') z_{t'}^\delta \\ &= (1 \diamond_s v z_t^\delta w' z_{t'}^\delta)(y \diamond_{t'} 1) + (y \diamond_s v) z_t^\delta w + v z_t^\delta (y \diamond_s w') z_{t'}^\delta + v z_t^\delta (z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta) w \\ &= \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Now write $R = R_y R_{x+2y} R_y^{-1}$. For rooted forests f , we define polynomials $F_f \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$ recursively by

- $F_\emptyset = 1$,
- $F_\bullet = y$,
- $F_t = R(F_f)$ if $t = B_+(f)$ and $f \neq \emptyset$,
- $F_f = F_g \diamond_1 F_h$ if $f = gh$.

The subscript of F is extended linearly.

Proposition 5.7. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, put $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f' \otimes f''$. Then, for $s, s' \in \mu_r$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have

$$F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w).$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case that f is a monomial, i.e., a rooted forest. If $f = \emptyset$, it is obvious. If $f = \bullet$, by [Lemma 5.6](#), we find the proposition holds.

Assume $\deg(f) \geq 2$ and the proposition holds for any elements in \mathcal{H} of degree less than $\deg(f)$. If $f = gh$ ($g, h \neq \emptyset$), we have

$$F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w = (F_g \diamond_1 F_h) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w = F_g \diamond_s (F_h \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w) \quad (9)$$

because of [Lemma 5.5](#). Since $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$, we have

$$(9) = \sum_{(h)} F_g \diamond_s (F_{h'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{h''} \diamond_{s'} w) = \sum_{(g)} \sum_{(h)} (F_{g'} \diamond_s (F_{h'} \diamond_s v)) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} (F_{h''} \diamond_{s'} w)) \quad (10)$$

by the induction hypothesis. Again by [Lemma 5.5](#), we have

$$(10) = \sum_{(g)} \sum_{(h)} ((F_{g'} \diamond_1 F_{h'}) \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta ((F_{g''} \diamond_1 F_{h''}) \diamond_{s'} w) = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w),$$

and hence the assertion.

If f is a tree and $f = B_+(g)$, we have $F_f = R(F_g)$. In this case, the proof goes inductively on $\deg(w)$. When $w = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta &= R(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta \\ &= (R_y^{-1}(F_g)x + 2F_g)y \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta \\ &= (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta + ((R_y^{-1}(F_g)x + 2F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta)(z - z_{s'}^\delta) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

because of [Lemma 5.3](#). Since $\deg(g) < \deg(f)$, we have

$$F_g \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta = \sum_{(g)} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} 1)$$

by the induction hypothesis. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) &= (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta + (R_y^{-1}(F_g) x \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta) (z - z_{s'}^\delta) + 2 \sum_{(g)} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} 1) (z - z_{s'}^\delta) \\ &= (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta + ((R_y^{-1}(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta) z_{s'}^\delta - (F_g \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta) (z - z_{s'}^\delta) \\ &\quad + 2(F_g \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (y \diamond_{s'} 1) + \sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta ((R(F_{g''}) - R_y^{-1}(F_{g''})xy) \diamond_{s'} 1) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

because of [Lemma 5.3\(i\)](#), $y \diamond_{s'} 1 = z - z_{s'}^\delta$, and

$$(F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} 1) (z - z_{s'}^\delta) = F_{g''} y \diamond_{s'} 1 = \frac{1}{2} (R(F_{g''}) - R_y^{-1}(F_{g''})xy) \diamond_{s'} 1.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} 1) &= \sum_{\substack{c(f) \\ f'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} 1) + (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta \\ &= \sum_{(g)} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{B_+(g'')} \diamond_{s'} 1) + (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (R(F_{g''}) \diamond_{s'} 1) + (F_g \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (y \diamond_{s'} 1) + (F_f \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta \end{aligned}$$

because of [\(3\)](#). Therefore we have

$$(12) = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} 1) + (R_y^{-1}(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta) z_{s'}^\delta (z - z_{s'}^\delta) - \sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (R_y^{-1}(F_{g''})xy \diamond_{s'} 1). \quad (13)$$

We now see that the second and third terms in [\(13\)](#) cancel out. To see this, we need to show

$$\sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (R_y^{-1}(F_{g''}) \diamond_{s'} 1) = R_y^{-1}(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta$$

because of $R_y^{-1}(F_{g''})xy \diamond_{s'} 1 = (R_y^{-1}(F_{g''}) \diamond_{s'} 1) z_{s'}^\delta (z - z_{s'}^\delta)$. By $R_y^{-1}(F_{g''}) \diamond_{s'} 1 = R_{z-z_{s'}^\delta}^{-1}(F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} 1)$, the induction hypothesis, and [Lemma 5.3](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (R_y^{-1}(F_{g''}) \diamond_{s'} 1) &= R_{z-z_{s'}^\delta}^{-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{(g) \\ g'' \neq \mathbb{1}}} (F_{g'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{g''} \diamond_{s'} 1) \right) = R_{z-z_{s'}^\delta}^{-1} (F_g \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta - (F_g \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta) \\ &= R_{z-z_{s'}^\delta}^{-1} (R_y^{-1}(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta) (z - z_{s'}^\delta) = R_y^{-1}(F_g) \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude

$$(13) = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} 1).$$

Now we proceed to the case when $\deg(w) \geq 1$. If $w = w'z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), we have

$$F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w = (F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w')z = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w')z = \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w)$$

by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_{s''}^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $s'' \in \mu_r$), since we have already proved the identity when $w = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_f \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w &= \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v z_{s'}^\delta w') z_{s''}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s''} 1) \\ &= \sum_{(f)} \sum_{(f')} (F_{f'_a} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f'_b} \diamond_{s'} w) z_{s''}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s''} 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\Delta(f') = \sum_{(f')} f'_a \otimes f'_b$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w) &= \sum_{(f)} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''} \diamond_{s'} w' z_{s''}^\delta) \\ &= \sum_{(f)} \sum_{(f'')} (F_{f'} \diamond_s v) z_{s'}^\delta (F_{f''_a} \diamond_{s'} w') z_{s''}^\delta (F_{f''_b} \diamond_{s''} 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\Delta(f'') = \sum_{(f'')} f''_a \otimes f''_b$. By coassociativity of Δ , these two coincide, and hence we have conclusion. \square

The following property plays an important role in our proof of [Theorem 2.5](#) in [Section 8](#).

Proposition 5.8. *For $s, t \in \mu_r$, $v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

$$z_s^\delta (v y \diamond_s w (z - z_t^\delta)) = -\tau(\tau(v) y \diamond_t \tau(w) (z - z_s^\delta)) (z - z_t^\delta).$$

Proof. By [Lemmas 5.3\(ii\)](#) and [5.9\(ii\)](#), it is equivalent to show the identity

$$v y \diamond_s w - v \diamond_s w z_t^\delta = \tau(\tau(v) \diamond_t \tau(w) z_s^\delta - \tau(v) y \diamond_t \tau(w)). \quad (14)$$

We prove this by induction on $\deg(v) + \deg(w)$. We consider five cases.

Case 1: $v = 1$. If $w = 1$, z , $z - z_u^\delta$ ($u \in \mu_r$), we calculate that both sides turn into

$$z - z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta, \quad z(z - z_t^\delta) - z_s^\delta z, \quad (z - z_s^\delta - z_u^\delta)(z - z_u^\delta) - (z - z_u^\delta) z_t^\delta,$$

respectively. If $w = w'z$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z\tau(w')z_s^\delta - (y \diamond_t 1)(1 \diamond_t z\tau(w')) - z(y \diamond_t \tau(w')) + z(y \diamond_t 1)(1 \diamond_t \tau(w')) \\ &= \tau((y \diamond_s w')z) - \tau(w'z z_t^\delta) = \tau(\text{L.H.S.}) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(iii) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'(z - z_u^\delta)$, we have

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s w')(z - z_u^\delta) - w' z_u^\delta (z - z_u^\delta) - w'(z - z_u^\delta) z_t^\delta$$

by Lemma 5.9(ii), and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_u^\delta \tau(w') z_s^\delta - (y \diamond_t 1) z_u^\delta \tau(w') - z_u^\delta (y \diamond_u \tau(w')) \\ &= z_u^\delta \tau(y \diamond_s w' - 1 \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) - (y \diamond_t 1) z_u^\delta \tau(w') \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(iv) and the induction hypothesis. Thus (14) holds.

Case 2: $v = z$. If $w = 1, z, z - z_u^\delta$, we calculate that both sides turn into

$$z^2 - z z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta z, \quad z(z - z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta) z, \quad (z^2 - z z_s^\delta - z_u^\delta z)(z - z_u^\delta) - (z - z_u^\delta) z_t^\delta z,$$

respectively. If $w = w'z$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(z \diamond_t \tau(w') z_s^\delta) - z(y \diamond_t \tau(w) + z y \diamond_t \tau(w') - z(y \diamond_t \tau(w'))) \\ &= z \tau(z y \diamond_s w' - z \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) - z(y \diamond_t \tau(w) - z(y \diamond_t \tau(w'))) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(v) and the induction hypothesis. Applying Lemma 5.9(iii) to the third term, we find that this is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$. Note that

$$x v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w = z_s^\delta (v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta (x v \diamond_t w) - z z_t^\delta (v \diamond_t w) \quad (15)$$

by Lemma 5.9(iv) and (vi). If $w = w'(z - z_u^\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_u^\delta (z \diamond_u \tau(w') z_s^\delta) - z(y \diamond_t z_u^\delta \tau(w')) - z_u^\delta (z y \diamond_u \tau(w')) + z z_u^\delta (y \diamond_u \tau(w')) \\ &= z_u^\delta \tau(z y \diamond_s w' - z \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) - z(y \diamond_t 1) (1 \diamond_t z_u^\delta \tau(w')) \end{aligned}$$

by (15) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemma 5.9(ii). Thus (14) holds.

Case 3: $v = y$. If $w = 1, z, z - z_u^\delta$, we calculate that both sides turn into

$$\begin{aligned} (z - z_s^\delta - z_t^\delta)(z - z_t^\delta) - (z - z_s^\delta) z_s^\delta, \quad (z - z_s^\delta)^2 z - (z - z_s^\delta) z z_t^\delta - z z_t^\delta (z - z_t^\delta), \\ (z - z_s^\delta - z_u^\delta)(z - z_u^\delta)(z - z_t^\delta - z_u^\delta) - (z - z_s^\delta) z_s^\delta (z - z_u^\delta) - (z - z_u^\delta) z_t^\delta (z - z_t^\delta), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Note that

$$x v \diamond_s z w = z_s^\delta (v \diamond_s z w) + z(x v \diamond_s w) - z z_s^\delta (v \diamond_s w) \quad (16)$$

by Lemma 5.9(iii) and (v). If $w = w'z$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta (1 \diamond_t z \tau(w') z_s^\delta) + z(x \diamond_t \tau(w') z_s^\delta) - z z_t^\delta (1 \diamond_t \tau(w') z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t z \tau(w')) + z(x y \diamond_t \tau(w')) - z z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t \tau(w'))) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(y \diamond_s w - 1 \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z \tau(y^2 \diamond_s w' - y \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) - z z_t^\delta (y \diamond_s w' - 1 \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by (16) and the induction hypothesis. Hence, applying τ and using Lemma 5.3(ii), we find (14) also holds in this case. If $w = w'(z - z_u^\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(1 \diamond_t \tau(w)z_s^\delta) + z_u^\delta(x \diamond_u \tau(w')z_s^\delta) - z z_u^\delta(1 \diamond_u \tau(w')z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z_t^\delta(y \diamond_t \tau(w)) + z_u^\delta(xy \diamond_u \tau(w')) - z z_u^\delta(y \diamond_u \tau(w'))) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(y \diamond_s w - 1 \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z_u^\delta \tau(y^2 \diamond_s w' - y \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) - z z_u^\delta \tau(y \diamond_s w' - 1 \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by (15) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.9(ii) and 5.3(ii). Thus (14) holds.

Case 4: $v = v'z$. If $w = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_s^\delta) + (z_s^\delta z - z z_s^\delta)(\tau(v') \diamond_s 1) - z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t 1) \\ &= z_s^\delta z \tau(v' \diamond_s 1) - \tau(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(i), (vi), and (vii) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.9(i). If $w = z$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_s^\delta z_s^\delta + \tau(v) \diamond_t z_s^\delta - z(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_s^\delta)) - z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t z + \tau(v)y \diamond_t 1 - z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t 1)) \\ &= z \tau(v'y \diamond_s z - v' \diamond_s z z_t^\delta) + z \tau(vy \diamond_s 1 - v \diamond_s z_t^\delta) - z \tau(v'y \diamond_s 1 - v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(v) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemma 5.2. If $w = z - z_u^\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_u^\delta z_s^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_u z_s^\delta) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_u z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t z_u^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v)y \diamond_u 1) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_u 1)) \\ &= z \tau(v'y \diamond_s (z - z_u^\delta) - v' \diamond_s (z - z_u^\delta)z_t^\delta) + z_u^\delta \tau(vy \diamond_s 1 - v \diamond_s z_u^\delta) - z z_u^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s 1 - v' \diamond_s z_u^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(vi) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). If $w = w'z$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w)z_s^\delta + \tau(v) \diamond_t \tau(w')z_s^\delta - z(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w')z_s^\delta)) \\ &\quad - z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w) + \tau(v)y \diamond_t \tau(w') - z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w'))) \\ &= z \tau(v'y \diamond_s w - v' \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z \tau(vy \diamond_s w' - v \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) - z \tau(v'y \diamond_s w' - v' \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(v) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemma 5.2. If $w = w'(z - z_u^\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w)z_s^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_u \tau(w')z_s^\delta) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_u \tau(w')z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w)) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v)y \diamond_u \tau(w')) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_u \tau(w'))) \\ &= z \tau(v'y \diamond_s w - v' \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z_u^\delta \tau(vy \diamond_s w' - v \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) - z z_u^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s w' - v' \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.9(vi) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). Thus (14) holds.

Case 5: $v = v'y$. If $w = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_s^\delta) + z_s^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_s 1) - z z_s^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_s 1) - z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t 1) \\ &= \tau(v'y \diamond_s 1 - v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_s^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_s 1) - z z_s^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_s 1)\end{aligned}$$

by (15), Lemma 5.9(i), $x \diamond_t 1 = z_t^\delta$, and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.9(i) and 5.3(ii). If $w = z$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t z z_s^\delta) + z(\tau(v) \diamond_t z_s^\delta) - z z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t z) + z(\tau(v)y \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t 1) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s z - v' \diamond_s z z_t^\delta) + z \tau(vy \diamond_s 1 - v \diamond_s z_t^\delta) - z z_t^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s 1 - v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta)\end{aligned}$$

by (16) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). If $w = z - z_u^\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t z_u^\delta z_s^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_u z_s^\delta) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_u z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t z_u^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v)y \diamond_u 1) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_u 1)) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s (z - z_u^\delta) - v' \diamond_s (z - z_u^\delta) z_t^\delta) + z_u^\delta \tau(vy \diamond_s 1 - v \diamond_s z_u^\delta) - z z_u^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s 1 - v' \diamond_s z_u^\delta)\end{aligned}$$

by (15) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). If $w = w'z$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w) z_s^\delta) + z(\tau(v) \diamond_t \tau(w') z_s^\delta) - z z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w') z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w)) + z(\tau(v)y \diamond_t \tau(w')) - z z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w'))) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(v \diamond_s w - v' \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z \tau(vy \diamond_s w' - v \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta) - z z_t^\delta \tau(v \diamond_s w' - v' \diamond_s w' z_t^\delta)\end{aligned}$$

by (16) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). If $w = w'(z - z_u^\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\text{R.H.S.}) &= z_t^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_t \tau(w) z_s^\delta) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v) \diamond_u \tau(w') z_s^\delta) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v') \diamond_u \tau(w') z_s^\delta) \\ &\quad - (z_t^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_t \tau(w)) + z_u^\delta(\tau(v)y \diamond_u \tau(w')) - z z_u^\delta(\tau(v')y \diamond_u \tau(w'))) \\ &= z_t^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s w - v' \diamond_s w z_t^\delta) + z_u^\delta \tau(vy \diamond_s w' - v \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta) - z z_u^\delta \tau(v'y \diamond_s w' - v' \diamond_s w' z_u^\delta)\end{aligned}$$

by (15) and the induction hypothesis. This is $\tau(\text{L.H.S.})$ because of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii). Thus (14) holds and we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 5.9. For $s, t \in \mu_r$, $v, v' \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, the following equalities hold:

- (i) $vv' \diamond_s 1 = (v \diamond_s 1)(v' \diamond_s 1)$.
- (ii) $vy \diamond_s w(z - z_t^\delta) = (vy \diamond_s w - v \diamond_s w z_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1)$.
- (iii) $yv \diamond_s zw = (y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s zw) + z(yv \diamond_s w) - z(y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s w)$.
- (iv) $yv \diamond_s z_t^\delta w = (y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta(yv \diamond_t w)$.
- (v) $zv \diamond_s zw = z(v \diamond_s zw + zv \diamond_s w - z(v \diamond_s w))$.
- (vi) $zv \diamond_s z_t^\delta w = z(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta(zv \diamond_t w) - z z_t^\delta(v \diamond_t w)$.
- (vii) $\tau(v \diamond_s 1) = \tau(v) \diamond_s 1$.

Proof. (i): If $v = 1$ or $v' = 1$, it is obvious. Otherwise, it is enough to show when $v = z^{k_1-1}y \cdots z^{k_m-1}y$ and $v' = z^{l_1-1}y \cdots z^{l_n-1}y$. One calculates

$$vv' \diamond_s 1 = z^{k_1-1}(z - z_s^\delta) \cdots z^{k_m-1}(z - z_s^\delta) z^{l_1-1}(z - z_s^\delta) \cdots z^{l_n-1}(z - z_s^\delta),$$

which is clearly equal to $(v \diamond_s 1)(v' \diamond_s 1)$.

(ii): This is a direct consequence of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3(ii).

(iii): We first consider the case $v = 1$. If $w = 1$, it is obvious because of Lemma 5.2. If $w = w'z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), the left-hand side turns into

$$(y \diamond_s zw')z = ((y \diamond_s 1)zw' + z(y \diamond_s w') - z(y \diamond_s 1)w')z$$

by Lemma 5.2 and the induction hypothesis on degree of words. This is equal to the right-hand side again by Lemma 5.2. If $w = w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $t \in \mu_r$), the left-hand side turns into

$$(y \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta + zw(y \diamond_t 1) = (y \diamond_s 1)zw + z(y \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta - z(y \diamond_s 1)w + zw(y \diamond_t 1)$$

by Lemma 5.3(ii) and the induction hypothesis. This is equal to the right-hand side again by Lemma 5.3(ii).

If $v = z$, by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s zw)z$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = ((y \diamond_s 1)(1 \diamond_s zw) + z(y \diamond_s w) - z(y \diamond_s 1)(1 \diamond_s w))z,$$

which are equal as shown just before. If $v = y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $t \in \mu_r$).

If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (y^2 \diamond_s 1)z = (y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s z)$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s z) + z(y^2 \diamond_s 1) - z(y \diamond_s 1)^2,$$

which coincide. If $w = w'z$, by induction on degree of words, the left-hand side turns into

$$(y^2 \diamond_s zw')z = (y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s zw')z + z(y^2 \diamond_s w')z - z(y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s w')z,$$

which is equal to the right-hand side due to Lemma 5.2. If $w = w'z_t^\delta$, by using Lemma 5.3(ii) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (y \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (y^2 \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta \\ &= ((y \diamond_s 1)zw + z(y \diamond_s w) - z(y \diamond_s 1)w)(y \diamond_t 1) \\ &\quad + ((y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s zw') + z(y^2 \diamond_s w') - z(y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s w'))z_t^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= (y \diamond_s 1)(zw(y \diamond_t 1) + (y \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta) + z((y \diamond_s w)(y \diamond_t 1) + (y^2 \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta) \\ &\quad - z(y \diamond_s 1)(w(y \diamond_t 1) + (y \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta), \end{aligned}$$

which are equal. If $v = v'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $v = v'y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $t \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = yv \diamond_s z = (yv \diamond_s 1)z$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s z) + z(yv \diamond_s 1) - z(y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s 1),$$

which are equal. If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_t^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (yv' \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (yv \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta \\ &= ((y \diamond_s 1)(v' \diamond_s zw) + z(yv' \diamond_s w) - z(y \diamond_s 1)(v' \diamond_s w))(y \diamond_t 1) \\ &\quad + ((y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s zw') + z(yv \diamond_s w') - z(y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s w'))z_t^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= (y \diamond_s 1)((v' \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (v \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta) + z((yv' \diamond_s w)(y \diamond_t 1) + (yv \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta) \\ &\quad - z(y \diamond_s 1)((v' \diamond_s w)(y \diamond_t 1) + (v \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta), \end{aligned}$$

which coincide.

(iv): We first consider the case $v = 1$. If $w = 1$, it is obvious because of [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#). If $w = w'z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), the left-hand side turns into

$$(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z = ((y \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta w' + z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t w'))z$$

by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis on degree of words. This is equal to the right-hand side again by [Lemma 5.2](#). If $w = w'z_u^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $u \in \mu_r$), the left-hand side turns into

$$(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta + z_t^\delta w'(y \diamond_u 1) = (y \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta w' + z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta + z_t^\delta w'(y \diamond_u 1)$$

by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis. This is equal to the right-hand side again by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#).

If $v = z$, by [Lemma 5.2](#), we have

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)z$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = ((y \diamond_s 1)(1 \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t w))z,$$

which are equal as shown just before. If $v = y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_u^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $u \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s z_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1) + (y^2 \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta (y^2 \diamond_t 1),$$

which are equal because of [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#). If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_u^\delta$, by the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (y^2 \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta \\ &= ((y \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta w + z_t^\delta (y \diamond_t w))(y \diamond_u 1) + ((y \diamond_s 1)(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w') + z_t^\delta (y^2 \diamond_t w'))z_u^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)(z_t^\delta w(y \diamond_u 1) + (y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta) + z_t^\delta ((y \diamond_t w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (y^2 \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta),$$

which coincide. If $v = v'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $v = v'y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_u^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $u \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (yv' \diamond_s z_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1) + (yv \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta (yv \diamond_t 1),$$

which are equal by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_u^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (yv' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (yv \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta \\ &= ((y \diamond_s 1)(v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta (yv' \diamond_t w))(y \diamond_u 1) + ((y \diamond_s 1)(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w') + z_t^\delta (yv \diamond_t w'))z_u^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (y \diamond_s 1)((v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta) + z_t^\delta ((yv' \diamond_t w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (yv \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta),$$

which coincide.

(v): If $v = 1$, by using [Lemma 5.2](#), the right-hand side turns into

$$z^2w + zwz - z^2w = zwz,$$

which is equal to the left-hand side. If $v = z$, we have

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (z \diamond_s zw)z = zwz^2$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = z(zwz + wz^2 - zwz) = zwz^2,$$

which coincide. If $v = y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $t \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = zy \diamond_s z = zyz$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = z(y \diamond_s z + zy \diamond_s 1 - z(y \diamond_s 1)) = zyz,$$

which coincide. If $w = w'z$, by induction on degree of words, the left-hand side turns into

$$(zy \diamond_s zw')z = z(y \diamond_s zw' + zy \diamond_s w' - z(y \diamond_s w'))z,$$

which is equal to the right-hand side due to [Lemma 5.2](#). If $w = w'z_t^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (z \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (zy \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta = zwz(y \diamond_t 1) + z(y \diamond_s zw' + zy \diamond_s w' - z(y \diamond_s w'))z_t^\delta$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = z(zw(y \diamond_t 1) + (y \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta + wz(y \diamond_t 1) + (zy \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta - z(w(y \diamond_t 1) + (y \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta)),$$

which are equal.

If $v = v'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $v = v'y$, we need to show when $w = 1, w'z, w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r, t \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = zv \diamond_s z = zvz$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = z(v \diamond_s z + zv \diamond_s 1 - z(v \diamond_s 1)) = zvz,$$

which coincide. If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_t^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (zv' \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (zv \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta \\ &= z(v' \diamond_s zw + zv' \diamond_s w - z(v' \diamond_s w))(y \diamond_t 1) + z(v \diamond_s zw' + zv \diamond_s w' - z(v \diamond_s w'))z_t^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= z((v' \diamond_s zw)(y \diamond_t 1) + (v \diamond_s zw')z_t^\delta + (zv' \diamond_s w)(y \diamond_t 1) \\ &\quad + (zv \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta - z((v' \diamond_s w)(y \diamond_t 1) + (v \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta)), \end{aligned}$$

which are equal.

(vi): If $v = 1$, by using [Lemma 5.2](#), the right-hand side turns into

$$zz_t^\delta w + z_t^\delta(z \diamond_t w) - zz_t^\delta w = z_t^\delta wz,$$

which is equal to the left-hand side. If $v = z$, we have

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (z \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)z = z_t^\delta wz^2$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = zz_t^\delta wz + z_t^\delta wz^2 - zz_t^\delta wz = z_t^\delta wz^2,$$

which coincide. If $v = y$, we need to show when $w = 1, w'z, w'z_u^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r, u \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$,

$$\text{L.H.S.} = zy \diamond_s z_t^\delta = z_t^\delta z(t \diamond_t 1) + (zy \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= z(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta(z y \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(y \diamond_t 1) \\ &= z(z_t^\delta(y \diamond_t 1) + (y \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta z(y \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(y \diamond_t 1), \end{aligned}$$

which coincide. If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_u^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (z \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (z y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta \\ &= z_t^\delta w z(y \diamond_u 1) + z(y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta + z_t^\delta(z y \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta - z z_t^\delta(y \diamond_s w')z_u^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{R.H.S.} = z(z_t^\delta w(y \diamond_u 1) + (y \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta) + z_t^\delta(w z(y \diamond_u 1) + (z y \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta) - z z_t^\delta(w(y \diamond_u 1) + (y \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta),$$

which are equal. If $v = v'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $v = v'y$, we need to show when $w = 1$, $w'z$, $w'z_u^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $u \in \mu_r$). If $w = 1$, by [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (z v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1) + (z v \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta \\ &= (z(v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta(z v' \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(v' \diamond_t 1))(y \diamond_t 1) + z(v \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= z(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta(z v \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(v \diamond_t 1) \\ &= z((v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta)(y \diamond_t 1) + (v \diamond_s 1)z_t^\delta) + z_t^\delta z(v' \diamond_t 1)(y \diamond_t 1) - z z_t^\delta(v' \diamond_t 1)(y \diamond_t 1), \end{aligned}$$

which are equal. If $w = w'z$, it is obvious by [Lemma 5.2](#) and the induction hypothesis. If $w = w'z_u^\delta$, by using [Lemma 5.3\(ii\)](#) and the induction hypothesis, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (z v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (z v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta \\ &= (z(v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w) + z_t^\delta(z v' \diamond_t w) - z z_t^\delta(v' \diamond_t w))(y \diamond_u 1) + (z(v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w') + z_t^\delta(z v \diamond_t w') - z z_t^\delta(v \diamond_t w'))z_u^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= z((v' \diamond_s z_t^\delta w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (v \diamond_s z_t^\delta w')z_u^\delta) + z_t^\delta((z v' \diamond_t w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (z v \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta) \\ &\quad - z z_t^\delta((v' \diamond_t w)(y \diamond_u 1) + (v \diamond_t w')z_u^\delta), \end{aligned}$$

which are equal.

(vii): If $v = 1$, it is obvious. Otherwise, putting $v = z^{k_1-1}y \dots z^{k_m-1}y$, one calculates

$$\tau(v \diamond_s 1) = \tau(z^{k_1-1}(z - z_s^\delta) \dots z^{k_m-1}(z - z_s^\delta)) = z_s^\delta z^{k_m-1} \dots z_s^\delta z^{k_1-1}$$

and

$$\tau(v) \diamond_s 1 = \psi_s(zx^{k_m-1} \dots zx^{k_1-1}) = \varphi(z_s x^{k_m-1} \dots z_s x^{k_1-1}),$$

which are equal. □

6. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We prove that the polynomial F_f defined just before [Proposition 5.7](#) satisfies the theorem. The proof goes by induction on $\deg(f)$ for rooted forests f and $\deg(w)$ for words w . First, we prove the theorem when $f = \bullet$. If $w = 1$, we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta)$$

and

$$z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s 1) = z_s^\delta(y \diamond_s 1) = z_s^\delta(z - z_s^\delta),$$

which are equal. Suppose $\deg(w) \geq 1$. If $w = w'z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), by [\[9, Theorem 2.2\(d\)\]](#), which asserts that R_z and any RTM commute, the induction hypothesis, and [Lemma 5.2](#), we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w'z) = \tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w')z = z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s w')z = z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s w). \quad (17)$$

If $w = w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w'z_t^\delta) = \tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w')z_t^\delta + z_s^\delta w'z_t^\delta(z - z_t^\delta)$$

and, by [Lemma 5.3](#),

$$z_s^\delta(y \diamond_s w'z_t^\delta) = z_s^\delta(y \diamond_s w')z_t^\delta + z_s^\delta w'z_t^\delta(z - z_t^\delta),$$

which are equal by the induction hypothesis.

Next, suppose $\deg(f) \geq 2$. If $f = gh$ ($g, h \neq \emptyset$), we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w) = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}(z_s^\delta w) = \tilde{g}(z_s^\delta(F_h \diamond_s w)) = z_s^\delta(F_g \diamond_s (F_h \diamond_s w)) = z_s^\delta((F_g \diamond_1 F_h) \diamond_s w) = z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s w)$$

since $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$ and [Lemma 5.5](#). Let f be a rooted tree and put $f = B_+(g)$. When $w = 1$, we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta) = R_{z-z_s^\delta} R_{2z-z_s^\delta} R_{z-z_s^\delta}^{-1} \tilde{g}(z_s^\delta) = R_{z-z_s^\delta} R_{2z-z_s^\delta} R_{z-z_s^\delta}^{-1} z_s^\delta(F_g \diamond_s 1) \quad (18)$$

by the induction hypothesis. Since $\psi_s \varphi R_x = R_{z_s^\delta} \psi_s \varphi$ and $\psi_s \varphi R_y = R_{z-z_s^\delta} \psi_s \varphi$ on \mathcal{A}_1^1 , we have

$$(18) = z_s^\delta(\psi_s \varphi(R_y R_{x+2y} R_y^{-1}(F_g))) = z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s 1). \quad (19)$$

Suppose $\deg(w) \geq 1$. If $w = w'z$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}_r$), we have [\(17\)](#) again (but this time we consider $\deg(f) \geq 2$).

If $w = w'z_t^\delta$ ($w' \in \mathcal{A}$) and $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f' \otimes f''$, we have

$$\tilde{f}(z_s^\delta w'z_t^\delta) = \sum_{(f)} \tilde{f}'(z_s^\delta w') \tilde{f}''(z_t^\delta) = \sum_{(f)} z_s^\delta(F_{f'} \diamond_s w') z_t^\delta(F_{f''} \diamond_t 1)$$

by the induction hypothesis on degree of words and [\(19\)](#). This is equal to $z_s^\delta(F_f \diamond_s w)$ by [Proposition 5.7](#).

Uniqueness of F_f is shown as follows. If $F'_f \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$ also satisfies the theorem, we have

$$(F_f - F'_f) \diamond_s w = 0$$

for any $s \in \mu_r$ and any $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$. In particular, putting $w = 1$ we have

$$(F_f - F'_f) \diamond_s 1 = 0,$$

and hence

$$F_f - F'_f = \varphi \psi_s^{-1}(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

7. Proof of Theorem 2.4

For rooted forests f , we define polynomials $G_f \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$ recursively by

- $G_{\emptyset} = 1$,
- $G_{\bullet} = -y$,
- $G_t = L_{2x+y}(G_f)$ if $t = B_+(f)$ and $f \neq \emptyset$,
- $G_f = G_g \diamond_1 G_h$ if $f = gh$,

where L_v denotes the left multiplication map by v , i.e., $L_v(w) = vw$ ($v, w \in \mathcal{A}_r$). The subscript of G is extended linearly. In [7], we find that $G_f = F_{S(f)}$.

Lemma 7.1. For $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, put $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f' \otimes f''$. Then we have

$$\sum_{(f)} F_{f'} \diamond_1 G_{f''} = 0.$$

Proof. See [7, Proposition 4.5]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. If $f = \bullet$, the theorem holds since $S(f) = -\bullet$, $G_f = -y$, and Theorem 2.1 for $f = \bullet$. Assume $\deg(f) \geq 2$. If $f = gh$ ($g, h \neq \emptyset$), we have

$$\overline{S(f)} = \overline{S(gh)} = \overline{S(h)S(g)} = \overline{S(h)}\overline{S(g)} = \overline{S(g)}\overline{S(h)}$$

because the antipode S is an antiautomorphism, \sim is an algebra homomorphism, and RTMs commute with each other. Then, since $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$ and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\overline{S(f)}(z_s^\delta w) = \overline{S(g)}(\overline{S(h)}(z_s^\delta w)) = z_s^\delta(G_g \diamond_s (G_h \diamond_s w)) = z_s^\delta((G_g \diamond_1 G_h) \diamond_s w) = z_s^\delta(G_f \diamond_s w).$$

If f is a tree, by letting $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f' \otimes f''$ and Lemma 7.1, we have

$$z_s^\delta(G_f \diamond_s w) = -z_s^\delta \sum_{\substack{(f) \\ f' \neq \emptyset}} (F_{f'} \diamond_1 G_{f''}) \diamond_s w. \quad (20)$$

By Lemma 5.5, Theorem 2.1, and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$(20) = -z_s^\delta \sum_{\substack{(f) \\ f' \neq \emptyset}} F_{f'} \diamond_s (G_{f''} \diamond_s w) = - \sum_{\substack{(f) \\ f' \neq \emptyset}} \tilde{f}'(z_s^\delta(G_{f''} \diamond_s w)) = - \sum_{\substack{(f) \\ f' \neq \emptyset}} \tilde{f}'(\overline{S(f'')}(z_s^\delta w)).$$

Since $\sum_{(f)} f' S(f'') = 0$, we get the theorem. □

8. Proof of Theorem 2.5

Lemma 8.1. *For $f \in \text{Aug}(\mathcal{H})$, we have*

$$F_f = -R_y \tau R_y^{-1}(F_{S(f)}).$$

Proof. See [7, Proposition 5.1]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. First, we prove the theorem when $w = z_s^\delta w'(z - z_t^\delta) \in z_s^\delta \mathcal{A}_r(z - z_t^\delta)$. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\overline{S(f)}(w) = z_s^\delta (F_{S(f)} \diamond_s w'(z - z_t^\delta)).$$

We also have

$$\tau \tilde{f} \tau(w) = \tau \tilde{f}(z_t^\delta \tau(w')(z - z_s^\delta)) = \tau(z_t^\delta (F_f \diamond_t \tau(w')(z - z_s^\delta))) \quad (21)$$

by Theorem 2.1. Then, by Lemma 8.1, we have

$$(21) = -\tau(z_t^\delta (R_y \tau R_y^{-1}(F_{S(f)}) \diamond_t \tau(w')(z - z_s^\delta))),$$

which is equal to $z_s^\delta (F_{S(f)} \diamond_s w'(z - z_t^\delta))$ because of Proposition 5.8.

Next, we consider when $w = w'z \in \mathcal{A}_r z$. Since R_z and RTMs commute, we have

$$\overline{S(f)}(w) = \overline{S(f)}(xw')z$$

and

$$\tau \tilde{f} \tau(w) = \tau \tilde{f} \tau(xw'z) = \tau \tilde{f} \tau(xw')z,$$

which are equal by the induction hypothesis. Similarly, since L_z and RTMs commute, we have the same consequence when $w = zw' \in z\mathcal{A}_r$. □

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for some helpful advice. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19K03434, JP23K03059, and JP22K13897, Grant for Basic Science Research Projects from Sumitomo Foundation, and research funding granted by the University of Kitakyushu.

References

- [1] T. Arakawa and M. Kaneko, “On multiple L -values”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56**:4 (2004), 967–991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] H. Bachmann and T. Tanaka, “Rooted tree maps and the Kawashima relations for multiple zeta values”, *Kyushu J. Math.* **74**:1 (2020), 169–176. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, “Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199**:1 (1998), 203–242. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] A. Dür, *Möbius functions, incidence algebras and power series representations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1202**, Springer, 1986. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] M. Hirose, H. Murahara, and T. Onozuka, “ \mathbb{Q} -linear relations of specific families of multiple zeta values and the linear part of Kawashima’s relation”, *Manuscripta Math.* **164**:3-4 (2021), 455–465. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [6] G. Kawashima and T. Tanaka, “Newton series and extended derivation relations for multiple L -values”, preprint, 2008. [arXiv 0801.3062](#)
- [7] H. Murahara and T. Tanaka, “Algebraic aspects of rooted tree maps”, *Ramanujan J.* **60**:1 (2023), 123–139. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] T. Tanaka, “Rooted tree maps”, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **13**:3 (2019), 647–666. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] T. Tanaka and N. Wakabayashi, “Rooted tree maps for multiple L -values”, *J. Number Theory* **240** (2022), 471–489. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Andrew Granville

Received 2022-10-30

Revised 2023-10-08

Accepted 2023-11-27

hmurahara@mathformula.page

Department of Mathematics, The University of Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan

t.tanaka@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto, Japan

wakabayashi@osakac.ac.jp

Center of Physics and Mathematics, Osaka Electro-Communication University, Osaka, Japan

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$525/year for the electronic version, and \$770/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18 No. 11 2024

Galois orbits of torsion points near atoral sets	1945
VESSELIN DIMITROV and PHILIPP HABEGGER	
Rooted tree maps for multiple L-values from a perspective of harmonic algebras	2003
HIDEKI MURAHARA, TATSUSHI TANAKA and NORIKO WAKABAYASHI	
Terminal orders on arithmetic surfaces	2027
DANIEL CHAN and COLIN INGALLS	
Word measures on $GL_n(q)$ and free group algebras	2047
DANIELLE ERNST-WEST, DORON PUDER and MATAN SEIDEL	
The distribution of large quadratic character sums and applications	2091
YOUNESS LAMZOURI	