

Volume 18 2024

No. 3

Subconvexity bound for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions: Hybrid level aspect

Sumit Kumar, Ritabrata Munshi and Saurabh Kumar Singh



# Subconvexity bound for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ *L*-functions: Hybrid level aspect

Sumit Kumar, Ritabrata Munshi and Saurabh Kumar Singh

Let F be a GL(3) Hecke–Maass cusp form of prime level  $P_1$  and let f be a GL(2) Hecke–Maass cuspform of prime level  $P_2$ . We will prove a subconvex bound for the GL(3) × GL(2) Rankin–Selberg L-function  $L(s, F \times f)$  in the level aspect for certain ranges of the parameters  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper we continue our study of the subconvexity problem for the degree six  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  Rankin–Selberg L-functions using the delta symbol approach [Munshi 2018]. In the first paper on this theme Munshi [2022] established subconvex bounds in the t-aspect for these L-functions. Since then the method has been extended by Kumar and Singh together with Sharma and Mallesham (see [Kumar 2023; Kumar et al. 2020; 2022; Sharma and Sawin 2022]), to produce various instances of subconvexity in the spectral aspect and twist aspect. Indeed the delta symbol approach has worked quite well in the t-aspect and the spectral aspect. However its effectiveness and adaptability in the more arithmetic problem of level aspect remains a point of deliberation. In particular, it seems that new inputs are required to tackle the level aspect problem for such L-functions, especially when one of the forms is kept fixed and the level of the other varies. However, as was shown in the lower rank case of Rankin–Selberg convolution of two GL(2) forms [Holowinsky and Munshi 2013], the problem can be more tractable when both the forms vary in certain relative range. The aim of the present paper is to prove such a result for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  Rankin–Selberg convolution.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two distinct primes. Let F be a Hecke–Maass cusp form for the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(P_1)$  of  $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$  with trivial nebentypus. Let f be a holomorphic or Maass cusp form for the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(P_2)$  of  $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  with trivial nebentypus. Let  $Q = P_1^2 P_2^3$  be the arithmetic conductor of the Rankin–Selberg convolution of the above two forms. Then we have

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f) \ll Q^{1/4+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{P_1^{1/4}}{P_2^{3/8}} + \frac{P_2^{1/8}}{P_1^{1/4}}\right).$$

MSC2020: primary 11F66, 11M41; secondary 11F55.

Keywords: subconvexity, Rankin–Selberg L-functions, Hecke–Maass forms.

© 2024 MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

Note that the convexity bound is given by  $Q^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ . Thus the above bound is subconvex in the range

$$P_2^{1/2+\epsilon} < P_1 < P_2^{3/2-\epsilon}.$$

This provides the first instance of a subconvex bound in the level aspect for a degree six L-function which is not a character twist of a fixed L-function. The bound is strongest when  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are roughly of same size  $P_1 \approx P_2$ , in which case Theorem 1 gives

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f) \ll \mathcal{Q}^{1/4-1/40+\varepsilon}$$
.

The exponent  $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{40}$  appears in other contexts as well and it seems to be the limit of the delta symbol approach. We also note that our proof with some suitable modifications works even in the case of composite levels  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . But to keep the exposition simple and clean we will only give full details for the case of prime levels.

For a detailed introduction to automorphic forms on higher rank groups and for basic analytic properties of Rankin–Selberg convolution L-functions we refer the readers to Goldfeld's book [2006]. Our treatment will be at the level of L-functions, and the Voronoi summation formulae for GL(2) and GL(3) are the only input that we need from the theory of automorphic forms. For a broader introduction to the subconvexity problem and its applications we refer the readers to [Michel 2007; Munshi 2018].

Historically the level aspect subconvexity problem has proved to be more challenging compared to the spectral aspect or the t-aspect, regardless of the method adopted. Indeed Weyl shift is all one needs to prove the t-aspect subconvexity for  $\zeta(s)$ ; see [Weyl 1921]. Whereas Burgess had to nontrivially extend Weyl's ideas and had to invoke Riemann hypothesis for curves over finite fields, to obtain the first level aspect subconvexity result  $L(\frac{1}{2},\chi) \ll q^{3/16+\varepsilon}$ ; see [Burgess 1963]. In the 1990s Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec [Duke et al. 1993; 1994; 2000] used the amplification technique to obtain the level aspect subconvexity for GL(2) L-functions. The amplification method was extended by Kowalski, Michel and Vanderkam [Kowalski et al. 2002] to Rankin–Selberg convolutions GL(2)  $\times$  GL(2). Venkatesh [2010] used ergodic theory to study orbital integrals, and thus obtained level aspect subconvex bounds for triple products GL(2)  $\times$  GL(2)  $\times$  GL(2), where two forms are fixed and one varies. A similar technique was also adopted by Michel and Venkatesh [2010] for GL(2)  $\times$  GL(2) L-functions over any number fields. The level aspect subconvexity problem for any genuine GL(d) L-function with d > 2 remains an important open problem.

Our interest in the subconvexity problem for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  Rankin–Selberg convolution is kindled by two factors. First there is a structural advantage which makes the  $GL(n) \times GL(n-1)$  L-functions a suitable candidate for analytic number theoretic exploration. Indeed the case of n=2 has been extensively studied in the literature, as we will see below, and we want to extend to the next level n=3. Secondly,  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  Rankin–Selberg convolutions appear in important applications, like the quantum unique ergodicity, and so it is important to analyze different aspects of the subconvexity problem for these L-functions with the aim of developing techniques that will eventually work in the required scenarios, e.g., spectral aspect subconvexity for symmetric square L-functions. Finally, let us also stress, that we are

motivated to explore the scope of the delta symbol approach to subconvexity and other related problems. After initial success of Munshi [2018], the method has been extended, simplified and generalized by several researchers, e.g., see [Holowinsky and Nelson 2018; Aggarwal 2020; Aggarwal et al. 2020a; 2020b; Kowalski et al. 2020; Kumar 2023; Munshi and Singh 2019; Sharma and Sawin 2022; Lin et al. 2023].

The twists of GL(2) L-functions by Dirichlet characters, or in other words GL(2)  $\times$  GL(1) L-functions have been studied extensively in the literature, ever since the breakthrough work of Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec [1993]. Hybrid subconvexity have also been studied for these L-functions. Since this is the lower rank analogue of the L-function we are investigating in this paper, we briefly recall some results in this basic case. Let f be a GL(2) new form of level  $P_2$  and let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus  $P_3$ . Suppose  $(P_2, P_3) = 1$ , then  $Q = P_2 P_3^2$  is the arithmetic conductor of  $L(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi)$ . Different methods are now available to prove hybrid subconvexity bound, when the levels of forms vary in a relative range, say  $P_2 \sim P_3^{\eta}$ . Blomer and Harcos [2008] used amplification technique to prove

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi) \ll Q^{1/4+\epsilon}(Q^{-1/(8(2+\eta))} + Q^{-1-\eta/(4(2+\eta))})$$

for  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Aggarwal, Jo and Nowland [Aggarwal et al. 2018] used classical delta method to prove

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi) \ll \mathcal{Q}^{1/4 - (2 - 5\eta)/(20(2 + \eta)) + \epsilon}$$

for  $0 < \eta < \frac{2}{5}$ . Computing the average of the second moment of  $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right)$  over a family of forms, Hou and Chen [2019] extended the range of  $\eta$  to  $0 < \eta < \frac{3}{2} - \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is any admissible exponent towards the Petersson–Ramanujan conjecture for the Fourier coefficients. Currently, the result of Hou and Chen yields the widest range  $P_2 \ll P_3^{3/2-\delta}$ , but it falls short of the Burgess bound. In a recent work, Khan [2021] not only extended the range of  $P_2$ , but also obtained the Weyl bound in the case of  $P_2 \sim P_3$ . By computing the second moment over a family of GL(2) forms, Khan proved, in the range  $P_3 \gg P_2^{1/2}$ , that

$$\sum_{f \in B_h^{\star}(P_2)} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} f \otimes \chi\right) \right|^2 \ll_{k,\epsilon} \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}(P_2 + P_3),$$

where  $B_k^{\star}(P_2)$  denote a basis of holomorphic newforms of level  $P_2$  and weight k, and  $Q = P_2 P_3^2$ . Recently, during an AIM workshop "Delta symbol and subconvexity", the first and the third author used the delta symbol approach to prove

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi) \ll_{\epsilon} Q^{\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{P_2 P_3}}{\min{\{\sqrt{P_2}, \sqrt{P_3}\}}}.$$

This is of same strength as [Khan 2021].

#### 2. The set-up

Let F and f be as in Theorem 1. We will denote the normalized Fourier coefficients of f by  $\lambda_f(n)$ , and that of F by  $\lambda_F(n,r)$ . The Rankin–Selberg convolution is given by the absolutely converging Dirichlet

series

$$L(s, F \times f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_F(n, r)\lambda_f(n)}{(nr^2)^s}$$

in the right half plane  $Re(s) = \sigma > 1$ . Here it is also given by a degree six Euler product. This function extends to an entire function and satisfies a functional equation of Riemann type. It is known that this Rankin–Selberg convolution is the standard *L*-function of a GL(6) automorphic form [Kim and Shahidi 2002].

**2A.** Approximate functional equation. The functional equation gives an expression of the central value  $L(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f)$  in terms of rapidly decaying series, the so called approximate functional equation [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Theorem 5.3]. Taking a smooth dyadic subdivision of this expression we get the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $Q = P_1^2 P_2^3$  be the arithmetic conductor attached to the L-function  $L(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f)$ . Then, as  $Q \to \infty$ , we have

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f) \ll_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon} \sum_{r < \mathcal{Q}^{(1+2\epsilon)/4}} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{N \le \mathcal{Q}^{1/2+\epsilon}/r^2} \frac{|S_r(N)|}{N^{1/2}} + \mathcal{Q}^{-2021}, \tag{1}$$

where  $S_r(N)$  is a sum of the form

$$S_r(N) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_F(n, r) \lambda_f(n) V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right), \tag{2}$$

for some smooth function V supported in [1, 2] and satisfying  $V^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ .

This is the usual starting point of the delta symbol approach. Thus, to get subconvexity, it is enough to get some cancellation in the sum

$$S_r(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_F(n, r) \lambda_f(n) V(n/N),$$

for N near the generic range  $N \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{1/2}$ .

**2B.** *Delta symbol.* Next we separate the oscillations involved in  $S_r(N)$ . For this we will use a Fourier expansion of the Kronecker delta symbol. For any Q > 1 one has

$$\delta(n) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{1 \le q \le Q} \frac{1}{q_a} \sum_{\text{mod } q}^{\star} e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) e\left(\frac{nx}{qQ}\right) dx,$$

where g(q, x) is a smooth function of x satisfying

$$g(q, x) = 1 + h(q, x), \quad \text{with } h(q, x) = O\left(\frac{Q}{q}\left(\frac{q}{Q} + |x|\right)^{B}\right),$$

$$x^{j} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} g(q, x) \ll \log Q \min\left\{\frac{Q}{q}, \frac{1}{|x|}\right\},$$

$$g(q, x) \ll |x|^{-B},$$
(3)

for any B > 1 and  $j \ge 1$ . (Here  $e(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$ .) This expansion of  $\delta$  is due to Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec, and one can find details of this in [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004]. Using the third property of g(q, x), we observe that the effective range of the integration over x is  $[-Q^{\varepsilon}, Q^{\varepsilon}]$ . Also it follows that if  $q \ll Q^{1-\varepsilon}$  and  $x \ll Q^{-\varepsilon}$ , then g(q, x) can be replaced by 1 at the cost of a negligible error term. In the complimentary range, using second property, we have

$$x^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} g(q, x) \ll Q^{\varepsilon}.$$

Finally as in [Munshi 2022], by Parseval and Cauchy, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|g(q,x)| + |g(q,x)|^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \ll Q^{\varepsilon},$$

i.e., g(q, x) has average size "one" in the  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  sense. Applying this expansion and choosing  $Q = N^{1/2}$ , we get

$$S_{r}(N) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{F}(n,r)\lambda_{f}(m)V(n/N)W(m/N)\delta(n-m)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{g(q,x)}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} \sum_{n=1}^{\star} \lambda_{F}(n,r)e\left(\frac{na}{q}\right)e\left(\frac{nx}{qQ}\right)V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$$

$$\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{f}(m)e\left(\frac{-ma}{q}\right)e\left(\frac{-mx}{qQ}\right)W\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) dx. \quad (4)$$

**2C.** Ideas behind the proof. In this section, we will discuss the method and present a sketch of the proof. For simplicity, let's consider the generic case, i.e.,  $N = \sqrt{P_1^2 P_2^3}$ , r = 1 and  $q \approx Q = \sqrt{N}$ . Thus  $S_r(N)$  in (4) looks like

$$\frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{q \sim Qa} \sum_{\text{mod } q}^{\star} \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda_F(n, 1) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \sum_{m \sim N} \lambda_f(m) e\left(\frac{-am}{q}\right).$$

On applying GL(3) Voronoi to the *n*-sum, the dual length becomes

$$n^{\star} \sim \frac{\text{Conductor}}{\text{Initial Length}} = \frac{Q^3 P_1}{N} = P_1 N^{1/2},$$

and we save

$$\frac{\text{Initial Length}}{\sqrt{\text{Conductor}}} = \frac{N}{Q^{3/2} P_1^{1/2}}.$$

Next we apply GL(2) Voronoi formula to the sum over m. In this case, the dual length (generic) is given by

$$m^{\star} \sim \frac{Q^2 P_2}{N} = P_2,$$

and we save  $N/(Q\sqrt{P_2})$  in this step. The resulting character sum is given by

$$\sum_{n \bmod q}^{\star} S(-\bar{a}\,\overline{P_1}, n^{\star}; q) e\left(\frac{m^{\star}\bar{a}\,\overline{P_2}}{q}\right) = q e\left(\frac{\overline{P_1 m^{\star}}P_2 n^{\star}}{q}\right).$$

This reduction of the character sum into an additive character with respect to the GL(3) variable  $n^*$  drives the rest of the argument. We save  $\sqrt{Q}$  from the sum over a. Hence, in total, we have saved

$$\frac{N}{Q^{3/2}P_1^{1/2}} \times \frac{N}{Q\sqrt{P_2}} \times \sqrt{Q} = \frac{N}{\sqrt{P_1P_2}}.$$

In the next step, we apply Cauchy's inequality to the  $n^*$ -sum in the following resulting expression:

$$\sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{n^{\star} \sim P_1 \sqrt{N}} \lambda_F(n, 1) \sum_{m^{\star} \sim P_2} \lambda_f(m) e\left(\frac{\overline{P_1 m^{\star}} P_2 n^{\star}}{q}\right).$$

After Cauchy, we arrive at

$$(P_1\sqrt{N})^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n^{\star} \sim P_1\sqrt{N}} \left| \sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{m^{\star} \sim P_2} \lambda_f(m) e\left(\frac{\overline{P_1 m^{\star}} P_2 n^{\star}}{q}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

in which we seek to save  $\sqrt{P_1P_2}$  and a little more. In the final step, we apply Poisson summation formula to the  $n^*$ -sum. In the zero frequency( $n^* = 0$ ), we save  $(QP_2)^{1/2}$  which is sufficient provided

$$(QP_2)^{1/2} > (P_1P_2)^{1/2} \iff Q > P_1 \iff P_2^{3/2} > P_1.$$

In the nonzero frequency, we save  $(P_1\sqrt{N}/\sqrt{Q^2})^{1/2}$ . From the additive character inside the modulus, which arises due to a specific feature of GL(3) × GL(2) *L*-functions, we also save  $\sqrt{Q}$ . Thus we save  $(P_1\sqrt{N})^{1/2}$ , which is sufficient if

$$(P_1\sqrt{N})^{1/2} > (P_1P_2)^{1/2} \iff P_1 > P_2^{1/2}.$$

Hence, we obtain subconvexity in the range  $P_2^{1/2} < P_1 < P_2^{3/2}$ . Optimal saving, from Poisson, can be chosen by taking the minimum of the zero and nonzero frequencies savings. Hence

$$S(N) \ll \frac{N\sqrt{P_1 P_2}}{\min\{\sqrt{Q P_2}, \sqrt{P_1 \sqrt{N}}\}} = \frac{N}{\min\{N^{1/4}/P_1^{1/2}, N^{1/4}/P_2^{1/2}\}},$$

and consequently

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f\right) \ll \frac{(P_1^2 P_2^3)^{1/4}}{\min\{P_2^{3/8}/P_1^{1/4}, P_1^{1/4}/P_2^{1/8}\}},$$

which is best possible when  $P_1 \times P_2 (:= P)$  and  $P_1 \neq P_2$ . In this case we get

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, F \times f\right) \ll_{\epsilon} (P^5)^{1/4 - 1/40 + \epsilon}.$$

#### 3. Voronoi summation formula

Our next step involves applications of summation formulas.

**3A. GL**(3) *Voronoi*. In this section, we analyze the sum over n using GL(3) Voronoi summation formula. The following Lemma, except for the notations, is taken from [Zhou 2018]. Let F be a Hecke–Maass cusp form of type  $(v_1, v_2)$  for the congruent subgroup  $\Gamma_0(P_1)$  of SL(3,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) with the trivial character. The Fourier coefficients of F and that of its dual  $\tilde{F}$  are related by

$$\lambda_F(r, n) = \lambda_{\tilde{F}}(n, r),$$

for  $(nr, P_1) = 1$ . Let

$$\alpha_1 = -\nu_1 - 2\nu_2 + 1$$
,  $\alpha_2 = -\nu_1 + \nu_2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1$ 

be the Langlands parameters for F; see [Goldfeld 2006] for more details. Let g be a compactly supported smooth function on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\tilde{g}(s) = \int_0^\infty g(x) x^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}x$  be its Mellin transform. For  $\ell = 0$  and 1, we define

$$\gamma_{\ell}(s) := i^{\ell} \varepsilon(F) P_1^{1/2 + s} \frac{\pi^{-3s - 3/2}}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma((1 + s + \alpha_i + \ell)/2)}{\Gamma((-s - \alpha_i + \ell)/2)},$$

with  $|\varepsilon(F)| = 1$ . Set  $\gamma_{\pm}(s) = \gamma_0(s) \mp \gamma_1(s)$  and let

$$H_{\pm}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} y^{-s} \frac{\pi^{-3s-3/2}}{2} \gamma_{\pm}(s) \tilde{g}(-s) \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

where  $\sigma > -1 + \max\{-\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1), -\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2), -\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_3)\}$ . Let  $G_{\pm}(y) = P_1^{1/2}H_{\pm}(y/P_1)$ . With the aid of the above terminology, we now state the GL(3) Voronoi summation formula in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let g(x) and  $\lambda_F(n, r)$  be as above. Let  $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with q > 0, (a, q) = 1, and let  $\bar{a}$  be the multiplicative inverse of a modulo q. Suppose  $(qr, P_1) = 1$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_F(n,r) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) g(n) = q \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1 \mid qr} \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_F(n_1,n_2)}{n_1 n_2} S(r\bar{a}\,\overline{P}_1, \pm n_2; \, qr/n_1) G_{\pm}\left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 r}\right)$$

where S(a, b; q) is the Kloosterman sum which is defined as

$$S(a,b;q) = \sum_{x \bmod q}^{\star} e\left(\frac{ax + b\bar{x}}{q}\right).$$

*Proof.* See [Zhou 2018] for the proof.

To apply Lemma 3.1 in our setup, we need to extract the oscillations of the integral transform. To this end, we state the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let g be supported in the interval [X, 2X] and let  $H_{\pm}$  be defined as above. Then for any fixed integer  $K \ge 1$  and  $xX \gg 1$ , we have

$$H_{\pm}(x) = x \int_{0}^{\infty} g(y) \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{c_{j}(\pm)e(3(xy)^{1/3}) + d_{j}(\pm)e(-3(xy)^{1/3})}{(xy)^{j/3}} \, \mathrm{d}y + O((xX)^{(-K+2)/3}),$$

where  $c_i(\pm)$  and  $d_i(\pm)$  are some absolute constants depending on  $\alpha_i$ , for i = 1, 2, 3.

Plugging the leading term of Lemma 3.2 in Lemma 3.1 and using the resulting expression in (4) we see that the sum over n gets transformed into

$$\frac{N^{2/3}}{P_1^{1/6}qr^{2/3}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1|qr} n_1^{1/3} \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_F(n_1, n_2)}{n_2^{1/3}} S(r\bar{a}\overline{P_1}, \pm n_2; qr/n_1) \mathcal{I}(\cdot), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\cdot) = \int_0^\infty V(z) e\left(\frac{Nxz}{qQ} \pm \frac{3(Nn_1^2n_2z)^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) dz.$$

We observe that, using integration by parts repeatedly, the above integral is negligibly small if

$$n_1^2 n_2 \gg N^{\epsilon} \sqrt{N} P_1 r = N^{\epsilon} \frac{P_1 Q^3 r}{N} =: N_0.$$

In the case when  $P_1 \mid qr$ , an appropriate Voronoi summation from [Zhou 2018] can still be used. In fact it turns out that our analysis in this paper still goes through with slight modification and the final bound is even better. As such we proceed to present our analysis only in the coprime case.

**3B.** GL(2) Voronoi. In this section, we dualize the sum over m using GL(2) Voronoi summation formula.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $f \in H_k(P_2)$  be a holomorphic Hecke cuspform with Fourier coefficients  $\lambda_f(n)$  and trivial nebentypus. Let a and q be integers with  $(aP_2,q)=1$ . Let g be a compactly supported smooth bump function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(m) e\left(\frac{-am}{q}\right) g(n) = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\eta_f(P_2)}{\sqrt{P_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(m) e\left(\frac{m\overline{aP_2}}{q}\right) H\left(\frac{m}{P_2 q^2}\right), \tag{6}$$

where  $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ ,  $|\eta_f(P_2)| = 1$  and

$$H(y) = 2\pi i^k \int_0^\infty g(x) J_{k-1}(4\pi \sqrt{xy}) dx,$$

where  $J_{k-1}$  is the J-Bessel function and k is the weight of f.

*Proof.* See the appendix of [Kowalski et al. 2002].

Extracting the oscillations of  $J_{k-1}$ ,

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = e(x)W_{k-1}(x) + e(-x)\overline{W}_{k-1}(x),$$

with

$$x^j \frac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}x^j} W_{k-1}(x) \ll_{j,k} 1/\sqrt{x},$$

we see that H(y) can be essentially replaced by

$$H(y) = \frac{2\pi i^k}{y^{1/4}} \int_0^\infty g_1(x) e(\pm 2\sqrt{xy}) \, dx,$$

in our analysis, where  $g_1$  is the new weight function which has compact support and  $x^j g_1^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ ,  $j \ge 0$ . Applying the above lemma, the sum over m in (4) reduces to

$$\frac{N^{3/4}\eta_f(P_2)}{P_2^{1/4}\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{m\overline{aP_2}}{q}\right) \int_0^{\infty} W(y) e\left(\frac{-yNx}{qQ}\right) e\left(\frac{\pm 2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_2^{1/2}}\right) dy. \tag{7}$$

Notice the abuse of notation: the weight function W is different from the one in (4). Using stationary phase analysis we observe that the above integral is negligibly small unless

$$m \ll N^{\epsilon} P_2 = N^{\epsilon} \frac{Q^2 P_2}{N} =: M_0.$$

Again we will ignore the degenerate case where  $P_2 \mid q$  and proceed with the analysis of the generic case. Indeed our analysis works in the degenerate case as well, and the bound that we obtain is even better (as one will expect).

Now plugging (5) and (7) in (4), we arrive at

$$\frac{N^{17/12}\eta_f(P_2)}{P_1^{1/6}P_2^{1/4}Qr^{2/3}}\sum_{1\leq q\leq Q}\frac{1}{q^{5/2}}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{n_1\mid qr}n_1^{1/3}\sum_{n_2\ll N_0/n_1^2}\frac{\lambda_F(n_1,n_2)}{n_2^{1/3}}\sum_{m\ll M_0}\frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m^{1/4}}\mathcal{C}(\cdot)\mathfrak{I}(\cdot),\tag{8}$$

where the integral transform is given by

$$\Im(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x)g(q,x) \int_0^\infty W(y) \int_0^\infty V(z)e\left(\frac{Nx(z-y)}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_2^{1/2}} \pm \frac{3(Nn_1^2n_2z)^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) dz dy dx,$$

and the character sum is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(\,\cdot\,) := \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q}}^{\star} S(r\bar{a}\,\overline{P_1},\,\pm n_2;\,qr/n_1) e\bigg(\frac{m\bar{a}\,\overline{P_2}}{q}\bigg) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid q}} d\mu\bigg(\frac{q}{d}\bigg) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \bmod qr/n_1 \\ \overline{P_1}n_1\alpha \equiv -m\overline{P_2} \bmod d}}^{\star} e\bigg(\pm \frac{\bar{\alpha}n_2}{qr/n_1}\bigg).$$

#### 4. Cauchy and Poisson

**4A.** Cauchy inequality. Now we apply Cauchy's inequality to the  $n_2$ -sum in (8). To this end, we split the sum over q into dyadic blocks  $q \backsim C$  and further writing  $q = q_1q_2$  with  $q_1 \mid (n_1r)^{\infty}$ ,  $(n_1r, q_2) = 1$ ,

we see that  $S_r(N)$  is bounded by

$$\sup_{C \ll \mathcal{Q}} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1, r)} \ll C} n_1^{1/3} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1, r)} |q_1| (n_1 r)^{\infty}} \sum_{n_2 \ll N_0 / n_1^2} \frac{|\lambda_F(n_1, n_2)|}{n_2^{1/3}} \times \left| \sum_{q_2 \sim C / q_1} \sum_{m \ll M_0} \frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m^{1/4}} \mathcal{C}(\cdot) \mathfrak{I}(\cdot) \right|, \quad (9)$$

On applying the Cauchy's inequality to the  $n_2$ -sum we arrive at

$$S_r(N) \ll \sup_{C \ll Q} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} n_1^{1/3} \Theta^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} |q_1| (n_1 r)^{\infty}} \sqrt{\Omega}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\Theta = \sum_{n_2 \ll N_0/n_2^2} \frac{|\lambda_F(n_1, n_2)|^2}{n_2^{2/3}},\tag{11}$$

and

$$\Omega = \sum_{n_2 \ll N_0/n_1^2} \left| \sum_{q_2 \backsim C/q_1} \sum_{m \ll M_0} \frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m^{1/4}} \mathcal{C}(\cdot) \mathfrak{I}(\cdot) \right|^2.$$
(12)

**4B.** *Poisson.* We now apply the Poisson summation formula to the  $n_2$ -sum in (12). To this end, we smooth out the  $n_2$ -sum, i.e., we plug in an appropriate smooth bump function, say, W. Opening the absolute value square, we get

$$\Omega = \sum_{q_2, q'_2 \sim C/q_1} \sum_{m, m' \ll M_0} \frac{\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(m')}{(mm')^{1/4}} \times \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} W\left(\frac{n_2}{N_0/n_1^2}\right) C(\cdot) \bar{C}(\cdot) \Im(\cdot) \bar{\Im}(\cdot).$$

Reducing  $n_2$  modulo  $q_1q_2q_2'r/n_1 := \gamma$ , and using the change of variable

$$n_2 \mapsto n_2 q_1 q_2 q_2' r / n_1 + \beta$$
, with  $0 \le \beta < q_1 q_2 q_2' r / n_1$ ,

followed by the Poisson summation formula, we arrive at

$$\Omega = \sum_{q_2, q_2' \sim C/q_1} \sum_{m, m' \ll M_0} \frac{\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(m')}{(mm')^{1/4}} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\beta \bmod \gamma} C(\cdot) \bar{C}(\cdot) \mathcal{J}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W\left(\frac{w\gamma + \beta}{N_0/n_1^2}\right) \mathfrak{I}(\cdot) \overline{\mathfrak{I}}(\cdot) e(-n_2 w) \, \mathrm{d}w.$$

Now changing the variable

$$\frac{w\gamma + \beta}{N_0/n_1^2} \mapsto w,$$

we arrive at

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{N_0}{n_1^2 \gamma} e\left(\frac{n_2 \beta}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(w) \Im(\cdot) \bar{\Im}(\cdot) e\left(\frac{-n_2 N_0 w}{n_1^2 \gamma}\right) \mathrm{d}w.$$

Plugging this back in (13), and executing the sum over  $\beta$ , we arrive at

$$\Omega = \frac{N_0}{n_1^2} \sum_{q_2, q_2' \backsim C/q_1} \sum_{m, m' \ll M_0} \frac{\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(m')}{(mm')^{1/4}} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C}\mathcal{I},\tag{14}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{C} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d' \mid q'}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d' \mid q'}}^{\star} dd' \mu \left(\frac{q'}{d}\right) \mu \left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \bmod qr/n_1 \\ \overline{P_1}n_1\alpha \equiv -m\overline{P_2} \bmod d\overline{P_1}n_1\alpha' \equiv -P_2mr' \bmod d' \\ +\overline{\alpha}d' \pm \overline{\alpha}' \alpha \equiv -n_2 \bmod q, \alpha q' r/n_1}} 1 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(w)\mathfrak{I}(\cdot)\overline{\mathfrak{I}}(\cdot)e\left(\frac{-n_2N_0w}{n_1q_1q_2q_2'r}\right)dw. \tag{16}$$

On applying integration by parts, we see that the above integral is negligibly small if

$$n_2 \gg \frac{Q}{q} \frac{n_1 q_1 q_2 q_2' r}{N_0} := N_2. \tag{17}$$

# 5. Bounding the integral

In this section we will analyze the integral  $\mathcal{I}$  given in (16). Recall that the integral  $\mathfrak{I}(\cdot)$  is given by

$$\Im(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x)g(q,x) \int_{0}^{\infty} W(y) \int_{0}^{\infty} V(z)e\left(\frac{Nx(z-y)}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_{2}^{1/2}} \pm \frac{3(NN_{0}wz)^{1/3}}{P_{1}^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) dz dy dx. \quad (18)$$

Let's first focus on x-integral, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x)g(q,x)e\left(\frac{Nx(z-y)}{qQ}\right)dx.$$

In the case,  $q \ll Q^{1-\epsilon}$ , we split the above integral as follows:

$$\left(\int_{|x|\ll O^{-\epsilon}} + \int_{|x|\gg O^{-\epsilon}}\right) W(x) g(q,x) e\left(\frac{Nx(z-y)}{qQ}\right) dx.$$

For the first part, we can replace g(q, x) by 1 at the cost of a negligible error term (see (3)) so that we essentially have

$$\int_{|x| \ll Q^{-\epsilon}} W(x) e^{\left(\frac{Nx(z-y)}{qQ}\right)} dx.$$

Using integration by parts, we observe that the above integral is negligibly small unless

$$|z - y| \ll \frac{q}{Q} Q^{\epsilon}.$$

For the second part, using  $g^{(j)}(q,x) \ll Q^{\epsilon j}$ , we get the restriction  $|z-y| \ll \frac{q}{Q}Q^{\epsilon}$ . In the other case, i.e.,  $q \gg Q^{1-\epsilon}$ , the condition  $|z-y| \ll \frac{q}{Q}Q^{\epsilon}$  is trivially true. Now we write z as z=y+u, with  $|u| \ll \frac{q}{Q}Q^{\epsilon}$ .

Thus the integral  $\mathfrak{I}(\cdot)$  up to a negligible error term is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x)g(q,x) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|u| \ll qQ^{\epsilon}/Q} V(y+u)W(y)e\left(\frac{Nxu}{qQ}\right) \times e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_{2}^{1/2}} \pm \frac{3(NN_{0}w(y+u))^{1/3}}{P_{1}^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) du dy dx. \quad (19)$$

Now we consider the y-integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(y+u)W(y)e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_2^{1/2}} \pm \frac{3(NN_0w(y+u))^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) dy.$$

Expanding  $(y + u)^{1/3}$  into the Taylor series

$$(y+u)^{1/3} = y^{1/3} + \frac{u}{3v^{2/3}} - \frac{u^2}{9v^{5/3}} + \cdots,$$

we observe that it is enough to consider only the leading term as

$$\frac{3(NN_0)^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\frac{u}{3y^{2/3}} \ll \frac{Qu}{q} \ll Q^{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we are required to analyze the integral

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(y)e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{Nmy}}{qP_2^{1/2}} \pm \frac{3(NN_0wy)^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}}\right) dy.$$
 (20)

By stationary phase analysis we see that the integral is negligibly small unless

$$\frac{2\sqrt{Nm}}{qP_2^{1/2}} \simeq \frac{3(NN_0)^{1/3}}{P_1^{1/3}qr^{1/3}} \approx \frac{Q}{q}.$$

Thus the above integral is negligibly small unless  $m \sim M_0$  (with  $M_0$  as in Section 3B), in which case the above y-integral is bounded by

$$I \ll \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{Q}}$$
.

Hence, executing the remaining integrals trivially, and using

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(q,x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \ll Q^{\epsilon},$$

we see that  $\Im$  is bounded by

$$\Im(\cdot) \ll q^{3/2}/Q^{3/2}.$$

On substituting this bound in (16), we get

$$\mathcal{I} \ll q^3/Q^3. \tag{21}$$

We record the above discussion in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $I, \mathfrak{I}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  be as in (20), (18) and (16) respectively. Then we have

$$I \ll \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{Q}}, \quad \Im(\,\cdot\,) \ll q^{3/2}/Q^{3/2}, \quad and \quad \mathcal{I} \ll q^3/Q^3.$$

#### 6. Character sums

In this section, we will estimate the character sum  $\mathfrak{C}$  given in (15),

$$\mathfrak{C} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d' \mid q'}} \int_{\mu} dd' \mu \left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu \left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \bmod qr/n_1 \\ \overline{P_1}n_1\alpha \equiv -m\overline{P_2} \bmod d\overline{P_1}n_1\alpha' \equiv -\overline{P_2}m' \bmod d' \\ \pm \bar{\alpha}q'_2 \mp \bar{\alpha}'q_2 \equiv -n_2 \bmod q_1q_2q'_2r/n_1}}^{\star} 1. \tag{22}$$

In the case,  $n_2 = 0$ , the congruence condition

$$\pm \bar{\alpha} q_2' \mp \bar{\alpha}' q_2 \equiv 0 \mod q_1 q_2 q_2' r / n_1$$

implies that  $q_2 = q_2'$  and  $\alpha = \alpha'$ . So we can bound the character sum  $\mathfrak C$  as

$$\mathfrak{C} \ll \sum_{d,d' \mid q} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \bmod qr/n_1 \\ \overline{P_1}n_1\alpha \equiv -m\overline{P_2} \bmod d \\ \overline{P_1}n_1\alpha \equiv -\overline{P_2}m' \bmod d'}}^{\star} 1 \ll \sum_{\substack{d,d' \mid q \\ (d,d') \mid (m-m')}} dd' \frac{qr}{[d,d']}. \tag{23}$$

For  $n_2 \neq 0$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be as in (15). Then, for  $n_2 \neq 0$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{C} \ll \frac{q_1^2 r(m, n_1)}{n_1} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid (q_2, n_1 q'_2 \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2) \\ d'_2 \mid (q'_2, n_1 q_2 \pm m' n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2)}} d_2 d'_2.$$

*Proof.* Let's recall from (15) that

$$\mathfrak{C} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d' \mid q'}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d' \mid q'}}^{\star} dd' \mu \left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu \left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \bmod qr/n_1 \\ \overline{P}_1 n_1 \alpha \equiv -m\overline{P}_2 \bmod d\overline{P}_1 n_1 \alpha' \equiv -\overline{P}_2 m' \bmod d' \\ \pm \overline{\alpha} q_2' \mp \overline{\alpha}' q_2 \equiv -n_2 \bmod q_1 q_2 q_2' r/n_1}}^{\star} 1.$$

Using the Chinese remainder theorem, we observe that  $\mathfrak{C}$  can be dominated by a product of two sums  $\mathfrak{C} \ll \mathfrak{C}^{(1)}\mathfrak{C}^{(2)}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{C}^{(1)} = \sum_{d_1, d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{\substack{\beta \pmod{\frac{q_1r}{n_1}} \\ n_1\beta \equiv -mP_1\overline{P_2} \bmod d_1n_1\beta' \equiv -m'P_1\overline{P_2} \bmod d_1' \\ \pm \overline{\beta} q_2' \mp \overline{\beta'} q_2 + n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod q_1r/n_1}}^{\bigstar} 1$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{(2)} = \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid q_2 \\ d'_2 \mid q'_2}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \mod q_2 \\ n_1 \beta \equiv -mP_1 \overline{P_2} \bmod d_{2n_1} \beta' \equiv -m'P_1 \overline{P_2} \bmod d'_2}}^{\star} 1.$$

$$\pm \overline{\beta} q'_2 \mp \overline{\beta'} q_2 + n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod q_2 q'_2$$

In the second sum  $\mathfrak{C}^{(2)}$ , since  $(n_1, q_2 q_2') = 1$ , we get  $\beta \equiv -m\overline{n_1}P_1\overline{P_2} \mod d_2$  and  $\beta' \equiv -m'\overline{n_1}P_1\overline{P_2} \mod d_2'$ . Now using the congruence modulo  $q_2q_2'$ , we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{C}^{(2)} \ll \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid (q_2, n_1 q'_2 \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2) \\ d'_2 \mid (q'_2, n_1 q_2 \pm m' n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2)}} d_2 d'_2.$$

In the first sum  $\mathfrak{C}^{(1)}$ , the congruence condition determines  $\beta'$  uniquely in terms of  $\beta$ , and hence

$$\mathfrak{C}^{(1)} \ll \sum_{d_1, d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{\substack{\beta \bmod q_1 r / n_1 \\ n_1 \beta \equiv -m P_1 \overline{P_2} \bmod d_1}}^{\star} 1 \ll \frac{r q_1^2(m, n_1)}{n_1}.$$

Hence we have the lemma.

# 7. Zero frequency

In this section we will estimate the contribution of the zero frequency  $n_2 = 0$  to  $\Omega$  in (14), and thus estimate its total contribution to  $S_r(N)$ . We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $S_r(N)$  be as in (10). The total contribution of the zero frequency  $n_2 = 0$  to  $S_r(N)$  is dominated by  $O(r^{1/2}N^{3/4}\sqrt{P_1})$ .

*Proof.* On substituting bounds for  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  from Lemma 5.1 and (23) respectively into (14), we see that the contribution of  $n_2 = 0$  to  $\Omega$ , is bounded by

$$\ll \frac{N_0 C^3}{n_1^2 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/q_1} qr \sum_{d,d' \mid q} \sum_{(d,d')} \sum_{\substack{m,m' \sim M_0 \\ (d,d') \mid (m-m')}} 1$$

$$\ll \frac{N_0 C^3}{n_1^2 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/q_1} qr \sum_{d,d' \mid q} \sum_{(M_0(d,d') + M_0^2)} \sum_{(d,d') \mid (m-m')} \sum_{(d,d') \mid (m$$

Upon substituting this bound for  $\Omega$  in (10), we get

$$\begin{split} \sup_{C \ll \mathcal{Q}} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} & \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} n_1^{1/3} \Theta^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} | q_1| (n_1 r)^{\infty}} \left( \frac{N_0 C^5 r M_0^{1/2}}{n_1^2 Q^3 q_1} (C + M_0) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3}} \frac{N_0^{1/2} r^{1/2} M_0^{1/4}}{Q^{3/2}} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} \frac{\Theta^{1/2}}{n_1^{2/3}} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} | q_1| (n_1 r)^{\infty}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_1}} (\sqrt{Q} + \sqrt{M_0}) \\ & \ll \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3}} \frac{N_0^{1/2} r^{1/2} M_0^{1/4}}{Q^{3/2}} \sqrt{Q} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} \frac{\Theta^{1/2}}{n_1^{7/6}} \sqrt{(n_1,r)}. \end{split}$$

Note that (as in [Munshi 2022]) we have

$$\sum_{n_1 \ll Cr} \frac{(n_1, r)^{1/2}}{n_1^{7/6}} \Theta^{1/2} \ll \left[ \sum_{n_1 \ll Cr} \frac{(n_1, r)}{n_1} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{n_1^2 n_2 \le N_0} \frac{|\lambda_F(n_1, n_2)|^2}{(n_1^2 n_2)^{2/3}} \right]^{1/2} \ll N_0^{1/6}.$$
 (24)

Using this bound, we see that the contribution of  $n_2 = 0$  to  $S_r(N)$  is bounded by

$$S_r(N) \ll \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3}} \frac{N_0^{1/2} r^{1/2} M_0^{1/4}}{Q^{3/2}} \sqrt{Q} N_0^{1/6} \ll r^{1/2} N^{3/4} \sqrt{P_1}.$$

# 8. Nonzero frequencies

In this section we will estimate the contribution of the nonzero frequencies  $n_2 \neq 0$  to  $\Omega$  in (14). We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let  $S_r(N)$  be as in (10). The total contribution of  $n_2 \neq 0$ , to  $S_r(N)$  is dominated by  $O(\sqrt{r}N^{3/4}\sqrt{P_2})$ .

*Proof.* On plugging in the bounds for the character sums and the integrals from Lemmas 6.1 and 5.1 respectively into (14), we see that the contribution of  $n_2 \neq 0$  to  $\Omega$  (which we denote by  $\Omega_{\neq 0}$ ) is bounded by

$$\frac{q_1^2 N_0 r C^3}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{q_2, q_2' \sim \frac{C}{q_1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid q_2 \\ d_2' \mid q_2'}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid q_2 \\ d_2' \mid q_2'}} d_2 d_2' \sum_{\substack{m, m' \sim M_0 0 \neq n_2 \ll N_2 \\ n_1 q_2' \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d_2 \\ n_1 q_2 \pm m' n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d_2'}} (m, n_1).$$

Further writing  $q_2d_2$  in place of  $q_2$  and  $q_2'd_2'$  in place of  $q_2'$ , we arrive at

$$\Omega_{\neq 0} \ll \frac{q_1^2 N_0 r C^3}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{d_2, d_2' \ll C/q_1} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{C}{d_2 q_1} \\ q_2' \sim \frac{C}{d_2' q_1} \\ q_2' \sim \frac{C}{d_2' q_1}}} \sum_{\substack{m, m' \sim M_0 0 \neq n_2 \ll N_2 \\ n_1 q_2' d_2' \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d_2}} (m, n_1).$$
(25)

Let's first assume that  $Cn_1/q_1 \ll M_0$ . In this case, we count the number of m in the above expression as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{m \sim M_0 \\ n_1 q_2' d_2' \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d_2}} (m, n_1) = \sum_{\ell \mid n_1} \ell \sum_{\substack{m \sim M_0/\ell \\ n_1 q_2' d_2' \bar{\ell} \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P}_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d_2}} 1 \ll (d_2, n_2) \left(n_1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2}\right).$$

In the above estimate we have used the fact  $(d_2, n_1) = 1$ . Counting the number of m' in a similar fashion we get that the m-sum and m'-sum in (25) is dominated by

$$(d'_2, n_1q_2d_2)(d_2, n_2)\left(n_1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{M_0}{d'_2}\right).$$

Now substituting the above bound in (25), we arrive at

$$\frac{q_1^2 N_0 r C^3}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{d_2, d_2' \ll \frac{C}{q_1}} \sum_{d_2, d_2' \ll \frac{C}{q_1}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{C}{d_2 q_1} \\ q_2' \sim \frac{C}{d_2' q_1}}} \sum_{0 < |n_2| \ll N_2} (d_2', n_1 q_2 d_2)(d_2, n_2) \left(n_1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2'}\right).$$

Now summing over  $n_2$  and  $q'_2$ , we get the following expression:

$$\frac{q_1 N_0 r N_2 C^4}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{d_2, d_2' \ll C/q_1} \sum_{q_2 \sim \frac{C}{d_2 q_1}} (d_2', n_1 q_2 d_2) \left(n_1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2'}\right).$$

Next we sum over  $d_2'$  to arrive at

$$\frac{q_1 N_0 r N_2 C^4}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{d_2 \ll C/q_1} d_2 \sum_{q_2 \sim \frac{C}{d_2 q_1}} \left( n_1 + \frac{M_0}{d_2} \right) \left( \frac{C}{q_1} + M_0 \right).$$

Finally executing the remaining sums, we get

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\neq 0} &\ll \frac{q_1 N_0 r N_2 C^4}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \frac{C}{q_1} \bigg( \frac{C n_1}{q_1} + M_0 \bigg) \bigg( \frac{C}{q_1} + M_0 \bigg) \\ &\ll \frac{r C^5}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \frac{C Q n_1 r}{q_1} \bigg( \frac{C n_1}{q_1} + M_0 \bigg) \bigg( \frac{C}{q_1} + M_0 \bigg) \\ &\ll \frac{r^2 C^6 Q M_0^2}{Q^3 M_0^{1/2}} \bigg( \frac{1}{n_1^2 q_1} \bigg) \ll \frac{r^2 C^5 Q^2 M_0^2}{Q^3 M_0^{1/2}} \bigg( \frac{1}{n_1^2 q_1} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Upon substituting this bound in place of  $\Omega$  in (10), we arrive at

$$\sup_{C} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_{2}^{1/4} P_{1}^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} \frac{r C^{5/2} M_{0}^{3/4}}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{n_{1}}{(n_{1},r)} \ll C} n_{1}^{1/3} \Theta^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_{1}}{(n_{1},r)} |q_{1}| (n_{1}r)^{\infty}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{1}^{2} q_{1}}}.$$

Note that (for details see [Munshi 2022])

$$\sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} n_1^{1/3} \Theta^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} |q_1| (n_1r)^{\infty}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1^2 q_1}} \ll \sum_{n_1 \ll Cr} \frac{(n_1,r)^{1/2}}{n_1^{7/6}} \Theta^{1/2} \ll N_0^{1/6}.$$

On plugging in this estimate, we get

$$\sup_{C} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} \frac{r C^{5/2} M_0^{3/4}}{\sqrt{Q}} N_0^{1/6} \ll \sqrt{r} N^{3/4} \sqrt{P_2}.$$

Next we consider the case where  $Cn_1/q_1 \gg M_0$ . Here our count for m modulo  $d_2$  is not precise and so we need to adopt a different strategy for counting. We consider the first congruence relation in (25)

$$n_1 q_2' d_2' \mp m n_2 P_1 \overline{P_2} \equiv 0 \mod d_2.$$

Note that

$$n_1 q_2' d_2' P_2 \mp m n_2 P_1 \ll C P_2 n_1 / q_1 + M_0 N_2 P_1 \ll C P_2 n_1 / q_1 + C P_2 n_1 / q_1 \ll C P_2 n_1 / q_1.$$

Let

$$n_1 q_2' d_2' P_2 - m n_2 P_1 = h d_2$$
, with  $h \ll P_2 n_1$ . (26)

Similarly, we write the second congruence relation as

$$n_1 q_2 d_2 P_2 + m' n_2 P_1 = h' d_2', \quad \text{with } h' \ll P_2 n_1.$$
 (27)

Using this congruence, we see that the number of  $d'_2$  is given by  $O((d_2, h'))$ . Next we multiply h' and  $P_2q'_2n_1$  into (26) and (27) respectively to arrive at the following equation:

$$mn_2P_1h' + hh'd_2 = n_1^2q_2q_2'd_2P_2^2 + P_2q_2'n_1m'n_2P_1.$$
(28)

We now rearrange the above equation as follows:

$$P_2q_2'n_1m' - mh' = \frac{(hh' - n_1^2q_2q_2'P_2^2)d_2}{P_1n_2} := \frac{\xi}{P_1n_2}.$$

Reducing this equation modulo h', the number of m' turns out to be

$$O\left((P_2q_2'n_1,h')\left(1+\frac{P_2}{h'}\right)\right).$$

Thus we arrive at the following bound for  $\Omega$ :

$$\frac{q_1^2 N_0 r C^3}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{d_2 \sim \frac{C}{q_1}} \frac{C^2}{q_1^2} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim C^{\epsilon} \\ q_2' \sim C^{\epsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{h,h' \ll P_2 n_1 m \sim M_0, n_2 \ll N_2 \\ \xi \equiv 0 \bmod P_1 n_2 \\ mh' - \xi/P_1 n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod P_2}} (m, n_1) (d_2, h') (P_2 q_2' n_1, h') \left(1 + \frac{P_2}{h'}\right).$$

Next we count the number of m to get

$$\sum_{\substack{m \sim M_0 \\ mh' - \xi/P_1 n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod P_2}} (m, n_1) = \sum_{\ell \mid n_1} \ell \sum_{\substack{m \sim M_0/\ell \\ mh' - \xi/\ell P_1 n_2 \equiv 0 \bmod P_2}} 1 \ll \sum_{\ell \mid n_1} \ell.$$

Also given any  $\xi$  (necessarily nonzero) the congruence

$$\xi = (hh' - n_1^2 q_2 q_2' P_2^2) d_2 \equiv 0 \mod n_2,$$

implies that there are  $O(N^{\varepsilon})$  many  $n_2$ . We are left with the following expression:

$$\Omega_{\neq 0} \ll \frac{N_0 r C^5}{n_1^3 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \sum_{\ell \mid n_1} \ell \sum_{\substack{d_2 \sim \frac{C}{q_1} \\ q_2' \sim C^{\epsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{h, h' \ll P_2 n_1 \\ g_2' \sim C^{\epsilon} \\ \xi \equiv 0 \bmod P_1 \ell}} (d_2, h') (P_2 q_2' n_1, h') \left(1 + \frac{P_2}{h'}\right).$$

We now consider the congruence

$$\xi = (hh' - n_1^2 q_2 q_2' P_2^2) d_2 \equiv 0 \mod P_1 \ell.$$

Let's first assume that  $d_2 \equiv 0 \mod P_1$ . Then first counting the number of  $d_2$  followed by h and h', we see that the number of tuples  $(h, h', d_2)$  is given by  $O((P_2^2 n_1^2 C)/(P_1 q_1 \ell))$ . Lastly executing the sum over  $\ell$ , we arrive at

$$\frac{N_0 r C^5}{n_1^2 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} \frac{P_2^2 n_1^2 C}{P_1 q_1}.$$

Now let  $(d_2, P_1 \ell) = 1$ . Then we have

$$hh' - n_1^2 q_2 q_2' P_2^2 \equiv 0 \mod P_1 \ell$$
,

from which the number of h turns out to be  $P_2n_1/P_1\ell$ . Next counting the number of  $d_2$  followed by number of h', we see that the number of tuples  $(h, h', d_2)$  is given by  $O((P_2^2n_1^2C)/(P_1q_1\ell))$ . Hence, in this case also, we get the same bound. Thus we conclude that

$$\Omega_{\neq 0} \ll rac{N_0 r C^5}{n_1^2 M_0^{1/2} Q^3} rac{P_2^2 n_1^2 C}{P_1 q_1}.$$

Upon substituting this bound in (10), we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \sup_{C \ll \mathcal{Q}} \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3} C^{5/2}} \bigg( \frac{N_0 r C^5}{Q^3 M_0^{1/2}} \frac{P_2^2 C}{P_1} \bigg)^{1/2} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} n_1^{1/3} \Theta^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} |q_1| (n_1 r)^{\infty}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1 q_1}} \\ \ll \frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4} P_1^{1/6} Q r^{2/3}} \bigg( \frac{N_0 r}{Q^3 M_0^{1/2}} \frac{P_2^2 Q}{P_1} \bigg)^{1/2} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} \frac{\Theta^{1/2}}{n_1^{2/3}} (n_1, r)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Now following the argument of [Munshi 2022], we conclude that

$$\sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} \frac{\Theta^{1/2}}{n_1^{2/3}} (n_1,r)^{1/2} \ll N_0^{1/6} \sum_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,r)} \ll C} \frac{(n_1,r)^{1/2}}{n_1} \ll N_0^{1/6}.$$

Hence the contribution of the nonzero frequency to  $S_r(N)$  is dominated by

$$\frac{N^{17/12}}{P_2^{1/4}P_1^{1/6}Qr^{2/3}} \left(\frac{N_0r}{Q^3M_0^{1/2}}\frac{P_2^2Q}{P_1}\right)^{1/2} N_0^{1/6} \ll \sqrt{r}N^{3/4}\sqrt{P_2}.$$

# 9. Conclusion

Finally, plugging bounds from Lemmas 7.1 and 8.1 into Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{split} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \, F \times f \right) & \ll_{\epsilon} \, \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon} \sum_{r \leq \mathcal{Q}^{(1+2\epsilon)/4}} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{N \leq \mathcal{Q}^{1/2+\epsilon}/r^2} \sqrt{r} N^{1/4} (\sqrt{P_1} + \sqrt{P_2}) \\ & \ll \sum_{r \leq \mathcal{Q}^{(1+2\epsilon)/4}} \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{Q}^{1/8+\epsilon} (\sqrt{P_1} + \sqrt{P_2}) \\ & \ll \mathcal{Q}^{1/8+\epsilon} (\sqrt{P_1} + \sqrt{P_2}) \ll \mathcal{Q}^{1/4+\epsilon} \left( \frac{P_1^{1/4}}{P_2^{3/8}} + \frac{P_2^{1/8}}{P_1^{1/4}} \right). \end{split}$$

This establishes Theorem 1.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper originated from discussions at the AIM online workshop "Delta symbols and subconvexity" held from November 2–6, 2020. The authors wish to thank the American Institute of Mathematics and the organizers of the workshop for their kind invitation. The authors also thank the participants of the workshop, especially Roman Holowinsky and Philippe Michel, for many enlightening conversations. For this work, S. K. Singh was partially supported by D.S.T. inspire faculty fellowship no. DST/INSPIRE/04/2018/000945 and R. Munshi was supported by J.C. Bose fellowship JCB/2021/000018 from SERB DST. Lastly the authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of the paper which helped in improving the exposition of the paper.

#### References

[Aggarwal 2020] K. Aggarwal, "Weyl bound for GL(2) in *t*-aspect via a simple delta method", *J. Number Theory* **208** (2020), 72–100. MR Zbl

[Aggarwal et al. 2018] K. Aggarwal, Y. Jo, and K. Nowland, "Hybrid level aspect subconvexity for  $GL(2) \times GL(1)$  Rankin–Selberg L-functions", Hardy-Ramanujan J. 41 (2018), 104–117. MR

[Aggarwal et al. 2020a] K. Aggarwal, R. Holowinsky, Y. Lin, and Z. Qi, "A Bessel delta method and exponential sums for GL(2)", Q. J. Math. 71:3 (2020), 1143–1168. MR Zbl

[Aggarwal et al. 2020b] K. Aggarwal, R. Holowinsky, Y. Lin, and Q. Sun, "The Burgess bound via a trivial delta method", *Ramanujan J.* 53:1 (2020), 49–74. MR Zbl

[Blomer and Harcos 2008] V. Blomer and G. Harcos, "Hybrid bounds for twisted *L*-functions", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **621** (2008), 53–79. MR Zbl

[Burgess 1963] D. A. Burgess, "On character sums and L-series, II", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 13 (1963), 524–536. MR Zbl

[Duke et al. 1993] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, "Bounds for automorphic *L*-functions", *Invent. Math.* **112**:1 (1993), 1–8. MR

[Duke et al. 1994] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, "Bounds for automorphic *L*-functions, II", *Invent. Math.* **115**:2 (1994), 219–239. Correction in **140**:1 (2000), 227–242. MR Zbl

[Duke et al. 2000] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, "Erratum: "Bounds for automorphic *L*-functions, II", *Invent. Math.* **140**:1 (2000), 227–242. MR

[Goldfeld 2006] D. Goldfeld, *Automorphic forms and L-functions for the group*  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **99**, Cambridge University Press, 2006. MR Zbl

[Holowinsky and Munshi 2013] R. Holowinsky and R. Munshi, "Level aspect subconvexity for Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions", pp. 311–334 in *Automorphic representations and L-functions* (Mumbai, 2012), edited by D. Prasad et al., Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math. **22**, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2013. MR Zbl

[Holowinsky and Nelson 2018] R. Holowinsky and P. D. Nelson, "Subconvex bounds on GL<sub>3</sub> via degeneration to frequency zero", *Math. Ann.* 372:1-2 (2018), 299–319. MR Zbl

[Hou and Chen 2019] F. Hou and B. Chen, "Level aspect subconvexity for twisted *L*-functions", *J. Number Theory* **203** (2019), 12–31. MR Zbl

[Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. MR Zbl

[Khan 2021] R. Khan, "Subconvexity bounds for twisted L-functions", Q. J. Math. 72:3 (2021), 1133–1145. MR Zbl

[Kim and Shahidi 2002] H. H. Kim and F. Shahidi, "Functorial products for  $GL_2 \times GL_3$  and the symmetric cube for  $GL_2$ ", Ann. of Math. (2) 155:3 (2002), 837–893. MR Zbl

[Kowalski et al. 2002] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam, "Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions in the level aspect", *Duke Math. J.* **114**:1 (2002), 123–191. MR Zbl

[Kowalski et al. 2020] E. Kowalski, Y. Lin, P. Michel, and W. Sawin, "Periodic twists of GL<sub>3</sub>-automorphic forms", *Forum Math. Sigma* **8** (2020), art. id. e15. MR Zbl

[Kumar 2023] S. Kumar, "Subconvexity bound for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions in GL(2) spectral aspect", *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* (2023), 1–46. Zbl

[Kumar et al. 2020] S. Kumar, K. Mallesham, and S. K. Singh, "Sub-convexity bound for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions: GL(3)-spectral aspect", preprint, 2020. arXiv 2006.07819

[Kumar et al. 2022] S. Kumar, K. Mallesham, and S. K. Singh, "Sub-convexity bound for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  L-functions: the depth aspect", Math. Z. 301:3 (2022), 2229–2268. MR Zbl

[Li 2009] X. Li, "The central value of the Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **18**:5 (2009), 1660–1695. MR Zbl [Lin et al. 2023] Y. Lin, P. Michel, and W. Sawin, "Algebraic twists of GL<sub>3</sub> × GL<sub>2</sub> *L*-functions", *Amer. J. Math.* **145**:2 (2023),

585–645. MR Zbl [Michel 2007] P. Michel, "Analytic number theory and families of automorphic *L*-functions", pp. 181–295 in *Automorphic* 

forms and applications (Park City, UT, 2002), edited by P. Sarnak and F. Shahidi, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. MR Zbl

[Michel and Venkatesh 2010] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh, "The subconvexity problem for GL<sub>2</sub>", *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **111** (2010), 171–271. MR Zbl

[Munshi 2018] R. Munshi, "The subconvexity problem for *L*-functions", pp. 363–376 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (Rio de Janeiro, 2018), vol. 2: Invited lectures, edited by B. Sirakov et al., World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018. MR Zbl

[Munshi 2022] R. Munshi, "Subconvexity for GL(3)  $\times$  GL(2) *L*-functions in *t*-aspect", *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **24**:5 (2022), 1543–1566. MR Zbl

[Munshi and Singh 2019] R. Munshi and S. K. Singh, "Weyl bound for *p*-power twist of GL(2) *L*-functions", *Algebra Number Theory* **13**:6 (2019), 1395–1413. MR Zbl

[Sharma and Sawin 2022] P. Sharma and W. Sawin, "Subconvexity for GL(3) × GL(2) twists", Adv. Math. 404:part B (2022), art. id. 108420. MR Zbl

[Venkatesh 2010] A. Venkatesh, "Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **172**:2 (2010), 989–1094. MR Zbl

[Weyl 1921] H. Weyl, "Zur Abschätzung von  $\zeta(1+it)$ ", Math. Z. 10 (1921), 88–101. Zbl

[Zhou 2018] F. Zhou, "The Voronoi formula on GL(3) with ramification", preprint, 2018. arXiv 1806.10786

Communicated by Philippe Michel

Received 2022-02-05 Revised 2023-01-09 Accepted 2023-05-29

sumit@renyi.hu Alfred Renyi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary ritabratamunshi@gmail.com Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India

skumar.bhu12@gmail.com Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology,

Kanpur, India



# **Algebra & Number Theory**

msp.org/ant

#### **EDITORS**

MANAGING EDITOR Antoine Chambert-Loir Université Paris-Diderot France EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud

University of California

Berkeley, USA

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

#### PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$525/year for the electronic version, and \$770/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18 No. 3 2024

Quotients of admissible formal schemes and adic spaces by finite groups	409
BOGDAN ZAVYALOV	477
Subconvexity bound for GL(3) × GL(2) L-functions: Hybrid level aspect SUMIT KUMAR, RITABRATA MUNSHI and SAURABH KUMAR SINGH	477
A categorical Künneth formula for constructible Weil sheaves TAMIR HEMO, TIMO RICHARZ and JAKOB SCHOLBACH	499
Generalized Igusa functions and ideal growth in nilpotent Lie rings ANGELA CARNEVALE, MICHAEL M. SCHEIN and CHRISTOPHER VOLL	537
On Tamagawa numbers of CM tori PEI-XIN LIANG, YASUHIRO OKI, HSIN-YI YANG and CHIA-FU YU	583