

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18
2024
No. 5

Multiplicity structure of the arc space of a fat point

Rida Ait El Manssour and Gleb Pogudin



Multiplicity structure of the arc space of a fat point

Rida Ait El Manssour and Gleb Pogudin

The equation $x^m = 0$ defines a fat point on a line. The algebra of regular functions on the arc space of this scheme is the quotient of $k[x, x', x^{(2)}, \dots]$ by all differential consequences of $x^m = 0$. This infinite-dimensional algebra admits a natural filtration by finite-dimensional algebras corresponding to the truncations of arcs. We show that the generating series for their dimensions equals $m/(1 - mt)$. We also determine the lexicographic initial ideal of the defining ideal of the arc space. These results are motivated by the nonreduced version of the geometric motivic Poincaré series, multiplicities in differential algebra, and connections between arc spaces and the Rogers–Ramanujan identities. We also prove a recent conjecture put forth by Afsharijoo in the latter context.

1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the main result. Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Consider an ideal $I \subset k[\mathbf{x}]$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, defining an affine scheme X . We consider the polynomial ring

$$k[\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)}] := k[x_i^{(j)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0]$$

in infinitely many variables $\{x_i^{(j)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0\}$. This ring is equipped with a k -linear derivation $a \mapsto a'$ defined on the generators by

$$(x_i^{(j)})' = x_i^{(j+1)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0.$$

Then we define the ideal $I^{(\infty)} \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)}]$ of the arc space of X by

$$I^{(\infty)} := \langle f^{(j)} \mid f \in I, j \geq 0 \rangle.$$

In this paper, we will focus on the case of a fat point $\mathcal{I}_m := \langle x^m \rangle \subset k[x]$ of multiplicity $m \geq 2$. Although the zero set of $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ over k consists of a single point with all the coordinates being zero, the dimension of the corresponding quotient algebra $k[\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ (the “multiplicity” of the arc space) is infinite.

One can obtain a finer description of the multiplicity structure of $k[\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ by considering its filtration by finite-dimensional algebras induced by the truncation of arcs

$$k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)} := k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}] \cap \mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}),$$

MSC2020: primary 12H05, 13D40; secondary 05A17.

Keywords: differential algebra, motivic Poincaré series, partition identities.

© 2024 MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via [Subscribe to Open](#).

where $x^{(\leq \ell)} := \{x, x', \dots, x^{(\ell)}\}$, and arranging the dimensions of these algebras into a generating series

$$D_{\mathcal{I}_m}(t) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}) \cdot t^\ell. \tag{1}$$

The main result of this paper is that

$$D_{\mathcal{I}_m}(t) = \frac{m}{1-mt}. \tag{2}$$

1.2. Motivations and related results. Our motivation for studying the series (1) comes from three different areas: algebraic geometry, differential algebra, and combinatorics.

(1) From the point of view of *algebraic geometry*, $I^{(\infty)}$ defines the *arc space* $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of the scheme X [Denef and Loeser 2001]. Geometrically, the points of the arc space correspond to the Taylor coefficients of the $k[[t]]$ -points of X . The arc space of a variety can be viewed as an infinite-order generalization of the tangent bundle or the space of formal trajectories on the variety. For properties and applications of arc spaces, we refer to [Denef and Loeser 2001; Bourqui et al. 2020].

The *reduced structure* of an arc space is often described by means of the geometric motivic Poincaré series [Denef and Loeser 2001, §2.2]

$$P_X(t) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} [\pi_\ell(\mathcal{L}(X))] \cdot t^\ell, \tag{3}$$

where π_ℓ denotes the projection of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to the affine subspace with the coordinates $\mathbf{x}^{(\leq \ell)}$ (i.e., the truncation at order ℓ) and $[Z]$ denotes the class of variety Z in the Grothendieck ring [Denef and Loeser 2001, §2.3]. A fundamental result about these series is the Denef–Loeser theorem [1999, Theorem 1.1] saying that $P_X(t)$ is a rational power series.

The arc spaces may also have a rich *scheme (i.e., nilpotent) structure*, see [Linshaw and Song 2021; Feigin and Makedonskyi 2020; Dumanski and Feigin 2023], reflecting the geometry of the original scheme [Sebag 2011; Bourqui and Haiech 2021]. In the case of a fat point $\mathcal{I}_m = \langle x^m \rangle \subset k[x]$, we will have $\pi_\ell(\mathcal{L}(X)) \cong \mathbb{A}^0$, so the geometric motivic Poincaré series is equal to

$$P(t) = \frac{[\mathbb{A}^0]}{1-t},$$

where $[\mathbb{A}^0]$ is the class of a point. Note that the series does not depend on the multiplicity m of the point. One way to capture the scheme structure of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ could be to take the components of the projections in (3) with their multiplicities. For example, for the case \mathcal{I}_m , one will get

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}) \cdot [\mathbb{A}^0] \cdot t^\ell = D_{\mathcal{I}_m}(t)[\mathbb{A}^0].$$

Our result (2) implies that the series above is rational, as in the Denef–Loeser theorem. Interestingly, the shape of the denominator is different from the one in [Denef and Loeser 2001, Theorem 2.2.1]. The formula above is not the only way to take the multiplicities into account. A related and more popular approach is via Arc Hilbert–Poincaré series [Mourtada 2023, §9]; see also [Mourtada 2014; Bruscek et al. 2013].

(2) *Differential algebra* studies, in particular, differential ideals in $k[\mathbf{x}^{(\infty)}]$, that is, ideals closed under derivation. From this point of view, $I^{(\infty)}$ is the differential ideal generated by I . Understanding the structure of the differential ideals $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ is a key component of the low power theorem [Levi 1942; 1945] which provides a constructive way to detect singular solutions of algebraic differential equations in one variable. Besides that, various combinatorial properties of $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ have been studied in differential algebra, see [O’Keefe 1960; Pogudin 2014; Arakawa et al. 2021; Zobnin 2005; 2008; Ait El Manssour and Sattelberger 2023].

While there is a rich dimension theory for solution sets of systems of algebraic differential equations [Kondratieva et al. 1999; Pong 2006; Kolchin 1964], we are not aware of a notion of multiplicity of a solution of such a system. In particular, the existing differential analogue of the Bézout theorem [Binyamini 2017] provides only a bound, unlike the equality in classical Bézout theorem [Hartshorne 1977, Theorem 7.7, Chapter 1]. Our result (2) suggests that one possibility is to define the multiplicity of a solution as the growth rate of multiplicities of its truncations, and this definition will be consistent with the usual algebraic multiplicity for the case of a fat point on a line.

(3) Connections between the multiplicity structure of the arc space of a fat point and Rogers–Ramanujan partition identities from *combinatorics* were pointed out by Bruscek, Mourtada, and Schepers in [2013] (for a recent survey, see [Mourtada 2023, §9]). In particular, they used Hilbert–Poincaré series of similar nature to (1) (motivated by the singularity theory [Mourtada 2014, §4]) to obtain new proofs of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities and their generalizations. In this direction, new results have been obtained recently in [Afsharijoo 2021; Afsharijoo et al. 2023; Bai et al. 2020]. Afsharijoo [2021] used computational experiments to conjecture the initial ideal of $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ with respect to the weighted lexicographic ordering [Afsharijoo 2021, §5] (a special case was already conjectured in [Afsharijoo and Mourtada 2020, §1]). This conjecture would imply a new set of partition identities [Afsharijoo 2021, Conjecture 5.1]. Using combinatorial techniques, some of them have been proved in [Afsharijoo 2021], and the rest were established in [Afsharijoo et al. 2023]; see also [Afsharijoo et al. 2022]. However, the original algebraic conjecture about $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ remained open. As a byproduct of our proof of (2), we prove this conjecture (see Theorem 3.3), thus giving a new proof of one of the main results of [Afsharijoo et al. 2023].

Understanding the structure of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ is known to be challenging: for example, its Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic ordering is not just infinite but even differentially infinite [Zobnin 2005; Afsharijoo and Mourtada 2020], and the question about the nilpotency index of the $x_i^{(j)}$ modulo $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ posed by Ritt [1950, Appendix, Q.5] remained open for sixty years until the paper of Pogudin [2014]; see also [O’Keefe 1960; Arakawa et al. 2021].

Statement (2) appeared in the Ph.D. thesis of Pogudin [2016, Theorem 3.4.1], but the proof given there was incorrect. We are grateful to Alexey Zobnin for pointing out the error. The proof presented in this paper uses different ideas than the erroneous proof in [Pogudin 2016]. We would like to thank Ilya Dumanski for pointing out that the main dimension result (2) could also be deduced from a combination of Propositions 2.1 and 2.3 from [Feigin and Feigin 2002].

1.3. Overview of the proof. The key technical tool used in our proofs is a representation of the quotient algebra $k[x^{(\infty)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ as a subalgebra in a certain differential exterior algebra that is constructed in [Pogudin 2014]; see Section 4.1. The injectivity of this representation builds upon the knowledge of a Gröbner basis for $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic ordering [Bruschek et al. 2013; Zobnin 2008; Levi 1942]. We approach (2) as a collection of inequalities

$$m^{\ell+1} \leq \dim_k(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}) \leq m^{\ell+1} \quad \text{for every } \ell \geq 0, m \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

The starting point of our proof of *the lower bound* uses the insightful conjecture by Afsharijoo [2021, §5] that suggests how the standard monomials of $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ with respect to the lexicographic ordering look like. Using the exterior algebra representation, we prove that these monomials are indeed linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$, and deduce the lower bound from this; see Section 4.3 and 4.4.

In order to prove *the upper bound* from (4), we represent the image of $k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\mathcal{I}_m^{(\infty)}$ in the differential exterior algebra as a deformation of an algebra which splits as a direct product of $\ell + 1$ algebras of dimension m , thus yielding the desired upper bound; see Section 4.2.

1.4. Structure of the paper. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains definitions and notations used to state the main results. Section 3 contains the main results of the paper. The proofs of the results are given in Section 4. Then Section 5 describes computational experiments in [Macaulay2] that we performed to check whether formulas similar to (2) hold for more general fat points in k^n . We formulate some open questions based on the results of these experiments.

2. Preliminaries

Definitions 2.1–2.4 provide necessary background in differential algebra. For further details, we refer to [Kaplansky 1957, Chapter 1] or [Kolchin 1973, §I.1–I.2].

Definition 2.1 (differential rings and fields). A *differential ring* $(R, ')$ is a commutative ring with a derivation $': R \rightarrow R$, that is, a map such that, for all $a, b \in R$, we have $(a+b)' = a' + b'$ and $(ab)' = a'b + ab'$. A *differential field* is a differential ring that is a field. For $i > 0$, $a^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th order derivative of $a \in R$.

Notation 2.2. Let x be an element of a differential ring and $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We introduce

$$x^{(<h)} := (x, x', \dots, x^{(h-1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad x^{(\infty)} := (x, x', x'', \dots).$$

Analogously, we can define $x^{(\leq h)}$. If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a tuple of elements of a differential ring, then

$$\mathbf{x}^{(<h)} := (x_1^{(<h)}, \dots, x_n^{(<h)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^{(\infty)} := (x_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, x_n^{(\infty)}).$$

Definition 2.3 (differential polynomials). Let R be a differential ring. Consider a ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables

$$R[x^{(\infty)}] := R[x, x', x'', x^{(3)}, \dots],$$

and extend the derivation from R to this ring by $(x^{(j)})' := x^{(j+1)}$. The resulting differential ring is called *the ring of differential polynomials in x over R* . The ring of differential polynomials in several variables is defined by iterating this construction.

Definition 2.4 (differential ideals). Let $S := R[x_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, x_n^{(\infty)}]$ be a ring of differential polynomials over a differential ring R . An ideal $I \subset S$ is called a *differential ideal* if $a' \in I$ for every $a \in I$.

One can verify that, for every $f_1, \dots, f_s \in S$, the ideal

$$\langle f_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, f_s^{(\infty)} \rangle$$

is a differential ideal. Moreover, this is the minimal differential ideal containing f_1, \dots, f_s , and we will denote it by $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle^{(\infty)}$.

Definition 2.5 (fair monomials). (1) For a monomial $m = x^{(h_0)}x^{(h_1)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)} \in k[x^{(\infty)}]$, we define *the order* and *lowest order*, respectively, as

$$\text{ord } m := \max_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} h_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lord } m := \min_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} h_i.$$

(2) A monomial $m \in k[x^{(\infty)}]$ is called *fair* (respectively, *strongly fair*) if

$$\text{lord } m \geq \text{deg } m - 1 \quad (\text{respectively, } \text{lord } m \geq \text{deg } m).$$

We denote the sets of all fair and strongly fair monomials by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_s , respectively. By convention, $1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1 \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}$.

(3) For every integers $a, b \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{a,b} := \mathcal{F}^a \cdot \mathcal{F}_s^b,$$

where the product of sets of monomials is the set of pairwise products. In other words, $\mathcal{F}_{a,b}$ is a set of all monomials representable as a product of a fair monomials and b strongly fair monomials.

Remark 2.6. The notion of fair monomials was inspired from the conjectured construction of the initial ideal of $\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$ given in [Afsharijoo 2021, Conjecture 5.1]. We use the notion to formulate concisely and prove the conjecture (see Theorem 3.3).

Example 2.7. The monomials of order at most two in \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_s are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \cap k[x^{(\leq 2)}] &= \{1, x, x', (x')^2, x'x'', x'', (x'')^2, (x'')^3\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_s \cap k[x^{(\leq 2)}] &= \{1, x', x'', (x'')^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, one can produce the monomials of order at most one in $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2,0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{1,1} \cap k[x^{(\leq 1)}] &= \{1, x, xx', x', (x')^2, (x')^3\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{2,0} \cap k[x^{(\leq 1)}] &= \{1, x, x^2, xx', x(x')^2, x', (x')^2, (x')^3, (x')^4\} \end{aligned}$$

For example, $(x')^3 \in \mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ can be written as $(x')^2 \cdot x'$, where $(x')^2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x' \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Likewise, for the monomials of order at most two, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{1,1} \cap k[x^{(\leq 2)}] &= \{1, x, x', x'', xx', xx'', (x')^2, x'x'', (x'')^2, x(x'')^2, (x')^3, (x')^2x'', x'(x'')^2, (x'')^3, \\ &\quad (x')^2(x'')^2, x'(x'')^3, (x'')^4, (x'')^5\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main results

The algebra of regular functions on the arc space of a fat point $x^m = 0$ admits a natural filtration by subalgebras induced by the truncation of arcs. Our first main result, Theorem 3.1, gives a simple formula for the dimension of the subalgebra induced by the truncation at order h . Corollary 3.2 gives the generating series for these dimensions, as in (2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let m and h be positive integers and k be a differential field of zero characteristic. Then*

$$\dim_k(k[x^{(\leq h)}]/(k[x^{(\leq h)}] \cap \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)})) = m^{h+1}.$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let m be a positive integer and k be a differential field of zero characteristic. Then*

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}) \cdot t^\ell = \frac{m}{1-mt},$$

where $k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)} := k[x^{(\leq \ell)}]/(k[x^{(\leq \ell)}] \cap \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)})$.

Given a polynomial ideal and monomial ordering, the monomials which do not appear as leading terms of the elements of the ideal are called *standard monomials*. Motivated by applications to combinatorics, Afsharijoo [2021, §5] used computations experiment to conjecture a description of the standard monomials of $\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$ with respect to the degree lexicographic ordering. Our second main result, Theorem 3.3, gives such a description and, combined with Lemma 4.10, establishes the conjecture.

Theorem 3.3. *Let k be a differential field of zero characteristic. Consider a degree lexicographic monomial ordering on $k[x^{(\infty)}]$ with the variables ordered as $x < x' < x'' < \dots$. Let m and i be positive integers with $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the set of standard monomials of the ideal $\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$ is $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i}$; see Definition 2.5. Note that, for $i = m$, we obtain the differential ideal $\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Theorem 3.3 also holds for the following orderings:*

- *purely lexicographic with the variables ordered as in Theorem 3.3;*
- *weighted lexicographic: monomials are first compared by the sum of the orders and then lexicographically as in Theorem 3.3.*

Remark 3.5. The multiplicity of the scheme of polynomial arcs of degree less than h of $x = 0$, defined by $\langle x^m, x^{(h)} \rangle^{(\infty)}$, has been studied in [Ait El Manssour and Sattelberger 2023]. It was shown that this multiplicity, equal to $\dim_k k[x^{(\infty)}]/\langle x^m, x^{(h)} \rangle^{(\infty)}$, is a polynomial in m of degree h which is the Erhart polynomial of some lattice polytope [Ait El Manssour and Sattelberger 2023, Theorem 2.5]. Theorem 3.1 together with a natural surjective morphism $k[x^{(< h)}]/\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)} \rightarrow k[x^{(\infty)}]/\langle x^m, x^{(h)} \rangle^{(\infty)}$ implies that this polynomial is bounded by m^h .

4. Proofs

4.1. Key technical tool: embedding into the exterior algebra.

Notation 4.1. Let k be a field. Then, for $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, we introduce a countable collection of symbols $\{\xi_i^{(j)} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0\}$, and by $\Lambda_k(\xi^{(\infty)})$, we denote the exterior algebra of a k -vector space spanned by these symbols. $\Lambda_k(\xi^{(\infty)})$ is equipped with a structure of a (noncommutative) differential algebra by

$$(\xi_j^{(i)})' := \xi_j^{(i+1)} \quad \text{for every } i \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

The next proposition is a minor modification of [Pogudin 2014, Lemma 1]. The proof we will give is a simplification of the proof in [Pogudin 2014, Lemma 1], which will be extended to a proof of Lemma 4.4.

Proposition 4.2. *Let m be a positive integer. Consider $\eta = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{m-2})$ and $\xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{m-2})$. Let*

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_k(\eta^{(\infty)}) \otimes \Lambda_k(\xi^{(\infty)}),$$

which is equipped with a structure of differential algebra (as a tensor product of differential algebras, using the Leibnitz rule, that is $(a \otimes b)' := a' \otimes b + a \otimes b'$). Consider a differential homomorphism $\varphi: k[x^{(\infty)}] \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by

$$\varphi(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \eta_i \otimes \xi_i.$$

Then the kernel of φ is $\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$.

Example 4.3. Consider the case $m = 3$. Then we will have

$$\varphi(x) = \eta_0 \otimes \xi_0 + \eta_1 \otimes \xi_1.$$

The image of x' will then be

$$\varphi(x') = (\varphi(x))' = \eta'_0 \otimes \xi_0 + \eta_0 \otimes \xi'_0 + \eta'_1 \otimes \xi_1 + \eta_1 \otimes \xi'_1.$$

One can show, for example, that $(x')^4 \notin \langle x^3 \rangle^{(\infty)}$ by showing that $\varphi((x')^4) \neq 0$:

$$\varphi((x')^4) = 24(\eta_0 \wedge \eta'_0 \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta'_1) \otimes (\xi_0 \wedge \xi'_0 \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \xi'_1) \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, a direct computation shows that $\varphi((x')^5) = 0$. Combined with Proposition 4.2, this implies that $(x')^5 \in \langle x^3 \rangle^{(\infty)}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Consider $(\varphi(x))^m$. This is a sum of tensor products of exterior polynomials of degree m in $m - 1$ variables, so it must be zero. Since $(\varphi(x))^m = 0$ and φ is a differential homomorphism, we conclude that $\text{Ker } \varphi \supset \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$.

Now we will prove the inverse inclusion. We define the weighted degree inverse lexicographic ordering \prec on $k[x^{(\infty)}]$ (see [Zobnin 2008, p. 524]): $M \prec N$ if and only if

- $\text{tord } M < \text{tord } N$, where tord is defined as the sum of the orders, or
- $\text{tord } M = \text{tord } N$ and $\text{deg } M < \text{deg } N$, or
- $\text{tord } M = \text{tord } N$, $\text{deg } M = \text{deg } N$, and N is lexicographically lower than M , where the variables are ordered $x < x' < x'' < \dots$.

For example, we will have $x < x' < x'' < \dots$ and $xx'' < (x')^2$. Then, for every $h \geq 0$, the leading monomial of $(x^m)^{(h)}$ with respect to $<$ is $(x^{(q)})^{m-r}(x^{(q+1)})^r$, where q and r are the quotient and the remainder of the integer division of h by m , respectively. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all monomials not divisible by any monomial of the form $(x^{(q)})^{m-r}(x^{(q+1)})^r$. Then we can characterize \mathcal{M} as

$$\mathcal{M} = \{x^{(h_0)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)} \mid h_0 \leq \dots \leq h_\ell, \forall 0 \leq i \leq \ell - m + 1: h_{i+m-1} > h_i + 1\}.$$

We will define a linear map ψ from \mathcal{M} to the set of monomials in Λ with the following properties:

(P1) For every $P \in \mathcal{M}$, we have that $\psi(P) \neq 0$.

(P2) For every $P \in \mathcal{M}$, the monomial $\psi(P)$ appears in the polynomial $\varphi(P)$ and, for any $P_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $P_0 < P$, the monomial $\psi(P)$ does not appear in the polynomial $\varphi(P_0)$.

Informally speaking, $\psi(M)$ is the “leading monomial” in $\varphi(M)$. Once such a map ψ has been defined, we can prove the proposition as follows: Let $Q \in \text{Ker } \varphi \setminus \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$. By replacing Q with the result of the reduction of Q by $x^m, (x^m)', \dots$ with respect to $<$, we can further assume that all the monomials in Q belong to \mathcal{M}^1 . Let Q_0 be the largest of them. By (P1) and (P2), $\varphi(Q_0)$ will involve $\psi(Q_0)$ and $\varphi(Q - Q_0)$ will not, so $\varphi(Q) \neq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $Q \in \text{Ker } \varphi$. The proposition is proved.

Therefore, it remains to define ψ satisfying (P1) and (P2). We will start with the case $m = 2$ to show the main idea while keeping the notation simple. We define ψ by

$$\psi(x^{(h_0)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)}) := (\eta^{(0)} \otimes \xi^{(h_0)}) \wedge (\eta^{(1)} \otimes \xi^{(h_1-1)}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\eta^{(\ell)} \otimes \xi^{(h_\ell-\ell)}), \tag{5}$$

where $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_\ell$. For proving (P1), we observe that, if $h_{i+1} > h_i + 1$ for all i , then $h_0 < h_1 - 1 < h_2 - 2 < \dots < h_\ell - \ell$, so there are no coinciding ξ ’s in (5). The construction for arbitrary m will consist of splitting the monomial into $m - 1$ interlacing submonomials and applying (5) with (η_i, ξ_i) to i -th submonomial. More formally, if $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_\ell$, we define

$$\psi(x^{(h_0)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)}) := \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \left(\eta_{i \% (m-1)}^{(\lfloor i/(m-1) \rfloor)} \otimes \xi_{i \% (m-1)}^{(h_i - \lfloor i/(m-1) \rfloor)} \right), \tag{6}$$

where $a \% b$ denotes the remainder of the division of a by b , and $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of α . Property (P1) is proved by applying (P1) for $m = 2$ to each submonomial.

For proving (P2), consider $P_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $P_0 \leq P$ and $\psi(P)$ appearing in $\varphi(P_0)$. Since ψ preserves the total orders and doubles the degrees, we have $\text{tord } P_0 = \text{tord } P$ and $\text{deg } P_0 = \text{deg } P$. Let $H := \text{ord } P_0$. Since $P_0 \leq P$, we have $H \geq h_\ell$. Since the maximal orders of η and ξ in $\psi(P)$ do not exceed $\lfloor \ell/(m - 1) \rfloor$ and $h_\ell - \lfloor \ell/(m - 1) \rfloor$, respectively, we have $H \leq h_\ell$. Thus, $H = h_\ell$. Applying the same argument recursively to $P/x^{(h_\ell)}$ and $P_0/x^{(h_\ell)}$, we conclude that $P = P_0$.

We will prove that $\varphi(P)$ involves $\psi(P)$ by induction on $\text{deg } P$. The case $\text{deg } P = 0$ is clear. Consider P , with $\text{deg } P > 0$. Similarly to the preceding argument, one can obtain $\psi(P)$ (from $\psi(P/x^{(\ell)})$) only by

¹Interestingly, although it is known that $x^m, (x^m)', \dots$ form a Gröbner basis, we do not really need to use this fact here since a reduction with respect to any set of polynomials is well defined.

taking $\eta_{\ell \% (m-1)}^{(\lfloor \ell / (m-1) \rfloor)} \otimes \xi_{\ell \% (m-1)}^{(h_{\ell} - \lfloor \ell / (m-1) \rfloor)}$ (i.e., the last term in (6)) from one of the occurrences of $x^{(h_{\ell})}$ in P . Therefore, the coefficient in front of $\psi(P)$ in $\varphi(P)$ will be, up to sign, equal to $\deg_x(x^{(h_{\ell})})$ times the coefficient in front of $\psi(P/x^{(h_{\ell})})$ in $\varphi(P/x^{(h_{\ell})})$. The latter is nonzero by the induction hypothesis. \square

Lemma 4.4. *In the notation of Proposition 4.2, let $1 \leq r < m$. Then the preimage of the ideal in Λ generated by $\eta_{r-1} \otimes \xi_{r-1}, \dots, \eta_{m-2} \otimes \xi_{m-2}$ under φ is equal to $\langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^r \rangle$.*

Proof. We first prove that the image of x^r belongs to $\langle \eta_{r-1} \otimes \xi_{r-1}, \dots, \eta_{m-2} \otimes \xi_{m-2} \rangle$. This is because $\varphi(x^r)$ is the sum of monomials which are products of r different $\eta_i \otimes \xi_i$. Since there are $m - 1$ of them, every such monomial will involve at least one of the last $m - r$ of the $\eta_i \otimes \xi_i$.

Let us consider a polynomial $g \in k[x^{(\infty)}] \setminus \langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^r \rangle$ and prove that $\varphi(g)$ does not belong to $\langle \eta_{r-1} \otimes \xi_{r-1}, \dots, \eta_{m-2} \otimes \xi_{m-2} \rangle$. We can assume that each monomial P of g belongs to

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid \deg_x M < r \text{ or } 0 < h_{r-1}\}.$$

We will use the map ψ defined in (6). In fact, $\psi(P)$ does not involve the zero-order derivatives of $\xi_{r-1}, \dots, \xi_{m-2}$, since $h_i - [i / (m - 1)]$ can only be zero for a monomial in \mathcal{M} only if $i \leq r - 2$. Thus,

$$\psi(P) \notin \langle \eta_{r-1} \otimes \xi_{r-1}, \dots, \eta_{m-2} \otimes \xi_{m-2} \rangle.$$

Assume that P_0 is the largest summand that appears in g . Then $\varphi(P_0)$ involves $\psi(P_0)$, but $\varphi(g - P_0)$ does not. Therefore, $\varphi(g)$ does not belong to $\langle \eta_{r-1} \otimes \xi_{r-1}, \dots, \eta_{m-2} \otimes \xi_{m-2} \rangle$. \square

4.2. Upper bounds for the dimension. Throughout the section, we fix a differential field k of zero characteristic.

Proposition 4.5. *Let m, h be positive integers. We denote by $A_{m,h}$ the subalgebra of $k[x^{(\infty)}] / \langle (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$ generated by the images of $x, x', \dots, x^{(h)}$. Then*

$$\dim A_{m,h} \leq m^{h+1}.$$

First we describe a general construction which will be a special case of the so-called associated graded algebra. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded algebra over k equipped with a homogeneous derivation of weight one (that is, $A'_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ for every $i \geq 0$). We introduce a map $\text{gr}: A \rightarrow A$ defined as follows: Consider a nonzero $a \in A$, and let i be the largest index such that $a \in A_i \oplus A_{i+1} \oplus \dots$. Then we define $\text{gr}(a)$ to be the image of the projection of a onto A_i along $A_{i+1} \oplus A_{i+2} \oplus \dots$. In other words, we replace each element with its lowest homogeneous component.

Note that gr is not a homomorphism, it is not even a linear map. However, it has two important properties we state as a lemma.

Lemma 4.6. (1) *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, and let $p \in k[x^{(\infty)}]$ be a differential monomial. Then*

$$p(\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)) \neq 0 \implies \text{gr}(p(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = p(\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)).$$

(2) *If $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ are k -linearly dependent, then $\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)$ also are k -linearly dependent.*

Proof. To prove the first part, one sees that p does not vanish on the lowest homogeneous parts of a_1, \dots, a_n , so the homogeneity of the multiplication and derivation imply that taking the lowest homogeneous part commutes with applying p for a_1, \dots, a_n .

To prove the second part, let i be the lowest grading appearing among a_1, \dots, a_n . Restricting to the component of this weight, one gets a linear relation for $\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let A be a graded differential algebra as above. Consider elements a_1, \dots, a_n in A , and denote the algebras (not differential) generated by a_1, \dots, a_n and $\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)$ by B and B_{gr} , respectively. Then $\dim B_{\text{gr}} \leq \dim B$.*

Proof. The algebra B_{gr} is spanned by all the monomials in $\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)$. We choose a basis in this spanning set, that is, we consider monomials $p_1, \dots, p_N \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$p_1(\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)), \dots, p_N(\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n))$$

form a basis of B_{gr} . The first part of Lemma 4.6 implies that

$$\text{gr}(p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = p_i(\text{gr}(a_1), \dots, \text{gr}(a_n)) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Then the second part of Lemma 4.6 implies that $p_1(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, p_N(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are linearly independent. Since they belong to B , we have $\dim B \geq N = \dim B_{\text{gr}}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Let Λ and φ be the exterior algebra and the homomorphism from Proposition 4.2. Proposition 4.2 implies that $A_{m,h}$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of Λ generated by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \eta_i \otimes \xi_i, \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (\eta_i \otimes \xi_i)', \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (\eta_i \otimes \xi_i)'', \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (\eta_i \otimes \xi_i)^{(h)}.$$

We define a grading on Λ by setting the weights of $\eta_j^{(i)}$ and $\xi_j^{(i)}$ to be equal to i for every $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < m - 1$. The exterior algebra Λ becomes a graded algebra, and the derivation is homogeneous of weight one.

We fix $h \geq 0$ and consider the following elements of Λ :

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{j,i} := (1 + \partial)^i \alpha_j \quad \text{for } i \geq 0, 0 \leq j < m - 1, \text{ and } \alpha \in \{\eta, \xi\},$$

where ∂ is the operator of differentiation. We introduce

$$v_i := \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \tilde{\eta}_{j,i} \otimes \tilde{\xi}_{j,i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq h,$$

and let Y_h be the algebra generated by v_0, \dots, v_h . For every $0 \leq i \leq h$, we have $v_i^m = 0$, so Y_h is spanned by the products of the form

$$v_0^{d_0} v_1^{d_1} \dots v_h^{d_h}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq d_0, \dots, d_h < m.$$

Therefore, $\dim Y_h \leq m^{h+1}$.

Claim. *There is an invertible $(h + 1) \times (h + 1)$ matrix M over \mathbb{Q} such that, for u_0, \dots, u_h defined by*

$$(u_0, \dots, u_h)^T := M(v_0, \dots, v_h)^T, \tag{7}$$

we have

$$\text{gr}(u_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (\eta_j \otimes \xi_j)^{(i)} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i \leq h.$$

We will first demonstrate how the proposition follows from the claim, and then we prove the claim. Since M is invertible, u_0, \dots, u_h generate Y_h as well. Since $\text{gr}(u_0), \dots, \text{gr}(u_h)$ generate $A_{m,h}$, Lemma 4.7 implies that $m^{h+1} \geq \dim Y_h \geq \dim A_{m,h}$.

Therefore, it remains to prove the claim. For every $0 \leq i \leq h$, we can write

$$v_i = (1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial)^i (1 \otimes 1 + \partial \otimes 1)^i v_0 = (1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1 + \partial \otimes \partial)^i v_0.$$

We set $u_i := (1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1 + \partial \otimes \partial)^i v_0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq h$. Note that, since $1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1$ is just the original derivation on Λ , we have

$$\text{gr}(u_i) = (1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1)^i v_0 = v_0^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (\eta_j \otimes \xi_j)^{(i)}. \tag{8}$$

By expanding the binomial $(1 \otimes 1 + (1 \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes 1 + \partial \otimes \partial))^i$, we can write $v_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} u_j$. Then we have

$$(v_0, \dots, v_h)^T = \tilde{M}(u_0, \dots, u_h)^T, \tag{9}$$

where \tilde{M} is the $(h + 1) \times (h + 1)$ -matrix with the (i, j) -th entry being $\binom{i}{j}$. Since \tilde{M} is lower-triangular with ones on the diagonal, it is invertible. We set $M := \tilde{M}^{-1}$. So we have $(u_0, \dots, u_h)^T := M(v_0, \dots, v_h)^T$, which together with (8) finishes the proof of the claim. \square

By combining the proof of Proposition 4.5 with Lemma 4.4, we can extend Proposition 4.5 as follows:

Corollary 4.8. *Let m, h, i be positive integers with $1 \leq i \leq m$. By $A_{(m,i),h}$ we denote the subalgebra of $k[x^{(\infty)}]/\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$ generated by the images of $x, x', \dots, x^{(h)}$. Then*

$$\dim A_{(m,i),h} \leq i \cdot m^h.$$

Proof. The proof will be a refinement of the proof of Proposition 4.5, and we will use the notation from there. Let π be the canonical homomorphism $\pi : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_i := \Lambda / \langle \xi_{i-1} \otimes \eta_{i-1}, \dots, \xi_{m-2} \otimes \eta_{m-2} \rangle$. Since the ideal $\langle \xi_{i-1} \otimes \eta_{i-1}, \dots, \xi_{m-2} \otimes \eta_{m-2} \rangle$ is homogeneous with respect to the grading on Λ , there is a natural grading on Λ_i .

We have $A_{(m,i),h} \cong \pi(A_{m,h})$. Since π is a homogeneous homomorphism, $\pi(A_{m,h})$ is generated by $\pi(\text{gr}(u_0)), \dots, \pi(\text{gr}(u_h))$ from (7), so $\dim A_{(m,i),h} = \dim \pi(A_{m,h}) \leq \dim \pi(Y_h)$. We observe that $\pi(v_0)^i = 0$, so $\pi(Y_h)$ is spanned by products of the form

$$\pi(v_0)^{d_0} \pi(v_1)^{d_1} \dots \pi(v_h)^{d_h},$$

where $0 \leq d_0 < i$ and $0 \leq d_1, \dots, d_h < m$. Therefore, $\dim \pi(Y_h) \leq i \cdot m^h$. \square

4.3. Combinatorial properties of fair monomials.

Definition 4.9 (nonoverlapping monomials). We say that two monomials $m_1, m_2 \in k[x^{(\infty)}]$ do not overlap if $\text{ord } m_1 \leq \text{lord } m_2$ or $\text{ord } m_2 \leq \text{lord } m_1$.

Lemma 4.10. Let m, i be integers with $0 \leq i \leq m$. Let $P \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i}$. Then there exist $P_1, \dots, P_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and $P_{i+1}, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{F}_s$ such that

$$P = P_1 \cdots P_m \quad \text{and, for every } 1 \leq i < m, \quad \text{ord } P_i \leq \text{lord } P_{i+1}.$$

Remark 4.11. Lemma 4.10 implies that the set $\mathcal{F}_{i-1,m-i}$ from Theorem 3.3 coincides with the set of standard monomials conjectured by Afsharijoo [2021, §5].

Proof. Suppose that P can be written as

$$P = (x^{(h_{1,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{1,\ell_1})}) \cdots (x^{(h_{m,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{m,\ell_m})}),$$

where each $(x^{(h_{i,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{i,\ell_i})})$ belongs to \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{F}_s and $h_{1,0} \leq h_{2,0} \leq \cdots \leq h_{m,0}$. We first prove that we can make the product to be a product of nonoverlapping monomials.

Let us sort the orders $h_{1,0}, h_{1,1}, \dots, h_{m,\ell_m}$ in the ascending order

$$\{(r_{1,0}, \dots, r_{1,\ell_1}); (r_{2,0}, \dots, r_{2,\ell_2}); \dots; (r_{m,0}, \dots, r_{m,\ell_m})\}.$$

Claim. For all $0 \leq i \leq m$, we have $h_{i,0} \leq r_{i,0}$.

In the whole list of the $h_{i,j}$, all the numbers to the right from $h_{i,0}$ are $\geq h_{i,0}$. Therefore, after sorting, $h_{i,0}$ will either stay or move to the left. Thus, $h_{i,0} \leq r_{i,0}$, so the claim is proved.

Hence if $x^{(h_{i,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{i,\ell_i})}$ was a fair (respectively, strongly fair) monomial then $x^{(r_{i,0})} \cdots x^{(r_{i,\ell_i})}$ is a fair (respectively, strongly fair) monomial.

Now we will move all the strongly fair monomials to the right in the decomposition of P . We first prove that, for every $Q = Q_1 Q_2$ such that $Q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_s, Q_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, and $\text{ord } Q_1 \leq \text{lord } Q_2$, there exist $\tilde{Q}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and $\tilde{Q}_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Q = \tilde{Q}_1 \tilde{Q}_2$ and $\text{ord } \tilde{Q}_1 \leq \text{lord } \tilde{Q}_2$. Let

$$Q_1 = x^{(h_{1,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{1,\ell_1})} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = x^{(h_{2,0})} \cdots x^{(h_{2,\ell_2})},$$

where $\ell_1 < h_{1,0}$ and $\ell_2 \leq h_{2,0}$. If $\ell_2 < h_{2,0}$, then $Q_2 \in \mathcal{F}_s$; so we are done. Otherwise, $\ell_1 + 1 \leq h_{1,0}$ implies that $Q_1 x^{(h_{1,0})}$ is a fair monomial, and $\ell_2 - 1 < h_{2,0}$ implies that $Q_2/x^{(h_{1,0})} \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Thus, we can take $\tilde{Q}_1 := Q_1 x^{(h_{1,0})}$ and $\tilde{Q}_2 := Q_2/x^{(h_{1,0})}$.

Applying the described transformation while possible to the nonoverlapping decomposition of P , one can arrange that the last $m - i$ components are strongly fair. □

Proposition 4.12. For every positive integers m, h, i with $0 \leq i \leq m$, the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}_{i,m-i} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}]$ is equal to $(i + 1) \cdot (m + 1)^h$.

The proof of the proposition will use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.13. *For every integers h and d , we have*

$$|\{P \mid P \in \mathcal{F} \cap k[x^{\leq h}] \text{ and } \deg P = d\}| = \binom{h+1}{d}.$$

If one replaces \mathcal{F} with \mathcal{F}_s , the cardinality will be $\binom{h}{d}$.

Proof. Let $x^{(h_0)} \cdots x^{(h_\ell)} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\ell \leq h_0 \leq \cdots \leq h_\ell$. We define a map

$$(h_0, \dots, h_\ell) \mapsto (h_0 - \ell, h_1 - \ell - 1, \dots, h_\ell).$$

The map assigns to the orders of a monomial in $\mathcal{F} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]$ a list of strictly increasing nonnegative integers not exceeding h . A direct computation shows that this map is a bijection. Since the number of such sequences of length d is equal to the number of subsets of $[0, 1, \dots, h]$ of cardinality d , the number of monomials is $\binom{h+1}{d}$.

The case of \mathcal{F}_s is analogous with the only difference being that the subset will be in $[1, 2, \dots, h]$, thus yielding $\binom{h}{d}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.12. We will prove the proposition by induction on m . For the base case, we have $\mathcal{F}_{0,0} = \{1\}$, so the statement is true.

Consider $m > 0$, and assume that for all smaller m the proposition is proved. We fix $0 \leq i \leq m$. Consider a monomial $P \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]$, let $P_1 \cdots P_m$ be a decomposition from Lemma 4.10 with $\deg P_m$ being as large as possible. We denote tail $P := P_m$ and head $P := P_1 \cdots P_{m-1}$.

We will show that the map $P \rightarrow (\text{head } P, \text{tail } P)$ defines a bijection between $\mathcal{F}_{i,m-i}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } i < m: & \{(Q_0, Q_1) \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i-1} \times \mathcal{F}_s \mid \text{ord } Q_0 \leq \deg Q_1\}, \\ \text{for } i = m: & \{(Q_0, Q_1) \in \mathcal{F}_{m-1,0} \times \mathcal{F} \mid \text{ord } Q_0 < \deg Q_1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We will prove the case $i < m$, as the proof in the case $i = m$ is analogous. First we will show that, for every $P \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i}$, we have $\text{ord head } P \leq \deg \text{tail } P$. Assume the contrary, and let $\ell := \text{ord head } P > \deg \text{tail } P$. Then we will have

$$\text{lord}(x^{(\ell)} \text{tail } P) \geq \min(\ell, \text{lord tail } P) = \ell \geq \deg(x^{(\ell)} \text{tail } P).$$

This implies that $x^{(\ell)} \text{tail } P \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Thus, in the decomposition of Lemma 4.10, we could have taken P_m to be $x^{(\ell)} \text{tail } P$. This contradicts the maximality of $\deg \text{tail } P$. In the other direction, if $Q_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i-1}$ and $Q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_s$ such that $\text{ord } Q_0 \leq \deg Q_1$, then $Q_0 Q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{i,m-i}$. Moreover, since $x^{(\text{ord } Q_0)} Q_1 \notin \mathcal{F}$, we have $\text{tail}(Q_0 Q_1) = Q_1$.

We will now use the bijection (10) to count the elements in $\mathcal{F}_{i,m-i} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]$. For $i < m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{i,m-i} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]| &= \sum_{\ell=0}^h |\mathcal{F}_{i,m-i-1} \cap k[x^{\leq \ell}]| \cdot |\{Q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_s \cap k[x^{\leq h}] \mid \deg Q_1 = \ell\}| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^h (i+1) \cdot m^\ell \binom{h}{\ell} = (i+1) \cdot (m+1)^h \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.13}). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = m$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{m,0} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}]| &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{h+1} |\mathcal{F}_{m-1,0} \cap k[x^{(<\ell)}]| \cdot |\{Q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_s \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}] \mid \deg Q_1 = \ell\}| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{h+1} m^\ell \binom{h+1}{\ell} = (m+1)^{h+1} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.13}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proposition is proved. □

4.4. Lower bounds for the dimension.

Notation 4.14. For a differential polynomial $P \in k[x^{(\infty)}]$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define

- $\text{tord}_{x_i} P$ to be the *total order* of P in x_i , that is, the largest sum of the orders of the derivatives of x_i among the monomials of P ;
- $\text{deg}_{x_i^{(\infty)}} P$ to be the *total degree* of P with respect to the variables x_i, x_i', x_i'', \dots .
- We fix a monomial ordering $<$ on $k[x^{(\infty)}]$ defined as follows: To each differential monomial $M = x_{i_0}^{(h_0)} x_{i_1}^{(h_1)} \dots x_{i_\ell}^{(h_\ell)}$ with $(h_0, i_0) \leq_{\text{lex}} (h_1, i_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} \dots \leq_{\text{lex}} (h_\ell, i_\ell)$, we assign a tuple

$$(\ell, h_\ell, h_{\ell-1}, \dots, h_0, i_\ell, i_{\ell-1}, \dots, i_0),$$

and compare monomials by comparing the corresponding tuples lexicographically.

Definition 4.15 (isobaric ideal). An ideal $I \subset k[x^{(\infty)}]$ is called *isobaric* if it can be generated by isobaric polynomials, that is, polynomials with all the monomials having the same total order.

Proposition 4.16. For $i = 1, 2$, the elements of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1,2-i}$ are the standard monomials modulo $\langle (x^2)^{(\infty)}, x^i \rangle$.

Proof. We use Proposition 4.2 to obtain the differential homomorphism $\varphi: k[x^{(\infty)}] \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by $\varphi(x) = \eta \otimes \xi$ (we will use η and ξ instead of η_0 and ξ_0 for brevity). Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the composition of φ with the projection onto $\Lambda / \langle \eta \otimes \xi \rangle$. We will prove the proposition for the elements in $\mathcal{F}_{1,0}$, and the other case can be done in the same way by replacing φ with $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Let $X = x^{(h_0)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)}$, where $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_\ell$, be an element of $\mathcal{F}_{1,0}$. We will show that a summand

$$B(X) := (\eta^{(h_0-\ell)} \wedge \eta^{(h_1-(\ell-1))} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{(h_\ell)}) \otimes (\xi^{(\ell)} \wedge \xi^{(\ell-1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi' \wedge \xi) \tag{11}$$

appears in $\varphi(X)$ with nonzero coefficient. We will prove this by induction on ℓ . The base case $\ell = 0$ is trivial, so let $\ell > 0$. Since $\eta^{(h_0-\ell)}$ may come only from one of the occurrences of $x^{(h_0)}$ in X , we must take $\eta^{(h_0-\ell)} \otimes \xi^{(\ell)}$ from one of the $x^{(h_0)}$. Therefore, the coefficient at $B(X)$ in $\varphi(X)$ is $\text{deg}_{x^{(h_0)}} X$ times the coefficient at $B(X/x^{(h_0)})$ in $\varphi(X/x^{(h_0)})$, which is nonzero by the induction hypothesis.

Let $Y := x^{(s_0)} \dots x^{(s_{\ell'})}$ be a monomial such that $Y < X$. We will prove by contradiction that $B(X)$ does not appear in $\varphi(Y)$. If it does, then $\text{deg}(X) = \text{deg}(Y) = \ell + 1 = \ell' + 1$. Moreover, there exists a permutation σ of $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that

$$s_i - \sigma(i) = h_i - (\ell - i) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

The inequality $s_\ell \leq h_\ell$ implies $\sigma(\ell) = 0$, and thus, $s_\ell = h_\ell$. Therefore, $s_{\ell-1} \leq h_{\ell-1}$, which implies $\sigma(\ell - 1) = 1$, and thus, $s_{\ell-1} = h_{\ell-1}$. Continuing in this way, we show that

$$s_i = h_i \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

which contradicts $Y < X$. Thus $B(X)$ cannot appear in the $\varphi(Y)$.

Assume that $X \in \text{In}_<(x^2)^{(\infty)}$. Then there exist monomials P_1, \dots, P_N such that $P_j < X$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$ and

$$X - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j P_j \in \langle x^2 \rangle^{(\infty)}.$$

Hence, $\varphi(X) - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \varphi(P_j) = 0$. Since $P_j < X$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, $B(X)$ cannot be canceled in $\varphi(X) - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \varphi(P_j)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, X is a standard monomial. \square

Lemma 4.17. *Let $I_1 \subset k[y_1^{(\infty)}], \dots, I_s \subset k[y_s^{(\infty)}]$ be ideals, and we denote by M_i the set of the standard monomials modulo I_i with respect to degree lexicographic ordering for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the standard monomials with respect to the ordering $<$ (see Notation 4.14) modulo $\langle I_1, \dots, I_s \rangle \subset k[y_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, y_s^{(\infty)}]$ are*

$$M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdots M_s := \{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_s \mid m_1 \in M_1, \dots, m_s \in M_s\}.$$

Proof. For each I_i , consider the reduced Gröbner basis G_i of I_i with respect to the degree lexicographic ordering. For each pair $f, g \in G := G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_s$, their S-polynomial is reduced to zero by G

- if f, g belong to the same G_i , due to the fact that G_i is a Gröbner basis;
- otherwise, by the first Buchberger criterion (since f and g have coprime leading monomials). \square

Proposition 4.18. *Let $I_1 \subset k[y_1^{(\infty)}], \dots, I_s \subset k[y_s^{(\infty)}]$ be homogeneous and isobaric ideals (not necessarily differential). By M_i we denote the set of standard monomials modulo I_i with respect to the degree lexicographic ordering for $1 \leq i \leq s$. We define a homomorphism (not necessarily differential)*

$$\varphi: k[x^{(\infty)}] \rightarrow k[y_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, y_s^{(\infty)}] / \langle I_1, \dots, I_s \rangle$$

by $\varphi(x^{(k)}) := y_1^{(k)} + \dots + y_s^{(k)}$ and denote $I := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Then the elements of

$$M := \{m_1 \dots m_s \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq s: m_i \in M_i \text{ and } \forall 1 \leq j < s: \text{ord } m_j \leq \text{ord } m_{j+1}\} \quad (12)$$

are standard monomials modulo I with respect to the ordering $<$ (but maybe not all the standard monomials).

Proof. Consider a monomial $P = x^{(h_0)} \dots x^{(h_\ell)} \in M$, and fix a representation $P = m_1(x), \dots, m_s(x)$ as in (12). Assume that P is a leading monomial of I . Then there exist monomials P_1, \dots, P_N such that

$$P - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j P_j \in \text{Ker } \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq N: P_j < P.$$

Then $\varphi(P) - \sum \lambda_j \varphi(P_j) \in \langle I_1, \dots, I_s \rangle$. We define $m := m_1(y_1)m_2(y_2) \cdots m_s(y_s)$.

Claim. For every monomial $\tilde{m} \neq m$ in $\varphi(P)$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq s$ such that either $\deg_{y_j(\infty)} m \neq \deg_{y_j(\infty)} \tilde{m}$ or $\text{tord}_{y_j} m \neq \text{tord}_{y_j} \tilde{m}$.

Assume the contrary, that there exists \tilde{m} such that, for every $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have $d_i := \deg_{y_j(\infty)} m = \deg_{y_j(\infty)} \tilde{m}$ and $\text{tord}_{y_j} m = \text{tord}_{y_j} \tilde{m}$. We write $\tilde{m} = \tilde{m}_1(y_1) \cdots \tilde{m}_s(y_s)$. Let $1 \leq j \leq s$ be the largest index such that $m_j \neq \tilde{m}_j$. Since m_j contains d_j largest derivatives in $m_1(x) \cdots m_j(x) = \tilde{m}_1(x) \cdots \tilde{m}_j(x)$ and has the same total order as \tilde{m}_j , we conclude that $m_j = \tilde{m}_j$. Thus, the claim is proved.

We write the homogeneous and isobaric component of $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \varphi(P_j)$ of the same degree and total order in y_i as m for every $1 \leq i \leq s$ as $\sum_{i=1}^M \mu_i R_i$, where R_i is a differential monomial and $\mu_i \in k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq M$. Then such a homogeneous and isobaric component of $\varphi(P) - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \varphi(P_j)$ is $Q := m - \sum_{i=1}^M \mu_i R_i$ due to the claim. Since, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$, I_s is homogeneous and isobaric, $Q \in \langle I_1, \dots, I_s \rangle$.

Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq M$, the differential monomial R_i is a summand of $\varphi(P_j)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq N$. Thus, if $P_j = x^{(s_0)} \cdots x^{(s_\ell)}$, then the derivatives that appear in the monomial R_i are of orders s_0, \dots, s_ℓ . Hence, $P_j < P$ implies $R_j < m$. Therefore, m is the leading monomial of Q contradicting Lemma 4.17. \square

Corollary 4.19. The elements of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i}$ are standard monomials modulo $\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$.

Proof. We will use Proposition 4.18. Consider the ideals

$$I_1 = \langle y_1^2 \rangle^{(\infty)}, \dots, I_{i-1} = \langle y_{i-1}^2 \rangle^{(\infty)}, I_i = \langle y_i, (y_i^2) \rangle^{(\infty)}, \dots, I_{m-1} = \langle y_{m-1}, (y_{m-1}^2) \rangle^{(\infty)},$$

and define φ as in Proposition 4.18. Lemma 4.4 implies that $\varphi((x^m)^{(k)}) = ((y_1 + \dots + y_{m-1})^m)^{(k)} = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $\varphi(x^i) = (y_1 + \dots + y_{i-1})^i = 0$. Therefore, $\langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^i \rangle \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Proposition 4.16 implies that the standard monomials modulo I_j are the fair monomials for $j < i$ and strongly fair monomials for $i \leq j$. Therefore, Proposition 4.18 implies that $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i}$ are standard monomials modulo $\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$. \square

4.5. Putting everything together: proofs of the main results.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the images of $\mathcal{F}_{m-1, 0} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]$ in $k[x^{(\infty)}] / \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$. By Corollary 4.19, they are linearly independent modulo $\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$. Then Proposition 4.12 implies that the dimension of $k[x^{\leq h}] / \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}$ is at least m^{h+1} . Together with Proposition 4.5, this implies

$$\dim(k[x^{\leq h}] / \langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)}) = m^{h+1}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Fix $h \geq 0$. Consider $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i} \cap k[x^{\leq h}]$. Combining Corollary 4.19, Corollary 4.8, and Proposition 4.12, we show that the image of this set in $k[x^{\leq h}] / \langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^i \rangle$ forms a basis. Thus, the image of the whole $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i}$ is a basis of $k[x^{(\infty)}] / \langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^i \rangle$. Therefore, by Corollary 4.19, $\mathcal{F}_{i-1, m-i}$ coincides with the set of standard monomials modulo $\langle (x^m)^{(\infty)}, x^i \rangle$. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.4. Since the ideal $\langle x^i, (x^m)^{(\infty)} \rangle$ is generated by homogeneous and isobaric (that is, weight-homogeneous) polynomials, its Gröbner bases with respect to the purely lexicographic, degree lexicographic, and weighted lexicographic orderings coincide. \square

5. Computational experiments for more general fat points

In this section, we consider a more general case of a fat point in a n -dimensional space, not just on a line. We used [Macaulay2], in particular, the package Jets [Galetto and Iammarino 2021; 2022] to explore possible analogues of our Theorem 3.1 for this more general case. A related Sage implementation for computing the arc space of an affine scheme with respect to a fat point can be found in [Stout 2017, §9] and [Stout 2014, §5.4].

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and consider a zero-dimensional ideal $I \subset k[\mathbf{x}]$. We will be interested in describing (in particular, in computing the dimension of the quotient ring) $I^{(\infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ for a positive integer h . Since this ideal is the union of the following chain

$$I^{(\leq 1)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \subseteq I^{(\leq 2)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \subseteq I^{(\leq 3)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \subseteq \dots$$

and $k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ is Noetherian, one can compute $I^{(\infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ by computing $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ for large enough H . But how do we determine what H is “large enough”?

- For $I = \langle x^m \rangle \subset k[x]$, the answer is given by Theorem 3.1: if the dimension $k[x^{(\leq h)}]/(I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}])$ is equal to m^{h+1} , then $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}] = I^{(\infty)} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}]$.
- For general I , we take H to be $1, 2, \dots$, and we stop when we encounter

$$I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] = I^{(\leq H+1)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}].$$

We conjecture that in this case $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] = I^{(\infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ (see Question 5.1) but, strictly speaking, we only know that $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \subseteq I^{(\infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$.

5.1. Ideals $I = \langle x^m \rangle$. For ideals of the form $\langle x^m \rangle$, the approach outlined above yields a complete algorithm to compute $I^{(\infty)} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}]$ for any given h and m . We use it for computing examples of Gröbner bases for these ideals with respect to the lexicographic ordering, as shown in Table 1.

5.2. General fat points. In this subsection, we consider a general zero-dimensional $I \subset k[\mathbf{x}]$ with the zero set of I being the origin. We use the following algorithm following the approach described in the beginning of the section to obtain an upper bound of the dimensions of $k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]/(I^{(\infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}])$.

Step 1: Set $H = 1$.

Step 2: While the dimension of $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$ is not zero or $I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \neq I^{(\leq H+1)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]$, set $H = H + 1$.

Ideal	Gröbner basis
$\langle x^2 \rangle^{(\infty)} \cap k[x^{(\leq 2)}]$	$(x'')^4; x'(x'')^2; (x')^2x''; (x')^3; 2xx'' + (x')^2; xx'; x^2$
$\langle x^3 \rangle^{(\infty)} \cap k[x^{(\leq 2)}]$	$(x'')^7; x'(x'')^5; (x')^2(x'')^4; (x')^3(x'')^2; (x')^4x''; (x')^5; x(x'')^4 + 2(x')^2(x'')^3; 3xx'(x'')^2 + (x')^3x''; 6x(x')^2x'' + (x')^4; x(x')^3; x^2x'' + x(x')^2; x^2x'; x^3$

Table 1. Gröbner bases for $\langle x^m \rangle^{(\infty)} \cap k[x^{(\leq h)}]$, where $m = 2, 3$.

Ideal	$h = 0$	$h = 1$	$h = 2$	$h = 3$
$\langle x^2, y^2, xy \rangle$	3	9	27	81
$\langle x^2, y^2, xz, yz, z^2 - xy \rangle$	5	25	125	—
$\langle x^3, y^2, x^2y \rangle$	5	25	125	—
$\langle x^3, y^2, xy \rangle$	4	16	64	256
$\langle x^3, y^3, x^2y \rangle$	7	49	—	—
$\langle x^4, y^4, x^2y^3 \rangle$	14	196	—	—

Table 2. (Bounds for) the dimensions of the truncations of the arc space.

Step 3: Return $\dim(k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]/(I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]))$.

We expect the resulting bound to be exact (see also Question 5.1), for example, it is exact for $I = \langle x^m \rangle$.

Our implementation of this algorithm in [Macaulay2] is available for download at the following webpage: <https://mathrepo.mis.mpg.de/MultiplicityStructureOfArcSpaces>. Table 2 shows some of the results we obtained. One can see that the computed dimensions form geometric series with the exponent being the multiplicity of the original ideal exactly as in Theorem 3.1.

However, we have also found ideals for which the generating series of the dimensions is definitely not equal to $m/(1 - mt)$, where m is the multiplicity of the ideal. We show some examples of this type in Table 3.

Note that while Table 2 gives only indication that the generating series of the multiplicities for these ideals may be $m/(1 - mt)$, Table 3 gives a proof that this is not the case for all the fat points.

5.3. Open questions. Based on the results of the computational experiments, we formulate several open questions.

Question 5.1. Let $I \subset k[\mathbf{x}]$ be a zero-dimensional ideal with $V(I)$ being a single point. Is it true that, for every integer h

$$(I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]) = I^{(\leq H+1)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}] \implies (I^{(\leq H)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]) = I^{(\leq \infty)} \cap k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]?$$

Does this statement remain true if we drop the assumption $|V(I)| = 1$?

Ideal	$h = 0$	$h = 1$	$h = 2$
$\langle x^3, y^3, xy \rangle$	5	24	115
$\langle x^4, y^3, xy \rangle$	6	33	—
$\langle x^4, y^3, x^2y \rangle$	8	62	—
$\langle x^4, y^4, xy \rangle$	7	42	—
$\langle x^4, y^4, x^2y \rangle$	10	94	—
$\langle x^4, y^4, x^2y^2 \rangle$	12	140	—
$\langle x^4, y^6, x^2y^3 \rangle$	18	320	—

Table 3. (Bounds for) the dimensions of the truncations of the arc space.

Question 5.2. Let $I \subset k[x]$ be a zero-dimensional ideal with $V(I)$ being a single point of multiplicity m . Is it true that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]/I^{(\infty)}}{m^{h+1}} = 1?$$

Question 5.3. Let $I \subset k[x]$ be a zero-dimensional ideal with $V(I)$ being a single point of multiplicity m . Under which conditions it is true that

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (\dim k[\mathbf{x}^{(\leq h)}]/I^{(\infty)}) \cdot t^h = \frac{m}{1-mt}?$$

More generally, what information about the corresponding scheme can be read off the above generating series?

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Joris van der Hoeven, Hussein Mourtada, Bernd Sturmfels, Dmitry Trushin, and the referees for helpful discussions. We thank Yassine El Maazouz and Claudia Fevola for their support with making the Mathrepo webpage. Pogudin was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1853482, DMS-1760448, and DMS-1853650, by the Paris Ile-de-France region, and by the MIMOSA project funded by AAP INS2I CNRS.

References

- [Afsharijoo 2021] P. Afsharijoo, “Looking for a new version of Gordon’s identities”, *Ann. Comb.* **25**:3 (2021), 543–571. MR Zbl
- [Afsharijoo and Mourtada 2020] P. Afsharijoo and H. Mourtada, “Partition identities and application to infinite-dimensional Gröbner basis and vice versa”, pp. 145–161 in *Arc schemes and singularities*, edited by D. Bourqui et al., World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, 2020. MR Zbl
- [Afsharijoo et al. 2022] P. Afsharijoo, J. Dousse, F. Jouhet, and H. Mourtada, “New companions to Gordon identities from commutative algebra”, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **86B** (2022), art. id. 48. MR Zbl
- [Afsharijoo et al. 2023] P. Afsharijoo, J. Dousse, F. Jouhet, and H. Mourtada, “New companions to the Andrews–Gordon identities motivated by commutative algebra”, *Adv. Math.* **417** (2023), art. id. 108946. MR Zbl
- [Ait El Manssour and Sattelberger 2023] R. Ait El Manssour and A.-L. Sattelberger, “Combinatorial differential algebra of x^P ”, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **114** (2023), 193–208. MR Zbl
- [Arakawa et al. 2021] T. Arakawa, K. Kawasetsu, and J. Sebag, “A question of Joseph Ritt from the point of view of vertex algebras”, *J. Algebra* **588** (2021), 118–128. MR Zbl
- [Bai et al. 2020] Y. Bai, E. Gorsky, and O. Kivinen, “Quadratic ideals and Rogers–Ramanujan recursions”, *Ramanujan J.* **52**:1 (2020), 67–89. MR Zbl
- [Binyamini 2017] G. Binyamini, “Bezout-type theorems for differential fields”, *Compos. Math.* **153**:4 (2017), 867–888. MR Zbl
- [Bourqui and Haiech 2021] D. Bourqui and M. Haiech, “On the nilpotent functions at a non-degenerate arc”, *Manuscripta Math.* **165**:1-2 (2021), 227–238. MR Zbl
- [Bourqui et al. 2020] D. Bourqui, J. Nicaise, and J. Sebag (editors), *Arc schemes and singularities*, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, 2020. MR

- [Bruschek et al. 2013] C. Bruschek, H. Mourtada, and J. Schepers, “Arc spaces and the Rogers–Ramanujan identities”, *Ramanujan J.* **30**:1 (2013), 9–38. MR Zbl
- [Denef and Loeser 1999] J. Denef and F. Loeser, “Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration”, *Invent. Math.* **135**:1 (1999), 201–232. MR Zbl
- [Denef and Loeser 2001] J. Denef and F. Loeser, “Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties”, pp. 327–348 in *European Congress of Mathematics* (Barcelona, 2000), vol. 1, edited by C. Casacuberta et al., Progr. Math. **201**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001. MR Zbl
- [Dumanski and Feigin 2023] I. Dumanski and E. Feigin, “Reduced arc schemes for Veronese embeddings and global Demazure modules”, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **25**:8 (2023), art. id. 2250034. MR Zbl
- [Feigin and Feigin 2002] B. Feigin and E. Feigin, “ Q -characters of the tensor products in \mathfrak{sl}_2 -case”, *Mosc. Math. J.* **2**:3 (2002), 567–588. MR
- [Feigin and Makedonskyi 2020] E. Feigin and I. Makedonskyi, “Semi-infinite Plücker relations and Weyl modules”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2020**:14 (2020), 4357–4394. MR Zbl
- [Galetto and Iammarino 2021] F. Galetto and N. Iammarino, “Jets”, Macaulay2 package, version 1.19, 2021, available at <https://macaulay2.com/doc/Macaulay2-1.22/share/doc/Macaulay2/Jets/html/index.html>.
- [Galetto and Iammarino 2022] F. Galetto and N. Iammarino, “Computing with jets”, *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.* **12**:1 (2022), 43–49. MR Zbl
- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, 1977. MR Zbl
- [Kaplansky 1957] I. Kaplansky, *An introduction to differential algebra*, Publications de l’Institut Mathématique de l’Université de Nancago **5**, Hermann, Paris, 1957. MR Zbl
- [Kolchin 1964] E. R. Kolchin, “The notion of dimension in the theory of algebraic differential equations”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70** (1964), 570–573. MR Zbl
- [Kolchin 1973] E. R. Kolchin, *Differential algebra and algebraic groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **54**, Academic Press, New York, 1973. MR Zbl
- [Kondratieva et al. 1999] M. V. Kondratieva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev, and E. V. Pankratiev, *Differential and difference dimension polynomials*, Mathematics and its Applications **461**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Levi 1942] H. Levi, “On the structure of differential polynomials and on their theory of ideals”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51**:3 (1942), 532–568. MR Zbl
- [Levi 1945] H. Levi, “The low power theorem for partial differential polynomials”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **46**:1 (1945), 113–119. MR Zbl
- [Linshaw and Song 2021] A. R. Linshaw and B. Song, “Standard monomials and invariant theory for arc spaces, III: Special linear group”, preprint, 2021. arXiv 2108.08991
- [Macaulay2] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, “Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry”, available at <https://macaulay2.com>.
- [Mourtada 2014] H. Mourtada, “Jet schemes of rational double point singularities”, pp. 373–388 in *Valuation theory in interaction* (Segovia and El Escorial, Spain, 2011), edited by A. Campillo et al., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2014. MR Zbl
- [Mourtada 2023] H. Mourtada, “Jet schemes and their applications in singularities, toric resolutions and integer partitions”, pp. 211–249 in *Handbook of geometry and topology of singularities, IV*, edited by J. L. Cisneros-Molina et al., Springer, 2023.
- [O’Keefe 1960] K. B. O’Keefe, “A property of the differential ideal y^p ”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94**:3 (1960), 483–497. MR Zbl
- [Pogudin 2014] G. A. Pogudin, “Primary differential nil-algebras do exist”, *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **69**:1 (2014), 33–36. MR Zbl
- [Pogudin 2016] G. Pogudin, *Prime differential algebras and the Lie algebras associated to them*, Ph.D. thesis, Moscow State University, 2016, available at <http://mech.math.msu.su/~snark/files/diss/0091diss.pdf>. In Russian.
- [Pong 2006] W. Y. Pong, “Rank inequalities in the theory of differentially closed fields”, pp. 232–243 in *Logic Colloquium ’03* (Helsinki, 2003), edited by V. Stoltenberg-Hausen and J. Väänänen, Lect. Notes Log. **24**, Assoc. Symbol. Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2006. MR Zbl

- [Ritt 1950] J. F. Ritt, *Differential algebra*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1950. MR Zbl
- [Sebag 2011] J. Sebag, “Arcs schemes, derivations and Lipman’s theorem”, *J. Algebra* **347**:1 (2011), 173–183. MR Zbl
- [Stout 2014] A. R. Stout, *Motivic integration over nilpotent structures*, Ph.D. thesis, City University of New York, 2014, available at <https://tinyurl.com/muv3359>.
- [Stout 2017] A. R. Stout, “On the auto Igusa-zeta function of an algebraic curve”, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **79**:part 1 (2017), 156–185. MR Zbl
- [Zobnin 2005] A. Zobnin, “Admissible orderings and finiteness criteria for differential standard bases”, pp. 365–372 in *ISSAC ’05: Proceedings of the 2005 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation* (Beijing, 2005), edited by M. Kauers, ACM, New York, 2005. MR Zbl
- [Zobnin 2008] A. I. Zobnin, “One-element differential standard bases with respect to inverse lexicographic orderings”, *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* **14**:4 (2008), 121–135. In Russian; translated in *J. Math. Sci. New York* **163**:5 (2009), 523–533. MR

Communicated by Michael Singer

Received 2022-08-06 Revised 2023-04-25 Accepted 2023-06-14

manssour@irif.fr

CNRS, IRIF, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

MPI MiS, Inselstraße 22, Leipzig, Germany

gleb.pogudin@polytechnique.edu

LIX, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Institute Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J.-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$525/year for the electronic version, and \$770/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18 No. 5 2024

On the ordinary Hecke orbit conjecture POL VAN HOFTEN	847
Locally analytic vector bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve GAL PORAT	899
Multiplicity structure of the arc space of a fat point RIDA AIT EL MANSSOUR and GLEB POGUDIN	947
Theta correspondence and simple factors in global Arthur parameters CHENYAN WU	969
Equidistribution theorems for holomorphic Siegel cusp forms of general degree: the level aspect HENRY H. KIM, SATOSHI WAKATSUKI and TAKUYA YAMAUCHI	993