

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18

2024

No. 8

Unramifiedness of weight 1 Hilbert Hecke algebras

Shaunak V. Deo, Mladen Dimitrov and Gabor Wiese



Unramifiedness of weight 1 Hilbert Hecke algebras

Shaunak V. Deo, Mladen Dimitrov and Gabor Wiese

We prove that the Galois pseudo-representation valued in the mod p^n cuspidal Hecke algebra for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ over a totally real number field F , of parallel weight 1 and level prime to p , is unramified at any place above p . The same is true for the noncuspidal Hecke algebra at places above p whose ramification index is not divisible by $p-1$. A novel geometric ingredient, which is also of independent interest, is the construction and study, in the case when p ramifies in F , of generalised Θ -operators using Reduzzi and Xiao's generalised Hasse invariants, including especially an injectivity criterion in terms of minimal weights.

Introduction	1465
1. Hilbert modular forms in finite characteristic	1469
2. Doubling and Hecke algebras	1486
3. Pseudo-representations for weight 1 Hecke algebras	1490
Acknowledgements	1495
References	1495

Introduction

Starting with Wiles [1995] and Taylor and Wiles [1995], $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorems have been developed and taken a role as cornerstones in number theory. They provide both the existence of Galois representations with values in Hecke algebras satisfying prescribed local properties and modularity lifting theorems. The state of $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorems for 2-dimensional representations in residual characteristic p of the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} and Hecke algebras acting on elliptic modular forms is quite satisfactory. In particular, the notoriously difficult case of Galois representations that are unramified at an odd prime p has been settled by ground-breaking work of Calegari and Geraghty [2018], in which they show that those correspond to modular forms of weight 1. More precisely, given an odd irreducible representation $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ unramified outside a finite set of places S not containing p , they show that

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\rho}}^S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_{\bar{\rho}}^{(1)},$$

where $R_{\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\rho}}^S$ is the universal deformation ring parametrisising deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ which are unramified outside S and $\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\rho}}^{(1)}$ is the local component at $\bar{\rho}$ of a weight 1 Hecke algebra of a certain level prime to p .

MSC2020: primary 11F80; secondary 11F25, 11F33, 11F41, 11G18, 14G35.

Keywords: Hilbert modular forms, Galois representations, Hecke algebras, Theta-operators, weight one.

In this article, we address the corresponding question for parallel weight 1 Hilbert modular forms over a totally real field F of degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 2$ and ring of integers \mathfrak{o} . We focus on the construction of the Galois (pseudo-)representation with values in the parallel weight 1 Hecke algebra with p -power torsion coefficients and proving its local ramification properties. In particular, given a finite set S of places in F relatively prime to p and a totally odd irreducible representation $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ unramified outside S we show that there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$R_{F, \bar{\rho}}^S \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\bar{\rho}}^{(1)},$$

where $R_{F, \bar{\rho}}^S$ is the universal deformation ring parametrising deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ which are unramified outside S and $\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\rho}}^{(1)}$ is the local component at $\bar{\rho}$ of a weight 1 Hecke algebra of a certain level prime to p (see [Corollary 3.10](#) for a precise statement).

Let $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ be the R -module of Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight $\kappa \geq 1$ and prime to p level \mathfrak{n} over a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R , as in [Definition 2.1](#). This R -module is equipped with a commuting family of Hecke operators T_q as well as with diamond operators $\langle q \rangle$ for all primes q of F not dividing \mathfrak{n} . Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension containing the images of all embeddings of F in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and let \mathcal{O} be its valuation ring, ϖ a uniformiser and $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/\varpi$ its residue field. We put $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O}) = \varinjlim_n M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ and define the parallel weight 1 Hecke algebra

$$\mathbb{T}^{(1)} = \mathrm{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_1(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O}))),$$

as well as its cuspidal quotient $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{(1)}$ acting faithfully on the submodule of parallel weight 1 cuspforms. We can now state the main results of this article. Let $p\mathfrak{o} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid p} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$. We emphasise that there is no restriction on the ramification of p in F .

Theorem 0.1. *There exists a $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$ -valued pseudo-representation $P^{(1)}$ of G_F of degree 2 which is unramified at all primes q not dividing $\mathfrak{n}p$ and satisfies*

$$P^{(1)}(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = (T_q, \langle q \rangle).$$

Moreover, if $p-1$ does not divide $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$, then $P^{(1)}$ is also unramified at \mathfrak{p} and satisfies

$$P^{(1)}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (T_{\mathfrak{p}}, \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle),$$

in particular $T_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{T}^{(1)}$.

Finally, the pseudo-representation $P_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{(1)}$ obtained after composing $P^{(1)}$ with the natural surjection $\mathbb{T}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{(1)}$ is unramified at all $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ and satisfies

$$P_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (T_{\mathfrak{p}}, \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle).$$

The strategy of the proof is based on the *doubling* method developed in [\[Wiese 2014\]](#), further simplified and conceptualised in [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020\]](#) and [\[Calegari and Geraghty 2018\]](#). The parallel weight 1 Hilbert modular forms over \mathcal{O}/ϖ^n can be mapped into some higher weight in two ways, per prime \mathfrak{p}

dividing p , either by multiplication by a suitable power of the total Hasse invariant, or by applying a V -operator. That *doubling* map is used by Calegari and Specter [2019] to prove an analogue of Theorem 0.1 when $F = \mathbb{Q}$, for which they successfully develop the notion of a p -ordinary pseudo-representation. In that case, one knows by a result of Katz that the doubling map is injective. Furthermore, the existence of the Hecke operator T_p acting on weight 1 modular forms and the knowledge of its precise effect on the q -expansion (both due to Gross) allow one to show that the image of the doubling map is contained in the p -ordinary part of the higher weight space.

The existence of an optimally integral Hecke operator T_p acting on parallel weight 1 Hilbert modular forms with arbitrary coefficients having the desired effect on their q -expansions (see [Diamond 2021] improving on and correcting previous works such as [Emerton et al. 2017] and [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020]) allows us to adapt the overall Calegari–Specter strategy to the Hilbert modular setting, while slightly generalising and clarifying some aspects of their arguments (see Section 3), the main challenge being to prove the injectivity of the doubling map. Note that the simple calculation in [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020] showing injectivity after restriction to an eigenspace is insufficient as the Hecke algebra modulo p need not be semisimple. Instead, we observe that the injectivity of the doubling map would follow from the injectivity of a certain generalised Θ -operator, introduced in the foundational work of Andreatta and Goren [2005] for Hilbert modular forms in characteristic p defined over the Deligne–Pappas moduli space. When p is unramified in F , the theory of partial Θ -operators was also developed by Diamond and Sasaki [2023] in a more general setting using a slightly different approach from that of [Andreatta and Goren 2005]. However, when p is ramified in F , the results of [Diamond and Sasaki 2023] do not apply, while those of [Andreatta and Goren 2005] are not sufficiently precise for our purposes, as the Hilbert modular forms defined over the Deligne–Pappas model “miss” some weights, and as a consequence the injectivity result of the latter paper is not optimal. In order to tackle this problem, we go back to the root of the problem and work with the Pappas–Rapoport moduli space, which does not miss any weight.

Capitalising on the theory of generalised Hasse invariants developed by Reduzzi and Xiao [2017] in this context, we carefully revisit [Andreatta and Goren 2005] and develop in Section 1E the needed theory of generalised Θ -operators over the Pappas–Rapoport moduli space and prove a refined injectivity criterion in terms of the minimal weights. In particular, we show that the generalised Θ -operators are indeed injective on parallel weight 1 Hilbert modular forms provided their weight is minimal at \mathfrak{p} . By the recent works of Diamond and Kassaei [2017; 2023] (see Section 1C) weight 1 Hilbert modular forms having “nonminimal” weight at \mathfrak{p} could only possibly exist when $p - 1$ divides e_p , and are products of forms of partial weight 0 at \mathfrak{p} with generalised Hasse invariants.

In order to show the vanishing of the space of Katz cuspforms of partial weight 0 at \mathfrak{p} , and thus complete the proof of the last parts of the Theorem, in Section 1D we construct a partial Frobenius endomorphism Φ_{p^e} of this space and show that it is simultaneously injective and nilpotent. Our construction is inspired by the one in [Diamond and Sasaki 2023, Section 9.8] in the case when p is unramified in F . We also compute its effect on q -expansions, which is crucially used in our proof and, in order to avoid having to

switch between different cusps, we only study the partial Frobenius operator of an appropriate power of \mathfrak{p} , rather than of \mathfrak{p} itself.

In the language of linear representations, we prove the following result, which can be seen as a first step towards an $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem.

Corollary (Corollary 3.10). *For every non-Eisenstein maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$ (see Definition 3.8) there exists a representation*

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}),$$

unramified at all primes \mathfrak{q} not dividing \mathfrak{n} such that $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}})) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\det(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}})) = \langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle$.

We believe that our modest contribution to the theory of generalised Θ -operators in the setting of the Pappas–Rapoport splitting model is worthwhile on its own, beyond the application to our main theorem. On our way to the injectivity criterion, we also explore some related themes, such as the relation between Hilbert modular forms defined over the Pappas–Rapoport model with those defined over the Deligne–Pappas model, and the q -expansion and vanishing loci of the generalised Hasse invariants defined by Reduzzi and Xiao. We hope that it bridges the gap between many existing references in the literature and also clarifies some important aspects of the theory of mod p Hilbert modular forms. In the meantime, motivated by geometric Serre weight conjectures, Diamond [2023] extended the techniques of [Diamond and Sasaki 2023] to also construct partial Θ -operators which have an optimal effect on weights in the case where p ramifies in F . Moreover, Diamond [2023] generalised the construction of the partial Frobenius operators (our partial Frobenius operator $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ is essentially Diamond’s $V_{\mathfrak{p}}^e$). Note that Diamond also describes kernels of partial Θ -operators in terms of images of his partial Frobenius maps $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$; see [loc. cit., Theorem 9.1.1]. However, our construction is less technical because we restrict to the Rapoport locus and we only consider the case of weights 0 at \mathfrak{p} .

Notation. Throughout the paper, we will use the following notation. We let F be a totally real number field of degree $d \geq 2$ and ring of integers \mathfrak{o} . We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the subfield of algebraic numbers and denote by $G_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ the absolute Galois group of F . For every prime \mathfrak{q} of F we denote by $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}} \in G_F$ a fixed choice of an arithmetic Frobenius at \mathfrak{q} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of F dividing p . Fixing an embedding ι_p of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p allows one to see the absolute Galois group $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a decomposition subgroup of G_F at \mathfrak{p} , and we let $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote its inertia subgroup. Furthermore, we fix a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p containing the images of all embeddings of F in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and let \mathcal{O} be its valuation ring, ϖ a uniformiser and $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/(\varpi)$ its residue field.

For a prime \mathfrak{p} of F dividing p , denote the residue field of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and the ring of Witt vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by $W(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. We also let $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the residue and the ramification index of \mathfrak{p} , respectively. Let Σ be the set of infinite places of F , which we view as embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ via ι_p . We have a natural partitioning $\Sigma = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains exactly those embeddings inducing the place \mathfrak{p} . For $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we denote by $\bar{\sigma}$ its restriction to the maximal unramified subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or, equivalently, the induced embedding of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\sigma)}$ into $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Furthermore, we let $\bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\bar{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ and $\bar{\Sigma} = \{\bar{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\} = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As

a general rule, elements of Σ will be called σ whereas τ usually designates an element of $\bar{\Sigma}$. In both cases, $\mathfrak{p}(\sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\tau)$ denotes the underlying prime ideal. When either σ or τ is clear from the context, we will just denote this prime ideal by \mathfrak{p} . In particular, an element $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes both an embedding $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and the corresponding p -adic one $W(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Denoting the absolute arithmetic Frobenius on \mathbb{F} by ϕ , we have $\bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\phi^j \circ \tau \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/f_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{Z} \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ for any choice $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For any $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we let $\Sigma_{\tau} = \{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \bar{\sigma} = \tau\} = \{\sigma_{\tau,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$, where the numbering is chosen in an arbitrary, but fixed way. As an abbreviation, we write $\tilde{\tau} = \sigma_{\tau,e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a fixed set of representatives, all relatively prime to p , for the narrow class group of F .

1. Hilbert modular forms in finite characteristic

This section refines the theory of Θ -operators developed by Andreatta and Goren [2005], when p ramifies in F , in the setting of Hilbert modular forms defined over the Pappas–Rapoport splitting models for Hilbert modular varieties with the aim of proving the injectivity of the doubling map in Section 2. Along the way, we will need the generalised Hasse invariants of Reduzzi and Xiao [2017], results of Diamond and Kassaei [2017; 2023] about minimal weights as well as a partial Frobenius operator generalised from [Diamond and Sasaki 2023].

Throughout this section we fix an ideal \mathfrak{n} of \mathfrak{o} relatively prime to p and having a prime factor which does not divide $6\mathfrak{d}$, where \mathfrak{d} denotes the different of F .

1A. Pappas–Rapoport splitting models for Hilbert modular varieties. Since we allow our base field F to ramify at p , we have to be careful with the model we choose for our Hilbert modular variety.

Fix $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. We first consider the functor from the category of locally Noetherian \mathbb{Z}_p -schemes to the category of sets which assigns to a scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of tuples (A, λ, μ) where:

- (i) A is a *Hilbert–Blumenthal abelian variety (HBAV)* over S , i.e., an abelian S -scheme of relative dimension d , together with a ring embedding $\mathfrak{o} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_S(A)$.
- (ii) λ is a \mathfrak{c} -polarisation of A/S , i.e., an isomorphism $\lambda : A^{\vee} \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c}$ of HBAV's over S such that the induced isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(A, A \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(A, A^{\vee})$ sends elements of \mathfrak{c} (resp. of the cone \mathfrak{c}_+ of its totally positive elements) to symmetric elements (resp. to polarisations),
- (iii) μ is a $\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}$ -level structure on A , i.e., an \mathfrak{o} -linear closed embedding of S -schemes $\mu : \mu_{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow A$, where $\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}$ denotes the Cartier dual of the constant group scheme $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{n}$ over S .

Under our assumption on \mathfrak{n} above, this functor is representable by a \mathbb{Z}_p -scheme \mathcal{X}^{DP} of finite type, called the *Deligne–Pappas moduli space*; see [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Remark 3.3] and [Dimitrov and Tilouine 2004, Lemma 1.4].

Suppose now that A is an HBAV over a locally Noetherian \mathcal{O} -scheme S with structure map $s : A \rightarrow S$ and let $\Omega_{A/S}^1$ be the sheaf of relative differentials of A over S . Define

$$\omega_S = s_* \Omega_{A/S}^1,$$

i.e., ω_S is the sheaf of invariant differentials of A over S . Consider the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_S = (\mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_S = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_S = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}), \tau} \mathcal{O}_S. \quad (1)$$

It implies that we have a corresponding decomposition

$$\omega_S = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \omega_{S, \tau}. \quad (2)$$

The sheaf $\omega_{S, \tau}$ is locally free over S of rank $e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Section 2.2]. Note that on $\omega_{S, \tau}$, the action of $W(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}) \subset \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ is via τ . Fix a uniformiser $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$. From the product decomposition above, we get an action of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ on $\omega_{S, \tau}$. Denote the action of $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ on $\omega_{S, \tau}$ by $[\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}]$.

We are now ready to present the Pappas–Rapoport model. Consider the functor from the category of locally Noetherian \mathcal{O} -schemes to the category of sets which assigns to a scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of tuples $(A, \lambda, \mu, (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}|p})$ where (A, λ, μ) is as above and for all $\mathfrak{p} | p$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a collection $(\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i)_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of $\mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, which are locally free as \mathcal{O}_S -modules, such that:

- $0 = \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \omega_{S, \tau}$.
- For any $\sigma = \sigma_{\tau, i} \in \Sigma_{\tau}$, the \mathcal{O}_S -module $\omega_{S, \tau, i} = \omega_{S, \sigma} = \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i / \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{i-1}$ is locally free of rank 1 and annihilated by $[\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}] - \sigma(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Note that the numbering here depends on the one for Σ_{τ} .

This functor is representable by a smooth \mathcal{O} -scheme \mathcal{X} of finite type called the *Pappas–Rapoport moduli space*; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Proposition 2.4] and [Dimitrov and Tilouine 2004, Lemma 1.4].

In order to better understand the relation between the Deligne–Pappas and the Pappas–Rapoport moduli spaces, we recall that the *Rapoport locus* \mathcal{X}^{Ra} is the open subscheme of \mathcal{X}^{DP} classifying HBAV's $s : A \rightarrow S$ satisfying the following condition introduced by Rapoport: $s_* \Omega_{A/S}^1$ is a locally free $\mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank 1. Then \mathcal{X}^{Ra} is the smooth locus of \mathcal{X}^{DP} and its complement is supported in the special fibre and has codimension at least 2 in it. The forgetful map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{DP}}$ induces an isomorphism on the open subscheme $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Ra}}$; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Proposition 2.4]. If p is unramified in F , the different schemes agree: $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Ra}} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{DP}}$; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Section 1].

Let \mathcal{A} be the universal abelian scheme over \mathcal{X} with structure morphism $s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = s_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{X}}^1$. Note that the restriction of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Ra}}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 1 over $\mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Ra}}}$. As abbreviation we write $\omega, \omega_{\tau}, \omega_{\tau, i}, \omega_{\sigma}$ for $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}, \tau}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}, \tau, i}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}, \sigma}$. In particular, for each $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, the sheaf ω_{τ} is equipped with a filtration the graded pieces of which are the invertible sheaves ω_{σ} for $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau}$. In [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017] this is referred to as the *universal filtration*. We point out explicitly that the last graded piece ω_{τ} is a quotient of ω_{τ} .

Next we give, following Katz, a geometric definition of the space of Hilbert modular forms.

Definition 1.1. A *Katz Hilbert modular form* of weight $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$, level \mathfrak{n} and coefficients in an \mathcal{O} -algebra R is a global section of the line bundle $\omega^{\otimes k} = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \omega_{\sigma}^{\otimes k_{\sigma}}$ over $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}} R$. We will denote by $M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)$ the corresponding R -module.

Its R -submodule of cuspforms $S_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)$ consists of those Katz Hilbert modular forms that vanish along the cuspidal divisor of any toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}} R$; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Section 2.11].

As \mathcal{X} admits toroidal compactifications (see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Section 2.11]) which are smooth and proper over \mathcal{O} and to which ω_{σ} extends for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the Koecher principle implies, in view of [Stacks 2005–, Tag 02O5], that $M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)$ is a finitely generated R -module.

Remark 1.2. When the weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$ is *parallel*, i.e., $k_{\sigma} = \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, one also could define a *Katz Hilbert modular form* of parallel weight $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, level \mathfrak{n} and coefficients in a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R as a global section of the line bundle $(\bigwedge^d s_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{X}^{\text{DP}}}^1)^{\otimes \kappa}$ over $\mathcal{X}^{\text{DP}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$. By Zariski’s main theorem applied to the proper birational map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{DP}}$ between normal varieties, this would lead to the same space as in Definition 1.1.

1B. Generalised Hasse invariants. From this point onwards we will work over \mathbb{F} . Let X be the Pappas–Rapoport moduli space over \mathbb{F} , i.e., the special fibre $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ of \mathcal{X} . There is a natural morphism $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{DP}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}$ obtained by forgetting the filtrations. Let $X^{\text{Ra}} = \mathcal{X}^{\text{Ra}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}$. We have the equality

$$\mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | p} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F} \simeq \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}), \tau} \mathbb{F} = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}}), \quad (3)$$

coming from (1). Note that the last equality of (3) depends on the choice of the uniformiser $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$, made in the previous subsection for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, and allows us to view ω_{τ} as an $\mathcal{O}_X[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ -module. If S is a locally Noetherian \mathbb{F} -scheme and A is an HBAV over S satisfying the Rapoport condition, then $\omega_{S, \tau}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}})$ -module of rank 1. Hence, there is a unique filtration on $\omega_{S, \tau}$ satisfying the Pappas–Rapoport conditions given by $x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}-i} \omega_{S, \tau}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$. We point out again that the definition of \mathcal{X} depends on the numbering of the embeddings in Σ_{τ} fixed above, but that X is independent of any such choice; see also [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Remark 2.3].

If $\mathfrak{p} | p$ and $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then suppose the universal filtration on ω_{τ} is given by $(\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i)_{0 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. We now recall Reduzzi and Xiao’s constructions of generalised Hasse invariants h_{σ} given in [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017]. Let $\mathfrak{p} | p$ and $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and assume first that $2 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}$. There is a map $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{i-1}$ which sends a local section z of \mathcal{F}_{τ}^i to the section $x \cdot z$ of \mathcal{F}_{τ}^{i-1} , where the action of x is given by $[\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}]$. Hence, we get a map $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i / \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{i-1} / \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{i-2}$ inducing a section $h_{\tau, i} = h_{\sigma_{\tau, i}}$ of $\omega_{\tau, i-1} \otimes \omega_{\tau, i}^{-1}$ over X . This h_{σ} is the *generalised Hasse invariant at $\sigma = \sigma_{\tau, i}$* ; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Construction 3.3] and [Emerton et al. 2017, Section 2.11] for more details. As $(\omega_{\tau})|_{X^{\text{Ra}}}$ is a locally free sheaf over $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{Ra}}}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ of rank 1, we have $(\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^i)|_{X^{\text{Ra}}} = (x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-i} \omega_{\tau})|_{X^{\text{Ra}}}$. It follows that $h_{\tau, i}$ is a nowhere vanishing section over X^{Ra} and multiplication by $h_{\tau, i}$ induces an isomorphism between $(\omega_{\tau, i})|_{X^{\text{Ra}}}$ and $(\omega_{\tau, i-1})|_{X^{\text{Ra}}}$.

For the case $i = 1$, the *generalised Hasse invariant $h_{\tau, 1}$* is defined as a global section over X of $\omega_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\otimes p} \otimes \omega_{\tau, 1}^{\otimes -1}$; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Construction 3.6] for more details. We let $h_{\tau} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau}} h_{\sigma} = \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} h_{\tau, i}$. It is a modular form of weight $p \cdot \widetilde{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau} - \widetilde{\tau}$.

Remark 1.3. Let A be the universal abelian scheme over X and $\text{Ver} : A^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ be the Verschiebung morphism, where $A^{(p)} = A \times_{\mathbb{F}, \phi} \mathbb{F}$. It induces maps $\omega_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^{(p)}$ and further $\mathcal{F}_\tau^{e_p} / \mathcal{F}_\tau^{e_p-1} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^{e_p} / \mathcal{F}_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^{e_p-1})^{(p)}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_\tau^{e_p} / \mathcal{F}_\tau^{e_p-1} = \omega_{\tau, e_p}$, $(\mathcal{F}_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^{e_p} / \mathcal{F}_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^{e_p-1})^{(p)} = \omega_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e_p}^{\otimes p}$ and the resulting section of $\omega_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e_p}^{\otimes p} \otimes \omega_{\tau, e_p}^{-1}$ over X is precisely given by h_τ ; see [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Lemma 3.8]. Moreover, its restriction to X^{Ra} coincides with Andreatta and Goren's *partial Hasse invariant* constructed in [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Definition 7.12]. In particular, when p is unramified in F , the generalised Hasse invariants constructed by Reduzzi and Xiao are the same as the partial Hasse invariants constructed by Andreatta and Goren.

We will now determine the geometric q -expansions of these generalised Hasse invariants. We will mostly follow conventions of [Dimitrov 2004, Section 8]. Let ∞_c be the standard infinity cusp whose Tate object is given by $(\mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*) / q^{\mathbb{Z}}$; see [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Section 2.3]. Here $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^{-1} \mathbb{D}^{-1}$. Let X^\wedge be the formal completion of a toroidal compactification of X along the divisor at the cusp ∞_c ; see [Dimitrov 2004, Theorem 8.6]. By [loc. cit.], the pull back of ω to X^\wedge is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Choosing an identification

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathfrak{o} \quad (4)$$

one can canonically identify $\omega_\tau|_{X^\wedge}$ with $\tau(\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge} \otimes \mathfrak{o}) = \mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}[x] / (x^{e_{\text{p}(\tau)}})$ (see (3)). A global section of ω_τ over X^\wedge is an element of

$$\left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}} a_\xi q^\xi \mid a_\xi \in \mathbb{F}[x] / (x^{e_{\text{p}(\tau)}}) \text{ and } a_{u^2\xi} = \tau(u)a_\xi, \forall u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, u-1 \in \mathfrak{n} \right\},$$

whereas a section z of $\omega_{\tau,i}$ over X^\wedge is an element of

$$\left\{ x^{e_{\text{p}(\tau)}-i} \cdot \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}} b_\xi q^\xi \mid b_\xi \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } b_{u^2\xi} = \tau(u)b_\xi, \forall u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, u-1 \in \mathfrak{n} \right\}$$

whose q -expansion is given by $\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}} b_\xi q^\xi$ with respect to the choice of basis of $\omega_{\tau,i}|_{X^\wedge}$ corresponding to $x^{e_{\text{p}(\tau)}-i}$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$, $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the geometric q -expansion of the generalised Hasse invariant $h_{\tau,i}$ at ∞_c is 1. In particular, it does not vanish at any cusp.

Proof. When $i > 1$, as $x \cdot z$ is a section of $\omega_{\tau,i-1}$ having by definition the same q -expansion, one concludes that $h_{\tau,i}$ has q -expansion 1, thus proving the claim in that case. In the remaining case of $i = 1$, we observe that the q -expansion of $h_\tau = \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\text{p}(\tau)}} h_{\tau,i}$ at ∞_c is 1 by Remark 1.3 and [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 7.14]. Hence the q -expansion of $h_{\tau,1}$ at ∞_c is 1. Finally, since the $h_{\tau,i}$ can be defined in any level, we deduce their nonvanishing at all cusps from the nonvanishing at ∞_c . \square

We now collect some properties of the generalised Hasse invariants that will be used in the sequel. Let $Z_\sigma \subset X$ be the divisor of h_σ and, in order to shorten the notation, we let $Z_{\tau,i} = Z_{\sigma_{\tau,i}}$.

Lemma 1.5. *The complement of X^{Ra} in X coincides with $\bigcup_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \bigcup_{i=2}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} Z_{\tau,i}$. Moreover, for any $I \subseteq \Sigma$, the intersection $\bigcap_{\sigma \in I} Z_{\sigma}$ is, either empty, or equidimensional of dimension $d - |I|$. In particular, the zero loci of two different generalised Hasse invariants do not have a common divisor.*

Proof. The first claim has been established in [Emerton et al. 2017, Proposition 2.13 (2)]. For the second, if $\bigcap_{\sigma \in I} Z_{\sigma}$ is nonempty, then the tangent space computation in [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 3.10] ensures the correct dimension. \square

Remark 1.6. Diamond and Kassaei also prove Lemma 1.5 and obtain in addition the nonemptiness of the intersection; see [Diamond and Kassaei 2023, Proposition 5.8]. Here we sketch a constructive proof, following ideas of Andreatta and Goren [2003], if $e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ is odd for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$.

Let $A = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{o}^*$, where E is a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F} . We see, as in [Andreatta and Goren 2003, Proof of Theorem 10.1], that $\omega_{A,\tau} \simeq \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}})$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$. Let $\text{Frob}_A : A \rightarrow A^{(p)}$ be the Frobenius map and $H = \ker(\text{Frob}_A)[\prod_{p|p} p^{[e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}/2]}]$. By imitating the calculations of [Andreatta and Goren 2003, Section 8] (more specifically [Andreatta and Goren 2003, Proposition 6.5, Lemmas 8.6, 8.9, Proposition 8.10]), one sees that if $A^{(1)} = A/H$, then

$$\omega_{A^{(1)},\tau} \simeq x^{[e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}/2]} \cdot \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}}) \bigoplus x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)} - [e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}/2]} \cdot \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}}) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \bar{\Sigma}. \quad (5)$$

Note that $A^{(1)}$ is a \mathfrak{c}' -polarised HBAV over \mathbb{F} for some $\mathfrak{c}' \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{o}$ be an ideal relatively prime to p such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}'$ and \mathfrak{c} represent the same element in the narrow class group of F . Let $H^{(1)}$ be an \mathfrak{o} -invariant subgroup scheme of $A^{(1)}[\mathfrak{a}]$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}$ and let $A^{(2)} = A^{(1)}/H^{(1)}$. By [Kisin and Lai 2005, Section 1.9], $A^{(2)}$ is a \mathfrak{c} -polarised HBAV over \mathbb{F} and since \mathfrak{a} is relatively prime to p , we have $\omega_{A^{(2)}} = \omega_{A^{(1)}}$. Endowing each $\omega_{A^{(2)},\tau}$ with the “alternating” filtration between the two summands in (5) yields a point in $\bigcap_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \bigcap_{i=2}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} Z_{\tau,i}$, showing that the latter is nonempty.

If $e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ is odd, then the filtration on $\omega_{A^{(2)},\tau}$ described above is unique. Moreover, as $A^{(2)}$ is supersingular (i.e., its p -torsion subgroup has no étale component), the map $\omega_{A^{(2)},\tau} \rightarrow \omega_{A^{(2)},\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}$ induced by the Verschiebung morphism is the zero map. Hence, we conclude, using the structure of $\omega_{A^{(2)},\tau}$ and the definition of the Hasse invariant $h_{\tau,1}$, that any such point also lies in $Z_{\tau,1}$. Thus, if $e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ is odd for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, then we get a point in $\bigcap_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \bigcap_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} Z_{\tau,i}$.

We illustrate the weights of the generalised and partial Hasse invariants in Table 1, where we let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and write $e = e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ as abbreviation.

One of the advantages of Definition 1.1 is that it allows us to define mod p Hilbert modular forms in any weight $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$, while the definition in [Andreatta and Goren 2005] was missing some weights when p ramifies in F , namely theirs are indexed by $\bar{\Sigma}$, instead of Σ . Indeed, the space of modular forms introduced by Andreatta and Goren [2005, Proposition 5.5] is

$$M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F}) = H^0\left(X^{\text{Ra}}, \bigotimes_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \omega_{\tau}^{k_{\tau}}\right), \quad \text{where } \bar{k} = \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} k_{\tau} \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\Sigma}]. \quad (6)$$

	weights										
	$\phi^{-1} \circ \tau$			τ					$\phi \circ \tau$		
	\dots	$e-1$	e	1	2	\dots	$e-1$	e	1	2	\dots
$h_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e}$		1	-1								
$h_{\tau, 1}$			p	-1							
$h_{\tau, 2}$				1	-1						
\vdots						\ddots	\ddots				
$h_{\tau, e-1}$							1	-1			
$h_{\tau, e}$								1	-1		
$h_{\phi \circ \tau, 1}$									p		
$h_{\phi \circ \tau, 2}$										-1	
										1	-1
h_{τ}			p					-1			

Table 1. Weights of Hasse invariants.

We will denote by $S_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ the subspace of $M_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ consisting of cuspforms, which are defined as modular forms such that the constant coefficient of the q -expansion at every cusp vanishes. If $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$, then for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, let $k_{\tau} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau}} k_{\sigma}$ and define $\bar{k} := \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} k_{\tau} \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\Sigma}]$. We let

$$H_k^{\text{RX}} = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \prod_{i=2}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} h_{\tau, i}^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_{\tau, j}}, \tag{7}$$

where $k_{\tau, j} = k_{\sigma_{\tau, j}}$. In view of the table of weights of the generalised Hasse invariants, for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, the (τ, i) -component of the weight of f/H_k^{RX} is 0 if $1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)} - 1$ and the $\tilde{\tau} = (\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)})$ -component is k_{τ} . Since H_k^{RX} is invertible on X^{Ra} , we obtain the following result.

Lemma 1.7. *The restriction from X to X^{Ra} yields an injection of $M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ into $M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ sending f to f/H_k^{RX} .*

A converse is described in [Lemma 1.12](#) below.

1C. Minimal weights. We recall the notion of *minimal weight* of a mod p Hilbert modular form.

Definition 1.8. We define the *minimal weight* of $0 \neq f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ to be the unique weight k' such that $f = g \cdot \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} h_{\sigma}^{n_{\sigma}}$, where $g \in M_{k'}^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ and the integers $(n_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ are as large as possible.

Lemma 1.9. *The notion of minimal weight is well defined.*

Proof. First note that Z_{σ} is nonempty for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Indeed, this follows from [\[Diamond and Kassaei 2023, Corollary 5.7\]](#). Alternatively, we have shown in [Remark 1.6](#) that $Z_{\tau, i}$ is nonempty for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and $2 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$. Moreover, it is well known that the zero locus of $h_{\tau} = \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} h_{\tau, i}$ in X^{Ra} is nonempty for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$; see [\[Andreatta and Goren 2005, Corollary 8.18\]](#). By [Lemma 1.5](#), the Hasse invariants

$h_{\tau,i}$ with $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and $2 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ are invertible on X^{Ra} . Therefore, it follows that the divisor $Z_{\tau,1}$ is nonempty for every $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$.

Recall from [Lemma 1.5](#) that the zero loci of two different generalised Hasse invariants do not have a common divisor. Let j_σ be the order of vanishing of a Hilbert modular form $f \neq 0$ on Z_σ . So, if we divide f by $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} h_\sigma^{j_\sigma}$, we get the modular form g needed in [Definition 1.8](#). Hence, it follows that the notion of minimal weight is indeed well defined; see also the proof of [\[Andreatta and Goren 2005, Theorem 8.19\]](#) and [\[Diamond and Kassaei 2023, Section 8\]](#). \square

Remark 1.10. When p is unramified in F (i.e., $\Sigma = \bar{\Sigma}$), the notion of minimal weights from [Definition 1.8](#) is the same as the one introduced by Andreatta and Goren [\[2005, Section 8.20\]](#). On the other hand, when \mathfrak{p} is ramified, multiplying $0 \neq f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ having minimal weight k with arbitrary powers of generalised Hasse invariants ($h_{\tau,i}$ with $2 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}}$) yields forms sharing the same \bar{k} but whose weights are not minimal anymore.

Diamond and Kassaei [\[2017; 2023\]](#) define the *minimal cone* by

$$C^{\min} = \left\{ \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \sum_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} k_{\tau,i} \sigma_{\tau,i} \in \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma] \mid \forall \tau \in \bar{\Sigma}, \forall 1 \leq i < e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}, k_{\tau,i+1} \geq k_{\tau,i}, pk_{\tau,1} \geq k_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} \right\}.$$

Regarding the minimal weights for Hilbert modular forms, Diamond and Kassaei prove the following result in [\[Diamond and Kassaei 2017, Corollary 5.3\]](#), when p is unramified in F , and in [\[Diamond and Kassaei 2023, Corollary 8.2\]](#), when p is ramified in F .

Proposition 1.11 (Diamond and Kassaei). *The minimal weight of $0 \neq f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ belongs to C^{\min} .*

The minimal weights allow us to further elaborate on the relation between the modular forms defined by Andreatta and Goren [\[2005\]](#) and those of [Definition 1.1](#).

Lemma 1.12. *Let $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\Sigma}]$. There is a finite subset $K \subset C^{\min}$ such that for every $f \in M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$, there is $k' \in K$, a modular form $g \in M_{k'}^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ and a product of generalised Hasse invariants $H = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} h_{\tau,i}^{j_{\tau,i}}$ with $j_{\tau,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j_{\tau,1} \geq 0$, such that the restriction to X^{Ra} of $g \cdot H$ equals f . In particular, f and g have the same geometric q -expansion at the cusp ∞_c .*

Proof. The result is trivial for $f = 0$. Seeing $0 \neq f \in M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ as a meromorphic section of the line bundle $\bigotimes_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \omega_{\tau}^{\otimes k_{\tau}}$ over X , we let $j_{\tau,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the order of vanishing of f along the divisor $Z_{\tau,i}$ defined by the Hasse invariant $h_{\tau,i}$ for $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and $1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$. As f is holomorphic on X^{Ra} , which intersects every irreducible component of $Z_{\tau,1}$ nontrivially by [Lemma 1.5](#), we deduce that $j_{\tau,1} \geq 0$. Dividing f by $H = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} h_{\tau,i}^{j_{\tau,i}}$ yields a holomorphic section on all of X , i.e., a Katz modular form g in a weight k' which is by construction minimal, hence belongs to C^{\min} by [Proposition 1.11](#). As the q -expansions of all generalised Hasse invariants at the cusp ∞_c equal 1 by [Lemma 1.4](#), both f and g have the same q -expansion.

We next prove that given \bar{k} , there are only finitely many $k' \in C^{\min}$ that can appear for nonzero modular forms in $M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ via the method in the previous paragraph. Since dividing by $h_{\tau,1}$ (for any $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$)

subtracts $(p - 1)$ from the sum of the weights, whereas multiplying or dividing by $h_{\tau,i}$ for $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and $2 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ leaves that sum unchanged, we deduce that $\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k'_\sigma \leq \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} k_\tau$. As in the language of [Diamond and Kassaei 2023], the minimal cone is contained in the standard cone, we have $k'_\sigma \geq 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and the claimed finiteness follows. \square

The finiteness of K in Lemma 1.12 yields the following result.

Corollary 1.13. *The \mathbb{F} -vector space $M_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ is finite dimensional.*

We now further use the work of Diamond and Kassaei to study the minimality of the weight for modular forms of parallel weight one.

Corollary 1.14. *Suppose $f \in M_1^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ is a nonzero Hilbert modular form and k is its minimal weight. Then, for any prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$, either $k_\sigma = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (in that case, we say that the weight is **minimal at \mathfrak{p}**), or $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the latter case being possible only if $(p - 1)$ divides $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.11, we know that $k \in C^{\min}$. By definition of C^{\min} one has $k_\sigma \geq 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and, moreover, if $k_\sigma = 0$ with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$, then $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

We assume for the rest of this proof that $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Denote the weight of the Hasse invariant $h_{\tau,i}$ by $w_{\tau,i}$. By the definition of the minimal weight, there exist integers $n_{\tau,i} \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sigma = \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} n_{\tau,i} w_{\tau,i}. \quad (8)$$

From the description of $w_{\tau,i}$ (see Table 1), it follows that for all $i \geq 2$ one has $n_{\tau,i} = n_{\tau,i-1} + 1$ and furthermore $pn_{\tau,1} = n_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, 1} + e_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It is then easy to find that $n_{\tau,1} = e_{\mathfrak{p}}/(p - 1)$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, showing that $p - 1$ divides $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

The following result, the proof of which will be completed in the next subsection, shows that one can be more precise when restricting to cuspforms.

Proposition 1.15. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of F dividing p . Let $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$ be a weight such that $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $S_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F}) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.7, $S_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ injects into $S_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$, which is zero by Proposition 1.22. Alternatively, if there is a unique prime \mathfrak{p} of F dividing p , then $k = 0$ and Koecher's principle applied to an embedding of the connected scheme X in a toroidal compactification implies that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ consists only of forms which are constant, thus it does not contain any nonzero cuspforms. \square

Corollary 1.16. *The weight of any nonzero parallel weight 1 cuspform is minimal.*

Proof. Let f be a nonzero cuspform of parallel weight 1 and let k be its minimal weight. Suppose the minimal weight k is not $\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma$. Then by Corollary 1.14 we already know that there exists $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ such that $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Moreover, as the generalised Hasse invariants do not vanish at any cusp (see Lemma 1.4), we have constructed a *nonzero cuspform* of weight k , contradicting Proposition 1.15. This proves the corollary. \square

Remark 1.17. Confusion may arise from the fact that parallel weight 1 forms in our sense have weight exponents $e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$ when seen as a modular form in $M_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$ as $\bigwedge^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} \omega_{\tau} \simeq \omega_{\tau}^{\otimes e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}}$ for $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ over the Rapoport locus (see [Lemma 1.7](#)).

1D. Partial Frobenius operator. Fix $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\mathfrak{p}^{ee_{\mathfrak{p}}} = (\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_+$ and $\alpha \equiv p^e \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Also let $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}_+$ such that $p^e = \alpha \cdot \beta$. In order to lighten notation, we let $Y = X^{\text{Ra}}$ denote the Rapoport locus and let $s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$ be the universal \mathfrak{c} -polarised HBAV endowed with μ_n -level structure. Let $\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} = \mathcal{A} \times_{Y, \text{Fr}^e} Y$ be the base change by the e -th power of absolute Frobenius $\text{Fr} : Y \rightarrow Y$. The e -th power of Verschiebung then defines an isogeny over Y

$$\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} \xrightarrow{\text{Ver}^e} \mathcal{A},$$

the kernel of which we denote by H . It is a finite group scheme with an $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ -action. Hence we can apply the Chinese remainder theorem to obtain the direct product decomposition $H = H_{\mathfrak{p}} \times H'_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $H_{\mathfrak{p}} = H[\alpha]$ is the \mathfrak{p} -component of H and $H'_{\mathfrak{p}} = H[\beta]$ is the product of \mathfrak{p}' -components of H for all $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$ dividing p . We now define the abelian variety

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} / H'_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

through which Ver^e factors, leading to an isogeny over Y

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \\ & \searrow t \quad \swarrow s & \\ & Y & \end{array} \quad (9)$$

Lemma 1.18. *The abelian variety \mathcal{B} inherits a μ_n -level structure and an $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ -polarisation λ_{α} .*

Proof. As $\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a p -primary isogeny, the μ_n -level structure on $\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)}$ yields one on \mathcal{B} .

Regarding the polarisation, following a suggestion of the referee (see also [\[Kisin and Lai 2005, Section 1.9\]](#)), we claim that the kernel of the composed isogeny

$$\delta : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}} \mathcal{A}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}} \mathcal{B}^{\vee}$$

equals the α -torsion of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c}$, i.e., $\ker(\delta)$ is α -torsion and has the same order as $(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c})[\alpha]$. As the order of finite flat group schemes is locally constant, it suffices to check this pointwise on the ordinary locus of Y which is dense.

As Ver^e is étale at an ordinary closed point $y \in Y$, its kernel is isomorphic to the constant group scheme given by $\mathfrak{o}/p^e\mathfrak{o}$, whence $\ker(V_{\mathcal{A}_y}) \simeq \mathfrak{o}/\alpha\mathfrak{o}$. Consequently, the kernel of the dual isogeny $V_{\mathcal{A}_y}^{\vee}$ is isomorphic to the Cartier dual $\mu_{\alpha\mathfrak{o}}$ of $\mathfrak{o}/\alpha\mathfrak{o}$. This gives us a short exact sequence of finite flat commutative group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}/\alpha\mathfrak{c} \simeq \ker(V_{\mathcal{A}_y}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c} \hookrightarrow \ker(\delta_y) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1} \circ (V_{\mathcal{A}_y} \otimes 1)} \ker(V_{\mathcal{A}_y}^{\vee}) \simeq \mu_{\alpha\mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow 0.$$

As the connected-étale sequence of any finite flat group scheme over a perfect field splits (see [Tate 1997, Section 3.7]), we deduce that $\ker(\delta_y)$ is isomorphic to the group scheme $(\mathfrak{c}/\alpha\mathfrak{c}) \times \mu_{\alpha\mathfrak{o}}$. In particular $\ker(\delta_y)$ is α -torsion and has the same order as $(\mathcal{B}_y \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c})[\alpha]$. Therefore, it follows that $\ker(\delta) = (\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c})[\alpha]$.

Since $(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c})/(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{c})[\alpha]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} (\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c})$, we deduce an isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} (\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}^\vee$ the inverse of which is the desired $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ -polarisation λ_α . \square

We now verify that the HBAV \mathcal{B}/Y satisfies the Rapoport condition. Recall that $\omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y} = s_*\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y}^1$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y} := t_*\Omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y}^1$.

Lemma 1.19. *For any $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma} \setminus \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the map $V_{\mathcal{A},\tau}^* : \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y,\tau} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y,\tau}$ is an isomorphism.*

On the other hand, if $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then the isogeny $\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y,\tau} \simeq \omega_{\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)}/Y,\tau} \simeq (\mathrm{Fr}^e)^* \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y,\phi^{-e}\circ\tau}.$$

Proof. Let $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ on its \mathfrak{p} -primary component and let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{o}$ be such that its image in $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ represents $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The image of $\gamma' = 1 - \gamma$ in $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ represents the complementary idempotent $r'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1 - r_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As γ' kills $\ker(V_{\mathcal{A}})$, the isogeny $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\gamma'} \mathcal{B}$ factors through $V_{\mathcal{A}}$, yielding a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \gamma' & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y} & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y} & \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{A}}^*} & \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y} \end{array}$$

If $\mathfrak{p}' \mid p$ and $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$, the projection of γ' on the \mathfrak{p}' -component of $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ is 1. Hence, it induces the identity on the \mathfrak{p}' -component of $\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y}$. So the map $V_{\mathcal{A}}^*$ is split on the \mathfrak{p}' -component and hence $\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y,\tau}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y,\tau}$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Recall that both $\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y,\tau}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y,\tau}$ are locally free sheaves over Y of the same rank. Therefore, after passing to their stalks, we conclude that $V_{\mathcal{A},\tau}^*$ is an isomorphism for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$.

Similarly, as γ annihilates the kernel of the isogeny $\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, we obtain an isomorphism between $\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{(p^e)}/Y,\tau}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y,\tau}$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This proves the lemma. \square

We get a \mathfrak{c} -polarisation on \mathcal{B} from the $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ -polarisation λ_α (which is obtained in Lemma 1.18) after identifying $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ with \mathfrak{c} by multiplication by α . Thus, using Lemma 1.19, the universal property of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$ yields a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}, \\ \downarrow t & \square & \downarrow s \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & Y, \end{array} \quad (10)$$

from which we deduce a natural isomorphism $\phi_\alpha^* \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y}$ of $\mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules.

Let $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$ be a weight such that $k_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma 1.19

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}^* : \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y}^{\otimes k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y}^{\otimes k}. \quad (11)$$

Definition 1.20. Let $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$ be a weight such that $k_{\sigma} = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$. The partial Frobenius operator Φ_{p^e} is defined as the composition of the adjunction morphism coming from (10) with (11)

$$\Phi_{p^e} : H^0(Y, \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\alpha}^*} H^0(Y, \omega_{\mathcal{B}/Y}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{(V_{\mathcal{A}}^*)^{-1}} H^0(Y, \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y}^{\otimes k}).$$

We next study the effect of Φ_{p^e} on q -expansions. To this end, we recall the definition and properties of Tate objects. For fractional ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ of \mathfrak{o} such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{c}$ and a cone C in \mathfrak{c}_+^* , we let

$$T_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}} = (\mathbb{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{a}^*)/q^{\mathfrak{b}} \rightarrow \bar{S}_C^{\circ} = \text{Spec}(R_C^{\circ})$$

be the Tate HBAV over the Noetherian algebra $R_C^{\circ} \supset \mathbb{F}[[q^{\xi}, \xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+]]$ (for more details we refer to [Dimitrov 2004, Section 2], where R_C° is denoted by $R_C^{\wedge} \otimes_{R_C} R$). It is equipped with a μ_n -level structure which depends on the choice of an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{a}/n\mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{o}/n . Moreover, the natural isomorphism

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}} : T_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}}^{\vee} = T_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} (\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{-1})$$

endows $T_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}}$ with a canonical $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$ -polarisation. Note that $T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}} = (\mathbb{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{c}^*)/q^{\mathfrak{o}}$ is a Tate HBAV at the standard cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$ of Y fitting, by universality of \mathcal{A}/Y , into a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow s \\ \bar{S}_C^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y} & Y. \end{array} \quad (12)$$

This gives a natural isomorphism $\alpha_Y^* \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y} \simeq \omega_{T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}/\bar{S}_C^{\circ}}$ and further, by adjunction and choice of canonical trivialisations using (4), we obtain a q -expansion map at the cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$:

$$H^0(Y, \omega_{\mathcal{A}/Y}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y^*} H^0(\bar{S}_C^{\circ}, \omega_{T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}/\bar{S}_C^{\circ}}^{\otimes k}) \simeq R_C^{\circ}.$$

Next we describe Ver^e on Tate objects. Define $T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{(p^e)} = T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}} \times_{\bar{S}_C^{\circ}, \text{Fr}^e} \bar{S}_C^{\circ}$ as the base change by the e -th power of absolute Frobenius. Note that $T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{(p^e)} = T_{p^e \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}$ and the relative Frobenius map $\text{Frob}_{T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}}^e : T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow T_{p^e \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}$ is the map induced by the inclusion $p^e \mathfrak{c} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. The p^e -th Verschiebung is the dual of the p^e -th relative Frobenius on $T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{\vee}$, i.e., $\text{Ver}^e = (\text{Frob}_{T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{\vee}}^e)^{\vee}$. We do not identify $((T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{\vee})^{(p^e)})^{\vee}$ with $T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{(p^e)}$ (as is usually done while defining Verschiebung) in order to get the desired maps on Tate objects. In particular, $\text{Frob}_{T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}^{\vee}}^e : T_{\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{c}} \rightarrow T_{p^e \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{c}}$ is the map induced by the inclusion $p^e \mathfrak{o} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{o}$. Therefore, its dual map $\text{Ver}^e : T_{\mathfrak{c}, p^e \mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}}$ is the natural projection obtained by going modulo $q^{\mathfrak{o}}$.

Our next aim is to specialise ϕ_{α} to the Tate objects. It follows from the previous paragraph that the base change of (9) to \bar{S}_C° is given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}} & \xrightarrow{V_T} & T_{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \bar{S}_C^{\circ} & \end{array}$$

where the map V_T is the natural projection obtained by going modulo q^0 . Combining with (10), we get the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{c,\alpha 0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow s \\ \bar{S}_C^\circ & \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y} & Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & Y \end{array} \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, considering the c -polarised HBAV $T_{c,0}$ over $\bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ$ gives a Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{c,\alpha 0} & \longrightarrow & T_{c,0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ \bar{S}_C^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & \bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_Y} & Y, \end{array} \quad (14)$$

where f_α is induced by the morphism $R_{\alpha C}^\circ \rightarrow R_C^\circ$ sending q^ξ to $q^{\alpha\xi}$. We would like to emphasise that αC is considered as a cone in \mathfrak{c}_+^* , hence the dual cone (used in the construction of $R_{\alpha C}^\circ$, see [Dimitrov 2004, Section 2]) is considered as a cone in \mathfrak{c} (and not in $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$). In particular, the morphism $R_{\alpha C}^\circ \rightarrow R_C^\circ$, $q^\xi \mapsto q^{\alpha\xi}$ is not étale.

Lemma 1.21. *Under the notation developed above, $\phi_\alpha \circ \alpha_Y = \alpha'_Y \circ f_\alpha$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by showing that the c -polarisation and μ_n -level structure on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ obtained from the base change in (13) coincide with the ones obtained from the base change in (14). This, along with the universality of \mathcal{A}/Y , implies $\phi_\alpha \circ \alpha_Y = \alpha'_Y \circ f_\alpha$.

As Mumford's construction of Tate objects presented in [Dimitrov 2004, Section 2] is functorial in $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c})$ and C , we deduce that the c -polarisation on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ arising from (14) is obtained from $\lambda_{c,\alpha 0}$ after identifying $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ with \mathfrak{c} by multiplication by α .

We now derive the c -polarisation on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ via the base change in (13). To do this, we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 1.18 to first obtain an $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ -polarisation on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ from $\lambda_{c,0}$. From the proof of Lemma 1.18, it follows that the kernel of the isogeny

$$T_{c,\alpha 0} \otimes_0 \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow T_{c,0} \otimes_0 \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow T_{0,\mathfrak{c}} \rightarrow T_{\alpha 0,\mathfrak{c}}$$

is just the α -torsion of $T_{c,\alpha 0} \otimes_0 \mathfrak{c}$. Here the first map is induced by V_T (the natural projection given by going modulo q^0), the second map is $\lambda_{c,0}^{-1}$, and the final map is induced by the inclusion $\alpha\mathfrak{o} \subset \mathfrak{o}$. Therefore, this composition of maps induces an isomorphism

$$\lambda : T_{c,\alpha 0}^\vee = T_{\alpha 0,\mathfrak{c}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (T_{c,\alpha 0} \otimes_0 \mathfrak{c}) \otimes_0 \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{o} = T_{c,\alpha 0} \otimes_0 \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c},$$

which is the $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ -polarisation on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ induced from $\lambda_{c,0}$. From the description of the maps above, it follows that $\lambda = \lambda_{c,\alpha 0}$. Hence, the c -polarisation on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ via the base change in (13) is obtained from $\lambda_{c,\alpha 0}$ by identifying $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{c}$ with \mathfrak{c} by multiplication by α . Therefore, it follows that these two c -polarisations on $T_{c,\alpha 0}$ coincide.

As $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, the multiplication by α map preserves the μ_n -level structure of $T_{c,0}$. Hence, the μ_n -level structure on $T_{c,\alpha o}$ induced by f_α is same as the one coming from the quotient map $T_{c,p^e o} \rightarrow T_{c,\alpha o}$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now ready to compute the effect of Φ_{p^e} on q -expansions at ∞_c .

Proposition 1.22. *Let $\bar{k} = \sum_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} k_\tau \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\Sigma}]$ such that $k_\tau = 0$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_p$. Then the map Φ_{p^e} defines an endomorphism of $M_{\bar{k}}^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$, sending $f = \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^\xi$ to $\Phi_{p^e}(f) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^{\alpha\xi}$. In particular, the restriction of Φ_{p^e} to $S_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$ is injective and nilpotent, hence $S_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By definition, the q -expansion of $\Phi_{p^e}(f)$ at ∞_c is the image of f under the map $H^0(Y, \omega_{A/Y}^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{S}_C^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,\alpha o}/\bar{S}_C^\circ}^{\otimes k})$ coming from the Cartesian diagram (13), followed by $(V_T^*)^{-1}$. By Lemma 1.21, one can use instead the Cartesian diagram (14). Hence, the q -expansion of $\Phi_{p^e}(f)$ at ∞_c can be obtained as the image of f under the adjunction morphism

$$H^0(Y, \omega_{A/Y}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y'^*} H^0(\bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,o}/\bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{f_\alpha^*} H^0(\bar{S}_C^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,\alpha o}/\bar{S}_C^\circ}^{\otimes k})$$

followed by the map $(V_T^*)^{-1} : H^0(\bar{S}_C^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,\alpha o}/\bar{S}_C^\circ}^{\otimes k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\bar{S}_C^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,o}/\bar{S}_C^\circ}^{\otimes k})$. Since the q -expansion $\sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^\xi$ of f at the cusp ∞_c is independent of a particular choice of a cone, it is given by the image of f under the map $\alpha_Y'^* : H^0(Y, \omega_{A/Y}^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ, \omega_{T_{c,o}/\bar{S}_{\alpha C}^\circ}^{\otimes k})$. As f_α is induced by the map sending q^ξ to $q^{\alpha\xi}$ we deduce that $f_\alpha^*(\sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^\xi) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^{\alpha\xi}$. Finally, as V_T is induced from the identity map on the torus $\mathbb{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{c}^*$, the morphism V_T^* is the identity on the q -expansions, i.e., $(V_T^*)^{-1}(\sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^{\alpha\xi}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} a_\xi q^{\alpha\xi}$, yielding the desired formula.

The rest follows from the q -expansion principle and the finite dimensionality of $S_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$. \square

1E. Refined injectivity criterion for Θ -operators. The purpose of this section is to extend the definition of the Andreatta–Goren operators Θ_τ^{AG} for $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and prove an injectivity criterion refining [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 15.10] when p ramifies in F . Given $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$, by Lemma 1.7 and the discussion after it, $\Theta_\tau^{\text{AG}}(f/H_k^{\text{RX}})$ defines a meromorphic section over X , whose poles lie outside X^{Ra} . A careful study of the order at these poles will first show that multiplication by H_k^{RX} leads to a holomorphic section and further allow us to establish Proposition 1.28 (injectivity criterion). If p is unramified in F , our Θ -operators coincide exactly with those of Andreatta and Goren, and in that case everything that we prove here has already been proved in [Andreatta and Goren 2005]; see also [Diamond and Sasaki 2023].

The construction of Θ_τ^{AG} goes via the Kummer cover. By definition, the ordinary locus X^{ord} of X^{Ra} is endowed with a Galois cover $X(\mu_{(p)})^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow X^{\text{ord}}$ with group $(\mathfrak{o}/(p))^\times$, where $X(\mu_{(p)})$ is the Deligne–Pappas moduli space of level pn . Taking the quotient by the p -Sylow subgroup yields a cover $\pi : X^{\text{Kum}} \rightarrow X^{\text{ord}}$ with group $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})^\times$, called the *Kummer cover*. Let $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normal closure of X in X^{Kum} . It can be described explicitly using the generalised Hasse invariants as follows. For $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, we write $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(\tau)$, $f = f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we let

$$H_\tau = \prod_{j=0}^{f-1} (h_{\phi^{-j} \circ \tau})^{p^j}. \quad (15)$$

It is a modular form of weight $(p^f - 1)\tilde{\tau}$ and X^{Kum} is obtained by adjoining a $(p^f - 1)$ -th root s_τ of it for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$. The nowhere vanishing section s_τ provides a trivialisation of the line bundle $\pi^* \omega_{\tilde{\tau}}$ over X^{Kum} (see [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Definition 7.4]), and by definition of the normalisation, it also defines a section over \tilde{X} ; see [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 7.9]. As

$$H_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^p = H_\tau \cdot (h_\tau)^{p^f - 1}, \quad (16)$$

$H_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}^p / H_\tau$ is a $(p^f - 1)$ -th power, this construction does not depend on the choice of $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_p$.

Next we describe the Kodaira–Spencer maps. By [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 2.9] there is a decomposition

$$\Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}}^1 = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}, \tau}^1 \quad (17)$$

where each $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}, \tau}^1$ is endowed with a filtration whose successive subquotients are naturally isomorphic to $\omega_{\tau, i}^{\otimes 2}$ with $1 \leq i \leq e_p$ for $p = p(\tau)$ in descending order, i.e., $\omega_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\otimes 2} = \omega_{\tau, e_p}^{\otimes 2}$ is naturally a quotient. As the map $\pi : X^{\text{Kum}} \rightarrow X^{\text{ord}}$ is étale, we have $\Omega_{X^{\text{Kum}}/\mathbb{F}}^1 = \pi^* \Omega_{X^{\text{ord}}/\mathbb{F}}^1$, the elements of which we view as meromorphic sections of the sheaf $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}}^1$ over \tilde{X} . Given a section of $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}}^1$, we denote by a subscript $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ its projection onto the τ -component via (17). Consider the surjective map

$$\text{KS}_\tau : \tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}, \tau}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}^* \omega_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Definition 1.23. Let $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} k_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$ and $k_\tau = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\tau} k_\sigma$ for $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$. Let $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$. Recall that $f/H_k^{\text{RX}} \in M_k^{\text{AG}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ (see Lemma 1.7). We put

$$H_k^{\text{AG}} = \prod_{\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}} s_\tau^{k_\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad H_k = H_k^{\text{AG}} \cdot \pi^*(H_k^{\text{RX}}).$$

Similarly to [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Definition 7.19], we further put

$$r(f) = \pi^*(f/H_k^{\text{RX}})/H_k^{\text{AG}} = \pi^*(f)/H_k \in H^0(X^{\text{Kum}}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{Kum}}})$$

where we restricted f and H_k^{RX} to X^{ord} .

Definition 1.24. For $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, we define the *generalised Θ -operator* acting on $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ as

$$\Theta_\tau(f) = \text{KS}_\tau(d(r(f))_\tau) \cdot H_k \cdot \pi^*(h_\tau) = H_k^{\text{RX}} \cdot \Theta_\tau^{\text{AG}}\left(\frac{f}{H_k^{\text{RX}}}\right),$$

viewed as an element of $H^0(X^{\text{Kum}}, \pi^* \omega^{\otimes k'})$ where:

(1) If $\tau \neq \phi^{-1} \circ \tau$, then

$$k'_\sigma = \begin{cases} k_\sigma + 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma_{\tau, e_{p(\tau)}} = \tilde{\tau}, \\ k_\sigma + p & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma_{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau, e_{p(\tau)}} = \widetilde{\phi^{-1} \circ \tau}, \\ k_\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $\tau = \phi^{-1} \circ \tau$, then

$$k'_\sigma = \begin{cases} k_\sigma + p + 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}} = \tilde{\tau}, \\ k_\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will now prove that $\Theta_\tau(f)$ yields an element of $M_{k'}^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$. In order to prove this, we proceed as in [Andreatta and Goren 2005] to calculate the poles of $d(r(f))_\tau$ along the divisors of the generalised Hasse invariants.

Using the trivialisation of the line bundles $\pi^* \omega_{\tilde{\tau}}$ given by the sections s_τ and the generalised Hasse invariants $h_{\tau, i}$'s for $i > 1$, we get trivialisations of $\pi^* \omega_{\tau, i}$ for all $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and $1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau)}$. Using these trivialisations we can view the pullbacks $\tilde{\pi}^* h_{\tau, i}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^* h_\tau$ of Hasse invariants as functions over \tilde{X} (see [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Section 12.32] for more details), whose differentials are denoted by $d(h_{\tau, i})$ and $d(h_\tau)$, respectively (viewed as meromorphic sections of $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\mathbb{F}}^1$).

For $\tau' \in \bar{\Sigma}$, we let \tilde{Z} be an irreducible component of the effective Weil divisor of \tilde{X} associated to $\tilde{\pi}^*(h_{\tau'})$ (see Section 1B). From the construction of \tilde{X} and [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Section 9.3, Proposition 9.4] (see also [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Section 12.32]), we can choose a uniformiser δ at the generic point of \tilde{Z} such that $\delta^{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}-1} = H_{\tau'}$ (see (15) for the definition of $H_{\tau'}$). We fix this choice from now on and let v_δ be the corresponding normalised discrete valuation. For the sake of readability, we will often drop $\tilde{\pi}^*$ from the notation when pulling back Hilbert modular forms, especially generalised Hasse invariants; for instance, we usually write $v_\delta(h_\tau)$ for $v_\delta(\tilde{\pi}^*(h_\tau))$.

We will first calculate $v_\delta((d\delta)_\tau)$, where $(d\delta)_\tau$ is viewed as a meromorphic section of $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\mathbb{F}, \tau}^1$ over \tilde{X} . We also prove some complementary results which will be used in the proof of the injectivity criterion.

Lemma 1.25. (i) Let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ different from τ' . Then $(d\delta)_\tau = 0$.

- (ii) There is a unique $1 \leq i_0 \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}$ (depending on \tilde{Z}) such that $v_\delta(h_{\tau', i_0}) = p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} - 1$ and $v_\delta(h_{\tau', i}) = 0$ for all $i \neq i_0$. Moreover, $v_\delta(h_{\tau'}) = p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} - 1$ and $v_\delta(h_\tau) = 0$ if $\tau \neq \tau'$.
- (iii) $v_\delta(d(h_{\tau', i})) \geq 0$ for all i and for i_0 found in (ii), $v_\delta(d(h_{\tau', i_0})) = 0$.
- (iv) $v_\delta(s_{\tau'}) = 1$, $v_\delta(s_\tau) = p^j$ if $\tau = \phi^j \circ \tau'$ and $v_\delta(s_\tau) = 0$ if $\tau \neq \phi^j \circ \tau'$ for any integer j .
- (v) $v_\delta((d\delta)_{\tau'}) = 2 - p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}.$
- (vi) $(d\delta)_{\tau'} = D + g \cdot (d(h_{\tau', i_0}))_{\tau'}$ where $g = -\delta^{2-p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}} \cdot (\prod_{j=1}^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} (h_{\phi^{-j} \circ \tau'})^{p^j}) \cdot (\prod_{j \neq i_0} h_{\tau', j})$ and D is a meromorphic section of $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\mathbb{F}, \tau'}^1$ such that $v_\delta(D) \geq 0$.
- (vii) $\text{KS}_{\tau'}(d(h_{\tau', e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})_{\tau'}) \neq 0$ and if \tilde{Z} is an irreducible component of the effective Weil divisor of \tilde{X} associated with $\tilde{\pi}^*(h_{\tau', e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})$, then $v_\delta(\text{KS}_{\tau'}(d(h_{\tau', e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})_{\tau'}) s_{\tau'}^{-2}) = -2$.

Proof. Recall that we have chosen δ such that $\delta^{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}-1} = H_{\tau'}$. Hence

$$-\delta^{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}-2} d\delta = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} (h_{\phi^{-j} \circ \tau'})^{p^j} \right) \cdot d(h_{\tau'}).$$

Since X^{Ra} is Zariski dense in X , it follows from [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Lemma 12.34] that $(d(h_{\tau'}))_{\tau} = 0$ if $\tau \neq \tau'$. Hence, we get $d\delta = (d\delta)_{\tau'}$, which implies that $(d\delta)_{\tau} = 0$ if $\tau \neq \tau'$. Now

$$d(h_{\tau'}) = d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} h_{\tau',i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} \left(\prod_{j \neq i} h_{\tau',j}\right) \cdot d(h_{\tau',i}).$$

Since δ is a uniformiser at the generic point of \tilde{Z} , there is a unique i_0 such that $v_{\delta}(h_{\tau',i_0}) > 0$. Note that $v_{\delta}(h_{\tau',i}) = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$ and $v_{\delta}(d(h_{\tau',i})) \geq 0$ for all i . Moreover, it follows from [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 3.10] that $v_{\delta}(d(h_{\tau',i_0})) = 0$. So (ii) and (iii) follow from the discussion above. Combining this with (16) gives (iv). Hence, $v_{\delta}(d(h_{\tau'})) = v_{\delta}(\prod_{i \neq i_0} h_{\tau',i} (d(h_{\tau',i_0}))) = 0$ from which (v) follows and combining this with (ii) and (iii) gives us (vi).

We will now prove statement (vii). Recall that, by [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 2.9], $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}, \tau'}^1$ admits a canonical filtration whose successive subquotients are (isomorphic to) $\omega_{\tau',i}^{\otimes 2}$ with $1 \leq i \leq e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}$. Recall that $\text{KS}_{\tau'}$ is the surjective map from $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}, \tau'}^1$ onto its first subquotient $\tilde{\pi}^* \omega_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}^{\otimes 2}$. On the other hand, by [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 3.10], $\Omega_{Z_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}/\mathbb{F}, \tau'}^1$ admits a canonical filtration whose successive subquotients are (isomorphic to) $\omega_{\tau',i}^{\otimes 2}$ with $1 \leq i < e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}$. Here $Z_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} \subset X$ is the divisor of $h_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\text{KS}_{\tau'}(d(h_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})_{\tau'}) \neq 0$. Since $s_{\tau'}$ gives a trivialisation of the line bundle $\pi^* \omega_{\tau'}^{-2}$, $v_{\delta}(\text{KS}_{\tau'}(d(h_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})_{\tau'}) s_{\tau'}^{-2})$ is well defined. We conclude $v_{\delta}(\text{KS}_{\tau'}(d(h_{\tau',e_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}})_{\tau'}) s_{\tau'}^{-2}) = -2$ by combining [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017, Theorem 3.10] with (iii) and (iv); see also [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 12.37]. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

In order to compute $v_{\delta}(d(r(f))_{\tau})$, it is sufficient to work in the discrete valuation ring obtained by localising at the generic point of \tilde{Z} . Letting $r(f) = \frac{u}{\delta^n}$, with $v_{\delta}(u) = 0$, we have

$$d(r(f))_{\tau} = \frac{(du)_{\tau}}{\delta^n} - \frac{nu(d\delta)_{\tau}}{\delta^{n+1}}. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 1.26. *Let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$ and let $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, n; \mathbb{F})$. Then*

- (i) $v_{\delta}((du)_{\tau}) \geq \inf\{0, v_{\delta}((d\delta)_{\tau})\}$,
- (ii) $v_{\delta}(d(r(f))_{\tau}) \geq v_{\delta}(r(f))$, if $\tau \neq \tau'$,
- (iii) $v_{\delta}(d(r(f))_{\tau'}) \geq v_{\delta}(r(f)) - (p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} - 2)$, if $p \mid v_{\delta}(r(f))$,
- (iv) $v_{\delta}(d(r(f))_{\tau'}) = v_{\delta}(r(f)) - (p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}} - 1)$, if $p \nmid v_{\delta}(r(f))$.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$. The proof of (i) is similar to the proof of [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 12.35] and we reproduce parts of it here. Let B (resp. \tilde{B}) be the local ring of the generic point of $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{Z})$ (resp. of \tilde{Z}). As in [loc. cit., Corollary 9.6], we have $B \subset B^{\text{et}} \subset \tilde{B}$ where B^{et} is étale over B and $\tilde{B} = B^{\text{et}}[\delta]$. Writing $u = \sum_{h=0}^{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}-2} u_h \delta^h$ with $u_h \in B^{\text{et}}$, we have

$$(du)_{\tau} = \sum_{h=0}^{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}(\tau')}}-2} (\delta^h (du_h)_{\tau} + h u_h \delta^{h-1} (d\delta)_{\tau}).$$

Now $\delta^h(du_h)_\tau$ lies in $\tilde{B} \otimes_{B^{\text{et}}} \Omega_{B^{\text{et}}/\mathbb{F}}^1$, which is the same as $\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{B/\mathbb{F}}^1$ since B^{et} is étale over B . Hence $\delta^h(du_h)_\tau$ has no poles and (i) follows. Combining this inequality with (18) and Lemma 1.25(i), (v) gives us the other parts of the lemma. \square

Finally we are ready to prove that $\Theta_\tau(f)$ is also a mod p Hilbert modular form.

Proposition 1.27. *Let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}$, $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ and k' be as in Definition 1.24. Then $\Theta_\tau(f)$ descends to a global section of the line bundle $\omega^{\otimes k'}$ over X^{ord} , and further extends to a section over X , yielding an element $\Theta_\tau(f) \in M_{k'}^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. The descent follows by applying [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Theorem 12.39] to f/H_k^{RX} .

As KS_τ is a surjective map of locally free sheaves with a locally free kernel over the normal scheme \tilde{X} , the orders of the poles of $\text{KS}_\tau(d(r(f))_\tau)$ are less than or equal to the orders of the poles of $d(r(f))_\tau$, i.e., $v_\delta(\text{KS}_\tau(d(r(f))_\tau)) \geq v_\delta(d(r(f))_\tau)$ (see the proof of [loc. cit., Proposition 12.37] for more details). Note that $r(f) \cdot H_k = \pi^*(f)$ has no poles on \tilde{X} , i.e., $v_\delta(\pi^*f) \geq 0$. Combining Lemma 1.25(ii) and Lemma 1.26, we get that $\Theta_\tau(f)$ has no poles over \tilde{X} . Hence, the section obtained by descending from X^{Kum} to X^{ord} extends to all of X and is thus a Hilbert modular form. \square

The effect of Θ_τ on the geometric q -expansions of Hilbert modular forms will be used in Section 2B and can be described as follows. The identification (4), used in defining the geometric q -expansion $\sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+ \cup \{0\}} a_\xi(f) q^\xi$ of f at the cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$, allows one to consider the map

$$\bar{\tau}_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathfrak{o} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tau)}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F},$$

where the middle map is given by the idempotent at τ . By [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Corollary 12.40] we obtain the following q -expansion at the cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$:

$$\Theta_\tau(f) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{c}_+} \bar{\tau}_{\mathfrak{c}}(1 \otimes \xi) a_\xi q^\xi. \quad (19)$$

The proof of our main theorem uses the injectivity of Θ_τ on certain mod p Hilbert modular forms.

Proposition 1.28. *Let $f \in M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; \mathbb{F})$ and let $\tau \in \bar{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Suppose $p \nmid k_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $h_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ does not divide f . Then $\Theta_\tau(f) \neq 0$.*

In particular, if the weight of $f \neq 0$ is minimal at \mathfrak{p} , and $p \nmid k_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, then $\Theta_\tau(f) \neq 0$.

Proof. We follow the proof of [Andreatta and Goren 2005, Proposition 15.10]. Let δ be the uniformiser at the generic point of an irreducible component of the Weil divisor of \tilde{X} attached to $\tilde{\pi}^* h_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ chosen just before Lemma 1.25. As $v_\delta(\tilde{\pi}^*(f)) = 0$, using Lemma 1.25(ii),(iv) (see also [loc. cit., Proposition 15.9]) we deduce

$$n := v_\delta(r(f)) = - \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^j k_{\phi^j \circ \tau} - (p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}} - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} k_{\tau, j} \equiv -k_{\tau, e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence $p \nmid n$ and [Lemma 1.26 \(i\)](#) shows that the right most term in [\(18\)](#) has a strictly lower valuation than the other term on the right hand side. Thus, [Lemma 1.25 \(vi\)](#) shows that

$$d(r(f))_\tau = D' - \frac{nu\delta^{2-p^{f_p(\tau)}} \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^{f_p(\tau)-1} (h_{\phi^{-j} \circ \tau})^{p^j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j \neq e_p} h_{\tau,j}\right)}{\delta^{n+1}} (dh_{\tau,e_p})_\tau,$$

where D' is a meromorphic section of $(\tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}}^1)_\tau$ and the right most term has a strictly smaller valuation than D' . Combining this with [Lemma 1.25 \(vii\)](#), we get that $\text{KS}_\tau(d(r(f))_\tau) \neq 0$. This implies that $\Theta_\tau(f) \neq 0$. \square

Remark 1.29. When p is unramified in F , [Proposition 1.28](#) can also be deduced from [\[Diamond and Sasaki 2023, Theorem 8.2.2\]](#) whose proof is different. Furthermore, Diamond and Sasaki [\[2023, Theorem 9.8.2\]](#) also determine the kernel of Θ_τ in terms of the partial Frobenius operator at τ that they define. Meanwhile, the case when p is ramified in F has been treated in [\[Diamond 2023\]](#). [Proposition 1.28](#) follows from [\[Diamond 2023, Theorem 5.2.1\]](#) and the kernel of Θ_τ is described in terms of partial Frobenius operators in [\[loc. cit., Corollary 9.1.2\]](#).

2. Doubling and Hecke algebras

2A. Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight 1. It is important to distinguish between Katz Hilbert modular forms defined on the fine moduli space and those on the coarse quotient by the action of the totally positive units of \mathfrak{o} . The latter enjoy the good Hecke theory for $\text{GL}(2)$ and are the natural objects to study in relation with two dimensional Galois representations; see [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020\]](#). In this section, we will define Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight building on [Definition 1.1](#). Even though we give a definition valid in all levels \mathfrak{n} that are prime to p , we nevertheless need to consider the following condition (which is stronger than the one we imposed in [Section 1](#)) expressing that \mathfrak{n} is sufficiently divisible:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} \text{ is divisible by a prime above a prime number } q \text{ splitting completely in } F(\sqrt{\epsilon} \mid \epsilon \in \mathfrak{o}_+^\times) \text{ and} \\ \text{such that } q \equiv -1 \pmod{4\ell} \text{ for all prime numbers } \ell \text{ such that } [F(\mu_\ell) : F] = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This condition ensures that \mathcal{X}^{DP} is a scheme on which $[\epsilon] \in E = \mathfrak{o}_+^\times / \{\epsilon \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \mid \epsilon - 1 \in \mathfrak{n}\}^2$ acts properly and discontinuously by sending (A, λ, μ) to $(A, \epsilon\lambda, \mu)$; see [\[Dimitrov 2009, Lemma 2.1\(iii\)\]](#). For any $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$, any \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R , and any parallel weight k , this induces an action of E on $M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)$, whose invariants are denoted by $M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)^E$. The following definition is equivalent to the one used in [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Section 2.2\]](#).

Definition 2.1. If \mathfrak{n} satisfies [\(20\)](#), then the space of Hilbert modular forms over a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R of (parallel) weight $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ and level \mathfrak{n} is given by

$$M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}} M_k^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)^E,$$

where $k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \kappa \sigma$. For a general level \mathfrak{n} , let $q_1 \neq q_2$ be primes such that both $\mathfrak{n}q_1$ and $\mathfrak{n}q_2$ satisfy (20) and define

$$M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R) = M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}q_1, R) \cap M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}q_2, R),$$

where the intersection can be taken in $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}q_1q_2, R)$. Note that the primes q_1 and q_2 can be chosen from a set of primes of positive density and that the definition does not depend on this choice.

For $f \in M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$, we let $\sum_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{I} \cup \{(0)\}} a(\mathfrak{b}, f) q^{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the adelic q -expansion of f , where \mathcal{I} denotes the group of fractional ideals of F ; see [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Section 2.6].

We denote by $S_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ the R -submodule of $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ consisting of Hilbert modular cuspforms.

For $f \in M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$, we will let $f_{\mathfrak{c}}$ denote the corresponding E -invariant element of $M_\kappa^{\text{Katz}}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}; R)$ or, equivalently, its geometric q -expansion at the cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$; see [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Section 2.5]. Recall that when \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20), $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ is endowed with Hecke and diamond operators; see [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Sections 3.1–3.3]. When \mathfrak{n} is not sufficiently divisible, Hecke and diamond operators exist nonetheless because they stabilise the intersection $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}q_1, R) \cap M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}q_2, R)$, where the auxiliary primes q_1, q_2 may be chosen appropriately. When it is not clear from the context, a superscript between parentheses indicates the weight of the space of Hilbert modular forms on which an operator acts, e.g., $T_p^{(1)}$. Since we are interested in torsion coefficients, we let $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O}) = \varinjlim_n M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$, where the inductive limit is taken by identifying $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ with the subspace $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\varpi \mathcal{O})$ of $M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n+1})$.

2B. Doubling. We shall rely on the following lifting result.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20). There exists a $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural map*

$$M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n \rightarrow M_\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$$

is a Hecke equivariant isomorphism.

Proof. The proof of [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Lemma 2.2] works unchanged after replacing \mathbb{Z}_p by \mathcal{O} and p by ϖ^n . \square

We also need a generalisation of the total Hasse invariant modulo ϖ^n .

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $\kappa_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\kappa_n - 1)$ is a multiple of $(p - 1)p^{n-1}$, and a modular form $h_n \in M_{\kappa_n-1}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ having q -expansion equal to 1 at $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$ for all $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, it does not vanish at any cusp.*

Proof. Let $h \in M_{p-1}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{F})$ be the usual Hasse invariant see [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Section 3.4]. For r such that $r(p - 1)$ is big enough to apply Lemma 2.2, the modular form $h^r \in M_{r(p-1)}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O})$ has q -expansion congruent to 1 modulo ϖ at each cusp $\infty_{\mathfrak{c}}$, $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. A big enough power of it satisfies the required congruence relation and condition on the weight. \square

The theory of generalised Θ -operators presented in [Section 1E](#) allows us to prove the following result.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20). Then there does not exist any $0 \neq f \in M_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{F})$ such that f_c has minimal weight at a fixed prime \mathfrak{p} dividing p (see [Corollary 1.14](#)) for all $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and such that $a(\mathfrak{b}, f) = 0$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{o}$ not divisible by \mathfrak{p} .*

Proof. Assume such an f exists. The minimality of the weight at \mathfrak{p} implies that there exists a $\tau \in \overline{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $h_{\bar{\tau}}$ does not divide f_c (the proof of [Corollary 1.14](#) implies that this is true for all $\tau \in \overline{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$). Let $\mathfrak{b} = (\xi)\mathfrak{c}^{-1}$. Then, by definition, $a_{\xi} = a(\mathfrak{b}, f)$ and this is zero unless $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{b}$, in which case $\mathfrak{p} \mid (\xi)$. Thus, it follows that $\bar{\tau}_c(1 \otimes \xi) = 0$. By (19), this shows that the geometric q -expansion of $\Theta_{\tau}(f_c)$ vanishes at ∞_c for all $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$, i.e., $\Theta_{\tau}(f_c) = 0$, contradicting the injectivity criterion from [Proposition 1.28](#). \square

For $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the $V_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ -operator by (see [\[Diamond 2021\]](#), improving on and correcting previous works such as [\[Emerton et al. 2017\]](#) and [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020\]](#), for the definition of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}$)

$$V_{\mathfrak{p},n} = \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle^{-1} (h_n T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)} h_n)$$

with h_n and κ_n from [Lemma 2.3](#). A simple computation on q -expansions (see [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Proposition 3.6\]](#)) shows that $V_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ has the following effect on adelic q -expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} a((0), V_{\mathfrak{p},n} f) &= a((0), f)[\mathfrak{p}^{-1}], \\ a(\mathfrak{r}, V_{\mathfrak{p},n} f) &= a(\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{r}, f) \end{aligned}$$

for nonzero ideals $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ be a prime and assume that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20).*

- (i) *If $f \in S_1(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O})$ and $a(\mathfrak{b}, f) = 0$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{o}$ not divisible by \mathfrak{p} , then $f = 0$.*
- (ii) *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the “doubling map”*

$$(h_n, V_{\mathfrak{p},n}) : S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \oplus^2 \xrightarrow{(f,g) \mapsto h_n f + V_{\mathfrak{p},n} g} M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$$

is injective and compatible with the Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{p}$. The Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ acts on the image by the formula $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)} \circ (h_n, V_{\mathfrak{p},n}) = (h_n, V_{\mathfrak{p},n}) \circ \begin{pmatrix} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} & 1 \\ -\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, the image $W_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ of $(h_n, V_{\mathfrak{p},n})$ lies in the \mathfrak{p} -ordinary part of $M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ and is stable under all Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{p}$.

If $(p-1)$ does not divide $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then the same statements hold after replacing the spaces $S_1(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O})$ and $S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ by $M_1(\mathfrak{n}, K/\mathcal{O})$ and $M_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$, respectively.

Proof. (i) For $f \in S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi)$, the claim is precisely the content of [Lemma 2.4](#), in view of [Corollaries 1.14](#) and [1.16](#). The induction step from $n-1$ to n follows from the q -expansion principle and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \varpi^{n-1} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \rightarrow S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n-1}).$$

(ii) The injectivity follows from (i) applied to the first component of an element in the kernel. The matrix is obtained from a calculation as in [\[Dimitrov and Wiese 2020, Lemma 3.7\]](#). \square

2C. Hecke algebras. For $\kappa \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the following complete Artinian (resp. Noetherian) semi-local \mathcal{O} -algebras

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)} &= \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid np} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_{\kappa}(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n))), \\ \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(\kappa)} &= \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid np} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\kappa}(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n))), \text{ resp.}, \\ \mathbb{T}^{(\kappa)} &= \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid np} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_{\kappa}(n, K/\mathcal{O}))) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)}, \\ \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{(\kappa)} &= \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid np} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\kappa}(n, K/\mathcal{O}))) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(\kappa)}.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Note that they all contain $\langle p \rangle$ for $p \mid p$ since p is relatively prime to n . Moreover, the restriction to the cusp space gives surjective morphisms $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(\kappa)}$ and $\mathbb{T}^{(\kappa)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{(\kappa)}$. We also consider the torsion free Hecke \mathcal{O} -algebra:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\kappa)} = \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_q, \langle q \rangle]_{q \nmid np} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_{\kappa}(n, \mathcal{O}))).$$

Let I_n be the annihilator of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\kappa)}$ acting on $M_{\kappa}(n, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$. Then we have natural surjective ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\kappa)} / I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}^{(\kappa)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(\kappa)},$$

the latter coming from the fact that the intersection $\bigcap_n I_n$ is zero. For sufficiently large κ , both homomorphisms are isomorphisms due to [Lemma 2.2](#). However, this need no longer be true in our principal case of interest $\kappa = 1$ since the inclusions

$$M_1(n, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \hookrightarrow M_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \quad \text{and} \quad M_1(n, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (K/\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow M_1(n, K/\mathcal{O})$$

need not be isomorphisms, in general. The kernel of $\mathbb{T}^{(1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(1)}$ is a finitely generated torsion \mathcal{O} -module, which is isomorphic to the kernel of $\mathbb{T}_n^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(1)} / I_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Recall that multiplication by the Hasse invariant h_n allows us to see $M_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ inside $M_{\kappa_n}(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ equivariantly for all Hecke operators T_q and $\langle q \rangle$ for $q \nmid np$, yielding a surjection $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}$ (see [Proposition 2.5](#)). For a prime $p \mid p$, consider also the Hecke algebra:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)} = \mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)}[T_p^{(\kappa_n)}] \subset \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_{\kappa_n}(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)).\tag{22}$$

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose that n satisfies (20). Let $p \mid p$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a surjection sending $T_p^{(\kappa_n)}$ to U (considered as a polynomial variable):*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(1)}[T_p^{(1)}, U]/(U^2 - T_p^{(1)}U + \langle p \rangle).$$

The same statement holds after replacing $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(1)}$ by $\mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}$, provided $(p-1) \nmid e_p$.

Proof. The injection from [Proposition 2.5](#) gives a morphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)^{\oplus 2})$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras compatible with T_q and $\langle q \rangle$ for all $q \nmid np$ and, hence, we get a surjection $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}, n}^{(1)}$. Moreover, $T_p^{(\kappa_n)}$ acts on the image of $S_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)^{\oplus 2}$ via the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T_p^{(1)} & 1 \\ -\langle p \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, whence it is annihilated by its characteristic polynomial $U^2 - T_p^{(1)}U + \langle p \rangle$ and does not satisfy any nontrivial linear relation over $\mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}[T_p^{(1)}]$, thus

proving the existence of the desired homomorphism. For the surjectivity, let us observe that the image of $S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)^{\oplus 2}$ is contained in the $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ -ordinary subspace of $M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$, and that the endomorphism $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)} + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle (T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)})^{-1}$ of the latter space acts on the former as $\begin{pmatrix} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$. Finally, assuming $(p-1) \nmid e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ allows us to apply [Proposition 2.5](#) with $M_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ instead of $S_1(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$, leading to the validity of the result with $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n}^{(1)}$ replaced by $\mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}$. \square

3. Pseudo-representations for weight 1 Hecke algebras

3A. Pseudo-representations of degree 2. In this section, we recall some definitions due to Chenevier [\[2014\]](#) and Calegari and Specter [\[2019\]](#).

Definition 3.1. Let R be a complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field $R/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{F}$ considered with its natural \mathfrak{m} -adic topology. An R -valued pseudo-representation of degree 2 of G_F is a tuple $P = (T, D)$ consisting of continuous maps $T, D : G_F \rightarrow R$ such that

- (i) D is a group homomorphism $G_F \rightarrow R^\times$,
- (ii) $T(1) = 2$ and $T(gh) = T(hg) = T(g)T(h) - D(g)T(g^{-1}h)$ for all $g, h \in G_F$.

We extend $T : G_F \rightarrow R$ to an R -linear map $R[G_F] \rightarrow R$ and we denote this map by T as well.

Given $g \in G_F$, we define $D(g-1) := D(g) - T(g) + 1$.

The pseudo-representation $P = (T, D)$ is said to be *unramified at \mathfrak{p}* if $D(h-1) = T(g(h-1)) = 0$ for all $g \in G_F$ and all $h \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Any continuous representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$ yields a degree 2 pseudo-representation $P_{\rho} = (\text{tr} \circ \rho, \det \circ \rho)$. The converse is true when the semisimple representation $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ corresponding to the residual pseudo-representation is absolutely irreducible; see [\[Chenevier 2014, Theorem 2.22\]](#). Further, if ρ is unramified outside a finite set of places S , then so is P_{ρ} . Again, the converse is true in the residually absolutely irreducible case. This can be seen by applying [\[loc. cit.\]](#) to the Galois group of the maximal extension of F unramified outside S over F .

We introduce a notion of ordinarity inspired from Calegari and Specter [\[2019\]](#).

Definition 3.2. Let $\tilde{P} = (P, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with $P = (T, D)$ a degree 2 pseudo-representation of G_F over R and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R$ a root of $X^2 - T(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + D(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in R[X]$.

We say that \tilde{P} is *ordinary at \mathfrak{p}* of weight $\kappa \geq 1$, if for all $h, h' \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and all $g \in G_F$ we have

- (i) $D(h-1) = 0$ and $T(h-1) = \chi_p^{\kappa-1}(h) - 1$, where χ_p denotes the p -adic cyclotomic character,
- (ii) $T(g(h - \chi_p^{\kappa-1}(h)))(h' \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$.

Remark 3.3. Note that our notion of \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representations implies the one of Calegari and Specter [\[2019, Definition 2.5\]](#). Let $P = (T, D) : G_F \rightarrow R^2$ be a degree 2 pseudo-representation and let $(\bar{T}, \bar{D}) : G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$ be its residual pseudo-representation. Suppose there exists a lift $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the arithmetic Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} such that the polynomial $X^2 - \bar{T}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + \bar{D}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has *distinct* roots in \mathbb{F} . Then $(P, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation in the sense of [Definition 3.2](#) if and only if it is

\mathfrak{p} -ordinary in the sense of Calegari–Specter. However, if this hypothesis does not hold, then we expect that the two notions are not equivalent.

Let $\bar{P} = (\bar{T}, \bar{D}) : G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$ be a fixed degree 2 pseudo-representation unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$. Denote by $P^{\text{ps}} = (T^{\text{ps}}, D^{\text{ps}}) : G_F \rightarrow (R^{\text{ps}})^2$ the universal deformation of \bar{P} unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ in the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} and consider the quotient

$$R^{\text{ps}}[X]/(X^2 - T^{\text{ps}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + D^{\text{ps}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \twoheadrightarrow R^{\text{ord}} \quad (23)$$

which classifies pairs $(P, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that P is a deformation of \bar{P} unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ and $(P, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is ordinary at \mathfrak{p} of weight κ . The universal ring R^{ord} , classifying deformations of \bar{P} which are unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ and are ordinary at \mathfrak{p} of weight κ , is the quotient of the ring $R^{\text{ps}}[X]/(X^2 - T^{\text{ps}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + D^{\text{ps}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ by the ideal generated by the set

$$\{D^{\text{ps}}(h-1), T^{\text{ps}}(h-1) - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\kappa-1}(h) + 1, T^{\text{ps}}(g(h - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\kappa-1}(h))(h' \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} - X)) \mid h, h' \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}, g \in G_F\}$$

and a direct computation shows that R^{ord} is independent of the choice of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Note that R^{ord} is a finite R^{ps} -algebra. As R^{ps} is a local ring, it follows that R^{ord} is a semi-local ring and all of its maximal ideals contain the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}^{ps} of R^{ps} . After going modulo \mathfrak{m}^{ps} in R^{ord} , it is easy to see, using the description of the ideal from the previous paragraph, that the number of maximal ideals of R^{ord} is the number of distinct $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that (\bar{P}, α) is a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation of weight κ .

Now suppose \bar{P} is unramified at \mathfrak{p} and $\kappa \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$. Then we have

$$\bar{T}(g(h - \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\kappa-1}(h))(h' \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} - X)) = \bar{T}(g(h-1)h' \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} - X\bar{T}(g(h-1))) = \bar{T}(h' \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} g(h-1)) = 0.$$

Here we are repeatedly using the fact that $\bar{T}(g(h-1)) = 0$ for all $g \in G_F$ and $h \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is a consequence of the assumption that \bar{P} is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . Thus, in this case, we see that (\bar{P}, α) is a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation of weight κ if and only if α is a root of the polynomial $X^2 - \bar{T}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + \bar{D}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence, in this case, R^{ord} is a semi-local Noetherian ring with two maximal ideals if the polynomial $X^2 - \bar{T}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + \bar{D}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has two distinct roots and it is a local Noetherian ring otherwise.

3B. Existence of an ordinary Hecke algebra-valued pseudo-representation. We continue to use the notation from Section 2. In particular, suppose that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20). Let \mathfrak{m} be any maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$ (or equivalently of $\mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}$ for some n) and denote also by \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideals of $\mathbb{T}^{(\kappa_n)}$ and $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)}$ defined as the pull-back of $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a $\mathbb{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ -valued pseudo-representation $P_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ of G_F of degree 2 which is unramified at all primes $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$ and $P_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = (T_{\mathfrak{q}}, \langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle)$. In particular, after replacing \mathcal{O} by a finite unramified extension, there exists a unique semisimple Galois representation*

$$\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^{(1)}/\mathfrak{m})$$

unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ satisfying

$$\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}})) = T_{\mathfrak{q}} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}})) = \langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for all primes $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$.

Proof. After enlarging K , we may assume that it contains all the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{T}^{(\kappa_n)}$ acting on $M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O})$. The \mathcal{O} -algebra $\mathbb{T}^{(\kappa_n)}$ generated by the Hecke operators outside the level and p is torsion-free and reduced, hence $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = \prod_{g \in \mathcal{N}} K$ where \mathcal{N} denotes the set of newforms occurring in $M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. As is well known, one can attach to each such eigenform g a G_F -pseudo-representation P_g of degree 2 unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ such that $P_g(\text{Frob}_q) = (a(q, g), \psi_g(q) N(q)^{\kappa_n-1})$ for all $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$, where $\langle q \rangle g = \psi_g(q)g$. Since the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K$ is injective, in view of the Chebotarev Density Theorem, we obtain a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ -valued G_F -pseudo-representation $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ such that $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}(\text{Frob}_q) = (T_q, \langle q \rangle N(q)^{\kappa_n-1})$ for all $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$; see [Chenevier 2014, Corollary 1.14].

Note that $N(q)^{\kappa_n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^n}$. Composing $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ with the surjection $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$, we get the desired pseudo-representation. Finally $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)}/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{T}_n^{(1)}/\mathfrak{m}$ along with [Chenevier 2014, Theorem A] finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ps}}$ be the universal deformation ring of the corresponding degree 2 pseudo-representation $\bar{P}_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\text{tr} \circ \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \det \circ \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ in the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} (chosen large enough in order to contain the residue field of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$). Using the surjection $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ and then passing to the projective limit $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{T}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *For any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$, there exists a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ -valued pseudo-representation $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ of G_F of degree 2 which is unramified for all primes $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$ and $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}(\text{Frob}_q) = (T_q, \langle q \rangle)$. It yields a surjection $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ps}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$.*

Note that for a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)}$, the algebra $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ is in general only semi-local (see (22)). By the main result of [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020], $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} , allowing us to consider the ideal

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{m}, (T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)})^2 - \text{tr}(\widehat{\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})})T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)} + \det(\widehat{\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})})) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)}, \quad (24)$$

where $\text{tr}(\widehat{\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})})$ and $\det(\widehat{\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})})$ are some lifts of $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ and $\det(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$, respectively in $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa_n)}$. Note that the ideal $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ does not depend on the choices of these lifts.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n, \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ be the completion of $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)}$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$. The algebra $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n, \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ then has at most two local components. Let $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}}$ be the universal \mathcal{O} -algebra classifying deformations of $\bar{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ unramified outside primes dividing $\mathfrak{n}p\infty$ and ordinary at \mathfrak{p} of weight 1 (see (23)).

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n, \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ -valued pseudo-representation $\widetilde{P}_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)} = (P_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}, T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)})$ of degree 2 and weight 1 of G_F such that $P_{n, \mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}(\text{Frob}_q) = (T_q, \langle q \rangle)$ for all $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$. It yields a surjection $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n, \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^{(\kappa_n)} = \mathbb{T}^{(\kappa_n)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}]$ and denote also by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ the ideal of $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ defined as the pull-back of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_n^{(\kappa_n)}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ be the completion of $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We have $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = \prod_{g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} K$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ denotes the subset of \mathcal{N} (see the proof of Lemma 3.4) consisting of newforms occurring in $M_{\kappa_n}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{O})_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$. As \mathfrak{p} does not divide \mathfrak{n} , any $g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is an eigenvector for $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ (resp. $\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle$) whose eigenvalue $a(\mathfrak{p}, g)$ (resp. $\psi_g(\mathfrak{p})$) is necessarily a p -adic unit by (24), i.e., g is \mathfrak{p} -ordinary. By a result due to Hida and Wiles, when g is ordinary at all places dividing p , and to Saito

[2009] and Skinner [2009] in general, p -adic Galois representation ρ_g attached to g is ordinary at \mathfrak{p} , i.e., its restriction to G_{F_p} has a one-dimensional unramified quotient on which $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts by the (unique) p -adic unit root $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},g}$ of the Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a(\mathfrak{p}, g)X + \psi_g(\mathfrak{p}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{\kappa_n - 1}$. This implies that $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},g}$ is also a root of $X^2 - \text{tr}(\rho_g)(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + \det(\rho_g)(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, for any choice of a Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{F_p}$. Thus, the pseudo-representation $P_g = (\text{tr}(\rho_g), \det(\rho_g))$ is \mathfrak{p} -ordinary of weight κ_n with respect to $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},g}$ in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Since $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ is a semi-local finite \mathcal{O} -algebra, applying Hensel's lemma to each local component shows that the polynomial $X^2 - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}X + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle N(\mathfrak{p})^{\kappa_n - 1}$ admits a unique unit root U in $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, gluing the \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representations $\tilde{P}_g = (P_g, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p},g})$ for all $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ gives us a $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ -valued \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation $(P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}, U)$ of weight κ_n such that $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = (T_{\mathfrak{q}}, \langle \mathfrak{q} \rangle N(\mathfrak{q})^{\kappa_n - 1})$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \nmid n\mathfrak{p}$. We have $\chi_p^{\kappa_n - 1}(g) \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^n}$ for all $g \in G_F$. Hence, the reduction of $(P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}, U)$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n,\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ is a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation of weight 1. Note that by Hensel's lemma, U reduces to $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n,\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$, since the former (resp. the latter) is the unique unit root of $X^2 - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\kappa_n)}X + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle N(\mathfrak{p})^{\kappa_n - 1}$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\kappa_n)}$ (resp. in $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n,\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)}$). As $N(\mathfrak{q})^{\kappa_n - 1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^n}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \nmid n\mathfrak{p}$, this completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3C. Proof of the main theorem. In the proof of Theorem 0.1 we can assume without loss of generality that \mathfrak{n} satisfies (20), because given any prime q , the Hecke algebra in level \mathfrak{n} is a quotient of the one in level nq . Moreover, since the algebra $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$ is semi-local, equal to the product of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ where \mathfrak{m} runs over its maximal ideals, it is enough to prove the theorem after localisation at \mathfrak{m} .

Recall that in Corollary 3.5 we constructed a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ -valued pseudo-representation $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} = (T, D)$ of G_F , whose image under the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ will be denoted by $P_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} = (T_n, D_n)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives the first row of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ps}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Corollary 3.5}} & \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.6}} & \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n,\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\kappa_n)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Corollary 2.6}} & \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}, U]/(U^2 - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}U + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle).
 \end{array} \tag{25}$$

The morphisms in the second row come from Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 2.6. Combining them, we see that $\tilde{P}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)} = (P_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}, U)$ is a \mathfrak{p} -ordinary pseudo-representation of weight 1.

We now perform the key “doubling” step, as presented in [Calegari and Specter 2019, Proposition 2.10], and slightly improved upon, since the surjectivity of the composed map $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}, U]/(U^2 - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}U + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle)$ will not be used in the sequel. One has

$$\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}, U]/(U^2 - T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}U + \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle) = \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}] \oplus U \cdot \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}].$$

Since $\tilde{P}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ is ordinary at \mathfrak{p} of weight 1, for all $g \in G_F$ and $h \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the following equality holds:

$$T_n(gh \text{ Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) - T_n(g \text{ Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = U(T_n(gh) - T_n(g)) \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}] \cap U\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}] = \{0\},$$

hence $T_n(gh) = T_n(g)$, i.e., $P_{n,\mathfrak{m}}^{(1)}$ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} .

Note that U satisfies the following relations

$$U^2 - T_p^{(1)}U + \langle p \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad U^2 - T_n(\text{Frob}_p)U + D_n(\text{Frob}_p) = 0$$

in the ring $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,m}^{(1)}[T_p^{(1)}, U]/(U^2 - T_p^{(1)}U + \langle p \rangle)$. Indeed, the second relation follows from the fact that $(P_{n,m}^{(1)}, U)$ is a p -ordinary pseudo-representation of weight 1. As the former polynomial is minimal, one obtains the desired equality $(T_n(\text{Frob}_p), D_n(\text{Frob}_p)) = (T_p^{(1)}, \langle p \rangle)$, in particular $T_p^{(1)} \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,m}^{(1)}$. Letting n vary finishes the proof of [Theorem 0.1](#) for $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},m}^{(1)}$.

In order to obtain the theorem for $\mathbb{T}_m^{(1)}$, we replace $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},m}^{(1)}$ by $\mathbb{T}_m^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n,m}^{(1)}$ by $\mathbb{T}_{n,m}^{(1)}$, and $S_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ by $M_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ throughout. The arguments continue to work if we assume that $p-1$ does not divide e_p , which is used in [Corollary 2.6](#).

Corollary 3.7. *Let $p \mid p$. Then $T_p^{(1)} \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp}}^{(1)}$, i.e., for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hecke operator $T_p^{(1)}$ acts on $S_1(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ by an element of $\mathbb{T}_{\text{cusp},n}^{(1)}$. Moreover, if $(p-1) \nmid e_p$, then one also has $T_p^{(1)} \in \mathbb{T}^{(1)}$.*

3D. Non-Eisenstein ideals.

Definition 3.8. A maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}^{(\kappa)}$ (or of $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)}$) is called Eisenstein if the corresponding $\overline{(\mathbb{T}_m^{(\kappa)})/\mathfrak{m}}$ -valued pseudo-representation of G_F is the sum of two $\overline{(\mathbb{T}_m^{(\kappa)})/\mathfrak{m}}$ -valued characters, where $\overline{\mathbb{T}_m^{(\kappa)}/\mathfrak{m}}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{T}_m^{(\kappa)}/\mathfrak{m}$.

We now prove that in the non-Eisenstein case it suffices to consider the cuspidal Hecke algebra.

Proposition 3.9. *The localisation of the natural surjection $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{n,\text{cusp}}^{(\kappa)}$ at any non-Eisenstein maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}_n^{(\kappa)}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the localisation of $M_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)/S_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ at a non-Eisenstein ideal vanishes. By multiplication by a suitable power of h_n which does not vanish at any cusp (see [Lemma 2.3](#)), we can assume that κ is sufficiently large so that [Lemma 2.2](#) applies yielding $M_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) = M_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$. Hence the natural Hecke equivariant morphism

$$M_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O})/S_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow M_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)/S_\kappa(n, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$$

is surjective. The former, however, can be Hecke equivariantly embedded into $M_\kappa(n, \mathbb{C})/S_\kappa(n, \mathbb{C})$ which is well known to be generated by Eisenstein series whose Galois representations are reducible. This proves the proposition. \square

Henceforth we assume \mathfrak{m} to be a non-Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$, so that the corresponding residual Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible. Therefore, by combining [Theorem 0.1](#) with a result of Chenevier [\[2014, Theorem 2.22\]](#), we get a representation

$$\rho_m : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m^{(1)}),$$

unramified outside $np\infty$, and uniquely characterised by the property that for all primes $q \nmid np$ one has $\text{tr}(\rho_m(\text{Frob}_q)) = T_q$ and $\det(\rho_m(\text{Frob}_q)) = \langle q \rangle$. By combining [Theorem 0.1](#) with [Proposition 3.9](#), we deduce that the pseudo-representation $P_m^{(1)}$ is unramified at all primes $p \mid p$ and, by the discussion after

Definition 3.1, we conclude that ρ_m is unramified at these primes as well. Let S be the set of places of F dividing $n\infty$ and let $R_{F,\bar{\rho}_m}^S$ be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}_m$ unramified outside S in the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} . Hence ρ_m induces an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $R_{F,\bar{\rho}_m}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_m^{(1)}$.

As $\mathbb{T}^{(1)}$ is generated by T_q and $\langle q \rangle$ for $q \nmid np$ as an \mathcal{O} -algebra, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.10. *There exists a surjective homomorphism $R_{F,\bar{\rho}_m}^S \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_m^{(1)}$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras.*

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Fabrizio Andreatta, Adel Betina, Frank Calegari, Fred Diamond, Payman Kassaei, Sheng-Chi Shih and Liang Xiao for many clarifying explanations and discussions. The debt to the works of Andreatta and Goren [2005] and Reduzzi and Xiao [2017] is evident.

The authors would particularly like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading, pointing out several inaccuracies and for the insightful comments which helped tremendously improve the manuscript.

The research leading to this article is jointly funded by the Agence National de Recherche ANR-18-CE40-0029 and the Fonds National de Recherche Luxembourg INTER/ANR/18/12589973 in the project *Galois representations, automorphic forms and their L-functions* (GALF).

Deo and Dimitrov would like to thank IISER Pune and ICTS Bangalore, where part of the work was done, for their hospitality, namely during the program *Perfectoid spaces* (Code: ICTS/perfectoid2019/09). Deo was partially supported by a Young Investigator Award from the Infosys Foundation, Bangalore and also by the DST FIST program - 2021 [TPN - 700661].

References

- [Andreatta and Goren 2003] F. Andreatta and E. Z. Goren, “Geometry of Hilbert modular varieties over totally ramified primes”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003**:33 (2003), 1786–1835. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Andreatta and Goren 2005] F. Andreatta and E. Z. Goren, *Hilbert modular forms: mod p and p -adic aspects*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **819**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Calegari and Geraghty 2018] F. Calegari and D. Geraghty, “Modularity lifting beyond the Taylor–Wiles method”, *Invent. Math.* **211**:1 (2018), 297–433. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Calegari and Specter 2019] F. Calegari and J. Specter, “Pseudorepresentations of weight one are unramified”, *Algebra Number Theory* **13**:7 (2019), 1583–1596. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chenevier 2014] G. Chenevier, “The p -adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings”, pp. 221–285 in *Automorphic forms and Galois representations, I* (Durham, 2011), edited by F. Diamond et al., Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **414**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Diamond 2021] F. Diamond, “Kodaira–Spencer isomorphisms and degeneracy maps on Iwahori-level Hilbert modular varieties: the saving trace”, preprint, 2021. [arXiv 2111.10160](#)
- [Diamond 2023] F. Diamond, “Geometric weight-shifting operators on Hilbert modular forms in characteristic p ”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **22**:4 (2023), 1871–1930. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Diamond and Kassaei 2017] F. Diamond and P. L. Kassaei, “Minimal weights of Hilbert modular forms in characteristic p ”, *Compos. Math.* **153**:9 (2017), 1769–1778. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Diamond and Kassaei 2023] F. Diamond and P. L. Kassaei, “The cone of minimal weights for mod p Hilbert modular forms”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2023**:14 (2023), 12148–12171. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Diamond and Sasaki 2023] F. Diamond and S. Sasaki, “A Serre weight conjecture for geometric Hilbert modular forms in characteristic p ”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **25**:9 (2023), 3453–3536. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dimitrov 2004] M. Dimitrov, “Compactifications arithmétiques des variétés de Hilbert et formes modulaires de Hilbert pour $\Gamma_1(c, n)$ ”, pp. 527–554 in *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, I* (Padova, Italy, 2001), edited by A. Adolphson et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dimitrov 2009] M. Dimitrov, “On Ihara’s lemma for Hilbert modular varieties”, *Compos. Math.* **145**:5 (2009), 1114–1146. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dimitrov and Tilouine 2004] M. Dimitrov and J. Tilouine, “Variétés et formes modulaires de Hilbert arithmétiques pour $\Gamma_1(c, n)$ ”, pp. 555–614 in *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, II* (Padova, Italy, 2001), edited by A. Adolphson et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dimitrov and Wiese 2020] M. Dimitrov and G. Wiese, “Unramifiedness of Galois representations attached to Hilbert modular forms mod p of weight 1”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **19**:2 (2020), 281–306. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Emerton et al. 2017] M. Emerton, D. Reduzzi, and L. Xiao, “Unramifiedness of Galois representations arising from Hilbert modular surfaces”, *Forum Math. Sigma* **5** (2017), art. id. e29. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kisin and Lai 2005] M. Kisin and K. F. Lai, “Overconvergent Hilbert modular forms”, *Amer. J. Math.* **127**:4 (2005), 735–783. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Reduzzi and Xiao 2017] D. A. Reduzzi and L. Xiao, “Partial Hasse invariants on splitting models of Hilbert modular varieties”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **50**:3 (2017), 579–607. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Saito 2009] T. Saito, “Hilbert modular forms and p -adic Hodge theory”, *Compos. Math.* **145**:5 (2009), 1081–1113. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Skinner 2009] C. Skinner, “A note on the p -adic Galois representations attached to Hilbert modular forms”, *Doc. Math.* **14** (2009), 241–258. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Stacks 2005–] “The Stacks project”, electronic reference, 2005–, available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Tate 1997] J. Tate, “Finite flat group schemes”, pp. 121–154 in *Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem* (Boston, MA, 1995), edited by G. Cornell et al., Springer, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Taylor and Wiles 1995] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, “Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141**:3 (1995), 553–572. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wiese 2014] G. Wiese, “On Galois representations of weight one”, *Doc. Math.* **19** (2014), 689–707. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wiles 1995] A. Wiles, “Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141**:3 (1995), 443–551. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Frank Calegari

Received 2021-11-11

Revised 2023-07-11

Accepted 2023-09-18

shaunakdeo@iisc.ac.in

mladen.dimitrov@univ-lille.fr

gabor.wiese@uni.lu

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

University of Lille, CNRS, UMR 8524 – Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Lille, France

Department of Mathematics, University of Luxembourg,
Grand Duchy of Luxembourg

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$525/year for the electronic version, and \$770/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18 No. 8 2024

The strong maximal rank conjecture and moduli spaces of curves	1403
FU LIU, BRIAN OSSERMAN, MONTSERRAT TEIXIDOR I BIGAS and NAIZHEN ZHANG	
Unramifiedness of weight 1 Hilbert Hecke algebras	1465
SHAUNAK V. DEO, MLADEN DIMITROV and GABOR WIESE	
Failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields	1497
ASHER AUEL and V. SURESH	
Application of a polynomial sieve: beyond separation of variables	1515
DANTE BONOLIS and LILLIAN B. PIERCE	
Functorial embedded resolution via weighted blowings up	1557
DAN ABRAMOVICH, MICHAEL TEMKIN and JAROSŁAW WŁODARCZYK	