

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18

2024

No. 8

**Failure of the local-global principle for isotropy
of quadratic forms over function fields**

Asher Auel and V. Suresh



Failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields

Asher Auel and V. Suresh

We prove the failure of the local-global principle, with respect to discrete valuations, for isotropy of quadratic forms in 2^n variables over function fields of transcendence degree $n \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Our construction involves the generalized Kummer varieties considered by Borcea and by Cynk and Hulek as well as new results on the nontriviality of unramified cohomology of products of elliptic curves over discretely valued fields.

Introduction

The Hasse–Minkowski theorem states that if a quadratic form q over a number field is isotropic over every completion, then q is isotropic. This is the first, and most famous, instance of the *local-global principle* for isotropy of quadratic forms. Already for a function field of transcendence degree one over a number field, Witt [1935] found examples of the failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms in 3 variables (and also 4). Lind [1940] and Reichardt [1942], and later Cassels [1963], found examples of the failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of pairs of quadratic forms in 4 variables over \mathbb{Q} (see [Aitken and Lemmermeyer 2011] for a detailed account), giving examples of quadratic forms over the function field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ by an application of the Amer–Brumer theorem [Leep 2007; Elman et al. 2008, Theorem 17.14]. Cassels, Ellison, and Pfister [Cassels et al. 1971] found examples in 4 variables over the function field $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$.

Here, we are interested in the failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields of higher transcendence degree over algebraically closed fields. All our fields will be assumed to be of characteristic $\neq 2$ and all our quadratic forms nondegenerate. A quadratic form is called isotropic if it admits a nontrivial zero. If K is a field and v is a discrete valuation on K , we denote by K_v the fraction field of the completion (with respect to the v -adic topology) of the valuation ring of v . When we speak of the *local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms*, sometimes referred to as the strong Hasse principle, in a given dimension d over a given field K , we mean the following statement:

If q is a quadratic form in d variables over K and q is isotropic over K_v for every discrete valuation v on K , then q is isotropic over K .

MSC2020: primary 11E04, 11R58, 12G05, 14G12; secondary 14H52, 14J32, 14J40, 19D45.

Keywords: quadratic forms, local-global principle, Hasse principle, function fields, unramified cohomology, elliptic curves.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *The local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms fails to hold in dimension 2^n over any function field K of transcendence degree $n \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$ other than possibly the algebraic closure of a finite field.*

Previously, only the case of $n = 2$ was known, with the first explicit examples over $K = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$ appearing in [Kim and Roush 1991], and later in [Bevelacqua 2004] and [Jaworski 2001]. For a construction, using algebraic geometry, over any transcendence degree 2 function field over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, see [Auel 2013; Auel et al. 2015, Section 6]. In a previous version of this work, Theorem 1 was proved in the case of complex rational function fields, and left as a conjecture. Though we no longer need to make use of it, in Section 6, we also prove a “geometric presentation lemma” of general interest about the existence of double covers of varieties admitting nontrivial unramified cohomology in maximal degree, which was conjectured in an earlier version of this work and was shown to imply Theorem 1.

We recall that by Tsen–Lang theory [Lang 1952, Theorem 6], such function fields are C_n -fields, hence have u -invariant 2^n , and thus all quadratic forms of dimension $> 2^n$ are already isotropic, thus we provide counterexamples to the local-global principle in the maximal dimension in which they could occur.

We mention that in the case of transcendence degree $n = 1$, where $K = k(X)$ for a smooth projective curve X over an algebraically closed field k , the local-global principle for isotropy of binary quadratic forms (the “global square theorem”) holds when the genus of X is zero and fails when X has positive genus, see Remark 5.3.

Finally, when k is the algebraic closure of a finite field, our methods no longer work. Though one can use other techniques to handle the case of transcendence degree $n = 2$ (see Remark 5.4), proving the failure of the local-global principle for quadratic forms over function fields K of transcendence degree $n \geq 3$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ remains an open problem. Our method relies on proving the nontriviality of certain unramified cohomology classes in top degree, see Section 6. Already for $n = 3$, the existence of threefolds over \mathbb{F}_p or $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ admitting nontrivial unramified cohomology in degree 3 is an open problem related to the integral Tate conjecture, see [Colliot-Thélène and Kahn 2013, Question 5.4].

Our result relies on two new ingredients and one very useful trick. The trick, due to Bogomolov [1995] and outlined in Section 1, is a kind of refinement of the existence of transcendence bases, and allows us to reduce the construction of counterexamples to the local-global principle over general function fields to the case of rational function fields. Next, our construction over rational function fields makes use of so-called generalized Kummer varieties, first considered by Borcea [1992] and developed by Cynk and Hulek [2007], which are constructed as quotients of products of elliptic curves and are birationally double covers of rational varieties. Finally, we prove a new result (Theorem 3.3) on the nontriviality of unramified cohomology on products of elliptic curves, which provides an arithmetic generalization of a result of Gabber [Colliot-Thélène 2002, Appendice], see also Colliot-Thélène [2019].

1. Bogomolov's trick

Let K/k be a finitely generated field extension. Recall that K/k admits a finite *transcendence basis*, i.e., a set of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ that are algebraically independent over k and such that $K/k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a finite extension. The cardinality of any transcendence basis is equal to the transcendence degree of K/k .

A *projective model* of K/k is an integral projective k -variety X whose field of rational functions is k -isomorphic to K . By the classical Chow's lemma, every finitely generated field extension admits a projective model, where the dimension of the model coincides with the transcendence degree of the extension.

The following statement, a refinement of the existence of transcendence bases, can be traced back to Bogomolov, in the course of the proof of [Bogomolov 1995, Theorem 1.1], cf. [Bogomolov and Tschinkel 2012, Proposition 20].

Lemma 1.1 (Bogomolov's trick). *Let K/k be a finitely generated extension of transcendence degree n . Assume that k is infinite and that a projective model of K/k admits a smooth k -point. Then for any prime number p , there exists a transcendence basis $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ such that $K/k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is finite of degree prime to p .*

We remark that by the Lang–Nishimura theorem, see [Lang 1954; Nishimura 1955] and also [Reichstein and Youssin 2000, Proposition A.6], the existence of a smooth k -point on a projective model of K/k implies that any other projective model admits a k -point. The condition that a projective model admits a smooth k -point also implies that any model is geometrically integral and generically smooth, see [Stacks 2005–, Lemma 0CDW and Lemma 056V]. In particular, if k is algebraically closed, then any projective model of K/k admits a smooth k -point.

Proof. As above, since a projective model of K/k is geometrically integral, it is geometrically reduced, and hence K/k is separably generated by a result of MacLane, see [Eisenbud 1995, Theorem A1.3]. Hence, as in [Hartshorne 1977, Proposition I.4.9], there exists a projective hypersurface model $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of K/k . Let d be the degree of X . If $d = 1$, then $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and there is nothing to prove, so we can assume that $d > 1$.

Projection from a k -point in the complement of X (using that k is infinite) yields a dominant rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d . Indeed, it is dominant since the fibers of the projection are the intersections of X with the lines through the point, and such intersections are always nonempty, cf. [Hartshorne 1977, Theorem I.7.2]. Moreover, it is generically finite of degree d since any line through the point cannot be contained in X , hence must intersect X in a zero-dimensional scheme, which has length d . Similarly, projection from a smooth k -point P of X yields a dominant rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d - 1$. Indeed, since P is a smooth point, the tangent space to X at P has codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , hence (again using that k is infinite) the general line in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} through P meets X transversally at P and thus intersects X in a nonempty zero-dimensional scheme of degree d containing P as an irreducible component. Then the general fiber of this projection, which is the complement of P in the intersection of X with a general

line through P , is nonempty (using $d > 1$) and has length $d - 1$, cf. [Harris 1992, Example 18.16]. Since d and $d - 1$ are relatively prime, no prime number p can divide both, hence the associated extension of function fields $K = k(X)/k(\mathbb{P}^n) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be chosen of degree prime to p . \square

We remark that the hypothesis on a projective model admitting a k -point is essential. For example, if K/k is the function field of a smooth plane conic X with no k -point, then there is no presentation of K as an odd degree extension of a rational function field $k(x)$. Indeed, X cannot acquire rational points over rational function fields (see [Elman et al. 2008, Lemma 7.15]) or extensions of odd degree (by Springer's theorem), but does acquire a rational point over its own function field.

We have the following immediate corollary of Bogomolov's trick.

Corollary 1.2. *Let K be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree n over an algebraically closed field k . Then there exists a transcendence basis $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ such that $K/k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is of odd degree.*

With this in mind, we now explain how Springer's theorem allows us to reduce the construction of counterexamples to the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over general function fields to the case of rational function fields.

Proposition 1.3. *Let q be a nondegenerate quadratic form over a field K' and let K/K' be a finite extension of odd degree. If q is a counterexample to the local-global principle for isotropy over K' , then q_K is such a counterexample over K .*

Proof. By Springer's theorem, since q is anisotropic over K' and K/K' has odd degree, then q_K is anisotropic over K . To show that q_K is locally isotropic over K , let v be a discrete valuation on K , which then lies over a discrete valuation v' on K' . Since the completion K_v is a finite extension of the completion $K'_{v'}$ and since q is isotropic over $K'_{v'}$, we see that q_K is isotropic over K_v . \square

2. Unramified cohomology of function fields

We now recall the notion of unramified cohomology, introduced in [Colliot-Thélène and Ojanguren 1989], restricting ourselves to mod 2 coefficients. Readers should consult the excellent survey [Colliot-Thélène 1995] for further details. Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and K/k be a finitely generated extension. By a *discrete valuation* v on K/k we mean a rank 1 discrete valuation v on K that is trivial on k .

For each discrete valuation v on K/k with residue field $\kappa(v)$, recall the residue map in Galois cohomology

$$\partial_v : H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\kappa(v), \mu_2^{\otimes n-1})$$

which arises from the Gysin sequence associated to the closed point in the spectrum of the valuation ring R_v of v , see [Colliot-Thélène 1995, Section 3.3]. The residue map is uniquely determined by the property that $\partial_v((u_1) \cdots (fu_{n-1}) \cdot (\pi_v)) = (\bar{u}_1) \cdots (\bar{u}_{n-1})$, where π_v is a uniformizer and u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are units of R_v , and \bar{u} means the image of a unit in $\kappa(v)$. The degree n unramified cohomology of K/k is defined

by

$$H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) = \bigcap_v \ker(\partial_v : H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\kappa(v), \mu_2^{\otimes n-1}))$$

where the intersection ranges over all discrete valuations v on K/k . We say that an element $\alpha \in H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is *unramified* if it belongs to $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$.

We recall two results about discrete valuations on rational function fields that will be useful later.

- Proposition 2.1.** (a) *Let k be a field and $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a rational function field over k with $n \geq 1$. For each $1 \leq m \leq n$, there exists a discrete valuation v on K/k satisfying $v(x_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $v(x_i) = 0$ for all $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$.*
- (b) *Let k_0 be a field with a discrete valuation v_0 and residue field κ_0 . Then there exists a discrete valuation v on the rational function field $K_0 = k_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, extending v_0 on k_0 , and with residue field $\kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*

Proof. For (a), let A be the localization of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ at the prime ideal (x_1, \dots, x_m) . Then $R = A[y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}]/(x_m - x_1y_1, \dots, x_m - x_{m-1}y_{m-1})$ is an integral domain with field of fractions isomorphic to K . Furthermore, the ideal \mathfrak{p} of R generated by the images of x_1, \dots, x_m is a prime ideal and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation ring. The valuation on K/k given by this discrete valuation ring has the required properties. Geometrically, this corresponds to blowing up the model \mathbb{P}^n of K/k along the linear subspace defined by $x_1 = \dots = x_m = 0$.

For (b), letting $R_0 \subset k_0$ be the valuation ring of v_0 and π_0 a uniformizer, we take the discrete valuation v on K_0 associated to the prime ideal in $R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset K_0$ generated by π_0 . By construction, the residue field of v on K_0 is $\kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Geometrically, this corresponds to the special fiber of the model $\mathbb{P}_{R_0}^n$. □

3. Generalized Kummer varieties

In this section, we review a construction, considered in the context of modular Calabi–Yau varieties [Cynk and Hulek 2007, Section 2; Cynk and Schütt 2009], of a generalized Kummer variety attached to a product of elliptic curves. This recovers, in dimension 2, the Kummer K3 surface associated to a decomposable abelian surface, and in dimension 3, a class of Calabi–Yau threefolds of CM type considered by Borcea [1992, Section 3]. We also prove some results about the unramified cohomology groups in top degree of products of elliptic curves and their associated generalized Kummer varieties.

Let E_1, \dots, E_n be elliptic curves over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$ and let $Y = E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$. Let σ_i denote the negation automorphism on E_i and $E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the associated quotient branched double cover. We extend each σ_i to an automorphism of Y by acting trivially on each E_j for $j \neq i$; the subgroup $G \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$ they generate is an elementary abelian 2-group. Consider the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where Π is defined by sending each σ_i to 1. Then the product of the double covers $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the quotient by G and we denote by $Y \rightarrow X$ the quotient by the subgroup H . The intermediate quotient $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a double cover, branched over a reducible divisor of type $(4, \dots, 4)$. For $n = 2$, this divisor is the union of 4 vertical fibers and 4 horizontal fibers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ meeting in 16 points.

We point out that X is a singular degeneration of smooth Calabi–Yau varieties that (geometrically) admits a smooth Calabi–Yau model, see [Cynk and Hulek 2007, Corollary 2.3; Cynk and Schütt 2009, Section 4]. For $n = 2$, the minimal resolution of X is indeed isomorphic to the Kummer K3 surface $\text{Kum}(E_1 \times E_2)$.

Given nontrivial classes $\gamma_i \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_i, \mu_2)$, we consider the cup product

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \in H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \tag{1}$$

and its image in $H_{\text{ur}}^n(k(Y)/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ under restriction to the generic point. These classes have been studied in [Colliot-Thélène 2002]. We remark that γ is in the image of the restriction map

$$H^n(k(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1), \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^n(k(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$$

in Galois cohomology since each γ_i is in the image of the restriction map $H^1(k(\mathbb{P}^1), \mu_2) \rightarrow H^1(k(E_i), \mu_2)$.

We make this more explicit as follows. Corresponding to each double cover $E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, choose a Weierstrass equation in Legendre form

$$y_i^2 = x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - \lambda_i) \tag{2}$$

where x_i is a coordinate on \mathbb{P}^1 and $\lambda_i \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$, see [Silverman 1986, III.1.7]. Then the branched double cover $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is birationally defined by the equation

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - \lambda_i) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tag{3}$$

where $y = y_1 \cdots y_n$ in $k(Y)$, see [Cynk and Schütt 2009, Section 3]. Up to an automorphism, we can, and henceforth will, choose the Legendre forms so that the image of γ_i under the map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_i, \mu_2) \rightarrow H^1(k(E_i), \mu_2)$ coincides with the square class $(x_i) \in k(E_i)/k(E_i)^{\times 2} = H^1(k(E_i), \mu_2)$ of the rational function x_i , which is then visibly in the image of the restriction map $H^1(k(\mathbb{P}^1), \mu_2) \rightarrow H^1(k(E_i), \mu_2)$. Hence we see that the (ramified) cup product class $\xi = (x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(k(x_1, \dots, x_n), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$, restricts to the unramified class $\gamma \in H_{\text{ur}}^n(k(Y)/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$.

The first main result of this section is that the class ξ already restricts to an unramified class over the quadratic extension $k(X)$. We prove a more general result that can be viewed as a higher dimensional generalization of [Colliot-Thélène 1995, Section 1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a rational function field over k . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $f_i(x_i) \in k[x_i]$ be polynomials of even degree satisfying $f_i(0) \neq 0$, and let $f = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i f_i(x_i)$. Then the restriction of the class $\xi = (x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ to $H^n(K(\sqrt{f}), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is unramified with respect to all discrete valuations.*

Proof. Let $L = K(\sqrt{f})$ and v a discrete valuation on L with valuation ring R , maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and residue field κ . Write ξ_L for the restriction of ξ to $H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$.

Suppose $v(x_i) < 0$ for some i . Let d_i be the degree of f_i and consider the reciprocal polynomial $f_i^*(x_i) = x_i^{d_i} f_i(\frac{1}{x_i})$, so that $x_i f_i(x_i) = x_i^{d_i+1} \cdot \frac{1}{x_i} f_i^*(\frac{1}{x_i})$. Since d_i is even, we have that the polynomials $x_i f_i(x_i)$ and $\frac{1}{x_i} f_i^*(\frac{1}{x_i})$ have the same class in $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$.

Thus, up to replacing, for all i with $v(x_i) < 0$, the polynomial f_i by f_i^* in the definition of f and replacing x_i by $\frac{1}{x_i}$, we can assume that $v(x_i) \geq 0$ for all i without changing the extension L/K . Hence $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset R_v$.

Consider $\mathfrak{p} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap \mathfrak{m}$. Then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ whose residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is a subfield of κ . Let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of K at \mathfrak{p} and L_v the completion of L at v . Then $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subfield of L_v .

If $v(x_i) = 0$ for all i , then ξ_L is unramified at v . So suppose that $v(x_i) \neq 0$ for some i . By reindexing x_1, \dots, x_n , we assume that there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $v(x_i) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $v(x_i) = 0$ for $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., we have $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \notin \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, the transcendence degree of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ over k is $\leq n - m$.

First, suppose $f_i(x_i) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $f_i(x_i)$ is a product of linear factors in $k[x_i]$, we have that $x_i - a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $a_i \in k$, with $a_i \neq 0$ since $f_i(0) \neq 0$. Thus the image of x_i in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to a_i and hence is a square in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, x_i is a square in L_v , thus ξ_L is trivial (hence unramified) at v , cf. [Colliot-Thélène and Ojanguren 1989, Proposition 1.4].

Now, suppose that $f_i(x_i) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, we see that since $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $f_i(0) \neq 0$, we have $f_i(x_i) \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Consequently, we can assume that $f = x_1 \cdots x_m u$ for some $u \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. We remark that $f = x_1 \cdots x_m u$ is a square in L , so that $(x_1 \cdots x_m) = (u)$ in $H^1(L, \mu_2)$.

For $m = 1$, we see that $\xi_L = (u) \cdot (x_2) \cdots (x_n)$ is unramified at v since u and x_2, \dots, x_n are units at v .

For $m > 1$, a computation with symbols

$$(x_1) \cdots (x_m) = (x_1) \cdots (x_{m-1}) \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_m) = (x_1) \cdots (x_{m-1}) \cdot (u) \in H^m(L, \mu_2^{\otimes m})$$

shows that $\xi_L = (x_1) \cdots (x_{m-1}) \cdot (u) \cdot (x_{m+1}) \cdots (x_n)$. Since u and x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n are units at v , computing with the Galois cohomology residue homomorphism $\partial_v : H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\kappa(v), \mu_2^{\otimes n-1})$ from Section 2 shows that

$$\partial_v((x_1) \cdots (x_{m-1}) \cdot (u) \cdot (x_{m+1}) \cdots (x_n)) = \alpha \cdot (\bar{u}) \cdot (\bar{x}_{m+1}) \cdots (\bar{x}_n)$$

for some $\alpha \in H^{m-2}(\kappa(v), \mu_2^{\otimes m-2})$, where for any $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we write \bar{h} for the image of h in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \kappa$. Since the transcendence degree of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ over k is $\leq n - m$ and k is algebraically closed, we have that $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ has 2-cohomological dimension $\leq n - m$ by [Serre 2002, II.4.2 Proposition 11], so that $H^{n-m+1}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), \mu_2^{\otimes n-m+1}) = 0$. Since $\bar{u}, \bar{x}_i \in \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$, we then have that $(\bar{u}) \cdot (\bar{x}_{m+1}) \cdots (\bar{x}_n)$ is trivial. In particular, $\partial_v(\xi_L)$ is trivial, and hence ξ_L is unramified at v . Finally, we have shown that the restriction ξ_L is unramified at all discrete valuations on L . □

As an immediate consequence, we deduce the fact that the class ξ restricts to an unramified class over $k(X) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\sqrt{f})$, where f is as in (3).

Proposition 3.2. *Let E_1, \dots, E_n be elliptic curves over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, given in the Legendre form (2), with $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then the restriction of the class $\xi = (x_1) \cdots (x_n)$ in $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ to $H^n(k(X), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is unramified at all discrete valuations.*

This unramified class on $k(X)$ restricts to the class γ on $k(Y)$ in (1), so without loss of generality, we will also call it γ . Finally, we will need conditions ensuring that our class γ is nontrivial over $k(X)$. For this, we must choose the elliptic curves E_1, \dots, E_n more carefully, and we will then show that γ is nontrivial over $k(Y)$, hence is nontrivial over $k(X)$. We proceed as follows.

First, we choose a subfield $k_0 \subset k$ admitting a discrete valuation v_0 . This is possible unless k is the algebraic closure of a finite field; this is why we must henceforth assume that k is not the algebraic closure of a finite field. Then we choose E_i defined over k_0 with Weierstrass equation (2) satisfying $v_0(\lambda_i) > 0$. Finally, we appeal to the following arithmetic version, which was inspired by Bogomolov [1992, Section 7], of a result of Gabber [Colliot-Thélène 2002, Appendice].

Theorem 3.3. *Let k_0 be a field with a discrete valuation v_0 whose residue field has characteristic $\neq 2$. Let E_1, \dots, E_n be elliptic curves over k_0 given in the Legendre form (2), with $v_0(\lambda_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $Y = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ and k/k_0 be an algebraically closed extension. Then the class $\gamma \in H^n(k(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ in (1) is nontrivial.*

Proof. Let $K_0 = k_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let γ_0 be the restriction of the class $\xi_0 = (x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(K_0, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ to $H^n(k_0(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Letting κ_0 be the residue field of v_0 , by Proposition 2.1(b) we can extend v_0 to a discrete valuation on K_0 with residue field $\kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We remark that each $x_i \in K_0$ is a unit with respect to this valuation. Since $k_0(Y)/K_0$ is a finite separable extension, we can further extend this valuation to a discrete valuation \tilde{v} on $k_0(Y)$. Writing

$$k_0(Y) = k_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\sqrt{x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - \lambda_1)}, \dots, \sqrt{x_n(x_n - 1)(x_n - \lambda_n)})$$

then since $\tilde{v}(\lambda_i) > 0$ and $\tilde{v}(x_i) = 0$ for all i , we have that the residue field of \tilde{v} is

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\sqrt{x_1 - 1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_n - 1}).$$

Since each x_i is a unit at \tilde{v} , the class γ_0 is unramified at \tilde{v} , and has specialization $\tilde{\xi}_0 = (x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(\tilde{\kappa}, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$.

We now argue that $\tilde{\xi}_0$ is nontrivial, hence that γ_0 is nontrivial. To this end, by Proposition 2.1(a) there is a valuation v_n on $\kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $v_n(x_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $v_n(x_n) = 1$, and we denote by \tilde{v}_n an extension to $\tilde{\kappa}$, which is separable over $\kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and unramified at \tilde{v}_n . Thus \tilde{v}_n is trivial on the subfield

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_0(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})(\sqrt{x_1 - 1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_{n-1} - 1})$$

and satisfies $\tilde{v}_n(x_n) = 1$. Then the residue field of \tilde{v}_n is $\tilde{\kappa}_n(\sqrt{-1})$ and the residue of the class $\tilde{\xi}_0$ at \tilde{v}_n is simply $(x_1) \cdots (x_{n-1})$. Repeatedly taking residues using this process, we arrive at the class $(x_1) \in H^1(\kappa_0(x_1)(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{x_1-1}), \mu_2)$, which is nontrivial, hence $\tilde{\xi}_0$ is nontrivial. Thus $\gamma_0 \in H^n(k_0(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is nontrivial.

Now let k/k_0 be any algebraically closed field extension and let \bar{k}_0 be the algebraic closure of k_0 in k . First, we show that the restriction of γ_0 to $H^n(\bar{k}_0(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is nontrivial. This is equivalent to the restriction of γ_0 to $H^n(l_0(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ being nontrivial for every finite algebraic extension l_0/k_0 . Letting w_0 be an extension of v_0 to l_0 , we still have that $w_0(\lambda_i) > 0$ for all i , so we can apply what we have already proved. Second, since γ_0 is unramified, its restriction to $H^n(\bar{k}_0(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ and further to $H^n(k(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$, remains unramified and coincides with the class γ . Then we can appeal to the rigidity property for unramified cohomology, which implies that the restriction map $H_{\text{ur}}^n(\bar{k}_0(Y)/\bar{k}, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(k(Y)/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is an isomorphism, see [Colliot-Thélène 1995, Section 4.4], showing that γ is nontrivial. \square

Additional aspects and applications of the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.3 will be the subject of forthcoming work [Auel and Suresh 2023]. In particular, $\mu_2^{\otimes n}$ coefficients can be replaced by $\mu_\ell^{\otimes n}$ coefficients for any positive integer ℓ prime to the residue characteristic of k_0 . We content ourselves with giving one application here, which is a new proof of (a generalization of) Gabber’s result [Colliot-Thélène 2002, Appendice].

Corollary 3.4. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and K/k an algebraically closed extension. Let E_1, \dots, E_n be elliptic curves over K whose j -invariants are algebraically independent over k . Let $Y = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$. Then the class $\gamma \in H^n(K(Y), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ in (1) is nontrivial.*

Proof. Since K is algebraically closed, each elliptic curve E_i can be put into Legendre form (2). Hence Y is defined over the field $k_0 = k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Since the j -invariant of E_i is a rational function in λ_i , the algebraic independence of $j(E_1), \dots, j(E_n)$ over k implies the algebraic independence of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ over k . By Proposition 2.1(a), there exists a discrete valuation v_0 on k_0 such that $v_0(\lambda_i) > 0$ for all i , and then we can apply Theorem 3.3. \square

4. Hyperbolicity over a quadratic extension

Let K be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. We will need the following result about isotropy of quadratic forms, generalizing a well-known result in the dimension four case, see [Scharlau 1985, Chapter 2, Lemma 14.2].

Proposition 4.1. *Let q be a quadratic form over K of dimension divisible by 4 and discriminant d , and let $L = K(\sqrt{d})$. If q is hyperbolic over L then q is isotropic over K .*

Proof. If $d \in K^{\times 2}$, then $K = L$ and there is nothing to prove, so suppose $d \notin K^{\times 2}$. To get a contradiction, we will assume q is anisotropic. Since q_L is hyperbolic, we then have $q \simeq \langle 1, -d \rangle \otimes q_1$ for some quadratic form q_1 over K , see [Scharlau 1985, Chapter 2, Theorem 5.2]. Since the dimension of q is divisible by four, the dimension of q_1 is divisible by two, and a computation of the discriminant shows that $d \in K^{\times 2}$, which is a contradiction. \square

For $n \geq 1$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K^\times$, recall the n -fold Pfister form

$$\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle$$

and the associated symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ in the Galois cohomology group $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Then $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ is hyperbolic if and only if $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ is isotropic if and only if $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ is trivial. For the fact that isotropic Pfister forms are hyperbolic, see [Scharlau 1985, Chapter 4, Corollary 1.5]. The fact that the triviality of $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ implies the hyperbolicity of $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ is a consequence of the Milnor conjectures for the Witt group, as proved by Voevodsky [2003] and Orlov, Vishik, Voevodsky [Orlov et al. 2007].

For $d \in K^\times$ and $n \geq 2$, we will consider quadratic forms of discriminant d related to n -fold Pfister forms, as follows. Write $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ as $q_0 \perp \langle(-1)^n a_1 \cdots a_n\rangle$, then define

$$\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; d \rangle\rangle = q_0 \perp \langle(-1)^n a_1 \cdots a_n d\rangle.$$

For example:

$$\langle\langle a; d \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -ad \rangle,$$

$$\langle\langle a, b; d \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a, -b, abd \rangle,$$

$$\langle\langle a, b, c; d \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a, -b, -c, ab, ac, bc, -abcd \rangle,$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. We remark that every quadratic form of dimension 4 is similar to one of this type. We also remark that $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; d \rangle\rangle$ becomes isomorphic to $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ over $K(\sqrt{d})$. In general, these quadratic forms are examples of *twisted Pfister forms* in the sense of Hoffmann [1996].

Proposition 4.2. *Assume $n \geq 2$. If $q = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; d \rangle\rangle$ and $L = K(\sqrt{d})$ then q is isotropic if and only if q_L is isotropic if and only if $(a_1) \cdots (a_n) \in H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is trivial.*

Proof. If q is isotropic then q_L is isotropic. If q_L is isotropic, then as mentioned above, it is hyperbolic as it is a Pfister form, hence by Proposition 4.1 (since q has dimension 2^n and $n \geq 2$), q is isotropic over K . As previously mentioned above (and consequence of the Milnor conjectures), $(a_1) \cdots (a_n) \in H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is trivial if and only if the Pfister form q_L is isotropic. \square

This generalizes a well-known result about quadratic forms of dimension 4, see [Scharlau 1985, Chapter 2, Lemma 14.2].

5. Failure of the local global principle

In this section, we prove our main Theorem 1 by providing a construction of quadratic forms over function fields that are locally isotropic yet globally anisotropic. First we prove a general result about the generalized Pfister forms in Section 4.

Proposition 5.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and K/k a finitely generated extension of transcendence degree $n \geq 2$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, d \in K^\times$ be such that the symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ in $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ becomes unramified over $L = K(\sqrt{d})$. Then the quadratic form $q = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; d \rangle\rangle$ is locally isotropic over K .*

Proof. Let v be a discrete valuation on K and w an extension to L , with completions K_v and L_w and residue fields $\kappa(v)$ and $\kappa(w)$, respectively. By assumption, the restriction of the symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ to $H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is unramified at w . By cohomological purity for discrete valuation rings (see [Colliot-Thélène 1995, Section 3.3]) we have a surjective map $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R_w, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(L_w/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ where $R_w \subset L_w$ is the valuation ring. By proper base change (see [SGA 4₃ 1973, XII.5.5], see also a general result of Gabber [Stacks 2005–, Tag 09ZI]), we have an isomorphism $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R_w, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \cong H^n(\kappa(w), \mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Since $\kappa(w)/k$ has transcendence degree $< n$ by Abhyankar’s inequality [1956, Corollary 1(1)] and k is algebraically closed, we have that $\kappa(w)$ has 2-cohomological dimension $< n$ by [Serre 2002, II.4.2 Proposition 11]. From all this, we deduce that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(L_w/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) = 0$. In particular, the symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ has trivial restriction to $H^n(L_w, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Thus by Proposition 4.2, we have that q_{K_v} is isotropic. Finally, as this holds for every discrete valuation v on K , the quadratic form q is locally isotropic over K . \square

Now, we will utilize our constructions in Section 3. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$ that is not the algebraic closure of a finite field. Let $k_0 \subset k$ be a subfield with a discrete valuation v_0 whose residue field has characteristic $\neq 2$. Let E_1, \dots, E_n be elliptic curves over k_0 given in the Legendre form (2), with $v_0(\lambda_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the double cover defined by $y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - \lambda_i) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in (3), and consider the quadratic form

$$q = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n; f \rangle\rangle \tag{4}$$

over the rational function field $k(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, as in Section 4.

Our main result is that for $n \geq 2$, the quadratic form q shows the failure of the local-global principle for isotropy, with respect to all discrete valuations, for quadratic forms of dimension 2^n over $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$ that is not the algebraic closure of a finite field and assume $n \geq 2$. The quadratic form $q = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n; f \rangle\rangle$ as in (4) is anisotropic over $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ yet is isotropic over the completion at every discrete valuation.*

Proof. Write $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $L = K(\sqrt{f})$. By Proposition 3.2, the restriction of the symbol $(x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ to L is unramified. Hence Proposition 5.1 implies that q is locally isotropic at every discrete valuation on K .

The restriction of the symbol $(x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ to L is nontrivial since its further restriction to $k(E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n)$ is nontrivial by Theorem 3.3. Hence Proposition 4.2 implies that q is anisotropic over K . \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Bogomolov’s trick (see Corollary 1.2), we find $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ such that $K/k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has odd degree. If q is as in (4), then by Theorem 5.2, q is anisotropic yet locally isotropic over $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Finally, by Proposition 1.3, q is a counterexample to the local-global principle for isotropy over K . \square

To give an explicit example, let $a, b, c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ be any algebraic integers all divisible by a common odd prime ideal in a number field containing them. For example, take $a = b = c = 3$. Then over the

function field $K = \mathbb{C}(x, y, z)$, the quadratic form

$$q = \langle 1, x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, (x-1)(y-1)(z-1)(x-a)(y-b)(z-c) \rangle$$

is isotropic over every completion K_v associated to a discrete valuation v on K , and yet q is anisotropic over K .

Remark 5.3. Let k be any algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$. When K/k is a finitely generated field of transcendence degree 1, then $K = k(X)$ for a smooth projective curve X over k . Any binary quadratic form q over K is similar to $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a \rangle$ for some $a \in K^\times$, and q is isotropic if and only if a is a square. For any discrete valuation v on K , we have that q is isotropic over K_v if and only if a is a square in K_v , equivalently (since k is algebraically closed and characteristic $\neq 2$), $v(a)$ is even. Thus if q is locally isotropic at all discrete valuations on K then the divisor of the rational function a on X can be written as $2D$ for a divisor D on X . The divisor class of D is 2-torsion in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and it is trivial if and only if a is a square in K . Conversely, if X admits a nontrivial 2-torsion element of $\text{Pic}(X)$, then twice this element is the divisor of a rational function $a \in K$ and the local-global principle fails for $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$. Thus the local-global principle for isotropy fails for K if and only if the Picard group of X admits a nontrivial 2-torsion element, equivalently (again, since k is algebraically closed and characteristic $\neq 2$), the genus of X is positive. Equivalently, the local-global principle for isotropy holds for quadratic forms over K if and only if K/k is purely transcendental.

In fact, we see that [Proposition 4.2](#) (and hence [Proposition 5.1](#)) is false for $n = 1$ by considering the trivial class in $H^1(K, \mu_2)$ and $d \in K^\times$ any nonsquare.

Remark 5.4. When k is the algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic $\neq 2$, [Theorem 5.2](#) still holds for $n = 2$ assuming that the elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 are not isogenous. Indeed, by [Proposition 3.2](#), the restriction of the symbol $(x_1) \cdot (x_2) \in H^2(K, \mu_2^{\otimes 2})$ to L is still unramified, and the only thing left to verify is that it is nontrivial. We can check this by further restriction to $k(E_1 \times E_2)$, where the symbol is the restriction to the generic point of a class in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_1, \mu_2) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_2, \mu_2)$ by [Section 3](#). However, standard computations of the Brauer group of $E_1 \times E_2$, see [[Skorobogatov and Zarhin 2012](#), Section 3], show that if E_1 is not isogenous to E_2 , then in fact $\text{Br}(E_1 \times E_2) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_1, \mu_2) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_2, \mu_2)$, so that each such cup product class is indeed nontrivial in the Brauer group. Then, as before, [Proposition 5.1](#) implies that the local-global principle for isotropy fails for q as in [\(4\)](#) over K , hence [Theorem 1](#) also holds in this case.

6. A geometric presentation lemma

The method for producing locally isotropic but globally anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension 2^n over function fields of transcendence degree n presented in this work is different from the one employed in [[Auel et al. 2015](#), Section 6] for $n = 2$. There, we first proved a kind of geometric presentation lemma about the existence of nontrivial unramified cohomology (in degree 2) over quadratic extensions. Specifically, using Hodge theory, we proved [[loc. cit.](#), Proposition 6.4] that given any smooth projective

surface S over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, there exists a double cover $T \rightarrow S$ with T smooth and $H_{\text{ur}}^2(k(T)/k, \mu_2^{\otimes 2}) = \text{Br}(T)[2] \neq 0$. It has been an open question ever since whether such a geometric presentation lemma holds for unramified cohomology in higher degree.

Conjecture 6.1. *Let K be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree n over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then either $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$ or there exists a separable quadratic extension L/K such that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(L/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$.*

Assuming this conjecture, we can give a more direct proof of the existence of quadratic forms representing a failure of the local-global principle for isotropy without using the construction involving generalized Kummer varieties in [Section 3](#).

Proposition 6.2. *Let K be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree n over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. If [Conjecture 6.1](#) holds for K , then the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms fails to hold in dimension 2^n over K .*

Before proceeding with the proof of [Proposition 6.2](#), we recall a standard application of the Milnor conjectures for the Witt group. Since we could not find a suitable reference, we also provide a proof.

Lemma 6.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. If K is a C_n -field then every element in $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is a symbol.*

Proof. By the Milnor conjectures for the Witt group, as proved by Voevodsky [\[2003\]](#) and Orlov, Vishik, Voevodsky [\[2007\]](#), there exists a surjective homomorphism $e_n : I^n(K) \rightarrow H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ taking n -fold Pfister forms to symbols, where $I^n(K)$ is the n -th power of the fundamental ideal of the Witt group of K . Thus it suffices to prove that every element in $I^n(K)$ is represented by a Pfister form. Let q be an anisotropic quadratic form representing a class in $I^n(K)$. By the Arason–Pfister Hauptsatz (see [\[Scharlau 1985, Chapter 4, Theorem 5.6\]](#)), q has dimension $\geq 2^n$, but since we are assuming that K is a C_n -field, every quadratic form of dimension $> 2^n$ is isotropic, hence q has dimension 2^n .

Now we recall that every anisotropic form q of dimension 2^n in $I^n(K)$ is similar to a Pfister form over (any field) K , see [\[Kahn 2008, Corollaire 4.3.7\]](#). Indeed, let $K(q)$ be the function field of the projective quadric defined by q . Then $q_{K(q)} \in I^n(K(q))$. Since q is isotropic over $K(q)$, the anisotropic part of $q_{K(q)}$ over $K(q)$ has dimension smaller than 2^n , hence by the Arason–Pfister Hauptsatz must be zero, thus q is hyperbolic over $K(q)$. Being anisotropic over K and hyperbolic over $K(q)$, the quadratic form q is thus similar to a Pfister form over K , see [\[Scharlau 1985, Chapter 4, Theorem 5.4\(i\)\]](#).

Since K is assumed to be a C_n -field, and $I^{n+1}(K)$ is additively generated by $(n+1)$ -fold Pfister forms by [\[loc. cit., Chapter 4, Lemma 5.5\]](#), which are hyperbolic as soon as they are isotropic by [\[loc. cit., Chapter 4, Corollary 1.5\]](#), we conclude that $I^{n+1}(K) = 0$. We now argue that any quadratic form in $I^n(K)$ that is similar to a Pfister form is actually a Pfister form. Indeed, if ψ is any Pfister form in $I^n(K)$ and $a \in K^\times$, then $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \otimes \psi = \psi \perp -a\psi$ is in $I^{n+1}(K) = 0$, hence $\psi \cong a\psi$. Thus our anisotropic quadratic form q in $I^n(K)$ is a Pfister form, proving the desired statement. \square

We do not know, in the spirit of [Serre 2002, II.4.5 Remark 3] and [Krashen and Matzri 2015], whether the statement of Lemma 6.3 holds for Galois cohomology modulo ℓ for primes $\ell \neq 2$.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. First, by Lemma 6.3, every element in $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is a symbol since K is a C_n -field by Tsen–Lang theory [Lang 1952]. Proposition 5.1 (applied with $d = 1$) implies that for any symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ in $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$, the n -fold Pfister form $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ is locally isotropic. If we assume that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$, then taking a nontrivial unramified symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$, the n -fold Pfister form $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ is locally isotropic but is anisotropic by Proposition 4.2, giving a counterexample to the local-global principle for isotropy over K .

Now assume that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) = 0$ and that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(L/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$ for some separable quadratic extension $L = K(\sqrt{d})$ of K . By Tsen–Lang theory (e.g., [Serre 2002, II.4.5]), L is also a C_n -field, hence by Lemma 6.3 every element in $H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is a symbol. Thus we can choose a nontrivial unramified symbol $(a_1) \cdots (a_n) \in H_{\text{ur}}^n(L/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$. Since the corestriction map $H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ preserves unramified cohomology, and we have assumed that $H_{\text{ur}}^n(K/k, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) = 0$, we see that the corestriction of $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ is trivial. By the restriction-corestriction exact sequence for Galois cohomology, see [Arason 1975, Satz 4.5] or [Serre 2002, I Section 2 Exercise 2], we have that $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ is in the image of the restriction map $H^n(K, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H^n(L, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$, and thus we can take $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K^\times$. Then by Proposition 5.1, the twisted Pfister form $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; d \rangle\rangle$ is locally isotropic over K but globally anisotropic. □

However, under the hypothesis in which we prove Theorem 1, namely, that k is not the algebraic closure of a finite field, our method allows us to prove Conjecture 6.1.

Theorem 6.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$. If k is not the algebraic closure of a finite field then Conjecture 6.1 holds for any finitely generated field K of transcendence degree n over k .*

Proof. By Bogomolov’s trick (Corollary 1.2) we consider K as an extension K/K_0 of odd degree over a rational function field $K_0 = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. By Theorem 3.3, the symbol $(x_1) \cdots (x_n) \in H^n(K_0, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is nontrivial over the (separable) quadratic extension $L_0 = K_0(\sqrt{f})$ for $f \in K$ defined by (3). Since K/K_0 and L/K_0 have relatively prime degree, $L = K \otimes_{K_0} L_0$ is a quadratic extension of K and L/L_0 has odd degree. Thus by a standard restriction-corestriction argument, the symbol $(x_1) \cdots (x_n)$ remains nontrivial when restricted from L_0 to L . By Proposition 3.2, it is unramified over L_0 , hence it remains unramified over L . □

Remark 6.5. When k is the algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic $\neq 2$, then Conjecture 6.1 holds for $n = 2$. Indeed, following the proof of Theorem 6.4, we only need to show that $(x_1) \cdot (x_2) \in H^2(K_0, \mu_2^{\otimes n})$ is nontrivial over the quadratic extension $L_0 = K_0(\sqrt{f})$, which follows from Remark 5.4.

Thus we have reduced Conjecture 6.1 to k the algebraic closure of a finite field. However, the construction of nontrivial higher degree unramified cohomology on varieties over a finite field (or the algebraic closure of a finite field) is an open problem. In degree 3, this is related to the integral Tate conjecture.

Currently, there are no known smooth projective threefolds over a finite field with nontrivial unramified cohomology in degree 3; investigating this is a favorite problem of Colliot-Thélène, see [Colliot-Thélène and Kahn 2013, Question 5.4]. The smallest known dimensions in which such varieties exist is 5 (see [Pirutka 2011]), and recently, 4 (see [Scavia and Suzuki 2022]). Of course, one wonders whether the cup product class on a product of three elliptic curves, as in Section 3, is nontrivial over a finite field. One might also investigate the same class on the associated generalized Kummer variety over a finite field.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the organizers of the summer school *ALGAR: Quadratic forms and local-global principles*, at the University of Antwerp, Belgium, July 3–7, 2017, where the authors obtained a preliminary version of the result. We also acknowledge the Shapiro Visitor Program in the Department of Mathematics at Dartmouth College, which enabled a prolonged visit, where the authors obtained the final version of the result. We would also like to thank Fedor Bogomolov, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, David Leep, and Parimala for very helpful discussions. Auel received partial support from NSA Young Investigator grant H98230-16-1-0321, Simons Foundation grant 712097, and National Science Foundation grant DMS-2200845; Suresh from National Science Foundation grant DMS-1463882.

References

- [Abhyankar 1956] S. Abhyankar, “On the valuations centered in a local domain”, *Amer. J. Math.* **78**:2 (1956), 321–348. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Aitken and Lemmermeyer 2011] W. Aitken and F. Lemmermeyer, “Counterexamples to the Hasse principle”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **118**:7 (2011), 610–628. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Arason 1975] J. K. Arason, “Cohomologische invarianten quadratischer Formen”, *J. Algebra* **36**:3 (1975), 448–491. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Auel 2013] A. Auel, “Failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over surfaces”, *Oberwolfach Rep.* **10**:2 (2013), 1823–1825. Part of the conference report [Quadratic forms and linear algebraic groups](#).
- [Auel and Suresh 2023] A. Auel and V. Suresh, “Unramified cohomology of products with an elliptic curve”, in preparation, 2023.
- [Auel et al. 2015] A. Auel, R. Parimala, and V. Suresh, “Quadric surface bundles over surfaces”, *Doc. Math. Merkurjev 60th birthday issue* (2015), 31–70. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bevelacqua 2004] A. J. Bevelacqua, “Four dimensional quadratic forms over $F(X)$ where $I_t^3 F(X) = 0$ and a failure of the strong Hasse principle”, *Comm. Algebra* **32**:3 (2004), 855–877. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bogomolov 1992] F. A. Bogomolov, “Stable cohomology of groups and algebraic varieties”, *Mat. Sb.* **183**:5 (1992), 3–28. In Russian; translated in *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* **76**:1 (1993), 1–21. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bogomolov 1995] F. A. Bogomolov, “On the structure of Galois groups of the fields of rational functions”, pp. 83–88 in *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), edited by B. Jacob and A. Rosenberg, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **58.2**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bogomolov and Tschinkel 2012] F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, “Introduction to birational anabelian geometry”, pp. 17–63 in *Current developments in algebraic geometry*, edited by L. Caporaso et al., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **59**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Borcea 1992] C. Borcea, “Calabi–Yau threefolds and complex multiplication”, pp. 489–502 in *Essays on mirror manifolds*, edited by S.-T. Yau, Int. Press, Hong Kong, 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cassels 1963] J. W. S. Cassels, “Arithmetic on curves of genus 1, V: Two counterexamples”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38** (1963), 244–248. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Cassels et al. 1971] J. W. S. Cassels, W. J. Ellison, and A. Pfister, “On sums of squares and on elliptic curves over function fields”, *J. Number Theory* **3** (1971), 125–149. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène 1995] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, “Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture”, pp. 1–64 in *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), edited by B. Jacob and A. Rosenberg, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **58.1**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène 2002] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, “Exposant et indice d’algèbres simples centrales non ramifiées”, *Enseign. Math.* (2) **48**:1-2 (2002), 127–146. With an appendix by Ofer Gabber. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène 2019] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, “Cohomologie non ramifiée dans le produit avec une courbe elliptique”, *Manuscripta Math.* **160**:3-4 (2019), 561–565. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène and Kahn 2013] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and B. Kahn, “Cycles de codimension 2 et H^3 non ramifié pour les variétés sur les corps finis”, *J. K-Theory* **11**:1 (2013), 1–53. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène and Ojanguren 1989] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and M. Ojanguren, “Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de l’exemple d’Artin et Mumford”, *Invent. Math.* **97**:1 (1989), 141–158. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cynk and Hulek 2007] S. Cynk and K. Hulek, “Higher-dimensional modular Calabi–Yau manifolds”, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **50**:4 (2007), 486–503. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cynk and Schütt 2009] S. Cynk and M. Schütt, “Generalised Kummer constructions and Weil restrictions”, *J. Number Theory* **129**:8 (2009), 1965–1975. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Eisenbud 1995] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra: with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **150**, Springer, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elman et al. 2008] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **56**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Harris 1992] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry: a first course*, Grad. Texts in Math. **133**, Springer, 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **52**, Springer, 1977. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hoffmann 1996] D. W. Hoffmann, “Twisted Pfister forms”, *Doc. Math.* **1** (1996), 67–102. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jaworski 2001] P. Jaworski, “On the strong Hasse principle for fields of quotients of power series rings in two variables”, *Math. Z.* **236**:3 (2001), 531–566. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kahn 2008] B. Kahn, *Formes quadratiques sur un corps*, Cours Spécialisés **15**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kim and Roush 1991] K. H. Kim and W. Roush, “Quadratic forms over $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]$ ”, *J. Algebra* **140**:1 (1991), 65–82. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Krashen and Matzri 2015] D. Krashen and E. Matzri, “Diophantine and cohomological dimensions”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143**:7 (2015), 2779–2788. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lang 1952] S. Lang, “On quasi algebraic closure”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 373–390. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lang 1954] S. Lang, “Some applications of the local uniformization theorem”, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 362–374. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Leep 2007] D. B. Leep, “The Amer–Brumer theorem over arbitrary fields”, preprint, 2007, available at https://www.ms.uky.edu/~leep/Amer-Brumer_theorem.pdf.
- [Lind 1940] C.-E. Lind, *Untersuchungen über die rationalen Punkte der ebenen kubischen Kurven vom Geschlecht Eins*, dissertation, University of Uppsala, 1940.
- [Nishimura 1955] H. Nishimura, “Some remarks on rational points”, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math.* **29** (1955), 189–192. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Orlov et al. 2007] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky, “An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **165**:1 (2007), 1–13. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pirutka 2011] A. Pirutka, “Sur le groupe de Chow de codimension deux des variétés sur les corps finis”, *Algebra Number Theory* **5**:6 (2011), 803–817. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Reichardt 1942] H. Reichardt, “Einige im Kleinen überall lösbare, im Grossen unlösbare diophantische Gleichungen”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **184** (1942), 12–18. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Reichstein and Youssin 2000] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, “Essential dimensions of algebraic groups and a resolution theorem for G -varieties”, *Canad. J. Math.* **52**:5 (2000), 1018–1056. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Scavia and Suzuki 2022] F. Scavia and F. Suzuki, “Non-algebraic geometrically trivial cohomology classes over finite fields”, preprint, 2022. [arXiv 2206.12732](#)
- [Scharlau 1985] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundle. Math. Wissen. **270**, Springer, 1985. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Serre 2002] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [SGA 4₃ 1973] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3: Exposés IX–XIX* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963–1964), Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer, 1973. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Silverman 1986] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. **106**, Springer, 1986. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Skorobogatov and Zarhin 2012] A. N. Skorobogatov and Y. G. Zarhin, “The Brauer group of Kummer surfaces and torsion of elliptic curves”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **666** (2012), 115–140. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Stacks 2005–] “The Stacks project”, electronic reference, 2005–, available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Voevodsky 2003] V. Voevodsky, “Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **98** (2003), 59–104. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Witt 1935] E. Witt, “Über ein Gegenbeispiel zum Normensatz”, *Math. Z.* **39**:1 (1935), 462–467. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène

Received 2022-08-09 Revised 2023-08-16 Accepted 2023-09-18

asher.ael@dartmouth.edu

Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, United States

suresh.venapally@emory.edu

Department of Mathematics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$525/year for the electronic version, and \$770/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 18 No. 8 2024

The strong maximal rank conjecture and moduli spaces of curves	1403
FU LIU, BRIAN OSSERMAN, MONTSERRAT TEIXIDOR I BIGAS and NAIZHEN ZHANG	
Unramifiedness of weight 1 Hilbert Hecke algebras	1465
SHAUNAK V. DEO, MLADEN DIMITROV and GABOR WIESE	
Failure of the local-global principle for isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields	1497
ASHER AUEL and V. SURESH	
Application of a polynomial sieve: beyond separation of variables	1515
DANTE BONOLIS and LILLIAN B. PIERCE	
Functorial embedded resolution via weighted blowings up	1557
DAN ABRAMOVICH, MICHAEL TEMKIN and JAROSŁAW WŁODARCZYK	