

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19
2025
No. 10

**Stacked pseudo-convergent sequences
and polynomial Dedekind domains**

Giulio Peruginelli



Stacked pseudo-convergent sequences and polynomial Dedekind domains

Giulio Peruginelli

To the memory of my mother

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ a fixed algebraic closure of the field of p -adic numbers and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ the absolute integral closure of the ring of p -adic integers. Given a residually algebraic torsion extension W of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$, by Kaplansky's characterization of immediate extensions of valued fields, there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We show here that we may assume that E is stacked, in the sense that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the residue field (resp. the value group) of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ is contained in the residue field (resp. the value group) of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1})$; this property of E allows us to describe the residue field and value group of W . In particular, if W is a DVR, then there exists α in the completion \mathbb{C}_p of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, α transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\}$, where \mathbb{O}_p is the unique local ring of \mathbb{C}_p ; α belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ if and only if the residue field extension $W/M \supseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is finite. As an application, we provide a full characterization of the Dedekind domains between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

Introduction

The problem of characterizing the set of the extensions of a valuation domain V with quotient field K to the field of rational functions $K(X)$ has a long and rich tradition (for example, see [Alexandru and Popescu 1988; Alexandru et al. 1988; 1990a; 1990b; Kaplansky 1942; Matignon and Ohm 1988; Peruginelli 2017; Peruginelli and Spirito 2020; 2021]). One recent direction of research is to describe these extensions by means of pseudo-monotone sequences of K [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021] in the original spirit of Ostrowski [1935a; 1935b], who introduced the well-known notion of pseudo-convergent sequence, later expanded by Kaplansky [1942] to study immediate extensions of valued fields.

Here, given a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the DVR $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of \mathbb{Q} , we are interested in describing residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$, that is, valuation domains W of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ lying above $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ such that the residue field extension $W/M \supseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is algebraic and the value group Γ_w of the associated valuation w to W is contained in the divisible hull of the value group of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (i.e., the rationals). These valuation

The author thanks the anonymous referee for helpful remarks.

MSC2020: 12J20, 13A18, 13F05, 13F20, 13F30.

Keywords: pseudo-convergent sequence, residually algebraic extension, distinguished pair, minimal pair, Dedekind domain, integer-valued polynomials.

domains arise naturally as overrings of rings of integer-valued polynomials and Dedekind domains between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ [Eakin and Heinzer 1973; Peruginelli 2023] and also in the description of closed subfields of \mathbb{C}_p [Ioviță and Zaharescu 1995], the completion of an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of the field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p . In the case when W is a DVR and the residue field extension is finite, by [Peruginelli 2017, Theorem 2.5 & Proposition 2.2], there exists an element α in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}\}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the absolute integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p (i.e., the integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; note that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the valuation domain of the unique extension of v_p to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$). In general, given a residually algebraic torsion extension W of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$, there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(Proposition 2.24). One of the main results of this paper is to show that we may assume that E is stacked (in a sense we make clear in Section 2; see Theorem 2.5). In particular, if W is a DVR of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ extending $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ such that the extension of the residue fields is infinite algebraic, then there exists α in $\mathbb{C}_p \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\}$, where \mathbb{O}_p is the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (equivalently, \mathbb{O}_p is the valuation domain of the unique extension of v_p to \mathbb{C}_p ; see Corollary 2.28). Necessarily, the (transcendental) extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ has finite ramification.

It is worth recalling that in [Alexandru et al. 1990a, §5.1, & Theorem 5.1] a residually algebraic torsion extension W of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ is realized as the limit of a sequence of residually transcendental extensions W_n of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ (i.e., the residue field extension of W_n over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is transcendental); moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, W_n is defined by a minimal pair (s_n, δ_n) (as explained in [Alexandru et al. 1990a, p. 282]; for the definition of minimal pair see Section 1.2). Here, W is realized as the valuation domain $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$, where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(s_n, \delta_n = v_p(s_{n+1} - s_n))$ is a minimal pair.

The motivations behind these results are based on [Alexandru et al. 1998], in which the authors study closed subfields of \mathbb{C}_p and show that any transcendental element of \mathbb{C}_p is the limit of a particular kind of Cauchy sequence in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ called distinguished [Alexandru et al. 1998, Proposition 2.2], which allows them to associate to such an element a set of invariants [Alexandru et al. 1998, Remark 2.4]. The notion of a stacked sequence that we introduce in this paper is a generalization of the notion of a distinguished sequence and falls into the well-known class of pseudo-convergent sequences. It allows us to describe the whole class of residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$, which strictly comprise the valuation domains $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ arising from elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

As an application of the above results, we are able to complete the classification of the family of Dedekind domains R between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ started in [Peruginelli 2023]. In that paper we described the Dedekind domains of this family whose residue fields of prime characteristic are finite fields [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 2.17]; the description is obtained by means of the notion of rings of integer-valued polynomials over algebras. We also showed that, given a group G which is the direct sum of a countable family of finitely generated abelian groups, there exists a Dedekind domain R with finite residue fields of prime characteristic, $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subset R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$, with class group G [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 3.1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the relevant notions we need in our paper: First, we review the definition of a pseudo-convergent sequence of a valued field K and the valuation domain of $K(X)$ associated to such a sequence in the spirit of Ostrowski [1935a; 1935b], as developed recently in [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020; 2021]. Then, we recall the notion of a distinguished pair introduced in [Popescu and Zaharescu 1995], which later was used in [Alexandru et al. 1998] to describe closed subfields of \mathbb{C}_p in terms of a specific kind of pseudo-convergent Cauchy sequence called distinguished.

In Section 2, we introduce the notion of a stacked sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, which turns out to be a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the value group (resp. the residue field) of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ is contained in the value group (resp. the residue field) of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1})$. By Theorem 2.5, every residually algebraic extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ can be realized by means of a stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, that is,

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Moreover, the above specific property of stacked sequences is crucial for the description of the residue field and value group of W as the union of the ascending chain of residue fields and value groups of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$, respectively (Proposition 2.7). We mentioned above that the elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ has finite ramification give raise to DVRs of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$; we characterize such elements as the limits of sequences contained in the maximal unramified extension of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p (Proposition 2.20). We close this section by pointing out an incorrect statement in [Ioviță and Zaharescu 1995], namely, that the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ with respect to a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p is a subfield of \mathbb{C}_p ; this is not true in general and it depends on whether the above sequence E is Cauchy or not. In Section 2.3, we use the result of Section 2.1 about residually algebraic torsion extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ to characterize the analogous extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ (Proposition 2.24). In Theorem 2.26, we show that, for any prescribed algebraic extension k of \mathbb{F}_p and value group Γ , $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ .

Finally, in Section 3 we provide the aforementioned classification of the Dedekind domains between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ by means of the notion of the ring of integer-valued polynomials over an algebra: given such a domain R , we show that, for each prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a finite set $E_p \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} such that $R = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(E_p) \subseteq \mathbb{O}_p, \forall p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ (Theorem 3.4).

1. Preliminaries

We refer to [Bourbaki 1985a; Engler and Prestel 2005; Ribenboim 1968; Zariski and Samuel 1960] for generalities about valuation theory. A valuation domain W of the field of rational functions $K(X)$ is an extension of a valuation domain V of K if $W \cap K = V$. We denote by w a valuation associated to W , by Γ_w the value group of w and by k_w the residue field of W . We recall that an extension W of V to $K(X)$ is called residually algebraic if the residue field extension is algebraic, and it is called torsion if Γ_w is contained in the divisible hull of the value group Γ_v of V ; see [Alexandru et al. 1990a]. Given a

valuation domain W with quotient field F , a subfield K of F and the valuation domain $V = W \cap K$, we say that W is an immediate extension of V (or simply immediate over V) if the value groups (resp. the residue fields) of V and W are the same. Given a field K with a valuation domain V , we denote by \widehat{K} (resp. \widehat{V}) the completion of K (resp. V) with respect to V -adic topology.

1.1. Pseudo-convergent sequences. The following basic material about pseudo-convergent sequences can be found for example in [Kaplansky 1942; Peruginelli and Spirito 2020; 2021].

Given a valued field (K, v) , a sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ is said to be *pseudo-convergent* if, for all $n < m < k$, we have

$$v(s_n - s_m) < v(s_m - s_k).$$

In particular, for all n and $m > n$, we have $v(s_n - s_m) = v(s_n - s_{n+1})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\delta_n = v(s_n - s_{n+1})$. The strictly increasing sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the value group Γ_v of v is called the *gauge* of E . The sequence E is a classical Cauchy sequence in K if and only if the gauge of E is cofinal in Γ_v . In this case, E converges to a unique limit $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$. In general, if $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence, we say that an element $\alpha \in K$ is a *pseudo-limit* of E if $v(s_n - \alpha)$ is a strictly increasing sequence. Equivalently, $v(s_n - \alpha) = \delta_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of pseudo-limits \mathcal{L}_E in K of a pseudo-convergent sequence E is equal to $\mathcal{L}_E = \alpha + \text{Br}(E)$ [Kaplansky 1942, Lemma 3], where

$$\text{Br}(E) = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > \delta_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a fractional ideal, called the *breadth ideal* of E . Clearly, E is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\text{Br}(E) = \{0\}$.

As in [Kaplansky 1942, Definitions, p. 306], a pseudo-convergent sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ is of *transcendental type* if, for all $f \in K[X]$, $v(f(s_n))$ is eventually constant. Otherwise, E is said to be of *algebraic type* if $v(f(s_n))$ is eventually strictly increasing for some $f \in K[X]$. The sequence E is of algebraic type if and only if, for some extension u of v to the algebraic closure \overline{K} of K , there exists $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ which is a pseudo-limit of E with respect to u . If F is a subfield of K , then we say that E is of *transcendental type over F* if, for all $f \in F[X]$, $v(f(s_n))$ is eventually constant. Almost all the pseudo-convergent sequences considered in this paper in order to describe residually algebraic torsion extensions to the field of rational functions are of transcendental type.

Given a pseudo-convergent sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$, the following is a valuation domain of $K(X)$ extending V associated to E [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020, Theorem 3.8]:

$$V_E = \{\phi \in K(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in V \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Moreover, by the same theorem, X is a pseudo-limit of E with respect to the valuation v_E associated to V_E , so, in particular, $v_E(X - s_n) = \delta_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, if E is of transcendental type, then, for all $f \in K[X]$, we have $v_E(f) = v(f(s_n))$ for all n sufficiently large; see [Kaplansky 1942, Theorem 2] or [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020, Theorem 4.9, (a)].

In the case that E is a Cauchy sequence converging to $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$, we have

$$V_E = V_\alpha = \{\phi \in K(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \widehat{V}\};$$

see [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020, Remark 3.10].

Given two pseudo-convergent sequences $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $E' = \{s'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$, we say that E and E' are equivalent if $\text{Br}(E) = \text{Br}(E')$ and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v(s_i - s'_j) > v(s_{k+1} - s_k)$ for each $i \geq i_0$ and $j \geq j_0$; see [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020, §5]. By Proposition 5.3 in that work, E and E' are equivalent if and only if $V_E = V_{E'}$.

1.2. Distinguished pairs. We suppose in this section that (K, v) is a complete valued field, where v is a rank-1 discrete valuation (so, in particular, (K, v) is Henselian). Let \bar{K} be a fixed algebraic closure, and let \bar{v} denote the unique extension of v to \bar{K} . Let also $\Gamma_{\bar{v}} = \Gamma_v \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be the divisible hull of Γ_v . Given an element $a \in \bar{K}$, let O_a , k_a and Γ_a be the valuation domain of the restriction of v to $K(a)$, the residue field of O_a and the value group of O_a , respectively.

As in [Khanduja and Saha 1999], given $a \in \bar{K} \setminus K$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_K(a) &= \sup\{v(a - c) \mid c \in \bar{K}, [K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]\}, \\ \omega_K(a) &= \sup\{v(a - a') \mid a' \neq a \text{ runs over the } K\text{-conjugates of } a\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following is the well-known Krasner's lemma. Essentially, given a separable element $a \in \bar{K}$, if another element $b \in \bar{K}$ is closer to a than to any of its other conjugates, then $K(a)$ is a subfield of $K(b)$.

Lemma 1.1 (Krasner). *If $a \in \bar{K}^{\text{sep}}$ and $b \in \bar{K}$ are such that $v(a - b) > \omega_K(a)$, then $K(a) \subseteq K(b)$.*

In particular, for every $a \in \bar{K}^{\text{sep}}$, we have $\delta_K(a) \leq \omega_K(a)$. Moreover, it follows also that $\delta_K(a)$ is a maximum, since v is supposed to be discrete. This is known (see, for example, [Popescu and Zaharescu 1995, p. 105]), but for the sake of the reader we give a short proof.

Lemma 1.2. *In the above setting,*

$$\delta_K(a) = \max\{v(a - c) \mid c \in \bar{K}, [K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]\}.$$

Proof. By Krasner's lemma, for each of the relevant c we have $v(a - c) \leq \omega_K(a)$. Note that the ramification index of $K(a, c)$ over K is (strictly) bounded by $[K(a) : K]^2$. In particular, since the value $v(a - c)$ belongs to Γ_{a-c} , it follows that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, independent from each of the above c , such that $Nv(a - c) \in \Gamma_v \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the set

$$\{v(a - c) \mid c \in \bar{K}, [K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]\}$$

(which is a subset of $\Gamma_{\bar{v}}$) is bounded from above and its elements have bounded torsion. It follows that this set has a maximum, which is equal to $\delta_K(a)$ by its very definition. \square

Similar to Krasner's lemma, we have the following fundamental principle (see [Khanduja and Saha 1999, Theorem 1.1]), first discovered in [Popescu and Zaharescu 1995].

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $a, b \in \bar{K}$ are such that $v(a - b) > \delta_K(b)$. Then:*

- (i) $\Gamma_b \subseteq \Gamma_a$.
- (ii) $k_b \subseteq k_a$.
- (iii) $[K(b) : K] \mid [K(a) : K]$.

Next, we recall the definition of a distinguished pair introduced in [Popescu and Zaharescu 1995, p. 105].

Definition 1.4. A pair of elements $(b, a) \in \bar{K}^2$ is said to be *distinguished* if the following hold:

- (i) $[K(b) : K] < [K(a) : K]$.
- (ii) For all $c \in \bar{K}$ such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]$, we have $v(a - c) \leq v(a - b)$.
- (iii) For all $c \in \bar{K}$ such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(b) : K]$, we have $v(a - c) < v(a - b)$.

Part of the definition of a distinguished pair is related to the notion of a minimal pair, which we now recall (see, for example, [Alexandru et al. 1988; 1990a; 1990b]).

Definition 1.5. Let $(a, \delta) \in \bar{K} \times \Gamma_{\bar{v}}$. We say that (a, δ) is a *minimal pair* if, for every $c \in \bar{K}$ such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]$, we have $v(a - c) < \delta$.

In other words, (a, δ) is a minimal pair if, for every $b \in B(a, \delta) = \{x \in \bar{K} \mid v(a - x) \geq \delta\}$, we have $[K(b) : K] \geq [K(a) : K]$ (i.e., a is a “center” of the ball $B(a, \delta)$ of minimal degree). By Lemma 1.2, (a, δ) is a minimal pair if and only if $\delta > \delta_K(a)$. In particular, if $\delta > \omega_K(a)$, then (a, δ) is a minimal pair.

Remarks 1.6. Let (b, a) be a distinguished pair.

(1) Note that conditions (i) and (ii) above imply that $v(a - b) = \delta_K(a)$. In fact, by (i) and (ii), it immediately follows that the inequality “ \leq ” holds. Conversely, by (ii) we also have that $v(a - b) \geq v(a - c)$ for all c such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(a) : K]$; that is, $v(a - b) \geq \delta_K(a)$.

(2) Note that (iii) is equivalent to the following:

(iii') For all $c \in \bar{K}$ such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(b) : K]$, we have $v(b - c) < v(a - b)$.

This precisely says that $(b, v(a - b))$ is a minimal pair with respect to K . In fact, if (iii) holds and $c \in \bar{K}$ is such that $[K(c) : K] < [K(b) : K]$, then $v(b - c) = v(b - a + a - c) = v(a - c) < v(a - b)$. Similarly, (iii') implies (iii). Note also that (iii') is equivalent to

$$v(a - b) > \delta_K(b).$$

In particular, by the above theorem, $\Gamma_b \subseteq \Gamma_a$, $k_b \subseteq k_a$ and $[K(b) : K] \mid [K(a) : K]$.

(3) Finally, note also that $\delta_K(b) < \delta_K(a)$.

2. Stacked pseudo-convergent sequences of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$

Let $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of prime numbers, and let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be a fixed prime. We let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of \mathbb{Z} at the prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$, \mathbb{Z}_p the ring of p -adic integers and \mathbb{Q}_p its field of fractions, the field of p -adic numbers. If v_p denotes the usual p -adic valuation, then \mathbb{Z}_p (resp. \mathbb{Q}_p) is the completion of \mathbb{Z} (resp. \mathbb{Q}) with respect to the p -adic valuation. We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and still denote the unique extension of v_p to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by v_p . Note that $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a rank-1 nondiscrete valued field with valuation domain denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, the integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We will use the well-known fact that \mathbb{Q}_p has only finitely many extensions of a given degree; see, for example, [Narkiewicz 2004, Corollary 2, Chapter V, p. 202].

Finally, we let \mathbb{C}_p be the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with respect to the p -adic valuation, and we denote by \mathbb{O}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; v_p still denotes the unique extension of v_p to \mathbb{C}_p . For $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_p$, we write the abbreviations $\delta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\alpha) = \omega(\alpha)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we denote by e_α (resp. f_α) the ramification index (resp. the residue field degree) of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ over \mathbb{Q}_p . Clearly, if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $e_\alpha \cdot f_\alpha < \infty$; we show that the converse holds in Remark 2.15. Note that each element of $\mathbb{C}_p \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p ; we call such elements simply transcendental. For a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, even if $e_\alpha \cdot f_\alpha = \infty$, we will show in Theorem 2.21 that either one of e_α or f_α can be finite.

2.1. Residually algebraic torsion extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p . In this section we describe residually algebraic torsion extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ by means of a suitable class of pseudo-convergent sequences of transcendental type contained in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, called a stacked sequence, which we now introduce. This definition is a generalization of [Alexandru et al. 1998, p. 135].¹

Definition 2.1. Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a sequence with $s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. For every $n \geq 0$, we consider the following properties:

- (i) $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.
- (ii) For every $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, we have $v(s_{n+1} - c) \leq v(s_{n+1} - s_n)$.
- (iii) For every $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, we have $v(s_n - c) < v(s_{n+1} - s_n)$.

We say that E is *unbounded* if (i) holds for every n , *stacked* if (i) and (iii) hold for every n , and *strongly stacked* if (i), (ii), (iii) hold for every n . Equivalently, E is stacked if (i) holds and $(s_n, \delta_n = v(s_{n+1} - s_n))$ is a minimal pair for every $n \geq 0$, and E is strongly stacked if (s_n, s_{n+1}) is distinguished for every $n \geq 0$.

Remark 2.2. Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a stacked sequence. Note that the sequence $\{v(s_{n+1} - s_n) = \delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing since $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and (s_n, δ_n) is a minimal pair. In the original definition of a distinguished sequence E in [Alexandru et al. 1998], the sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded; thus, in this case E is a Cauchy sequence. In our setting we are not imposing that restriction; we show in Lemma 2.3 below that a stacked sequence is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

¹The notion of a distinguished sequence was introduced in [Alexandru et al. 1998]. We cannot borrow that term here for our sequences for the following reason: by Lemma 2.3, a stacked sequence is pseudo-convergent, and distinguished pseudo-convergent sequences have already been defined by P. Ribenboim [1958, p. 474] to denote pseudo-convergent sequences of a valued field whose breadth ideal is a nonmaximal prime ideal.

The motivation for the terminology of these kind of sequences is due to the following fact. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we abbreviate $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{s_n}$ and $k_n = k_{s_n}$ (i.e., the value group and the residue field of the valuation domain O_{s_n} of $\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$, respectively). By Remarks 1.6, $v(s_{n+1} - s_n) > \delta(s_n)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.3, we have $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}$ and $k_n \subseteq k_{n+1}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $e_n = e(\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $f_n = f(\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)|\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the ramification index and the residue field degree of O_{s_n} over \mathbb{Z}_p , respectively; we remark that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = e_n f_n = d_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded by assumption, either $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded or $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded. Since $e_n | e_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded if and only if $e_n = e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Similarly for $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

By Remarks 1.6, condition (ii) is equivalent to $\delta_n = v(s_n - s_{n+1}) = \delta(s_{n+1})$ (note that in general the inequality $\delta_n \leq \delta(s_{n+1})$ holds). In other words, among all the elements $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that

$$[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq [\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p],$$

s_n is one of those which is closest to s_{n+1} .

Let $E = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a pseudo-convergent sequence. If $\{[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded, then E is contained in a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p , and hence E is Cauchy and therefore converges to an element $\alpha \in K$. In particular, if E is of transcendental type, then the set $\{[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is necessarily unbounded. Stacked sequences are of this kind, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a stacked sequence. Then E is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type.*

Proof. Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and set $\delta_n = v(s_{n+1} - s_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have already observed in Remark 2.2 that $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence. Moreover, for every $m > n$, we have $v(s_n - s_m) > v(s_n - s_{n-1})$. In particular, $v(s_{n-1} - s_m) = v(s_{n-1} - s_n)$ for every $m \geq n$. Let now $n < m < k$. Then

$$v(s_n - s_m) = v(s_n - s_{n+1}) < v(s_m - s_{m+1}) = v(s_m - s_k),$$

which shows that E is a pseudo-convergent sequence.

We prove now that E is of transcendental type. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p].$$

Since (s_n, δ_n) is a minimal pair, $v(s_n - \alpha) < \delta_n$, so, in particular, α cannot be a pseudo-limit of E . This shows that E has no pseudo-limits in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and thus E is of transcendental type. □

Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a stacked sequence. In particular, by Lemma 2.3, the sequence

$$\{\delta_n = v(s_{n+1} - s_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is the gauge of the pseudo-convergent sequence E . Moreover, by the same lemma, if E is Cauchy, then E converges to a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$.

The next proposition shows that any residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ is obtained by means of a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We recall that if $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a

pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type, then $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{pE}$, the associated valuation domain of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(X)$, is an immediate extension of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ and conversely every immediate extension of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(X)$ can be realized in this way; see, for example, [Kaplansky 1942; Peruginelli and Spirito 2021]. If $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{pE} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ is a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let W be a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$. Then there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of transcendental type such that*

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. Let \overline{W} be an extension of W to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(X)$. Then \overline{W} is an immediate extension of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(X)$ (and, in particular, is a residually algebraic torsion extension of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$). By [Kaplansky 1942, Theorems 1 and 2] or [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021, Theorem 6.2 (a)], there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of transcendental type such that $\overline{W} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{pE}$. The claim follows by contracting down to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$. \square

Clearly, not every pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is stacked. However, the next theorem is the converse of Lemma 2.3: it shows that any pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type is equivalent to a strongly stacked sequence. In particular, every stacked sequence is equivalent to a strongly stacked sequence. Moreover, given a valuation domain $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ associated to a pseudo-convergent sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of transcendental type, without loss of generality, we may also assume that E is strongly stacked.

By [Alexandru et al. 1998, Proposition 2.2], every transcendental element $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$ is the limit of a strongly stacked sequence E of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. The next theorem is the analog of that result for residually algebraic extensions W of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$: for such a valuation W , there exists a strongly stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$; it is not difficult to show that, for a transcendental element $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$, the valuation domain

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p,t} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(X) \mid \phi(t) \in \mathbb{O}_p\}$$

is a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p .

Theorem 2.5. *Let $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type. Then there exists a strongly stacked sequence $E' \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ which is equivalent to E . In particular, given a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, there exists a strongly stacked sequence $E' \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}$.*

Proof. Let $E = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and let \bar{v}_E be a valuation associated to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{pE} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(X)$.

First, we consider the following subset of $\Gamma_{v_E} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$:

$$M_E(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \{v_E(X - s) \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}.$$

If $M_E(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not bounded, then there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $v_E(X - s_n)$ tends to ∞ . Necessarily, the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and so converges to an element s of \mathbb{Q}_p . Now, for every n , $v_E(X - s_n) = \bar{v}_E(X - s_n) = v(t_m - s_n)$ for all m sufficiently large since E is of transcendental

type (see Section 1.1). Hence E would be a Cauchy sequence equivalent to $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and E would converge to s , too, which is not possible. Let then $\delta_0 = \sup M_E(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \in \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $\delta_0 \in M_E(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$; that is, δ_0 is a maximum. Suppose otherwise: there exists a sequence $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $v_E(X - r_k) \nearrow \delta_0$. Then $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ would be a pseudo-convergent sequence which is not Cauchy, which is not possible, since \mathbb{Q}_p is a complete valued field. Hence there exists $s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $v_E(X - s_0) = \delta_0$.

For $n > 0$, we now choose $s_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ so that (s_{n-1}, s_n) is distinguished. Let B_n be the subset of the α in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}_p] > [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.
- (ii) $\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha) > \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1})$.
- (iii) The positive integer $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}_p] - [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is minimal.

Note that since \mathbb{N} is well-ordered, condition (iii) can be satisfied (that is, among the $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ satisfying (i) and (ii), we can find one which also satisfies (iii)). Since $\bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1}) = v(t_m - s_{n-1})$ for all m sufficiently large, for all such m we also have $\bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1}) < \bar{v}_E(X - t_m)$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may also assume that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_m) : \mathbb{Q}_p] > [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ since $\{[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_m) : \mathbb{Q}_p]\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded. This shows that the set B_n is nonempty. Let

$$M_E(X, B_n) = \{\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha) \mid \alpha \in B_n\},$$

which is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . Let $\delta_n = \sup M_E(X, B_n)$. Since each element of B_n has the same degree over \mathbb{Q}_p , it follows that B_n is contained in a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, it follows as above that $M_E(X, B_n)$ is bounded above. Let $\delta_n = \sup M_E(X, B_n) \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, we show that $M_E(X, B_n)$ contains its upper bound (which is, in particular, a rational number). Suppose otherwise: then there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_n$ such that $\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_k) \nearrow \delta_n$. In particular, $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ would be a pseudo-convergent sequence of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p which is not Cauchy, which is impossible. Let $s_n \in B_n$ be such that $\bar{v}_E(X - s_n) = \delta_n$. Note that

$$v_p(s_n - s_{n-1}) = \bar{v}_E(s_n - X + X - s_{n-1}) = \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1}) = \delta_{n-1}.$$

We now show that (s_{n-1}, s_n) is distinguished. Clearly, $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Let $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

If $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] > [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, then, by the minimality of the degree of s_n , we have

$$\bar{v}_E(X - c) \leq \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1}) = \delta_{n-1},$$

so

$$v_p(s_n - c) = \bar{v}_E(s_n - X + X - c) = \bar{v}_E(X - c) \leq \delta_{n-1} = v_p(s_n - s_{n-1}).$$

Suppose now that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

If $\bar{v}_E(X - c) \leq \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-2})$, then $\bar{v}_E(X - c) < \delta_{n-1}$.

If $\bar{v}_E(X - c) > \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-2})$, then $c \in B_{n-1}$, so

$$\bar{v}_E(X - c) \leq \delta_{n-1} = \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n-1}).$$

In either case,

$$v_p(s_n - c) = \bar{v}_E(s_n - X + X - c) = \bar{v}_E(X - c) \leq \delta_{n-1} = v_p(s_n - s_{n-1}).$$

Note that, in particular, for $n = 1$, we have that (s_0, s_1) is distinguished since condition (iii) of Definition 2.1 is empty, since $s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Suppose now that $n \geq 2$, and assume by induction that (s_{n-2}, s_{n-1}) is distinguished. Let $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(c) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Since (s_{n-2}, s_{n-1}) is distinguished, we have

$$v_p(s_{n-1} - c) \leq v_p(s_{n-1} - s_{n-2}) = \delta_{n-2} < \delta_{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$v_p(s_n - c) = v_p(s_n - s_{n-1} + s_{n-1} - c) = v_p(s_{n-1} - c) < v_p(s_n - s_{n-1}).$$

We now show that $E' = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to $E = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the gauges of E and E' , respectively. We need to show that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_k \leq \delta_n$. Since E' is unbounded, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_k) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Since (s_n, δ_n) is a minimal pair, we have $v_p(s_n - t_k) < \delta_n$, so that

$$\lambda_k = \bar{v}_E(X - t_k) = \bar{v}_E(X - s_n + s_n - t_k) < \bar{v}_E(X - s_n) = \delta_n. \quad (2.6)$$

Conversely, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We need to show that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta_n \leq \lambda_k$. For all m sufficiently large, we have

$$\bar{v}_E(X - s_n) = v_p(t_m - s_n) = \bar{v}_E(t_m - X + X - s_n),$$

and since n is fixed and $\bar{v}_E(t_m - X) = \lambda_m$ is strictly increasing, it follows that

$$\bar{v}_E(t_m - X) = \lambda_m > \bar{v}_E(X - s_n)$$

for all such m .

Hence $\text{Br}(E) = \text{Br}(E')$.

Finally, we need to show that, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$, we have $v_p(t_n - s_m) > \lambda_k$. Let n_0 be the smallest integer such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_k) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n_0}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. As in (2.6) above, $\lambda_k < v_E(X - s_{n_0}) = \delta_{n_0}$. Let now $m > k$ and $n \geq n_0$. Then,

$$v(t_m - s_n) = \bar{v}_E(t_m - X + X - s_n) > \lambda_k$$

since

$$\bar{v}_E(t_m - X) = \lambda_m > \lambda_k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_E(X - s_n) \geq \bar{v}_E(X - s_{n_0}) = \delta_{n_0} > \lambda_k.$$

Hence E and E' are equivalent.

By [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021, Proposition 5.3], $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}}$, so, in particular, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}$. The final claim follows by Proposition 2.4. \square

The following proposition describes the value group and the residue field of a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$. By Theorem 2.5, W is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ for some strongly stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We keep the notation of Remark 2.2.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be a stacked sequence and $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \Gamma_w, \quad \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k_n = k_w.$$

Proof. Let $w = v_E$ be the valuation associated to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ and \bar{v}_E the valuation associated to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}}$.

Since E is of transcendental type, for each $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$, we have $v_E(f) = v(f(s_n))$ for all n sufficiently large (see Section 1.1). It follows that, for each $\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ with $\phi = f/g$, for some $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$, we have that $v_E(\phi) = v_E(f) - v_E(g)$ is in Γ_n for all n sufficiently large. Hence $\Gamma_w \subseteq \bigcup_n \Gamma_n$. Conversely, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ be of degree smaller than $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Then, each root α_i of $f(X)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has degree smaller than $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and so, since (s_n, δ_n) is a minimal pair, we have

$$v_p(s_n - \alpha_i) < \delta_n, \tag{2.8}$$

which implies that

$$\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_i) = \bar{v}_E(X - s_n + s_n - \alpha_i) = v_p(s_n - \alpha_i), \tag{2.9}$$

and so

$$v_E(f(X)) = \sum_i \bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_i) = \sum_i v_p(s_n - \alpha_i) = v_p(f(s_n)), \tag{2.10}$$

which shows that $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma_w$. Note that $\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_i) = v(s_m - \alpha_i)$ for each $m \geq n$, and so $v_E(f(X)) = v(f(s_m))$ for each $m \geq n$.

Let now $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{c} = \overline{f(s_n)} \in k_n$ for some $f(s_n) \in O_n^*$, where $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ has degree strictly smaller than $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. In particular, $\bar{c} \neq 0$. As in (2.10), $v_E(f(X)) = v(f(s_m)) = 0$ for each $m \geq n$. Let α_i be a root of $f(X)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then, by (2.9), $\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_i) = v_p(s_n - \alpha_i) = v_p(d_i)$ for some $d_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then

$$\bar{v}_E\left(\frac{(X - \alpha_i)/d_i}{(s_n - \alpha_i)/d_i} - 1\right) = \bar{v}_E\left(\frac{X - s_n}{s_n - \alpha_i}\right) = \delta_n - v_p(s_n - \alpha_i) > 0,$$

where the last inequality holds by (2.8). Therefore, $(X - \alpha_i)/d_i$ and $(s_n - \alpha_i)/d_i$ coincide over the residue field of W . In particular,

$$\frac{f(X)}{f(s_n)} = \prod_i \frac{(X - \alpha_i)}{(s_n - \alpha_i)} = \prod_i \frac{(X - \alpha_i)/d_i}{(s_n - \alpha_i)/d_i}, \tag{2.11}$$

and since each factor of the last product has residue $\bar{1}$ in W , it follows that $f(X)$ and $f(s_n)$ coincide over the residue field of W (which contains both $f(X)$ and $f(s_n)$). Since $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = W$, this shows that k_n is contained in the residue field k_w of W .

Conversely, let $\phi = f/g \in W \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ for some $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$. Let α_i and β_j be the roots in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of f and g , respectively. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha_i) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\beta_j) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ for all i and j . Hence, as in (2.9), we have

$$\bar{v}_E(X - \alpha_i) = v_p(s_n - \alpha_i), \quad \bar{v}_E(X - \beta_j) = v(s_n - \beta_j) \quad \text{for all } i, j,$$

which again, as in (2.10), shows that

$$v_E(\phi(X)) = v(\phi(s_n)).$$

Moreover, this last equation holds if we replace s_n by s_m for all $m \geq n$. If $v_E(\phi(X)) = 0$, then, as in (2.11), one can show that $\phi(X)$ and $\phi(s_n)$ coincide over the residue field of W , so that $k_w \subseteq k_n$. □

The following corollary gives a further characterization of the residue field and the value group of a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p : either the residue field of W is an infinite algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_p , or the value group Γ_w is nondiscrete.

Corollary 2.12. *Let W be a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, and let $e = e(W|\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $f = f(W|\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the ramification index and the residue field degree, respectively. Then $e \cdot f = \infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, there exists a stacked sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$. By Proposition 2.7, $\Gamma_w = \bigcup_n \Gamma_n$ and $k_w = \bigcup_n k_n$. Remark 2.2 shows that either the sequence $\{e_n = [\Gamma_n : \mathbb{Z}]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $\{f_n = [k_n : \mathbb{F}_p]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded; therefore, either $e = e(W|\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $f = f(W|\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is infinite. \square

The following proposition is analogous to [Alexandru et al. 1998, Proposition 2.3]. It shows that the sequence of ramification indexes, residue field degrees and gauges attached to a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p do not depend on the strongly stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ (Theorem 2.5).

Proposition 2.13. *Let $W \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ be a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $E' = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be strongly stacked sequences with gauges $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\delta'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively, such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

- (i) $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and $\delta_n = \delta'_n$,
- (ii) $e_{s_n} = e_{t_n}$ and $f_{s_n} = f_{t_n}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X)$ we have $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}}$; we will let $\overline{W} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E'}}$ and denote by w a valuation associated to \overline{W} .

(i) We have $s_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, such that

$$w(X - s_{n-1}) \leq w(X - t_0) < w(X - s_n),$$

otherwise t_0 would be a pseudo-limit of E , which is not possible. In particular,

$$v_p(s_n - t_0) = w(s_n - X + X - t_0) = w(X - t_0) \geq w(X - s_{n-1}) = \delta_{n-1}.$$

If $n > 1$, we have $[\mathbb{Q}_p(t_0) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, so by (iii) of Definition 2.1 we have that

$$v_p(s_n - t_0) = v_p(s_{n-1} - t_0) < v_p(s_n - s_{n-1}) = \delta_{n-1},$$

which is impossible. Hence $n = 1$, so $v_p(s_1 - t_0) = w(X - t_0) \geq w(X - s_0)$. Reversing the roles of s_0 and t_0 , we get the other inequality, so $w(X - s_0) = w(X - t_0) = \delta_0 = \delta'_0$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that, for each $m \leq n$, we have $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_m) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_m) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and $\delta_m = \delta'_m$.

Since $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, by (ii) of Definition 2.1 we have

$$v_p(t_{n+1} - s_n) \leq v_p(t_{n+1} - t_n) = \delta'_n = \delta_n.$$

Now,

$$v_p(t_{n+1} - s_n) = v_p(t_{n+1} - t_n + t_n - s_n) \geq \delta_n$$

since $v_p(t_n - s_n) = w(t_n - X + X - s_n) \geq \delta_n = \delta'_n$. This implies that $v_p(t_{n+1} - s_n) = \delta_n$, and so (s_n, t_{n+1}) is distinguished. Moreover, we have

$$v_p(t_{n+1} - s_{n+1}) = w(t_{n+1} - X + X - s_{n+1}) > \delta_n = \delta'_n = v_p(t_{n+1} - s_n).$$

Now, if $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] < [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, then, since (s_n, t_{n+1}) is distinguished, we would have $v_p(s_{n+1} - t_{n+1}) \leq v_p(t_{n+1} - s_n)$, which is impossible. Hence $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. The other inequality is proved in a symmetrical way, so $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n+1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Suppose now that $w(X - s_{n+1}) < w(X - t_{n+1})$. Then

$$v_p(s_{n+2} - t_{n+1}) = w(s_{n+2} - X + X - t_{n+1}) > w(X - s_{n+1}) = v_p(s_{n+2} - s_{n+1}),$$

which is not possible since (s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}) is distinguished. Hence $w(X - s_{n+1}) \geq w(X - t_{n+1})$. The other inequality is proved similarly, so $\delta_{n+1} = \delta'_{n+1}$ as claimed.

(ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Γ_n and Γ'_n and k_n and k'_n be the value groups and residue fields, respectively, of $\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n)$. Let $e_n = e_{s_n}$, $e'_n = e_{t_n}$, $f_n = f_{s_n}$, $f'_n = f_{t_n}$.

Clearly, $e_0 = e'_0$ and $f_0 = f'_0$ since $s_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let $n \geq 1$. If $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ has degree strictly smaller than $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, then by (2.10) we have $w(f(X)) = v_p(f(s_n))$ and also $w(f(X)) = v_p(f(t_n))$, so $v_p(f(s_n)) = v_p(f(t_n))$. This proves that $\Gamma_n = \Gamma'_n$, and so $e_n = e'_n$.

Suppose now that $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ of degree strictly smaller than $[\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is such that $v_p(f(s_n)) = v_p(f(t_n)) = 0$. In particular, $w(f(X)) = 0$ by (2.10). By (2.11) and the analogous equation where s_n is replaced by t_n , we get that $f(s_n)$ and $f(t_n)$ have the same residue as $f(X)$, so, in particular, $k_n = k'_n$. Therefore, $f_n = f'_n$. □

2.2. Residually algebraic extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p which are DVRs. In this section we characterize DVRs of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ extending \mathbb{Z}_p such that the residue field extension is algebraic, necessarily of infinite degree by Corollary 2.12; this fact has already been noted in a different way in [Peruginelli 2017, p. 4217]. We will see in Section 2.3 that there is no such restriction on the residue field degree for DVRs of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ which are residually algebraic extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (see Corollary 2.28).

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we denote by $\mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha}$ the unique valuation domain of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ lying over \mathbb{Z}_p (i.e., $\mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha} = \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$). We also set

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\},$$

which is a valuation domain of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ and coincides with the previous definition if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ be a transcendental element. Then there exists a Cauchy stacked sequence $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ converging to α . Moreover, the valued fields $(\mathbb{Q}_p(X), \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha})$ and $(\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha), \mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha})$ are isomorphic. In particular, the ramification index $e(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} | \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is equal to e_α , the residue field degree $f(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} | \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is equal to f_α and $e_\alpha \cdot f_\alpha = \infty$.*

Note that the last condition implies that either e_α or f_α is infinite. It can happen that exactly one of these two quantities is finite (see Theorem 2.21).

Proof. The proof of the first claim follows also by [Alexandru et al. 1998, Proposition 2.2], but we give here a different proof based on the previous results.

By Theorem 2.5, there exists a stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$. Since the valuation domains $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X)$ contract down to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ to the same valuation domain, there exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\sigma(\alpha)}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}}$. By [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021, Proposition 5.3], E is then a Cauchy sequence converging to $\sigma(\alpha)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\sigma(\alpha)}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that E converges to α .

Since α is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p , the evaluation homomorphism $\text{ev}_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$, $\phi(X) \mapsto \phi(\alpha)$, is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that $\text{ev}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}) = \mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha}$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha}$ have the same ramification indexes and residue field degrees over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Finally, the last claim follows by Corollary 2.12. □

Remark 2.15. By Proposition 2.14, we may conclude that, in general,

$$\text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p, \text{ we have } e_\alpha \cdot f_\alpha < \infty \text{ if and only if } \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}.$$

The next lemma may be well known, but lacking a reference we give a short proof.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime, K_1 and K_2 finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p and $L = K_1 K_2$ the compositum. Let e_1 be the ramification index of K_1 over \mathbb{Q}_p and e the ramification index of L over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then $e \leq e_1$.*

Proof. If K_1 is a tame extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then the ramification index of L over \mathbb{Q}_p is equal to

$$\text{lcm}\{e(K_1|\mathbb{Q}_p), e(K_2|\mathbb{Q}_p)\}$$

(see, for example, [Chabert and Halberstadt 2018]), so e divides e_1 and the claim is true.

We give a self-contained proof which works in general. Let L' be the normal closure of L over \mathbb{Q}_p and I the inertia group of the maximal ideal $M_{L'}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . Let G_i be the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L'|K_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and G the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L'|L)$. Since $L = K_1 K_2$, we have $G = G_1 \cap G_2$. The inertia group of $M_{L'}$ over M_{K_1} is equal to $I \cap G_1$, and the inertia group of $M_{L'}$ over M_L is equal to $I \cap G$. We have

$$e = \frac{e(L'|K_2)}{e(L'|L)} = \frac{\#(I \cap G_2)}{\#(I \cap G)}, \quad e_1 = \frac{e(L'|\mathbb{Q}_p)}{e(L'|K_1)} = \frac{\#I}{\#(I \cap G_1)}.$$

Note that $I \cap G = (I \cap G_1) \cap (I \cap G_2)$. Therefore, the claim follows by the following general fact for finite groups: given a finite group G with two subgroups H_1 and H_2 , we have

$$\frac{\#H_2}{\#(H_1 \cap H_2)} = [H_2 : H_1 \cap H_2] \leq \frac{\#G}{\#H_1} = [G : H_1],$$

which follows immediately since the map $h_2 H_1 \cap H_2 \mapsto h_2 H_1$ from the set $\{h_2(H_1 \cap H_2) \mid h_2 \in H_2\}$ of left cosets of $H_1 \cap H_2$ in H_2 to the set $\{g H_1 \mid g \in G\}$ of left cosets of H_1 in G is injective. □

The following result is analogous to [Peruginelli 2017, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 2.17. *Let W be a DVR of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ which is a residually algebraic extension of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then there exists a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$.*

Proof. Note that, by Corollary 2.12, the residue field of W is an infinite algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_p .

By Theorem 2.5, there exists a stacked sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$. By assumption, the ramification index $e(W|\mathbb{Z}_p) = e$ is finite. By Remark 2.2 and Proposition 2.7, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_n = \Gamma_{n_0}$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Equivalently, $e_n = e_{n_0} = e$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Let $n \geq n_0$. Note that $\delta_n = v_p(s_{n+1} - s_n) \in \Gamma_{O_{K_n}}$, where $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n, s_{n+1})$. Note that the ramification index of $\mathbb{Q}_p(s_i)$ over \mathbb{Q}_p is equal to e for $i = n, n + 1$. By Lemma 2.16, the ramification index of K_n over \mathbb{Q}_p is bounded by e^2 . If $d = \prod_{i=1}^{e^2} i$, then $d\delta_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $n \geq n_0$. This shows that the gauge $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E has bounded denominator, so $\delta_n \nearrow \infty$, and thus E is Cauchy and converges to a (unique) element α of $\mathbb{C}_p \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ since E is of transcendental type by Lemma 2.3. In particular, $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$. \square

Remark 2.18. We say an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ has *bounded ramification* if the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) \supseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ has finite ramification. We denote by \mathbb{C}_p^{br} the set of all elements of \mathbb{C}_p of bounded ramification; clearly, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$. A transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ has bounded ramification if and only if the set of ramification indexes $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ attached to a stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ converging to α is bounded; in fact, by Theorem 2.17, the integer e such that $e = e_n$ for all n sufficiently large is equal to $e(\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)|\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

We remark that not all the transcendental elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ have bounded ramification. For example, according to [Ioviřă and Zaharescu 1995], there exist *generic* transcendental elements $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$ for \mathbb{C}_p ; that is, the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(t)$ is equal to \mathbb{C}_p . In particular, the value group of the unique valuation of $\mathbb{Q}_{p,t}$ is equal to \mathbb{Q} , so the corresponding ramification index is ∞ . Hence, by Proposition 2.7, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,t}$ has value group equal to \mathbb{Q} and therefore the set of ramification indexes $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded.

We show in Theorem 2.21 that given any algebraic extension k of \mathbb{F}_p and group Γ such that $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, there exists a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ , provided that either $[k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ is infinite or Γ is not discrete (this condition being necessary by Corollary 2.12).

Lemma 2.19. *Let l be an infinite algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q}_p such that $e(l|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is finite. Then l is contained in the maximal unramified extension K^{unr} of a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{Q}_p^{(n)}$ be the compositum of all the extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree bounded by n . Clearly, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}_p^{(n)}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p^{(n)} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^{(n+1)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{Q}_p has only finitely many extensions of bounded degree, $\mathbb{Q}_p^{(n)} = \mathbb{Q}_p(t_n)$ for some $t_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) \cap l = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ for some $s_n \in l$. Clearly, $l = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\Gamma_{s_n} \subseteq \Gamma_{s_{n+1}} \subseteq \Gamma_l$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Γ_l is discrete by assumption, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_{s_n} = \Gamma_{s_{n_0}}$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, if $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n_0})$, then $s_n \in K^{\text{unr}}$ for each $n \geq n_0$, so that $l \subseteq K^{\text{unr}}$. \square

The next proposition shows that a transcendental element t of \mathbb{C}_p with bounded ramification arise as the limit of sequences contained in the maximal unramified extension K^{unr} of a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p . We don't know whether there exists a stacked sequence in K^{unr} which converges to t .

Proposition 2.20. *Let $t \in \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$. Then t is the limit of a sequence contained in the maximal unramified extension of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Proof. By [Ioviță and Zaharescu 1995, Theorem 1], the completion of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is equal to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)}$. In particular, there exists a Cauchy sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ converging to t . Now, since $\mathbb{Q}_p(t) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)}$ are immediate extensions, it follows that $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has value group Γ_t and residue field k_t . By Lemma 2.19, $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(t)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is contained in the maximal unramified extension of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The statement follows. \square

The following result is not new; see for example [Lampert 1986, Lemma 2]. The present proof is different because it employs the notion of stacked sequence.

Theorem 2.21. *Let k be an algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_p and Γ a totally ordered group with $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ such that either $[k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ or $[\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}]$ is infinite (the last condition is equivalent to Γ being not discrete). Then there exists a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $k_\alpha = k$ and $\Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ .*

Note that, by Corollary 2.12, the last claim shows that $[k : \mathbb{F}_p] \cdot [\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}] = \infty$ is necessary.

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is countable, we may suppose that $k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k_n$, where k_n is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_p , $k_n \subseteq k_{n+1}$ and $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$. Similarly, $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$, where Γ_n is a discrete group, $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}$ and $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{Z}$. Let $f = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $e = [\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}]$; then, either e or f is infinite. Without loss of generality, we may assume that, for each n , $[k_{n+1} : k_n][\Gamma_{n+1} : \Gamma_n] > 1$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a local field $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ with residue field k_n and value group Γ_n . By induction, we may also assume that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ be a strictly increasing sequence in \mathbb{Q} which is unbounded and $\lambda_0 < \delta_0 = v(s_1 - s_0)$.

We define now a sequence $E = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, we have

- (i) $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$,
- (ii) $(t_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1} = v_p(t_n - t_{n-1}))$ is a minimal pair,
- (iii) $\delta_{n-1} > \lambda_{n-1}$.

In particular, E is a stacked sequence by conditions (i) and (ii) and Cauchy by condition (iii) and the assumption on $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We set $t_0 = s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $t_1 = s_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\delta_0 = v_p(t_1 - t_0)$. Note that (t_0, δ_0) is a minimal pair. We proceed by induction on n . We assume that, for all $m < n$, we have chosen $t_m \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above are satisfied.

We now show how to choose t_n . We choose $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $a_n \neq 0$, such that

$$v_p(a_n) > \max\{\omega(t_{n-1}) - v_p(s_n), \lambda_{n-1} - v_p(s_n)\}.$$

We then set

$$t_n = a_n s_n + t_{n-1}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ since by induction $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_{n-1})$ and the last field is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$. Now, since $\delta_{n-1} = v_p(t_n - t_{n-1}) > \omega(t_{n-1})$, it follows by Krasner's lemma that $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_{n-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(t_n)$. This containment and the fact that $s_n = (t_n - t_{n-1})/a_n$ show that s_n is in $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n)$, so that $\mathbb{Q}_p(t_n) = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$.

Moreover, note also that $\delta_{n-1} > \lambda_{n-1}$. Hence $E = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a stacked sequence which is Cauchy, so E converges to a transcendental element α of \mathbb{C}_p . By Proposition 2.7, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ , as desired. By Proposition 2.14, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha}$, so it follows that $\Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma$ and $k_\alpha = k$. □

Remark 2.22. We remark that, without condition (iii) above in the proof of Theorem 2.21, in general we may only conclude that there exists a stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (which may not be Cauchy) such that the valuation domain $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ has residue field k and value group Γ . If instead Γ is discrete by assumption, condition (iii) is not necessary: in fact, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{n_0} = \Gamma$ for all $n \geq n_0$; that is, $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(s_n)$ is an unramified extension of K_{n_0} for all $n > n_0$. Hence $E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is Cauchy, and so $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ is the transcendental limit of E .

We close this section showing that the statement of [Ioviță and Zaharescu 1995, Proposition 1] is wrong, namely, in general the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ with respect to a residually algebraic torsion extension W of \mathbb{Z}_p may not be a subfield of \mathbb{C}_p . The mistake is due to the fact that if $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ for some pseudo-convergent sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of transcendental type, then X is a pseudo-limit of E with respect to w and may not be a limit (that is, E may not be Cauchy).

Proposition 2.23. *Let W be a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$. Then the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ with respect to W is (isomorphic to) a subfield of \mathbb{C}_p if and only if there exists a transcendental element α in \mathbb{C}_p such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of transcendental type such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$.

Suppose that $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$. In particular, $X \in \mathbb{C}_p$, so there exists a Cauchy sequence $F = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which tends to X . Since $\mathbb{Q}_p(X) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ is an algebraic extension and \mathbb{C}_p is algebraically closed, then also the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ with respect to $\overline{W} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}}$ is contained in \mathbb{C}_p . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that the restriction of v_p to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ is equal to \overline{w} . In particular, $\overline{w}(X - t_n) = v_p(X - t_n) \nearrow \infty$. Since E is of transcendental type, for each n , there exists m_0 such that $\overline{w}(X - t_n) < \overline{w}(X - s_m)$ for each $m \geq m_0$. This shows that the gauge of E tends to infinity, and thus E is Cauchy; in particular, E converges to a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Therefore, $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$.

Conversely, let $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ for some transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Then, by Proposition 2.14, the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ with respect to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ and therefore can be identified to a subfield of \mathbb{C}_p . □

In particular, if $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ for some stacked non-Cauchy sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)} \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$.

2.3. Residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We now characterize residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. We remark that such a valuation domain may have an extension to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ which is a residually algebraic extension of \mathbb{Z}_p but is not torsion. For example, let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ is torsion but $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ is not (the one dimensional valuation overring of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ is $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]_{(p_\alpha(X))}$, where $p_\alpha(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q}_p).

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we consider the following valuation domain of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\},$$

which is just the contraction to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ considered in Section 2.1. Similarly, if $E = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type, then we set

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} = \{\phi \in \mathbb{Q}(X) \mid \phi(s_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ for all sufficiently large } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

which is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} \cap \mathbb{Q}(X)$.

The next proposition is analogous to Proposition 2.4 and characterizes residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ in terms of pseudo-convergent sequences of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which are of transcendental type over \mathbb{Q} ; clearly, every pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ belongs to this class. As a particular case, we find again part of the result of [Peruginelli 2017, Theorem 2.5].

Proposition 2.24. *Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let W be a residually algebraic torsion extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Then there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of transcendental type over \mathbb{Q} such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$. More precisely, let e and f be the ramification index and residue field degree of W over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, respectively. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ with respect to the W -adic topology. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$ is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .
- (2) X is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p .
- (3) $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} .
- (4) $ef < \infty$.

If any one of these conditions holds, then the sequence E above is Cauchy and converges to α (and E is therefore of algebraic type over \mathbb{Q}_p). Moreover, we have $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_\alpha$ and $k_w = k_\alpha$.

If $ef = \infty$, then $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is of transcendental type over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ is an immediate extension.

Proof. Note that, since W is a torsion extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, the p -adic completion \mathbb{Q}_p of \mathbb{Q} is contained in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$; see for example the arguments given in the proof of [Alexandru et al. 1988, Corollary 2.6].

If $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$ is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then clearly X is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p , so (1) implies (2). If X is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p , we may identify X with some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and is hence complete. So, $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$. As in the proof of [Peruginelli 2017, Theorem 2.5] it follows easily that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$. Therefore, (2) implies (3).

If $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , then, by [Peruginelli 2017, Proposition 2.2], $ef < \infty$, so (3) implies (4). Finally, (4) implies (1) by [Peruginelli 2017, Lemma 2.4] because $e(\widehat{W} | \mathbb{Z}_p) = e$ and $f(\widehat{W} | \mathbb{Z}_p) = f$.

Note that if $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a pseudo-convergent sequence such that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$, then by Lemma 2.27 below we have $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$, so by [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021, Proposition 5.3] we have that E is Cauchy and converges to α .

The claims about the value group and residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ follow by [Peruginelli 2017, Proposition 2.2].

If $ef = \infty$, then X is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p by the previous part of the proof; in particular, the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ is contained in the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$. If $\widetilde{W} = \widehat{W} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, then \widetilde{W} is a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, so by Theorem 2.5 there exists a stacked sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\widetilde{W} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ (by Lemma 2.3, E is a pseudo-convergent sequence of transcendental type, necessarily unbounded). Restricting down to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$, we get $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$. Finally, since $W \subset \widehat{W}$ is an immediate extension, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ is an immediate extension, too. Hence the value group and residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$ are the same as those of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$, respectively (see Proposition 2.7). \square

The following statement is the analog of Proposition 2.23 for residually algebraic torsion extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$.

Corollary 2.25. *Let W be a residually algebraic torsion extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Then the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$ with respect to W is (isomorphic to) a subfield of \mathbb{C}_p if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2.24, when passing to the completion, either X is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p or X is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p , and consequently either $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p(X) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$, respectively. In the first case, $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . In the second case, $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)} = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$, where the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ is considered with respect to the valuation domain $\widetilde{W} = \widehat{W} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$. In particular, by Proposition 2.23, in this case we get that $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ if and only if there exists a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$. \square

In particular, if $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$ for some stacked non-Cauchy sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(X)}$ is not contained in \mathbb{C}_p .

The following result is the analog of Theorem 2.21 for building residually algebraic torsion extensions W of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ with prescribed residue field k and value group Γ . Note that, contrary to that theorem, we are no longer assuming that $[k : \mathbb{F}_p] \cdot [\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}] = \infty$.

Theorem 2.26. *Let k be an algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_p and Γ a totally ordered group such that $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ .*

Proof. Let $e = [\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}]$ and $f = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$. If $ef < \infty$, then it is well known that there exists $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} such that $\mathbb{O}_{p,\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ . Hence, by [Peruginelli 2017, Proposition 2.2], $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ is the desired extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

If $ef = \infty$, then, by Theorem 2.21, there exists a transcendental element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ . Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ is a residually algebraic torsion extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.14, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ for some stacked Cauchy sequence $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which converges to α . In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$. By the last part of Proposition 2.24, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),E} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p,E}$ is an immediate extension, so $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ has residue field k and value group Γ . \square

Now we are able to describe the DVRs of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ which are residually algebraic extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. We recall that every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ extends uniquely to a continuous automorphism of \mathbb{C}_p ; see [Alexandru et al. 1998, §3]. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we say that α and β are conjugate (over \mathbb{Q}_p) if there exists $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$; the orbit of an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ is finite if and only if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; see [Alexandru et al. 1998, Remark 3.2].

We prove first the following lemma.

Lemma 2.27. *Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and W be a valuation domain of $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ such that $W \cap \mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Then $W = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer such that $p^n \cdot \alpha = \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{O}_p$. The field isomorphism $X \mapsto X/p^n$ maps $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha_0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ to \mathbb{Z}_{p,α_0} , respectively. Hence, in order to prove the statement, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{O}_p$.

Let w be a valuation associated to W . We note first that, since $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$, it follows that $w(X) \geq 0$. Let $f \in W \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$, say $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i X^i$. Then, for $g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, we have

$$w(f - g) \geq \min_{0 \leq i \leq d} \{v_p(\alpha_i - a_i) + i w(X)\}.$$

Therefore, if we choose $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ sufficiently v_p -adically close to α_i for each $i = 0, \dots, d$, we have $w(f - g) \geq 0$. In particular, $g \in W \cap \mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$. The polynomial $h = f - g$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$; therefore $f(\alpha) = h(\alpha) + g(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p$, so that $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$. Therefore $W \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$. Similarly, one can easily show that the other containment holds, so $W \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X] = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$. In the same way, $M_W \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X] = M_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$, where M_W and $M_{p,\alpha}$ are the maximal ideals of W and $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$, respectively.

Let now $\psi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$; since $\mathbb{Z}_p[X] \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$, we may suppose that $\psi = f/g$, where $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$. Clearly, $g(\alpha) \neq 0$; then, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $c \neq 0$, such that $v_p(c) + v_p(g(\alpha)^n) = 0$. We consider then the rational function $\psi^n = cf^n/cg^n = f_1/g_1$, which still is in $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$. Note that $f_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X] = W \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ and $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}^* \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X] = W^* \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ since $v_{p,\alpha}(f_1) \geq v_{p,\alpha}(g_1) = 0$ (the $*$ denotes the set of units of the valuation domains). In particular,

$$w(f_1) \geq 0 = w(g_1),$$

which proves that $\psi^n \in W$. Since W is integrally closed, it follows that $\psi \in W$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} \subseteq W$. The equality follows because both rings are extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$, and in the case that α is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p , the one-dimensional valuation overring of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}$ is nonunitary (i.e., $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]_{(q)}$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of α). \square

Corollary 2.28. *Let W be a DVR of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ which is a residually algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$. The element α belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ if and only if the residue field extension $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \subseteq W/M$ is finite.*

Moreover, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\beta}$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$.

Proof. Let $f = [W/M : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$. If $f < \infty$, then the claim follows by [Peruginelli 2017, Theorem 2.5] and corresponds to the first case of Proposition 2.24: $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which is transcendental

over \mathbb{Q} . If $f = \infty$, then we are in the last case of Proposition 2.24, so $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),E}$ for some pseudo-convergent sequence in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of transcendental type. As in the proof of Proposition 2.24, we denote by \widehat{W} the completion of W ; since the ramification index $e(W|\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is finite, $\widetilde{W} = \widehat{W} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ is a residually algebraic torsion extension of \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ which is a DVR, so by Theorem 2.17, $\widetilde{W} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Hence $W = \widetilde{W} \cap \mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$. Note that α is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p and hence also over \mathbb{Q} .

We prove now the final claim. Suppose there exists $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$. If $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$, then $\phi(\alpha)$ is defined and belongs to \mathbb{O}_p . In particular, $\sigma(\phi(\alpha)) = \phi(\sigma(\alpha)) = \phi(\beta) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\beta}$, and the other containment is proved in a symmetrical way.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\beta}$. By Lemma 2.27, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\beta}$. Note that the last two valuation domains are the contraction to $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ of the valuation domains

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}} = \{\phi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)} \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\beta}} = \{\phi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)} \mid \phi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{O}_p\}$$

of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$, respectively. By [Bourbaki 1985b, Chapter VI, §8, 6., Corollary 1], there exists a $\mathbb{Q}_p(X)$ -automorphism σ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p(X)}$ such that $\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\beta}}$. It is easy to check that $\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\alpha}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\sigma(\alpha)}}$. In particular, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\sigma(\alpha)}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\beta}}$. If $\sigma(\alpha) - \beta \neq 0$, let $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be such that $v_p(c) > v_p(\sigma(\alpha) - \beta)$. Let $a \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be such that $v_p(a - \sigma(\alpha)) \geq v_p(c)$. Then the polynomial $(X - a)/c$ is in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\sigma(\alpha)}}$ and not in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\beta}}$, which is a contradiction. \square

Note that, for a DVR W as in the statement of Corollary 2.28, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{O}_p \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ of bounded ramification such that $W = \mathbb{Z}_{(p),\alpha}$ if and only if $X \in W$. This last condition occurs for example if W is an overring of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$.

3. Polynomial Dedekind domains

In order to describe the family of Dedekind domains lying between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, we briefly recall the notion of integer-valued polynomials on algebras; see [Chabert and Peruginelli 2016; Peruginelli and Werner 2017], for example. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and A a torsion-free D algebra. We embed K and A into the extended K -algebra $B = A \otimes_D K$, and this allows us to evaluate polynomials over K at elements of A . If $f \in K[X]$ and $a \in A$ are such that $f(a) \in A$, then we say that f is integer-valued at a . In general, given a subset S of A , we denote by

$$\text{Int}_K(S, A) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(s) \in A, \forall s \in S\}$$

the ring of integer-valued polynomials over S . We omit the subscript K if $A = D$.

In our setting, let

$$\mathcal{O} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{O}_p \subset \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_p) \in \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p$ and $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, we have $f(\alpha) = (f(\alpha_p))$, which is an element of $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p$. If $E = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p$ is a subset of $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p$, then

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E, \mathcal{O}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}, \forall \alpha \in E\};$$

that is, a polynomial f is in $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ if $f(\alpha_p) \in \mathbb{O}_p$ for each $\alpha_p \in E_p$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. By an argument similar to [Chabert and Peruginelli 2016, Remark 6.3], there is no loss in generality to suppose that a subset of $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p$ is of the form $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p$ when dealing with such rings of integer-valued polynomials.

We remark that we have the following representation for the ring $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ as an intersection of valuation overrings (see [Peruginelli 2023, (2.2)], for example):

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O}) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \bigcap_{\alpha_p \in E_p} \mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p} \cap \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{irr}}} \mathbb{Q}[X]_{(q)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where \mathcal{P}^{irr} denotes the set of irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. By [Peruginelli 2017, Proposition 2.2], the valuation domain $\mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ has rank 1 if and only if α_p is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} and has rank 2 otherwise (in the last case, note that necessarily $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$).

A totally similar argument to [Peruginelli 2023, Lemma 2.5] shows that, for $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we have

$$(\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z})^{-1}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})) = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(E_p) \subseteq \mathbb{O}_p\}.$$

We also need to recall the following definition introduced in [Peruginelli 2023].

Definition 3.2. We say that a subset \underline{E} of \mathcal{O} is *polynomially factorizable* if, for each $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_p) \in \underline{E}$, there exist $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n, d \geq 1$, such that $g(\alpha)^n/d$ is a unit of \mathcal{O} ; that is, $v_p(g(\alpha_p)^n/d) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

The next theorem characterizes which rings of integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ are Dedekind domains. Given $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and a subset E_p of \mathbb{C}_p , we say that E_p has finitely many $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -orbits if E_p contains finitely many equivalence classes under the relation of conjugacy over \mathbb{Q}_p (we stress that E_p may not necessarily contain a full $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -orbit). By Corollary 2.28, this condition holds if and only if the set $\{\mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p} \mid \alpha_p \in E_p\}$ is finite. Furthermore, if $E_p \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then the number of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -orbits is finite if and only if E_p is a finite set.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\underline{E} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p \subset \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{C}_p$. Then $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ is a Dedekind domain if and only if, for each prime p , E_p is a subset of \mathbb{C}_p^{br} of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} with finitely many $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -orbits and \underline{E} is polynomially factorizable.

Moreover, if the above conditions hold, then the class group of $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the class groups $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and, if $E_p = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, where the α_i are pairwise nonconjugate over \mathbb{Q}_p , then $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p)) = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, where e is the greatest common divisor of the ramification indexes of α_i over \mathbb{Q}_p .

In particular, assuming that E_p is formed by pairwise nonconjugate elements over \mathbb{Q}_p for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ is a PID if and only if \underline{E} is polynomially factorizable and, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, E_p contains at most one element $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ such that α_p is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} and unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof. Let $R = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$.

Suppose that the above conditions on \underline{E} are satisfied. By (3.1), R is equal to an intersection of DVRs. Moreover, R has finite character; that is, for every nonzero $f \in R$, f belongs to finitely many maximal

ideals of the family of DVRs appearing in (3.1): in fact, if $f(X) = g(X)/n$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$, then f is divisible only by finitely many $q \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{irr}}$; since \underline{E} is polynomially factorizable, by [Peruginelli 2023, Lemma 2.12], the set $\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists \alpha_p \in E_p, v_p(g(\alpha_p)) > 0\}$ is finite, so that f belongs to finitely many maximal ideals of the family $\mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p}$, $\alpha_p \in E_p$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Hence R is a Krull domain.

Suppose that R is not a Dedekind domain. By [Heitmann 1974, Proposition 2.2], there exists a maximal ideal $M \subset R$ of height strictly greater than one. If $M \cap \mathbb{Z} = (0)$, then, since $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subseteq R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$, it follows that $R_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} = \mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $2 \leq ht M = ht(M_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}) \leq \dim(\mathbb{Q}[X]) = 1$, a contradiction. Hence $M \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If we now localize at p , we have that $(\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z})^{-1}R = R_p = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p)$, which is a Dedekind domain by [Eakin and Heinzer 1973, Theorem]. So $(\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z})^{-1}M \subset R_p$ cannot have dimension strictly greater than one, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that R is a Dedekind domain. In particular, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$,

$$(\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z})^{-1}R = R_p = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p)$$

is a Dedekind domain, so $\{\mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p} \mid \alpha_p \in E_p\}$ is a finite set of DVRs (because p is contained in only finitely many maximal ideals of these valuation overrings) which implies that E_p is a subset of \mathbb{C}_p^{br} of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} and E_p has finitely many $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -orbits. Since every polynomial of R is contained in only finitely many maximal ideals, it follows easily that \underline{E} is polynomially factorizable.

Finally, suppose that R is a Dedekind domain. As in [Peruginelli 2023, Lemma 2.14], we have $\text{Cl}(R) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \text{Cl}(R_p)$, where $R_p = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p)$ for $p \in \mathbb{P}$. The claim about the class group of R_p follows by [Peruginelli 2023, Proposition 2.10] or by [Eakin and Heinzer 1973, Theorem], since, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we are assuming that $E_p = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is formed by pairwise nonconjugate elements over \mathbb{Q}_p .

The claim about when $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathbb{O})$ is a PID is now straightforward. \square

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. In [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 2.17], we show that if R is a Dedekind domain between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that the residue fields of prime characteristic are finite fields, then $R = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$, for some $\underline{E} = \prod_p E_p \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that \underline{E} is polynomially factorizable and, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, E_p is a finite subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} . Now, we are able to complete the classification of the Dedekind domains R , $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subset R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$, without any restriction on the residue fields.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a Dedekind domain such that $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subset R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Then R is equal to $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ for some polynomially factorizable subset $\underline{E} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that, for each prime p , $E_p \subset \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ is a finite set of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. Note first that, by [Peruginelli 2018, Theorem 3.14], no valuation overring of W of R can be a residually transcendental extension of $W \cap \mathbb{Q}$ since, for such a valuation domain W , the domain $W \cap \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is not Prüfer. Hence, for each prime ideal $P \subset R$ such that $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, R_P is a DVR of $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ which is a residually algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. By Corollary 2.28, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ such that $R_P = \mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha}$. Let E_p be the subset of \mathbb{C}_p^{br} formed by all such α_p . Note that, since p is contained in only finitely many maximal ideals P of R , it follows that E_p is a finite set; moreover, each element of E_p is

transcendental over \mathbb{Q} since R_p is a DVR. It now follows that

$$R = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \bigcap_{\substack{P \subseteq R \\ P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}}} R_p \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E_p, \mathbb{O}_p) = \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O}).$$

The rest of the statement follows by Theorem 3.3. \square

Finally, the next corollary describes the PIDs among the family of Dedekind domains between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a PID such that $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subset R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Then R is equal to $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ for some $\underline{E} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that, for each prime p , E_p contains at most one element $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ such that α_p is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} and unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\underline{E} = \{\alpha = (\alpha_p)\}$ is polynomially factorizable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, the ring R is equal to $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ for some polynomially factorizable subset $\underline{E} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that, for each prime p , $E_p \subset \mathbb{O}_p \cap \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ is a set of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} with finitely many $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -orbits. Since by hypothesis the class group of R is trivial, it follows by Theorem 3.3 that, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, E_p contains at most one element, which is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} and unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . \square

Remark 3.6. As we mentioned in the Introduction, given a group G which is the direct sum of a countable family of finitely generated abelian groups, there exists a Dedekind domain R between $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ with class group G [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 3.1]. The domain R of that construction is equal to $\text{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{E}, \mathcal{O})$ for some polynomially factorizable subset $\underline{E} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} E_p$, where E_p is a finite subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of transcendental elements over \mathbb{Q} . In particular, R has finite residue fields of prime characteristic [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 2.17]; the reason is that the valuation overrings $\mathbb{Z}_{(p), \alpha_p}$ of R in (3.1) have finite residue fields precisely because α_p is chosen in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ (Proposition 2.24).

Now, by means of Theorem 2.26, with the same method used in [Peruginelli 2023, Theorem 3.1], we can build a Dedekind domain R , $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subset R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X]$, with prescribed class group G as above and prescribed residue fields of prime characteristic, which can be finite or infinite algebraic extensions of the prime field \mathbb{F}_p according to whether the above elements $\alpha_p \in E_p \subset \mathbb{C}_p^{\text{br}}$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q} are either algebraic or transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p .

References

- [Alexandru and Popescu 1988] V. Alexandru and N. Popescu, “Sur une classe de prolongements à $K(X)$ d’une valuation sur un corps K ”, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **33**:5 (1988), 393–400. MR
- [Alexandru et al. 1988] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, “A theorem of characterization of residual transcendental extensions of a valuation”, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **28**:4 (1988), 579–592. MR
- [Alexandru et al. 1990a] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, “All valuations on $K(X)$ ”, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **30**:2 (1990), 281–296. MR
- [Alexandru et al. 1990b] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, “Minimal pairs of definition of a residual transcendental extension of a valuation”, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **30**:2 (1990), 207–225. MR
- [Alexandru et al. 1998] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, “On the closed subfields of \mathbb{C}_p ”, *J. Number Theory* **68**:2 (1998), 131–150. MR

- [Bourbaki 1985a] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 1 à 4*, Masson, Paris, 1985. MR
- [Bourbaki 1985b] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 5 à 7*, Masson, Paris, 1985. MR
- [Chabert and Halberstadt 2018] J.-L. Chabert and E. Halberstadt, “On Abhyankar’s lemma about ramification indices”, preprint, 2018. arXiv 1805.08869
- [Chabert and Peruginelli 2016] J.-L. Chabert and G. Peruginelli, “Polynomial overrings of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ ”, *J. Commut. Algebra* **8**:1 (2016), 1–28. MR
- [Eakin and Heinzer 1973] P. Eakin and W. Heinzer, “More noneuclidian PID’s and Dedekind domains with prescribed class group”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1973), 66–68. MR
- [Engler and Prestel 2005] A. J. Engler and A. Prestel, *Valued fields*, Springer, 2005. MR
- [Heitmann 1974] R. C. Heitmann, “PID’s with specified residue fields”, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 565–582. MR
- [Ioviță and Zaharescu 1995] A. Ioviță and A. Zaharescu, “Completions of r.a.t.-valued fields of rational functions”, *J. Number Theory* **50**:2 (1995), 202–205. MR
- [Kaplansky 1942] I. Kaplansky, “Maximal fields with valuations”, *Duke Math. J.* **9** (1942), 303–321. MR
- [Khanduja and Saha 1999] S. K. Khanduja and J. Saha, “A generalized fundamental principle”, *Mathematika* **46**:1 (1999), 83–92. MR
- [Lampert 1986] D. Lampert, “Algebraic p -adic expansions”, *J. Number Theory* **23**:3 (1986), 279–284. MR
- [Matignon and Ohm 1988] M. Matignon and J. Ohm, “A structure theorem for simple transcendental extensions of valued fields”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**:2 (1988), 392–402. MR
- [Narkiewicz 2004] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, 3rd ed., Springer, 2004. MR
- [Ostrowski 1935a] A. Ostrowski, “Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper, I”, *Math. Z.* **39**:1 (1935), 269–320. MR
- [Ostrowski 1935b] A. Ostrowski, “Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper, II–III”, *Math. Z.* **39**:1 (1935), 321–404. MR
- [Peruginelli 2017] G. Peruginelli, “Transcendental extensions of a valuation domain of rank one”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**:10 (2017), 4211–4226. MR
- [Peruginelli 2018] G. Peruginelli, “Prüfer intersection of valuation domains of a field of rational functions”, *J. Algebra* **509** (2018), 240–262. MR
- [Peruginelli 2023] G. Peruginelli, “Polynomial Dedekind domains with finite residue fields of prime characteristic”, *Pacific J. Math.* **324**:2 (2023), 333–351. MR
- [Peruginelli and Spirito 2020] G. Peruginelli and D. Spirito, “The Zariski–Riemann space of valuation domains associated to pseudo-convergent sequences”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373**:11 (2020), 7959–7990. MR
- [Peruginelli and Spirito 2021] G. Peruginelli and D. Spirito, “Extending valuations to the field of rational functions using pseudo-monotone sequences”, *J. Algebra* **586** (2021), 756–786. MR
- [Peruginelli and Werner 2017] G. Peruginelli and N. J. Werner, “Non-triviality conditions for integer-valued polynomial rings on algebras”, *Monatsh. Math.* **183**:1 (2017), 177–189. MR
- [Popescu and Zaharescu 1995] N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, “On the structure of the irreducible polynomials over local fields”, *J. Number Theory* **52**:1 (1995), 98–118. MR
- [Ribenoim 1958] P. Ribenoim, “Corps maximaux et complets par des valuations de Krull”, *Math. Z.* **69** (1958), 466–479. MR
- [Ribenoim 1968] P. Ribenoim, *Théorie des valuations*, Sémin. Math. Sup. **9**, Presses Univ. Montréal, 1968. MR
- [Zariski and Samuel 1960] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra, II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960. MR

Communicated by Anand Pillay

Received 2023-03-21 Revised 2024-06-10 Accepted 2024-10-21

gperugin@math.unipd.it

Dipartimento di Matematica “Tullio Levi-Civita”, Università di Padova,
Padova, Italy

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR
Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR
David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J.-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$565/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19 No. 10 2025

Smooth numbers are orthogonal to nilsequences LILIAN MATTHIESEN and MENGDI WANG	1881
Stacked pseudo-convergent sequences and polynomial Dedekind domains GIULIO PERUGINELLI	1947
Affine Deligne–Lusztig varieties via the double Bruhat graph, I: Semi-infinite orbits FELIX SCHREMMER	1973
Affine Deligne–Lusztig varieties via the double Bruhat graph, II: Iwahori–Hecke algebra FELIX SCHREMMER	2015
Paucity of rational points on fibrations with multiple fibres TIM BROWNING, JULIAN LYCZAK and ARNE SMEETS	2049