

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19
2025
No. 12

Self-correlations of Hurwitz class numbers

Alexander Walker



Self-correlations of Hurwitz class numbers

Alexander Walker

The asymptotic study of class numbers of binary quadratic forms is a foundational problem in arithmetic statistics. Here, we investigate finer statistics of class numbers by studying their self-correlations under additive shifts. Specifically, we produce uniform asymptotics for the shifted convolution sum $\sum_{n < X} H(n)H(n + \ell)$ for fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, in which $H(n)$ denotes the Hurwitz class number.

1. Introduction

The study of class numbers of binary quadratic forms has a rich history, dating back to Lagrange and Gauss. In *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, Gauss made several conjectures about the distribution of class numbers, including the famous statement that the class number $h(-D)$ of binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-D$ should diverge to infinity as $D \rightarrow \infty$. Gauss' conjecture was established by Heilbronn [12], with effective lower bounds first obtained through the combined work of Goldfeld [7] and Gross and Zagier [11].

Moment estimates for class numbers have been studied by many authors, often using Dirichlet's class number to reduce the problem to estimates for families of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at the special point 1. For example, Wolke [34] proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \tilde{h}(-n)^\alpha = c(\alpha)X^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} + O_\alpha(X^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}) \quad (1-1)$$

for fixed $\alpha > 0$, where $\tilde{h}(-n)$ denotes the number of classes of *primitive* binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-n$. Later work of Granville and Soundararajan [10] implies that the main term in (1-1) holds with some uniform error for any $\alpha \ll \log X$.

In comparison, shifted convolution estimates for class numbers are far less understood. Recent work of Kumaraswamy [23] considers

$$D(X, \ell) := \sum_{n \leq X}^b h(-n)h(-n - \ell),$$

in which \sum^b denotes restriction to n such that both $-n$ and $-n - \ell$ are fundamental discriminants, with neither congruent to 1 mod 8. Kumaraswamy applies the circle method to prove that

$$D(X, \ell) = c_\ell X^{\frac{3}{2}}(X + \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_\epsilon(X^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{30}}(X + \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{60}+\epsilon})$$

MSC2020: primary 11E41; secondary 11F72, 11M99.

Keywords: Hurwitz class number, shifted convolution, harmonic Maass form, mock modular form.

© 2025 The Author, under license to MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via [Subscribe to Open](#).

for $\ell \geq 1$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, uniformly in ℓ (cf. [23, Theorem 1.1]). For fixed ℓ , this gives a power-saving of $O(X^{1/60-\epsilon})$ in the error term.

Unfortunately, the peculiar restriction to $n, n + \ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ in [23] is essential, as this work uses the identity

$$r_3(n) = 12 \left(1 - \left(\frac{-n}{2} \right) \right) h(-n), \quad (1-2)$$

(cf. [3, Proposition 5.3.10]) to relate the class number to the Kronecker symbol and $r_3(n)$, the number of representations of n as a sum of 3 squares, which holds when $-n$ is a fundamental discriminant. Since $\left(\frac{-n}{2} \right) = 1$ for $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, the identity (1-2) gives no information about $h(-n)$ on the residue class $-n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

This article presents an alternative method for studying correlations of class numbers, via the spectral theory of automorphic forms. In this setting, it is convenient to consider a version of the class number $h(-n)$ called the Hurwitz class number $H(n)$, in which the classes containing a multiple of $x^2 + y^2$ or $x^2 - xy + y^2$ are weighted by $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$, respectively. By convention, we set $H(0) = -\frac{1}{12}$. Hurwitz class numbers feature, for one example, in Eichler–Selberg “class number relation” formulas, such as

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H(4n - m^2) = 2\sigma_1(n) + \sum_{d|n} \min\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right), \quad (1-3)$$

which appear in the work of Kronecker and Hurwitz. Here, $\sigma_\nu(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^\nu$.

More recently, Zagier [36] showed that Hurwitz class numbers arise as the coefficients of a mock modular form. Specifically, Zagier proved that

$$\mathcal{H}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H(n) e(nz) + \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{y}} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi n^2 y)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} e(-n^2 z) \quad (1-4)$$

defines a harmonic Maass form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Here, $z = x + iy$,

$$e(z) = e^{2\pi i n z},$$

and $\Gamma(\beta, y)$ denotes the incomplete gamma function. In particular, one may study Hurwitz class numbers using automorphic forms.

In this article, we leverage the analytic theory of harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms to study the shifted convolution Dirichlet series

$$D_\ell(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H(n)H(n + \ell)}{(n + \ell)^{s + \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (1-5)$$

where $\ell \geq 1$ is a fixed integer. We prove that $D_\ell(s)$ admits meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and use this information to study the self-correlations of Hurwitz class numbers under additive shifts. Our main theorem is the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\sigma_v(m) = \sum_{d|m} d^v$ denote the sum-of-divisors function, with the convention that $\sigma_v(m) = 0$ for $m \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Fix $\ell \geq 1$ and let ℓ_o denote the odd part of ℓ . Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} H(n)H(n + \ell) = \frac{\pi^2 X^2}{252 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)) + O_\epsilon(X^{\frac{5}{3}+\epsilon} + X^{1+\epsilon}\ell).$$

For $\ell \ll X^{2/3}$, this result achieves a uniform error of size $O_\epsilon(X^{5/3+\epsilon})$. For larger ℓ , the error term depends on ℓ but remains nontrivial when $\ell \ll X^{1-\epsilon}$.

Since Hurwitz class numbers agree with the ordinary class numbers $h(-n)$ for n not of the form $3m^2$ or $4m^2$, the rough upper bound $H(n) \ll n^{1/2+o(1)}$ (cf. Lemma 7.2) implies the following result as an immediate corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *With notation as above, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} h(-n - \ell)h(-n) = \frac{\pi^2 X^2}{252 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)) + O_\epsilon(X^{\frac{5}{3}+\epsilon} + X^{1+\epsilon}\ell^{1+\epsilon}).$$

The error bounds in Theorem 1.1 are of course not sharp. We conjecture that Theorem 1.1 should hold with a secondary main term and an error of size $O_\epsilon((X\ell)^{1+\epsilon})$; specifically, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq X} H(n)H(n + \ell) &= \frac{\pi^2 X^2}{252 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)) \\ &\quad - \frac{2X^{\frac{3}{2}}}{9\pi} (2\sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-1}(\ell_o)) + O_\epsilon((X\ell)^{1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{1-6}$$

To support this conjecture, we show (cf. Remark 10.1) that (1-6) holds when the cutoff $n \leq X$ is replaced by a certain class of truncations with smoothing.

Paper methodology and outline

To produce shifted convolution estimates that treat all congruence classes equally, we abandon (1-2) in favor of the generating function $\mathcal{H}(z)$ from (1-4). In particular, we treat shifted convolutions involving weak harmonic Maass forms instead of ordinary modular forms. We also depart from [23] in that we treat shifted convolutions using the spectral theory of automorphic forms, as opposed to the circle method.

Following some background material on harmonic weak Maass forms and mock modular forms in Section 2, we relate the Dirichlet series $D_\ell(s)$ defined in (1-5) to the Petersson inner product $\langle y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$, in which $P_\ell(z, s)$ is a particular Poincaré series.

We obtain a meromorphic continuation for $D_\ell(s)$ by first producing a meromorphic continuation of $\langle y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$. This task is complicated by the fact that $F(z) := y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}(z)|^2$ is not square-integrable. To address this, we show in Section 4 that $F(z)$ may be written in the form $\mathcal{V}(z) + \mathcal{E}(z)$, in which $\mathcal{V} \in L^2$ and \mathcal{E} is an explicit function involving Eisenstein series and the Jacobi theta function.

The meromorphic continuations of $\langle \mathcal{E}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{V}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ are then computed in Sections 5 and 6, respectively. While $\langle \mathcal{E}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ can be understood directly, the meromorphic continuation of $\langle \mathcal{V}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ is accomplished through spectral expansion of the Poincaré series.

The methods described up to this point apply more generally. To illustrate this, the major results of Sections 3–6 are presented with \mathcal{H} replaced by a generic weak harmonic Maass form “of polynomial growth” (cf. Section 2B). Our first significant specialization to $\mathcal{H}(z)$ occurs in Section 6, where we leverage the fact that the contribution from the nonholomorphic part of $\mathcal{H}(z)$ is unusually simple (cf. Remark 6.4) to more easily classify the poles and residues of $D_\ell(s)$ in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Our main application, Theorem 1.1, also requires uniform bounds for the growth of $D_\ell(s)$ in vertical strips. In Section 7, we address various elementary terms to reduce this problem to growth estimates for $\langle \mathcal{V}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$.

The spectral expansion of $P_\ell(z, s)$ gives a decomposition $\langle \mathcal{V}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) + \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ corresponding to contributions from the discrete and continuous spectra of the hyperbolic Laplacian. While Σ_{cont} is readily handled, the problem of bounding $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ with respect to $|\operatorname{Im} s|$ is particularly complicated and represents the central difficulty of this work.

Ultimately, our bounds for Σ_{disc} rely on decay estimates for triple inner products of the form $\langle y^{3/2} |\mathcal{H}|^2, \mu_j \rangle$, in which $\mu_j(z)$ runs through an orthonormal basis for Hecke–Maass cusp forms on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Similar inner products, of the form $\langle y^k \phi_1 \overline{\phi_2}, \mu_j \rangle$ (with ϕ_1, ϕ_2 automorphic forms of weight k) have been studied in numerous works, and we mention a few:

- a. ϕ_1, ϕ_2 weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ holomorphic cusp forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$, by [8];
- b. ϕ_1, ϕ_2 weight 0 Eisenstein series on $\Gamma_0(1)$, by [33];
- c. $\phi_1 \overline{\phi_2}$ replaced by any polynomial in Maass cusp forms, by [28];
- d. ϕ_1, ϕ_2 weight 0 Maass cusp forms on $\Gamma_0(1)$, by [19; 20];
- e. ϕ_1, ϕ_2 weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ modular forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$, by [22].

Of these prior works, (a) and (b) use the Rankin–Selberg method directly, (c) and (e) use the automorphic kernel, and (d) uses a modified Rankin–Selberg method that introduces an auxiliary Eisenstein series for the express purpose of unfolding.

Our treatment of $\langle y^{3/2} |\mathcal{H}|^2, \mu_j \rangle$ appears in Section 8. More generally, this section produces bounds for triple inner products of the form $\langle y^k |f|^2, \mu_j \rangle$, where f is a harmonic Maass form of polynomial growth of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be a harmonic Maass form of polynomial growth of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and level N . Let μ be an L^2 -normalized Hecke–Maass cusp form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$, with spectral type $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\langle y^k |f|^2, \mu \rangle \ll_{N, \epsilon} (|t|^{2k-1} + |t|^{3-2k}) |t|^\epsilon e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|}.$$

We remark that the space of harmonic Maass forms of polynomial growth includes $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, the space of modular forms. In this setting, Theorem 1.3 can be used to improve the spectral dependence in certain results of [22]. (In particular, see [22, Proposition 14].)

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 draws heavy inspiration from [19; 20], though our work is more complicated in several respects, such as the change from $\Gamma_0(1)$ to $\Gamma_0(N)$, the change in Whittaker functions (from K -Bessel functions to incomplete gamma functions), the generalization to half-integral weight, and the introduction of terms related to the fact that f need not be cuspidal. We also depart from Jutila by considering individual inner products instead of spectral large sieve inequalities. We suspect that a spectral large sieve inequality would not improve Theorem 1.1.

In Section 9, we apply these triple product estimates to complete our quantification of the growth of $D_\ell(s)$. At this point, our main result follows from a version of Perron’s formula with truncation, as presented in Section 10.

2. Harmonic weak Maass forms and mock modular forms

The theory of harmonic Maass forms was introduced by Bruinier and Funke in the context of geometric theta lifts [2]. This section reviews the basic definitions of harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms. A good reference for background material is [1, §4].

A weak Maass form of weight k on a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a smooth function $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which transforms like a modular form of weight k , is an eigenfunction of the weight k Laplacian

$$\Delta_k := -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + ik y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right),$$

and has at most linear exponential growth at cusps.

If $\Delta_k f = 0$, then f is called a harmonic (weak) Maass form of manageable growth. Let $H_k^!(\Gamma)$ denote the space of weight k harmonic Maass forms of manageable growth on Γ . If $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ or $\Gamma_1(N)$, then any $f(x + iy) \in H_k^!(\Gamma)$ admits a Fourier expansion at ∞ of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq n^+} c^+(n)e(nz) + c^-(0)y^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq n^- \\ n \neq 0}} c^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, 4\pi ny)e(-nz) \tag{2-1}$$

(cf. [1, Lemma 4.3]), where $\Gamma(\beta, y) := \int_y^\infty t^{\beta-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the incomplete gamma function. In the case $k = 1$, the term $c^-(0)y^{1-k}$ is replaced with $c^-(0) \log y$. The first sum in the Fourier expansion (2-1) of $f(z)$ is called the holomorphic part, and the rest of the right-hand side of (2-1) is the nonholomorphic part. Any function which arises as the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form of manageable growth is called a mock modular form.

Fourier expansions of analogous shape exist for each cusp of Γ . To describe this precisely, we assume henceforth that $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0(4)$ and restrict to Maass forms with the theta multiplier system ν_θ .

That is, where $\theta(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e(n^2 z)$ denotes the Jacobi theta function, we assume that

$$f(\gamma z) = \left(\frac{\theta(\gamma z)}{\theta(z)} \right)^{2k} f(z)$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. This may also be written $f(\gamma z) = \nu_\theta(\gamma)^{2k} (cz + d)^k f(z)$, in which ν_θ is defined by $\nu_\theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) := \epsilon_d^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$, where $\epsilon_d = 1$ for $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\epsilon_d = i$ for $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\det \gamma > 0$, we define the weight k slash operator by

$$f|_\gamma(z) := \left(\frac{\theta(\gamma z)}{\theta(z)} \right)^{-2k} f(\gamma z).$$

Finally, for each cusp \mathfrak{a} of Γ , let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \langle \pm t_{\mathfrak{a}} \rangle \subset \Gamma$ denote the stabilizer of \mathfrak{a} . Let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote a scaling matrix for \mathfrak{a} , i.e., a matrix in $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ for which $t_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}$. Define the cusp parameter $\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}} \in [0, 1)$ so that $e(\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \nu_\theta(t_{\mathfrak{a}})$. If $\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$, the cusp \mathfrak{a} is called singular; otherwise, \mathfrak{a} is called nonsingular.

Given all this notation, $f(z)$ admits a Fourier expansion at each cusp \mathfrak{a} of Γ , given by

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(z) := f|_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}(z) = \sum_{n \geq n^+} c_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(n) e((n + \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})z) + c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0) y^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq n^- \\ n \neq \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}}} c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(n) \Gamma(1-k, 4\pi(n - \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})y) e(-(n - \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})z), \quad (2-2)$$

where $c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0) y^{1-k}$ appears only when $\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$. When $k = 1$ and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$, we replace this term by $c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0) \log y$. Since we work most commonly with the Fourier expansion at $\mathfrak{a} = \infty$, we retain the shorthand $c^{\pm}(n) := c_{\infty}^{\pm}(n)$.

2A. The shadow operator ξ_k . This section follows [1, §5.1]. Recall the Maass lowering operator L_k defined by $L_k = -iy^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$. We define as well the shadow operator $\xi_k = y^{k-2} \overline{L_k}$. By [1, Theorem 5.10], ξ_k maps $H_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ surjectively to $M_{2-k}^!(\Gamma_0(N))$, the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $2 - k$. This map is given by

$$\xi_k(f(z)) = (1 - k) \overline{c^-(0)} - (4\pi)^{1-k} \sum_{\substack{n \geq n^- \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{c^-(n)} n^{1-k} e(nz). \quad (2-3)$$

The form $\xi_k f$ is called the shadow of f .

2B. Harmonic Maass forms of polynomial growth. Generically, the coefficient series $\{c_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\pm}(n)\}$ grow superpolynomially as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the remainder of this article, we restrict to the special case in which the coefficients are polynomially bounded in n . This is equivalent to the property that $f(z)$ have no poles at cusps, or that $n^{\pm} \pm \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}} \geq 0$ in (2-1) for all \mathfrak{a} .

Let $H_k^{\sharp}(\Gamma_0(N))$ denote the subspace of $H_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ consisting of forms with at most polynomial growth at cusps. We remark that the space H_k^{\sharp} features prominently in [31], where it serves as a natural setting to study L -functions attached to mock modular forms. Note that H_k^{\sharp} is a subspace of the space of (not necessarily cuspidal) Maass wave forms of weight k .

The shadow operator maps $\xi_k : H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N)) \rightarrow M_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$. In particular, ξ_k annihilates $H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ for $k > 2$. In other words, $H_k^\sharp = M_k$ for $k > 2$, so the space H_k^\sharp is most interesting for $k \leq 2$.

Though exact growth rates for the coefficients $c_a^\pm(n)$ are not known, adequate on-average bounds are known from the Rankin–Selberg method as applied to Maass forms (including noncuspidal Maass forms) in [26]. Specializing to the case of harmonic Maass forms and translating notation, we present the following result.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [26, Theorem 5.2]). *Fix $f(z) \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ with $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $k \neq 1$. If f has Fourier expansion (2-2), then*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{|c_a^\pm(n)|^2}{(n \pm \kappa_a)^{k-1}} = \begin{cases} c_{f,a}^\pm X + O_f(X^{\frac{3}{5}} \log X) & \text{if } f \text{ is cuspidal,} \\ c_{f,a}^\pm X^{1+|k-1|} + O_f(X^{1+|k-1|-\frac{2+4|k-1|}{5+8|k-1|}} \log X) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

for some constants $c_{f,a}^\pm$.

3. Shifted convolutions via inner products

In this section, we show that shifted convolution Dirichlet series of the form (1-5) can be recognized in terms of Petersson inner products. To begin, we treat a generic form $f(z) \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ with Fourier expansion (2-1). We define the ℓ -th Poincaré series $P_\ell(z, s)$ of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ by

$$P_\ell(z, s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(N)} \text{Im}(\gamma z)^s e(\ell \gamma z).$$

For s with $\text{Re } s$ sufficiently large, the Rankin–Selberg unfolding method gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^k |f|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 y^{s+k} |f(z)|^2 \overline{e(\ell z)} \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \\ &= \sum_{n_1=n_2+\ell} \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-1} c(n_1, y) \overline{c(n_2, y)} e^{-2\pi h y} \frac{dy}{y}, \end{aligned} \tag{3-1}$$

in which $c(n, y)$ denotes the n -th Fourier coefficient of $f(z)$ at the cusp $\mathfrak{a} = \infty$. In other words, $c(n, y) = c^+(n)e^{-2\pi n y}$ for $n \geq 1$, $c(0, y) = c^+(0) + c^-(0)y^{1-k}$, and $c(n, y) = c^-(-n)\Gamma(1-k, -4\pi n y)e^{-2\pi n y}$ for $n \leq -1$.

The contribution of $n_1, n_2 > 0$ to the inner product is a standard shifted convolution Dirichlet series:

$$I_\ell^+(s) := \sum_{n_1=n_2+\ell} c^+(n_1) \overline{c^+(n_2)} \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-1} e^{-2\pi(n_1+n_2+\ell)y} \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{\Gamma(s+k-1)}{(4\pi)^{s+k-1}} \sum_{n_2=1}^\infty \frac{c^+(n_2+\ell) \overline{c^+(n_2)}}{(n_2+\ell)^{s+k-1}}.$$

Since $f \in H_k^\sharp$, the Dirichlet series in the line above converges absolutely in some right half-plane. More precisely, Lemma 2.1 gives convergence in $\text{Re } s > 1 + |k-1|$, extending to $\text{Re } s > 1$ in the cuspidal case.

The net contributions from $(n_1, n_2) = (\ell, 0)$ and $(0, -\ell)$ total

$$I_\ell^0(s) := \frac{c^+(\ell)\overline{c^-(0)}\Gamma(s)}{(4\pi\ell)^s} + \frac{c^+(\ell)\overline{c^+(0)}\Gamma(s+k-1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s+k-1}} + \frac{c^-(0)\overline{c^-(\ell)}\Gamma(s-k+1)}{(4\pi\ell)^s s} + \frac{c^+(0)\overline{c^-(\ell)}\Gamma(s)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s+k-1}(s+k-1)}.$$

The function $I_\ell^0(s)$ is meromorphic in $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and analytic in $\text{Re } s > |k-1|$. Note that $I_\ell^0(s)$ vanishes when f is cuspidal.

There is another finite collection of ‘‘cross terms’’ when $n_1 > 0$ and $n_2 < 0$, which contributes

$$\begin{aligned} I_\ell^\times(s) &:= \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} c^+(\ell-m)\overline{c^-(m)} \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-1} e^{-4\pi(\ell-m)y} \Gamma(1-k, 4\pi my) \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(s)}{s+k-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{c^+(\ell-m)\overline{c^-(m)}}{(4\pi m)^{s+k-1}} {}_2F_1\left(s, s+k-1 \middle| s+k \middle| 1-\frac{\ell}{m}\right), \end{aligned}$$

in which we’ve evaluated the integral via [9, 6.455(1)]. The function $I_\ell^\times(s)$ is analytic in the right half-plane $\text{Re } s > \max(0, 1-k)$ and has an obvious meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lastly, we record the contribution of $n_1, n_2 < 0$, which can be written

$$\begin{aligned} I_\ell^-(s) &:= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c^-(n)\overline{c^-(n+\ell)}}{(4\pi)^{s+k-1}} G_k(s, n, n+\ell), \quad \text{with} \\ G_k(s, n, n+\ell) &:= \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-1} \Gamma(1-k, ny) \Gamma(1-k, (n+\ell)y) e^{ny} \frac{dy}{y}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-2}$$

The two asymptotic expressions $\Gamma(\beta, y) = \Gamma(\beta) - y^\beta/\beta + O_\beta(y^{\beta+1})$ as $y \rightarrow 0$ and $\Gamma(\beta, y) = e^{-y}y^{\beta-1}(1 + O_\beta(y^{-1}))$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ imply that $G_k(s, n, n+\ell)$ converges absolutely when $\text{Re } s > |k-1|$. In this region, $G_k(s, n, n+\ell) \ll G_k(\text{Re } s, n, n) \ll_{\text{Re } s} n^{-\text{Re } s-k+1}$ by change of variable. Thus $I_\ell^-(s)$ converges to an analytic function in $\text{Re } s > 1+|k-1|$, extending to the domain $\text{Re } s > 1$ in the cuspidal case.

We conclude that the unfolding procedure is valid in $\text{Re } s > 1+|k-1|$, and that in this region we have the decomposition

$$\langle y^k |f|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = I_\ell^+(s) + I_\ell^0(s) + I_\ell^\times(s) + I_\ell^-(s). \tag{3-3}$$

3A. Application to $\mathcal{H}(z)$. The formulas in this section simplify considerably for the specific form $\mathcal{H} \in H_{3/2}^\sharp(\Gamma_0(4))$ defined in (1-4). Recall from (1-5) the definition of the shifted convolution Dirichlet series

$$D_\ell(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H(n)H(n+\ell)}{(n+\ell)^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{3-4}$$

which converges absolutely in $\text{Re } s > \frac{3}{2}$. By (1-4), the coefficients $c^-(n)$ of \mathcal{H} may be written in terms of $r_1(n)$, the number of representations of n as the square of an integer. Simplifying the various terms at right in (3-3) produces the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle y^{\frac{3}{2}}|\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{s + \frac{1}{2}}} D_\ell(s) + \frac{H(\ell)\Gamma(s)}{8\pi(4\pi\ell)^s} - \frac{H(\ell)\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{12(4\pi\ell)^{s + \frac{1}{2}}} \\
 &+ \frac{r_1(\ell)\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{128\pi^2(4\pi\ell)^{s - \frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{r_1(\ell)\Gamma(s)}{192\pi(4\pi\ell)^s(s + \frac{1}{2})} \\
 &+ \frac{\Gamma(s)}{s + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{H(\ell-m)r_1(m)}{16\pi(4\pi m)^s} {}_2F_1\left(s, s + \frac{1}{2} \middle| s + \frac{3}{2} \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{m_1^2 - m_2^2 = \ell \\ m_1, m_2 \geq 1}} \frac{m_1 m_2}{4(4\pi)^{s + \frac{3}{2}}} G_{\frac{3}{2}}(s, m_2^2, m_1^2). \tag{3-5}
 \end{aligned}$$

The contribution of $I_\ell^-(s)$ in the fourth line of (3-5) is a finite sum, since $m_1^2 - m_2^2 = \ell$ has finitely many solutions. This phenomenon generalizes to any $f \in H_{3/2}^\#(\Gamma_0(N))$ for which $M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ is one-dimensional, since in that case $\xi_{3/2}f$ is necessarily a twisted theta function by [30, Theorem A]. Thus, in departure from the general case, we conclude that $I_\ell^-(s)$ is analytic in $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Secondly, we remark that the contribution of $I_\ell^\times(s)$ bears some resemblance to one side of the Eichler–Selberg class number relation (cf. (1-3)). More specifically,

$$\text{Res}_{s=0} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{s + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{H(\ell-m)r_1(m)}{16\pi(4\pi m)^s} {}_2F_1\left(s, s + \frac{1}{2} \middle| s + \frac{3}{2} \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{m^2 < \ell} H(\ell - m^2),$$

which is essentially one of the sums described in [1, §10.3]. It would be interesting to know if the methods in this paper could be used to produce new class number relations.

4. Automorphic regularization

To produce a meromorphic continuation for the Dirichlet series $D_\ell(s)$, we first show that the inner product $\langle y^k|\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ has a meromorphic continuation to a larger domain. This latter continuation involves the spectral decomposition of $P_\ell(z, s)$ with respect to the hyperbolic Laplacian and is complicated by the fact that $y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}(z)|^2 \notin L^2(\Gamma_0(4)\backslash\mathfrak{h})$. To rectify this, we modify $y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}(z)|^2$ by subtracting a linear combination of automorphic forms chosen to neutralize growth at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$.

We define the weight 0 Eisenstein series attached to cusp \mathfrak{a} of $\Gamma_0(N)$ by

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_0(N)} \text{Im}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\gamma z)^s.$$

These Eisenstein series have Fourier expansion at the cusp \mathfrak{b} of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z, w) \\
 &= \delta_{[\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{b}]}y^w + \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(w - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(w)} \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}0}(w)y^{1-w} + \frac{2\pi^w y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(w)} \sum_{n \neq 0} \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}n}(w)|n|^{w-\frac{1}{2}} K_{w-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi|n|y)e(nx), \tag{4-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

in which $\delta_{[a]}$ denotes the Kronecker delta, $K_\nu(y)$ is the K -Bessel function, and the coefficients $\varphi_{abn}(w)$ are described in [4], for example.

As in the previous section, we first consider a general $f(z) \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$, with $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ($k \neq 1$), specializing to $f = \mathcal{H}$ when convenient. Let $F_\alpha(z) := y^k |f|_{\sigma_\alpha}(z)|^2 = \text{Im}(\sigma_\alpha z)^k |f(\sigma_\alpha z)|^2$. If $\kappa_\alpha \neq 0$, then $F_\alpha(z)$ decays exponentially as $y \rightarrow \infty$ by the Fourier expansion (2-2), and no regularization is required. Otherwise, when $\kappa_\alpha = 0$, (2-2) implies that

$$F_\alpha(z) = y^k |c_\alpha^+(0) + c_\alpha^-(0)y^{1-k}|^2 + O(y^{-M}) \tag{4-2}$$

as $y \rightarrow \infty$ for all $M > 0$. It therefore suffices to regularize growth of sizes y^k , y^1 , and y^{2-k} at the singular cusps.

For $k > 1$, the Eisenstein series $E_\alpha(z, k)$ counteracts growth of size y^k at α , while for $k < 1$ we utilize $E_\alpha(z, 2 - k)$ to address y^{2-k} . Unfortunately, this technique fails to regularize growth of size y^1 , since $E_\alpha(z, w)$ has a pole at $w = 1$. In this case, we instead subtract a multiple of the constant term in the Laurent expansion of $E_\alpha(z, w)$ at $w = 1$, which we denote $\tilde{E}_\alpha(z, 1)$, and which satisfies $\tilde{E}_\alpha(\sigma_b z, 1) = \delta_{[a=b]}y - \pi \log y \text{Res}_{w=1} \varphi_{ab0}(w) + \tilde{c}_{ab} + O(e^{-2\pi y})$ for some constant \tilde{c}_{ab} . Thus, for example,

$$\mathcal{V}_f(z) := F(z) - \sum_{\alpha:\kappa_\alpha=0} |c_\alpha^-(0)|^2 E_\alpha(z, 2 - k) - 2 \sum_{\alpha:\kappa_\alpha=0} \text{Re}(c_\alpha^+(0) \overline{c_\alpha^-(0)}) \tilde{E}_\alpha(z, 1) \tag{4-3}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{V}_f(\sigma_\alpha z) = O(y^k + \log y)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ when $k < 1$. If $k < \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that $\mathcal{V}_f \in L^2(\Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathfrak{h})$. The case $k > \frac{3}{2}$ may be treated analogously.

The situation is more complicated in weights $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $k = \frac{3}{2}$, as here we must regularize terms of size $y^{1/2}$. The obvious choice for regularizing $y^{1/2}$ is to subtract a multiple of $E_\alpha(z, \frac{1}{2})$, but this term equals 0 since the completed Eisenstein series $\zeta^*(2w)E_\alpha(z, w)$ is analytic at $w = \frac{1}{2}$. Likewise, it is not possible to regularize with a linear combination of terms of the form $\lim_{w \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \zeta^*(2w)E_\alpha(z, w)$, as these grow as $y^{1/2} \log y$ near α .

In weight $k = \frac{3}{2}$, the growth of size $y^{1/2}$ comes from the nonholomorphic part (cf. (4-2)). In particular, we can regularize all cusp growth of size $y^{1/2}$ simultaneously by subtracting an appropriate multiple of $y^{1/2} |\xi_{3/2} f|^2$. Specifically, we define

$$\mathcal{V}_f(z) := F(z) - \sum_{\alpha:\kappa_\alpha=0} |c_\alpha^+(0)|^2 E_\alpha(z, \frac{3}{2}) - 2 \sum_{\alpha:\kappa_\alpha=0} \text{Re}(c_\alpha^+(0) \overline{c_\alpha^-(0)}) \tilde{E}_\alpha(z, 1) - 4y^{\frac{1}{2}} |\xi_{\frac{3}{2}} f(z)|^2. \tag{4-4}$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_f(\sigma_\alpha z) = O(\log y)$ at each cusp by (2-3), so $\mathcal{V}_f \in L^2(\Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathfrak{h})$.

In weight $k = \frac{1}{2}$, we may likewise attempt to regularize by subtracting a function of the form $y^{1/2} |g(z)|^2$, where $g \in M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$. However, there is no guarantee that a modular form with compatible cusp growth need exist. If $f \in H_{1/2}^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ is chosen, we may test for the existence of a compatible g using the basis for $M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ described in [30, Theorem A]. Since we do not require $k = \frac{1}{2}$ for our principal application, we leave the question of the existence of a compatible g as an interesting open problem.

4A. Automorphic regularization of $\mathcal{H}(z)$. In practical terms, the problem of regularizing $\mathcal{H}(z)$ reduces to the problem of computing the constant Fourier coefficients $c_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\pm}(0)$ at each singular cusp \mathfrak{a} of $\Gamma_0(4)$. In this section, we determine these coefficients, as summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} \in H_{3/2}^{\#}(\Gamma_0(4))$ denote Zagier’s nonholomorphic Eisenstein series from (1-4). The cusp $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{1}{2}$ is nonsingular for ν_{θ} ; for the other cusps, $\mathcal{H}(z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form (2-2), in which*

$$c_{\infty}^{+}(0) = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad c_{\infty}^{-}(0) = \frac{1}{8\pi}, \quad c_0^{+}(0) = \frac{1}{24}, \quad c_0^{-}(0) = -\frac{1}{8\pi}.$$

Consequently, the function

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(z) := y^{\frac{3}{2}} |\mathcal{H}(z)|^2 - \frac{1}{144} E_{\infty}(z, \frac{3}{2}) - \frac{1}{576} E_0(z, \frac{3}{2}) + \frac{1}{48\pi} \tilde{E}_{\infty}(z, 1) + \frac{1}{96\pi} \tilde{E}_0(z, 1) - \frac{1}{64\pi^2} y^{\frac{1}{2}} |\theta(z)|^2$$

lies in $L^2(\Gamma_0(4) \backslash \mathfrak{h})$.

Proof. To verify that $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{1}{2}$ is nonsingular, we first note that $\Gamma_{1/2}$ is generated by $t_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\nu_{\theta}(t_{1/2}) = i$, we have $\kappa_{1/2} = \frac{1}{4} \neq 0$.

As for the singular cusps, we clearly have $c_{\infty}^{+}(0) = -\frac{1}{12}$ and $c_{\infty}^{-}(0) = \frac{1}{8\pi}$ from the Fourier expansion (1-4). To understand the behavior of $\mathcal{H}(z)$ near $\mathfrak{a} = 0$, we follow [13] and relate $\mathcal{H}(z)$ to certain Eisenstein series of weight $\frac{3}{2}$. Specifically, we introduce the Eisenstein series

$$E_{\frac{3}{2},s}(z) := \sum_{\substack{m>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,2n)=1}} \sum \frac{\binom{n}{m} \epsilon_m}{(mz+n)^{3/2} |mz+n|^{2s}},$$

as well as a second Eisenstein series $F_{3/2,s}(z) := z^{-3/2} |z|^{-2s} E_{3/2,s}(-1/4z)$. Though $E_{3/2,s}$ converges only for $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{4}$, [13, Theorem 2] implies that $E_{3/2,s}$ and $F_{3/2,s}$ have meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and that

$$\mathcal{F}_s(z) := -\frac{1}{96} \left((1-i) E_{3/2,s}(z) - i F_{3/2,s}(z) \right)$$

satisfies $\mathcal{F}_0(z) = \mathcal{H}(z)$.

To investigate $\mathcal{H}(z)$ near the cusp 0, we compute a partial Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{F}_s|_{\sigma_0}(z)$, where $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The functional equation $\theta(-1/4z) = (-2iz)^{1/2} \theta(z)$ implies that the weight $k = \frac{3}{2}$ slash operator satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_s|_{\sigma_0}(z) &= (-2iz)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{F}_s\left(-\frac{1}{4z}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{96} (-2iz)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left((1-i) z^{\frac{3}{2}} |z|^{2s} F_{3/2,s}(z) - i \left(-\frac{1}{4z}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} |4z|^{2s} E_{3/2,s}(z) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{192} i |z|^{2s} F_{3/2,s}(z) + \frac{1}{48} (1-i) |z|^{2s} 2^{4s} E_{3/2,s}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}|_{\sigma_0}(z)$ has a Fourier expansion which may be read from the Fourier coefficients of $E_{3/2,0}(z)$ and $F_{3/2,0}(z)$. Since we require only the constant Fourier coefficient of $\mathcal{H}|_{\sigma_0}$, it suffices to consider the constant Fourier coefficients of $E_{3/2,s}$ and $F_{3/2,s}$.

By [13, p.93-94], the constant Fourier coefficient of $E_{3/2,s}(z)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \frac{\epsilon_m \sum_{j(m)} \left(\frac{j}{m}\right)}{m^{2s+\frac{3}{2}}} &= \alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \frac{\epsilon_{m^2} \varphi(m^2)}{(m^2)^{2s+\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{m \text{ odd}} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^{4s+2}} = \alpha_0(s, y) \frac{\zeta(4s+1)}{\zeta(4s+2)} \cdot \frac{1-2^{-4s-1}}{1-2^{-4s-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\varphi(m)$ denotes the totient function and $\alpha_0(s, y)$ is defined by

$$\alpha_0(s, y) = \int_{\text{Im } w=y} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} |w|^{-2s} dw = -\frac{2(1+i)\sqrt{\pi s} \Gamma(2s+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2s+2)} y^{-\frac{1}{2}-2s}.$$

By taking the limit as $s \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that the constant Fourier coefficient of $E_{3/2,0}(z)$ equals $-(2+2i)/\pi \cdot y^{-1/2}$.

Similarly, formulas on [13, p.94] show that $F_{3/2,s}$ has constant Fourier coefficient

$$2^{2s+3}i + (1+i)\alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{m \text{ even}} \frac{m^{-1/2} \sum_{j(2m)} \left(\frac{m}{j}\right) e\left(\frac{j}{8}\right)}{(m/2)^{2s+1}}.$$

The sum $\sum_{j \bmod 2m} \left(\frac{m}{j}\right) e(j/8)$ vanishes for m even unless $m = 2n^2$, in which case it equals $\sqrt{2}\varphi(2n^2)$. Thus the constant Fourier coefficient equals

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2s+3}i + (1+i)\alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\varphi(2n^2)}{n^{4s+3}} &= 2^{2s+3}i + (1+i)\alpha_0(s, y) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\varphi(2n)}{n^{4s+2}} \\ &= 2^{2s+3}i + (1+i)\alpha_0(s, y) 2^{4s+2} \frac{\zeta(4s+1)}{\zeta(4s+2)} \left(1 - \frac{1-2^{-4s-1}}{1-2^{-4s-2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit as $s \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that the constant Fourier coefficient of $F_{3/2,0}(z)$ equals $8i - 8i/(\pi y^{1/2})$. It follows that the constant Fourier coefficient of $\mathcal{H}|_{\sigma_0}(z)$ equals

$$\frac{-i}{192} \left(8i - \frac{8i}{\pi \sqrt{y}}\right) + \frac{1-i}{48} \left(\frac{-2-2i}{\pi y^{1/2}}\right) = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8\pi \sqrt{y}},$$

hence $c_0^+(0) = \frac{1}{24}$ and $c_0^-(0) = -\frac{1}{8\pi}$. □

5. Inner products involving regularization terms

As before, we fix $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $f(z) \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ and define $F(z) = y^k |f(z)|^2$. In Section 4, we showed that $F(z)$ differed from an L^2 function $\mathcal{V}_f(z)$ by a sum involving Eisenstein series and theta functions, at least when $k \notin \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$. In this section, we relate $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ to $\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ by accounting for the contribution of these regularization terms.

To compute the inner products of the form $\langle E_a(\cdot, w), P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$, we recall the Fourier expansion of $E_a(z, w)$ from (4-1). We unfold the inner product using the Poincaré series as in (3-1) to produce

$$\langle E_a(\cdot, w), P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \frac{2\pi^{w+\frac{1}{2}}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \ell^{w-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{a\infty\ell}(w) \frac{\Gamma(s+w-1)\Gamma(s-w)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(w)}, \tag{5-1}$$

provided that $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2} + |\text{Re } w - \frac{1}{2}|$ to begin. We write $\varphi_{a\infty n}(w) = \varphi_{an}(w)$ for brevity and remark that formulas for these coefficients appear in [4].

The functions $\varphi_{an}(w)$ have meromorphic continuation in w . For $n \neq 0$, they are analytic at $w = 1$. By considering the Laurent expansion of each side of (5-1) at $w = 1$, we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{E}_a(\cdot, 1), P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \frac{\pi\varphi_{a\ell}(1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-1}} \Gamma(s-1),$$

in which $\tilde{E}_a(z, 1)$ is the constant term of the Laurent expansion of $E_a(z, w)$ at $w = 1$ (as defined immediately before (4-3)).

Lastly, we consider inner products of the form $\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$, in which $g \in M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$. Suppose that $g(z) = \sum b(n)e(nz)$. Then

$$\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{b(n+\ell)\overline{b(n)}}{(n+\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

By [30, Theorem A], $M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ is spanned by theta functions of the form $\sum \chi_t(n)e(n^2tz)$, with t square-free and satisfying $4 \text{ cond}(\chi_t)^2 t \mid N$, where $\text{cond}(\chi)$ denotes the conductor of χ , $\chi_t(n) = (\frac{t}{n})$ if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\chi_t(n) = (\frac{4t}{n})$ otherwise. We note that $\text{cond}(\chi_t) = t$ if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $4t$ otherwise. In particular, $\{b(n)\}$ is supported on integers of the form n^2t , where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $4t^3 \mid N$. Thus

$$\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{t_1^3, t_2^3 \mid \frac{N}{4} \\ t_i \text{ square-free}}} \sum_{n_1^2 t_1 = n_2^2 t_2 + \ell} \frac{b(n_1^2 t_1)\overline{b(n_2^2 t_2)}}{(n_1^2 t_1)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

If $M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ is one-dimensional (for example, if $\frac{N}{4}$ is cube-free), then $t_1 = t_2 = 1$. In this case, the inner sum $n_1^2 = n_2^2 + \ell$ has finitely many solutions. Otherwise, the sum may be infinite (depending on ℓ). Since the solution set (n_1, n_2) of $n_1^2 t_1 = n_2^2 t_2 + \ell$ is exponentially sparse in any case, the sum above always converges for $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus $\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ is analytic in $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$ no matter the dimension of $M_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(N))$.

Remark 5.1. In fact, $\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ has a meromorphic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. To see this, note that the series above is essentially supported on positive integers x satisfying the generalized Pell equation $t_1 x^2 - t_2 y^2 = \ell$. When solutions exist, they lie in finitely many classes of linear recurrences. Splitting $\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}|g(z)|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ along this subdivision, and splitting further to ignore the effect of the characters χ_{t_1} and χ_{t_2} , it suffices to continue series of the form $\sum_{m \geq 1} A_m^{-s}$, where $\{A_m\}$ satisfies a

degree two linear recurrence. Fortunately, such results are known; see for example [29], which treats a much more general case.

At this point, it is straightforward to relate the inner products $\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$. We record our results in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $f \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ and set $F(z) = y^k |f(z)|^2$. For $k = \frac{3}{2}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(s)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}: \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}=0} |c_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(0)|^2 \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &+ \frac{2\pi \Gamma(s-1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-1}} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}: \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}=0} \operatorname{Re}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(0) \overline{c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0)}) \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(1) \\ &+ \frac{4\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{t_1^3, t_2^3 | \frac{N}{4} \\ t_i \text{ square-free}}} \sum_{n_1^2 t_1 = n_2^2 t_2 + \ell} \frac{a_{\xi f}(n_1^2 t_1) \overline{a_{\xi f}(n_2^2 t_2)}}{(n_1^2 t_1)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

in which \mathcal{V}_f is defined as in (4-4) and $a_{\xi f}(n)$ denotes the n -th Fourier coefficient of $\xi_{3/2} f(z)$. For $k < \frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we have instead

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle + \frac{2\pi \Gamma(s + 1 - k) \Gamma(s + k - 2)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (\pi\ell)^{k-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(2-k)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}: \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}=0} |c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0)|^2 \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(2-k) \\ &+ \frac{2\pi \Gamma(s-1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-1}} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}: \kappa_{\mathfrak{a}}=0} \operatorname{Re}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(0) \overline{c_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(0)}) \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(1), \end{aligned}$$

in which \mathcal{V}_f is defined as in (4-3).

As a corollary, we specify the contribution of correction terms in the regularization $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(z)$ of $\mathcal{H}(z)$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let ℓ_o denote the odd-part of ℓ . In $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{3}{2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^{\frac{3}{2}} |\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(s)} \cdot \frac{2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)}{4032\zeta(3)} \\ &- \frac{\Gamma(s-1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{2\sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-1}(\ell_o)}{288\zeta(2)} + \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{32\pi^{s+\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell \\ d \equiv \frac{\ell}{d} \pmod{2}}} (d + \frac{\ell}{d})^{1-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\xi_{3/2} \mathcal{H}(z) = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \theta(z)$ by (2-3) and the Fourier expansion (1-4), we have

$$a_{\xi \mathcal{H}}(n) = -\frac{1}{16\pi} r_1(n).$$

Propositions 4.1 and 5.2 then give

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^{\frac{3}{2}}|\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{(4\pi\ell)^{s - \frac{3}{2}}\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{1}{144}\varphi_{\infty\ell}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{576}\varphi_{0\ell}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\Gamma(s - 1)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s - 1}} \left(\frac{1}{48}\varphi_{\infty\ell}(1) + \frac{1}{96}\varphi_{0\ell}(1) \right) + \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{4(4\pi)^{s + \frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r_1(n + \ell)r_1(n)}{(n + \ell)^{s - \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5-2}$$

To simplify further, we give explicit descriptions of the Fourier coefficients $\varphi_{a\ell}(w)$. Conveniently, the formulas we require appear in [15, §3.3]:

$$\varphi_{0\ell}(w) = \frac{\sigma_{1-2w}^{(2)}(\ell)}{4^w \zeta^{(2)}(2w)}, \quad \varphi_{\infty\ell}(w) = \frac{2^{2-4w}\sigma_{1-2w}\left(\frac{\ell}{4}\right) - 2^{1-4w}\sigma_{1-2w}\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)}{\zeta^{(2)}(2w)}, \tag{5-3}$$

in which $\zeta^{(2)}(s) = (1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s)$, $\sigma_v^{(2)}$ denotes the sum-of-divisors function with its 2-factor removed, and we adopt the convention that $\sigma_v(m) = 0$ for $m \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By Euler products, $\sigma_v^{(2)}(\ell) = \sigma_v(\ell_o)$, where ℓ_o is the odd part of ℓ .

Finally, we note that the series in (5-2) may be written as a divisor sum:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r_1(n + \ell)r_1(n)}{(n + \ell)^{s - \frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n_2^2 - n_1^2 = \ell}} |n_2|^{1-2s} = 2^{2s-1} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ d_1 d_2 = \ell \\ d_1 \equiv d_2 \pmod{2}}} |d_1 + d_2|^{1-2s} = 2^{2s} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell \\ d \equiv \frac{\ell}{d} \pmod{2}}} \left(d + \frac{\ell}{d}\right)^{1-2s},$$

which completes the proof. □

6. Spectral expansion and rightmost poles

As before, fix $f \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ with $k \notin \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ and define $F(z) = y^k |f(z)|^2$. In this section, we show that the inner product $\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ admits meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. By Proposition 5.2, it suffices to consider the inner products $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ instead, as the regularization terms contribute explicit terms which are meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , either by inspection or as a consequence of Remark 5.1.

Selberg’s spectral theorem (cf. [18, Theorem 15.5]) gives the following spectral expansion of $P_\ell(z, s)$:

$$P_\ell(z, s) = \sum_j \langle P_\ell(\cdot, s), \mu_j \rangle \mu_j(z) + \sum_a \frac{V_N}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle P_\ell(\cdot, s), E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it) \rangle E_a(z, \frac{1}{2} + it) dt, \tag{6-1}$$

in which a varies through the cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$, $V_N = \frac{\pi}{3} \cdot N \prod_{p|N} (1 + 1/p)$ denotes the volume of $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$, and $\{\mu_j\}$ is an orthonormal Hecke eigenbasis for the space of weight 0 Maass cusp forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$. These Maass forms have Fourier expansions at all cusps, which we write in the form

$$\mu_{j_a}(z) := \mu_j|_{\sigma_a}(z) = y^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_{j_a}(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi|n|y) e(nx). \tag{6-2}$$

We next record two useful lemmas regarding the growth of the coefficients $\rho_{j_a}(m)$ on average. The first of them concerns the average growth of $\rho_{j_a}(m)$ with respect to m and is taken from [17].

Lemma 6.1 [17, (8.7)]. *Let μ_j be an L^2 -normalized Maass cusp form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with Fourier expansion at \mathfrak{a} of the form (6-2). Then*

$$\sum_{m \leq M} |\rho_{j\mathfrak{a}}(m)|^2 \ll_N (M + |t_j|) e^{\pi|t_j|}.$$

Our second lemma is a spectral average generalizing [24, Theorem 6].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\{\mu_j\}$ denote an orthonormal basis of Maass cusp forms for $\Gamma_0(N)$, with Fourier expansions given by (6-2). For $\ell > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{|t_j| \leq X} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|^2}{\cosh \pi t_j} = \frac{X^2}{\pi^2} + O_{N,\epsilon}(X \log X + X\ell^\epsilon + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

Proof. For level $N = 1$, this result is [24, Theorem 6]. More generally, we adapt [24, §6], replacing the level 1 trace formula with one on $\Gamma_0(N)$, as found in [4, Lemma 4.7], for example. To carry out this generalization, we require the Kloosterman sum estimate $S_{\infty\infty}(\ell, \ell, c) \ll (\ell, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2} d(c)$ from [4, Lemma 2.6] as well as the Eisenstein series coefficient estimate

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) \ll_N \frac{d(\ell)}{|\zeta(1 + 2it)|} \ll_{N,\epsilon} d(\ell) \log t, \tag{6-3}$$

To see (6-3), one may represent $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ in terms of Eisenstein series attached to characters via [35, Theorem 6.1] and apply the Fourier coefficient formulas in [35, Proposition 4.1], then apply [32, (3.11.10)]. □

Continuing on, substitution of (6-1) into $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_h(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ produces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle &= \sum_j \langle \mu_j, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}_f, \mu_j(z) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{V_N}{4\pi} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot, \tfrac{1}{2} + it), P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}_f, E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, \tfrac{1}{2} + it) \rangle dt, \end{aligned} \tag{6-4}$$

which we call the *spectral expansion of $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$* . We will refer to the terms at right in (6-4) as the *discrete spectrum* and *continuous spectrum*, respectively. To make this more explicit, we apply (5-1) and the formula

$$\langle \mu_j, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \frac{\rho_j(\ell) \sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2} - it_j) \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2} + it_j)}{\Gamma(s)},$$

which follows from [9, 6.621(3)]. We conclude that $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ admits a spectral decomposition of the form $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) + \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$, in which

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) &:= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(s)} \sum_j \rho_j(\ell) \Gamma(s - \tfrac{1}{2} + it_j) \Gamma(s - \tfrac{1}{2} - it_j) \langle \mathcal{V}_f, \mu_j \rangle, \\ \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s) &:= \frac{V_N}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\ell}(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) \Gamma(s - \tfrac{1}{2} + it) \Gamma(s - \tfrac{1}{2} - it)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} (\pi\ell)^{-it} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} + it)} \langle \mathcal{V}_f, E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot, \tfrac{1}{2} + it) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

This spectral expansion is initially defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1 + |k - 1|$, provided all expressions converge. Fortunately, convergence is not an issue:

Lemma 6.3. *The functions $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ and $\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ converge for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ away from their poles.*

Proof. In the discrete spectrum $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$, this follows from Lemma 6.2, Stirling’s approximation (providing decay of size $e^{-\pi|t_j|}$ for fixed s), and the trivial estimate $|\langle \mathcal{V}_f, \mu_j \rangle| \ll \|\mathcal{V}_f\|^{1/2} \cdot \|\mu_j\|^{1/2} \ll_f 1$. Here, we’ve used that $\|\mu_j\| = 1$ (by definition of μ_j) and that $\mathcal{V}_f \in L^2(\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h})$ by our work in Section 4. (This estimate is *very* weak, and will be improved in Section 8.)

In the continuous spectrum, convergence follows from Stirling’s approximation, weak upper bounds for $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it) \rangle$ derived from the Rankin–Selberg method and Phragmén–Lindelöf convexity principle, and (6-3). □

Thus $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ defines a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane, with potential poles at $s = \frac{1}{2} \pm it_j - m$ for integer $m \geq 0$ and any spectral type t_j . It is analytic in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} + \Theta$, where $\Theta \leq 7/64$ denotes partial progress towards the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture [21].

The continuous spectrum $\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ also has meromorphic continuation to all s , though the precise continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > -M$ involves both $\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ and $O(M)$ residue terms extracted through contour shifts of the integral in Σ_{cont} . For a discussion of the continuation process in a similar case, we refer the reader to [14, §4] or [15, §3.3.2]. The continuous spectrum is clearly analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Thus $\langle \mathcal{V}_f, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$, originally defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1 + |k - 1|$, extends meromorphically to a function on the entire complex plane. Since it is analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} + \Theta$, any pole of $\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} + \Theta$ occurs as a pole of the explicit regularization factors presented in Proposition 5.2.

Remark 6.4. In Section 3, we gave the general decomposition

$$\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = I_\ell^+(s) + I_\ell^0(s) + I_\ell^\times(s) + I_\ell^-(s).$$

The two terms $I_\ell^0(s)$ and $I_\ell^\times(s)$ are finite sums and inherit meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ from the continuations of G_k and the ${}_2F_1$ -hypergeometric function. Thus the continuation of $\langle F, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ implies a continuation for $I_\ell^+(s) + I_\ell^-(s)$. It is possible, albeit challenging, to establish the meromorphic continuations of $I_\ell^+(s)$ and $I_\ell^-(s)$ as separate entities. Here, the idea is to first continue $I_\ell^-(s)$ by relating it to the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\xi f}(n) \overline{a_{\xi f}(n + \ell)}}{(n + \ell)^{s-w-k} n^{w+1}},$$

which admits meromorphic continuation through relation to the triple inner product $\langle y^{2-k} |\xi_k f|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$. Establishing this continuation is not so difficult when $\operatorname{Re} w < -1$, but in practice we require $\operatorname{Re} w$ as large as $-k$ (to evaluate a particular contour integral representation), and this creates major complications in weights $k < 1$.

Fortunately, these problems disappear altogether for $f = \mathcal{H}$, as the series $I_\ell^-(s)$ defines a *finite* sum in this case (cf. Section 3A). To simplify the exposition in this work, we narrow our typical focus

from $f \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $f = \mathcal{H}$. Still, the construction of the meromorphic continuations of $I_\ell^\pm(s)$ and estimates for shifted convolutions of generic mock modular forms of polynomial growth are of independent interest and will appear in future work.

6A. Classifying the rightmost poles of $D_\ell(s)$. As an application of our work thus far, we classify the rightmost poles of the shifted convolution Dirichlet series $D_\ell(s)$ from (3-4). We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.5. *The Dirichlet series $D_\ell(s)$ is analytic in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{3}{2}$ and extends meromorphically to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $D_\ell(s) = 0$ identically. Otherwise, $D_\ell(s)$ has two simple poles in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$, at $s = \frac{3}{2}$ and $s = 1$, with residues*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}} D_\ell(s) &= \frac{\pi^2}{126 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)), \\ \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} D_\ell(s) &= -\frac{1}{3\pi} (2\sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-1}(\ell_o)). \end{aligned}$$

The function $D_\ell(s)$ is otherwise analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Equation (3-5) relates $D_\ell(s)$ to $\langle y^{3/2} |\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ and Corollary 5.3 relates $\langle y^{3/2} |\mathcal{H}|^2, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ to $\langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$. When combined, this produces

$$\begin{aligned} D_\ell(s) &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{5}{2}} \Gamma(s - \frac{3}{2})}{\ell^{s - \frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(s)} \cdot \frac{2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)}{252 \zeta(3)} - \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(s - 1)}{\ell^{s-1} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{2\sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-1}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-1}(\ell_o)}{36 \zeta(2)} \\ &+ \frac{(4\pi)^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{H(\ell)\Gamma(s)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\ell^s \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{H(\ell)}{12\ell^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &- \frac{r_1(\ell)}{32\pi \ell^{s-\frac{1}{2}} s(s - \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{r_1(\ell)\Gamma(s)}{96\sqrt{\pi}\ell^s \Gamma(s + \frac{3}{2})} \\ &- \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s + \frac{3}{2})} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{H(\ell - m)r_1(m)}{8\sqrt{\pi}m^s} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s, s + \frac{1}{2} \\ s + \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{m_1^2 - m_2^2 = \ell \\ m_1, m_2 \geq 1}} \frac{m_1 m_2 G_{3/2}(s, m_2^2, m_1^2)}{16\pi \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{2^{2s-4}}{\pi(s - \frac{1}{2})} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell \\ d \equiv \frac{\ell}{d} \pmod{2}}} (d + \frac{\ell}{d})^{1-2s}. \end{aligned} \tag{6-5}$$

Recall that $\langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ is analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} + \Theta$. By Huxley’s resolution of the Selberg eigenvalue conjecture in low level [16], the inner product is in fact analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, by previous comments, all but the first two terms at right above are analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$. Computation of residues completes the proof. \square

Since $D_\ell(s)$ has nonnegative coefficients, the Wiener–Ikehara theorem (see [25, Corollary 8.8], for example) immediately produces the following:

Corollary 6.6. *For fixed ℓ , as $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} H(n)H(n + \ell) \sim \frac{\pi^2 X^2}{252 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_0)).$$

7. Bounding $D_\ell(s)$ in vertical strips

To quantify the rate of convergence in Corollary 6.6, we require additional information about the meromorphic properties of $D_\ell(s)$. Specifically, we require uniform estimates for the growth of $D_\ell(s)$ with respect to $|\text{Im } s|$ in vertical strips outside the domain of absolute convergence.

It suffices to produce growth estimates for each component of the decomposition of $D_\ell(s)$ given in (6-5). In this section, we produce uniform estimates for every term besides $(4\pi)^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle / \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})$, which requires more involved techniques.

Proposition 7.1. *Fix s with $\text{Re } s > 0$. Away from poles of $D_\ell(s)$, we have*

$$D_\ell(s) \ll_\epsilon \ell^{-\text{Re } s + \epsilon} + \ell^{\frac{3}{2} - \text{Re } s + \epsilon} |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left| \frac{\langle \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} \right|$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$.

The proof requires a few lemmas, starting with a simple upper bound for the Hurwitz class number.

Lemma 7.2. *We have $H(\ell) \ll_\epsilon \ell^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. The moment estimate (1-1) implies $\tilde{h}(-\ell) \ll_\epsilon \ell^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Since $h(-\ell) = \sum_{d^2 | \ell} \tilde{h}(-\ell/d^2)$, we have $h(-\ell) \ll \sum_{d \geq 1} (\ell/d^2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \ll \ell^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$. The same bound holds for $H(\ell) = h(-\ell) + O(1)$. \square

We also require uniform estimates for the ${}_2F_1$ -hypergeometric function and the function $G_{3/2}$, which are provided by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 7.3. *For $1 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$ and $\text{Re } s > 0$, we have*

$${}_2F_1\left(s, s + \frac{1}{2} \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) \ll \left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right)^{\text{Re } s}.$$

Proof. Following [9, 9.131(1)] and the Euler integral [9, 9.111],

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(s, s + \frac{1}{2} \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) &= \left(\frac{\ell}{m}\right)^{1-s} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1 \middle| 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\ell}{m}\right)^{1-s} \left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dt}{(1 - (1 - \frac{\ell}{m})t)^{3/2}} \end{aligned} \tag{7-1}$$

in the region $\text{Re } s > -\frac{1}{2}$. In this form, we recognize that the hypergeometric function at right in (7-1) is bounded by ${}_2F_1(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2} \mid 1 - \frac{\ell}{m})$ when $\text{Re } s > 0$. To conclude, note that ${}_2F_1(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2} \mid 1 - \frac{\ell}{m}) = \frac{m}{\ell}$ by [9, (9.121)]. \square

Lemma 7.4. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. In the region $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$, the function $G_{3/2}(s, n, n + \ell)$ defined in (3-2) satisfies

$$G_{\frac{3}{2}}(s, n, n + \ell) \ll \frac{|s|^{\operatorname{Re} s - 2 + \epsilon}}{(n + \ell)^{\operatorname{Re} s}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|} \left(\frac{|s|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{n + \ell}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

Proof. We begin with the contour integral representation [5, (8.6.12)]

$$\Gamma(1 - k, y)e^y = -\frac{y^{-k}}{\Gamma(k)} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\Gamma(w + k)y^{-w}}{\sin(\pi w)} dw, \tag{7-2}$$

where C is a contour separating the poles of $\Gamma(w + k)$ from those at $w = 0, 1, \dots$ arising from $1/\sin(\pi w)$. Here we require $k \notin -\mathbb{N}$. For $k > 0$, we may take C as a vertical line with $\operatorname{Re} w = -\epsilon$. We apply (7-2) and the Mellin transform [5, (8.14.4)] to $G_k(s, n, n + \ell)$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} G_k &= -\frac{\pi n^{-k}}{\Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\epsilon)} \frac{\Gamma(w + k)}{\sin(\pi w)n^w} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{s-1-w} \Gamma(1 - k, (n + \ell)y) \frac{dy}{y} \right) dw \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\epsilon)} \frac{\Gamma(w + k)\Gamma(s - w - k) \operatorname{csc}(\pi w)}{n^{k+w}(n + \ell)^{s-w-1}(s - w - 1)} dw, \end{aligned} \tag{7-3}$$

provided $\operatorname{Re} s > \max(1, k)$ to begin. Shifting the contour of integration to $\operatorname{Re} w = -\max(1, k) - \epsilon$ passes finitely many poles from $\operatorname{csc}(\pi w)$ and gives a meromorphic continuation of G_k to $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ when $k > 0$.

We now specialize to $k = \frac{3}{2}$. The contour shift in (7-3) to $\operatorname{Re} w = -\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon$ passes a single pole at $w = -1$, with residue

$$\frac{2\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{n}(n + \ell)^s} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(n + \ell)^{\operatorname{Re} s}} |s|^{\operatorname{Re} s - 2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|}.$$

Stirling shows that the integrand decays exponentially in $|\operatorname{Im} w|$, for any s . We may therefore truncate the integral to $|\operatorname{Im} w| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|$. In this range, the estimates $|s - w - k| \asymp |s|$ and $e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im}(s-w)|} \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} w| - \frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|}$ allow us to extract the s -dependence of the integrand. Hence the shifted integral (7-3) is $O((n + \ell)^{-\operatorname{Re} s - \frac{1}{2}} |s|^{\operatorname{Re} s - \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|})$, which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Lemma 7.2 and the divisor estimates $\sigma_{-2}(\ell) \ll 1$ and $\sigma_{-1}(\ell) \ll \ell^\epsilon$ imply that the terms at right in the first three lines of (6-5) (excluding the term containing $\langle \mathcal{V}_H, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$) are

$$O_{\operatorname{Re} s, \epsilon} \left(\ell^{\frac{3}{2} - \operatorname{Re} s} |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} s + \epsilon} |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \ell^{-\operatorname{Re} s + \epsilon} \right). \tag{7-4}$$

By factoring this upper bound in the form $\ell^{-\operatorname{Re} s + \epsilon} |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\ell^{\frac{3}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}} |s| + |s|^{\frac{3}{2}})$, we observe that the second summand is always dominated by the first or third term, and may be ignored.

It remains to estimate the three terms in the last two lines of (6-5). We first consider the divisor sum. In the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$, we bound $|d + \ell/d|^{1-2\operatorname{Re} s} \ll \ell^{\frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} s}$, so the divisor sum is $O(\ell^{\frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} s + \epsilon} |s|^{-1})$, which is nondominant. Otherwise, if $\operatorname{Re} s < \frac{1}{2}$, we bound $|d + \ell/d|^{1-2\operatorname{Re} s} \ll \ell^{1-2\operatorname{Re} s}$, so the full divisor sum is $O(\ell^{1-2\operatorname{Re} s + \epsilon} |s|^{-1})$. This term is dominated by the second term of (7-4) when $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$.

We next consider the contribution of the hypergeometric term in (6-5). By Lemma 7.3, Stirling’s formula, and then Lemma 7.2, this term is

$$\ll_{\text{Re } s} \ell^{-\text{Re } s} |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} H(\ell - m) r_1(m) \ll_{\text{Re } s, \epsilon} \ell^{1-\text{Re } s + \epsilon} |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

in the region $\text{Re } s > 0$. Note that this term is dominated by the first error term in (7-4).

Finally, we consider the term in (6-5) involving $G_{3/2}(s, m_2^2, m_1^2)$. By Lemma 7.4, this term is

$$O_{\text{Re } s} \left(|s|^{-2+\epsilon} \sum_{m_1^2 - m_2^2 = \ell} \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1^{2\text{Re } s}} \left(\frac{|s|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \right) \tag{7-5}$$

in the region $\text{Re } s > 0$. The contribution of $1/m_2$ in the parenthetical is

$$\ll_{\text{Re } s} |s|^{-2+\epsilon} \sum_{m_1^2 - m_2^2 = \ell} \frac{1}{m_1^{2\text{Re } s - 1}} \ll_{\text{Re } s} |s|^{-2+\epsilon} \ell^\epsilon (\ell^{\frac{1}{2} - \text{Re } s} + \ell^{1 - 2\text{Re } s}),$$

in which we’ve used that $\sqrt{\ell} \leq m_1 \leq \ell$ and that the sum has at most $d(\ell)$ terms. Since $m_1 \geq m_2$, the contribution of the other term in the parenthetical of (7-5) is at most $|s|^{1/2}$ times larger. Both upper bounds are majorized by the contribution of the divisor sum in (6-5). \square

8. Noncuspidal spectral inner products

To bound $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ in vertical strips, we apply the spectral expansion $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) + \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ computed in Section 6. In the discrete spectrum, Stirling’s approximation, dyadic subdivision, Cauchy–Schwarz, and Lemma 6.2 reduce our task to bounding the inner products $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mu_j \rangle$. Since Maass cusp forms are orthogonal to Eisenstein series and to norm-squares of theta functions (cf. [27, Remark 2]), this is equivalent to bounding the unregularized inner products $\langle y^{3/2} |\mathcal{H}|^2, \mu_j \rangle$.

While good estimates for inner products of the form $\langle y^k |f|^2, \mu_j \rangle$ are known when f is a holomorphic cusp form or Maass cusp form (at least on average), the noncuspidal nature of \mathcal{H} meters the applicability of prior results. Fortunately, it is possible to modify work of Jutila [19; 20] in the Maass cusp form case to address the case of harmonic Maass forms. Working in a somewhat general setting, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Fix $f \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$ with $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mu_j(z)$ be an L^2 -normalized Hecke–Maass cusp form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$, with spectral type $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\langle y^k |f|^2, \mu_j \rangle \ll (|t_j|^{2k-1+\epsilon} + |t_j|^{3-2k+\epsilon}) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|}.$$

Our proof of this follows the general method of [19; 20]. Very roughly, this plan involves two steps:

- a. We relate $\langle y^k |f|^2, \mu_j \rangle$, which is an integral over $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$, to an “unfolded” integral over $\Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathfrak{h}$, by introducing an Eisenstein series as an unfolding object. This technique was developed in [19, §2] for f a level 1 holomorphic or Maass cusp form, and we adapt it to the case of $f \in H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$.

- b. The unfolded integral can be understood as an integral transform of a sum involving Fourier coefficients of f and μ_j at various cusps. We truncate the sums and integrals and apply estimates for the Fourier coefficients of f and μ_j to bound the truncations.

We remark that [20] also applies the spectral large sieve, to produce a fairly sharp upper bound for the spectral average $\sum_{|t_j| \sim T} |\langle y^k | f|^2, \mu_j \rangle|^2 e^{\pi |t_j|}$ (when f is a Maass cusp form). To simplify parts of our argument when f is noncuspidal, we do not apply the spectral large sieve and instead produce bounds for individual $\langle y^k | f|^2, \mu_j \rangle$. It would be interesting to determine if our growth estimates for $D_\ell(s)$ could be improved by replacing Theorem 8.1 with an appropriate spectral average.

Though not the main focus of this work, we remark that Theorem 8.1 has applications to modular forms of half-integral weight, since $M_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \subset H_k^\sharp(\Gamma_0(N))$. For convenient reference, we present this as a corollary.

Corollary 8.2. Fix $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. Let $\mu_j(z)$ be an L^2 -normalized Hecke–Maass cusp form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$, with spectral type $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\langle y^k | f|^2, \mu_j \rangle \ll (|t_j|^{2k-1+\epsilon} + |t_j|^{1+\epsilon}) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |t_j|}.$$

We remark that Corollary 8.2 improves certain technical results in [22]. In particular, we improve the t_j -dependence of [22, Proposition 14] in any case that our result applies.

8A. Jutila’s extension of the Rankin–Selberg method. The material in this section adapts [19, §2] from $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let $\phi(z)$ be an L^2 function on $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying $\phi(z) = O(y^{-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ and let $E_\infty(z, s)$ denote the weight 0 Eisenstein series at the cusp ∞ of $\Gamma_0(N)$. Since $E_\infty(z, s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue $\frac{3}{\pi} \cdot [\Gamma_0(N) : SL(2, \mathbb{Z})]^{-1} = V_N^{-1}$, we have

$$\iint_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}} \phi(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2} = V_N \iint_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}} \phi(z) \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} (s - 1) E_\infty(z, s) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

We now interchange the limit and integral, which can be justified by expanding $E_\infty(z, s)$ in a (rapidly converging) Fourier series and noting that the pole at $s = 1$ appears only within the constant phase. The growth estimate $\phi(z) = O(y^{-\delta})$ gives convergence in this surviving term and justifies the exchange. Then, since $\text{Re } s > 1$, the method of unfolding provides

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{h}} \phi(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2} &= V_N \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} (s - 1) R(\phi, s), \quad \text{with} \\ R(\phi, s) &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \phi(z) y^{s-1} \frac{dx dy}{y}, \end{aligned} \tag{8-1}$$

in which $R(\phi, s)$ is the typical Rankin–Selberg transform of ϕ .

We define $R^*(\phi, s) = \zeta^*(2s) R(\phi, s)$ and $R_0^*(\phi, s) = s(s - 1) R^*(\phi, s)$, so that (8-1) equals

$$\frac{\pi}{6} V_N \text{Res}_{s=1} R^*(\phi, s) = \frac{\pi}{6} V_N R_0^*(\phi, 1).$$

Note that $R_0^*(\phi, s)$ is entire, in part because $\phi \in L^2$. By the residue theorem,

$$R_0^*(\phi, 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{O}} g(s) \frac{R_0^*(\phi, s)}{s-1} ds,$$

in which \mathcal{O} is a contour encircling $s = 1$ once counterclockwise and $g(s)$ is a rapidly decaying holomorphic function satisfying $g(1) = 1$. We bend \mathcal{O} into a rectangle connecting $a \pm iT$ and $1 - a \pm iT$, then let $T \rightarrow \infty$ and use decay in g to render the horizontal components of \mathcal{O} negligible. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^*(\phi, 1) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(a)} g(s) \frac{R_0^*(\phi, s)}{s-1} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1-a)} g(s) \frac{R_0^*(\phi, s)}{s-1} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(a)} \left(\frac{g(s)}{s-1} R_0^*(\phi, s) + \frac{g(1-s)}{s} R_0^*(\phi, 1-s) \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{8-2}$$

We now apply the functional equation of the Eisenstein series on $\Gamma_0(N)$ to relate $R^*(\phi, 1-s)$ to a sum of Rankin–Selberg transforms at the other cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$. This takes the form

$$R^*(\phi, 1-s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(s) R^*(\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}, s), \tag{8-3}$$

in which $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(s)$ is an entry of the scattering matrix for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}} = \phi|_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}$ under the weight 0 slash operator. Exact formulas for $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ may be obtained by combining [35, Theorem 6.1] and [35, Proposition 4.2]. We have $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(s) = O(1)$ in fixed vertical strips away from poles. By applying (8-3) to (8-2), we conclude that

$$R_0^*(\phi, 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(a)} \left(\frac{g(s) R_0^*(\phi, s)}{s-1} + \frac{g(1-s)}{s} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(s) R_0^*(\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}, s) \right) ds.$$

In our application, we take $\phi(z) = \phi_j(z) = y^k |f(z)|^2 \overline{\mu_j(z)}$, where μ_j is a Maass cusp form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with $\|\mu_j\| = 1$. We conclude that

$$\langle F, \mu_j \rangle = \frac{V_N}{12i} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \int_{(a)} (\delta_{[\mathfrak{a}=\infty]} s g(s) + (s-1) g(1-s) \gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(s)) \zeta^*(2s) R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s) ds, \tag{8-4}$$

which generalizes [19, (2.10)]. This expression lets us determine $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle$ while only sampling $R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s)$ on the line $\text{Re } s = a \gg 1$. We also note that the pole of $\zeta^*(2s)$ at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is canceled by $R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s)$; hence the only poles of the integrand in $\text{Re } s > 0$ are those of $R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s)$.

Remark 8.3. Following [19, (2.8)], we take $g(s) = \exp(1 - \cos \frac{s-1}{B})$, for some large $B > 0$. This choice implies $|g(s)| \ll \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \exp(|\text{Im } s|/B))$ in the vertical strip $|\text{Re } s - 1| \leq \pi B/3$. In particular, the contour integral (8-4) converges if $R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s)$ grows at most exponentially in $|\text{Im } s|$. This will be established in Remark 8.10.

To bound the Rankin–Selberg transform

$$R(\phi_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}, s) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 y^{s+k} |f_{\mathfrak{a}}(z)|^2 \overline{\mu_{j_{\mathfrak{a}}}(z)} \frac{dx dy}{y^2},$$

we represent f_a and μ_{j_a} as Fourier series, as described in (2-2) and (6-2), then execute the x -integral. This expresses $R(\phi_{j_a}, s)$ as a triple sum over integers (n_1, n_2, n_3) subject to the relation $n_1 - n_2 = n_3$. As in Section 3, we group these terms based on the signs of n_1 and n_2 , so that

$$R(\phi_{j_a}, s) = I_{j_a}^+(s) + I_{j_a}^-(s) + I_{j_a}^\times(s) + I_{j_a}^0(s),$$

denoting the subsums in which (n_1, n_2) are both positive, are both negative, have mixed sign, or contain a zero, respectively. By changing variables to introduce $m := |n_1 - n_2| = |n_3|$ and grouping similar terms, we write

$$I_{j_a}^+(s) = \sum_{m, n + \kappa_a > 0} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^+(n+m) \overline{c_a^+(n) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^+(m, n + \kappa_a, s),$$

$$I_{j_a}^-(s) = \sum_{m, n \geq 1} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^-(n) \overline{c_a^-(n+m) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^-(m, n - \kappa_a, s),$$

$$I_{j_a}^\times(s) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1-\lceil \kappa_a \rceil}^{m-1} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^+(n) \overline{c_a^-(m-n) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^\times(m, n + \kappa_a, s),$$

in which the functions φ_j^+ , φ_j^- , and φ_j^\times are defined by

$$\varphi_j^+(m, n, s) := \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi(2n+m)y} K_{it_j}(2\pi my) \frac{dy}{y}, \tag{8-5}$$

$$\varphi_j^-(m, n, s) := \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi(2n+m)y} \Gamma(1-k, 4\pi ny) \Gamma(1-k, 4\pi(n+m)y) K_{it_j}(2\pi my) \frac{dy}{y}, \tag{8-6}$$

$$\varphi_j^\times(m, n, s) := \int_0^\infty y^{s+k-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi(m-2n)y} \Gamma(1-k, 4\pi(m-n)y) K_{it_j}(2\pi my) \frac{dy}{y}. \tag{8-7}$$

Here we have assumed without loss of generality that $\rho_{j_a}(-m) = \overline{\rho_{j_a}(m)}$ for Maass cusp forms of weight 0. Lastly, for singular cusps, we define

$$I_{j_a}^0(s) = \sum_{m>0} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^+(m) \overline{c_a^+(0) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^+(m, 0, s) + \sum_{m>0} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^+(m) \overline{c_a^-(0) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^+(m, 0, s - k + 1) + \sum_{m>0} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^-(m) \overline{c_a^+(0) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^\times(m, 0, s) + \sum_{m>0} 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_a^-(m) \overline{c_a^-(0) \rho_{j_a}(m)}) \varphi_j^\times(m, 0, s - k + 1). \tag{8-8}$$

For nonsingular cusps, we set $I_{j_a}^0(s) = 0$, as the corresponding summands vanish or otherwise incorporate into $I_{j_a}^+(s)$.

Remark 8.4. These decompositions mirror [19; 20], except that we separate $I_{j_a}^+$ from $I_{j_a}^-$ and introduce $I_{j_a}^0$ to account for noncuspidality. In fact, φ_j^+ exactly matches an unnamed function from [19, p. 449]. Our functions φ_j^- and φ_j^\times can be viewed as variants of the functions φ_j^+ and φ_j^- from [20, (3.4)], respectively.

8B. Representations and estimates for φ_j^+ , φ_j^\times , and φ_j^- . We now record some useful information about the functions φ_j^+ , φ_j^\times , and φ_j^- . We first consider φ_j^+ , leveraging earlier work of Jutila.

Lemma 8.5 [19, §3]. Define $\lambda = \lambda(m, n) := \sqrt{1 - m^2/(2n + m)^2}$ and set $p := s + k - \frac{1}{2}$. The function $\varphi_j^+(m, n, s)$ defined in (8-5) is analytic in $\text{Re } p > 0$ and may be written in either of the forms

$$\varphi_j^+(m, n, s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} m^{it_j} \Gamma(p + it_j) \Gamma(p - it_j)}{(4\pi)^p (2n + m)^{p+it_j} \Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2})} (1 + \lambda)^{-p-it_j} {}_2F_1\left(p, p + it_j, 2p \middle| \frac{2\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right), \tag{8-9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^+(m, n, s) = \frac{2^{-1-2p} \pi^{-p}}{(n(n + m))^{p/2}} & \left(\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^{\frac{it_j}{2}} \Gamma(-it_j) \Gamma(p + it_j) {}_2F_1\left(p, 1 - p, 1 + it_j \middle| \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{it_j}{2}} \Gamma(it_j) \Gamma(p - it_j) {}_2F_1\left(p, 1 - p, 1 - it_j \middle| \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{8-10}$$

Proof. These identities are implicit in [19, (3.16)–(3.21)]. □

In the special case $n = 0$, we have $\lambda = 0$ and (8-9) implies that

$$\varphi_j^+(m, 0, s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(p + it_j) \Gamma(p - it_j)}{(4\pi m)^p \Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2})}, \tag{8-11}$$

which can also be seen directly via [9, 6.621(3)]. For $n \neq 0$, we don't expect simplification but can still produce upper bounds. For example, in the text surrounding [20, (4.5)], Jutila applies (8-9) to produce

$$\varphi_j^+(m, n, s) \ll_{\text{Re } p} \frac{|\Gamma(p + it_j) \Gamma(p - it_j)|}{(2n + m)^{\text{Re } p} (1 + \lambda)^{\text{Re } p} |\Gamma(p)|} \log(2n + m), \tag{8-12}$$

valid for $\text{Re } p > 0$. An upper bound derived from the representation (8-10) is presented in the following lemma.

Lemma 8.6 (cf. [20, p. 452]). Fix $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$. For any s in a fixed vertical strip away from poles,

$$\varphi_j^+(m, n, s) \ll_\epsilon \frac{|t_j|^{\text{Re } p-1}}{(n(n + m))^{\frac{\text{Re } p}{2}}} \left(1 + \left| \frac{1 + |s|^2}{\lambda t_j} \right|^{1+|\text{Re } p|+\epsilon} \right) \frac{e^{\frac{\pi}{2} |\text{Im } s|}}{e^{\pi |t_j|}}. \tag{8-13}$$

Proof. For $p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and nonpositive $z \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the integral representation

$${}_2F_1\left(p, 1 - p, 1 + it_j \middle| z\right) = \int_B \frac{\Gamma(1 + it_j) \Gamma(p + w) \Gamma(1 - p + w) \Gamma(-w)}{\Gamma(p) \Gamma(1 - p) \Gamma(1 + it_j + w)} (-z)^w dw, \tag{8-14}$$

in which the contour B separates the poles of $\Gamma(p + w) \Gamma(1 - p + w)$ from those of $\Gamma(-w)$ [5, (15.6.6)]. We suppose that $\text{Re } p > 0$ and shift the contour B to the line $\text{Re } w = \text{Re } p + \epsilon$. This shift passes poles

and extracts residues at $w = 0, 1, \dots, [\operatorname{Re} p + \epsilon]$, totaling

$$\sum_{v=0}^{[\operatorname{Re} p + \epsilon]} \frac{(p)_v(1-p)_v}{v!(1+it_j)_v} z^v \ll 1 + \left| \frac{(1+|p|^2)z}{t_j} \right|^{\operatorname{Re} p + \epsilon},$$

in which $(\alpha)_v := \Gamma(v + \alpha) / \Gamma(\alpha)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol. The same upper bound holds for the shifted integral, by Stirling’s approximation. We apply this estimate for $z = \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda} \ll \lambda^{-1}$, then apply Stirling’s approximation to the other factors of (8-10) to complete the case $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$. The case $\operatorname{Re} p < 0$ then follows using the invariance of (8-14) under $p \leftrightarrow 1 - p$. \square

We conclude our discussion of φ_j^+ by presenting a uniform upper bound for the size of its residues.

Lemma 8.7. *Fix $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$. For each integer $r \geq 0$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}-k \pm it_j - r} \varphi_j^+(m, n, s) \ll_r (n+m)^r |t_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|}.$$

Proof. Stirling’s approximation and (8-10) give

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}-k+it_j-r} \varphi_j^+(m, n, s) \ll_r \frac{(n(m+n))^{\frac{r}{2}}}{|t_j|^{1/2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|}} \cdot \left| {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} it_j - r, 1 + r - it_j \\ 1 - it_j \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda} \right) \right|.$$

The transformation ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b, c, \frac{z}{z-1})$ (cf. [9, 9.131(1)]) relates the hypergeometric function above to the finite sum

$$\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2\lambda} \right)^{-it_j+r} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} it_j - r, -r \\ 1 - it_j \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right) \ll \lambda^{-r} \sum_{v=0}^r \frac{(it_j - r)_v (-r)_v}{(1 - it_j)_v v!} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right)^v,$$

which is $O_r(\lambda^{-r})$, uniformly in t_j . The claim now follows from the estimate $\lambda^2 \asymp n/(n+m)$, and the computation for $s = \frac{1}{2} - k - it_j - r$ is identical. \square

To understand φ_j^\times and φ_j^- , we express them as contour integral transforms of φ_j^+ . The following lemma consolidates relevant information about φ_j^\times .

Lemma 8.8. *The function $\varphi_j^\times(m, n, s)$ defined in (8-7) admits meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, with poles at $s = -\frac{1}{2} \pm it_j - r$ and $s = \frac{1}{2} - k \pm it_j - r$, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ away from poles, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^\times(m, n, s) &\ll \frac{1}{(m-n)^k \sqrt{m}} \left(\frac{|s-it_j| \cdot |s+it_j|}{m|s|} \right)^{\operatorname{Re} s - 1} |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m|s|}{(m-n)|s-it_j| \cdot |s+it_j|} \right)^{|k|+\epsilon} \right) e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\operatorname{Im} s|} \\ &\quad + \delta_{[\operatorname{Re} s < \frac{1}{2}-k]} (m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\operatorname{Im} s|} \end{aligned} \tag{8-15}$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for some $A > 0$ depending only on k .

Proof. The integral representation (7-2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^\times(m, n, s) &= \frac{-\pi}{\Gamma(k)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\Gamma(w+k)}{(4\pi(m-n))^{w+k} \sin(\pi w)} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{s-\frac{1}{2}-w} e^{-2\pi my} K_{it_j}(2\pi my) \frac{dy}{y} \right) dw \\ &= \frac{-\pi}{\Gamma(k)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\Gamma(w+k) \varphi_j^+(m, 0, s-k-w)}{(4\pi(m-n))^{w+k} \sin(\pi w)} dw, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a contour separating the poles of $\Gamma(w+k)$ from those at $w = 0, 1, \dots$, arising from $1/\sin(\pi w)$. To begin, we require $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} + \max\{\operatorname{Re} w : w \in C\}$. To consider general s , we shift the contour C left, passing poles from $\Gamma(w+k)$ and $1/\sin(\pi w)$ and extracting residues involving $\varphi_j^+(m, 0, s)$ at shifted arguments. By (8-11), these residues contribute poles at the poles of $\Gamma(s+k-\frac{1}{2} \pm i t_j)$ and $\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2} \pm i t_j)$.

To produce growth estimates, we then shift C rightwards, to the contour $\operatorname{Re} w = |k| + \epsilon$. This extracts a sum of residues equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{|k|-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^q \Gamma(q+k)}{\Gamma(k)(4\pi(m-n))^{k+q}} \cdot \varphi_j^+(m, 0, s-k-q) \\ + \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor |k|+\epsilon-\operatorname{Re} s+\frac{1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{Res}_{w=s-\frac{1}{2} \pm i t_j+r} \frac{\pi \Gamma(w+k) \varphi_j^+(m, 0, s-k-w)}{(4\pi(m-n))^{w+k} \sin(\pi w) \Gamma(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Stirling’s approximation and Lemma 8.7 show that the exponential decay in the residues in the second line is $e^{-\frac{3\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s \pm i t_j| - \frac{\pi}{2} |t_j|} \ll e^{-2\pi |t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|}$, while the worst polynomial growth is $O((m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A)$ for some $A > 0$ depending linearly on $|k|$ and $\operatorname{Re} s$. Since $\operatorname{Re} s \in (0, |k| + \frac{1}{2})$ when these terms appear, we may take the constant A to depend on k alone.

Exponential decay in $|\operatorname{Im} w|$ within the integrand bounds the shifted contour integral to at most a constant multiple of the integrand near $|k| + \epsilon$. Stirling’s approximation and (8-11) then complete the proof of (8-15). □

The corresponding properties of φ_j^- may be obtained in a similar (though more complicated) way and are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 8.9. *The function $\varphi_j^-(m, n, s)$ defined in (8-6) admits meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, with poles at $s = k - \frac{3}{2} - m \pm i t_j$ and $s = \frac{1}{2} - k - m \pm i t_j$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{Re} s > 3|k| + 1$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^-(m, n, s) & \tag{8-16} \\ \ll & \frac{\log(m+n)}{(n(m+n))^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{|s-i t_j| |s+i t_j|}{(2n+m)(1+\lambda)|s|} \right)^{\operatorname{Re} s-k-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{(n+m)|s|^2}{n|s-i t_j|^2 |s+i t_j|^2} \right)^{|k|+\epsilon} \right) e^{-\pi |t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2} |\operatorname{Im} s|}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (7-2), we write $\varphi_j^-(m, n, s)$ as a double contour integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^-(m, n, s) &= \frac{\pi^2}{\Gamma(k)^2(2\pi i)^2} \int_{C_1} \int_{C_2} \frac{\Gamma(w_1 + k)\Gamma(w_2 + k)}{(4\pi n)^{w_1+k}(4\pi(n+m))^{w_2+k} \sin(\pi w_1) \sin(\pi w_2)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty y^{s-k-\frac{1}{2}-w_1-w_2} e^{-2\pi(2n+m)y} K_{it_j}(2\pi my) \frac{dy}{y} \right) dw_2 dw_1 \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{C_1} \int_{C_2} \frac{\Gamma(w_1 + k)\Gamma(w_2 + k)\varphi_j^+(m, n, s - 2k - w_1 - w_2) dw_2 dw_1}{\Gamma(k)^2(4\pi n)^{w_1+k}(4\pi(n+m))^{w_2+k} \sin(\pi w_1) \sin(\pi w_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are instances of the contour C described in Lemma 8.8 and $\text{Re } s > k + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \max\{\text{Re } w : w \in C\}$ to begin. As in Lemma 8.8, shifting the contours left produces residues which determine the poles of φ_j^- . To produce growth estimates, we shift C_1 and C_2 to the lines $\text{Re } w_1 = \text{Re } w_2 = |k| + \epsilon$, extracting a series of single contour integrals and a double sum of residues from $1/\sin(\pi w_1) \sin(\pi w_2)$ equal to

$$\sum_{q_1, q_2=0}^{|k|-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{q_1+q_2} \Gamma(q_1 + k)\Gamma(q_2 + k)\varphi_j^+(m, n, s - 2k - q_1 - q_2)}{\Gamma(k)^2(4\pi n)^{k+q_1}(4\pi(m+n))^{k+q_2}}. \tag{8-17}$$

Exponential decay in vertical strips implies that the contour integrals are bounded by their values near the near axis, whereby the bound (8-12) and Stirling’s approximation gives (8-16). \square

Remark 8.10. The upper bounds for φ_j^+ , φ_j^\times , and φ_j^- given in (8-12), (8-15), and (8-16) imply that $R(\phi_{j_a}, s)$ satisfies a bound of the form

$$R(\phi_{j_a}, s) \ll (|s| + |t_j|)^A e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}, \tag{8-18}$$

for sufficiently large $\text{Re } s$ and some $A > 0$. Indeed, such a bound holds for each of $I_{j_a}^+(s)$, $I_{j_a}^\times(s)$, $I_{j_a}^-(s)$, and $I_{j_a}^0(s)$, by dyadic subdivision of their defining sums, polynomial growth bounds on $c_a^\pm(n)$, and a bound for $\rho_{j_a}(n)$ such as Lemma 6.1.

Note that (8-18) implies that the contour integral (8-4) for $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle$ converges for $\text{Re } s$ sufficiently large. More specifically, it implies that $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle \ll |t_j|^A e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|}$ for some $A > 0$. These coarse estimates also show that the integral in (8-4) may be truncated to $|\text{Im } s| = c \log(1 + |t_j|)$ for some $c > 0$ while introducing negligible error. We assume this henceforth.

We conclude this section with an upper bound for φ_j^- obtained via (8-13). We assume $\lambda \gg |t_j|^{-1-\epsilon}$. We also assume that $|s| \ll \log |t_j|$, which holds without loss of generality by Remark 8.10. The bound (8-13) implies that the contribution of the residues (8-17) is

$$O\left(\frac{|t_j|^{\text{Re } s - k - \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon}}{(n(m+n))^{\frac{1}{2} \text{Re } s + \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} \sum_{q_1, q_2}^{|k|-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m+n}{n} \right)^{\frac{q_1-q_2}{2}} |t_j|^{-q_1-q_2} \right).$$

Since $\lambda \asymp \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{n+m}$, the estimate $\lambda \gg |t_j|^{-1-\epsilon}$ implies that $|t_j|^{2+2\epsilon} \gg \frac{m+n}{n}$. Thus, up to $|t_j|^\epsilon$ factors, the (q_1, q_2) -sum is dominated by the $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ term. Our estimate for the $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ term

likewise acts as a bound for the shifted double contour and any of the single contour integrals associated to residues from $1/\sin(\pi w_1)$ or $1/\sin(\pi w_2)$.

The contribution of the residues from the poles of $\varphi_j^+(m, n, s - 2k - w_1 - w_2)$ (as either single contour integrals or residues from single contour integrals) is $O((m + n + |s| + |t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|})$ for some $A > 0$, by Lemma 8.7 and Stirling’s approximation. (At this level of precision it suffices to consider only the exponential factor in Stirling’s approximation.) Thus

$$\varphi_j^-(m, n, s) \ll \frac{|t_j|^{\text{Re}s - k - \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon}}{(n(m+n))^{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}s + \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|} + \delta_{[\text{Re}s < |k-1| - \frac{1}{2}]} (m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|}. \quad (8-19)$$

8C. Sum truncation. For some (m, n) , the functions φ_j^+ , φ_j^\times , and φ_j^- may be made arbitrarily small by taking $\text{Re}s$ very large. For example, (8-15) implies that $\varphi_j^\times(m, n, s)$ decays with respect to $|t_j|$ as $\text{Re}s \rightarrow \infty$ provided $m < |t_j|^{2+\delta}$, for any fixed $\delta > 0$. In other words, we may truncate $I_{j_a}^\times(s)$ to $m \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$ in our estimate for $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle$, with a negligible error. Likewise, (8-12) and (8-15) imply that $I_{j_a}^0(s)$ may be truncated to $m \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$.

We claim that $I_{j_a}^+(s)$ and $I_{j_a}^-(s)$ may be truncated to $n(m+n) \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$ at the cost of negligible error. To prove this, we follow [19, (3.25)] and subdivide cases based on whether $\lambda \ll |t_j|^{-1}$.

- a. If $\lambda \ll |t_j|^{-1}$ and $n(m+n) \gg |t_j|^{2+\delta}$, then $\lambda^2 \ll |t_j|^{-2}$, so that $(2n+m)^2 \gg n(n+m)|t_j|^2$ after simplifying. The lower bound $n(m+n) \gg |t_j|^{2+\delta}$ implies that $2n+m \gg |t_j|^{2+\delta/2}$, hence $n+m \gg |t_j|^{2+\delta/2}$. In this case, (8-12) and (8-16) produce arbitrary polynomial improvements in $|t_j|$ as $\text{Re}s \rightarrow \infty$.
- b. If $\lambda \gg |t_j|^{-1}$ and $n(m+n) \gg |t_j|^{2+\delta}$, we instead argue using the upper bounds (8-13) and (8-19).

Let $J_{j_a}^+$ and $J_{j_a}^-$ denote the truncations of $I_{j_a}^+$ and $I_{j_a}^-$ to $n(m+n) \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$. Likewise, define $J_{j_a}^\times$ and $J_{j_a}^0$ as the truncations of $I_{j_a}^\times$ and $I_{j_a}^0$ to $m \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$.

8D. Estimation of the truncated sums. To complete our estimation of the inner product $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle$, we bound the sums $J_{j_a}^+(s)$, $J_{j_a}^-(s)$, $J_{j_a}^\times(s)$, and $J_{j_a}^0(s)$ on the line $\text{Re}s = \delta$, where δ is the same constant used to define the truncation conditions. We assume that $|\text{Im}s| = O(\log |t_j|)$, by Remark 8.10.

We first consider $J_{j_a}^+(s)$, which we truncated to $n(m+n) \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$. We subdivide into dyadic intervals, with $m \sim M$ and $n \sim L$. On each dyadic subsum, we estimate $\varphi_j^+(m, n + \kappa_a, s)$ using (8-13), which outperforms (8-12) in these regimes. Since $L(L+M) \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}$ and $\lambda^2 \asymp n/(n+m)$, we have $\lambda \gg |t_j|^{-1-\delta}$. This observation, and the free assumption $s = O(\log |t_j|)$, shows that (8-13) bounds a given dyadic sum by

$$|t_j|^{k - \frac{3}{2} + O(\delta)} e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|} \sum_{\substack{m \sim M \\ n \sim L}} \frac{|c_a^+(n+m)c_a^+(n)\rho_{j_a}(m)|}{(L(L+M))^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (8-20)$$

In the sum within (8-20), we apply Cauchy–Schwarz, Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 6.1 to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \sim L} |c_a^+(n)| \sum_{m \sim M} |c_a^+(n+m)\rho_{ja}(m)| &\ll \sum_{n \sim L} |c_a^+(n)| \left(\sum_{q \sim M+L} |c_a^+(q)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m \sim M} |\rho_{ja}(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll L^{\frac{1}{2}} (L(L+M)^{k+|k-1|})^{\frac{1}{2}} (M+|t_j|)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|}. \end{aligned} \tag{8-21}$$

Thus the (L, M) -dependence in (8-20) is $L^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} (L(L+M))^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}|k-1|}$ or $L^{\frac{1}{2}} (L(L+M))^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}|k-1|}$. In the first case, the dominant dyadic interval takes $M \sim |t_j|^2$ and $L \sim 1$, while in the second case we dominate by the $M \sim 1$ and $L \sim |t_j|$ subintervals (up to $|t_j|^\delta$ factors). Either way, we conclude that

$$J_{ja}^+(s) \ll |t_j|^{k+|k-1|+O(\delta)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|}. \tag{8-22}$$

Our treatment of $J_{ja}^-(s)$ is essentially the same. We again subdivide into dyadic intervals, with $m \sim M$ and $n \sim L$, then apply (8-19). The contribution from $(m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|}$ within $\varphi_j^-(m, n, s)$ is clearly $O(|t_j|^{A'} e^{-2\pi|t_j|})$ for some $A' > 0$, which will be exponentially nondominant. Otherwise, (8-19) bounds a given dyadic interval by

$$|t_j|^{-k - \frac{3}{2} + O(\delta)} e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|} \sum_{\substack{m \sim M \\ n \sim L}} \frac{|c_a^-(n+m)c_a^-(n)\rho_{ja}(m)|}{(L(L+M))^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}},$$

which matches the $J_{ja}^+(s)$ case except that we've multiplied by $|t_j|^{-2k}$ and replaced c_a^+ with c_a^- . By Lemma 2.1, the change $c_a^+ \mapsto c_a^-$ does not worsen our estimate. We conclude that

$$J_{ja}^-(s) \ll |t_j|^{|k-1|-k+O(\delta)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|}. \tag{8-23}$$

We next consider $J_{ja}^\times(s)$. By applying (8-15) and disregarding the nondominant contribution of $(m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|}$, we find that

$$J_{ja}^\times(s) \ll |t_j|^{-2+O(\delta)} e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im}s|} \sum_{m < |t_j|^{2+\delta}} \frac{|\rho_{ja}(m)|}{m^{-1/2}} \sum_{n=1-\lceil \chi_a \rceil}^{m-1} \frac{|c_a^+(n)c_a^-(m-n)|}{(m-n-\chi_a)^k},$$

under the standing assumptions on s . To estimate the sums, we map $n \mapsto m-n$ in the n -sum, restrict m to a dyadic interval $m \sim M$, swap the order of summation, and apply Cauchy–Schwarz and Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{|\rho_{ja}(m)|}{m^{-1/2}} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|c_a^+(m-n)c_a^-(n)|}{(n-\chi_a)^k} &\ll M^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \leq 2M} \frac{|c_a^-(n)|}{n^k} \left(\sum_{m \sim M} |\rho_{ja}(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m \sim M} |c_a^+(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll M^{\frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{2}|k-1| + \frac{1}{2}} (M+|t_j|)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|} \sum_{n \leq 2M} \frac{|c_a^-(n)|}{n^k}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining n -sum has size $O(M^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{2}|k-1|} \log M)$ by dyadic subdivision, Cauchy–Schwarz, and

Lemma 2.1. The largest overall contribution to $J_{j_a}^\times$ appears when $M \sim |t_j|^{2+\delta}$, which gives the estimate

$$J_{j_a}^\times(s) \ll e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} \begin{cases} |t_j|^{2k-1+O(\delta)} & \text{if } k > 1, \\ |t_j|^{3-2k+O(\delta)} & \text{if } k < 1. \end{cases} \tag{8-24}$$

Finally, we consider $J_{j_a}^0(s)$, which we treat according to the four-part decomposition of $I_{j_a}^0(s)$ in (8-8). Applying (8-12) and (8-15) and ignoring the contribution of $(m+n+|s|+|t_j|)^A e^{-2\pi|t_j| + \frac{3\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}$ in (8-15) (since it is nondominant) produces

$$J_{j_a}^0(s) \ll e^{-\pi|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} |t_j|^{O(\delta)} \times \sum_{m \ll |t_j|^{2+\delta}} \left(\frac{|c_a^+(m)\rho_{j_a}(m)|}{m^{k-\frac{1}{2}}|t_j|^{2-2k}} + \frac{|c_a^+(m)\rho_{j_a}(m)|}{m^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{|c_a^-(m)\rho_{j_a}(m)|}{m^{k-\frac{1}{2}}|t_j|^2} + \frac{|c_a^-(m)\rho_{j_a}(m)|}{m^{\frac{1}{2}}|t_j|^{2k}} \right).$$

For each term in the parenthetical, we subdivide dyadically on m , then apply Cauchy–Schwarz, Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 6.1. In each term, the largest dyadic contribution has $m \sim |t_j|^{2+\delta}$. The first two terms contribute $O(|t_j|^{k+|k-1|+O(\delta)} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|})$, while the last two are $O(|t_j|^{k-1-k+O(\delta)} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|})$. We conclude that

$$J_{j_a}^0(s) \ll e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j| + \frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} \cdot \begin{cases} |t_j|^{2k-1+O(\delta)} & \text{if } k > 1, \\ |t_j|^{1+O(\delta)} & \text{if } k = \frac{1}{2}, \\ |t_j|^{1-2k+O(\delta)} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases} \tag{8-25}$$

By combining the upper bounds derived in this section, we complete our estimation of $\langle F, \mu_j \rangle$ and prove Theorem 8.1:

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We estimate (8-4), truncating the contour to $|\text{Im } s| \leq c \log(1 + |t_j|)$ with negligible error by Remark 8.10. We write $R(\phi_{j_a}, s) = I_{j_a}^+(s) + I_{j_a}^-(s) + I_{j_a}^\times(s) + I_{j_a}^0(s)$, truncating each term in the decomposition as described in Section 8C. Within the truncated contour, we shift to $\text{Re } s = \delta$ (with negligible error) and apply (8-22), (8-23), (8-24), and (8-25) to produce

$$\langle F, \mu_j \rangle \ll \sum_a e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_j|} (|t_j|^{2k-1+O(\delta)} + |t_j|^{3-2k+O(\delta)}) \times \int_{(\delta)} |\delta_{[a=\infty]} s g(s) + (s-1)g(1-s)\gamma_a(s)| \cdot |\zeta^*(2s)| e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} ds.$$

The integral is $O_{a,\delta}(1)$, and the proof follows by taking δ near 0. □

9. Bounding $D_\ell(s)$ in vertical strips, part II

In Section 7, we proved Proposition 7.1, which reduced the problem of bounding $D_\ell(s)$ to the problem of bounding $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$. In this section, we estimate the latter to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9.1. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$ small. In the vertical strip $\text{Re } s \in (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon)$ away from poles of $D_\ell(s)$, we have*

$$D_\ell(s) \ll_\epsilon \ell^\epsilon |s|^\epsilon \cdot (|s|^{\frac{5}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}}|s|^2 + \ell|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{3}{2}-\text{Re } s}.$$

The proof follows the decomposition of $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle$ into discrete and continuous spectra.

9A. Growth of the discrete spectrum Σ_{disc} . For convenience, recall that the discrete spectrum equals

$$\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) := \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(s)} \sum_j \rho_j(\ell)\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2}+it_j)\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2}-it_j)\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mu_j \rangle.$$

By the comments at the start of Section 8, we may replace $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}$ here with the unregularized form $y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}(z)|^2$. Then, by Theorem 8.1 and Stirling,

$$\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) \ll \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s} |s|^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|} \sum_j \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}t_j} \cdot |t_j|^{2+\epsilon} |s+it_j|^{\text{Res}-1} |s-it_j|^{\text{Re } s-1} e^{-\pi \max(|t_j|, |\text{Im } s|)}.$$

Here we have used that $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for Maass forms on $\Gamma_0(4)$.

By Lemma 6.2, the mass in the t_j -sum in $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ concentrates to within $|t_j| < |\text{Im } s|$. Thus

$$\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) \ll \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s} \frac{|s|^{\frac{5}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon}}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}} \sum_{|t_j| < |\text{Im } s|} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}t_j} |s+it_j|^{\text{Res}-1} |s-it_j|^{\text{Re } s-1}. \tag{9-1}$$

Lemma 6.2 implies a short-interval second moment estimate of the form

$$\sum_{X \leq |t_j| \leq X+1} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|^2}{\cosh \pi t_j} \ll_{N,\epsilon} X^{1+\epsilon} \ell^\epsilon + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}. \tag{9-2}$$

By dividing the range of summation in (9-1) into subintervals of length 1 and applying Cauchy–Schwarz and (9-2) to each subinterval, we find

$$\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) \ll_{\text{Re } s, \epsilon} \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon} |s|^{2+\epsilon} (|s|^{\text{Re } s} + 1) (|s|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}}) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}. \tag{9-3}$$

9B. Growth of the continuous spectrum Σ_{cont} . Recall that the continuous spectrum equals

$$\Sigma_{\text{cont}} = \frac{V_N}{2} \sum_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{a\ell}(\frac{1}{2}+it)\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2}+it)\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2}-it)}{(4\pi\ell)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\pi\ell)^{-it}\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+it)} \langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}+it) \rangle dt$$

in $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$. To bound the growth of $\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ with respect to $|\text{Im } s|$ in this region, we must control the growth of both $\varphi_{a\ell}(\frac{1}{2}+it)$ and $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}+it) \rangle$. Sufficient estimates for $\varphi_{a\ell}(\frac{1}{2}+it)$ appear in (6-3).

To estimate $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}+it) \rangle$, we apply the Phragmén–Lindelöf convexity principle to $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \bar{w}) \rangle$, studying the latter outside the critical strip. We prove the following result.

Proposition 9.2. *For all $\epsilon > 0$, $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}+it) \rangle \ll_{\epsilon} (1+|t|)^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|}$.*

Proof. To begin, we interpret $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}, E_a(\cdot, \bar{w}) \rangle$ via the Rankin–Selberg method. More precisely, we interpret the inner product using Zagier’s extension of the Rankin–Selberg method to functions with polynomial growth at cusps, as generalized to congruence subgroups by Gupta [37; 6].

Recall from (4-4) that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(z)$ differs from $y^{3/2}|\mathcal{H}(z)|^2$ by a linear combination of the functions $E_b(z, \frac{3}{2})$, $\tilde{E}_b(z, 1)$, and $y^{1/2}|\theta(z)|^2$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_a z) = \psi_a(y) + O(y^{-M})$$

for all $M > 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, in which $\psi_a(y)$ is a linear combination of $y^{-1/2}$ (from $E_b(z, \frac{3}{2})$), $\log y$, and y^0 (both from $\tilde{E}_b(z, 1)$). We define the Rankin–Selberg transform $R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w)$ by

$$R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 y^w (\mathcal{V}_H(\sigma_a z) - \psi_a(y)) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}. \tag{9-4}$$

We write $\mathcal{V}_H(z)$ as a Fourier series and execute the x -integral in (9-4), extracting the constant Fourier coefficient. This produces

$$\begin{aligned} R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) := & \int_0^\infty y^{w+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n+\kappa_a > 0} |c_a^+(n)|^2 e^{-4\pi(n+\kappa_a)y} \frac{dy}{y} \\ & + \int_0^\infty y^{w+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} |c_a^-(n)|^2 \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi(n-\kappa_a)y)^2 e^{4\pi(n-\kappa_a)y} \frac{dy}{y} \\ & - \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int_0^\infty y^{w-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n+\kappa_a > 0} |r_a(n)|^2 e^{-4\pi(n+\kappa_a)y} \frac{dy}{y}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta|_{\sigma_a}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_a(n)e((n + \kappa_a)z)$. Note that the constant Fourier coefficients of $E_b(z, \frac{3}{2})$ and $\tilde{E}_b(z, 1)$ cancel with corresponding terms in $\psi_a(y)$ and do not appear above. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) = & \frac{\Gamma(w + \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{w+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n > -\kappa_a} \frac{|c_a^+(n)|^2}{(n + \kappa_a)^{w+\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\Gamma(w - \frac{1}{2})}{4(4\pi)^{w+\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n > -\kappa_a} \frac{|r_a(n)|^2}{(n + \kappa_a)^{w-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|c_a^-(n)|^2}{(4\pi(n - \kappa_a))^{w+\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty y^{w+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}, y)^2 e^y \frac{dy}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 implies that the two Dirichlet series converge in $\text{Re } w > \frac{3}{2}$. Note that the integral above equals $G_{3/2}(w, 1, 1)$ as defined in (3-2), so by the comments following (3-2), the second line above converges for $\text{Re } w > \frac{3}{2}$.

To estimate the growth of $R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w)$ on the line $\text{Re } w = \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon$, we must quantify the growth of $G_{3/2}(w, 1, 1)$ with respect to $|\text{Im } w|$. This was computed in Lemma 7.4; away from poles, we have

$$G_{\frac{3}{2}}(w, 1, 1) \ll_\epsilon |w|^{\text{Re } w - \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\text{Im } w|}.$$

It follows that $R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) \ll |w|^{\frac{3}{2} + \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\text{Im } w|}$ on the line $\text{Re } w = \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon$.

The estimate $\zeta^*(2-2w)R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, 1-w) \ll \sum_b \zeta^*(2w)R_b(\mathcal{V}_H, w)$ (cf. (8-3)) can be used to produce bounds in a left half-plane. In particular, we find $R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) \ll |w|^{\frac{7}{2} + \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\text{Im } w|}$ on $\text{Re } w = -\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$. The Phragmén–Lindelöf convexity principle then implies

$$R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, \frac{1}{2} + it) \ll (1 + |t|)^{\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |t|}.$$

for real t . To complete the proof, we note that $R_a(\mathcal{V}_H, w) = \langle \mathcal{V}_H, E_a(\cdot, \bar{w}) \rangle$ within the critical strip $\text{Re } w \in (0, 1)$ by [15, Proposition A.3]. (The constant Θ defined therein equals 0, since $\psi_a(y)$ is a linear combination of $\log y$, y^0 , and $y^{-1/2}$ for each a .) □

By Proposition 9.2, (6-3), and Stirling’s approximation, we have

$$\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s) \ll \frac{\ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}}{|s|^{\text{Re } s-\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s+it|^{\text{Re } s-1} |s-it|^{\text{Re } s-1} \frac{(1+|t|)^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{e^{\pi \max(|\text{Im } s|,|t|)}} dt.$$

The mass of the integral above concentrates in $|t| < |\text{Im } s|$; restricting to this range, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s) &\ll \frac{\ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon} |s|^{3-\text{Re } s+\epsilon}}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}} \int_{-|\text{Im } s|}^{|\text{Im } s|} |s+it|^{\text{Re } s-1} |s-it|^{\text{Re } s-1} dt \\ &\ll \frac{\ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon} |s|^{2+\epsilon}}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|\text{Im } s|}} (|s|^{\text{Re } s} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{9-5}$$

at least in the region $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$ (where Σ_{cont} has this one-term description).

9C. Growth of $D_\ell(s)$. In $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$, the upper bound for $\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(s)$ from (9-5) is dominated by the upper bound for $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ from (9-3). It follows that

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{V}_H, P_\ell(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} \ll_\epsilon \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\text{Re } s+\epsilon} |s|^{2+\epsilon} (|s|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}}) \tag{9-6}$$

in this region. By combining this estimate with Proposition 7.1 and the convexity principle, we complete our proof of Theorem 9.1.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. For $\text{Re } s > \frac{3}{2}$, the upper bound

$$D_\ell(s) \ll \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H(n)^2}{(n+\ell)^{\text{Re } s+\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H(n+\ell)^2}{(n+\ell)^{\text{Re } s+\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H(n)^2}{n^{\text{Re } s+\frac{1}{2}}} \ll 1$$

implies that the result holds on $\text{Re } s = \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon$, for $\epsilon > 0$. The result also holds on the line $\text{Re } s = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, by Proposition 7.1 and (9-6). The full theorem now follows by the convexity principle. \square

10. Applying a truncated Perron formula

To prove our main arithmetic result, Theorem 1.1, we apply a truncated Perron formula to $D_\ell(s)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For X nonintegral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \leq X} H(n)H(n-\ell) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2+\epsilon-iT}^{2+\epsilon+iT} D_\ell(s-\frac{1}{2}) \frac{X^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{X^{2+\epsilon}}{T} + \sum_{n=X/2}^{2X} |H(n)H(n-\ell)| \min\left(1, \frac{X}{T|X-n|}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{10-1}$$

by [25, Corollary 5.3]. By Lemma 7.2, the error term in (10-1) is

$$O\left(\frac{X^{2+\epsilon}}{T} + X^{1+\epsilon} \sum_{n=X/2}^{2X} \min\left(1, \frac{X}{T|X-n|}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{X^{2+\epsilon}}{T}\right).$$

To estimate the integral in (10-1), we shift the contour from $\text{Re } s = 2 + \epsilon$ to $\text{Re } s = 1 + \epsilon$. By Theorem 6.5, this extracts two residues, which total

$$\frac{1}{2} X^2 \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}} D_\ell(s) + \frac{2}{3} X^{\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} D_\ell(s).$$

Shifting the truncated contour introduces error terms from horizontal contour integrals, which by Theorem 9.1 are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} O\left(\int_{1+iT+\epsilon}^{2+iT+\epsilon} D_\ell\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{X^s}{s} ds\right) &\ll \frac{(\ell T)^\epsilon}{T} \int_{1+\epsilon}^{2+\epsilon} (T^{\frac{5}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} T^2 + \ell T^{-\frac{3}{2}})^{2-\sigma} X^\sigma d\sigma \\ &\ll (\ell X T)^\epsilon \left(\frac{X^2}{T} + X T^{\frac{3}{2}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} X T + \ell X T^{-\frac{5}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Once the contour is shifted to $\text{Re } s = 1 + \epsilon$, we separate the contribution of the discrete spectrum $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s)$ from the rest of $D_\ell(s)$. The estimates from Proposition 7.1 and (9-5) imply that the non- Σ_{disc} terms contribute

$$O\left(\int_{1-iT+\epsilon}^{1+iT+\epsilon} (\ell|s|)^\epsilon \left(|s|^2 + \ell^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\ell}{|s|^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \frac{X^{1+\epsilon}}{|s|} ds\right) \ll (\ell X T)^\epsilon (X\ell + X T^2).$$

To bound the contribution of $\Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s - \frac{1}{2}) / \Gamma(s)$, we shift the contour farther left, to $\text{Re } s = \epsilon$. This shift introduces an error term (from the horizontal contours), which has size

$$O((\ell X T)^\epsilon \cdot (T^{\frac{3}{2}} X + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} T X + T^2 + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{\frac{3}{2}})),$$

by (9-3) as well as a finite sum of residues equal to

$$\mathfrak{R} := \sum_{|t_j| < T} \left(\frac{X^{1+it_j}}{\Gamma(2+it_j)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}+it_j} \Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) + \frac{X^{1-it_j}}{\Gamma(2-it_j)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}-it_j} \Sigma_{\text{disc}}(s) \right).$$

The contribution of Σ_{disc} on the contour $\text{Re } s = \epsilon$ is $O((\ell X T)^\epsilon \cdot (\ell T^3 + \ell^{\frac{5}{4}} T^{\frac{5}{2}}))$ by (9-3). Evaluating the residues in \mathfrak{R} and bounding in absolute values gives

$$\mathfrak{R} \ll X \sum_{|t_j| < T} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell) \langle \mathcal{V}_H, \mu_j \rangle|}{|t_j|^2} \ll X T^\epsilon \sum_{|t_j| < T} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2} t_j} \ll X T^{1+\epsilon} \left(\sum_{|t_j| < T} \frac{|\rho_j(\ell)|^2}{\cosh \pi t_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

in which we've applied Theorem 8.1 and Cauchy-Schwarz. Lemma 6.2 then implies that $\mathfrak{R} \ll_\epsilon X(\ell T)^\epsilon (T^2 + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

Putting everything together and omitting obviously nondominant errors, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \leq X} H(n) H(n-\ell) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} X^2 \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}} D_\ell(s) + \frac{2}{3} X^{\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} D_\ell(s) + O_\epsilon \left((\ell X T)^\epsilon \left(\frac{X^2}{T} + X(T^2 + \ell^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{\frac{3}{2}} + \ell) + \ell T^3 + \ell^{\frac{5}{4}} T^{\frac{5}{2}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

When $\ell \ll X^{2/3}$, these errors are minimized by setting $T = X^{1/3}$, producing a collected error of size $O(X^{5/3+\epsilon})$. In the range $X^{2/3} \ll \ell \ll X$, we choose any $T \in [X/\ell, X^{2/5}\ell^{-1/10}]$, producing a collected error of size $O(X^{1+\epsilon\ell})$. Using the residue formulas from Theorem 6.5, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n \leq X} H(n)H(n-\ell) = \frac{\pi^2 X^2}{252 \zeta(3)} (2\sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{4}) - \sigma_{-2}(\frac{\ell}{2}) + \sigma_{-2}(\ell_o)) + O_\epsilon(X^{5/3+\epsilon} + X^{1+\epsilon\ell}).$$

Theorem 1.1 then follows by assuming $\ell \ll X$ and mapping $X \mapsto X + \ell$.

Remark 10.1. The error terms in Theorem 1.1 may be improved dramatically if the sharp cutoff $n \leq X$ is replaced by a smooth cutoff. To this effect, fix a smooth function $w(x)$ with inverse Mellin transform $W(s)$. We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} H(n)H(n-\ell)w\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2+\epsilon)} D_\ell(s - \frac{1}{2})W(s)X^s ds,$$

provided both sides converge. If $W(s)$ decays exponentially in $|\operatorname{Im} s|$, we may shift the contour of integration left to $\operatorname{Re} s = 1 + \epsilon$ by Theorem 9.1. This extracts two residues, and the shifted contour integral contributes $O((X\ell)^{1+\epsilon})$ by Theorem 9.1. We conclude that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} H(n)H(n-\ell)w\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = W(2)X^2 \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}} D_\ell(s) + W\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)X^{\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} D_\ell(s) + O_\epsilon((X\ell)^{1+\epsilon}),$$

which offers some evidence in support of the conjecture (1-6).

Acknowledgments

The author was supported by the Additional Funding Programme for Mathematical Sciences, delivered by EPSRC (EP/V521917/1) and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research. This work was also supported by the Swedish Research Council under grant no. 2021-06594 while the author was in residence at Institut Mittag-Leffler in Djursholm, Sweden.

References

- [1] K. Bringmann, A. Folsom, K. Ono, and L. Rolin, *Harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms: theory and applications*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **64**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017. MR
- [2] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, “On two geometric theta lifts”, *Duke Math. J.* **125**:1 (2004), 45–90. MR
- [3] H. Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. **138**, Springer, 1993. MR
- [4] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, “Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms”, *Invent. Math.* **70**:2 (1982), 219–288. MR
- [5] F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain (editors), “NIST digital library of mathematical functions”, electronic reference, Nat. Inst. Standards Tech., 2020, available at <http://dlmf.nist.gov>. Release 1.0.26.
- [6] S. Dutta Gupta, “The Rankin–Selberg method on congruence subgroups”, *Illinois J. Math.* **44**:1 (2000), 95–103. MR
- [7] D. M. Goldfeld, “The class number of quadratic fields and the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **3**:4 (1976), 624–663. MR

- [8] A. Good, “Cusp forms and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian”, *Math. Ann.* **255**:4 (1981), 523–548. MR
- [9] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 8th ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2015. MR
- [10] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan, “The distribution of values of $L(1, \chi_d)$ ”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13**:5 (2003), 992–1028. MR
- [11] B. Gross and D. Zagier, “Points de Heegner et dérivées de fonctions L ”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **297**:2 (1983), 85–87. MR
- [12] H. Heilbronn, “On the class number in imaginary quadratic fields”, *Q. J. Math.* **5**:1 (1934), 150–160.
- [13] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier, “Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus”, *Invent. Math.* **36** (1976), 57–113. MR
- [14] J. Hoffstein and T. A. Hulse, “Multiple Dirichlet series and shifted convolutions”, *J. Number Theory* **161** (2016), 457–533. MR
- [15] T. A. Hulse, C. I. Kuan, D. Lowry-Duda, and A. Walker, “Second moments in the generalized Gauss circle problem”, *Forum Math. Sigma* **6** (2018), art. id. e24. MR
- [16] M. N. Huxley, “Introduction to Kloostermania”, pp. 217–306 in *Elementary and analytic theory of numbers* (Warsaw, 1982), edited by H. Iwaniec, Banach Center Publ. **17**, PWN, Warsaw, 1985. MR
- [17] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, 2nd ed., Grad. Stud. in Math. **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002. MR
- [18] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. MR
- [19] M. Jutila, “The additive divisor problem and its analogs for Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, I”, *Math. Z.* **223**:3 (1996), 435–461. MR
- [20] M. Jutila, “The additive divisor problem and its analogs for Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, II”, *Math. Z.* **225**:4 (1997), 625–637. MR
- [21] H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak, “Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures”, 2003. Appendix 2 to H. H. Kim, “Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2 ”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:1 (2003), 139–183. MR
- [22] E. M. Kiral, “Subconvexity for half integral weight L -functions”, *Math. Z.* **281**:3–4 (2015), 689–722. MR
- [23] V. V. Kumaraswamy, “On correlations between class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields”, *Acta Arith.* **185**:3 (2018), 211–231. MR
- [24] N. V. Kuznetsov, “Peterson’s conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and Linnik’s conjecture: sums of Kloosterman sums”, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **111(153)**:3 (1980), 334–383. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR-Sb.* **39**:3 (1981), 299–342. MR
- [25] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory, I: Classical theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **97**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007. MR
- [26] W. Müller, “The Rankin–Selberg method for non-holomorphic automorphic forms”, *J. Number Theory* **51**:1 (1995), 48–86. MR
- [27] P. D. Nelson, “The spectral decomposition of $|\theta|^2$ ”, *Math. Z.* **298**:3–4 (2021), 1425–1447. MR
- [28] P. Sarnak, “Integrals of products of eigenfunctions”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1994**:6 (1994), 251–260. MR
- [29] Á. Serrano Holgado and L. M. Navas Vicente, “The zeta function of a recurrence sequence of arbitrary degree”, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **20**:4 (2023), art. id. 224. MR
- [30] J.-P. Serre and H. M. Stark, “Modular forms of weight $1/2$ ”, pp. 27–67 in *Modular functions of one variable, VI* (Bonn, Germany, 1976), edited by J.-P. Serre and D. B. Zagier, Lecture Notes in Math. **627**, Springer, 1977. MR
- [31] K. D. Shankhadhar and R. K. Singh, “An analogue of Weil’s converse theorem for harmonic Maass forms of polynomial growth”, *Res. Number Theory* **8**:2 (2022), art. id. 36. MR
- [32] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1986. MR
- [33] A. I. Vinogradov and L. A. Takhtadzhyan, “The zeta function of the additive divisor problem and spectral expansion of the automorphic Laplacian”, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **134** (1984), 84–116. In Russian; translated in *J. Soviet Math.* **36**:1 (1987), 57–78. MR

- [34] D. Wolke, “Moments of class numbers, III”, *J. Number Theory* **4** (1972), 523–531. MR
- [35] M. P. Young, “Explicit calculations with Eisenstein series”, *J. Number Theory* **199** (2019), 1–48. MR
- [36] D. Zagier, “Nombres de classes et formes modulaires de poids $3/2$ ”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **281**:21 (1975), 883–886. MR
- [37] D. Zagier, “The Rankin–Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay”, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **28**:3 (1981), 415–437. MR

Communicated by Roger Heath-Brown

Received 2024-04-05 Revised 2024-10-21 Accepted 2024-12-23

alexander.walker@ucl.ac.uk

*Department of Mathematics, University College London, London WC1E 6BT,
United Kingdom*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR
Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR
David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J.-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$565/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19 No. 12 2025

Perfectoid towers and their tilts: with an application to the étale cohomology groups of local log-regular rings	2307
SHINNOSUKE ISHIRO, KEI NAKAZATO and KAZUMA SHIMOMOTO	
Reduction modulo p of Noether's problem	2359
EMILIANO AMBROSI and DOMENICO VALLONI	
On the Grothendieck ring of a quasireductive Lie superalgebra	2369
MARIA GORELIK, VERA SERGANOVA and ALEXANDER SHERMAN	
Combing a hedgehog over a field	2409
ALEXEY ANANYEVSKIY and MARC LEVINE	
Self-correlations of Hurwitz class numbers	2433
ALEXANDER WALKER	
On two definitions of wave-front sets for p -adic groups	2471
CHENG-CHIANG TSAI	
Irregular Hodge filtration of hypergeometric differential equations	2481
YICHEN QIN and DAXIN XU	