

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 5

No. 3

2012

YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE

SEMICLASSICAL TRACE FORMULAS AND HEAT EXPANSIONS

SEMICLASSICAL TRACE FORMULAS AND HEAT EXPANSIONS

YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE

In a recent paper (*J. Phys. A* **43**:47 (2011), 474028), B. Helffer and R. Purice compute the second term of a semiclassical trace formula for a Schrödinger operator with magnetic field. We show how to recover their formula by using the methods developed by Riemannian geometers in the seventies for heat expansions.

Introduction

There is a strong similarity between the expansions of the heat kernel as worked out by people in Riemannian geometry in the seventies, starting with the famous “Can one hear the shape of a drum?” by Mark Kac [1966] and continuing with [Berger 1966; McKean and Singer 1967] (see also the books [Berger et al. 1971; Gilkey 1975]), and the so-called semiclassical trace formulas developed by people in semiclassical analysis, starting with [Helffer and Robert 1983]. In fact, this is not only a similarity, but, as we will prove, each of these expansions, even if they differ when expressed numerically for some example, can be deduced from the other one as formal expressions of the fields.

Let us look first at the *heat expansion* on a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d , (X, g) , with the (negative) Laplacian Δ_g ¹. The heat kernel $e(t, x, y)$, with $t > 0$ and $x, y \in X$, is the Schwartz kernel of $\exp(t\Delta_g)$: the solution of the heat equation $u_t - \Delta_g u = 0$ with initial datum u_0 is given by

$$u(t, x) = \int_X e(t, x, y) u_0(y) |dy|_g.$$

The function $e(t, x, x)$ admits, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, the following asymptotic expansion:

$$e(t, x, x) \sim (4\pi t)^{-d/2} (1 + a_1(x)t + \cdots + a_l(x)t^l + \cdots).$$

The a_l are given explicitly in [Gilkey 2004, p. 201] for $l \leq 3$, and are known for $l \leq 5$ [Avramidi 1990; Ven 1998]. See also the related works [Hitrik 2002; Hitrik and Polterovich 2003a; 2003b; Polterovich 2000]. They are universal polynomials in the components of the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. For example, $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = \tau_g/6$, where τ_g is the scalar curvature.

The previous asymptotic expansion gives the expansion of the trace by integration over X and has been used as an important tool in spectral geometry:

$$\text{trace}(e^{t\Delta_g}) = \int_X e(t, x, x) |dx|_g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_k t},$$

MSC2010: 35P20, 35S05, 58J35.

Keywords: trace formula, magnetic fields, heat expansion, synchronous gauge.

¹In this note, we will not follow the usual sign convention of geometers, but the convention of analysts

where $-\lambda_1 = 0 \leq -\lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq -\lambda_k \leq \dots$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g$ with the usual convention about multiplicities. If $d = 2$, this gives

$$\text{trace}(e^{t\Delta_g}) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \left(\text{Area}(X) + \frac{2\pi\chi(X)}{6}t + O(t^2) \right),$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler characteristic of X .

There is an extension of the previous expansion in the case of Laplace type operators on fiber bundles: the coefficients of the expansion are then polynomials in the covariant derivatives of the curvature of the metric and of the connection on the fiber bundle. The heat expansion can be reinterpreted as an expansion of the Schwartz kernel of $f(-\hbar^2 \Delta_g)$ on the diagonal $x = y$ in powers of \hbar with $f(u) = \exp(-u)$ and $t = \hbar^2$. This is a particular case of the semiclassical trace.

Let us describe the semiclassical setting in the flat case: \widehat{H}_\hbar is a self-adjoint \hbar -pseudodifferential operator with Weyl symbol $H(x, \xi)$ in some open domain X in \mathbb{R}^d , or more generally on a Riemannian manifold. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and look at $f(\widehat{H}_\hbar)$. Under some suitable assumptions (ellipticity at infinity in ξ) on H , $f(\widehat{H}_\hbar)$ is a pseudodifferential operator whose Weyl symbol $f^\star(H)$ is a formal power series in \hbar , given, using the Moyal product denoted by \star , by the following formula (see [Gracia-Saz 2005] for explicit formulas and Section 4.2 therein for a proof; see also [Charles 2003]) at the point $z_0 \in T^\star X$:

$$f^\star(H)(z_0) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} f^{(l)}(H(z_0)) (H - H(z_0))^\star{}^l(z_0). \tag{1}$$

From the previous formula, we see that the symbol of $f(\widehat{H}_\hbar)$ at the point z depends only of the Taylor expansions of H at the point z and of f at the point $H(z)$. Helffer and Purice [2010] have studied the case of the magnetic Schrödinger operator whose Weyl symbol is $H_{a,V}(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d (\xi_j - a_j(x))^2 + V(x)$ and show that the Schwartz kernel of $f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$ at the point (x, x) admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$[f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})](x, x) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2j} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{(l)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) Q_{j,l}^{a,V}(x, \xi) |d\xi| \right)$$

where the $Q_{j,l}^{a,V}(x, \xi)$ are polynomials in ξ calculated from the Taylor expansions of the magnetic field $B = da$ and V at the point x . The proof in [Helffer and Purice 2010] uses a pseudodifferential calculus adapted to the magnetic field.

We will give a simplified version of the expansion replacing the (non-unique) $Q_{j,l}^{a,V}(x, \xi)$ by functions $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$ which are uniquely defined and are given by universal $O(d)$ -invariant polynomials of the Taylor expansions of B and V at the point x . We present then two ways to compute the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}$:

- we can first use Weyl’s invariant theory (see [Gilkey 2004]) in order to reduce the problem to the determination of a finite number of numerical coefficients; then simple examples, like harmonic oscillator and constant magnetic field, allow to determine (part of) these coefficients.

- The $P_{j,l}^{B,V}$ are related in a very simple way to the coefficients of the heat expansion; it is possible to compute the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}$ from the knowledge of the a_l for $j + 1 \leq l \leq 3j$. This is enough to recompute the coefficient of \hbar^2 and also, in principle, the coefficients of \hbar^4 in the expansion, because the a_l are known up to $l = 6$ in the case of a flat metric (see [Ven 1998]).

In this note, we will first describe precisely the semiclassical expansion for Schrödinger operators (in the case of an Euclidean metric) and the properties of the functions $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$. Then, we will show how to compute the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$ using an adaptation of the method used for the heat kernel (Weyl’s theorem on invariants and explicit examples). Finally, we will explain how the a_l are related to the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$. This gives us two proofs of the main formula given in [Helffer and Purice 2010]; this paper was the initial motivation to this work.

1. Semiclassical trace for Schrödinger operators

In what follows, X is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^d , equipped with the canonical Euclidean metric, and $\Omega^k(X)$ will denote the space of smooth exterior differential forms in X . Let us give a Schrödinger operator, with a smooth magnetic field $B = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} b_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$ (a closed real 2-form) and a smooth electric potential V (a real-valued smooth function) in X . We assume that V is bounded from below. We will assume also that the 2-form B is exact and can be written $B = da$ and we introduce the Schrödinger operator defined by

$$H_{\hbar,a,V} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - a_j(x) \right)^2 + V(x).$$

The Weyl symbol of $H_{\hbar,a,V}$ is $H_{a,V}(x, \xi) = \|\xi - a(x)\|^2 + V(x)$. We denote by $\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V}$ a self-adjoint extension of $H_{\hbar,a,V}$ in $L^2(X, |dx|)$. Let us give $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and consider the trace of $\phi f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$ as a distribution on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ (the density of states):

$$\text{Trace}(\phi f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})) = \int_X Z_{\hbar,a,V}(g)(x) \phi(x) |dx|,$$

where $Z_{\hbar,a,V}(g)(x)$ is the value at the point (x, x) of the Schwartz kernel of $f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$.

Theorem 1. *We have the following asymptotic expansion in powers of \hbar :*

$$Z_{\hbar,a,V}(g)(x) \sim$$

$$(2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) |d\xi| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2j} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{l=3j} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{(l)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) |d\xi| \right) \right].$$

We have the explicit formulas

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,2}^{B,V} &= -\frac{1}{6}(\Delta V + \|B\|^2), & P_{1,3}^{B,V} &= -\frac{1}{12}\|\nabla V\|^2, \\ P_{2,3}^{B,V} &= -\frac{1}{180}(8\|\nabla B\|^2 + \|d^*B\|^2 + 12\langle \Delta B | B \rangle + 3\Delta^2 V). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\|B\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} b_{ij}^2$, $d^* : \Omega^2(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X)$ is the formal adjoint of d used in the definition of the Hodge Laplacian on exterior forms. If $d = 3$, $\|B\|$ is the Euclidean norm of the vector field associated to B .

The $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$ are polynomials of the derivatives of B and V at the point x . Moreover, if λ , μ , c are constants and we define $\lambda^*(f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$, we have the following scaling properties:

- (1) $P_{j,l}^{\lambda, \lambda^*(B), \lambda^*(V)}(x) = \lambda^{2j} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(\lambda x)$. This will be used with $x = 0$.
- (2) $P_{j,l}^{\mu B, \mu^2 V}(x) = \mu^{2(l-j)} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$.
- (3) $P_{j,l}^{B, V+c}(x) = P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$.
- (4) $P_{j,l}^{-B, V}(x) = P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$.
- (5) The $P_{j,l}^{B,V}$ are invariant by the natural action of the orthogonal group $O(d)$ on the Taylor expansions of B and V at the point x .

Remark 1. From the statement of the theorem, we see that the expansion of the density of states is independent of the chosen self-adjoint extension.

As a consequence, we can get the following full trace expansion under some more assumptions:

Corollary 1. Let us assume that $E_0 = \inf V < E_\infty = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \partial X} V(x)$ and that we have chosen the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(-\infty, E_\infty)$, then the trace of $f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$ admits the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})) &\sim (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \int_X \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) |d\xi| + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2j} \sum_{l=j+1}^{l=3j} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{(l)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) |d\xi| \right) |dx|. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of \hbar^2 can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{12} \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^{(2)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) (\Delta V(x) + 2\|B(x)\|^2) |dx d\xi|.$$

The expansion follows from [Helffer and Robert 1983]. An integration by part in x gives

$$\int_X f^{(3)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) \|\nabla V(x)\|^2 |dx| = - \int_X f^{(2)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(x)) \Delta V(x) |dx|.$$

2. Existence of the \hbar -expansion of $Z_{\hbar,a,V}$

Using Theorem 2 in the Appendix, we can work in \mathbb{R}^d with a and V compactly supported. The existence of the expansion is known in general from [Helffer and Robert 1983] and the calculus of the symbol of

$f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$. We get

$$\int_X Z_{\hbar,a,V}(f)(x)\phi(x) |dx| = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2j} \sum_{l=0}^{k_j} \int \phi(x) f^{(l)}(H_{a,V}(x, \xi)) Q_{j,l}(x, \xi) |dx d\xi|$$

where the $Q_{j,l}(x, \xi)$ are polynomials in the Taylor expansion of $H_{a,V}$ at the point (x, ξ) . The previous expansion is valid for any (admissible) pseudodifferential operator. In the case of Schrödinger operators we can make integrations by part in the integrals $\int f^{(l)}(H_{a,V}(x, \xi)) Q_{j,l}(x, \xi) |d\xi|$ which reduces to a similar formula where we can replace the $Q_{j,l}(x, \xi)$ by the $P_{j,l}(x)$. This is based on the expansion of $Q_{j,l}$ as a polynomial in ξ in powers of $(\xi - a)$: odd powers give 0 and even powers can be reduced using

$$d_\xi((\xi_j - a_j) f^{(l)}(H_{a,V}) \iota(\partial_{\xi_j}) d\xi) = 2\|\xi_j - a_j\|^2 f^{(l+1)}(H_{a,V}) d\xi + f^{(l)}(H_{a,V}) d\xi.$$

We have only to check that the powers of ξ in $Q_{j,l}(x, \xi)$ are less than l : this is based on Equation (1). The coefficients of the l -th Moyal power of $H_{a,V}(z) - H_{a,V}(z_0)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree l in the derivatives of $H_{a,V}(z)$. At the point $z = z_0$ only derivatives of order ≥ 1 are involved. They are all of degree ≤ 1 in ξ . Using gauge invariance at the point x (Section 3), we can assume that $a(x) = 0$.

3. Gauge invariance

If $S : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, we have

$$\text{Trace}(\phi e^{-iS(x)/\hbar} f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V}) e^{iS(x)/\hbar}) = \text{Trace}(\phi f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V}))$$

and

$$e^{-iS(x)/\hbar} f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,a,V}) e^{iS(x)/\hbar} = f(\widehat{H}_{a+dS,V}).$$

Hence, we can chose any local gauge a in order to compute the expansion: using the synchronous gauge (see Section 4), we get the individual terms

$$\int f^{(l)}(H_{0,V}) P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) |d\xi|$$

for the expansion, where the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x)$ depend only of the Taylor expansions of B and V at the point x .

4. The synchronous gauge

The main idea is to find an appropriate gauge a adapted to the point x_0 where we want to make the symbolic computation. In a geometric language, we use the trivialization of the bundle by parallel transportation along the rays: the potential a vanishes on the radial vector field.² Here, this is simply the fact that, for any closed 2-form B on \mathbb{R}^2 , there exists an unique 1-form $a = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j dx_j$ so that $da = B$ and $\sum_{j=1}^d x_j a_j = 0$.

²This gauge is sometimes called the Fock–Schwinger gauge; in [Atiyah et al. 1973], it is called the synchronous framing.

We will do that for the Taylor expansions degree by degree. In what follows we will use a decomposition for 1-forms, but it works also for k -forms.

Let us denote by Ω_N^k the finite dimensional vector space of k -differential forms on \mathbb{R}^d whose coefficients are homogeneous polynomials of degree N and by $W = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j \partial/\partial x_j$ the radial vector field. The exterior differential induces a linear map from Ω_N^k into Ω_{N-1}^{k+1} and the inner product $\iota(W)$ a map from Ω_N^k into Ω_{N+1}^{k-1} . They define complexes which are exact except at $k = N = 0$. Moreover, we have a situation similar to Hodge theory:

$$\Omega_N^k = d\Omega_{N+1}^{k-1} \oplus \iota(W)\Omega_{N-1}^{k+1}.$$

This is due to Cartan's formula: the Lie derivative of a form $\omega \in \Omega_N^k$ satisfies, from the direct calculation, $\mathcal{L}_W \omega = (k + N)\omega$, and, by Cartan's formula, $\mathcal{L}_W \omega = d(\iota(W)\omega) + \iota(W)d\omega$. So

$$\omega = \frac{1}{k + N} (d(\iota(W)\omega) + \iota(W)d\omega).$$

It remains to show that this is a direct sum: if $\omega = d\alpha = \iota(W)\gamma$, we have $\iota(W)\omega = 0$ and $d\omega = 0$; from the previous decomposition, we see that $\omega = 0$. Let us denote by $J^N \omega$, where ω is a differential form of degree k , the form in Ω_N^k which appears in the Taylor expansion of ω .

We get:

Proposition 1. *If $P(J^0 a, J^1 a, \dots, J^N a)$ is a polynomial in the Taylor expansion of the 1-form a at some order N which is invariant by $a \rightarrow a + dS$, P is independent of $J^0 a$ and*

$$P(J^1 a, \dots, J^N a) = P\left(\frac{1}{2}J^1 \iota(W)B, \dots, \frac{1}{N+1}J^N \iota(W)B\right)$$

is a polynomial of the Taylor expansion of B to the order $N - 1$.

5. Properties of the $P_{j,l}$

5.1. Range of l for j fixed. From the scaling properties, we deduce that, in a monomial

$$D^{\alpha_1} B_{i_1, j_1} \dots D^{\alpha_k} B_{i_k, j_k} D^{\beta_1} V \dots D^{\beta_m} V,$$

belonging to $P_{j,l}$, we have $k + 2m = 2(l - j)$ and $k + |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_k| + |\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_m| = 2j$. Moreover, for $j \geq 1$, $k + m \geq 1$ and $|\beta_p| \geq 1$. Hence $j + 1 \leq l \leq 3j$. The previous bounds are sharp: take the monomials $\Delta^j V$ and $\|\nabla V\|^{2j}$ which give $l = j + 1$ and $l = 3j$.

5.2. Invariance properties.

- (1) Let us assume that we look at the point $x = 0$ and consider the operator $D_\mu(f)(x) = f(\mu x)$. We have

$$D_\mu \circ \hat{H}_{\hbar, A, V} \circ D_{1/\mu} = \hat{H}_{\hbar/\mu, A \circ D_\mu, V \circ D_\mu}.$$

The same relation is true for any function $f(\widehat{H}_{\hbar,A,V})$ and then we have, looking at the Schwartz kernels and using the Jacobian μ^d of D_μ :

$$P_{j,l}^{B,V}(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{(l)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(0)) |d\xi| = \mu^{-2j} P_{j,l}^{\mu \cdot \mu^* B, \mu^* V}(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{(l)}(\|\xi\|^2 + V(0)) |d\xi|.$$

(2) We have

$$\widehat{H}_{\hbar,\mu a, \mu^2 V} = \mu^2 \widehat{H}_{\frac{\hbar}{\mu}, a, V}.$$

(3) Changing V into $V + c$ gives a translation by c in the function f but does not change the $P_{j,l}^{B,V}$.

(4) Changing B into $-B$ gives a complex conjugation in the computations. The final result is real-valued.

(5) Orthogonal invariance is clear: an orthogonal change of coordinates around the point x preserves the density of states.

5.3. The case $d = 2$. We deduce from the scaling properties and invariance by the orthogonal group, that there exists constants a_d, b_d, c_d so that $P_{1,2}^{B,V}(x) = a_d \Delta V + b_d \|B\|^2, P_{1,3}(x) = c_d \|\nabla V\|^2$.

6. Explicit examples

The calculation for the harmonic oscillators and the constant magnetic fields allows to determine the constants a_d, b_d, c_d .

6.1. Harmonic oscillators. Let us consider $\Omega = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2$ with $d = 1$. The kernel of $P(t, x, y)$ of $\exp(-t\Omega)$ is given by the Mehler formula:

$$P(t, x, y) = (2\pi\hbar \sinh(2t\hbar))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar \sinh(2t\hbar)} (\cosh(2t\hbar)(x^2 + y^2) - 2xy)\right).$$

Hence

$$P(t, x, x) \sim (2\pi\hbar)^{-1} e^{-tx^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\xi^2} d\xi \right) (1 - \hbar^2(t^2 - t^3 x^2)/3 + O(\hbar^4)).$$

Hence $P_{1,2}(x) = -V''(x)/6$ and $P_{1,3}(x) = -V'(x)^2/12$.

Similarly, in dimension $d > 1$, we get $P_{1,2}(x) = -\Delta V(x)/6$ and $P_{1,3}(x) = -\|\nabla V\|^2/12$.

6.2. Constant magnetic field. Let us consider the case of a constant magnetic field B in the plane and denote by $Q(t, x, y)$ the kernel of $\exp(-tH_{B,0})$. We have (see [Avron et al. 1978])

$$Q(t, x, x) = \frac{B}{4\pi\hbar \sinh Bt\hbar}.$$

Hence the asymptotic expansion

$$Q(t, x, x) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-2} \int \exp(-t\|\xi\|^2) |d\xi| (1 - t^2 \hbar^2 B^2/6 + O(\hbar^4));$$

hence $P_{1,2}(x) = -B^2/6$ and $P_{1,3}(x) = 0$.

Using the normal form $B = b_{12}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + b_{34}dx_3 \wedge dx_4 + \dots$, we get in dimension $d > 2$ the values $P_{1,2}(x) = -\|B\|^2/6$ and $P_{1,3}(x) = 0$.

7. Heat expansion from the semiclassical expansions

We have $t\hat{H}_{1,a,V} = \hat{H}_{\sqrt{t},\sqrt{t}a,tV}$. Using the expansion of Theorem 1 with $f(E) = e^{-E}$, we get easily the point-wise expansion of the heat kernel on the diagonal as $t \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$[\exp(-t\hat{H}_{1,a,V})](x, x) \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-tV(x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l/3 \leq j \leq l-1} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) \right) (-t)^l.$$

In particular, $a_1(x) = -V(x)$ and the coefficient $a_2(x)$ is given by

$$a_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}V(x)^2 - \frac{1}{6}\Delta V(x) - \frac{1}{6}\|B(x)\|^2.$$

This formula agrees with Equation (3) of Theorem 3.3.1 in [Gilkey 2004].

This gives another way to compute the $P_{j,l}$: if, as power series in t ,

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l b_l(x) t^l = e^{tV(x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l(x) t^l,$$

we have

$$\sum_{l/3 \leq j \leq l-1} P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) = b_l(x).$$

$P_{j,l}^{B,V}$ is the sum of monomials homogeneous of degree $2(l - j)$ in b_l where B and its derivatives have weights 1 while V and its derivatives have weights 2.

The heat coefficients a_l on flat spaces are known for $l \leq 6$ from [Ven 1998]. This is enough to check the term in \hbar^2 (uses a_2 and a_3) in [Helffer and Purice 2010] and to compute the term in \hbar^4 in the semiclassical expansion (uses the a_l for $3 \leq l \leq 6$).

We have also a mixed expansion writing $t\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V} = \hat{H}_{\sqrt{t\hbar},\sqrt{t\hbar}a,tV}$, we get a power series expansion in powers of \hbar and t valid in the domain $\hbar^2 t \rightarrow 0$ and $0 < t \leq t_0$ for the point-wise trace of $\exp(-t\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$:

$$Z_{t,\hbar}(x) \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-tV(x)} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{j \geq 1 \\ j+1 \leq l \leq 3j}} \hbar^{2j} (-t)^l P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) \right).$$

This shows that the integrals $\int_X V(x)^k |dx|$ and $\int_X P_{j,l}^{B,V}(x) |dx|$ are recoverable from the semiclassical spectrum.

Appendix: functional calculus in domains and self-adjoint extensions (after Johannes Sjöstrand)

The content of this Appendix is due to Johannes Sjöstrand. I thank him very much for this contribution.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set. We say that a linear operator A is a ΨDO in X , with Weyl symbol a if, for any compact $K \subset X$, A acts on functions supported in K as a ΨDO of Weyl symbol a .

Theorem 2. Let $H_{\hbar,a,V}$ be a Schrödinger operator with magnetic field given by

$$H_{\hbar,a,V} = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - a_j(x) \right)^2 + V(x),$$

defined in some open domain $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that a and V are smooth in X and that V is bounded from below, so that $H_{\hbar,a,V}$ admits some self-adjoint extensions on the Hilbert space $L^2(X, |dx|)$. One of them will be denoted by $\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V}$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $f(\hat{H}_{\hbar,a,V})$, given by the functional calculus, is a semiclassical Ψ DO in X whose symbol is given by Equation (1) and is independent of the chosen extension.

The proof uses a multicommutator method already used by Helffer and Sjöstrand [1984].

Proof. We introduce, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the semiclassical (\hbar -dependent) Sobolev spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^s := \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{s/2}u\|_{L^2} < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_s := \|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{s/2}u\|_{L^2}.$$

The (\hbar -dependent) norm $\|A\|_{s_1,s_2}$ is the norm of A as linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^{s_1}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}^{s_2}$. A linear operator K is smoothing if, for all s_1, s_2 , $\|K\|_{s_1,s_2} = O(\hbar^\infty)$. This implies that the Schwartz kernel of K is smooth with all derivatives locally $O(\hbar^\infty)$. We have the

Lemma 1. Let Y be an open set in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $P_j = P_j(\hbar)$, $j = 0, 1$ be two self-adjoint operators on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_j = L^2(X_j, |dx|)$ with $Y \Subset X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and with domains \mathcal{D}_j so that $C_0^\infty(Y) \subset \mathcal{D}_j \subset \mathcal{H}_j$. Let us assume that, on $C_0^\infty(Y)$, $P_0 = P_1 = H_{\hbar,a,V} (= P)$.

Then, for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $f(P_0) - f(P_1)$ is smoothing on Y . In particular, the densities of states $[f(P_j)](x, x)$, $j = 0, 1$, coincide in Y modulo $O(\hbar^\infty)$.

Assuming Lemma 1, Theorem 2 follows by extending a and V smoothly outside Y so that they have compact support in \mathbb{R}^d . We take $Y \Subset X = X_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d = X_1$. It follows that P_1 is essentially self-adjoint and the functional calculus for P_1 follows then easily from [Helffer and Robert 1983]. The result is valid even for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ because C_0^∞ is dense in \mathcal{S} and the result of [Helffer and Robert 1983] is valid for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and the resulting formulas for the symbols are continuous w.r. to the topology of \mathcal{S} . \square

Proof. Proof of Lemma 1 If $\chi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$, then, for $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ and $j, k \in \{0, 1\}$, we have on $L^2(Y)$:

$$(P_j - z)^{-1} \circ \chi = \chi \circ (P_k - z)^{-1} - (P_j - z)^{-1} [P, \chi] (P_k - z)^{-1} \tag{2}$$

Let $\chi_0 \leq \chi_1 \leq \dots \leq \chi_N$ with, for $l = 0, \dots, N$, $\chi_l \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ and, for $l = 0, \dots, N - 1$, $\chi_l(1 - \chi_{l+1}) \equiv 0$. By iterating (2) and using $\chi_{l+1}[P, \chi_l] = [P, \chi_l]$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_1 - z)^{-1} \circ \chi_0 &= \chi_1 \circ (P_0 - z)^{-1} \chi_0 - \chi_2 \circ (P_0 - z)^{-1} [P, \chi_1] (P_0 - z)^{-1} \chi_0 + \dots \\ &\quad \pm \chi_N (P_0 - z)^{-1} [P, \chi_{N-1}] (P_0 - z)^{-1} [P, \chi_{N-2}] \dots (P_0 - z)^{-1} \chi_0 \\ &\quad \mp (P_1 - z)^{-1} [P, \chi_N] (P_0 - z)^{-1} \dots (P_0 - z)^{-1} \chi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Let us give now $\chi_0, \psi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ with disjoint supports. By choosing the χ_l for $l > 0$ with supports disjoint from the support of ψ , we see, using Equation (2), that, for any N ,

$$\|\psi(P_1 - z)^{-1}\chi_0\|_{0,2} = O(\hbar^N |\Im z|^{-(N+1)}).$$

The standard a priori elliptic estimates

$$\|u\|_{s+2, \Omega_1} \leq C (\|(P - z)u\|_{s, \Omega_2} + \|u\|_{s, \Omega_1})$$

for $z \in K \Subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$, allow to prove that, for any N, s , there exists $M(N, s)$ so that

$$\|\psi(P_1 - z)^{-1}\chi_0\|_{s, s+N+2} = O(\hbar^N |\Im z|^{-M(N, s)}) \quad (3)$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ so that $\chi \equiv 1$ on the support of χ_0 . Let us apply multiplication by χ_0 to the right and to the left in (2) and choose ψ with support disjoint from χ_0 so that $[P, \chi]\psi = [P, \chi]$. Inserting ψ this way in (2), we get, using (3),

$$\chi_0(P_1 - z)^{-1}\chi_0 - \chi_0(P_0 - z)^{-1}\chi_0 = K,$$

and, for any N , there exists $M(N)$ so that $\|K\|_{-N, N} = O(\hbar^N |\Im z|^{-M(N)})$. We now apply the formula (known to some people as the ‘‘Helffer–Sjöstrand formula’’, proved for example in [Dimassi and Sjöstrand 1999, p. 94–95]), valid for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and \tilde{f} an almost holomorphic extension of f :

$$f(P_j) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) (P_j - z)^{-1} dL(z),$$

where $dL(z)$ is the canonical Lebesgue measure in the complex plane. From this, we see that $f(P_0) - f(P_1)$ is smoothing in Y . \square

Acknowledgments

I thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper which forced me to make the statements and proofs more precise. Many thanks also to Johannes Sjöstrand for his help in clarifying some points of semiclassical analysis and for allowing me to present them in the Appendix to this paper.

References

- [Atiyah et al. 1973] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and V. K. Patodi, ‘‘On the heat equation and the index theorem’’, *Invent. Math.* **19** (1973), 279–330. MR 58 #31287 Zbl 0257.58008
- [Avramidi 1990] I. G. Avramidi, ‘‘The covariant technique for the calculation of the heat kernel asymptotic expansion’’, *Phys. Lett. B* **238**:1 (1990), 92–97. MR 91f:58092
- [Avron et al. 1978] J. Avron, I. Herbst, and B. Simon, ‘‘Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields, I: General interactions’’, *Duke Math. J.* **45**:4 (1978), 847–883. MR 80k:35054 Zbl 0399.35029
- [Berger 1966] M. Berger, ‘‘Sur le spectre d’une variété Riemannienne’’, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **263** (1966), 13–16. MR 34 #1964 Zbl 0141.38203
- [Berger et al. 1971] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d’une variété Riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **194**, Springer, Berlin, 1971. MR 43 #8025 Zbl 0223.53034
- [Charles 2003] L. Charles, ‘‘Berezin–Toeplitz operators: a semi-classical approach’’, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **239**:1-2 (2003), 1–28. MR 2004m:53158 Zbl 1059.47030

- [Dimassi and Sjöstrand 1999] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **268**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR 2001b:35237 Zbl 0926.35002
- [Gilkey 1975] P. B. Gilkey, “The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold”, *J. Differential Geom.* **10**:4 (1975), 601–618. MR 53 #4150 Zbl 0316.53035
- [Gilkey 2004] P. B. Gilkey, *Asymptotic formulae in spectral geometry*, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. MR 2005f:58041 Zbl 1080.58023
- [Gracia-Saz 2005] A. Gracia-Saz, “The symbol of a function of a pseudo-differential operator”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55**:7 (2005), 2257–2284. MR 2006m:53142 Zbl 1091.53062
- [Helffer and Purice 2010] B. Helffer and R. Purice, “Magnetic calculus and semiclassical trace formulas”, *J. Phys. A* **43**:47 (2010), 474028. MR 2011m:81089 Zbl 1204.81066 arXiv 1005.1795v1
- [Helffer and Robert 1983] B. Helffer and D. Robert, “Calcul fonctionnel par la transformation de Mellin et opérateurs admissibles”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **53**:3 (1983), 246–268. MR 85i:47052 Zbl 0524.35103
- [Helffer and Sjöstrand 1984] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, “Multiple wells in the semiclassical limit, I”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **9**:4 (1984), 337–408. MR 86c:35113 Zbl 0546.35053
- [Hitrik 2002] M. Hitrik, “Existence of resonances in magnetic scattering”, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **148**:1 (2002), 91–97. MR 2003m:81063 Zbl 1010.81084
- [Hitrik and Polterovich 2003a] M. Hitrik and I. Polterovich, “Regularized traces and Taylor expansions for the heat semigroup”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **68**:2 (2003), 402–418. MR 2004h:35045 Zbl 1167.35335
- [Hitrik and Polterovich 2003b] M. Hitrik and I. Polterovich, “Resolvent expansions and trace regularizations for Schrödinger operators”, pp. 161–173 in *Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics* (Birmingham, AL, 2002), edited by Y. Karpeshina et al., Contemp. Math. **327**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 2004g:35056 Zbl 1109.35030
- [Kac 1966] M. Kac, “Can one hear the shape of a drum?”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **73**:4, part II (1966), 1–23. MR 34 #1121 Zbl 0139.05603
- [McKean and Singer 1967] H. P. McKean, Jr. and I. M. Singer, “Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian”, *J. Differential Geom.* **1**:1 (1967), 43–69. MR 36 #828 Zbl 0198.44301
- [Polterovich 2000] I. Polterovich, “Heat invariants of Riemannian manifolds”, *Israel J. Math.* **119** (2000), 239–252. MR 2002b:58031 Zbl 0996.58019
- [Ven 1998] A. E. M. van de Ven, “Index-free heat kernel coefficients”, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **15**:8 (1998), 2311–2344. MR 2000a:58072 Zbl 0937.58018

Received 18 Apr 2011. Revised 11 Jun 2011. Accepted 11 Jul 2011.

YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE: yves.colin-de-verdiere@ujf-grenoble.fr

Unité mixte de recherche CNRS-UJF 5582, Institut Fourier, BP 74, 38402-Saint Martin d'Hères Cedex, France

Analysis & PDE

msp.berkeley.edu/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Maciej Zworski
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Michael Aizenman	Princeton University, USA aizenman@math.princeton.edu	Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr
Luis A. Caffarelli	University of Texas, USA caffarel@math.utexas.edu	Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Nigel Higson	Pennsylvania State University, USA higson@math.psu.edu
Vaughan Jones	University of California, Berkeley, USA vfr@math.berkeley.edu	Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr
László Lempert	Purdue University, USA lempert@math.purdue.edu	Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA rbm@math.mit.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA peres@stat.berkeley.edu
Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

See inside back cover or msp.berkeley.edu/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$240/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Analysis & PDE, at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 5 No. 3 2012

On some microlocal properties of the range of a pseudodifferential operator of principal type	423
JENS WITTSTEN	
Blow-up solutions on a sphere for the 3D quintic NLS in the energy space	475
JUSTIN HOLMER and SVETLANA ROUDENKO	
Sharp geometric upper bounds on resonances for surfaces with hyperbolic ends	513
DAVID BORTHWICK	
A vector field method approach to improved decay for solutions to the wave equation on a slowly rotating Kerr black hole	553
JONATHAN LUK	
On the Bogolyubov–Ruzsa lemma	627
TOM SANDERS	
Real analyticity away from the nucleus of pseudorelativistic Hartree–Fock orbitals	657
ANNA DALL’ACQUA, SØREN FOURNAIS, THOMAS ØSTERGAARD SØRENSEN and EDGARDO STOCKMEYER	
Semiclassical trace formulas and heat expansions	693
YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE	



2157-5045(2012)5:3;1-H