

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9

No. 4

2016

HUICHI HUANG

**MEAN ERGODIC THEOREM FOR AMENABLE DISCRETE  
QUANTUM GROUPS AND A WIENER-TYPE THEOREM FOR  
COMPACT METRIZABLE GROUPS**



# MEAN ERGODIC THEOREM FOR AMENABLE DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS AND A WIENER-TYPE THEOREM FOR COMPACT METRIZABLE GROUPS

HUICHI HUANG

We prove a mean ergodic theorem for amenable discrete quantum groups. As an application, we prove a Wiener-type theorem for continuous measures on compact metrizable groups.

1. Introduction	893
2. Preliminaries	896
3. Mean ergodic theorem for amenable discrete quantum groups	899
4. A Wiener-type theorem for compact metrizable groups	903
Acknowledgements	905
References	906

## 1. Introduction

A countable discrete group  $\Gamma$  is called *amenable* if there exists a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  (called a right Følner sequence) consisting of finite subsets  $F_n$  of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} |F_n s \Delta F_n| = 0$$

for every  $s \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \Gamma)$  be a dynamical system consisting of a countable discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$  with a measure-preserving action on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

Recall that von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem for amenable group actions on measure spaces says the following:

**Theorem 1.1** (measure space version of von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem [Glasner 2003, Theorem 3.33]). *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of  $\Gamma$ . Then, for every  $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ , the sequence  $(1/|F_n|) \sum_{s \in F_n} s \cdot f$  converges to  $Pf$  with respect to the  $L^2$  norm, where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(X, \mu)$  onto the space  $\{g \in L^2(X, \mu) \mid s \cdot g = g \text{ for all } s \in \Gamma\}$ .*

R. Duvenhage [2008, Theorem 3.1] proves a generalization of von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem for coactions of amenable quantum groups on von Neumann algebras (noncommutative measure spaces). Later, a more general version was proved by V. Runge and A. Viselter [2014, Theorem 2.2].

---

Supported by ERC Advanced Grant No. 267079.

MSC2010: 37A30, 43A05, 46L65.

Keywords: mean ergodic theorem, coamenable compact quantum group, amenable discrete quantum group, continuous measure.

There is also a version of von Neumann’s mean ergodic theorem for amenable group actions on Hilbert spaces, which says the following:

**Theorem 1.2** (Hilbert space version of von Neumann’s mean ergodic theorem). *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of a countable discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow B(H)$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Set  $H_\Gamma = \{x \in H \mid \pi(s)x = x \text{ for all } s \in \Gamma\}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{s \in F_n} \pi(s) = P$$

*under the strong operator topology on  $B(H)$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H$  onto  $H_\Gamma$ .*

The group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\Gamma)$  equals  $C(G)$  for a coamenable compact quantum group  $G$  with the dual group  $\widehat{G} = \Gamma$ . The counit  $\varepsilon$  of  $G$  is given by  $\varepsilon(\delta_s) = 1$  for all  $s \in \Gamma$ . Hence,

$$H_\Gamma = \{x \in H \mid \pi(a)x = \varepsilon(a)x \text{ for all } a \in C^*(\Gamma)\}.$$

With these in mind, the Hilbert space version of von Neumann’s mean ergodic theorem can be reformulated in the framework of compact quantum groups as follows.

Suppose  $G$  is a coamenable compact quantum group such that the dual  $\widehat{G}$  is a countable discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi : C(G) = C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a representation of  $C^*(\Gamma)$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{s \in F_n} \pi(s) = P$$

under the strong operator topology on  $B(H)$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H$  onto  $H_\Gamma = \{x \in H \mid \pi(a)x = \varepsilon(a)x \text{ for all } a \in C^*(\Gamma)\}$ .

D. Kyed proves that a compact quantum group  $G$  is coamenable if and only if there exists a right Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of finite subsets in its dual  $\widehat{G}$ , that is to say,  $G$  is a coamenable compact quantum group if and only if  $\widehat{G}$  is an amenable discrete quantum group [2008, Definition 4.9].<sup>1</sup> So it is natural to ask for a generalization of the Hilbert space version of von Neumann’s mean ergodic theorem to all amenable discrete quantum groups. This is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 3.1** (mean ergodic theorem for amenable discrete quantum groups). *Let  $G$  be a coamenable compact quantum group with counit  $\varepsilon$  and let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of  $\widehat{G}$ . Set  $H_{\text{inv}} = \{x \in H \mid \pi(a)x = \varepsilon(a)x \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ . For a representation  $\pi : A = C(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha)) = P \tag{1-1}$$

*under the strong operator topology, where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H$  onto  $H_{\text{inv}}$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>The existence of a Følner sequence for Kac-type compact quantum groups is shown by Z. Ruan [1996]. Also see [Tomatsu 2006].

Here  $|F_n|_w$  stands for the weighted cardinality of  $F_n$ . Definitions of  $|F_n|_w$ ,  $d_\alpha$  and  $\chi(\alpha)$  are in [Section 2](#).

The left-hand side of (1-1) involves both a representation of a coamenable compact quantum group  $G$  and that of its discrete quantum group dual  $\widehat{G}$ , so it illustrates some interactions between them.

The rest of the paper aims at an application of [Theorem 3.1](#). Namely, we prove a Wiener-type theorem for finite Borel measures on compact metrizable groups.

A finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on a compact metrizable space  $X$  is called *continuous* or *nonatomic* if  $\mu\{x\} = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ .

The following theorem of N. Wiener [[1933](#)] expresses finite Borel measures on the unit circle via their Fourier coefficients.

**Theorem 1.3** (Wiener’s theorem [[Katznelson 2004](#), Chapter 1, Theorem 7.13]). *For a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  and every  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , one has*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{\mu}(n)z^{-n} = \mu\{z\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |\hat{\mu}(n)|^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \mu\{x\}^2.$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is continuous if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |\hat{\mu}(n)|^2 = 0,$$

where  $\hat{\mu}(n) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\mu(z)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $\mu$ .

There are various generalized Wiener’s theorems (we call such generalizations Wiener-type theorems), including a version for compact manifolds [[Taylor 1981](#), Chapter XII, Theorem 5.1], a version for compact Lie groups by M. Anoussis and A. Bisbas [[2000](#), Theorem 7], and a version for compact homogeneous manifolds by M. Björklund and A. Fish [[2009](#), Lemma 2.1].

We apply the above mean ergodic theorem ([Theorem 3.1](#)) to get a Wiener-type theorem on compact metrizable groups. This version differs from previous ones mainly in two aspects: firstly we don’t require smoothness on spaces; secondly we use a different Følner condition.

**Theorem 4.1** (Wiener-type theorem for compact metrizable groups). *Let  $G$  be a compact metrizable group. Given  $y$  in  $G$  and a right Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\widehat{G}$ , for a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} \mu(u_{ij}^\alpha \overline{u_{ij}^\alpha}(y)) = \mu\{y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} |\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)|^2 = \sum_{x \in G} \mu\{x\}^2.$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is continuous if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} |\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)|^2 = 0.$$

Here the  $u_{ij}^\alpha$  are the matrix coefficients of the irreducible unitary representation  $\alpha$  of  $G$ ; see [Section 2](#) for the precise definition.

The paper is organized as follows.

In [Section 2](#), we collect some basic facts in compact quantum group theory. In [Section 3](#), we prove the mean ergodic theorem, i.e., [Theorem 3.1](#). As a consequence, we obtain [Corollary 3.7](#), which is used in [Section 4](#) to prove [Theorem 4.1](#).

## 2. Preliminaries

**Conventions.** Within this paper, we use  $B(H, K)$  to denote the space of bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space  $H$  to another Hilbert space  $K$ , and  $B(H)$  stands for  $B(H, H)$ .

A net  $\{T_\lambda\} \subset B(H)$  converges to  $T \in B(H)$  under the strong operator topology (SOT) if  $T_\lambda x \rightarrow Tx$  for every  $x \in H$ , and  $\{T_\lambda\}$  converges to  $T \in B(H)$  under the weak operator topology (WOT) if  $\langle T_\lambda x, y \rangle \rightarrow \langle Tx, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

The notation  $A \otimes B$  always means the minimal tensor product of two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ .

For a state  $\varphi$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , we use  $L^2(A, \varphi)$  to denote the Hilbert space of Gelfand–Neimark–Segal (GNS) representations of  $A$  with respect to  $\varphi$ . The image of  $a \in A$  in  $L^2(A, \varphi)$  is denoted by  $\hat{a}$ .

In this paper all  $C^*$ -algebras are assumed to be unital and separable.

**Some facts about compact quantum groups.** Compact quantum groups are noncommutative analogues of compact groups. They were introduced by S. L. Woronowicz [[1987](#); [1998](#)].

**Definition 2.1.** A compact quantum group is a pair  $(A, \Delta)$  consisting of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

such that

- (1)  $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta$ ;
- (2)  $\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$  and  $\Delta(A)(A \otimes 1)$  are dense in  $A \otimes A$ .

One may think of  $A$  as  $C(G)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on a compact quantum space  $G$  with a quantum group structure. In the rest of the paper we write a compact quantum group  $(A, \Delta)$  as  $G$ . The  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta$  is called the *coproduct* of  $G$ .

There exists a unique state  $h$  on  $A$  such that

$$(h \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes h)\Delta(a) = h(a)1_A$$

for all  $a$  in  $A$ . The state  $h$  is called the *Haar measure* of  $G$ . Throughout this paper, we use  $h$  to denote it.

For a compact quantum group  $G$ , there is a unique dense unital  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $A$  such that:

- (1)  $\Delta$  maps from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{A}$  (the algebraic tensor product).
- (2) There exists a unique multiplicative linear functional  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a linear map  $\kappa : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(a) = a$  and  $m(\kappa \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) = m(\text{id} \otimes \kappa)\Delta(a) = \varepsilon(a)1$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $m : \mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the multiplication map. The functional  $\varepsilon$  is called the *counit* and  $\kappa$  the *coinverse* of  $C(G)$ .

Note that  $\varepsilon$  is only densely defined and not necessarily bounded. If  $\varepsilon$  is bounded and  $h$  is faithful ( $h(a^*a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ ), then  $G$  is called *coamenable* [Bédos et al. 2001]. Examples of coamenable compact quantum groups include  $C(G)$  for a compact group  $G$  and  $C^*(\Gamma)$  for a discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ .

A nondegenerate (unitary) *representation*  $U$  of a compact quantum group  $G$  is an invertible (unitary) element in  $M(K(H) \otimes A)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$  satisfying that  $U_{12}U_{13} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)U$ . Here  $K(H)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $H$  and  $M(K(H) \otimes A)$  is the multiplier  $C^*$ -algebra of  $K(H) \otimes A$ .

We write  $U_{12}$  and  $U_{13}$ , respectively, for the images of  $U$  by two maps from  $M(K(H) \otimes A)$  to  $M(K(H) \otimes A \otimes A)$ , where the first one is obtained by extending the map  $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$  from  $K(H) \otimes A$  to  $K(H) \otimes A \otimes A$ , and the second one is obtained by composing this map with the flip on the last two factors. The Hilbert space  $H$  is called the *carrier Hilbert space* of  $U$ . From now on, we always assume representations are nondegenerate. If the carrier Hilbert space  $H$  is of finite dimension, then  $U$  is called a *finite-dimensional representation* of  $G$ .

For two representations  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  with the carrier Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, the set of *intertwiners* between  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ ,  $\text{Mor}(U_1, U_2)$ , is defined by

$$\text{Mor}(U_1, U_2) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) \mid (T \otimes 1)U_1 = U_2(T \otimes 1)\}.$$

Two representations  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are equivalent if there exists a bijection  $T$  in  $\text{Mor}(U_1, U_2)$ . A representation  $U$  is called *irreducible* if  $\text{Mor}(U, U) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

Moreover, we have the following well-established facts about representations of compact quantum groups:

- (1) Every finite-dimensional representation is equivalent to a unitary representation.
- (2) Every irreducible representation is finite-dimensional.

Let  $\widehat{G}$  be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . For every  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ , let  $U^\gamma \in \gamma$  be unitary and  $H_\gamma$  be its carrier Hilbert space with dimension  $d_\gamma$ . After fixing an orthonormal basis of  $H_\gamma$ , we can write  $U^\gamma$  as  $(u_{ij}^\gamma)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma}$  with  $u_{ij}^\gamma \in A$ , and

$$\Delta(u_{ij}^\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\gamma} u_{ik}^\gamma \otimes u_{kj}^\gamma$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma$ .

The matrix  $\overline{U}^\gamma$  is still an irreducible representation (not necessarily unitary) with the carrier Hilbert space  $\overline{H}_\gamma$ . It is called the *conjugate* representation of  $U^\gamma$  and the equivalence class of  $\overline{U}^\gamma$  is denoted by  $\overline{\gamma}$ .

Given two finite-dimensional representations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $G$ , fix orthonormal bases for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and write  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as  $U^\alpha$  and  $U^\beta$  in matrix forms, respectively. Define the *direct sum*, denoted by  $\alpha + \beta$ , as the equivalence class of unitary representations of dimension  $d_\alpha + d_\beta$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} U^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & U^\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

and the *tensor product*, denoted by  $\alpha\beta$ , is the equivalence class of unitary representations of dimension  $d_\alpha d_\beta$  whose matrix form is given by  $U^{\alpha\beta} = U_{13}^\alpha U_{23}^\beta$ .

The *character*  $\chi(\alpha)$  of a finite-dimensional representation  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\chi(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha.$$

Note that  $\chi(\alpha)$  is independent of the choice of representatives of  $\alpha$ . Also we have  $\|\chi(\alpha)\| \leq d_\alpha$ , since  $\sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} u_{ik}^\alpha (u_{ik}^\alpha)^* = 1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha$ . Moreover,

$$\chi(\alpha + \beta) = \chi(\alpha) + \chi(\beta), \quad \chi(\alpha\beta) = \chi(\alpha)\chi(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\alpha)^* = \chi(\bar{\alpha})$$

for finite-dimensional representations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Every representation of a compact quantum group is a direct sum of irreducible representations. For two finite-dimensional representations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , denote by  $N_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$  the number of copies of  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$  in the decomposition of  $\alpha\beta$  into a sum of irreducible representations. Hence,

$$\alpha\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} N_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma \gamma.$$

We have the Frobenius reciprocity law [Woronowicz 1987, Proposition 3.4; Kyed 2008, Example 2.3]

$$N_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = N_{\gamma,\bar{\beta}}^\alpha = N_{\bar{\alpha},\gamma}^\beta$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \widehat{G}$ .

Throughout, we assume that  $A = C(G)$  is a separable  $C^*$ -algebra, which amounts to saying  $\widehat{G}$  is countable.

**Definition 2.2** [Kyed 2008, Definition 3.2]. Given two finite subsets  $S$  and  $F$  of  $\widehat{G}$ , the *boundary* of  $F$  relative to  $S$ , denoted by  $\partial_S(F)$ , is defined by

$$\partial_S(F) = \{\alpha \in F \mid N_{\alpha,\gamma}^\beta > 0 \text{ for some } \gamma \in S, \beta \notin F\} \cup \{\alpha \notin F \mid N_{\alpha,\gamma}^\beta > 0 \text{ for some } \gamma \in S, \beta \in F\}.$$

The *weighted cardinality*  $|F|_w$  of a finite subset  $F$  of  $\widehat{G}$  is given by

$$|F|_w = \sum_{\alpha \in F} d_\alpha^2.$$

D. Kyed proves a compact quantum group  $G$  is coamenable if and only if there exists a Følner sequence in  $\widehat{G}$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Følner condition for amenable discrete quantum groups [Kyed 2008, Corollary 4.10]). *A compact quantum group  $G$  is coamenable if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  (a right Følner sequence) of finite subsets of  $\widehat{G}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\partial_S(F_n)|_w}{|F_n|_w} = 0$$

for every finite nonempty subset  $S$  of  $\widehat{G}$ .

### 3. Mean ergodic theorem for amenable discrete quantum groups

In this section we prove the generalized mean ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a coamenable compact quantum group with counit  $\varepsilon$  and  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of  $\widehat{G}$ . For a representation  $\pi : A = C(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha)) = P \tag{3-1}$$

under the strong operator topology, where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H$  onto

$$H_{\text{inv}} = \{x \in H \mid \pi(a)x = \varepsilon(a)x \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

We divide the proof into two major steps:

**Step 1.** *We show that  $H_{\text{inv}} = K$  for  $K = \{x \in H \mid \pi(\chi(\alpha))x = d_\alpha x \text{ for all } \alpha \in \widehat{G}\}$ .*

**Step 2.** *The sequence  $\{(1/|F_n|_w) \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha))\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to the projection from  $H$  onto  $K$ .*

*Proof of Step 1 for Theorem 3.1.* We proceed via two lemmas:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If a state  $\varphi$  on  $A = C(G)$  for a compact quantum group  $G$  satisfies that  $\varphi(\chi(\alpha)) = d_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$ , then  $\varphi = \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\varphi(u_{ij}^\alpha) = \delta_{ij}$  for every  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$  and an arbitrary unitary  $U = (u_{ij}^\alpha)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} \in \alpha$ .

Let  $\varphi(U)$  be the matrix  $(\varphi(u_{ij}^\alpha))$  in  $M_{d_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is a state, hence completely positive. By a generalized Schwarz inequality of M. Choi [1974, Corollary 2.8], we have

$$\varphi(U)\varphi(U^*) \leq \varphi(UU^*) = 1.$$

Let  $\text{Tr}$  be the normalized trace of  $M_{d_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\varphi(\chi(\alpha)) = d_\alpha$ , we get  $\text{Tr}(\varphi(U)) = 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \text{Tr}((\varphi(U) - 1)(\varphi(U) - 1)^*) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\varphi(U)\varphi(U)^* - \varphi(U)^* - \varphi(U) + 1) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\varphi(U)\varphi(U)^*) - 1 \\ &= \text{Tr}(\varphi(U)\varphi(U^*)) - 1 \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(\varphi(UU^*)) - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\text{Tr}((\varphi(U) - 1)(\varphi(U) - 1)^*) = 0$ , which implies that  $\varphi(U) = 1$ . This ends the proof. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\pi : A = C(G) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a representation. Then*

$$H_{\text{inv}} = K = \{x \in H \mid \pi(\chi(\alpha))x = d_\alpha x \text{ for all } \alpha \in \widehat{G}\}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\varepsilon(\chi(\alpha)) = d_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$  [Woronowicz 1998, Formula (5.11)]. Hence  $H_{\text{inv}} \subseteq K$ .

To show  $K \subseteq H_{\text{inv}}$ , we can assume  $K \neq 0$  without loss of generality.



Let  $x \in K$  be an arbitrarily chosen unit vector. By [Lemma 3.2](#), the state  $\varphi_x$  defined by  $\varphi_x(a) = \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle$  for all  $a \in A$  is  $\varepsilon$ , since  $\varphi_x(\chi(\alpha)) = d_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$ .

For every  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)x - \varepsilon(a)x\|^2 &= \langle \pi(a)x - \varepsilon(a)x, \pi(a)x - \varepsilon(a)x \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(a)x, \pi(a)x \rangle - \langle \varepsilon(a)x, \pi(a)x \rangle - \langle \pi(a)x, \varepsilon(a)x \rangle + \langle \varepsilon(a)x, \varepsilon(a)x \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(a^*a)x, x \rangle - \langle \varepsilon(a)\pi(a^*)x, x \rangle - \overline{\varepsilon(a)}\langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle + |\varepsilon(a)|^2 \\ &= \varepsilon(a^*a) - \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(a^*) - |\varepsilon(a)|^2 + |\varepsilon(a)|^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $K \subseteq H_{\text{inv}}$ , and so concludes the proof of [Step 1](#). □

*Proof of [Step 2](#) for [Theorem 3.1](#).* We start with a lemma:

**Lemma 3.4.** *The orthogonal complement  $H_{\text{inv}}^\perp$  of  $H_{\text{inv}}$  is*

$$V := \overline{\text{Span}\{\pi(\chi(\alpha))x - d_\alpha x \mid \alpha \in \widehat{G}, x \in H\}}.$$

We need the following well-known fact in functional analysis:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Suppose  $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a family of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the orthogonal complement of  $\bigcap_{j \in J} \ker T_j$  is*

$$\overline{\text{ran}\{T_j^* \mid j \in J\}},$$

*the closed linear span of the ranges  $\text{ran } T_j^*$  of  $T_j^*$  for all  $j$  in  $J$ .*

*Proof of [Lemma 3.4](#).* Consider the family of operators  $\{\pi(\chi(\alpha)) - d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \widehat{G}}$  in  $B(H)$ . These are self-adjoint operators, since

$$(\pi(\chi(\alpha)) - d_\alpha)^* = \pi(\chi(\bar{\alpha})) - d_{\bar{\alpha}},$$

Applying [Proposition 3.5](#) to  $\{\pi(\chi(\alpha)) - d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \widehat{G}}$  gives the proof. □

Now we are ready to finish the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#).

For every  $x \in H_{\text{inv}}$  and all  $n$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha))x = \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha^2 x = x.$$

Next we show that

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha))z \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $z \in V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By [Lemma 3.4](#), we only need to prove it for  $z$  of the form  $\pi(\chi(\gamma))y - d_\gamma y$  for every  $y \in H$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ .

For every  $y \in H$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha)) (\pi(\chi(\gamma))y - d_\gamma y) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} + \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} \right) d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha) \chi(\gamma)) y - d_\alpha d_\gamma \pi(\chi(\alpha)) y \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\text{by Theorem 2.3 and since } \chi(\alpha) \chi(\gamma) = \chi(\alpha \gamma)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\alpha \gamma)) y - d_\alpha d_\gamma \pi(\chi(\alpha)) y \quad (\alpha \gamma = \sum_{\beta \in F_n} N_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta \beta \text{ when } \alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n} d_\alpha N_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta \pi(\chi(\beta)) y - \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha d_\gamma \pi(\chi(\alpha)) y \right) \\
 & \hspace{15em} (N_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta = N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \text{ and } d_\gamma = d_{\bar{\gamma}}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y - \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha d_{\bar{\gamma}} \pi(\chi(\alpha)) y \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y - \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \left[ \sum_{\beta \in F_n} + \sum_{\beta \notin F_n} \right] N_{\alpha, \bar{\gamma}}^\beta d_\beta \pi(\chi(\alpha)) y \right) \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\text{exchange } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ in the second term}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y - \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \left[ \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} + \sum_{\alpha \notin F_n} \right] N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right) \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\text{common terms are canceled}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right. \\
 & \hspace{10em} \left. - \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\beta \pi(\chi(\beta)) y - \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \notin F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality above holds since, by Theorem 2.3, we have the following:

- (1) 
$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\beta^2 d_{\bar{\gamma}} \|y\| \rightarrow 0;
 \end{aligned}$$
- (2) 
$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} N_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta d_\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n \cap \partial_\gamma F_n} d_\alpha^2 d_\gamma \|y\| \rightarrow 0;
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \notin F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha \pi(\chi(\beta)) y \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \notin F_n} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in F_n \setminus \partial_\gamma F_n} \sum_{\alpha \notin F_n, N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha > 0} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_n} \sum_{\alpha \in \widehat{G}} N_{\beta, \bar{\gamma}}^\alpha d_\alpha d_\beta \|y\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\beta \in \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_n} d_\beta^2 d_{\bar{\gamma}} \|y\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of [Step 2](#) and therefore of [Theorem 3.1](#). □

For a representation  $\pi : B \rightarrow B(H)$  of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , define the *commutant*  $\pi(B)'$  of  $\pi(B)$  by

$$\pi(B)' = \{T \in B(H) \mid T\pi(b) = \pi(b)T \text{ for all } b \in B\}.$$

**Corollary 3.6.** *In the setting of [Theorem 3.1](#), the projection  $P$  is in  $\pi(A)' \cap \overline{\pi(A)}^{\text{SOT}}$ .*

*Proof.* The left-hand side of (3-1) is in  $\overline{\pi(A)}^{\text{SOT}}$ ; hence, so is  $P$ . Moreover, for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\langle \pi(a)Px, y \rangle = \varepsilon(a)\langle Px, y \rangle$$

and

$$\langle P\pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle \pi(a)x, Py \rangle = \langle x, \pi(a^*)Py \rangle = \langle x, \varepsilon(a^*)Py \rangle = \varepsilon(a)\langle Px, y \rangle.$$

This proves  $P \in \pi(A)'$ . □

As a consequence, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.7.** *Assume that  $\varphi$  is a pure state on  $A = C(G)$  for a coamenable compact quantum group  $G$  and  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a right Følner sequence of  $\widehat{G}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \varphi(\chi(\alpha)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi = \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi \neq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* When  $\varphi = \varepsilon$ , we have  $\varepsilon(\chi(\alpha)) = d_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$  [[Woronowicz 1998](#), Formula (5.11)]. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \varepsilon(\chi(\alpha)) = 1.$$

Suppose  $\varphi \neq \varepsilon$ .

Consider the GNS representation  $\pi_\varphi : A \rightarrow B(L^2(A, \varphi))$ . We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \varphi(\chi(\alpha)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \langle \pi_\varphi(\chi(\alpha))(\hat{1}), \hat{1} \rangle = \langle P(\hat{1}), \hat{1} \rangle.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/|F_n|_w) \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \varphi(\chi(\alpha)) \neq 0$  if and only if  $P(\hat{1}) \neq 0$ .

To prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/|F_n|_w) \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \varphi(\chi(\alpha)) = 0$  for  $\varphi \neq \varepsilon$ , it suffices to prove  $P(\hat{1}) = 0$ .

Suppose  $P(\hat{1}) \neq 0$ . Then  $H_{\text{inv}} \neq 0$ . By Corollary 3.6, the space  $H_{\text{inv}}$  is an invariant subspace of  $L^2(A, \varphi)$ . Note that  $\pi_\varphi$  is irreducible since  $\varphi$  is a pure state. Hence  $H_{\text{inv}} = L^2(A, \varphi)$ . In particular,  $\hat{1} \in H_{\text{inv}}$ . Thus, for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $\pi_\varphi(a)(\hat{1}) = \varepsilon(a)\hat{1}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a)(\hat{1}), \hat{1} \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(a)\hat{1}, \hat{1} \rangle = \varepsilon(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ , which contradicts that  $\varphi \neq \varepsilon$ . □

#### 4. A Wiener-type theorem for compact metrizable groups

In this section, we prove the following Wiener-type theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact metrizable group. Given  $y$  in  $G$  and a right Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\widehat{G}$ , for a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} \mu(u_{ij}^\alpha \overline{u_{ij}^\alpha}(y)) = \mu\{y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} |\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)|^2 = \sum_{x \in G} \mu\{x\}^2.$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is continuous if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} |\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)|^2 = 0.$$

Here  $(u_{ij}^\alpha)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} \in M_{d_\alpha}(C(G))$  stands for a unitary matrix presenting  $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$ .

From now on  $G$  stands for a compact metrizable group. When thinking of  $G$  as a compact quantum group, the coproduct

$$\Delta : C(G) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(G)$$

is given by  $\Delta(f)(x, y) = f(xy)$ , the coinverse  $\kappa : C(G) \rightarrow C(G)$  is given by  $\kappa(f)(x) = f(x^{-1})$  and the counit  $\varepsilon : C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $\varepsilon(f) = f(e_G)$  for all  $f \in C(G)$  and  $x, y \in G$ . Here,  $e_G$  is the neutral element of  $G$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Given a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , the *conjugate*  $\bar{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  is defined by

$$\bar{\mu}(f) = \int_G f(x^{-1}) d\mu(x) = \mu(\kappa(f))$$

for all  $f \in C(G)$ , and  $\bar{\mu}$  is also a finite Borel measure on  $G$ . In other words,  $\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(E^{-1})$  for every Borel subset  $E$  of  $G$ .

For  $x \in G$ , use  $\delta_x$  to denote the Dirac measure at  $x$ .

The *convolution*  $\mu * \nu$  of two finite Borel measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $G$  is defined by

$$\mu * \nu(f) = (\mu \otimes \nu)\Delta(f) = \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y)$$

for all  $f \in C(G)$ . For every Borel subset  $E$  of  $G$ , we have

$$\mu * \nu(E) = \int_G \nu(x^{-1}E) d\mu(x) = \int_G \mu(Ey^{-1}) d\nu(y).$$

If either  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  is continuous, then so is  $\mu * \nu$ .

We can write a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  as  $\mu = \sum_i \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} + \mu_C$  for every atom  $x_i$  with  $\mu\{x_i\} = \lambda_i$  and a finite continuous Borel measure  $\mu_C$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on  $G$  and  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a right Følner sequence of  $\widehat{G}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \mu(\chi(\alpha)) = \mu\{e_G\}.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.7, the sequence  $\{(1/|F_n|_w) \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \chi(\alpha)(x)\} \subseteq C(G)$  converges pointwise to  $1_{e_G}$  (the characteristic function of  $\{e_G\}$ ). The terms of the sequence are bounded by 1 for all  $x \in G$ ; hence, by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem [Rudin 1987, Theorem 1.34], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \mu(\chi(\alpha)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \chi(\alpha)(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \chi(\alpha)(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G 1_{e_G} d\mu = \mu\{e_G\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Given a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and  $y \in G$ , consider the measure  $\mu * \delta_{y^{-1}}$ . By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \mu * \delta_{y^{-1}}(\chi(\alpha)) = \mu * \delta_{y^{-1}}\{e_G\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \delta_{y^{-1}}(\chi(\alpha)) &= \int_G \int_G \chi(\alpha)(xz) d\mu(x) d\delta_{y^{-1}}(z) \\ &= \int_G \chi(\alpha)(xy^{-1}) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha(xy^{-1}) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_\alpha} u_{ij}^\alpha(x) u_{ji}^\alpha(y^{-1}) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_\alpha} u_{ij}^\alpha(x) \overline{u_{ij}^\alpha(y)} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\mu * \delta_{y^{-1}}\{e_G\} = \int_G \int_G 1_{e_G}(xz) d\mu(x) d\delta_{y^{-1}}(z) = \int_G 1_{e_G}(xy^{-1}) d\mu(x) = \mu\{y\}.$$

This completes the proof of the first part.

Applying Lemma 4.3 to  $\mu * \bar{\mu}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|_w} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} d_\alpha \mu * \bar{\mu}(\chi(\alpha)) = \mu * \bar{\mu}\{e_G\}.$$

Since  $\mu = \sum_{x_i \text{ atoms}} \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} + \mu_C$  with  $\lambda_i = \mu\{x_i\}$  and  $\mu_C$  a finite continuous Borel measure, we have

$$\bar{\mu} = \sum_{x_i \text{ atoms}} \lambda_i \bar{\delta}_{x_i} + \bar{\mu}_C = \sum_{x_i \text{ atoms}} \lambda_i \delta_{x_i^{-1}} + \bar{\mu}_C.$$

Hence,

$$\mu * \bar{\mu} = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{x_i} * \delta_{x_j^{-1}} + \sum_i \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} * \bar{\mu}_C + \sum_j \lambda_j \mu_C * \delta_{x_j^{-1}} + \mu_C * \bar{\mu}_C.$$

Note that  $\sum_i \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} * \bar{\mu}_C + \sum_j \lambda_j \mu_C * \delta_{x_j^{-1}} + \mu_C * \bar{\mu}_C$  is a finite continuous measure and

$$\sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{x_i} * \delta_{x_j^{-1}} = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{x_i x_j^{-1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\mu * \bar{\mu}\{e_G\} = \sum_{x_i \text{ atoms}} \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{x_i \text{ atoms}} \mu\{x_i\}^2 = \sum_{x \in G} \mu\{x\}^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \bar{\mu}(\chi(\alpha)) &= \int_G \int_G \chi(\alpha)(xy) d\mu(x) d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \chi(\alpha)(xy^{-1}) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha(xy^{-1}) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_\alpha} u_{ij}^\alpha(x) u_{ji}^\alpha(y^{-1}) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_\alpha} \int_G u_{ij}^\alpha(x) d\mu(x) \int_G \overline{u_{ij}^\alpha(y)} d\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha} |\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of the first part, and the second follows immediately. □

### Acknowledgements

The paper was finished when I was a postdoctoral fellow from June 2013 to January 2016, supported by ERC Advanced Grant No. 267079. I express my gratitude to my mentor Joachim Cuntz. I thank Martijn Caspers for pointing out the reference [Kyed 2008] to me, which motivates the article. I am grateful to Hanfeng Li and Shuzhou Wang for their comments. I thank Ami Viselter for reminding me of some

preceding works. Last but not least, I thank the anonymous referee and the editor for their comments and suggestions, which greatly improve the readability of the article.

### References

- [Anoussis and Bisbas 2000] M. Anoussis and A. Bisbas, “Continuous measures on compact Lie groups”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **50**:4 (2000), 1277–1296. [MR 1799746](#) [Zbl 0969.43001](#)
- [Bédos et al. 2001] E. Bédos, G. J. Murphy, and L. Tuset, “Co-amenability of compact quantum groups”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **40**:2 (2001), 130–153. [MR 1862084](#) [Zbl 1011.46056](#)
- [Björklund and Fish 2009] M. Björklund and A. Fish, “Continuous measures on homogenous spaces”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59**:6 (2009), 2169–2174. [MR 2640917](#) [Zbl 1194.60009](#)
- [Choi 1974] M. D. Choi, “A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras”, *Illinois J. Math.* **18**:4 (1974), 565–574. [MR 0355615](#) [Zbl 0293.46043](#)
- [Duvenhage 2008] R. Duvenhage, “A mean ergodic theorem for actions of amenable quantum groups”, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78**:1 (2008), 87–95. [MR 2458300](#) [Zbl 1160.46043](#)
- [Glasner 2003] E. Glasner, *Ergodic theory via joinings*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **101**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. [MR 1958753](#) [Zbl 1038.37002](#)
- [Katznelson 2004] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2004. [MR 2039503](#) [Zbl 1055.43001](#)
- [Kyed 2008] D. Kyed, “ $L^2$ -Betti numbers of coamenable quantum groups”, *Münster J. Math.* **1** (2008), 143–179. [MR 2502497](#) [Zbl 1195.46073](#)
- [Ruan 1996] Z.-J. Ruan, “Amenability of Hopf von Neumann algebras and Kac algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **139**:2 (1996), 466–499. [MR 1402773](#) [Zbl 0896.46041](#)
- [Rudin 1987] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987. [MR 924157](#) [Zbl 0925.00005](#)
- [Runde and Viselter 2014] V. Runde and A. Viselter, “Ergodic theory for quantum semigroups”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **89**:3 (2014), 941–959. [MR 3217657](#)
- [Taylor 1981] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators*, Princeton Mathematical Series **34**, Princeton University Press, 1981. [MR 618463](#) [Zbl 0453.47026](#)
- [Tomatsu 2006] R. Tomatsu, “A paving theorem for amenable discrete Kac algebras”, *Internat. J. Math.* **17**:8 (2006), 905–919. [MR 2261640](#) [Zbl 1115.46057](#)
- [Wiener 1933] N. Wiener, *The Fourier integral and certain of its applications*, Dover, New York, 1933. [MR 983891](#) [Zbl 0006.05401](#)
- [Woronowicz 1987] S. L. Woronowicz, “Compact matrix pseudogroups”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665. [MR 901157](#) [Zbl 0627.58034](#)
- [Woronowicz 1998] S. L. Woronowicz, “Compact quantum groups”, pp. 845–884 in *Symétries quantiques* (Les Houches, 1995), edited by A. Connes et al., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998. [MR 1616348](#) [Zbl 0997.46045](#)

Received 10 Nov 2015. Revised 3 Feb 2016. Accepted 11 Mar 2016.

HUICHI HUANG: [huichi-huang@hotmail.com](mailto:huichi-huang@hotmail.com)

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 401331, China

# Analysis & PDE

[msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde)

## EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

[patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr](mailto:patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr)

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France <a href="mailto:nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr">nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr</a>	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:mueller@math.uni-bonn.de">mueller@math.uni-bonn.de</a>
Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy <a href="mailto:berti@sissa.it">berti@sissa.it</a>	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:peres@stat.berkeley.edu">peres@stat.berkeley.edu</a>
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:chang@math.princeton.edu">chang@math.princeton.edu</a>	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 <a href="mailto:pisier@math.tamu.edu">pisier@math.tamu.edu</a>
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:mchrist@math.berkeley.edu">mchrist@math.berkeley.edu</a>	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland <a href="mailto:riviere@math.ethz.ch">riviere@math.ethz.ch</a>
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:cf@math.princeton.edu">cf@math.princeton.edu</a>	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:irod@math.princeton.edu">irod@math.princeton.edu</a>
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:ursula@math.uni-bonn.de">ursula@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:schlag@math.uchicago.edu">schlag@math.uchicago.edu</a>
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University <a href="mailto:vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu">vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu</a>	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA <a href="mailto:serfaty@cims.nyu.edu">serfaty@cims.nyu.edu</a>
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA <a href="mailto:vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com">vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com</a>	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA <a href="mailto:siu@math.harvard.edu">siu@math.harvard.edu</a>
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:koch@math.uni-bonn.de">koch@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:tao@math.ucla.edu">tao@math.ucla.edu</a>
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada <a href="mailto:ilaba@math.ubc.ca">ilaba@math.ubc.ca</a>	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:met@math.unc.edu">met@math.unc.edu</a>
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France <a href="mailto:lebeau@unice.fr">lebeau@unice.fr</a>	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA <a href="mailto:gunther@math.washington.edu">gunther@math.washington.edu</a>
László Lempert	Purdue University, USA <a href="mailto:lempert@math.purdue.edu">lempert@math.purdue.edu</a>	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:andras@math.stanford.edu">andras@math.stanford.edu</a>
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA <a href="mailto:rbb@math.mit.edu">rbb@math.mit.edu</a>	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:dvv@math.berkeley.edu">dvv@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France <a href="mailto:Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr">Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr</a>	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA <a href="mailto:zelditch@math.northwestern.edu">zelditch@math.northwestern.edu</a>
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:minicozz@math.jhu.edu">minicozz@math.jhu.edu</a>	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:zworski@math.berkeley.edu">zworski@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK <a href="mailto:c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk">c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk</a>		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$235/year for the electronic version, and \$430/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.


---

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers



# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9 No. 4 2016

---

Peierls substitution for magnetic Bloch bands	773
SILVIA FREUND and STEFAN TEUFEL	
Dispersive estimates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with threshold eigenstates and resonances	813
MARIUS BECEANU	
Interior nodal sets of Steklov eigenfunctions on surfaces	859
JIUYI ZHU	
Some counterexamples to Sobolev regularity for degenerate Monge–Ampère equations	881
CONNOR MOONEY	
Mean ergodic theorem for amenable discrete quantum groups and a Wiener-type theorem for compact metrizable groups	893
HUICHI HUANG	
Resonance free regions for nontrapping manifolds with cusps	907
KIRIL DATCHEV	
Characterizing regularity of domains via the Riesz transforms on their boundaries	955
DORINA MITREA, MARIUS MITREA and JOAN VERDERA	