Analysis & PDE

Dario Cordero-Erausquin and Alexandros Eskenazis

TALAGRAND'S INFLUENCE INEQUALITY REVISITED

msp

msp

TALAGRAND'S INFLUENCE INEQUALITY REVISITED

DARIO CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN AND ALEXANDROS ESKENAZIS

Let $\mathcal{C}_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$ be the discrete hypercube equipped with the uniform probability measure σ_n. Talagrand's influence inequality (1994), also known as the $L_1 - L_2$ inequality, asserts that there exists $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Var}_{\sigma_n}(f) \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n)})}.$$

We undertake a systematic investigation of this and related inequalities via harmonic analytic and stochastic techniques and derive applications to metric embeddings. We prove that Talagrand's inequality extends, up to an additional doubly logarithmic factor, to Banach space-valued functions under the necessary assumption that the target space has Rademacher type 2 and that this doubly logarithmic term can be omitted if the target space admits an equivalent 2-uniformly smooth norm. These are the first vector-valued extensions of Talagrand's influence inequality. Moreover, our proof implies vector-valued versions of a general family of $L_1 - L_p$ inequalities, each refining the dimension independent L_p-Poincaré inequality on $(\mathcal{C}_n, \sigma_n)$. We also obtain a joint strengthening of results of Bakry–Meyer (1982) and Naor–Schechtman (2002) on the action of negative powers of the hypercube Laplacian on functions $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, whose target space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has nontrivial Rademacher type via a new vector-valued version of Meyer's multiplier theorem (1984). Inspired by Talagrand's influence inequality, we introduce a new metric invariant called Talagrand type and estimate it for Banach spaces with prescribed Rademacher or martingale type, Gromov hyperbolic groups and simply connected Riemannian manifolds of pinched negative curvature. Finally, we prove that Talagrand type is an obstruction to the bi-Lipschitz embeddability of nonlinear quotients of the hypercube $\mathcal{C}_n$ equipped with the Hamming metric, thus deriving new nonembeddability results for these finite metrics. Our proofs make use of Banach space-valued Itô calculus, Riesz transform inequalities, Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory and hypercontractivity.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$ be the discrete hypercube equipped with the uniform probability measure σ_n. If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a complex Banach space, we will denote the vector-valued $L_p(\sigma_n)$-norm of a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ by

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \|f(\varepsilon)\|_E^p \, d\sigma_n(\varepsilon) \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } p \in [1, \infty), \tag{1}$$

and $\|f\|_{L_\infty(\sigma_n; E)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n} \|f(\varepsilon)\|_E$. When $E = \mathbb{C}$, we will abbreviate $\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; \mathbb{C})}$ simply as $\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}$. We will also denote by $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f$ the expectation of f with respect to σ_n. The i-th partial derivative of a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ is given by

$$\partial_i f(\varepsilon) = \frac{f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)}{2}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n. \tag{2}$$

The discrete Poincaré inequality asserts that every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2. \tag{3}$$

Extensions and refinements of (3) have been a central object of study in the probability and analysis literature for decades. A natural problem, first raised by Enflo [1978], is to understand for which target spaces E every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies (3), up to a universal multiplicative factor depending only on the geometry of E but not on n or the choice of f. Recall that a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has Rademacher type s with constant $T \in (0, \infty)$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_E^s \, d\sigma_n(\varepsilon) \leqslant T^s \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_E^s. \tag{4}$$

It is evident that if a Banach space E is such that every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant C^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2, \tag{5}$$

then E has Rademacher type 2 with constant C, since this condition coincides with (5) for functions of the form $f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$. The reverse implication, i.e., the fact that Rademacher type 2 implies the vector-valued Poincaré inequality (5), was a recent breakthrough proved by Ivanisvili, van Handel and Volberg [Ivanisvili et al. 2020].

In a different direction, an important refinement of the scalar-valued discrete Poincaré inequality (3) was obtained in the celebrated work by Talagrand [1994]. Also known as the $L_1 - L_2$ inequality, Talagrand's influence inequality asserts that there exists a universal constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)} / \|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n)})}. \tag{6}$$

Observe that (6) is a strengthening of the discrete Poincaré inequality (3) up to the value of the universal constant C, which becomes substantial for functions satisfying $\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)} \gg \|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n)}$. Since its conception, Talagrand's inequality has played a major role in Boolean analysis [Falik and Samorodnitsky 2007; Friedgut and Kalai 1996; Kahn et al. 1988; O'Donnell 2014; Rossignol 2006], percolation [Benaïm and Rossignol 2008; Benjamini et al. 2003; Chatterjee 2014; Garban and Steif 2015; Russo 1982] and geometric functional analysis [Paouris and Valettas 2018; Paouris et al. 2017; 2022; Tikhomirov 2018]. In particular, applying (6) to a Boolean function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \{0, 1\}$, one readily recovers the celebrated theorem of Kahn, Kalai and Linial [Kahn et al. 1988], quantifying the fact that in any (essentially) unbiased voting scheme, there exists a voter with disproportionately large influence over the outcome of the vote. We refer to the above references and [Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012; Ledoux 2019] for further bibliographical information on Talagrand's inequality.

The main purpose of the present paper is to investigate vector-valued versions of Talagrand's inequality (6) and other refinements and extensions of (3). These new vector-valued inequalities motivate the definition of a new bi-Lipschitz invariant for metric spaces called *Talagrand type* (Definition 10), which captures new KKL-type phenomena in embedding theory (see Theorem 13 and the ensuing discussion). We shall now present a summary of these results, which rely on a range of stochastic and harmonic analytic tools such as Banach space-valued Itô calculus, Riesz transforms and Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory, along with standard uses of hypercontractivity.

Asymptotic notation. In what follows we use the convention that for $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ the notation $a \gtrsim b$ (resp. $a \lesssim b$) means that there exists a universal constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $a \geqslant cb$ (resp. $a \leqslant cb$). Moreover, $a \asymp b$ stands for $(a \lesssim b) \wedge (a \gtrsim b)$. The notations $\lesssim_\xi, \gtrsim_\chi$ and $\asymp_\psi$ mean that the implicit constant c depends on ξ, χ and ψ, respectively.

1.1. *Vector-valued influence inequalities.* In view of Enflo's problem [1978] and its recent solution in [Ivanisvili et al. 2020], it would be most natural to try and understand for which Banach spaces $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ there exists a constant $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; E)})}. \tag{7}$$

Evidently, as (7) is a strengthening of (5), if a space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ satisfies (7) then E has Rademacher type 2. Conversely, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (vector-valued influence inequality for spaces with Rademacher type 2). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with Rademacher type 2. Then there exists $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2}{1 + \log^{1-\varepsilon}(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; E)})}. \tag{8}$$

In particular, if $\sigma(f) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \log \log(e + \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n;E)})$, *then*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant C\sigma(f) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n;E)})}. \tag{9}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 builds upon a novel idea exploited in [Ivanisvili et al. 2020], which in turn is reminiscent of a trick due to Maurey [Pisier 1986]. It remains unclear whether one can deduce from this idea a vector-valued extension of Talagrand's inequality (6) for spaces of Rademacher type 2 and whether the doubly logarithmic error term $\sigma(f)$ on the right-hand side of (9) is needed. Let us mention that, even in the scalar-valued case, the argument of Maurey or the one of Ivanisvili, van Handel and Volberg are slightly different than standard semigroup approaches to functional inequalities, in particular to the semigroup proof of (6) from [Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012]. On the other hand, we will see that a slightly stronger condition on the Banach space allows for different approaches, relying on more intricate connections between the space and the semigroup, which will lead to the desired optimal vector-valued $L_1 - L_2$ inequality. Recall first that a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has martingale type s with constant $M \in (0, \infty)$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and every filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of sub-σ-algebras of $\mathcal{F}$, every E-valued martingale $\{\mathcal{M}_i : \Omega \to E\}_{i=0}^n$ adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=0}^n$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{M}_n - \mathcal{M}_0\|^s_{L_s(\mu;E)} \leqslant M^s \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{M}_{i-1}\|^s_{L_s(\mu;E)}. \tag{10}$$

Martingale type, which is a strengthening of Rademacher type, was introduced by Pisier [1975], who proved the fundamental fact that for every $s \in (1, 2]$, a Banach space E has martingale type s if and only if E admits an equivalent s-uniformly smooth norm (see [Pisier 1975; 2016] for further information on these important notions).

Theorem 2 (vector-valued influence inequality for spaces with martingale type 2). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with martingale type 2. Then there exists $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}/\|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n;E)})}. \tag{11}$$

Theorem 2 establishes the optimal vector-valued influence inequality for spaces of martingale type 2. We will present two proofs of Theorem 2. The first one uses a clever stochastic process on the cube which was recently constructed by Eldan and Gross [2022], while the second relies on Xu's vector-valued Littlewood–Paley–Stein inequalities for superreflexive targets; see [Xu 2020]. There exist examples of exotic Banach spaces [James 1978; Pisier and Xu 1987] which have Rademacher type 2 yet fail to have martingale type 2, thus Theorem 2 does not exhaust the list of potential target spaces satisfying (7). Nevertheless, a combination of classical results of Maurey [1974], Pisier [1975] and Figiel [1976] imply that every Banach lattice of Rademacher type 2 has martingale type 2.

The influence inequalities of Theorems 1 and 2 have analogues for spaces of Rademacher and martingale type s which will be presented in Section 9.1 for the sake of simplicity of exposition.

1.2. $L_1 - L_p$ inequalities. For a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$, denote by

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_i f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}, \quad \text{for all } p \in [1, \infty), \tag{12}$$

the L_p-norm of the gradient of f. It has already been pointed out that Talagrand's influence inequality (6) is a refinement of the discrete Poincaré inequality (3). It is therefore worth investigating whether similar strengthenings of the L_p discrete Poincaré inequality

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \leqslant C_p \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \tag{13}$$

hold true for other values of p. The fact that for every $p \in [1, \infty)$ there exists a constant $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that (13) holds true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ was established by Talagrand [1993].

In the vector-valued setting which is of interest here, the most common substitute of (12) for the norm of the gradient of a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, where $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space, is

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i f \right\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}^{p} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_n(\delta) \right)^{1/p} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon) \right\|_{E}^{p} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_{2n}(\varepsilon, \delta) \right)^{1/p},$$

for all $p \in [1, \infty)$. Observe that when $E = \mathbb{C}$, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$, we have $\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; \mathbb{C})} \asymp_p \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}$ by Khintchine's inequality [1923]. With this definition, the vector-valued extension

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant C_p(n) \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \tag{14}$$

of (13) is called Pisier's inequality, since Pisier [1986] established the validity of (14) for every Banach space E and $p \in [1, \infty)$ with $C_p(n) = 2e \log n$. Understanding for which Banach spaces E and $p \in [1, \infty)$ the constant $C_p(n)$ in Pisier's inequality could be replaced by a constant $C_p(E)$, independent of the dimension n, was a long-standing open problem settled in [Ivanisvili et al. 2020]. We will recall in (97) the definition of Rademacher cotype; let us simply say here that a Banach space E has finite cotype if E does not isomorphically contain the family $\{\ell_\infty^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with uniformly bounded distortion; see [Maurey and Pisier 1976; Pisier 2016]. In [Ivanisvili et al. 2020], the authors proved that a Banach space E with finite cotype satisfies (14) with $C_p(n)$ replaced by a universal constant $C_p(E)$, thus complementing a result of Talagrand [1993] who proved that if a space does not have finite cotype, then $C_p(n) \asymp_p \log n$.

Theorem 3 (vector-valued $L_1 - L_p$ inequality for spaces of finite cotype). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with finite Rademacher cotype and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exist $C_p = C_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_p(E) \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant C_p \frac{\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}}{1 + \log^{\alpha_p}(\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} / \|\nabla f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; E)})}. \tag{15}$$

The proof of Theorem 3 builds upon the technique of [Ivanisvili et al. 2020]. A stronger inequality for functions on the Gauss space will be presented in Theorem 27. This approach seems insufficient to yield the optimal $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{2}$ exponent for $E = \mathbb{C}$ and all $p > 1$, yet we derive the following result using Lust-Piquard's Riesz transform inequalities [Ben Efraim and Lust-Piquard 2008; Lust-Piquard 1998].

Theorem 4 (scalar-valued $L_1 - L_p$ inequality). *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \leqslant C_p \frac{\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}}{1 + \sqrt{\log(\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}/\|\nabla f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n)})}}. \tag{16}$$

1.3. *Negative powers of the Laplacian.* Let (Ω, μ) be a finite measure space, $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space and $p \in [1, \infty]$. If $T : L_p(\mu) \to L_p(\mu)$ is a bounded linear operator, then, by abuse of notation, we will also denote by T its natural E-valued extension

$$T \equiv T \otimes \mathrm{Id}_E : L_p(\mu; E) \to L_p(\mu; E).$$

The discrete derivatives (2) on the Hamming cube $\mathcal{C}_n$ satisfy $\partial_i^2 = \partial_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and thus the hypercube Laplacian is defined as $\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i$. Note that for g and h on $\mathcal{C}_n$ with values in $\mathbb{C}$ and E, respectively, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n}[g \, \partial_i h] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n}[(\partial_i g)h] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n}[(\partial_i g)(\partial_i h)], \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \tag{17}$$

The formula is also true if g has values in the dual E^* and the product is the duality bracket. The operator Δ is the (positive) infinitesimal generator of the discrete heat semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ on $\mathcal{C}_n$, that is, $P_t = e^{-t\Delta}$; see, e.g., [O'Donnell 2014]. Let us mention that functional calculus involving Δ can be easily expressed using the Walsh basis. This is the case for all Fourier multipliers appearing below which are defined by formula (106).

All available proofs of Talagrand's inequality (6) make crucial use of the hypercontractivity of $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ (first proven by Bonami [1970]) along with some version of "orthogonality" [Talagrand 1994] or semigroup identities [Benjamini et al. 2003; Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012] specific to the scalar case. In particular, Talagrand [1994] used Parseval's identity for the Walsh basis to express the variance of a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\mathrm{Var}_{\sigma_n}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Delta^{-1/2} \partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2, \tag{18}$$

and thus reduced the problem to obtaining effective estimates for $\|\Delta^{-1/2} h\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}$. One tool which allows us to circumvent algebraic representations such as (18) (see the proof of Theorem 4 below) are one-sided Riesz transform inequalities, which can combined with certain new vector-valued estimates on negative powers of the generator of the semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$.

Let $\alpha \geqslant 0$. We say that a Banach space E has nontrivial Rademacher type if E has Rademacher type s for some $s \in (1, 2]$. It has been proven by Naor and Schechtman [2002] that if a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has nontrivial Rademacher type, then for every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $K_p(\alpha) = K_p(\alpha, E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, we have

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant K_p(\alpha) \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{19}$$

Conversely, if (19) holds true for some p and α, then E has nontrivial Rademacher type. The proof of Theorem 4 relies on the following strengthening of Naor and Schechtman's inequality (19).

Theorem 5. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of nontrivial Rademacher type. Then, for every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $K_p(\alpha) = K_p(\alpha, E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, we have*

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant K_p(\alpha) \frac{\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}}{1 + \log^\alpha(\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}/\|f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; E)})}. \tag{20}$$

We note in passing that when $E = \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $p = 2$, Theorem 5 had been proven in [Talagrand 1994, Proposition 2.3]. However, Talagrand's argument heavily uses orthogonality via Parseval's identity for the Walsh basis and is unlikely to work in the vector-valued setting which is of interest here.

1.4. *Vector-valued multipliers and inequalities involving Orlicz norms.* In his original work, Talagrand [1994] observed that (6) admits a strengthening in terms of Orlicz norms; see [Rao and Ren 1991]. Recall that if $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a Young function, i.e., a convex function satisfying

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\psi(x)}{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x} = \infty, \tag{21}$$

and $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space, then the ψ-Orlicz norm of a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{L_\psi(\sigma_n; E)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\left\{t \geqslant 0 : \int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \psi(\|f(\varepsilon)\|_E/t) \, d\sigma_n(\varepsilon) \leqslant 1\right\}. \tag{22}$$

It is evident that for $\psi(t) = t^p$, we have $\|\cdot\|_{L_\psi(\sigma_n; E)} = \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}$. More generally, for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we will denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\log L)^r(\sigma_n; E)}$ the Orlicz norm corresponding to a Young function $\psi_{p,r}$ with $\psi_{p,r}(x) = x^p \log^r(e + x)$ for x large enough (to ensure convexity of $\psi_{p,r}$ when $r < 0$).

In [Talagrand 1994, Theorem 1.6], the author showed that (6) can be strengthened as follows. There exists a universal constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n)}^2. \tag{23}$$

It is in fact true (see [Talagrand 1994, Lemma 2.5] or Lemma 17 below) that (23) formally implies (6). In this direction we can prove the following strengthening of Theorem 1.

Theorem 6. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with Rademacher type 2. Then there exists $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1+\varepsilon}(\sigma_n; E)}^2. \tag{24}$$

Furthermore, the proofs of Theorem 2 in fact yield the following improvement of (11), which extends (23) to spaces of martingale type 2.

Theorem 7. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with martingale type 2. Then there exists $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n; E)}^2. \tag{25}$$

We now turn to Orlicz space strengthenings of Theorem 5. The scalar-valued analogue of this problem had first been studied by Feissner [1975] and was later completely settled by Bakry and Meyer [1982a; 1982b], who showed the following. For every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ there exists $K_p(\alpha) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \leqslant K_p(\alpha) \|f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p\alpha}(\sigma_n)}. \tag{26}$$

In [Bakry and Meyer 1982a; 1982b], inequality (26) is stated and proven for the generator of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on Gauss space, yet straightforward modifications of the proof show that (26) holds for the generator of a general hypercontractive semigroup. While proving (26) with the Orlicz norm on the right-hand side replaced by $L_p(\log L)^{-r}(\sigma_n)$ for $r < p\alpha$ is fairly simple (see [Bakry and Meyer 1982a, Théorème 5]), obtaining the result with the optimal Orlicz space $L_p(\log L)^{-p\alpha}(\sigma_n)$ is more delicate. In [Bakry and Meyer 1982b, Théorème 6] this is achieved via a complex interpolation scheme relying on Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory [Stein 1970] (in the form of bounds for the imaginary Riesz potentials Δ^{it}, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$). Even though such tools are generally not available for functions with values in a general Banach space of nontrivial type (see, e.g., [Guerre-Delabrière 1991; Hytönen 2007; Xu 1998]), we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8 (vector-valued Bakry–Meyer inequality). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of nontrivial Rademacher type. Then, for every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $K_p(\alpha) = K_p(\alpha, E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, we have*

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant K_p(\alpha) \|f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p\alpha}(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{27}$$

It will be shown in Lemma 17 below that Theorem 8 is indeed a strengthening of Theorem 5. In view of the result of [Naor and Schechtman 2002], it is evident that the assumption that the target space E has nontrivial type is both necessary and sufficient in Theorem 8. While the ingredients used in the proof of [Bakry and Meyer 1982b, Théorème 6] cannot be applied in the vector-valued setting of Theorem 8, (27) will be proven as a consequence of the scalar inequality (26) using the following vector-valued multiplier theorem.

Theorem 9. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of nontrivial Rademacher type and consider a holomorphic function $h : \mathbb{D}_r \to \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$, where $r \in (0, \infty)$. Then, for every $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a constant $C_h(\alpha, p) = C_h(\alpha, p, E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|h(\Delta^{-\alpha}) f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant C_h(\alpha, p) \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{28}$$

When $E = \mathbb{C}$, Theorem 9 is a classical result of Meyer [1984, Thèoréme 3]. The vector-valued extension presented here crucially relies on the bounds on the action of negative powers of Δ on vector-valued tail spaces obtained by Mendel and Naor [2014].

1.5. *Talagrand metric spaces.* The vector-valued discrete Poincaré inequality (5) is intimately connected to a metric version of Rademacher type, called Enflo type; see [Enflo 1978; Naor and Schechtman 2002]. In view of this connection, we introduce the following metric invariant, inspired by Talagrand's inequality (23).

Definition 10 (Talagrand type). Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a Young function and $p \in (0, \infty)$. We say that a metric space $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n} d_{\mathcal{M}}(f(\varepsilon), f(\delta))^p \, d\sigma_{2n}(\varepsilon, \delta) \leqslant \tau^p \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathfrak{d}_i f\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_n)}, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_i f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{d}_i f(\varepsilon) = \tfrac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}(f(\varepsilon), f(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n. \tag{30}$$

It is clear that if $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space then $\|\partial_i f(\varepsilon)\|_E$ coincides with $\mathfrak{d}_i f(\varepsilon)$. It can be easily seen that if a Banach space E has the property that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^p_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \tau_*^p \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_n; E)} \tag{31}$$

for some $\tau_* \in (0, \infty)$, then E also has Talagrand type (p, ψ). Indeed, applying (31) to the function $F : \mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ given by $F(\varepsilon, \delta) = f(\varepsilon) - f(\delta)$ which has $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{2n}} F = 0$, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n} \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\delta)\|^p_E \, d\sigma_{2n}(\varepsilon, \delta) = \|F - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{2n}} F\|^p_{L_p(\sigma_{2n}; E)} \leqslant \tau_*^p \sum_{i=1}^n (\|\partial_{\varepsilon_i} F\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_{2n}; E)} + \|\partial_{\delta_i} F\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_{2n}; E)})$$

$$= 2\tau_*^p \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_n; E)},$$

and thus E has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant $\tau \leqslant 2^{1/p} \tau_*$. Hence, Theorems 6 and 7 can both be translated as implications of Talagrand type from Rademacher and martingale type, respectively; see also the discussion in Section 9. It is worth investigating whether natural examples of nonlinear metric spaces (e.g., Alexandrov spaces of nonpositive or nonnegative curvature, transportation cost spaces and others) have Talagrand type. In this direction, we prove the following Talagrand type inequality for functions with values in Gromov hyperbolic groups. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\delta \in [0, 1]$, let $\psi_{p,\delta} : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Young function with $\psi_{p,\delta}(x) = t^p \log^{-\delta}(e + x)$ for x large enough.

Theorem 11. *There exists $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the following holds. Every Gromov hyperbolic group G equipped with the shortest path metric on the Cayley graph with respect to a finite generating set $\mathsf{S} \subseteq \mathsf{G}$ has Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,1-\varepsilon})$ with constant $\tau/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.*

The proof of Theorem 11 relies on a result of Ostrovskii [2014], according to which the Cayley graph of every Gromov hyperbolic group admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding in an arbitrary nonsuperreflexive Banach space, combined with a classical construction of James [1978].

We say that a Riemannian manifold has pinched negative curvature if its sectional curvature takes values in the interval $[-R, -r]$ for some $r, R \in (0, \infty)$ with $r < R$. After the proof of Theorem 11 in Section 7, we also prove the following result.

Theorem 12. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and* (M, *g*) *be an n-dimensional complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with pinched negative curvature. Then, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,* (M, d_M) *has Talagrand type* (2, $\psi_{2,1-\varepsilon}$) *with constant $\tau/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ where τ depends only on n and the parameters r, R.*

Theorems 11 and 12 describe two classes of nonpositively curved spaces which satisfy a Talagrand type inequality that strengthens Enflo type 2. It remains an intriguing open problem to understand whether every CAT(0) space has this property; see also Section 9.

1.6. *Embeddings of nonlinear quotients of the cube and Talagrand type.* Let $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, d_{\mathcal{N}})$ be metric spaces. A function $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ has bi-Lipschitz distortion at most $D \geqslant 1$ if there exists $s \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$sd_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y) \leqslant d_{\mathcal{N}}(f(x), f(y)) \leqslant sDd_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{32}$$

We will denote by $c_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})$ the infimal bi-Lipschitz distortion of a function $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$. When $\mathcal{N} = L_p(\mathbb{R})$, we will abbreviate $c_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{M})$ as $c_p(\mathcal{M})$. Consider the hypercube $\mathcal{C}_n$ endowed with the Hamming metric $\rho(\varepsilon, \delta) = \|\varepsilon - \delta\|_1$. The geometric significance of Enflo type stems (partially) from the fact (see [Naor and Schechtman 2002]) that if a metric space $\mathcal{M}$ has Enflo type p with constant $T \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$c_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{C}_n) \geqslant T^{-1} n^{1-1/p}. \tag{33}$$

In this section, we will establish a more delicate bi-Lipschitz nonembeddability property which is a consequence of the Talagrand type inequality (29).

Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n$ be an arbitrary equivalence relation and denote by $\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}$ the set of all equivalence classes of $\mathcal{R}$ equipped with the quotient metric, which is given by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\varepsilon], [\delta]) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{\rho(\eta_1, \zeta_1) + \cdots + \rho(\eta_k, \zeta_k)\}, \quad \text{for all } [\varepsilon], [\delta] \in \mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}; \tag{34}$$

here the minimum is taken over all $k \geqslant 1$ and $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_k \in \mathcal{C}_n$ with $\eta_1 \in [\varepsilon]$, $\zeta_k \in [\delta]$ and $[\zeta_j] = [\eta_{j+1}]$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$. We shall now present an implication of Talagrand type on embeddings of nonlinear quotients[1] of the cube which strengthens the corresponding bounds that one can deduce from Enflo type. We will denote by $\partial_i \mathcal{R}$ the boundary of $\mathcal{R}$ in the direction i, that is

$$\partial_i \mathcal{R} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n : (\varepsilon, (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)) \notin \mathcal{R}\}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \tag{35}$$

and by $\mathsf{a}_p(\mathcal{R})$ the quantity

$$\mathsf{a}_p(\mathcal{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n} \rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\varepsilon], [\delta])^p \, d\sigma_{2n}(\varepsilon, \delta) \right)^{1/p}. \tag{36}$$

---

[1]The term "nonlinear" here is meant to emphasize the distinction between quotients of the hypercube with respect to an arbitrary equivalence relation and quotients by linear codes; see [MacWilliams and Sloane 1977] and Remark 39. Recall that if we identify $\mathcal{C}_n$ with $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ is the field with two elements, a linear code is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-subspace $C \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ and the corresponding quotient is the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^n/C$ endowed with the quotient metric.

Theorem 13. *Fix $p \in (0, \infty)$ and a Young function $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$. If a metric space $(\mathcal{M}, d_\mathcal{M})$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant $\tau \in (0, \infty)$, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n$, we have*

$$c_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}) \geqslant \frac{2\tau^{-1}\mathsf{a}_p(\mathcal{R})}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi^{-1}(\sigma_n(\partial_i \mathcal{R})^{-1})^{-p}\right)^{1/p}}. \tag{37}$$

It is worth noting that in the setting of Theorem 13, if $\mathcal{M}$ has Talagrand type $(p, t \mapsto t^p)$ with constant τ (a property which is very closely related to Enflo type p, see Remark 38), then

$$c_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}) \geqslant \frac{2\tau^{-1}\mathsf{a}_p(\mathcal{R})}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_n(\partial_i \mathcal{R})\right)^{1/p}}. \tag{38}$$

This estimate, which generalizes (33), is substantially weaker than (37) when $\psi(t) \ll t^p$ for large values of t. In particular, this is the case for Banach spaces of Rademacher or martingale type p (see Theorems 40 and 41). It is also worth mentioning that, in view of Theorem 42 below, Theorem 13 provides nontrivial distortion lower bounds even for bi-Lipschitz embeddings into $L_1(\mu)$ spaces.

Theorem 13 is reminiscent of the celebrated theorem of Kahn, Kalai and Linial [Kahn et al. 1988], which asserts that there exists a constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every Boolean function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \{0, 1\}$,

$$\max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2 \geqslant \frac{c \log n}{n} \operatorname{Var}_{\sigma_n} f = \frac{c \log n}{n} p(1 - p), \tag{39}$$

where $p = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f$. Viewing f as a voting scheme, (39) asserts that if all influences $\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2$ are small, then f is necessarily an unfair system in the sense that its expectation is very close to either 0 or 1. Inequality (37) puts forth a similar phenomenon in embedding theory: if all *geometric influences* $\sigma_n(\partial_i \mathcal{R})$ of the partition are small, then the quotient $\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}$ is incompatible with the geometry of the target space $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, the quantitative improvement (37) of (38) is in direct analogy with the improvement that the KKL inequality (39) offers to the weaker estimate

$$\max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n)}^2 \geqslant \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}_{\sigma_n} f,$$

which follows readily from the Poincaré inequality (3) for any function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we will present some elementary inequalities and properties of Orlicz norms which we shall use in the sequel. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorems 1 and 6 and Section 4 contains two proofs of Theorems 2 and 7, one using stochastic calculus and one Fourier analytic. In Section 5, we prove Theorems 3 and 4 and their analogue in Gauss space, and Theorem 27 by a combination of semigroup methods and Riesz transforms. Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 9 and the derivation of Theorems 5 and 8. In Section 7 we present the proof of Theorems 11 and 12 and in Section 8 we present the proof of the nonembeddability result of Theorem 13. Finally, Section 9 contains some concluding remarks and open problems.

2. Some preliminary calculus lemmas

In this section, we present a few elementary facts related to Orlicz norms which we shall repeatedly use in the sequel. While these results are central for our proofs, they are mostly technical and therefore can be skipped on first reading. We gather them here in order to avoid digressions in the main part of the text.

Lemma 14. *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a Banach space and (Ω, μ) a probability space. For every $r \in (1, \infty)$, $\gamma, \eta \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, there exists $A = A(r, \gamma, \eta, \varepsilon) \in (0, \infty)$ such that every $h : \Omega \to E$ satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\gamma t}}(\mu; E)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant A \|h\|_{L_r(\log L)^{-1+\varepsilon}(\mu; E)}^r. \tag{40}$$

Proof. Since both sides only depend on the norm of h, we can assume that $E = \mathbb{C}$ and $h \geqslant 0$. Moreover, without loss of generality $\eta \leqslant 1 = \gamma$. Suppose, by homogeneity, that the right-hand side satisfies $\|h\|_{L_r(\log L)^{-1+\varepsilon}(\mu)} \leqslant 1$, which implies that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{h^r}{\log^{1-\varepsilon}(e+h)} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant 1.$$

For $k \geqslant 1$, let $h_k = h \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{2^{k-1} < h \leqslant 2^k\}}$ and $h_0 = h \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{h \leqslant 1\}}$, so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \int_\Omega h_k^r \, \mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant 1. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, observe that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-t}}(\mu)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\eta t}}(\mu)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \asymp_{r,\eta} \int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon},$$

where the inequality follows from the monotonicity of $L_s(\mu)$-norms and the equivalence by the change of variables $\nu = 1 + (r-1)e^{-\eta t}$.

The right-hand side then satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} &= \int_1^r \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_\Omega h_k^\nu \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{r/\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \\
&\leqslant 2^r \int_1^r \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-(r-\nu)k} \int_\Omega h_k^r \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{r/\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \\
&\overset{(41)}{\leqslant} 2^r \int_1^r \sum_{k=0}^\infty (k+1)^{r(1-\varepsilon)/\nu} 2^{-(r-\nu)kr/\nu} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \int_\Omega h_k^r \, \mathrm{d}\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \\
&= 2^r \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\int_1^r (k+1)^{r(1-\varepsilon)/\nu} 2^{-(r-\nu)kr/\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \right) \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \int_\Omega h_k^r \, \mathrm{d}\mu \\
&\overset{(41)}{\leqslant} 2^r \max_{k \geqslant 0} \left\{ \int_1^r (k+1)^{r(1-\varepsilon)/\nu} 2^{-(r-\nu)kr/\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Jensen's inequality for the convex function $t \mapsto t^{r/\nu}$ with weights (41). Now, by multiplying k by r, one can easily see that

$$\max_{k \geqslant 0} \left\{ \int_1^r (k+1)^{r(1-\varepsilon)/\nu} 2^{-(r-\nu)kr/\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \right\} \asymp_{r,\varepsilon} \max_{k \geqslant 0} \left\{ \int_1^r k^{r(1-\varepsilon)/\nu} e^{-(r-\nu)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \right\}$$

$$\asymp_{r,\varepsilon} \max_{k \geqslant 0} \left\{ \int_{1/r}^1 k^{(1-\varepsilon)/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(1-u)^\varepsilon} \right\},$$

where the second equivalence follows by the change of variables $u = \nu/r$ and a further change of variables in k. For $k \geqslant 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, write

$$\int_{1/r}^1 k^{(1-\varepsilon)/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(1-u)^\varepsilon} = \underbrace{\int_{1/r}^{1-1/k} k^{(1-\varepsilon)/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(1-u)^\varepsilon}}_{I_k(\varepsilon)} + \underbrace{\int_{1-1/k}^1 k^{(1-\varepsilon)/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(1-u)^\varepsilon}}_{J_k(\varepsilon)}$$

and notice that

$$J_k(\varepsilon) \leqslant k^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1-1/k)} \int_{1-1/k}^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{(1-u)^\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} k^{(1-\varepsilon)k/(k-1)-(1-\varepsilon)} \asymp_\varepsilon 1.$$

Moreover, if $u \leqslant 1 - 1/k$, then

$$k^{-\varepsilon/u} \frac{1}{(1-u)^\varepsilon} \leqslant k^{\varepsilon(1-1/u)} < 1,$$

which implies that

$$I_k(\varepsilon) \leqslant \int_{1/r}^{1-1/k} k^{1/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \, \mathrm{d}u \leqslant \int_{1/r}^1 k^{1/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \, \mathrm{d}u \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} R_k.$$

Finally, to bound R_k, we integrate by parts and get

$$R_k = \int_{1/r}^1 k^{1/u} \left(\frac{e^{-(1-u)k}}{k} \right)' \mathrm{d}u = 1 - k^{r-1} e^{-(1-1/r)k} + \frac{\log k}{k} \int_{1/r}^1 k^{1/u} e^{-(1-u)k} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{u^2}$$

$$\leqslant 1 - k^{r-1} e^{-(1-1/r)k} + \frac{r^2 \log k}{k} R_k,$$

which, after rearranging, readily implies that $R_k \lesssim_r 1$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

Using Hölder's inequality, we can easily deduce the following variant of Lemma 14 which we will need to prove Theorems 29 and 30.

Lemma 15. *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a Banach space and (Ω, μ) a probability space. For every $r \in (1, \infty)$, $\gamma, \eta \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$, there exists $B = B(r, \gamma, \eta, \varepsilon) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\theta \in (0,1)$, every $h : \Omega \to E$ satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\gamma t}}(\mu;E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant \frac{B}{\theta^{(r-1)/r}} \|h\|_{L_r(\log L)^{-r(1-\varepsilon)+\theta}(\mu;E)}. \tag{42}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we will again assume that $E = \mathbb{C}$, $h \geqslant 0$ and $\eta \leqslant 1 = \gamma$. As in the proof of Lemma 14, a change of variables shows that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-t}}(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\eta t}}(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \asymp_{r,\eta} \int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon}. \tag{43}$$

Fix $\theta \in (0, 1)$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \leqslant \left(\int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^{1-r(1-\varepsilon)+\theta}} \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_1^r \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^{1-\theta/(r-1)}} \right)^{(r-1)/r},$$

and since $\int_1^r 1/(r-\nu)^{1-\theta/(r-1)} \, \mathrm{d}\nu \asymp_r 1/\theta$, we deduce from Lemma 14 that

$$\int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \leqslant \frac{A}{\theta^{(r-1)/r}} \|h\|_{L_r(\log L)^{-r(1-\varepsilon)+\theta}(\mu)}$$

for some $A = A(r, \varepsilon)$. Then the proof is complete by (43). □

The following lemma will be used to prove Theorems 3 and 5.

Lemma 16. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space and (Ω, μ) a probability space. For every $r \in [1, \infty)$, $\gamma, \eta \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, there exists $C = C(r, \gamma, \eta, \varepsilon) \in (0, \infty)$ such that every $h : \Omega \to E$ satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\gamma t}}(\mu; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant C \frac{\|h\|_{L_r(\mu; E)}}{1 + \log^{1-\varepsilon}(\|h\|_{L_r(\mu; E)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu; E)})}. \tag{44}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we will again assume that $E = \mathbb{C}$, $h \geqslant 0$ and $\eta \leqslant 1 = \gamma$. As in the proof of Lemma 14, a change of variables shows that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-t}}(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t} \|h\|_{L_{1+(r-1)e^{-\eta t}}(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon} \asymp_{r,\eta} \int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon}. \tag{45}$$

By Hölder's inequality, if $\theta(\nu) = (r-\nu)/(\nu(r-1))$ is such that $(1-\theta)/r + \theta/1 = 1/\nu$, then

$$\int_1^r \|h\|_{L_\nu(\mu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \leqslant \|h\|_{L_r(\mu)} \int_1^r b^{\theta(\nu)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(r-\nu)^\varepsilon} \asymp_{r,\varepsilon} \|h\|_{L_r(\mu)} \int_0^1 b^\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\theta^\varepsilon}, \tag{46}$$

where $b = \|h\|_{L_1(\mu)}/\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)} \in (0, 1]$. Finally, if $b < 1$, notice that

$$\int_0^1 b^\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\theta^\varepsilon} = \int_0^1 e^{-\theta \log(1/b)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\theta^\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\log^{1-\varepsilon}(1/b)} \int_0^{\log(1/b)} e^{-u} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{u^\varepsilon} \lesssim_\varepsilon \frac{1}{\log^{1-\varepsilon}(1/b)}$$

and the conclusion follows from (45) and (46). □

The following lemma shows that the Orlicz norm statements of Theorems 6 and 7 indeed strengthen Theorems 1 and 2 respectively. In the special case $r = 2$ and $s = 1$, this has been proven in [Talagrand 1994, Lemma 2.5] and the general case treated here is similar.

Lemma 17. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space and (Ω, μ) a probability space. For every $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $D = D(r, s) \in (0, \infty)$ such that every function $h : \Omega \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|h\|_{L_r(\log L)^{-s}(\mu; E)} \leqslant D \frac{\|h\|_{L_r(\mu; E)}}{1 + \log^{s/r}(\|h\|_{L_r(\mu; E)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu; E)})}. \tag{47}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we will again assume that $E = \mathbb{C}$ and $h \geqslant 0$. We will prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{h^r}{\log^s(e+h)} \, d\mu \geqslant 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}^r \geqslant \frac{1}{D^r}(1 + \log^s(\|h\|_{L_r(\mu;E)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu;E)})).$$

Let $a \in (0, \infty)$. We will distinguish two cases.

<u>Case 1</u>. Suppose that

$$\int_{\{h \geqslant a\}} \frac{h^r}{\log^s(e+h)} \, d\mu \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\Omega} h^r \, d\mu \geqslant \log^s(e+a) \int_{\{h \geqslant a\}} \frac{h^r}{\log^s(e+h)} \, d\mu \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2} \log^s(e+a). \tag{48}$$

<u>Case 2</u>. Suppose that

$$\int_{\{h \geqslant a\}} \frac{h^r}{\log^s(e+h)} \, d\mu < \tfrac{1}{2},$$

so that

$$\int_{\{h < a\}} \frac{h^r}{\log^s(e+h)} \, d\mu \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Notice that on $\{h < a\}$, we have $h^r/\log^s(e+h) \leqslant a^{r-1}h$, which implies that $\|h\|_{L_1(\mu)} \geqslant 1/2a^{r-1}$. Hence, setting $b = \log(e\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu)})$, we get

$$b \leqslant \log(2ea^{r-1}\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}) = (r-1)\log a + \log(2e\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}). \tag{49}$$

Now choose $a = (e\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu)})^{1/r}$ so that $b = r \log a$. In Case 1, (48) then implies that

$$\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}^r \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2} \log^s(e + (e\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu)})^{1/r}) \asymp_{r,s} (1 + \log^s(\|h\|_{L_r(\mu;E)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu;E)})).$$

On the other hand, since $b = r \log a$, in Case 2, (49) gives

$$\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}^r \geqslant \frac{1}{(2e)^r} \frac{e\|h\|_{L_r(\mu)}}{\|h\|_{L_1(\mu)}} \gtrsim_{r,s} (1 + \log^s(\|h\|_{L_r(\mu;E)}/\|h\|_{L_1(\mu;E)})),$$

since $x \gtrsim_s 1 + \log^s x$ for every $s, x \in (0, \infty)$. □

3. Influence inequalities under Rademacher type

In this section we shall present the proofs of Theorems 1 and 6 which rely on the novel approach introduced in [Ivanisvili et al. 2020]. For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\xi(t) = (\xi_1(t), \ldots, \xi_n(t))$ be a random vector on $\mathbb{C}_n$ whose coordinates are independent and identically distributed with distribution given by

$$\mathbb{P}\{\xi_i(t) = 1\} = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-t}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\{\xi_i(t) = -1\} = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-t}), \tag{50}$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, consider the normalized vector $\delta(t) = (\delta_1(t), \ldots, \delta_n(t))$ with

$$\delta_i(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\xi_i(t) - \mathbb{E}\xi_i(t)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\,\xi_i(t)}} = \frac{\xi_i(t) - e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}}. \tag{51}$$

In the following statements, we will denote by ε a random vector independent of $\xi(t)$, uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{C}_n$. We will need the following (straightforward) refinement of [Ivanisvili et al. 2020, Theorem 1.4].

Proposition 18. *For every Banach space* $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, *we have*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f\right\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(\varepsilon)\right\|_E^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0, \tag{52}$$

where the expectation on the right-hand side is with respect to ε *and* $\delta(t)$.

Let us mention here that we will apply the previous proposition to $P_t f$ instead of f, and use the semigroup property $P_{2t} f = P_t(P_t f)$. This is more easily done after reformulating (52) with ΔP_t in place of $\partial P_t / \partial t$. So, keeping the notation of Proposition 18, we have that

$$\|\Delta P_{2t} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon)\right\|_E^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0. \tag{53}$$

Proof of Proposition 18. The crucial observation of Ivanisvili, van Handel and Volberg is that one can write, for $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} \mathbb{E}_{\xi(t)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(x\xi(t))\right], \tag{54}$$

where $x\xi(t)$ denotes the point $(x_1 \xi_1(t), \ldots, x_n \xi_n(t))$. This formula can be proved by writing

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} f(x\xi(t)) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_n} \omega_t(\xi) f(x\xi),$$

where, for $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_n$, $\omega_t(\xi) = 2^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + e^{-t}\xi_i)$; then we note that, with some abuse of notation (denoting ∂_{ξ_i} for the discrete derivative ∂_i for functions of the variable $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_n$),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega_t(\xi) = -\frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{\xi_i}[(\xi_i - e^{-t})\omega_t(\xi)].$$

Hence, using the integration by parts formula (17) together with the fact that $\partial_{\xi_i}[f(x\xi)] = \partial_i f(x\xi)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f(x) = -\frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{\xi_i - e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}} \omega_t(\xi) \partial_i f(x\xi) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} \mathbb{E}_{\xi(t)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(x\xi(t))\right],$$

and this concludes the proof of (54). Alternatively, it suffices to check the validity of formula (54) in the case of the scalar-valued Walsh basis $w_J(x) = \prod_{j \in J} x_j$, where $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, for which $P_t w_J(x) = e^{-t|J|} w_J(x)$ and $\partial_i w_J(x) = \mathbb{1}_{i \in J} w_J(x)$.

Therefore, using Jensen's inequality and (54) we have

$$\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f\right\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} = \left(\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\|\mathbb{E}_{\xi(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(\varepsilon\xi(t))\right\|_E^p\right)^{1/p} \leqslant \left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(\varepsilon\xi(t))\right\|_E^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

We conclude by noting that the couple $(\varepsilon\xi(t), \xi(t))$ has the same law as the couple $(\varepsilon, \xi(t))$. This can be seen as a proxy of the rotational invariance of the Gaussian measure (compare with the proof of Proposition 28 below). $\qquad\square$

Theorems 1 and 6 are consequences of the following lemma.

Lemma 19. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with Rademacher type* 2. *Then there exists a constant $K = K(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{K}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\partial_i P_t f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^\varepsilon}. \tag{55}$$

Proof. We will apply Proposition 18 to $P_t f$ instead of f. We have that

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} = \left\| \int_0^\infty \Delta P_t f \,\mathrm{d}t \right\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} = 2\left\| \int_0^\infty \Delta P_{2t} f \,\mathrm{d}t \right\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant 2 \int_0^\infty \|\Delta P_{2t} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\overset{(53)}{\leqslant} 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i(t) \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^2 \right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}}. \tag{56}$$

Suppose now that E has Rademacher type 2 with constant T. Then for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, by (56) and the Rademacher type condition for centered random variables [Ledoux and Talagrand 1991, Proposition 9.11], we have

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant 4T \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\partial_i P_t f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}}$$

$$\leqslant 4T \left(\int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\partial_i P_t f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(e^{2t} - 1)^\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(e^{2t} - 1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{57}$$

where in the second line we used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Therefore, since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(e^{2t} - 1)^{1-\varepsilon}} \asymp \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0^+,$$

we deduce that there exists a universal constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ with

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{C \cdot T^2}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \|\partial_i P_t f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(e^{2t} - 1)^\varepsilon},$$

and the conclusion follows readily since $e^{2t} - 1 \geqslant te^t$ for every $t \geqslant 0$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorems 1 and 6. By Bonami's hypercontractive inequalities [1970], since the semigroup commutes with partial derivatives, we get that for every $t \geqslant 0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\|\partial_i P_t f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} = \|P_t \partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \|\partial_i f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{58}$$

Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 6 follows by combining Lemma 19, (58) and Lemma 14. Moreover, in view of Lemma 17, Theorem 6 readily implies (8). In order to prove (9), one can just apply (8) for $\varepsilon \asymp \sigma(f)^{-1}$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 20. It was pointed out to us by an anonymous referee that plugging in the standard application of Hölder's inequality (46) along with hypercontractivity to bound the middle term of (57) cannot remove the dependence on ε in inequality (8). Indeed, by hypercontractivity and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i P_t f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}^2\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t}-1}} \leqslant \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(1-u^2)/(1+u^2)} b_i^{2u^2/(1+u^2)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1-u^2}},$$

where $a_i = \|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n;E)}^2$ and $b_i = \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n;E)}^2$. Suppose, for contradiction, that for every $n \geqslant 1$ and every $0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant b_i$ where $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(1-u^2)/(1+u^2)} b_i^{2u^2/(1+u^2)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \lesssim \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{1+\log(b_i/a_i)}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \exp\left(-\frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} x_i\right) \cdot (1+x_i)\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \lesssim 1, \tag{59}$$

where $x_i = \log(b_i/a_i) \geqslant 0$ and $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k/(1+\log(b_k/a_k))\right) p_i = b_i/(1+\log(b_i/a_i))$. The parameters $n \geqslant 1$, $x_i \geqslant 0$ and the weights p_i are all arbitrary, thus we conclude from (59) that for every positive random variable X, the inequality

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} X\right) \cdot (1+X)\right]} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \lesssim 1 \tag{60}$$

holds true. To reach a contradiction, consider a discrete random variable $X \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k\geqslant 0} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\{1+X \in [2^k, 2^{k+1})\}} = \infty, \tag{61}$$

and notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} X\right) \cdot (1+X)\right]} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} &\geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-v(1+X)) \cdot (1+X)]} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\sqrt{v}} \\
&> \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^\infty \exp(-v 2^{k+1}) \cdot 2^k \cdot \mathbb{P}\{1+X \in [2^k, 2^{k+1})\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\sqrt{v}} \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty 2^{-\ell/2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^\infty \exp(-2^{k-\ell}) \cdot 2^k \cdot \mathbb{P}\{1+X \in [2^k, 2^{k+1})\}} \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2e}} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\{1+X \in [2^\ell, 2^{\ell+1})\}} = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we bounded the inner sum by the $k = \ell$ term. This contradicts (60).

Remark 21. A combination of Proposition 18 and Lemma 16 implies a different Talagrand type strengthening of the vector-valued discrete Poincaré inequality (5) for spaces of Rademacher type 2, which is weaker than (7); see also [Chatterjee 2014, Theorem 5.4] for a similar scalar-valued inequality. For a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$, we will use the notation $\boldsymbol{D}f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E^n$ for the gradient vector

$$\boldsymbol{D}f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f).$$

Then, the first inequality in (57) can be rewritten as

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \lesssim_E \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i P_t f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} = \int_0^\infty \|P_t \boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}}.$$

Now, by the hypercontractivity of $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$, we have

$$\|P_t \boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))} \leqslant \|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))}.$$

Therefore, combining the last two inequalities, we get

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \lesssim_E \int_0^\infty \|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} \lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-t/2} \|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{t}},$$

and Lemma 16 then implies that

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \lesssim_E \frac{\|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))}}{1 + \sqrt{\log(\|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))} / \|\boldsymbol{D}f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; \ell_2^n(E))})}}. \tag{62}$$

The argument above shows that spaces of Rademacher type 2 satisfy (62) and the reverse implication is clear by choosing a function of the form $f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i$. When $E = \mathbb{C}$, this coincides with (16) where $p = 2$; see also Remark 32 below for comparison with (6).

4. Influence inequalities under martingale type

In this section, we shall present two proofs of Theorems 2 and 7, one probabilistic and one Fourier analytic. As a warmup, we present a simple proof of Talagrand's inequality in Gauss space for functions with values in a space of martingale type 2 using a classical stochastic representation for the variance. The scalar-valued case of this inequality was shown in [Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012] via semigroup methods which do not seem to be adaptable to the case of vector-valued functions (see Section 4.3 for a harmonic analytic variant). We will denote by γ_n the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., the measure $\mathrm{d}\gamma_n(x) = \exp(-\|x\|_2^2/2)/(2\pi)^{n/2} \, \mathrm{d}x$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

4.1. *A simple stochastic proof in Gauss space.* We will denote by $\{U_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^n$, whose action on an integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$, where $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space, is given by the Mehler formula

$$U_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}} \, y) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma_n(y), \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{63}$$

Let $\{X_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, i.e., a stochastic process of the form $X_t = e^{-t} X_0 + e^{-t} B_{e^{2t}-1}$, where $\{B_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion and X_0 is a standard Gaussian random vector, independent of $\{B_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$. We will use the following well-known consequence of the Clark–Ocone formula; see [Capitaine et al. 1997] for a proof and further applications in functional inequalities.

Lemma 22. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space. For every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$, we have*

$$f(X_s) - U_s f(X_0) = \int_0^s \nabla (U_{s-t} f)(X_t) \cdot \mathrm{d}B_t, \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \tag{64}$$

We will also need the following one-sided version of the Itô isometry for 2-smooth spaces, which is essentially due to Dettweiler [1991]. We include the crux of the (simple) proof for completeness.

Proposition 23. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of martingale type 2. Then there exists $M \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\{B_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{Y_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an E^n-valued square integrable stochastic process adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ of $\{B_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^\infty Y_t \cdot \mathrm{d}B_t \right\|_E^2 \leqslant M^2 \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n G(i) Y_t(i) \right\|_E^2 \mathrm{d}t, \tag{65}$$

where $G = (G(1), \ldots, G(n))$ is a standard Gaussian random vector on $\mathbb{R}^n$, independent of $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$.

Proof. We shall assume that $\{Y_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a simple process of the form

$$Y_t(i) = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{t_k}(i) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{N+1}$ and each $\alpha_{t_k}(i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}$-measurable random variable. The general case will follow by standard approximation arguments. By definition,

$$\int_0^\infty Y_t \cdot \mathrm{d}B_t = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{t_k}(i) \cdot (B_{t_{k+1}}(i) - B_{t_k}(i))$$

and $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{t_k}(i)(B_{t_{k+1}}(i) - B_{t_k}(i)) \right\}_{k=1}^N$ is a martingale difference sequence. Therefore, if M is the martingale type 2 constant of E, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^\infty Y_t \cdot \mathrm{d}B_t \right\|_E^2 \leqslant M^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{t_k}(i) \cdot (B_{t_{k+1}}(i) - B_{t_k}(i)) \right\|_E^2. \tag{66}$$

Now, for a fixed k, $(B_{t_{k+1}}(i) - B_{t_k}(i))_{i=1}^n$ conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}$ is equidistributed to a Gaussian random vector with covariance matrix $(t_{k+1} - t_k) \cdot \mathrm{Id}_n$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{t_k}(i) \cdot (B_{t_{k+1}}(i) - B_{t_k}(i)) \right\|_E^2 \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right] = (t_{k+1} - t_k) \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n G(i) \alpha_{t_k}(i) \right\|_E^2 \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right], \tag{67}$$

where $G = (G(1), \ldots, G(n))$ is a standard Gaussian random vector, independent of $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$. Hence, after taking expectation in (67) and summing over k, (66) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^\infty Y_t \cdot dB_t\right\|_E^2 \leqslant M^2 \sum_{k=1}^N (t_{k+1} - t_k) \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n G(i)\alpha_{t_k}(i)\right\|_E^2 = M^2 \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n G(i)Y_t(i)\right\|_E^2 dt. \quad \square$$

We are now well equipped to prove the following result.

Theorem 24. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space with martingale type 2. Then there exists $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1}(\gamma_n; E)}^2. \tag{68}$$

Proof. If E has martingale type 2 with constant M, then Lemma 22 and Proposition 23 imply that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|f(X_s) - U_s f(X_0)\|_E^2 \mid X_0] \leqslant M^2 \int_0^s \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n G(i)\partial_i U_{s-t} f(X_t)\right\|_E^2 \;\middle|\; X_0\right] dt, \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Thus, applying the Rademacher type 2 condition for Gaussian variables, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|f(X_s) - U_s f(X_0)\|_E^2 \mid X_0] \leqslant M^2 T^2 \int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\partial_i U_{s-t} f(X_t)\right\|_E^2 \;\middle|\; X_0\right] dt, \quad \text{for all } s > 0, \tag{69}$$

where T is the Rademacher type 2 constant of E. Now, integrating (69) with respect to the standard Gaussian random vector X_0 and using the stationarity of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process $\{X_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ along with Nelson's hypercontractive inequalities [1966; 1973], we derive, for all $s > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|f(X_s) - U_s f(X_0)\|_E^2 \leqslant M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^s \|\partial_i U_{s-t} f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n; E)}^2 dt$$

$$= M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^s e^{-2(s-t)} \|U_{s-t} \partial_i f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n; E)}^2 dt \leqslant M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^s e^{-2t} \|\partial_i f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\gamma_n; E)}^2 dt, \tag{70}$$

where the equality follows from the standard commutation relation $\partial_i U_{s-t} f = e^{-(s-t)} U_{s-t} \partial_i f$. Since for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ the correlation $\mathbb{E} X_0(i) X_s(i)$ equals e^{-s}, taking $s \to \infty$ in (70) we get

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant M^2 T^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-2t} \|f\|_{L_{1+e^{-2t}}(\gamma_n; E)}^2 dt,$$

and the conclusion follows by Lemma 14. $\qquad \square$

4.2. *A proof of Theorems 2 and 7 via the Eldan–Gross process.* Eldan and Gross [2022] constructed a clever stochastic process on the cube which resembles the behavior of Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and used it to prove several important inequalities relating the variance and influences of Boolean functions. We shall briefly describe their construction.

Let $\{B_t\}_{t \geqslant 0} = \{(B_t(1), \ldots, B_t(n))\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $t \geqslant 0$, consider the stopping time $\tau_t(i)$ given by

$$\tau_t(i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{s \geqslant 0 : |B_s(i)| > t\},$$

and then let $X_t(i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_{\tau_t(i)}(i)$. Then the jump process $\{X_t\}_{t \geqslant 0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(X_t(1), \ldots, X_t(n))\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ satisfies the following properties (see [Eldan and Gross 2022, Section 3] for detailed proofs):

(1) For every $t \geqslant 0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|X_t(i)| = t$ almost surely, and in fact $X_t \sim \text{Unif}\{-t, t\}^n$.

(2) The process $\{X_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ is a martingale.

(3) For every coordinate $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the jump probabilities of $\{X_t(i)\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ are

$$\mathbb{P}\{\text{sign } X_{t+h}(i) \neq \text{sign } X_t(i)\} = \frac{h}{2(t+h)}, \quad \text{for all } t, h > 0. \tag{71}$$

Proof of Theorems 2 and 7. Fix a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ and recall (see, e.g., [O'Donnell 2014]) that there exists a unique multilinear polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which coincides with f on $\mathcal{C}_n$, i.e., we can write

$$f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} \hat{f}(A) \prod_{i \in A} \varepsilon_i, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n, \tag{72}$$

for some coefficients $\hat{f}(A) \in E$. By abuse of notation, we will also denote by f that unique multilinear extension on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since f is a multilinear polynomial and $\{X_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ is a martingale with independent coordinates, it follows that the process $\{f(X_t)\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ is itself a martingale.

Fix some large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, let $t_k = k/N$ and $M_k = f(X_{t_k})$. Since E has martingale type 2, there exists $M = M(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} = \mathbb{E}\|M_N - M_0\|^2_E \leqslant M^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\|M_k - M_{k-1}\|^2_E. \tag{73}$$

Now, for a fixed $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, since $M_k - M_{k-1} = f(X_{t_k}) - f(X_{t_{k-1}})$, Taylor's formula gives

$$M_k - M_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}}) + R_k(f), \tag{74}$$

where $\partial f / \partial x_i$ are the usual partial derivatives of f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the remainder $R_k(f)$ satisfies

$$\|R_k(f)\|_E \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L_\infty([-1,1]^n; E)} |X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)| \cdot |X_{t_k}(j) - X_{t_{k-1}}(j)|. \tag{75}$$

However, since f is a multilinear polynomial, all second derivatives of the form $\partial^2 f / \partial x_i^2$ vanish and (75) implies that

$$\|R_k(f)\|_E \leqslant K(f) \cdot \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} |X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)| \cdot |X_{t_k}(j) - X_{t_{k-1}}(j)|, \tag{76}$$

for some $K(f) \in (0, \infty)$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}\|R_k(f)\|_E^2 \leqslant n^2 K(f)^2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}|X_{t_k}(j) - X_{t_{k-1}}(j)|^2. \tag{77}$$

The fact that only $i \neq j$ enters the sum will be crucial below to ensure that the error tends to zero as $N \to +\infty$ after summing over k. Now, by (71), we have

$$\mathrm{sign}(X_{t_{k-1}}(i)) \cdot (X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2k-1}{N} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2k}, \\ \frac{1}{N} & \text{with probability } \frac{2k-1}{2k}, \end{cases}$$

so the conditional second moment of the increments is

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)|^2 \mid X_{t_{k-1}}(i)] = \frac{1}{2k}\left(\frac{2k-1}{N}\right)^2 + \frac{2k-1}{2k}\frac{1}{N^2} = \frac{2k-1}{N^2}. \tag{78}$$

By the tower property of conditional expectation, the estimate (77) can finally be written as

$$\mathbb{E}\|R_k(f)\|_E^2 \lesssim \frac{k^2 n^4 K(f)^2}{N^4}, \tag{79}$$

and thus (74) implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\|M_k - M_{k-1}\|_E^2 \lesssim \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}})\right\|_E^2 + \frac{k^2 n^4 K(f)^2}{N^4}. \tag{80}$$

Since $\{X_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ is a martingale, the sequence $(X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i))_{i=1}^n$ is a sequence of independent entered random variables, when conditioned on $\{X_s\}_{s \leqslant t_{k-1}}$. Therefore, applying the Rademacher type condition for centered random variables [Ledoux and Talagrand 1991, Proposition 9.11] and (78), we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{t_k}(i) - X_{t_{k-1}}(i)) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}})\right\|_E^2 \,\Big|\, \{X_s\}_{s \leqslant t_{k-1}}\right] \lesssim \frac{kT^2}{N^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}})\right\|_E^2, \tag{81}$$

where T is the type 2 constant of E. By the tower property of conditional expectation, (80) combined with (81) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\|M_k - M_{k-1}\|_E^2 \lesssim \frac{kT^2}{N^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}})\right\|_E^2 + \frac{k^2 n^4 K(f)^2}{N^4}. \tag{82}$$

Now, summing over $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and using (73), we get

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \lesssim M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\frac{k}{N}\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_{k-1}})\right\|_E^2 + \frac{n^4 K(f)^2 M^2}{N}, \tag{83}$$

which as $N \to \infty$ becomes

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \lesssim M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^1 t\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_t)\right\|_E^2 \mathrm{d}t. \tag{84}$$

Since X_t is uniformly distributed on $\{-t, t\}^n$, the random variable $\partial f/\partial x_i(X_t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_t) = \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ i \in A}} \hat{f}(A) \prod_{j \in A \setminus \{i\}} X_t(j) \sim \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ i \in A}} t^{|A|-1} \hat{f}(A) \prod_{j \in A \setminus \{i\}} \varepsilon_j = P_{\log(1/t)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\varepsilon), \quad (85)$$

where $\sim$ denotes equality in distribution, ε is uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{C}_n$ and the last equality follows, e.g., by [O'Donnell 2014, Proposition 2.47]. Therefore, by (85) and the change of variables $u = \log(1/t)$, we can rewrite (84) as

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \lesssim M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-2u} \left\| P_u \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} du. \quad (86)$$

In the scalar-valued case, formula (84) is then an equality with $M^2 T^2 = 1$ and appears in [Eldan and Gross 2022]. However, in this case, its equivalent form (86) can also be proved by elementary semigroup arguments as in [Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012] which we can follow to conclude the proof. Using hypercontractivity [Bonami 1970] and (86), we get

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \lesssim M^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-2u} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|^2_{L_{1+e^{-2u}}(\sigma_n; E)} du.$$

The conclusions of Theorems 2 and 7 now follow from (86) combined with Lemmas 14 and 17 since for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\partial f/\partial x_i(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$. $\qquad \square$

4.3. A proof of Theorems 2 and 7 by Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory. We shall now present a second more analytic proof of Theorems 2 and 7. The main tool for this proof is a deep vector-valued Littlewood–Paley–Stein inequality (see [Stein 1970]) due to Xu [2020], which is the culmination of the series of works [Xu 1998; Martínez et al. 2006] (see also [Hytönen 2007] for some similar inequalities for UMD targets). We will need the following statement which is a special case of [Xu 2020, Theorem 2].

Theorem 25 (Xu). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of martingale type 2. Then there exists a constant $C = C(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for a symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on a probability space (Ω, μ), every function $f : \Omega \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_\mu f\|^2_{L_2(\mu; E)} \leqslant C^2 \int_0^\infty \|t \partial_t T_t f\|^2_{L_2(\mu; E)} \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (87)$$

Second proof of Theorems 2 and 7. Since E has martingale type 2, there exists $T \in (0, \infty)$ such that E also has Rademacher type 2 with constant T. Then, applying Proposition 18 to $P_t f$ and using the Rademacher type condition for centered random variables [Ledoux and Talagrand 1991, Proposition 9.11], we deduce that

$$\|\Delta P_{2t} f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{e^{2t}-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon) \right\|^2_E \leqslant \frac{4T^2}{e^{2t}-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i P_t f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}, \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0. \quad (88)$$

Plugging (88) into (87) for $\{T_t\}_{t \geqslant 0} = \{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ and doing a change of variables, we get

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \leqslant 4C^2 \int_0^\infty \|t \Delta P_{2t} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 \frac{dt}{t} \leqslant 8C^2 T^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{2t}{e^{2t} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i P_t f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 dt$$

$$\leqslant 8C^2 T^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \|\partial_i P_t f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; E)}^2 dt. \tag{89}$$

As before, the conclusion now follows from hypercontractivity [Bonami 1970] along with Lemmas 14 and 17. □

Remark 26. A careful inspection of the proof of [Xu 1998, Theorem 3.1] shows that if we denote by $X_2(E)$ the least constant C in Xu's inequality (87), then $X_2(E) \gtrsim M_2(E)$, where $M_2(E)$ is the martingale type 2 constant of E. On the other hand, in [Xu 2020] it is shown that

$$X_2(E) \lesssim \sup_{t \geqslant 0} \|t \partial_t T_t\|_{L_2(\mu; E) \to L_2(\mu; E)} M_2(E), \tag{90}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \geqslant 0} \|t \partial_t T_t\|_{L_2(\mu; E) \to L_2(\mu; E)} < \infty$$

is proven as a consequence of the uniform convexity of E^*. Specifically for the case of the heat semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ on $\mathcal{C}_n$, a different proof of this statement which only relies on Pisier's K-convexity theorem [1982] is presented in [Eskenazis and Ivanisvili 2020, Lemma 37]. In the particular case of $E = \ell_p$, where $p \geqslant 2$, an optimization of the argument of that result using the recent proof of Weissler's conjecture on the domain of contractivity of the complex heat flow by Ivanisvili and Nazarov [2022] reveals that

$$\sup_{t \geqslant 0} \|t \Delta P_t\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_p) \to L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_p)} \lesssim \sqrt{p}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{91}$$

Therefore, since the Rademacher and martingale type 2 constants of ℓ_p are both of the order of $\sqrt{p}$, the probabilistic proof of Theorem 2 presented in Section 4.2 shows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \ell_p$, where $p \geqslant 2$, satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_p)}^2 \lesssim p^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_p)}^2}{1 + \log(\|\partial_i f\|_{L_2(\sigma_n; \ell_p)} / \|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\sigma_n; \ell_p)})},$$

whereas the proof via Xu's inequality (87) implies a weaker $O(p^3)$ bound because of the current best known bounds (90) and (91). We refer to [Xu 2021; 2022] for recent updates on the optimal order of the constant $X_2(E)$.

5. Vector-valued $L_1 - L_p$ inequalities

In this section, we will prove Theorems 3 and 4. We start by presenting a joint strengthening of the two results for functions from the Gauss space instead of the discrete hypercube.

5.1. *A stronger theorem in Gauss space.* For a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$, where $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is a Banach space, and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we will use the shorthand notation

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \, \partial_i f \right\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}^p \, \mathrm{d}\gamma_n(y) \right)^{1/p}.$$

In [Pisier 1986, Corollary 2.4], the author presented an argument of Maurey showing that for every Banach space $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}. \tag{92}$$

In this section, we will prove the following Talagrand type strengthening of (92).

Theorem 27. *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that the following holds. For every Banach space $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \leqslant C_p \frac{\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}}{1 + \sqrt{\log(\|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}/\|\nabla f\|_{L_1(\gamma_n;E)})}}. \tag{93}$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the (negative) generator of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup $\{U_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$, whose action on a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L} f(x) = \Delta f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \, \partial_i f(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will need the following (classical) Gaussian analogue of Proposition 18.

Proposition 28. *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a Banach space and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_t f \right\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}, \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0. \tag{94}$$

Proof. Here we can follow Maurey's trick [Pisier 1986], setting

$$X_t = e^{-t} X + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}} Y$$

and

$$Y_t = -\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}} X + e^{-t} Y = \sqrt{e^{2t} - 1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X_t$$

for given independent standard Gaussian vectors $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_t f(X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E}_Y f(X_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}} \mathbb{E}_Y \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(X_t) Y_t(i),$$

and we conclude the proof using Jensen's inequality together with the fact that (X_t, Y_t) has the same distribution as (X, Y) for every $t \geqslant 0$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Theorem 27. Arguing as in (56) and using (94) for $U_t f$ instead of f, we can write

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \leqslant 2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{L} U_{2t} f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \, \mathrm{d}t \overset{(94)}{\leqslant} 2 \int_0^\infty \|\nabla U_t f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t}-1}}$$

$$= 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \|U_t \nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{e^{2t}-1}} \lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \|U_t \nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{t}}. \quad (95)$$

Now, by Nelson's hypercontractive inequalities [1966; 1973] and Kahane's inequality [1964] for Gaussian variables, we have

$$\|U_t \nabla f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i U_t \partial_i f \right\|_{L_p(\gamma_n;E)}^p \mathrm{d}\gamma_n(y) \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \partial_i f \right\|_{L_{1+(p-1)e^{-2t}}(\gamma_n;E)}^p \mathrm{d}\gamma_n(y) \right)^{1/p} \lesssim_p \|\nabla f\|_{L_{1+(p-1)e^{-2t}}(\gamma_n;E)}, \quad (96)$$

and the conclusion follows from (95), (96) and Lemma 16. □

5.2. *Proof of Theorem 3.* Recall that a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has cotype $q \in [2, \infty)$ with constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_E^q \leqslant C^q \int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_E^q \mathrm{d}\sigma_n(\varepsilon). \quad (97)$$

The discrete vector-valued $L_1 - L_p$ inequality of Theorem 3 can be proven along the same lines as Theorem 27 using Proposition 18 instead of Proposition 28.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that E has cotype $q \in [2, \infty)$. It has been observed in the proof of [Ivanisvili et al. 2020, Proposition 4.2] that [Pisier 1986, Proposition 3.2] implies the estimate

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) \partial_i f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^p \right)^{1/p} \leqslant \frac{B_p}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2 - 1/\max\{p,q\}}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0, \quad (98)$$

for some $B_p = B_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$, where $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n)$ is a random vector, uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{C}_n$, which is independent of ε. Therefore, combining (52), (98) and integrating, we deduce that

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} = 2 \left\| \int_0^\infty \Delta P_{2t} f \, \mathrm{d}t \right\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant 2 \int_0^\infty \|\Delta P_{2t} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\overset{(52)\wedge(98)}{\leqslant} 2 B_p \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^p \right)^{1/p} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{1 - 1/\max\{p,q\}}}$$

$$\lesssim B_p \int_0^\infty e^{-t/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^p \right)^{1/p} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1 - 1/\max\{p,q\}}}. \quad (99)$$

Arguing as in (96) by using the hypercontractivity of $\{P_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ and Kahane's inequality, we get

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i P_t f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^p \right)^{1/p} \lesssim_p \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon) \right\|_E^{p(t)} \right)^{1/p(t)}, \tag{100}$$

where $p(t) = 1 + (p-1)e^{-2t}$ and (15) follows from (99), (100) and (44) with $\alpha_p(E) = 1/\max\{p, q\}$. $\quad\square$

An inspection of the above proofs shows that one can also get the following Orlicz space strengthenings of Theorems 3 and 27 using Lemma 15 instead of Lemma 16.

Theorem 29. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of cotype q and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists $C_p = C_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\| f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{C_p}{\theta^{(p-1)/p}} \cdot \| \nabla f \|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p/\max\{p,q\}+\theta}(\sigma_n; E)} \tag{101}$$

Theorem 30. *For every $p \in [1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that the following holds. For every Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\| f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f \|_{L_p(\gamma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{C_p}{\theta^{(p-1)/p}} \cdot \| \nabla f \|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p/2+\theta}(\gamma_n; E)} \tag{102}$$

5.3. Proof of Theorem 4. Since $E = \mathbb{C}$ has cotype 2, the proof of Theorem 3 implies that in the scalar-valued case, (15) holds with an exponent $\alpha_p(\mathbb{C}) = 1/\max\{p, 2\}$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$. In order to boost this exponent to $\frac{1}{2}$ we shall use the following deep result of Lust-Piquard [1998]; see also [Ben Efraim and Lust-Piquard 2008] for a slightly neater argument with better dependence on p and further applications.

Theorem 31 (Lust-Piquard). *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $\beta_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies*

$$\beta_p \| \Delta^{1/2} f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \leqslant \| \nabla f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}. \tag{103}$$

Proof of Theorem 4. By Khintchine's inequality [1923], for every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_i f(\varepsilon))^2 \right)^{1/2} \asymp_p \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n, \tag{104}$$

where the expectation is with respect to $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n)$ uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{C}_n$. Therefore, if $F : \mathcal{C}_n \to L_p(\sigma_n)$ is given by $[F(\varepsilon)](\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \partial_i f(\varepsilon)$, then (103), (104) and Theorem 5 imply that

$$\| f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \overset{(103)}{\lesssim_p} \| \nabla \Delta^{-1/2} f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \overset{(104)}{\asymp_p} \| \Delta^{-1/2} F \|_{L_p(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))}$$

$$\overset{(20)}{\lesssim_p} \frac{\| F \|_{L_p(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))}}{1 + \sqrt{\log(\| F \|_{L_p(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))} / \| F \|_{L_1(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))})}}.$$

The conclusion now follows since, again by Khintchine's inequality (104), the function F satisfies $\| F \|_{L_p(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))} \asymp_p \| \nabla f \|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}$ and $\| F \|_{L_1(\sigma_n; L_p(\sigma_n))} \asymp_p \| \nabla f \|_{L_1(\sigma_n)}$. $\quad\square$

Remark 32. We note in passing that for $p = 2$, (16) is a consequence of Talagrand's influence inequality (6). To see this, note that it has been observed in [Chatterjee 2014, Theorem 5.4] that Talagrand's inequality (6) along with an application of Jensen's inequality imply that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Var}_{\sigma_n}(f) \leqslant C \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n)}}{1 + \log(u(f))},$$

where $u(f) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n)}\right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_1(\sigma_n)}\right)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$ is a universal constant. Then, (16) for $p = 2$ follows by Minkowski's integral inequality, since

$$u(f) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|^2_{L_1(\sigma_n)}} \geqslant \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2_{L_2(\sigma_n)}}{\|\nabla f\|^2_{L_1(\sigma_n)}}.$$

Using the vector-valued Bakry–Meyer inequality of Theorem 8 instead of Theorem 5, one obtains the following Orlicz space strengthening of Theorem 4.

Theorem 33. *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \leqslant C_p \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p/2}(\sigma_n)}. \tag{105}$$

6. Holomorphic multipliers and the vector-valued Bakry–Meyer theorem

In this section, we will present the proofs of Theorems 5, 8 and 9. In the proof of Theorem 9, we will need some preliminary terminology from discrete Fourier analysis. Recall that for every Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all functions $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ admit a unique expansion of the form

$$f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \hat{f}(A) w_A(\varepsilon), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n,$$

where the Walsh function $w_A : \mathcal{C}_n \to \{-1, 1\}$ is given by $w_A(\varepsilon) = \prod_{i \in A} \varepsilon_i$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$. In this basis, the action of the hypercube Laplacian on f can be written as

$$\Delta f = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} |A| \hat{f}(A) w_A.$$

Suppose now that $r \in (0, \infty)$ and that $h : (0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function. Then, for every $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, the operator $h(\Delta^{-\alpha})$ is defined spectrally by

$$h(\Delta^{-\alpha}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |A| > r^{-1/\alpha}}} h(|A|^{-\alpha}) \hat{f}(A) w_A. \tag{106}$$

Finally, for a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ we will define the k-th level Rademacher projection of f to be the function with Walsh expansion

$$\mathrm{Rad}_k f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |A| = k}} \hat{f}(A) w_A.$$

Pisier's deep K-convexity theorem [1982] asserts that a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has nontrivial Rademacher type if and only if for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exist $M_p = M_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies $\|\mathrm{Rad}_k f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant M_p^k \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}$.

6.1. Proof of Theorem 5. Although Theorem 5 is a formal consequence of Theorem 8 and Lemma 17, we present a short self-contained proof.

Proof of Theorem 5. Since $P_t = e^{-t\Delta}$, we can express the action of $\Delta^{-\alpha}$ on functions with expectation equal to 0 as

$$\Delta^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty P_t \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}}. \tag{107}$$

Hence, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ with $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f = 0$ satisfies

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \|P_t f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}}. \tag{108}$$

If E has nontrivial type, it is a standard consequence of Pisier's K-convexity theorem [1982] that there exist $K_p = K_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ and $\eta_p = \eta_p \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, independent of n and f, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \|P_t f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant K_p e^{-2\eta_p t} \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}, \qquad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0. \tag{109}$$

Combining (108) and (109), we deduce that

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \frac{K_p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta_p t} \|P_{t/2} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}},$$

and the conclusion follows by hypercontractivity [Bonami 1970] and Lemma 16. □

6.2. Proof of Theorem 9. The proof of Theorem 9 relies on the following result of Mendel and Naor [2014]; see also [Eskenazis and Ivanisvili 2020] for a different proof and further results in this direction.

Theorem 34 (Mendel–Naor). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Banach space of nontrivial type and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exist $c_p = c_p(E)$, $C_p = C_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ and $A_p = A_p(E) \in [1, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the following holds. Every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ whose Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(A)$ vanish for all subsets $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|A| < d$ satisfies*

$$\|P_t f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant C_p e^{-c_p d \min\{t, t^{A_p}\}} \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{110}$$

Using identity (107) and (110), we see that every such function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies

$$\frac{\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}}{\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}} \leqslant \frac{C_p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 e^{-c_p d t^{A_p}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{C_p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^\infty e^{-c_p d t} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{K_p(\alpha)}{d^{\alpha/A_p}}, \tag{111}$$

for some $K_p(\alpha) = K_p(\alpha, E) \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 9. Let $d_p(\alpha) = \lceil (2K_p(\alpha)/r)^{A_p/\alpha} \rceil$, where $K_p(\alpha)$ is the same as in (111), so that every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ whose Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(A)$ vanish for all subsets $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with

$|A| < d_p(\alpha)$ satisfies $\|\Delta^{-\alpha}f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}r\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}$. Iterating this inequality, we get

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha\ell}f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\ell}\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}, \quad \text{for all } \ell \geqslant 1, \tag{112}$$

for every such function f.

Now, let $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ be an arbitrary function and write

$$f(\varepsilon) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{d_p(\alpha)-1} \mathrm{Rad}_k\, f(\varepsilon)}_{f_1(\varepsilon)} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=d_p(\alpha)}^{n} \mathrm{Rad}_k\, f(\varepsilon)}_{f_2(\varepsilon)}, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n.$$

By Pisier's K-convexity theorem [1982], we have

$\|h(\Delta^{-\alpha})f_1\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=\lfloor r^{-1/\alpha}\rfloor+1}^{d_p(\alpha)-1} |h(k^{-\alpha})|\,\|\mathrm{Rad}_k\, f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant \left(\sum_{k=\lfloor r^{-1/\alpha}\rfloor+1}^{d_p(\alpha)-1} |h(k^{-\alpha})|M_p^k\right)\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}, \tag{113}$$

for some $M_p = M_p(E) \in (0,\infty)$. To bound the action of $h(\Delta^{-\alpha})$ on f_2, consider the power series expansion $h(z) = \sum_{\ell\geqslant 0} c_\ell z^\ell$ of h around 0, which converges absolutely and uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r/2}$. Then, the triangle inequality implies that

$$\|h(\Delta^{-\alpha})f_2\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant \sum_{\ell\geqslant 0} |c_\ell|\,\|\Delta^{-\alpha\ell}f_2\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \overset{(112)}{\leqslant} \left(\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0} |c_\ell|\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\ell}\right)\|f_2\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}. \tag{114}$$

Finally, observe that, again by Pisier's K-convexity theorem,

$$\|f_2\|_{L_p(\sigma;E)} = \|f - f_1\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} \leqslant \|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)} + \sum_{k=0}^{d_p(\alpha)-1} \|\mathrm{Rad}_k\, f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 + \sum_{k=0}^{d_p(\alpha)-1} M_p^k\right)\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n;E)}, \tag{115}$$

for some $M_p = M_p(E) \in (0,\infty)$. The conclusion follows readily from (113), (114) and (115). $\qquad\square$

6.3. *Proof of Theorem 8.* Equipped with Theorem 9, we can now deduce Theorem 8 from (26). We will also need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 35. *For every Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ and every $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$,*

$$\|(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha}f(\varepsilon)\|_E \leqslant [(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha}\|f\|_E](\varepsilon), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n. \tag{116}$$

Proof. A change of variables shows that

$$(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^\infty e^{-t}P_t\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}}, \tag{117}$$

so that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$, we have

$$\|(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} f(\varepsilon)\|_E \leqslant \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \|P_t f(\varepsilon)\|_E \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} [P_t \|f\|_E](\varepsilon) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\alpha}} = [(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} \|f\|_E](\varepsilon),$$

where the second inequality follows from Jensen's inequality because P_t is an averaging operator. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Theorems 5 and 8. Let $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{D}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ be two holomorphic branches of

$$\phi(z) = (1+z)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = (1+z)^{-\alpha}, \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}_1,$$

on $\mathbb{D}_1$. By Theorem 9, the operators $\phi(\Delta^{-1})$ and $\psi(\Delta^{-1})$ are bounded on $L_p(\sigma_n; E)$, where $p \in (1, \infty)$, with operator norms independent of n. In other words, there exist constants $\lambda_p(\alpha, E), \Lambda_p(\alpha, E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies

$$\lambda_p(\alpha, E) \|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \|(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant \Lambda_p(\alpha, E) \|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}. \qquad (118)$$

Combining (118) with Lemma 35 and inequality (26) of Bakry and Meyer [1982a; 1982b], we get

$$\|\Delta^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \overset{(118)}{\leqslant} \lambda_p(\alpha, E)^{-1} \|(\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \overset{(116)}{\leqslant} \lambda_p(\alpha, E)^{-1} \big\| (\Delta+1)^{-\alpha} \|f\|_E \big\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}$$

$$\overset{(118)}{\leqslant} \frac{\Lambda_p(\alpha, \mathbb{C})}{\lambda_p(\alpha, E)} \big\| \Delta^{-\alpha} \|f\|_E \big\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)} \overset{(26)}{\leqslant} \frac{\Lambda_p(\alpha, \mathbb{C}) K_p(\alpha)}{\lambda_p(\alpha, E)} \|f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p\alpha}(\sigma_n; E)},$$

for some $K_p(\alpha) \in (0, \infty)$, and the conclusion of Theorem 8 follows. $\qquad \square$

7. Influence inequalities in nonpositive curvature

Theorems 11 and 12 will be proven by combining Theorem 6 with results from geometry and Banach space theory.

Proof of Theorem 11. It immediately follows from definition (29) that if a metric space $\mathcal{M}$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ and another metric space $\mathcal{N}$ embeds bi-Lipschitzly in $\mathcal{M}$ with distortion $D \in [1, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{N}$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant τD. Let G be a Gromov hyperbolic group equipped with the shortest path metric d_G associated to the Cayley graph of any (finite) generating set S. Then, by a theorem of Ostrovskii [2014], $(\mathsf{G}, d_\mathsf{G})$ admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding of bounded distortion into any nonsuperreflexive Banach space. In particular, $(\mathsf{G}, d_\mathsf{G})$ embeds bi-Lipschitzly in the classical exotic Banach space $(\mathbb{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{J}})$ of James [1978], which has Rademacher type 2 yet is not superreflexive. By Theorem 6, there exists a universal constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $(\mathbb{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{J}})$ has Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,1-\varepsilon})$ with constant $C/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and thus the same holds true for the group $(\mathsf{G}, d_\mathsf{G})$. $\qquad \square$

The binary $\mathbb{R}$-tree of depth d is the geodesic metric space which is obtained by replacing every edge of the combinatorial binary tree of depth d by the interval $[0, 1]$. In order to prove Theorem 12, we will

need the following structural result for Riemannian manifolds of pinched negative curvature which is essentially due to Naor, Peres, Schramm and Sheffield [Naor et al. 2006].

Theorem 36. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r, R \in (0, \infty)$ with $r < R$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \in (0, \infty)$ such that any n-dimensional complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold* (M, g) *with sectional curvature in $[-R, -r]$ embeds bi-Lipschitzly with distortion at most D in a product of N binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees of infinite depth.*

In [Naor et al. 2006, Corollary 6.5], the authors proved an analogue of Theorem 36, in which binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees are replaced by $\mathbb{R}$-trees of infinite degree. In order to prove the (stronger) theorem presented here, one needs to repeat the argument of that paper verbatim, replacing the use of [Buyalo and Schroeder 2005] with a more recent result of Dranishnikov and Schroeder [2005], who showed that the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^m$ admits a quasi-isometric embedding in a finite product of binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees of infinite depth.

We shall also need the following slight refinement of a result of Bourgain [1986].

Proposition 37. *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a nonsuperreflexive Banach space. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the binary $\mathbb{R}$-tree of depth d embeds in E with distortion at most* 4.

Proof. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{B}_d$ be the combinatorial binary tree of depth d and denote its root by r. There exists a natural enumeration $\sigma : \mathbb{B}_d \to \{1, \ldots, 2^{d+1}-1\}$ of the vertices of $\mathbb{B}_d$ with the following property: if x, y are two leaves of the tree whose least common ancestor is z, then $\sigma((z, x])$ and $\sigma((z, y])$ are two disjoint subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2^{d+1}-1\}$ such that one of the inequalities

$$\max \sigma((z, x]) < \min \sigma((z, y]) \quad \text{or} \quad \max \sigma((z, y]) < \min \sigma((z, x]) \tag{119}$$

holds true. To see this, one can "draw" the binary tree and label the vertices from top to bottom along an arbitrary path. After reaching a leaf, one should return to the nearest ancestor with an unlabeled child and continue labeling along an arbitrary downwards path starting at this child. This process should continue until the whole tree has been labeled.

Since E is nonsuperreflexive, by a classical theorem of Pták [Pisier 2016, Theorem 11.10] (which is often attributed to James), there exists vectors $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{2^{d+1}-1}$ such that for every scalar $a_1, \ldots, a_{2^{d+1}-1}$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{j \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{d+1}-1\}} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i < j} a_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i \geqslant j} a_i \right| \right\} \leqslant \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^{d+1}-1} a_i x_i \right\|_E \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2^{d+1}-1} |a_i|. \tag{120}$$

Let $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_d$ be the binary $\mathbb{R}$-tree of depth d. For a point $a \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}_d$ suppose that a belongs in the edge $\{v, w\}$ of $\mathbb{B}_d$ and that v is closer to the root than w. Consider the embedding $\psi : \bar{\mathbb{B}}_d \to E$ given by

$$\psi(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{u \in [r, a] \cap \mathbb{B}_d} x_{\sigma(u)} + d_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_d}(v, a) \cdot x_{\sigma(w)}.$$

Let $a, b \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}_d$ and suppose that c is their least common ancestor. Then, there are downward paths $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{j+1}\}$ and $\{t_1, \ldots, t_{k+1}\}$ in $\mathbb{B}_d$ such that $a \in [s_j, s_{j+1})$, $b \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ and s_1 and t_1 are the two

distinct children of c. In this notation, the embedding ψ satisfies

$$\psi(a) - \psi(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{\sigma(s_i)} + \delta x_{\sigma(s_{j+1})} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{\sigma(t_i)} - \varepsilon x_{\sigma(t_{k+1})}, \tag{121}$$

where $\delta = d_{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_d}(s_j, a)$ and $\varepsilon = d_{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_d}(t_k, b)$. Since $\|x_i\|_X \leqslant 1$, it is clear that

$$\|\psi(a) - \psi(b)\|_E \leqslant j + \delta + k + \varepsilon = d_{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_d}(a, b).$$

On the other hand, by the property (119) of σ, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\max\{\sigma(s_1), \ldots, \sigma(s_{j+1})\} < \min\{\sigma(t_1), \ldots, \sigma(t_{k+1})\}.$$

Then (121) and (120) imply that

$$\|\psi(a) - \psi(b)\|_E \geqslant \tfrac{1}{4}(j + \delta + k + \varepsilon) = \tfrac{1}{4} d_{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_d}(a, b).$$

Therefore ψ is the desired bi-Lipschitz embedding. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 12. It follows from definition (29) that if a metric space $\mathcal{M}$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ and another metric space $\mathcal{N}$ is such that every finite subset of $\mathcal{N}$ embeds bi-Lipscitzly in $\mathcal{M}$ with distortion at most $K \in [1, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{N}$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant τK. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of pinched negative curvature equipped with its Riemannian distance d_M. Then, by Theorem 36, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \in (0, \infty)$ such that $(\mathsf{M}, d_\mathsf{M})$ embeds with distortion at most D in a product of N binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees of infinite depth. In particular, every finite subset X of M embeds with distortion at most D in a product of N binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees of depth d, for some d depending on the cardinality of X. Therefore, by Proposition 37 (see also the discussion following Theorem 2.1 in [Ostrovskii 2014]), X embeds with distortion at most $K = K(N, D) \in (0, \infty)$ in every nonsuperreflexive Banach space. In particular, X embeds with distortion at most K in the classical exotic Banach space $(\mathbb{J}, \|\cdot\|_\mathbb{J})$ of James [1978], which has Rademacher type 2 yet is not superreflexive. By Theorem 6, there exists a universal constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $(\mathbb{J}, \|\cdot\|_\mathbb{J})$ has Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,1-\varepsilon})$ with constant $C/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and thus the same holds for the Riemannian manifold $(\mathsf{M}, d_\mathsf{M})$. $\qquad\square$

8. Embeddings of nonlinear quotients of the cube and Talagrand type

We will now prove that Talagrand type is an obstruction to embeddings of quotients of $\mathcal{C}_n$.

Proof of Theorem 13. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, d_\mathcal{M})$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant τ and let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n$ be an equivalence relation. Let $f : \mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a map satisfying

$$s\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\zeta], [\eta]) \leqslant d_\mathcal{M}(f([\zeta]), f([\eta])) \leqslant sD\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\zeta], [\eta]), \quad \text{for all } [\zeta], [\eta] \in \mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}, \tag{122}$$

where $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $D \geqslant 1$. Consider the lifting $F : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{M}$ given by $F(\varepsilon) = f([\varepsilon])$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Then, since $\mathcal{M}$ has Talagrand type (p, ψ) with constant τ, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n \times \mathcal{C}_n} d_\mathcal{M}(F(\varepsilon), F(\delta))^p \, d\sigma_{2n}(\varepsilon, \delta) \leqslant \tau^p \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathfrak{d}_i F\|^p_{L_\psi(\sigma_n)}. \tag{123}$$

The bi-Lipschitz condition (122) and the definition of F imply that

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(F(\varepsilon), F(\delta)) = d_{\mathcal{M}}(f([\varepsilon]), f([\delta])) \overset{(122)}{\geqslant} s\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\varepsilon], [\delta]), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon, \delta \in \mathcal{C}_n. \tag{124}$$

On the other hand, for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$,

$$\mathfrak{d}_i F(\varepsilon) = \tfrac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}(F(\varepsilon), F(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n))$$

$$\overset{(122)}{\leqslant} \tfrac{1}{2} s D\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\varepsilon], [(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)]) = \tfrac{1}{2} s D \mathbb{1}_{\partial_i \mathcal{R}}(\varepsilon),$$

since $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}}([\varepsilon], [(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)]) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and it vanishes if and only if $(\varepsilon, (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)) \in \mathcal{R}$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathfrak{d}_i F\|_{L_\psi(\sigma_n)} \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2} s D \|\mathbb{1}_{\partial_i \mathcal{R}}\|_{L_\psi(\sigma_n)} = \frac{sD}{2\psi^{-1}(\sigma_n(\partial_i \mathcal{R})^{-1})}. \tag{125}$$

Combining (123), (124) and (125), we deduce that

$$\frac{s^p D^p \tau^p}{2^p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi^{-1}(\sigma_n(\partial_i \mathcal{R})^{-1})^{-p} \geqslant s^p \mathsf{a}_p(\mathcal{R})^p,$$

and the conclusion follows. $\qquad\square$

Remark 38. A metric space $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ is said to have Enflo type $p \in (0, \infty)$ with constant $T \in (0, \infty)$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n} d_{\mathcal{M}}(f(\varepsilon), f(-\varepsilon))^p \, d\sigma_n(\varepsilon) \leqslant T^p \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathfrak{d}_i F\|_{L_p(\sigma_n)}^p. \tag{126}$$

While Talagrand type is meant to be a refinement of Enflo type (where the Young function is $\psi(t) = t^p$), the attentive reader will notice that the left-hand sides of the two inequalities are different. This difference is mainly superficial (and originates from Enflo's original definition of "roundedness" of a metric space [1969]) and all interesting geometric applications of Enflo type could be recovered with either definition. Since we discuss the bi-Lipschitz geometry of quotients of $(\mathcal{C}_n, \rho)$, it is more natural to define Talagrand type by (29) in order to be able to get distortion lower bounds for quotients $\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{R}$ satisfying $(\varepsilon, -\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{R}$ for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$.

Remark 39. Theorem 13 provides distortion lower bounds for the embedding of quotients of $(\mathcal{C}_n, \rho)$ by an arbitrary equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ into spaces with prescribed Talagrand type. While we are not aware of any such bounds in the literature (except perhaps the bound (38) which one can deduce from Enflo type p), it is worth mentioning that there exist L_p-nonembeddability results for more structured quotients of $\mathcal{C}_n$. In particular, we refer the reader to [Khot and Naor 2006], where the authors provide lower bounds for the L_1-distortion of quotients of $\mathcal{C}_n$ by linear codes and by the action of transitive subgroups of the symmetric group S_n. As the proofs of that paper rely on delicate properties of both these structured quotients and L_p spaces, it seems improbable that they can be easily modified to give nonembeddability results into spaces with given Talagrand type.

9. Concluding remarks and open problems

In this final section, we shall present a few remarks regarding the preceding results and indicate some potentially interesting directions of future research.

9.1. *Talagrand type and linear type.* In order to highlight the relation of our results with Talagrand's original inequality (6), we decided to state Theorems 1, 2, 6 and 7 only for spaces of Rademacher or martingale type 2. In the terminology of Definition 10, one has the following more general results for spaces of Rademacher or martingale type s. Here and throughout, we will denote by $\psi_{s,\delta} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ a Young function with $\psi_{s,\delta}(t) = t^s \log^{-\delta}(e + t)$ for large enough $t > 0$.

Theorem 40 (Rademacher type and Talagrand type). *Fix $s \in (1, 2]$. If a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has Rademacher type s, then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, s/2)$, E has Talagrand type $(s, \psi_{s,s/2-\varepsilon})$.*

Theorem 41 (martingale type and Talagrand type). *Fix $s \in (1, 2]$. If a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has martingale type s, then E also has Talagrand type $(s, \psi_{s,s/2})$.*

Since for every $s \in (1, 2]$ there exist spaces of Rademacher type s which do not have martingale type s (see [James 1978; Pisier and Xu 1987]), the following natural question poses itself.

Question 1. Does every Banach space of Rademacher type s also have Talagrand type $(s, \psi_{s,s/2})$?

9.2. *Talagrand type of $L_1(\mu)$.* It is worth emphasizing that the proofs of both Theorems 40 and 41 crucially rely on the fact that $s > 1$ due to the use of Bonami's hypercontractive inequalities [1970]. In the following theorem, we establish the Talagrand type of L_1. It is worth emphasizing the somewhat surprising fact that Theorem 42 below shows that a stronger property than the trivial "Enflo type 1" inequality holds true in L_1.

Theorem 42. *For every measure μ, the Banach space $L_1(\mu)$ has Talagrand type $(1, \psi_{1,1})$.*

Proof. Since Talagrand type is a local invariant, it clearly suffices to consider the case that μ is the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$ and thus $L_1(\mu)$ is isometric to ℓ_1. We will employ a classical result of Schoenberg [1938], according to which there exists a function $\mathfrak{s} : \mathbb{R} \to \ell_2$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(0) = 0$ and

$$\|\mathfrak{s}(a) - \mathfrak{s}(b)\|_{\ell_2}^2 = |a - b|, \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the mapping $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} : \ell_1 \to \ell_2(\ell_2)$, given by

$$\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (\mathfrak{s}(a_1), \mathfrak{s}(a_2), \dots),$$

and observe that for $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in \ell_1$,

$$\|\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(a) - \bar{\mathfrak{s}}(b)\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\mathfrak{s}(a_i) - \mathfrak{s}(b_i)\|_{\ell_2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - b_i| = \|a - b\|_{\ell_1}.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to \ell_1$. Consider the composition $g : \mathcal{C}_n \to \ell_2(\ell_2)$ given by $g = \bar{\mathfrak{s}} \circ f$. Then, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n \times \sigma_n} \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\delta)\|_{\ell_1} = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n \times \sigma_n} \|g(\varepsilon) - g(\delta)\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)}^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} \|g(\varepsilon) - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} g\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i g\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n; \ell_2(\ell_2))}^2,$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 7. Combining this with the pointwise identity

$$\|\partial_i g(\varepsilon)\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \|g(\varepsilon) - g(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, -\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n)\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\partial_i f(\varepsilon)\|_{\ell_1}^{1/2}$$

and the fact that for every $h : \{-1, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L_2(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n)}^2 \asymp \|h\|_{L_1(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n)},$$

we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n \times \sigma_n} \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\delta)\|_{\ell_1} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L_1(\log L)^{-1}(\sigma_n; \ell_1)}. \qquad \square$$

The argument used in the proof of Theorem 42 to derive the Talagrand type of ℓ_1 from the Talagrand type of ℓ_2 is very specifically tailored to $L_1(\mu)$ spaces. It remains an interesting open problem to investigate the Talagrand type of noncommutative L_1-spaces.

Question 2. Does the Schatten trace class $(\mathsf{S}_1, \| \cdot \|_{\mathsf{S}_1})$ have Talagrand type $(1, \psi_{1,1})$?

9.3. *Vector-valued Riesz transforms.* The optimal $L_1 - L_p$ inequality for scalar-valued functions (see Theorem 33) was derived by combining the vector-valued Bakry–Meyer inequality of Theorem 8 and Lust-Piquard's Theorem 31. In fact, the same argument gives the following implication.

Theorem 43. *Let* $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ *be a K-convex Banach space such that for some $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $K \in (0, \infty)$, the following property holds. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|\Delta^{\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant K \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)}. \tag{127}$$

Then there exists $C = C(\alpha, p, K) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $f : \mathcal{C}_n \to E$,

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n} f\|_{L_p(\sigma_n; E)} \leqslant C \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-\alpha p}(\sigma_n; E)}.$$

Therefore, the following question seems natural.

Question 3. Fix $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Which target spaces $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ satisfy (127) with a constant K independent of n?

In the case of Gauss space, it has been shown by Pisier [1988] that dimension-free Riesz transform inequalities hold true provided that the target space E has the UMD property. In particular, this means that in the case of UMD spaces, Theorem 30 can be improved as follows.

Theorem 44. *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a UMD Banach space. Then for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p = C_p(E) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ satisfies*

$$\|f - \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_n} f\|_{L_p(\gamma_n; E)} \leqslant C_p \cdot \|\nabla f\|_{L_p(\log L)^{-p/2}(\gamma_n; E)}$$

9.4. *Talagrand type of nonpositively curved spaces.* A geodesic metric space $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ is an Alexandrov space of (global) nonpositive curvature (or simply a CAT(0) space) if for every quadruple of points $x, y, z, m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that m is a metric midpoint of x and y, i.e., a point for which $d_{\mathcal{M}}(x, m) = d_{\mathcal{M}}(y, m) = \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y)$, we have

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(z, m)^2 \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}(z, x)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} d_{\mathcal{M}}(z, y)^2 - \tfrac{1}{4} d_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y)^2.$$

Complete Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive sectional curvature are examples of CAT(0) spaces. Let $\psi_{2,\delta} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a Young function with $\psi_{2,\delta}(t) = t^2 \log^{-\delta}(e + t)$ for large enough $t > 0$. In Theorems 11 and 12, we showed that Gromov hyperbolic groups and complete Riemannian manifolds of pinched negative curvature have Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{1-\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. On the other hand, a classical inductive argument essentially going back to Enflo [1969] shows that all Alexandrov spaces of nonpositive curvature have Enflo type 2, which is closely related to Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,0})$. We believe that the following question deserves further investigation.

Question 4. Does there exist some $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that every Alexandrov space of nonpositive curvature has Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,\delta})$? More ambitiously, does every Alexandrov space of nonpositive curvature have Talagrand type $(2, \psi_{2,1})$?

9.5. CAT(0) *spaces as test spaces for superreflexivity.* In Proposition 37, we showed that all binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees of finite depth embed with uniformly bounded distortion into any nonsuperreflexive Banach space. It was communicated to us by Florent Baudier that using this proposition and the barycentric gluing technique (see [Baudier 2007] and the survey [Baudier 2022]), one can in fact prove that the binary $\mathbb{R}$-tree of *infinite* depth admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into any nonsuperreflexive Banach space. Then, an inductive argument (see, e.g., [Ostrovskii 2014, Remark 2.2]) shows that any finite product of binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees also embeds bi-Lipschitzly into any nonsuperreflexive Banach space. Therefore, one deduces from Theorem 36 that every finite-dimensional complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold of pinched negative curvature embeds bi-Lipschitzly into any nonsuperreflexive Banach space. Conversely, since all binary trees embed in the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$, if a Banach space E bi-Lipschitzly contains $\mathbb{H}^2$, then E cannot be superreflexive by Bourgain's theorem [1986]. In conclusion, we deduce the following characterization.

Theorem 45. *A Banach space $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is nonsuperreflexive if and only if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every n-dimensional complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) of pinched negative curvature equipped with the Riemannian distance d_M admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding in E.*

In recent years, there have been plenty of such characterizations in the literature, although one can argue that this is not a particularly novel one due to its close relation to Bourgain's characterization in terms of trees. We believe the following stronger question deserves further investigation.

Question 5. Which Alexandrov spaces of nonpositive curvature admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into every nonsuperreflexive Banach space?

There are plenty of CAT(0) spaces which do not embed into finite products of binary $\mathbb{R}$-trees and in order to prove that they embed into all nonsuperreflexive Banach spaces, one may need to employ interesting structural properties of such spaces. On the other hand, there exist CAT(0) spaces which do not embed into L_1, which is of course nonsuperreflexive. Indeed, if every CAT(0) space admitted a bi-Lipschitz embedding into L_1, then every classical expander (which is also an expander with respect to L_1 by Matoušek's extrapolation lemma for Poincaré inequalities [1997]), would be an expander with respect to all CAT(0) spaces and this is known to be false by important work of Mendel and Naor [2015].

9.6. *General hypercontractive semigroups.* In [Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012], the authors established versions of Talagrand's (scalar-valued) inequality (6) in the setting of hypercontractive Markov semigroups satisfying some minimal assumptions. At first glance, the arguments which we use in the present paper to obtain vector-valued extensions of (6) seem to rely more heavily on specific properties of the Hamming cube, such as identity (54) from [Ivanisvili et al. 2020] or the Eldan–Gross process [Eldan and Gross 2022]. Nevertheless, we strongly believe that there are versions of our results for other hypercontractive Markov semigroups satisfying some fairly general assumptions.

Acknowledgements

References

[Bakry and Meyer 1982a] D. Bakry and P.-A. Meyer, "Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques, I", pp. 138–145 in *Seminar on Probability*, *XVI*, edited by J. Azéma and M. Yor, Lecture Notes in Math. **920**, Springer, 1982. MR Zbl

[Bakry and Meyer 1982b] D. Bakry and P.-A. Meyer, "Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques, II", pp. 146–150 in *Seminar on Probability*, *XVI*, edited by J. Azéma and M. Yor, Lecture Notes in Math. **920**, Springer, 1982. MR Zbl

[Baudier 2007] F. Baudier, "Metrical characterization of super-reflexivity and linear type of Banach spaces", *Arch. Math.* (*Basel*) **89**:5 (2007), 419–429. MR Zbl

[Baudier 2022] F. P. Baudier, "Barycentric gluing and geometry of stable metrics", *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **116**:1 (2022), art. id. 37. MR Zbl

[Ben Efraim and Lust-Piquard 2008] L. Ben Efraim and F. Lust-Piquard, "Poincaré type inequalities on the discrete cube and in the CAR algebra", *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **141**:3-4 (2008), 569–602. MR Zbl

[Benaïm and Rossignol 2008] M. Benaïm and R. Rossignol, "Exponential concentration for first passage percolation through modified Poincaré inequalities", *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **44**:3 (2008), 544–573. MR Zbl

[Benjamini et al. 2003] I. Benjamini, G. Kalai, and O. Schramm, "First passage percolation has sublinear distance variance", *Ann. Probab.* **31**:4 (2003), 1970–1978. MR Zbl

[Bonami 1970] A. Bonami, "Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de $L^p(G)$", *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (*Grenoble*) **20**:2 (1970), 335–402. MR Zbl

[Bourgain 1986] J. Bourgain, "The metrical interpretation of superreflexivity in Banach spaces", *Israel J. Math.* **56**:2 (1986), 222–230. MR Zbl

[Buyalo and Schroeder 2005] S. Buyalo and V. Schroeder, "Embedding of hyperbolic spaces in the product of trees", *Geom. Dedicata* **113** (2005), 75–93. MR Zbl

[Capitaine et al. 1997] M. Capitaine, E. P. Hsu, and M. Ledoux, "Martingale representation and a simple proof of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces", *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **2** (1997), 71–81. MR Zbl

[Chatterjee 2014] S. Chatterjee, *Superconcentration and related topics*, Springer, 2014. MR Zbl

[Cordero-Erausquin and Ledoux 2012] D. Cordero-Erausquin and M. Ledoux, "Hypercontractive measures, Talagrand's inequality, and influences", pp. 169–189 in *Geometric aspects of functional analysis*, edited by B. Klartag et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **2050**, Springer, 2012. MR Zbl

[Dettweiler 1991] E. Dettweiler, "Stochastic integration relative to Brownian motion on a general Banach space", *Doğa Mat.* **15**:2 (1991), 58–97. MR Zbl

[Dranishnikov and Schroeder 2005] A. Dranishnikov and V. Schroeder, "Embedding of hyperbolic Coxeter groups into products of binary trees and aperiodic tilings", preprint, 2005. arXiv math/0504566

[Eldan and Gross 2022] R. Eldan and R. Gross, "Concentration on the Boolean hypercube via pathwise stochastic analysis", *Invent. Math.* **230**:3 (2022), 935–994. MR Zbl

[Enflo 1969] P. Enflo, "On the nonexistence of uniform homeomorphisms between L_p-spaces", *Ark. Mat.* **8**:2 (1969), 103–105. MR Zbl

[Enflo 1978] P. Enflo, "On infinite-dimensional topological groups", exposés 10–11 in *Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach*, École Polytech., Paris, 1978. MR Zbl

[Eskenazis and Ivanisvili 2020] A. Eskenazis and P. Ivanisvili, "Polynomial inequalities on the Hamming cube", *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **178**:1-2 (2020), 235–287. MR Zbl

[Falik and Samorodnitsky 2007] D. Falik and A. Samorodnitsky, "Edge-isoperimetric inequalities and influences", *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **16**:5 (2007), 693–712. MR Zbl

[Feissner 1975] G. F. Feissner, "Hypercontractive semigroups and Sobolev's inequality", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **210** (1975), 51–62. MR Zbl

[Figiel 1976] T. Figiel, "On the moduli of convexity and smoothness", *Studia Math.* **56**:2 (1976), 121–155. MR Zbl

[Friedgut and Kalai 1996] E. Friedgut and G. Kalai, "Every monotone graph property has a sharp threshold", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124**:10 (1996), 2993–3002. MR Zbl

[Garban and Steif 2015] C. Garban and J. E. Steif, *Noise sensitivity of Boolean functions and percolation*, Inst. Math. Stat. Textbooks **5**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015. MR Zbl

[Guerre-Delabrière 1991] S. Guerre-Delabrière, "Some remarks on complex powers of $(-\Delta)$ and UMD spaces", *Illinois J. Math.* **35**:3 (1991), 401–407. MR Zbl

[Hytönen 2007] T. P. Hytönen, "Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory for semigroups in UMD spaces", *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **23**:3 (2007), 973–1009. MR Zbl

[Ivanisvili and Nazarov 2022] P. Ivanisvili and F. Nazarov, "On Weissler's conjecture on the Hamming cube, I", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2022**:9 (2022), 6991–7020. MR Zbl

[Ivanisvili et al. 2020] P. Ivanisvili, R. van Handel, and A. Volberg, "Rademacher type and Enflo type coincide", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **192**:2 (2020), 665–678. MR Zbl

[James 1978] R. C. James, "Nonreflexive spaces of type 2", *Israel J. Math.* **30**:1-2 (1978), 1–13. MR Zbl

[Kahane 1964] J.-P. Kahane, "Sur les sommes vectorielles $\sum \pm u_n$", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **259** (1964), 2577–2580. MR Zbl

[Kahn et al. 1988] J. Kahn, G. Kalai, and N. Linial, "The influence of variables on Boolean functions", pp. 68–80 in 29*th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science* (White Plains, NY, 1988), IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 1988.

[Khintchine 1923] A. Khintchine, "Über dyadische Brüche", *Math. Z.* **18**:1 (1923), 109–116. MR Zbl

[Khot and Naor 2006] S. Khot and A. Naor, "Nonembeddability theorems via Fourier analysis", *Math. Ann.* **334**:4 (2006), 821–852. MR Zbl

[Ledoux 2019] M. Ledoux, "Four Talagrand inequalities under the same umbrella", preprint, 2019. arXiv 1909.00363

[Ledoux and Talagrand 1991] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach spaces: isoperimetry and processes*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **23**, Springer, 1991. MR Zbl

[Lust-Piquard 1998] F. Lust-Piquard, "Riesz transforms associated with the number operator on the Walsh system and the fermions", *J. Funct. Anal.* **155**:1 (1998), 263–285. MR Zbl

[MacWilliams and Sloane 1977] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes, I*, North-Holland Math. Library **16**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977. MR Zbl

[Martínez et al. 2006] T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea, and Q. Xu, "Vector-valued Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory for semigroups", *Adv. Math.* **203**:2 (2006), 430–475. MR Zbl

[Matoušek 1997] J. Matoušek, "On embedding expanders into ℓ_p spaces", *Israel J. Math.* **102** (1997), 189–197. MR Zbl

[Maurey 1974] B. Maurey, "Type et cotype dans les espaces munis de structures locales inconditionnelles", exposés 24–25 in *Séminaire Maurey–Schwartz 1973–1974: espaces L^p, applications radonifiantes et géométrie des espaces de Banach*, École Polytech., Paris, 1974. MR Zbl

[Maurey and Pisier 1976] B. Maurey and G. Pisier, "Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach", *Studia Math.* **58**:1 (1976), 45–90. MR Zbl

[Mendel and Naor 2014] M. Mendel and A. Naor, "Nonlinear spectral calculus and super-expanders", *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **119** (2014), 1–95. MR Zbl

[Mendel and Naor 2015] M. Mendel and A. Naor, "Expanders with respect to Hadamard spaces and random graphs", *Duke Math. J.* **164**:8 (2015), 1471–1548. MR Zbl

[Meyer 1984] P.-A. Meyer, "Transformations de Riesz pour les lois gaussiennes", pp. 179–193 in *Seminar on probability*, *XVIII*, edited by J. Azéma and M. Yor, Lecture Notes in Math. **1059**, Springer, 1984. MR Zbl

[Naor and Schechtman 2002] A. Naor and G. Schechtman, "Remarks on non linear type and Pisier's inequality", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **552** (2002), 213–236. MR Zbl

[Naor et al. 2006] A. Naor, Y. Peres, O. Schramm, and S. Sheffield, "Markov chains in smooth Banach spaces and Gromov-hyperbolic metric spaces", *Duke Math. J.* **134**:1 (2006), 165–197. MR Zbl

[Nelson 1966] E. Nelson, "A quartic interaction in two dimensions", pp. 69–73 in *Mathematical theory of elementary particles* (Dedham, MA, 1965), edited by R. Goodman and I. Segal, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1966. MR

[Nelson 1973] E. Nelson, "The free Markoff field", *J. Funct. Anal.* **12** (1973), 211–227. MR Zbl

[O'Donnell 2014] R. O'Donnell, *Analysis of Boolean functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014. MR Zbl

[Ostrovskii 2014] M. Ostrovskii, "Metric characterizations of superreflexivity in terms of word hyperbolic groups and finite graphs", *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces* **2**:1 (2014), 154–168. MR Zbl

[Paouris and Valettas 2018] G. Paouris and P. Valettas, "Dichotomies, structure, and concentration in normed spaces", *Adv. Math.* **332** (2018), 438–464. MR Zbl

[Paouris et al. 2017] G. Paouris, P. Valettas, and J. Zinn, "Random version of Dvoretzky's theorem in ℓ_p^n", *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **127**:10 (2017), 3187–3227. MR Zbl

[Paouris et al. 2022] G. Paouris, K. Tikhomirov, and P. Valettas, "Hypercontractivity and lower deviation estimates in normed spaces", *Ann. Probab.* **50**:2 (2022), 688–734. MR Zbl

[Pisier 1975] G. Pisier, "Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces", *Israel J. Math.* **20**:3-4 (1975), 326–350. MR Zbl

[Pisier 1982] G. Pisier, "Holomorphic semigroups and the geometry of Banach spaces", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **115**:2 (1982), 375–392. MR Zbl

[Pisier 1986] G. Pisier, "Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces", pp. 167–241 in *Probability and analysis* (Verenna, Italy, 1985), edited by G. Letta and M. Pratelli, Lecture Notes in Math. **1206**, Springer, 1986. MR Zbl

[Pisier 1988] G. Pisier, "Riesz transforms: a simpler analytic proof of P.-A. Meyer's inequality", pp. 485–501 in *Séminaire de Probabilités*, *XXII*, edited by J. Azéma et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1321**, Springer, 1988. MR Zbl

[Pisier 2016] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach spaces*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **155**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016. MR Zbl

[Pisier and Xu 1987] G. Pisier and Q. H. Xu, "Random series in the real interpolation spaces between the spaces υ_p", pp. 185–209 in *Geometrical aspects of functional analysis*, edited by J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Milman, Lecture Notes in Math. **1267**, Springer, 1987. MR Zbl

[Rao and Ren 1991] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. **146**, Dekker, New York, 1991. MR Zbl

[Rossignol 2006] R. Rossignol, "Threshold for monotone symmetric properties through a logarithmic Sobolev inequality", *Ann. Probab.* **34**:5 (2006), 1707–1725. MR Zbl

[Russo 1982] L. Russo, "An approximate zero-one law", *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **61**:1 (1982), 129–139. MR Zbl

[Schoenberg 1938] I. J. Schoenberg, "Metric spaces and positive definite functions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44**:3 (1938), 522–536. MR Zbl

[Stein 1970] E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood–Paley theory*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **63**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970. MR Zbl

[Talagrand 1993] M. Talagrand, "Isoperimetry, logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on the discrete cube, and Margulis' graph connectivity theorem", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3**:3 (1993), 295–314. MR Zbl

[Talagrand 1994] M. Talagrand, "On Russo's approximate zero-one law", *Ann. Probab.* **22**:3 (1994), 1576–1587. MR Zbl

[Tikhomirov 2018] K. Tikhomirov, "Superconcentration, and randomized Dvoretzky's theorem for spaces with 1-unconditional bases", *J. Funct. Anal.* **274**:1 (2018), 121–151. MR Zbl

[Xu 1998] Q. Xu, "Littlewood–Paley theory for functions with values in uniformly convex spaces", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **504** (1998), 195–226. MR Zbl

[Xu 2020] Q. Xu, "Vector-valued Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory for semigroups, II", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2020**:21 (2020), 7769–7791. MR Zbl

[Xu 2021] Q. Xu, "Holomorphic functional calculus and vector-valued Littlewood–Paley–Stein theory for semigroups", preprint, 2021. arXiv 2105.12175

[Xu 2022] Q. Xu, "Optimal orders of the best constants in the Littlewood–Paley inequalities", *J. Funct. Anal.* **283**:6 (2022), art. id. 109570. MR Zbl

DARIO CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN: dario.cordero@imj-prg.fr
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

ALEXANDROS ESKENAZIS: ae466@cam.ac.uk
Trinity College and Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

Analysis & PDE

Volume 16   No. 2   2023