

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 16

No. 8

2023

NORIO NAWATA

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RAZAK-JACELON ALGEBRA



A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RAZAK–JACELON ALGEBRA

NORIO NAWATA

Combining Elliott, Gong, Lin and Niu’s result and Castillejos and Evington’s result, we see that if A is a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra, then $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} , where \mathcal{W} is the Razak–Jacelon algebra. In this paper, we give another proof of this. In particular, we show that if \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$, then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} without considering tracial approximations of C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension. Our proof is based on Matui and Sato’s technique, Schafhauser’s idea in his proof of the Tikuisis–White–Winter theorem and properties of Kirchberg’s central sequence C^* -algebra $F(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} . Note that some results for $F(\mathcal{D})$ are based on Elliott, Gong, Lin and Niu’s stable uniqueness theorem. Also, we characterize \mathcal{W} by using properties of $F(\mathcal{W})$. Indeed, we show that a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra D is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} if and only if D satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a projection p in $F(D)$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \theta$.
- (ii) If p and q are projections in $F(D)$ such that $0 < \tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \tau_{D,\omega}(q)$, then p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q .
- (iii) There exists an injective homomorphism from D to \mathcal{W} .

1. Introduction

The Razak–Jacelon algebra \mathcal{W} is a certain simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. Note that such a C^* -algebra must be stably projectionless; that is, $\mathcal{W} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has no nonzero projections for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, every stably projectionless C^* -algebra is nonunital. Jacelon [2013] constructed \mathcal{W} as an inductive limit C^* -algebra of Razak’s building blocks [2002]. We can regard \mathcal{W} as a stably finite analogue of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . In particular, \mathcal{W} is expected to play a central role in the classification theory of simple separable nuclear stably projectionless C^* -algebras as \mathcal{O}_2 played in the classification theory of Kirchberg algebras; see, for example, [Rørdam 2002; Gabe 2020]. We refer the reader to [Elliott et al. 2020a; 2020b; Gong and Lin 2020] for recent progress in the classification of simple separable nuclear stably projectionless C^* -algebras. Note that there exist many interesting examples of simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras. See, for example, [Connes 1982; Elliott 1996; Kishimoto 1999; Kishimoto and Kumjian 1996; 1997; Robert 2012].

Combining Elliott, Gong, Lin and Niu’s result [Elliott et al. 2020a] and Castillejos and Evington’s result [2020] (see also [Castillejos et al. 2021]), we see that if A is a simple separable nuclear monotracial

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03630.

MSC2020: primary 46L35; secondary 46L40, 46L80.

Keywords: stably projectionless C^* -algebra, Kirchberg’s central sequence C^* -algebra, KK -contractible C^* -algebra, Razak–Jacelon algebra.

C^* -algebra, then $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} . This can be considered as a Kirchberg–Phillips-type absorption theorem [2000] for \mathcal{W} . In this paper, we give another proof of this. In our proof, we do not consider tracial approximations of C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension. Also, we mainly consider abstract settings and do not use any classification theorem based on inductive limit structures of \mathcal{W} other than Razak’s classification theorem [2002]. (Actually, we need Razak’s classification theorem only for $\mathcal{W} \otimes M_{2^\infty} \cong \mathcal{W}$.) We obtain a Kirchberg–Phillips-type absorption theorem for \mathcal{W} as a corollary of the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. Then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} .*

Our proof of the theorem above is based on Matui and Sato’s technique [2012; 2014a; 2014b], Schafhauser’s idea [2020a] (see also [Schafhauser 2020b]) in his proof of the Tikuisis–White–Winter theorem [Tikuisis et al. 2017] and properties of Kirchberg’s central sequence C^* -algebra $F(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} .

Matui and Sato’s technique enables us to show that certain (relative) central sequence C^* -algebras have strict comparison. Note that a key concept in their technique is property (SI). This concept was introduced in [Sato 2009; 2010].

Borrowing Schafhauser’s idea, we show that if \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial (M_{2^∞} -stable) C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$, then there exist “trace-preserving” homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to ultrapowers B^ω of certain C^* -algebras B . Combining this and a uniqueness result for approximate homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} , we obtain an existence result, that is, existence of homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to certain C^* -algebras. Schafhauser’s arguments are based on extension theory (or KK -theory) and Elliott and Kucerovsky’s result [2001] with a correction by Gabe [2016]. Hence Schafhauser’s arguments are suitable for our purpose, that is, a study of C^* -algebras which are KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$.

We studied properties of $F(\mathcal{W})$ in [Nawata 2019; 2021] by using the stable uniqueness theorem in [Elliott et al. 2020a]. In particular, we showed that $F(\mathcal{W})$ has many projections and satisfies a certain comparison theory for projections. By these properties and Connes’ 2×2 matrix trick, we can show that every trace-preserving endomorphism of \mathcal{W} is approximately inner. (Note that Jacelon [2013, Corollary 4.6] showed this result as an application of Razak’s results [2002].) This argument is a traditional argument in the theory of operator algebras; see [Connes 1976]. In this paper, we remark that arguments in [Nawata 2019; 2021] work for a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. Also, we characterize \mathcal{W} by using these properties of $F(\mathcal{W})$. Indeed, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra. Then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} if and only if \mathcal{D} satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a projection p in $F(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{D}, \omega}(p) = \theta$.*
- (ii) *If p and q are projections in $F(\mathcal{D})$ such that $0 < \tau_{\mathcal{D}, \omega}(p) = \tau_{\mathcal{D}, \omega}(q)$, then p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q .*
- (iii) *There exists an injective homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{W} .*

This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we collect notation, definitions and some results. In particular, we recall Matui and Sato’s technique. In [Section 3](#), we introduce the property W , which is a key property for uniqueness results. Also, we remark that arguments in [[Nawata 2019; 2021](#)] work for more general settings. In [Section 4](#), we show uniqueness results. First, we show that if D has property W , then every trace-preserving endomorphism of D is approximately inner. Secondly, we consider a uniqueness theorem for approximate homomorphisms from a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ for an existence result in [Section 5](#). In [Section 5](#), we show an existence result by borrowing Schafhauser’s idea. In [Section 6](#), we show the main results in this paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall collect notation, definitions and some results. We refer the reader to [[Blackadar 2006; Pedersen 1979](#)] for basics of operator algebras.

For a C^* -algebra A , we denote by A_+ the sets of positive elements of A and by A^\sim the unitization algebra of A . Note that if A is unital, then $A = A^\sim$. For $a, b \in A_+$, we say that a is *Murray–von Neumann equivalent* to b , written $a \sim b$, if there exists an element z in A such that $z^*z = a$ and $zz^* = b$. Note that \sim is an equivalence relation by [[Pedersen 1998](#), Theorem 3.5]. For $a, b \in A$, we denote by $[a, b]$ the commutator $ab - ba$. For a subset F of A and $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that a completely positive (c.p.) map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is (F, ε) -multiplicative if

$$\|\varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $a, b \in F$. Let \mathcal{Z} and M_{2^∞} denote the Jiang–Su algebra and the CAR algebra, respectively. We say a C^* -algebra A is *monotracial* if A has a unique tracial state and no unbounded traces. In the case where A is monotracial, we denote by τ_A the unique tracial state on A unless otherwise specified.

2A. Razak–Jacelon algebra \mathcal{W} . The *Razak–Jacelon algebra* \mathcal{W} is a certain simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. In [[Jacelon 2013](#)], \mathcal{W} is constructed as an inductive limit C^* -algebra of Razak’s building blocks. By Razak’s classification theorem [[2002](#)], \mathcal{W} is M_{2^∞} -stable, and hence \mathcal{W} is \mathcal{Z} -stable. In this paper, we do not assume any classification theorem for \mathcal{W} other than Razak’s classification theorem.

2B. Kirchberg’s central sequence C^* -algebras. We shall recall the definition of Kirchberg’s central sequence C^* -algebras [[2006](#)]. Fix a free ultrafilter ω on \mathbb{N} . For a C^* -algebra B , put

$$c_\omega(B) := \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, B) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\| = 0\}, \quad B^\omega := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, B)/c_\omega(B).$$

We denote by $(x_n)_n$ a representative of an element in B^ω . Let A be a C^* -subalgebra of B^ω . Set

$$\text{Ann}(A, B^\omega) := \{(x_n)_n \in B^\omega \cap A' \mid (x_n)_n a = 0 \text{ for any } a \in A\}.$$

Then $\text{Ann}(A, B^\omega)$ is a closed ideal of $B^\omega \cap A'$. Define a (relative) central sequence C^* -algebra $F(A, B)$ of $A \subseteq B^\omega$ by

$$F(A, B) := B^\omega \cap A' / \text{Ann}(A, B^\omega).$$

We identify B with the C^* -subalgebra of B^ω consisting of equivalence classes of constant sequences. In the case $A = B$, we denote $F(B, B)$ by $F(B)$ and call it the *central sequence C^* -algebra of B* . If A is σ -unital, then $F(A, B)$ is unital by [Kirchberg 2006, Proposition 1.9]. Indeed, let $s = (s_n)_n$ be a strictly positive element in $A \subseteq B^\omega$. Since we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s^{1/k} = s$, taking a suitable sequence $\{k(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $s' = (s_n^{1/k(n)})_n \in B^\omega$ such that $s's = s$. Then it is easy to see that $s' \in B^\omega \cap A'$ and $[s'] = 1$ in $F(A, B)$. Note that the inclusion $B \subset B^\sim$ induces an isomorphism from $F(A, B)$ onto $F(A, B^\sim)$ because we have $[xs'] = [x]$ in $F(A, B^\sim)$ for any $x \in (B^\sim)^\omega \cap A'$.

Let τ_B be a tracial state on B . Define $\tau_{B,\omega} : B^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau_{B,\omega}((x_n)_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_B(x_n)$ for any $(x_n)_n \in B^\omega$. Since ω is an ultrafilter, it is easy to see that $\tau_{B,\omega}$ is a well-defined tracial state on B^ω . The following proposition is a relative version of [Nawata 2019, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 2.1. *Let B be a C^* -algebra with a faithful tracial state τ_B , and let A be a C^* -subalgebra of B^ω . Assume that $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state. Then $\tau_{B,\omega}((x_n)_n) = 0$ for any $(x_n)_n \in \text{Ann}(A, B^\omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Delta}$ be an approximate unit for A . Since $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state, we have $\lim \tau_{B,\omega}(h_\lambda) = 1$. The rest of proof is same as the proof of [Nawata 2019, Proposition 2.1]. □

By the proposition above, if $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state, then $\tau_{B,\omega}$ induces a tracial state on $F(A, B)$. We denote it by the same symbol $\tau_{B,\omega}$ for simplicity.

2C. Invertible elements in unitization algebras. Let $\text{GL}(A^\sim)$ denote the set of invertible elements in A^\sim . The following proposition is trivial if $1_{A^\sim} = 1_{B^\sim}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be an inclusion of C^* -algebras. Then $\text{GL}(A^\sim) \subset \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \text{GL}(A^\sim)$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ we have $x + \varepsilon 1_{A^\sim} \in \text{GL}(A^\sim)$ because $\text{GL}(A^\sim)$ is open. Since $\text{Sp}_A(x) \cup \{0\} = \text{Sp}_B(x) \cup \{0\}$, we have $x + \varepsilon 1_{B^\sim} \in \text{GL}(B^\sim)$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Therefore $x \in \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the proposition above.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of C^* -algebras with $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$, and let $A = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n}$. If $A_n \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A_n^\sim)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$.*

The following proposition is well known if B is unital. See, for example, the proof of [Schafhauser 2020a, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 2.4. *Let B be a C^* -algebra with $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$. Then $B^\omega \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}((B^\omega)^\sim)}$.*

Proof. We shall show only the case where B is nonunital. Let $(x_n)_n \in B^\omega$. Because of $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$, there exists $(z_n)_n \in (B^\sim)^\omega$ such that $z_n \in \text{GL}(B^\sim)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_n)_n = (z_n)_n$ in $(B^\sim)^\omega$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $u_n := z_n(z_n^*z_n)^{-1/2}$. Then u_n is a unitary element and $z_n = u_n(z_n^*z_n)^{1/2}$. Note that we have $(x_n)_n = (u_n)_n(x_n^*x_n)^{1/2}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $y_n \in B$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u_n = y_n + \lambda_n 1_{B^\sim}$ and $|\lambda_n| = 1$ because u_n is a unitary element in B^\sim . Since ω is an ultrafilter, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \lambda_n = \lambda_0$. Hence

$$(u_n)_n = (y_n)_n + \lambda_0 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim} \in (B^\omega)^\sim.$$

Since

$$((y_n)_n + \lambda_0 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim})((x_n^* x_n)_n^{1/2} + \varepsilon 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim}) \rightarrow (x_n)_n$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $(x_n)_n \in \overline{\text{GL}((B^\omega)^\sim)}$. □

Note that if B has almost stable rank 1 (see [Robert 2016] for the definition), then $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$. Also, if B is unital, then $B \otimes \mathbb{K} \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}((B \otimes \mathbb{K})^\sim)}$, where \mathbb{K} is the C^* -algebra of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space.

2D. Matui and Sato’s technique. We shall review Matui and Sato’s technique [2012; 2014a; 2014b]. Let B be a monotracial C^* -algebra, and let A be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -subalgebra of B^ω . Assume that τ_B is faithful and $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state. Consider the Gelfand–Naimark–Segal (GNS) representation π_{τ_B} of B associated with τ_B , and put

$$M := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \pi_{\tau_B}(B)'') / \{ \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}((x_n^* x_n)_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\tau}_B(x_n^* x_n) = 0 \},$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_B$ is the unique normal extension of τ_B on $\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''$. Note that M is a von Neumann algebraic ultrapower of $\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}$ is a faithful normal tracial state on M . Since B is monotracial, $\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''$ is a finite factor, and hence M is also a finite factor. Define a homomorphism ϱ from B^ω to M by $\varrho((x_n)_n) = (\pi_{\tau_B}(x_n))_n$. Kaplansky’s density theorem implies that ϱ is surjective. Moreover, [Matui and Sato 2014a, Theorem 3.1] (see also [Kirchberg and Rørdam 2014, Theorem 3.3]) implies that the restriction ϱ on $B^\omega \cap A'$ is a surjective homomorphism onto $M \cap \varrho(A)'$.

Proposition 2.5. *With notation as above, $M \cap \varrho(A)'$ is a finite factor.*

Proof. Note that $\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}$ is the unique tracial state on M since M is a finite factor. It is enough to show that $M \cap \varrho(A)'$ is monotracial. Let τ be a tracial state on $M \cap \varrho(A)'$. Since we assume that $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state, we see that if A is unital, then $\varrho(1_A) = 1_M$. Hence ϱ can be extended to a unital homomorphism ϱ^\sim from A^\sim to M , and $M \cap \varrho(A)' = M \cap \varrho^\sim(A^\sim)'$. By [Bosa et al. 2019, Lemma 3.21], there exists a positive element a in A^\sim such that $\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a)) = 1$ and $\tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a)x)$ for any $x \in M \cap \varrho(A)'$. Since A is monotracial,

$$\tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a)x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a))\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x).$$

Indeed, let x_0 be a positive contraction in $M \cap \varrho(A)'$. For any $a \in A$, define $\tau'(a) := \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho(a)x_0)$. Then τ' is a tracial positive linear functional on A . Since A is monotracial and $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a tracial state on A , there exists a positive number t such that $\tau'(a) = t \tau_{B,\omega}(a)$ for any $a \in A$. Note that if $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an approximate unit for A , then $t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau'(h_n)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x_0) - \tau'(h_n)| &= |\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}((1 - \varrho(h_n))x_0)| = |\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}((1 - \varrho(h_n))^{1/2}x_0(1 - \varrho(h_n))^{1/2})| \\ &\leq |\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(1 - \varrho(h_n))| = |1 - \tau_{B,\omega}(h_n)| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $t = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x_0)$, and $\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho(a)x_0) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho(a))\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x_0)$ for any $a \in A$. It is easy to see that this implies $\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a)x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(\varrho^\sim(a))\tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x)$ for any $a \in A^\sim$ and $x \in M \cap \varrho(A)'$. Therefore we have $\tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}(x)$ for any $x \in M \cap \varrho(A)'$. Consequently, $M \cap \varrho(A)'$ is monotracial. □

For $a, b \in A_+$, we say that a is *Cuntz smaller than* b , written $a \preceq b$, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of A such that $\|x_n^* b x_n - a\| \rightarrow 0$. A monotracial C^* -algebra B is said to have *strict comparison* if, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in M_k(B)_+$ with $d_{\tau_B \otimes \text{Tr}_k}(a) < d_{\tau_B \otimes \text{Tr}_k}(b)$ implies $a \preceq b$, where Tr_k is the unnormalized trace on $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $d_{\tau_B \otimes \text{Tr}_k}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_B \otimes \text{Tr}_k(a^{1/n})$. Using [Nawata 2013, Lemma 5.7], essentially the same proofs as [Matui and Sato 2012, Theorem 1.1; 2014a, Lemma 3.2] show the following proposition. See also the proof of [Nawata 2021, Lemma 3.6].

Proposition 2.6. *Let B be a monotracial C^* -algebra, and let A be a simple separable non-type-I nuclear monotracial C^* -subalgebra of B^ω . Assume that τ_B is faithful, $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state and B has strict comparison. Then B has property (SI) relative to A ; that is, for any positive contractions a and b in $B^\omega \cap A'$ satisfying*

$$\tau_{B,\omega}(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{B,\omega}(b^m) > 0,$$

*there exists an element s in $B^\omega \cap A'$ such that $s^*s = a$ and $bs = s$.*

By Proposition 2.1, ϱ induces a surjective homomorphism from $F(A, B)$ to $M \cap \varrho(A)'$. We denote it by the same symbol ϱ for simplicity. Using Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, essentially the same proofs as [Matui and Sato 2014a, Proposition 3.3; 2014b, Proposition 4.8] show the following proposition. See also the proof of [Nawata 2021, Proposition 3.8].

Proposition 2.7. *Let B be a monotracial C^* -algebra, and let A be a simple separable non-type-I nuclear monotracial C^* -subalgebra of B^ω . Assume that τ_B is faithful, $\tau_{B,\omega}|_A$ is a state and B has strict comparison. Then $F(A, B)$ is monotracial and has strict comparison. Furthermore, if a and b are positive elements in $F(A, B)$ satisfying $d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(a) < d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(b)$, then there exists an element r in $F(A, B)$ such that $r^*br = a$.*

3. Property W

In this section we shall introduce the property W, which is a key property in Section 4.

Definition 3.1. Let D be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra. We say that D has *property W* if $F(D)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a projection p in $F(D)$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \theta$.
- (ii) If p and q are projections in $F(D)$ such that $0 < \tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \tau_{D,\omega}(q)$, then p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q .

By arguments in [Nawata 2019; 2021], we see that if \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$, then \mathcal{D} has property W. We shall give a sketch of a proof for reader’s convenience and show a slight generalization (or a relative version).

In this section, we assume that \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B is a simple monotracial C^* -algebra with strict comparison and $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$. Let Φ be a homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \Phi$. By the Choi–Effros lifting theorem, there exists a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of contractive c.p. maps from \mathcal{D} to B such that

$\Phi(x) = (\Phi_n(x))_n$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Since we assume $\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \Phi$, we have $\tau_{B,\omega}|_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ is a state. Hence $\tau_{B,\omega}$ is the unique tracial state on $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ by Proposition 2.7. The following proposition is analogous to [Nawata 2019, Proposition 4.2; 2021, Proposition 2.6].

- Proposition 3.2.** (i) For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unital homomorphism from $M_{2^N}(\mathbb{C})$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$.
 (ii) For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a projection p in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) = \theta$.
 (iii) Let h be a positive element in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(h) > 0$. For any $\theta \in [0, d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(h))$, there exists a nonzero projection p in $\overline{hF(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)h}$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) = \theta$.

Proof. (i) Since \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_{2^\infty} = \mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{2^N}(\mathbb{C})$, an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [Nawata 2019], henceforth abbreviated [N19], shows that there exists a family $\{(e_{ij,m})_m\}_{i,j=1}^{2^N}$ of contractions in $\mathcal{D}^\omega \cap \mathcal{D}'$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{2^N} e_{\ell\ell,m} x \right)_m = x \quad \text{and} \quad (e_{ij,m} e_{kl,m} x)_m = (\delta_{jk} e_{il,m} x)_m$$

for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2^N$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Note that we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \omega} \|([\Phi_n(e_{ij,m}), \Phi_n(x)])_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \omega} \left\| \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{2^N} \Phi_n(e_{\ell\ell,m}) \Phi_n(x) - \Phi_n(x) \right)_n \right\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \omega} \|((\Phi_n(e_{ij,m}) \Phi_n(e_{kl,m}) - \delta_{jk} \Phi_n(e_{il,m})) \Phi_n(x))_n\| = 0$$

for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2^N$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, for any finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a family of $\{(\Phi_n(e_{ij,(F,\varepsilon)}))_n\}_{i,j=1}^{2^N}$ of contractions in B^ω such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|[\Phi_n(e_{ij,(F,\varepsilon)}), \Phi_n(x)]\| < \varepsilon, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^N} \Phi_n(e_{\ell\ell,(F,\varepsilon)}) \Phi_n(x) - \Phi_n(x) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|(\Phi_n(e_{ij,(F,\varepsilon)}) \Phi_n(e_{kl,(F,\varepsilon)}) - \delta_{jk} \Phi_n(e_{il,(F,\varepsilon)})) \Phi_n(x)\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2^N$ and $x \in F$. Let $\{F_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets in \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} F_m$. We can find a sequence $\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in ω such that $X_{m+1} \subset X_m$, $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_m = \emptyset$, and, for any $n \in X_m$,

$$\|[\Phi_n(e_{ij,(F_m,1/m)}), \Phi_n(x)]\| < \frac{1}{m}, \quad \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^N} \Phi_n(e_{\ell\ell,(F_m,1/m)}) \Phi_n(x) - \Phi_n(x) \right\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

and

$$\|(\Phi_n(e_{ij,(F_m,1/m)}) \Phi_n(e_{kl,(F_m,1/m)}) - \delta_{jk} \Phi_n(e_{il,(F_m,1/m)})) \Phi_n(x)\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2^N$ and $x \in F_m$. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq 2^N$, put

$$E_{ij,n} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin X_1, \\ \Phi_n(e_{ij,(F_m,1/m)}) & \text{if } n \in X_m \setminus X_{m+1} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

Then we have $(E_{ij,n})_n \in B^\omega \cap \Phi(\mathcal{D})'$,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2^N} [(E_{\ell\ell,n})_n] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [(E_{ij,n})_n][(E_{kl,n})_n] = \delta_{jk}[(E_{il,n})_n]$$

in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2^N$. Therefore there exists a unital homomorphism from $M_{2^N}(\mathbb{C})$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$.

(ii) Since \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_{2^\infty} = \mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{2^\infty}$, an argument similar to that in the proof of [N19, Proposition 4.2] shows that there exists a positive contraction $(p_m)_m$ in $\mathcal{D}^\omega \cap \mathcal{D}$ such that $((p_m^2 - p_m)x)_m = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{D},\omega}((p_m)_m) = \theta$. By an argument similar to that above, we obtain a projection p in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) = \theta$.

(iii) Using Proposition 2.7 instead of [N19, Proposition 4.1], we obtain the conclusion by the same argument as in the proof of [N19, Proposition 4.2]. □

The proposition above and the same arguments as in [N19, Section 4] show the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 ((cf. [N19, Proposition 4.8])). *Let p and q be projections in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) < 1$. Then p and q are Murray–von Neumann equivalent if and only if p and q are unitarily equivalent.*

Since we assume $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$, we obtain the following proposition by the same argument as in the proof of [N19, Proposition 4.9].

Proposition 3.4. *Let u be a unitary element in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$. Then there exists a unitary element w in $(B^\sim)^\omega \cap \Phi(\mathcal{D})'$ such that $u = [w]$.*

There exists a homomorphism ρ from $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ to B^ω such that

$$\rho([(x_n)_n] \otimes a) = (x_n \Phi_n(a))_n$$

for any $[(x_n)_n] \in F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ and $a \in \mathcal{D}$. For a projection p in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$, put

$$B_p^\omega := \overline{\rho(p \otimes s) B^\omega \rho(p \otimes s)},$$

where s is a strictly positive element in \mathcal{D} . Define a homomorphism σ_p from \mathcal{D} to B_p^ω by $\sigma_p(a) := \rho(p \otimes a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Since B has strict comparison, we see that if p is a projection in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) > 0$, then σ_p is (L, N) -full for some maps L and N by [N19, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.7]. (We refer the reader to [N19, Section 3] for details of the (L, N) -fullness.) Therefore [N19, Proposition 3.3] implies the following theorem. We may regard this theorem as a variant of Elliott, Gong, Lin and Niu’s stable uniqueness theorem [Elliott et al. 2020a, Corollary 3.15]; see also [Elliott and Niu 2016, Corollary 8.16]. Note that [N19, Proposition 3.3] is also based on the results in [Elliott and Kucerovsky 2001; Gabe 2016; Dadarlat and Eilers 2001; 2002].

Theorem 3.5. *Let Ω be a compact metrizable space. For any finite subsets $F_1 \subset C(\Omega)$, $F_2 \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist finite subsets $G_1 \subset C(\Omega)$, $G_2 \subset \mathcal{D}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds. Let p be a projection in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) > 0$. For any contractive $(G_1 \odot G_2, \delta)$ -multiplicative*

maps $\psi_1, \psi_2 : C(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow B_p^\omega$, there exist a unitary element u in $M_{m^2+1}(B_p^\omega)^\sim$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Omega$ such that

$$\left\| u(\psi_1(f \otimes b) \oplus \overbrace{\bigoplus_{k=1}^m f(z_k)\rho(p \otimes b) \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^m f(z_k)\rho(p \otimes b)}^m)u^* - \psi_2(f \otimes b) \oplus \overbrace{\bigoplus_{k=1}^m f(z_k)\rho(p \otimes b) \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^m f(z_k)\rho(p \otimes b)}^m \right\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $f \in F_1$ and $b \in F_2$.

Using Proposition 2.7, Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 instead of Propositions 4.1, 4.2, and 4.8 of [N19], the same proof as [N19, Lemma 5.1] shows the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let Ω be a compact metrizable space, and let F be a finite subset of $C(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are unital homomorphisms from $C(\Omega)$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_1 = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_2$. Then there exist a projection $p \in F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$, (F, ε) -multiplicative unital c.p. maps ψ'_1 and ψ'_2 from $C(\Omega)$ to $pF(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)p$, a unital homomorphism σ from $C(\Omega)$ to $(1 - p)F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)(1 - p)$ with finite-dimensional range and a unitary element $u \in F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that*

$$0 < \tau_{B,\omega}(p) < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi_1(f) - (\psi'_1(f) + \sigma(f))\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi_2(f) - u(\psi'_2(f) + \sigma(f))u^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $f \in F$.

The following lemma is essentially the same as [N19, Theorem 5.2] and [Nawata 2021, Theorem 5.2].

Lemma 3.7. *Let Ω be a compact metrizable space, and let F_1 be a finite subset of $C(\Omega)$ and F_2 a finite subset of \mathcal{D} , and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist mutually orthogonal positive elements h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l in $C(\Omega)$ of norm 1 such that the following holds. If ψ_1 and ψ_2 are unital homomorphisms from $C(\Omega)$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that*

$$\tau_{B,\omega}(\psi_1(h_i)) > 0, \quad 1 \leq \forall i \leq l, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_1 = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_2,$$

then there exists a unitary element u in $(B^\omega)^\sim$ such that

$$\|u\rho(\psi_1(f) \otimes a)u^* - \rho(\psi_2(f) \otimes a)\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $f \in F_1, a \in F_2$.

Proof. Take positive elements h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l in $C(\Omega)$ in the same way as in the proof of [N19, Theorem 5.2]. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be unital homomorphisms from $C(\Omega)$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(\psi_1(h_i)) > 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_1 = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_2$. Define homomorphisms Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 from $C(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ to B^ω by

$$\Psi_1 := \rho \circ (\psi_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_2 := \rho \circ (\psi_2 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Note that there exists $\nu > 0$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(\psi_1(h_i)) \geq \nu$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$. Using Proposition 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 instead of Corollaries 4.10, 3.8 and Lemma 5.1 in [N19], the same argument

as in the proof of [N19, Theorem 5.2] shows that there exists a unitary element u in $(B^\omega)^\sim$ such that

$$\|u\Psi_1(f \otimes a)u^* - \Psi_2(f \otimes a)\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $f \in F_1, a \in F_2$. Therefore we obtain the conclusion. □

The following theorem is a generalization of [N19, Theorem 5.3]. See also [N19, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem 3.8. *Let N_1 and N_2 be normal elements in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $\text{Sp}(N_1) = \text{Sp}(N_2)$ and $\tau_{B,\omega}(f(N_1)) > 0$ for any $f \in C(\text{Sp}(N_1))_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a unitary element u in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $uN_1u^* = N_2$ if and only if $\tau_{B,\omega}(f(N_1)) = \tau_{B,\omega}(f(N_2))$ for any $f \in C(\text{Sp}(N_1))$.*

Proof. It is enough to show the “if” part because the “only if” part is obvious. Let $\Omega := \text{Sp}(N_1) = \text{Sp}(N_2)$, and define unital homomorphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 from $C(\Omega)$ to $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ by $\psi_1(f) := f(N_1)$ and $\psi_2(f) := f(N_2)$ for any $f \in C(\Omega)$. By the Choi–Effros lifting theorem, there exist sequences of unital c.p. maps $\{\psi_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\psi_{2,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $C(\Omega)$ to B^\sim such that $\psi_1(f) = [(\psi_{1,n}(f))_n]$ and $\psi_2(f) = [(\psi_{2,n}(f))_n]$ for any $f \in C(\Omega)$. Let $F_1 := \{1, \iota\} \subset C(\Omega)$, where ι is the identity function on Ω , that is, $\iota(z) = z$ for any $z \in \Omega$, and let $\{F_{2,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets in \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} F_{2,m}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, applying Lemma 3.7 to $F_1, F_{2,m}$ and $1/m$, we obtain mutually orthogonal positive elements $h_{1,m}, h_{2,m}, \dots, h_{l(m),m}$ in $C(\Omega)$ of norm 1. Since we have

$$\tau_{B,\omega}(\psi_1(h_{i,m})) > 0, \quad 1 \leq \forall i \leq l(m), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_1 = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi_2$$

by the assumption, Lemma 3.7 implies that there exists a unitary element $(u_{m,n})_n$ in $(B^\omega)^\sim$ such that

$$\|(u_{m,n})_n \rho(\psi_1(f) \otimes a) (u_{m,n})_n^* - \rho(\psi_2(f) \otimes a)\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

for any $f \in F_1, a \in F_{2,m}$. By the definition of ρ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|u_{m,n} \psi_{1,n}(f) \Phi_n(a) u_{m,n}^* - \psi_{2,n}(f) \Phi_n(a)\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

for any $f \in F_1, a \in F_{2,m}$. Therefore we inductively obtain a decreasing sequence $\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in ω such that $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_m = \emptyset$, and, for any $n \in X_m$,

$$\|u_{m,n} \psi_{1,n}(f) \Phi_n(a) u_{m,n}^* - \psi_{2,n}(f) \Phi_n(a)\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

for any $f \in F_1, a \in F_{2,m}$. Set

$$u_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \notin X_1, \\ u_{m,n} & \text{if } n \in X_m \setminus X_{m+1} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|u_n \Phi_n(a) u_n^* - \Phi_n(a)\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|u_n \psi_{1,n}(\iota) \Phi_n(a) u_n^* - \psi_{2,n}(\iota) \Phi_n(a)\| = 0$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, $(u_n)_n \in (B^\sim)^\omega \cap \Phi(\mathcal{D})'$ and $[(u_n)_n] N_1 [(u_n)_n]^* = N_2$ in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$. Since $[(u_n)_n]$ is a unitary element in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$, we obtain the conclusion. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the theorem above.

Corollary 3.9 (cf. [Nawata 2021, Corollary 5.4]). *Let p and q be projections in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $0 < \tau_{B,\omega}(p) < 1$. Then p and q are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) = \tau_{B,\omega}(q)$.*

The corollary above and the same argument as in the proof of [Nawata 2021, Corollary 5.5] show the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *Let p and q be projections in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ such that $0 < \tau_{B,\omega}(p) \leq 1$. Then p and q are Murray–von Neumann equivalent if and only if $\tau_{B,\omega}(p) = \tau_{B,\omega}(q)$.*

By Proposition 3.2 and applying the theorem above to $B = \mathcal{D}$ and $\Phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial $M_2\infty$ -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. Then \mathcal{D} has property W .*

4. Uniqueness theorem

In this section we shall show that if D has property W , then every trace-preserving endomorphism of D is approximately inner. Furthermore, we shall consider a uniqueness theorem for approximate homomorphisms from a simple separable nuclear monotracial $M_2\infty$ -stable C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ for an existence theorem in Section 5.

Let D be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra, and let φ be a trace-preserving endomorphism of D . Define a homomorphism Φ from D to $M_2(D)$ by

$$\Phi(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $a \in D$. Since φ is trace-preserving, we see that $\tau_{M_2(D),\omega}|_{\Phi(D)}$ is a state. Hence $\tau_{M_2(D),\omega}$ is a tracial state on $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$. (See Proposition 2.1.) Define homomorphisms ι_{11} and ι_{22} from $F(D)$ to $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ by

$$\iota_{11}([(x_n)_n]) := \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{22}([(x_n)_n]) := \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right]$$

for any $[(x_n)_n]$ in $F(D)$. It is easy to see that ι_{11} and ι_{22} are well-defined. Put $p := \iota_{11}(1)$ and $q := \iota_{22}(1)$. Note that p and q are projections in $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ and if $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an approximate unit for D , then

$$p = \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} h_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right] \quad \text{and} \quad q = \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(h_n) \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right].$$

It can be easily checked that ι_{11} is an isomorphism from $F(D)$ onto $pF(\Phi(D), M_2(D))p$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let D be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra with property W . Then D is $M_2\infty$ -stable, and hence D is \mathcal{Z} -stable.*

Proof. Since D has property W , there exists a projection p in $F(D)$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to $1 - p$. Hence there exists a unital homomorphism from $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $F(D)$. By Corollary 1.13 and Proposition 4.11 in [Kirchberg 2006] (see [Blackadar et al. 1992, Proposition 2.12] for the pioneering work), D is $M_2\infty$ -stable. □

The lemma above implies that if D has property W, then D has strict comparison and $D \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(D^\sim)}$ by [Rørda 2004a; Robert 2016]. Furthermore, $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ is monotracial and has strict comparison by Proposition 2.7. The following lemma is related to [Nawata 2021, Lemma 6.2].

Lemma 4.2. *With notation as above, if D has property W, then p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q in $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$.*

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a projection q_m in $F(D)$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(q_m) = 1 - 1/m$ because D has property W. Proposition 2.7 implies that there exists a contraction r_m in $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ such that $r_m^* p r_m = \iota_{22}(q_m)$. By a diagonal argument, we see that there exist a projection q' in $F(D)$ and a contraction r in $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(q') = 1$ and $r^* p r = \iota_{22}(q')$. Note that $\iota_{22}(q')$ is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to $p r r^* p$. There exists a projection p' in $F(D)$ such that $\iota_{11}(p') = p r r^* p$ and $\tau_{D,\omega}(p') = 1$ because ι_{11} is an isomorphism from $F(D)$ onto $p F(\Phi(D), M_2(D)) p$. Since D has property W, there exist v_1 and v_2 in $F(D)$ such that $v_1^* v_1 = 1$, $v_1 v_1^* = p'$, $v_2^* v_2 = 1$ and $v_2 v_2^* = q'$. Therefore we have

$$p = \iota_{11}(1) \sim \iota_{11}(p') = p r r^* p \sim r^* p r = \iota_{22}(q') \sim \iota_{22}(1) = q. \quad \square$$

The following theorem is one of the main theorems in this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let D be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra with property W, and let φ be a trace-preserving endomorphism of D . Then φ is approximately inner.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a contraction V in $F(\Phi(D), M_2(D))$ such that

$$V^* V = \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} h_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right] \quad \text{and} \quad V V^* = \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(h_n) \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right],$$

where $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an approximate unit for D . It can be easily checked that there exists an element $(v_n)_n$ in D^ω such that

$$V = \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right],$$

and we have

$$(v_n x)_n = (\varphi(x) v_n)_n, \quad (v_n^* v_n x)_n = x \quad \text{and} \quad (v_n v_n^* \varphi(x))_n = \varphi(x)$$

for any $x \in D$. Since $(v_n x)_n = (\varphi(x) v_n)_n$ and $(\varphi(x) v_n v_n^*)_n = \varphi(x)$, we have $(v_n x v_n^*)_n = \varphi(x)$ for any $x \in D$. Because of $D \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(D^\sim)}$, we may assume that v_n is an invertible element in D^\sim for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (See the proof of Proposition 2.4.) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_n := v_n (v_n^* v_n)^{-1/2}$. Then u_n is a unitary element in D^\sim . Since $(v_n^* v_n x)_n = x$, we have $(u_n x)_n = (v_n (v_n^* v_n)^{-1/2} x)_n = (v_n x)_n$ for any $x \in D$. Therefore

$$\varphi(x) = (v_n x v_n^*)_n = (u_n x v_n^*)_n = (u_n (v_n x^*))^*_n = (u_n (u_n x^*))^*_n = (u_n x u_n^*)_n$$

for any $x \in D$. Consequently, φ is approximately inner. □

Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial $M_{2\infty}$ -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. In the rest of this section, we shall consider a uniqueness theorem for approximate homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to certain C^* -algebras. Let B be a simple monotracial C^* -algebra with strict comparison, $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$ and $M_2(B) \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(M_2(B)^\sim)}$, and let φ and ψ be homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that

$\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi$. By the Choi–Effros lifting theorem, there exist sequences of contractive c.p. maps φ_n and ψ_n from \mathcal{D} to B such that $\varphi(a) = (\varphi_n(a))_n$ and $\psi(a) = (\psi_n(a))_n$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Define a homomorphism Φ from \mathcal{D} to $M_2(B)^\omega$ by

$$\Phi(a) := \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_n(a) \end{pmatrix} \right)_n$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi$, we know $\tau_{M_2(B),\omega}|_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ is a state. Hence $\tau_{M_2(B),\omega}$ is a tracial state on $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), M_2(B))$ as above. Since \mathcal{D} is separable, there exist elements $(s_n)_n$ and $(t_n)_n$ in B^ω such that $[(s_n)_n] = 1$ in $F(\varphi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ and $[(t_n)_n] = 1$ in $F(\psi(\mathcal{D}), B)$ by arguments in Section 2B. Put

$$p := \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} s_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right] \quad \text{and} \quad q := \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right)_n \right]$$

in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), M_2(B))$. It is easy to see that p and q are projections in $F(\Phi(\mathcal{D}), M_2(B))$ such that $\tau_{M_2(B),\omega}(p) = \tau_{M_2(B),\omega}(q) = \frac{1}{2}$. Theorem 3.10 implies that p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q . Therefore we obtain the following theorem by an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B a simple monotracial C^* -algebra with strict comparison, $B \subseteq \overline{GL(B^\sim)}$ and $M_2(B) \subseteq \overline{GL(M_2(B)^\sim)}$. If φ and ψ are homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{\mathcal{D}} = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{B,\omega} \circ \psi$, then there exists a unitary element u in $(B^\sim)^\omega$ such that $\varphi(a) = u\psi(a)u^*$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$.*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the theorem above.

Corollary 4.5. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B a simple monotracial C^* -algebra with strict comparison, $B \subseteq \overline{GL(B^\sim)}$ and $M_2(B) \subseteq \overline{GL(M_2(B)^\sim)}$. If φ and ψ are trace-preserving homomorphisms from \mathcal{D} to B , then φ is approximately unitarily equivalent to ψ .*

Remark 4.6. If B is a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra, then B has strict comparison, $B \subseteq \overline{GL(B^\sim)}$ and $M_2(B) \subseteq \overline{GL(M_2(B)^\sim)}$ by [Rørddam 2004a; Robert 2016].

The following corollary is also an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.7. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B a simple monotracial C^* -algebra with strict comparison, $B \subseteq \overline{GL(B^\sim)}$ and $M_2(B) \subseteq \overline{GL(M_2(B)^\sim)}$. For any finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $G \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds. If φ and ψ are (G, δ) -multiplicative maps from \mathcal{D} to B such that*

$$|\tau_B(\varphi(a)) - \tau_{\mathcal{D}}(a)| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau_B(\psi(a)) - \tau_{\mathcal{D}}(a)| < \delta$$

for any $a \in G$, then there exists a unitary element u in B^\sim such that

$$\|\varphi(a) - u\psi(a)u^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $a \in F$.

5. Existence theorem

In this section, we assume that \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B is a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra. We shall show that there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B . Many arguments in this section are motivated by Schafhauser’s proof [2020a] (see also [Schafhauser 2020b]) of the Tikuisis–White–Winter theorem [Tikuisis et al. 2017].

The following lemma is related to [Kirchberg and Phillips 2000, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra. If there exists a homomorphism φ from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{B,\omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{\mathcal{D}}$, then there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B .*

Proof. By the Choi–Effros lifting theorem, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of contractive c.p. maps from \mathcal{D} to B such that $\varphi(a) = (\varphi_n(a))_n$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $\{F_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets in \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} F_m}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, applying Corollary 4.7 to F_m and $1/2^m$, we obtain a finite subset G_m of \mathcal{D} and $\delta_m > 0$. We may assume that $G_m \subset G_{m+1}$, $\delta_m > \delta_{m+1}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_m = 0$. Since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\tau_B(\varphi_n(a)) - \tau_{\mathcal{D}}(a)| = 0$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a subsequence $\{\varphi_{n(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\|\varphi_{n(m)}(ab) - \varphi_{n(m)}(a)\varphi_{n(m)}(b)\| < \delta_m \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau_B(\varphi_{n(m)}(a)) - \tau_{\mathcal{D}}(a)| < \delta_m$$

for any $a, b \in G_m$. Corollary 4.7 implies that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unitary element u_m in B^\sim such that

$$\|\varphi_{n(m)}(a) - u_m \varphi_{n(m+1)}(a) u_m^*\| < \frac{1}{2^m}$$

for any $a \in F_m$. Therefore it can easily be checked that the limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{m-1} \varphi_{n(m)}(a) u_{m-1}^* \cdots u_2^* u_1^*$$

exists for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Define $\psi(a) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{m-1} \varphi_{n(m)}(a) u_{m-1}^* \cdots u_2^* u_1^*$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}$. Then ψ is a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B . □

By the lemma above, it is enough to show that there exists a homomorphism φ from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{B,\omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{\mathcal{D}}$. Borrowing Schafhauser’s idea [2020a], we shall show this. By arguments in Section 2D, there exists the extension

$$\eta : 0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow B^\omega \xrightarrow{\varrho} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where M is a von Neumann algebraic ultrapower of $\pi_{\tau_B}(B)''$ and

$$J = \ker \varrho = \{(x_n)_n \in B^\omega \mid \tilde{\tau}_{B,\omega}((x_n^* x_n)_n) = 0\}.$$

Note that J is known as the trace kernel ideal. Also, M is a II_1 -factor because B is infinite-dimensional (which is implied by \mathcal{Z} -stability) and monotracial. Since \mathcal{D} is monotracial and nuclear, $\pi_{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D})''$ is the injective II_1 -factor. Hence there exists a unital homomorphism from $\pi_{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D})''$ to M (see, for example, [Takesaki 2003, Chapter XIV, Proposition 2.15]). In particular, there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism Π from \mathcal{D} to M . Consider the pullback extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Pi^*\eta : 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varrho}} & \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \hat{\Pi} & & \downarrow \Pi \\ \eta : 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & B^\omega & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $E = \{(a, x) \in \mathcal{D} \oplus B^\omega \mid \Pi(a) = \varrho(x)\}$, $\hat{\varrho}((a, x)) = a$ and $\hat{\Pi}((a, x)) = x$ for any $(a, x) \in E$. If we could show that $\Pi^*\eta$ is a split extension with a cross section γ , then $\hat{\Pi} \circ \gamma$ is a homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{B, \omega} \circ \hat{\Pi} \circ \gamma = \tau_{\mathcal{D}}$. But we were unable to show this, immediately. Note that we need to consider a separable extension in order to use KK -theory and some results in [Elliott and Kucerovsky 2001; Gabe 2016]. We shall construct a suitable separable extension η_0 by Blackadar’s technique [2006, Section II.8.5].

We shall recall some definitions and some results in [Elliott and Kucerovsky 2001; Gabe 2016]. An extension $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is said to be *purely large* if, for any $x \in C \setminus I$, $\overline{xIx^*}$ contains a stable C^* -subalgebra which is full in I . Note that $\overline{xIx^*} = \overline{xx^*Ixx^*} = I \cap \overline{xCx^*}$. By [Gabe 2016, Theorem 2.1] (see also [Elliott and Kucerovsky 2001, Corollary 16]), if A is nonunital and I is stable, then a separable extension $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is nuclear-absorbing if and only if it is purely large.

Lemma 5.2. *With notation as above, suppose that there exist separable C^* -subalgebras $J_0 \subset J$, $B_0 \subset B^\omega$ and $M_0 \subset M$ such that J_0 is stable,*

$$\eta_0 : 0 \longrightarrow J_0 \longrightarrow B_0 \xrightarrow{\varrho|_{B_0}} M_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a purely large extension and $\Pi(\mathcal{D}) \subset M_0$. Then there exists a homomorphism φ from \mathcal{D} to B^ω such that $\tau_{B, \omega} \circ \varphi = \tau_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Proof. Consider the pullback extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Pi^*\eta_0 : 0 & \longrightarrow & J_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varrho}} & \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \hat{\Pi} & & \downarrow \Pi \\ \eta_0 : 0 & \longrightarrow & J_0 & \longrightarrow & B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & M_0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $E_0 = \{(a, x) \in \mathcal{D} \oplus B_0 \mid \Pi(a) = \varrho(x)\}$, $\hat{\varrho}((a, x)) = a$ and $\hat{\Pi}((a, x)) = x$ for any $(a, x) \in E_0$. Since η_0 is purely large, it can be easily checked that $\Pi^*\eta_0$ is purely large. Hence $\Pi^*\eta_0$ is nuclear-absorbing by [Gabe 2016, Theorem 2.1]. Because \mathcal{D} is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and nuclear, we have $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{D}, J_0) = \{0\}$, and hence $[\Pi^*\eta_0] = 0$ in $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{D}, J_0)$. Therefore there exists a (nuclear) split extension η' such that $\Pi^*\eta_0 \oplus \eta'$ is a split extension. Since $\Pi^*\eta_0$ is nuclear-absorbing, $\Pi^*\eta_0$ is strongly unitarily equivalent to $\Pi^*\eta_0 \oplus \eta'$, and hence $\Pi^*\eta_0$ is a split extension. Let γ_0 be a cross section of $\Pi^*\eta_0$, and define $\varphi := \hat{\Pi} \circ \gamma_0$. Then φ is the desired homomorphism. □

A key result in the proof of the pure largeness is the following characterization of stable C^* -algebras.

Theorem 5.3 [Hjelmborg and Rørdam 1998; Rørdam 2004b, Theorem 2.2]. *Let A be a σ -unital C^* -algebra. Then A is stable if and only if, for any $a \in A_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive elements a' and c in A such that $\|a - a'\| \leq \varepsilon$, $a' \sim c$ and $\|ac\| \leq \varepsilon$.*

Before we construct a separable extension η_0 , we shall consider properties of η .

Proposition 5.4. *With notation as above, let b be a positive element in $B^\omega \setminus J$.*

- (i) *For any positive element a in \overline{bJb} , there exists a positive element c in \overline{bJb} such that $a \sim c$ and $ac = 0$.*
- (ii) *For any positive element a in J and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive element d in \overline{bJb} and an element r in J such that $\|r^*dr - a\| < \varepsilon$.*
- (iii) *For any element x in B^ω and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an element y in $\text{GL}((B^\omega)^\sim)$ such that $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$.*

For the proof of the proposition above, we need some lemmas. For a positive element $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ the element $f(a)$ in A , where $f(t) = \max\{0, t - \varepsilon\}$, $t \in \text{Sp}(a)$. The same proof as in [Rørdam 1992, Proposition 2.4] shows the following lemma. See also [Pedersen 1987, Corollary 8].

Lemma 5.5. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with $A \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$, and let a and b be positive elements in A . Then a is Cuntz smaller than b if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unitary element u in A^\sim such that $u(a - \varepsilon)_+u^* \in \overline{bAb}$.*

The following lemma can be regarded as an application of the construction of \mathcal{Z} .

Lemma 5.6. *Let A be a monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra. For any $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exist positive elements d and d' in A such that $dd' = 0$ and $d_{\tau_A}((d - \varepsilon)_+) = d_{\tau_A}((d' - \varepsilon)_+) = (1 - \varepsilon)\theta$ for any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let μ be the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$, and define a tracial state τ_0 on $C([0, 1])$ by $\tau_0(f) := \int_{[0,1]} f \, d\mu$ for any $f \in C([0, 1])$. By [Rørdam 2004a, Theorem 2.1(i)], there exists a unital homomorphism ψ from $C([0, 1])$ to \mathcal{Z} such that $\tau_0 = \tau_{\mathcal{Z}} \circ \psi$. Define f and g in $C([0, 1])$ by

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\theta}t & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{\theta}{2}], \\ -\frac{2}{\theta}t + 2 & \text{if } t \in (\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (\theta, 1] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, \theta], \\ \frac{2}{\theta}t - 2 & \text{if } t \in (\theta, \frac{3\theta}{2}], \\ -\frac{2}{\theta}t + 4 & \text{if } t \in (\frac{3\theta}{2}, 2\theta], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (2\theta, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, we have

$$(f - \varepsilon)_+(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}], \\ \frac{2}{\theta}t - \varepsilon & \text{if } t \in (\frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}, \frac{\theta}{2}], \\ -\frac{2}{\theta}t + 2 - \varepsilon & \text{if } t \in (\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta - \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (\theta - \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

$$(g - \varepsilon)_+(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, \theta + \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}], \\ \frac{2}{\theta}t - 2 - \varepsilon & \text{if } t \in (\theta + \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}, \frac{3\theta}{2}], \\ -\frac{2}{\theta}t + 4 - \varepsilon & \text{if } t \in (\frac{3\theta}{2}, 2\theta - \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (2\theta - \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Hence $d_{\tau_0}((f - \varepsilon)_+) = d_{\tau_0}((g - \varepsilon)_+) = (1 - \varepsilon)\theta$. Let s be a strictly positive element in A , and put

$$d := s \otimes \psi(f) \quad \text{and} \quad d' := s \otimes \psi(g)$$

in $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A$. Then d and d' are desired positive elements in A . □

Lemma 5.7. *Let A be a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra, and let b be a (nonzero) positive element in A . For any $\theta \in (0, d_{\tau_A}(b)/2)$, there exist positive elements e and e' in \overline{bAb} such that $ee' = 0$ and $d_{\tau_A}(e) = d_{\tau_A}(e') > \theta$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, there exist contractions d and d' in A such that $dd' = 0$ and $\theta < d_{\tau_A}(d) = d_{\tau_A}(d') < d_{\tau_A}(b)/2$. Furthermore, we may assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d_{\tau_A}((d - \varepsilon)_+) = d_{\tau_A}((d' - \varepsilon)_+) > \theta$. Since A has strict comparison and $d_{\tau_A}(d + d') = d_{\tau_A}(d) + d_{\tau_A}(d') < d_{\tau_A}(b)$, Lemma 5.5 implies that there exists a unitary element u in A^\sim such that $u(d + d' - \varepsilon)_+u^* \in \overline{bAb}$. Note that $(d + d' - \varepsilon)_+ = (d - \varepsilon)_+ + (d' - \varepsilon)_+$ because of $dd' = 0$. Put

$$e := u(d - \varepsilon)_+u^* \quad \text{and} \quad e' := u(d' - \varepsilon)_+u^*.$$

Then e and e' are desired positive elements. □

Proof of Proposition 5.4. (i) We may assume $\|a\| = 1$ and $\|b\| = 1$. Since $b \notin J$, we have $\tau_{B,\omega}(b) > 0$. Take a representative $(b_n)_n$ of b such that $\|b_n\| = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\tau_{B,\omega}(b) - \varepsilon_0 > 0$. Since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d_{\tau_B}(b_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau_B(b_n) = \tau_{B,\omega}(b),$$

there exists an element $X_1 \in \omega$ such that, for any $n \in X_1$,

$$d_{\tau_B}(b_n) > \tau_{B,\omega}(b) - \varepsilon_0.$$

By an argument similar to that in the proof of [Sato 2010, Lemma 3.2], we see that there exists a representative $(a_n)_n$ of a such that $a_n \in \overline{b_n B b_n}$ and $\|a_n\| = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d_{\tau_B}(a_n) = 0$ because of $a \in \overline{(b_n)_n J (b_n)_n}$. Hence there exists an element $X_2 \in \omega$ such that for any $n \in X_2$,

$$d_{\tau_B}(a_n) < \frac{\tau_{B,\omega}(b) - \varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

Note that we have $d_{\tau_B}(a_n) < d_{\tau_B}(b_n)/2$ for any $n \in X_1 \cap X_2$. Hence Lemma 5.7 implies that for any $n \in X_1 \cap X_2$, there exist positive elements e_n and e'_n in $\overline{b_n B b_n}$ such that $e_n e'_n = 0$ and $d_{\tau_B}(e_n) = d_{\tau_B}(e'_n) > d_{\tau_B}(a_n)$. Since $\overline{b_n B b_n}$ has strict comparison and $\overline{b_n B b_n} \subseteq \text{GL}(b_n B b_n^\sim)$ by [Rørørdam 2004a; Robert 2016], Lemma 5.5 shows that for any $n \in X_1 \cap X_2$, there exist unitary elements u_n and v_n in $\overline{b_n B b_n}^\sim$ such that

$$u_n(a_n - 1/n)_+u_n^* \in \overline{e_n B e_n} \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(a_n - 1/n)_+v_n^* \in \overline{e'_n B e'_n}.$$

Note that $(a_n - 1/n)_+u_n^*v_n(a_n - 1/n)_+ = 0$ for any $n \in X_1 \cap X_2$. Define $z = (z_n)_n$ and $c = (c_n)_n$ in B^ω by

$$z_n := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin X_1 \cap X_2, \\ u_n^*v_n(a_n - 1/n)_+^{1/2} & \text{if } n \in X_1 \cap X_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_n := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin X_1 \cap X_2, \\ u_n^* v_n (a_n - 1/n) + v_n^* u_n & \text{if } n \in X_1 \cap X_2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $z, c \in \overline{bB^\omega b}$, $z^*z = a$, $zz^* = c$ and $ac = 0$. Since \overline{bJb} is a closed ideal in $\overline{bB^\omega b}$ and $a \in \overline{bJb}$, we know z and c are elements in \overline{bJb} . Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

(ii) Note that B^ω has strict comparison; see, for example, [Bosa et al. 2019, Lemma 1.23]. Since $a \in J$ and $b \notin J$, we have $d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(a^{1/5}) = 0$ and $d_{\tau_{B,\omega}}(b) > 0$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{s_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B^ω such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|s_N^* b s_N - a^{1/5}\| = 0$. Let $d_N := b s_N a^{1/5} s_N^* b$ and $r_N := s_N a^{1/5}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $d_N \in \overline{bJb}$, $r_N \in J$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$r_N^* d_N r_N = a^{1/5} s_N^* b s_N a^{1/5} s_N^* b s_N a^{1/5} \rightarrow a$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

(iii) Since B is a simple monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra, $B \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B^\sim)}$ by [Rørørdam 2004a; Robert 2016]. Therefore we obtain the conclusion by Proposition 2.4. □

If B is unital, then the following lemma is a well-known consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Blackadar’s technique [2006, Proposition II.8.5.4].

Lemma 5.8. *With notation as above, let S be a separable subset of B^ω . Then there exists a separable C^* -algebra A such that $S \subseteq A \subset B^\omega$ and $A \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$.*

Proof. We shall show only the case where B is nonunital. Let A_1 be the C^* -subalgebra of B^ω generated by S . Since A_1 is separable, there exists a countable dense subset $\{x_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of A_1 . By Proposition 5.4(iii), for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $y_{k,m} \in B^\omega$ and $\lambda_{k,m} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\|x_k - (y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim})\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

and $y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim} \in \text{GL}((B^\omega)^\sim)$. Let A_2 be the C^* -subalgebra of B^ω generated by A_1 and $\{y_{k,m} \mid k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then we have $A_1 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A_2^\sim)}$. Indeed, we have $y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{A_2^\sim} \in \text{GL}(A_2^\sim)$ for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ because of $\text{Sp}_{A_2}(y_{k,m}) \cup \{0\} = \text{Sp}_{B^\omega}(y_{k,m}) \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda_{k,m} \neq 0$. Since $A_1 = \overline{\{x_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - (y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{(A_2)^\sim})\| &= \|1_{A_2^\sim} x_k - 1_{A_2^\sim} (y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim})\| \\ &\leq \|x_k - (y_{k,m} + \lambda_{k,m} 1_{(B^\omega)^\sim})\| < \frac{1}{m} \end{aligned}$$

for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $A_1 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A_2^\sim)}$. Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable C^* -subalgebras of B^ω such that $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A_{n+1}^\sim)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $A := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$. Since $A_n \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A_{n+1}^\sim)} \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 2.2, we have $A \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$. Therefore A is the desired separable C^* -algebra. □

The following lemma is also based on Blackadar’s technique.

Lemma 5.9. *With notation as above, let $\{b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable subset of $B^\omega \setminus J$ and S a separable subset of B^ω . Then there exists a separable C^* -algebra A such that $\{b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup S \subseteq A \subset B^\omega$ and $\overline{b_k(A \cap J)b_k}$ is full in $A \cap J$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let A_1 be the C^* -subalgebra of B^ω generated by $\{b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and S . Since A_1 is separable, there exists a countable dense subset $\{a_l \mid l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $(A_1 \cap J)_+$. By Proposition 5.4(ii), for any $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $d_{k,l,m} \in \overline{b_k J b_k}$ and $r_{k,l,m} \in J$ such that

$$\|r_{k,l,m}^* d_{k,l,m} r_{k,l,m} - a_l\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Let A_2 be the C^* -subalgebra of B^ω generated by A_1 and $\{d_{k,l,m}, r_{k,l,m} \mid k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then we have $A_1 \cap J \subseteq \overline{(A_2 \cap J) b_k (A_2 \cap J) b_k (A_2 \cap J)}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ because $A_1 \cap J$ is generated by $\{a_l \mid l \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable C^* -subalgebras of B^ω such that $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \cap J \subseteq \overline{(A_{n+1} \cap J) b_k (A_{n+1} \cap J) b_k (A_{n+1} \cap J)}$ for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $A := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$. Since we have $A \cap J = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (A_n \cap J)$, we see that A is the desired separable C^* -algebra. \square

By Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9, [Blackadar 2006, Proposition II.8.5.3] implies the following lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *With notation as above, let $\{b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable subset of $B^\omega \setminus J$ and S a separable subset of B^ω . Then there exists a separable C^* -algebra A such that $\{b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup S \subseteq A \subset B^\omega$, $A \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A^\sim)}$ and $\overline{b_k (A \cap J) b_k}$ is full in $A \cap J$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We shall construct the separable extension η_0 of Lemma 5.2.

Since ϱ is surjective and \mathcal{D} is separable, there exists a separable subset S_0 of B^ω such that $\overline{\varrho(S_0)} = \Pi(\mathcal{D})$. Applying Lemma 5.8 to S_0 , we obtain a separable C^* -algebra B_1 such that $S_0 \subseteq B_1 \subset B^\omega$ and $B_1 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_1^\sim)}$. Since B_1 is separable, there exist a countable subset $\{a_{1,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $(B_1 \cap J)_+$ and a countable subset $\{b_{1,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of B_{1+} such that

$$\overline{\{a_{1,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}} = (B_1 \cap J)_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\{b_{1,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}} = B_{1+}.$$

Put $T_1 := \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ \notin J\}$. Applying Proposition 5.4(i) to $(b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{1,m} (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+$ for any $(k, l) \in T_1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a positive element $c_{1,1,(k,l),m}$ and an element $z_{1,1,(k,l),m}$ in $\overline{(b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ J (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{1,m} (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ c_{1,1,(k,l),m} &= 0, \\ z_{1,1,(k,l),m}^* z_{1,1,(k,l),m} &= (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{1,m} (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+, \\ z_{1,1,(k,l),m} z_{1,1,(k,l),m}^* &= c_{1,1,(k,l),m}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S_2 := B_1 \cup \{c_{1,1,(k,l),m}, z_{1,1,(k,l),m} \mid (k, l) \in T_1, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Applying Lemma 5.10 to $\{(b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ \mid (k, l) \in T_1\}$ and S_2 , we obtain a separable C^* -algebra B_2 such that

$$B_1 \cup \{c_{1,1,(k,l),m}, z_{1,1,(k,l),m} \mid (k, l) \in T_1, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq B_2 \subset B^\omega,$$

$B_2 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_2^\sim)}$ and $\overline{(b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+ (B_2 \cap J) (b_{1,k} - 1/l)_+}$ is full in $B_2 \cap J$ for any $(k, l) \in T_1$. In the same way as above, there exist a countable subset $\{a_{2,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $(B_2 \cap J)_+$ and a countable subset $\{b_{2,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of B_{2+} such that

$$\overline{\{a_{2,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}} = (B_2 \cap J)_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\{b_{2,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}} = B_{2+}.$$

and we put $T_2 := \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (b_{2,k} - 1/l)_+ \notin J\}$. Applying Proposition 5.4(i) to $(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{2,m} \times (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $(k, l) \in T_i$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a positive element $c_{2,i,(k,l),m}$ and an element $z_{2,i,(k,l),m}$ in $\overline{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ J(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{2,m} (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ c_{2,i,(k,l),m} &= 0, \\ z_{2,i,(k,l),m}^* z_{2,i,(k,l),m} &= (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{2,m} (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+, \\ z_{2,i,(k,l),m} z_{2,i,(k,l),m}^* &= c_{2,i,(k,l),m}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S_3 := B_2 \cup \{c_{2,i,(k,l),m}, z_{2,i,(k,l),m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2, (k, l) \in T_i, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Applying Lemma 5.10 to $\{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2, (k, l) \in T_i\}$ and S_3 , we obtain a separable C^* -algebra B_3 such that

$$B_2 \cup \{c_{2,i,(k,l),m}, z_{2,i,(k,l),m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2, (k, l) \in T_i, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq B_3 \subset B^\omega,$$

$B_3 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_3)}$ and $\overline{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ (B_3 \cap J)(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+}$ is full in $B_3 \cap J$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $(k, l) \in T_i$. Repeating this process, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &\subset B^\omega, \quad \{a_{n,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (B_n \cap J)_+, \quad \{b_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset B_{n+}, \\ T_n &\subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \quad \{c_{n,i,(k,l),m}, z_{n,i,(k,l),m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, (k, l) \in T_i, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

such that B_n is separable,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &\subseteq B_{n+1}, \quad B_n \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_n)}, \quad \overline{\{a_{n,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}} = (B_n \cap J)_+, \\ \overline{\{b_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}} &= B_{n+}, \quad T_n = \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (b_{n,k} - 1/l)_+ \notin J\}, \\ c_{n,i,(k,l),m}, z_{n,i,(k,l),m} &\in \overline{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ (B_{n+1} \cap J)(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+}, \\ (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{n,m} (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ c_{n,i,(k,l),m} &= 0, \\ z_{n,i,(k,l),m}^* z_{n,i,(k,l),m} &= (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ a_{n,m} (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+, \\ z_{n,i,(k,l),m} z_{n,i,(k,l),m}^* &= c_{n,i,(k,l),m} \end{aligned}$$

and $\overline{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ (B_{n+1} \cap J)(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+}$ is full in $B_{n+1} \cap J$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $(k, l) \in T_i$. Define

$$B_0 := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n, \quad J_0 := B_0 \cap J \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 := \varrho(B_0).$$

Then

$$\eta_0 : 0 \longrightarrow J_0 \longrightarrow B_0 \xrightarrow{\varrho} M_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a separable extension and $\Pi(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq M_0$. Corollary 2.3 implies $B_0 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_0)}$ since we have $B_n \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k, l) \in T_i$, $\overline{(b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+ J_0 (b_{i,k} - 1/l)_+}$ is full in J_0 by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.9. Note that, for any $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$J_{0+} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \{a_{n,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{0+} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \{b_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$$

We shall show that J_0 is stable and η_0 is purely large.

Proof of the stability of J_0 . Let $a \in J_{0+} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Set

$$\varepsilon' := \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|a\|}, \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Since B_0 is separable, there exists an approximate unit $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for B_0 . Note that $h_n \notin J$ for sufficiently large n because of $M_0 \neq \{0\}$. Hence there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_N \notin J$ and $\|h_N a h_N - a\| < \varepsilon'/2$. Since $B_{0+} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{b_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}}$, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $n(l)$ and $k(l)$ in \mathbb{N} such that

$$\|h_N - b_{n(l),k(l)}\| < \frac{1}{l}.$$

Note that $(b_{n(l),k(l)} - 1/l)_+ \rightarrow h_N$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ because we have

$$\|h_N - (b_{n(l),k(l)} - 1/l)_+\| \leq \|h_N - b_{n(l),k(l)}\| + \|b_{n(l),k(l)} - (b_{n(l),k(l)} - 1/l)_+\| < \frac{2}{l}.$$

Hence there exists $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ \notin J$, that is, $(k(l_0), l_0) \in T_{n(l_0)}$ and

$$\|a - (b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ a (b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}.$$

Since $J_{0+} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=n(l_0)}^{\infty} \{a_{n,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}}$, there exist $n_0 \geq n(l_0)$ and $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|a - a_{n_0, m_0}\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{2\|b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)}\|^2}.$$

Put $a' := (b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ a_{n_0, m_0} (b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+$. Then

$$\|a - a'\| < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon.$$

By construction of B_0 and J_0 , there exist

$$z = z_{n_0, n(l_0), (k(l_0), l_0), m_0}, \quad c = c_{n_0, n(l_0), (k(l_0), l_0), m_0} \in J_0$$

such that $a'c = 0$, $z^*z = a'$ and $zz^* = c$. Hence $a' \sim c$ and

$$\|ac\| = \|ac - a'c\| \leq \|a - a'\| \|c\| = \|a - a'\| \|a'\| < \varepsilon'(\|a\| + \varepsilon') \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore J_0 is stable by Hjelmberg and Rørdam's characterization (Theorem 5.3). □

Proof of the pure largeness of η_0 . Let $x \in B_0 \setminus J_0$. Note that we have $xx^* \notin J$. Since $B_{0+} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{b_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}}$, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $n(l)$ and $k(l)$ in \mathbb{N} such that

$$\|xx^* - b_{n(l),k(l)}\| < \frac{1}{2l}.$$

By an argument similar to that in the proof of stability of J_0 , there exists $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ \notin J$, that is, $(k(l_0), l_0) \in T_{n(l_0)}$. On the other hand, [Kirchberg and Rørdam 2002, Lemma 2.2] implies that $(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/2l_0)_+$ is Cuntz smaller than xx^* . Since we have $B_0 \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(B_0^\sim)}$, there exists a unitary element u in B_0^\sim such that

$$u(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ u^* = u((b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/2l_0)_+ - 1/2l_0)_+ u^* \in \overline{xx^* B_0 xx^*} = \overline{x B_0 x^*}$$

by Lemma 5.5. Put

$$C := \overline{u(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ J_0(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ u^*} \subseteq \overline{x J_0 x^*}.$$

Then C is full in J_0 because $\overline{(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ J_0(b_{n(l_0),k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+}$ is full in J_0 . We shall show that C is stable. Let $a \in C_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Set

$$\varepsilon' := \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|a\|}, \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \varepsilon \right\}.$$

By the definition of C and $J_{0+} = \bigcup_{n=n(l_0)}^\infty \{a_{n,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, there exist $n_0 \geq n(l_0)$ and $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|a - u(b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ a_{n_0,m_0} (b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ u^*\| < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon.$$

Put $a' = u(b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ a_{n_0,m_0} (b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ u^* \in C$, then $\|a - a'\| < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$. By construction of B_0 and J_0 , there exist elements

$$z_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0}, c_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0}$$

in $\overline{(b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+ J_0(b_{n_0,l_0,k(l_0)} - 1/l_0)_+}$ such that

$$u^* a' u c_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0} = 0, \quad z_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0}^* z_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0} = u^* a' u$$

and

$$z_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0} z_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0}^* = c_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0}.$$

Put $c := u c_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0} u^*$. It is easy to see that $c \in C$, $a'c = 0$ and

$$c \sim c_{n_0,n(l_0),(k(l_0),l_0),m_0} \sim u^* a' u \sim a' \quad \text{in } B_0.$$

Since C is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of B_0 and $a', c \in C$, we see that a' is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to c in C . Therefore, the same argument as in the proof of stability of J_0 shows $\|ac\| < \varepsilon$, and C is stable. Consequently, η_0 is a purely large extension. □

Therefore we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *With notation as above, there exist separable C^* -subalgebras $J_0 \subset J$, $B_0 \subset B^\omega$ and $M_0 \subset M$ such that J_0 is stable,*

$$\eta_0 : 0 \longrightarrow J_0 \longrightarrow B_0 \xrightarrow{\varrho|_{B_0}} M_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a purely large extension and $\Pi(\mathcal{D}) \subset M_0$.

Consequently, we obtain the following theorem by Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2 and the lemma above.

Theorem 5.12. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial M_{2^∞} -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$ and B a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra. Then there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B .*

Remark 5.13. Actually, we need not assume that \mathcal{D} is $M_{2\infty}$ -stable in the theorem above. Indeed, define a homomorphism φ from \mathcal{D} to $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_{2\infty}$ by $\varphi(a) = a \otimes 1$. Then φ is a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_{2\infty}$. By the theorem above, there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism ψ from $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_{2\infty}$ to B . Then $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{D} to B .

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the theorem above.

Corollary 5.14. *Let B a simple separable exact monotracial \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra. Then there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{W} to B .*

The injective II_1 -factor can embed unittally into every II_1 -factor. Hence the following question is natural and interesting.

Question 5.15. (1) Let B be a simple monotracial infinite-dimensional C^* -algebra. Does there exist a trace-preserving homomorphism from \mathcal{W} to B ?

(2) Let B be a simple non-type-I C^* -algebra. Does there exist a (nonzero) homomorphism from \mathcal{W} to B ?

Note that Dadarlat, Hirshberg, Toms and Winter [Dadarlat et al. 2009] showed that there exists a unital simple separable nuclear infinite-dimensional C^* -algebra B such that \mathcal{Z} does not embed unittally into B .

6. Characterization of \mathcal{W}

In this section we shall show that if \mathcal{D} is a simple separable nuclear monotracial $M_{2\infty}$ -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$, then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} . Also, we shall characterize \mathcal{W} by using properties of $F(\mathcal{W})$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a simple separable nuclear monotracial $M_{2\infty}$ -stable C^* -algebra which is KK -equivalent to $\{0\}$. Then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. By Theorem 5.12 and Corollary 5.14, there exist trace-preserving homomorphisms φ and ψ from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{W} and from \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{D} , respectively. Since \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{W} have property W by Corollary 3.11, Theorem 4.3 implies that $\psi \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi \circ \psi$ are approximately inner. Therefore \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} by Elliott’s approximate intertwining argument [Elliott 1993]; see also [Rørdam 2002, Corollary 2.3.4]. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the theorem above.

Corollary 6.2. (i) *If A is a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra, then $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} . In particular, $\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} .*

(ii) *For any nonzero positive element h in \mathcal{W} , $\overline{h\mathcal{W}h}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} .*

Following the definition in [Lin and Ng 2023], we say that a C^* -algebra A is \mathcal{W} -embeddable if there exists an injective homomorphism from A to \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 6.3. *Let A be a monotracial \mathcal{W} -embeddable C^* -algebra. Then there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism from A to \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. By the assumption, there exists an injective homomorphism φ from A to \mathcal{W} . Let s be a strictly positive element in A . (Note that A is separable because A is \mathcal{W} -embeddable.) Since φ is injective, $\varphi(s)$ is a nonzero positive element. [Corollary 6.2](#) implies that there exists an isomorphism Φ from $\overline{\varphi(s)\mathcal{W}\varphi(s)}$ onto \mathcal{W} . Note that φ can be regarded as a homomorphism from A to $\overline{\varphi(s)\mathcal{W}\varphi(s)}$. Define $\psi := \Phi \circ \varphi$. Then ψ is a trace-preserving homomorphism from A to \mathcal{W} . \square

The following theorem is a characterization of \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 6.4. *Let D be a simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra. Then D is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} if and only if D has property W and is \mathcal{W} -embeddable, that is, D satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a projection p in $F(D)$ such that $\tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \theta$.*
- (ii) *If p and q are projections in $F(D)$ such that $0 < \tau_{D,\omega}(p) = \tau_{D,\omega}(q)$, then p is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q .*
- (iii) *There exists an injective homomorphism from D to \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. The “only if” part is obvious by [Corollary 3.11](#). We shall show the “if” part. Since D is \mathcal{W} -embeddable, there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism φ from D to \mathcal{W} by [Lemma 6.3](#). [Lemma 4.1](#) implies that D is \mathcal{Z} -stable because D has property W . Hence there exists a trace-preserving homomorphism ψ from \mathcal{W} to D by [Corollary 5.14](#). The rest of proof is same as the proof of [Theorem 6.1](#). \square

We think that every simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra with property W ought to be \mathcal{W} -embeddable. Note that every simple separable nuclear monotracial C^* -algebra with property W is stably projectionless by [[Kirchberg 2006](#), Remark 2.13] and an argument similar to that in the proof of [[Nawata 2019](#), Corollary 5.9]. Hence an affirmative answer to the following question, which can be regarded as an analogue of Kirchberg’s embedding theorem [[Kirchberg and Phillips 2000](#)], would imply this.

Question 6.5. Let A be a simple separable exact stably projectionless monotracial C^* -algebra. Assume that τ_A is amenable. Is A \mathcal{W} -embeddable?

Note that we need to assume that τ_A is amenable because $\pi_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W})''$ is the injective II_1 -factor.

References

- [Blackadar 2006] B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras: theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras*, *En cycl. Math. Sci.* **122**, Springer, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blackadar et al. 1992] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian, and M. Rørdam, “Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori”, *K-Theory* **6**:3 (1992), 267–284. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bosa et al. 2019] J. Bosa, N. P. Brown, Y. Sato, A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, *Covering dimension of C^* -algebras and 2-coloured classification*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1233**, Amer. Math. Sci., Providence, RI, 2019. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Castillejos and Evington 2020] J. Castillejos and S. Evington, “Nuclear dimension of simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras”, *Anal. PDE* **13**:7 (2020), 2205–2240. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Castillejos et al. 2021] J. Castillejos, S. Evington, A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, “Nuclear dimension of simple C^* -algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **224**:1 (2021), 245–290. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Connes 1976] A. Connes, “Classification of injective factors: cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$ ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**:1 (1976), 73–115. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Connes 1982] A. Connes, “A survey of foliations and operator algebras”, pp. 521–628 in *Operator algebras and applications, I* (Kingston, ON, 1980), edited by R. V. Kadison, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dadarlat and Eilers 2001] M. Dadarlat and S. Eilers, “Asymptotic unitary equivalence in KK -theory”, *K-Theory* **23**:4 (2001), 305–322. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dadarlat and Eilers 2002] M. Dadarlat and S. Eilers, “On the classification of nuclear C^* -algebras”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **85**:1 (2002), 168–210. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dadarlat et al. 2009] M. Dadarlat, I. Hirshberg, A. S. Toms, and W. Winter, “The Jiang–Su algebra does not always embed”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **16**:1 (2009), 23–26. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elliott 1993] G. A. Elliott, “On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **443** (1993), 179–219. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elliott 1996] G. A. Elliott, “An invariant for simple C^* -algebras”, pp. 61–90 in *Canadian Mathematical Society, 1945–1995, III*, edited by J. B. Carrell and R. Murty, Canadian Math. Soc., Ottawa, ON, 1996. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elliott and Kucerovsky 2001] G. A. Elliott and D. Kucerovsky, “An abstract Voiculescu–Brown–Douglas–Fillmore absorption theorem”, *Pacific J. Math.* **198**:2 (2001), 385–409. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elliott and Niu 2016] G. A. Elliott and Z. Niu, “The classification of simple separable KK -contractible C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv 1611.05159](#)
- [Elliott et al. 2020a] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, “The classification of simple separable KK -contractible C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **158** (2020), art. id. 103861. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elliott et al. 2020b] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, “Simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras with generalized tracial rank one”, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **14**:1 (2020), 251–347. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gabe 2016] J. Gabe, “A note on nonunital absorbing extensions”, *Pacific J. Math.* **284**:2 (2016), 383–393. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gabe 2020] J. Gabe, “A new proof of Kirchberg’s \mathcal{O}_2 -stable classification”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **761** (2020), 247–289. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gong and Lin 2020] G. Gong and H. Lin, “On classification of non-unital amenable simple C^* -algebras, II”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **158** (2020), art. id. 103865. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hjelmborg and Rørdam 1998] J. v. B. Hjelmborg and M. Rørdam, “On stability of C^* -algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **155**:1 (1998), 153–170. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jacelon 2013] B. Jacelon, “A simple, monotracial, stably projectionless C^* -algebra”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **87**:2 (2013), 365–383. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kirchberg 2006] E. Kirchberg, “Central sequences in C^* -algebras and strongly purely infinite algebras”, pp. 175–231 in *Operator algebras* (Oslo, 2004), edited by S. Neshveyev and C. Skau, Abel Symposium **1**, Springer, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kirchberg and Phillips 2000] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, “Embedding of exact C^* -algebras in the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 ”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **525** (2000), 17–53. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kirchberg and Rørdam 2002] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, “Infinite non-simple C^* -algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_∞ ”, *Adv. Math.* **167**:2 (2002), 195–264. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kirchberg and Rørdam 2014] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, “Central sequence C^* -algebras and tensorial absorption of the Jiang–Su algebra”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **695** (2014), 175–214. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kishimoto 1999] A. Kishimoto, “Pairs of simple dimension groups”, *Int. J. Math.* **10**:6 (1999), 739–761. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kishimoto and Kumjian 1996] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, “Simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras arising as crossed products”, *Canad. J. Math.* **48**:5 (1996), 980–996. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kishimoto and Kumjian 1997] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, “Crossed products of Cuntz algebras by quasi-free automorphisms”, pp. 173–192 in *Operator algebras and their applications* (Waterloo, ON, 1994–1995), edited by P. A. Fillmore and J. A. Mingo, Fields Inst. Commun. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lin and Ng 2023] H. Lin and P. W. Ng, “Extensions of C^* -algebras by a small ideal”, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2023**:12 (2023), 10350–10438. [MR](#)

- [Matui and Sato 2012] H. Matui and Y. Sato, “Strict comparison and \mathcal{F} -absorption of nuclear C^* -algebras”, *Acta Math.* **209**:1 (2012), 179–196. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Matui and Sato 2014a] H. Matui and Y. Sato, “Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing C^* -algebras”, *Duke Math. J.* **163**:14 (2014), 2687–2708. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Matui and Sato 2014b] H. Matui and Y. Sato, “ \mathcal{F} -stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions, II”, *Amer. J. Math.* **136**:6 (2014), 1441–1496. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nawata 2013] N. Nawata, “Picard groups of certain stably projectionless C^* -algebras”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **88**:1 (2013), 161–180. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nawata 2019] N. Nawata, “Trace scaling automorphisms of the stabilized Razak–Jacelon algebra”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **118**:3 (2019), 545–576. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nawata 2021] N. Nawata, “Rohlin actions of finite groups on the Razak–Jacelon algebra”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021**:4 (2021), 2991–3020. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pedersen 1979] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Lond. Math. Soc. Monogr. **14**, Academic Press, London, 1979. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pedersen 1987] G. K. Pedersen, “Unitary extensions and polar decompositions in a C^* -algebra”, *J. Operator Theory* **17**:2 (1987), 357–364. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pedersen 1998] G. K. Pedersen, “Factorization in C^* -algebras”, *Expo. Math.* **16**:2 (1998), 145–156. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Razak 2002] S. Razak, “On the classification of simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras”, *Canad. J. Math.* **54**:1 (2002), 138–224. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Robert 2012] L. Robert, “Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes”, *Adv. Math.* **231**:5 (2012), 2802–2836. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Robert 2016] L. Robert, “Remarks on \mathcal{F} -stable projectionless C^* -algebras”, *Glasg. Math. J.* **58**:2 (2016), 273–277. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rørdam 1992] M. Rørdam, “On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra, II”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **107**:2 (1992), 255–269. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rørdam 2002] M. Rørdam, “Classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras”, pp. 1–145 in *Classification of nuclear C^* -algebras: entropy in operator algebras*, Encycl. Math. Sci. **126**, Springer, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rørdam 2004a] M. Rørdam, “The stable and the real rank of \mathcal{F} -absorbing C^* -algebras”, *Int. J. Math.* **15**:10 (2004), 1065–1084. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rørdam 2004b] M. Rørdam, “Stable C^* -algebras”, pp. 177–199 in *Operator algebras and applications* (Fukuoka, Japan, 1999), edited by H. Kosaki, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **38**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sato 2009] Y. Sato, “Certain aperiodic automorphisms of unital simple projectionless C^* -algebras”, *Int. J. Math.* **20**:10 (2009), 1233–1261. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sato 2010] Y. Sato, “The Rohlin property for automorphisms of the Jiang–Su algebra”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259**:2 (2010), 453–476. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schafhauser 2020a] C. Schafhauser, “A new proof of the Tikuisis–White–Winter theorem”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **759** (2020), 291–304. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schafhauser 2020b] C. Schafhauser, “Subalgebras of simple AF-algebras”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **192**:2 (2020), 309–352. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Takesaki 2003] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras, III*, Encycl. Math. Sci. **127**, Springer, 2003. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tikuisis et al. 2017] A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, “Quasidiagonality of nuclear C^* -algebras”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **185**:1 (2017), 229–284. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 7 Jan 2021. Revised 12 Jan 2022. Accepted 14 Feb 2022.

NORIO NAWATA: nawata@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard [Universit  Paris Sud XI, France](mailto:patrick.gerard@universite-paris-saclay.fr)
patrick.gerard@universite-paris-saclay.fr
Cl ment Mouhot [Cambridge University, UK](mailto:c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)
c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

BOARD OF EDITORS

| | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Massimiliano Berti | Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it | William Minicozzi II | Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu |
| Zbigniew Blocki | Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Poland zbigniew.blocki@uj.edu.pl | Werner M ller | Universit t Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de |
| Charles Fefferman | Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu | Igor Rodnianski | Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu |
| David G rard-Varet | Universit  de Paris, France david.gerard-varet@imj-prg.fr | Yum-Tong Siu | Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu |
| Colin Guillarmou | Universit  Paris-Saclay, France colin.guillarmou@universite-paris-saclay.fr | Terence Tao | University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu |
| Ursula Hamenstaedt | Universit t Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de | Michael E. Taylor | Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu |
| Vadim Kaloshin | University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com | Gunther Uhlmann | University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu |
| Izabella Laba | University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca | Andr s Vasy | Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu |
| Anna L. Mazzucato | Penn State University, USA alm24@psu.edu | Dan Virgil Voiculescu | University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu |
| Richard B. Melrose | Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rbm@math.mit.edu | Jim Wright | University of Edinburgh, UK j.r.wright@ed.ac.uk |
| Frank Merle | Universit  de Cergy-Pontoise, France merle@ihes.fr | Maciej Zworski | University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu |

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$405/year for the electronic version, and \$630/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

  2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 16 No. 8 2023

| | |
|--|------|
| Ground state properties in the quasiclassical regime | 1745 |
| MICHELE CORREGGI, MARCO FALCONI and MARCO OLIVIERI | |
| A characterization of the Razak–Jacelon algebra | 1799 |
| NORIO NAWATA | |
| Inverse problems for nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equations on conformally transversally anisotropic manifolds | 1825 |
| KATYA KRUPCHYK and GUNTHER UHLMANN | |
| Discrete velocity Boltzmann equations in the plane: stationary solutions | 1869 |
| LEIF ARKERYD and ANNE NOURI | |
| Bosons in a double well: two-mode approximation and fluctuations | 1885 |
| ALESSANDRO OLGATI, NICOLAS ROUGERIE and DOMINIQUE SPEHNER | |
| A general notion of uniform ellipticity and the regularity of the stress field for elliptic equations in divergence form | 1955 |
| UMBERTO GUARNOTTA and SUNRA MOSCONI | |