

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 17

No. 6

2024

ANDREA MARCHESE AND ANDREA MERLO

**CHARACTERIZATION OF RECTIFIABILITY  
VIA LUSIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION**



# CHARACTERIZATION OF RECTIFIABILITY VIA LUSIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION

ANDREA MARCHESE AND ANDREA MERLO

We prove that a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as  $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i$ , where each of the  $\mu_i$  is an  $i$ -dimensional rectifiable measure if and only if, for every Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $g$  of class  $C^1$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \neq f(x)\}) < \varepsilon$ .

## 1. Introduction

A fundamental yet simple consequence of Rademacher's theorem and Whitney's theorem is the fact that Lipschitz functions on the Euclidean space admit a Lusin-type approximation with  $C^1$ -functions, namely, for every Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^1$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \neq f(x)\}) < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^n$  denotes the Lebesgue measure; see [Simon 1983, Theorem 5.3]. This fact has a central role in many pivotal results in geometric measure theory, including the existence of the approximate tangent space to a rectifiable set [Simon 1983, Lemma 11.1] and the validity of area and coarea formulas [Simon 1983, § 12].

On the one hand, this approximation property does not only hold for the Lebesgue measure: for instance it holds trivially for a Dirac delta. It is not difficult to see that the same property holds for any rectifiable measure, and clearly the class of Radon measures for which the property holds is closed under finite sums.

On the other hand, it is known that there are measures  $\mu$  for which Lipschitz functions do not admit a Lusin-type approximation with respect to  $\mu$  with functions of class  $C^1$ ; see [Marchese 2017]. In this note we completely classify those measures, proving that the validity of such an approximation property characterizes rectifiable measures, in the following sense.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The measure  $\mu$  can be written as  $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i$ , where each of the  $\mu_i$  is an  $i$ -dimensional rectifiable measure if and only if, for every Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $g$  of class  $C^1$  such that*

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \neq f(x)\}) < \varepsilon. \tag{1}$$



The proof of the “only if” part of [Theorem 1.1](#) is a simple application of Whitney’s theorem. The proof of the “if” part exploits some tools introduced in [\[Alberti and Marchese 2016\]](#), including the notion of the *decomposability bundle* of a measure  $\mu$ : a map  $x \mapsto V(\mu, x)$  which detects the maximal subspaces along which Lipschitz functions are differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere [\[Alberti and Marchese 2016, § 2.6\]](#). For the purposes of this paper, we need to refine the result [\[Alberti and Marchese 2016, Theorem 1.1\(ii\)\]](#) on the existence of Lipschitz functions which are nondifferentiable along directions which do not belong to the decomposability bundle. In that paper, such nondifferentiability is proved by finding a Lipschitz function  $f$  and for  $\mu$ -almost every point  $x$  a sequence of points  $y_i := x + t_i v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  converging to  $x$  along a direction  $v \notin V(\mu, x)$ , such that the corresponding incremental ratios  $(f(y_i) - f(x))/t_i$  do not converge. Here we need to find a function  $f$  such that there exist points  $y_i$  as above, with the additional requirement that  $y_i \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ ; see [Proposition 3.1](#). For a nonrectifiable measure  $\mu$ , the existence of a  $\mu$ -positive set of points  $x$  for which there are points  $y_i \in \text{supp}(\mu)$  approaching  $x$  along a direction  $v \notin V(\mu, x)$  is guaranteed by [Lemma 2.1](#).

We plan to investigate similar questions in Carnot groups, exploiting tools and techniques introduced in [\[De Philippis et al. 2022\]](#). In this setting, similar questions have already attracted some interest. For instance, in [\[Julia et al. 2023\]](#) the authors proved a suitable extension of Lusin’s approximation-type theorem for the surface measure of 1-codimensional  $C_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ -rectifiable surfaces in the Heisenberg groups  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and where the regular approximation of Lipschitz functions are found in the class of  $C_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ -regular functions. The authors also prove that in  $\mathbb{H}^1$  there is a regular surface and a Lipschitz function that cannot be approximated by  $C_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ -regular functions. This different behavior is connected to the algebraic structure of the tangents to 1-codimensional regular surfaces in the Heisenberg groups  $\mathbb{H}^n$  when  $n = 1$  or  $n \geq 2$ .

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

We denote by  $U(x, r)$  the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  and by  $B(x, r)$  the closed ball. In addition, for a Borel set  $E$  and a  $\delta > 0$ , we define  $B(E, \delta) := \bigcup_{y \in E} B(y, \delta)$ . The unit sphere is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

Given a Radon measure  $\mu$  and a (possibly vector-valued) function  $f$ , we denote by  $f\mu$  the measure

$$f\mu(A) := \int_A f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every Borel set } A.$$

For a measure  $\mu$  and a Borel set  $E$  we denote by  $\mu \llcorner E$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $E$ , namely the measure defined by

$$\mu \llcorner E(A) := \mu(A \cap E) \quad \text{for every Borel set } A.$$

The support of a positive Radon measure  $\mu$ , denoted  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ , is the intersection of all closed sets  $C$  such that  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C) = 0$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the symbol  $\mathcal{H}^k$  denotes the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition** (rectifiable sets and measures). For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is  *$k$ -rectifiable* if there are sets  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

- (i)  $E_i$  is a Lipschitz image of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  for every  $i$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{H}^k(E \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i) = 0$ .

A Radon measure is said to be *k-rectifiable* if it is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner E$  for some *k-rectifiable* set *E*.

As usual, the symbol  $\text{Gr}(k, n)$  denotes the Grassmannian of *k*-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and we define  $\text{Gr} := \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \text{Gr}(k, n)$ . We endow  $\text{Gr}$  with the topology induced by the distance

$$d(V, W) := d_{\mathcal{H}}(V \cap U(0, 1), W \cap U(0, 1)),$$

where  $d_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the Hausdorff distance. We recall the following definition; see [Alberti and Marchese 2016, §2.6, §6.1 and Theorem 6.4].

**Definition** (decomposability bundle). Given a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , its *decomposability bundle* is a map  $V(\mu, \cdot)$  taking values in the set  $\text{Gr}$  defined as follows. A vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $V(\mu, x)$  if and only if there exists a vector-valued measure  $T$  with  $\text{div } T = 0$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{M}((T - v\mu) \llcorner B(x, r))}{\mu(B(x, r))} = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{M}((T - v\mu) \llcorner B(x, r))$  denotes the total variation of the vector-valued measure  $(T - v\mu) \llcorner B(x, r)$ .

**Definition** (tangent measures). We define the map  $T_{x,r}(y) = (y - x)/r$ , and we denote by  $T_{x,r}\mu$  the pushforward of  $\mu$  under  $T_{x,r}$ , namely  $T_{x,r}\mu(A) := \mu(x + rA)$  for every Borel set *A*. Given a measure  $\mu$  and a point *x*, the family of *tangent measures*  $\text{Tan}(\mu, x)$ , introduced in [Preiss 1987], consists of all the possible nonzero limits (with respect to the weak\* convergence of measures) of  $c_i T_{x,r_i}\mu$  for some sequence of positive real numbers  $c_i$  and some sequence of radii  $r_i \rightarrow 0$ . We know thanks to [Preiss 1987, Theorem 2.5] that  $\text{Tan}(\mu, x)$  is nonempty  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

**Definition** (cone over a *k*-plane). For any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V \in \text{Gr}(k, n)$ , we let

$$X(x, V, \vartheta) := x + \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : |p_V(v)| \geq \vartheta|v|\},$$

where  $p_V$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto *V*. For notational convenience, for  $k = 0$  and for every  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ , we define  $X(x, 0, \vartheta) := \{x\}$ .

**Definition** ( $F_K$  distance between measures). Given  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  two Radon measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and given  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a compact set, we define

$$F_K(\phi, \psi) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\phi - \int f d\psi \right| : f \in \text{Lip}_1^+(K) \right\}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\text{Lip}_1^+(K)$  denotes the class of 1-Lipschitz nonnegative functions with support contained in *K*. We also write  $F_{x,r}$  for  $F_{B(x,r)}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\dim(V(\mu, x)) = k < n$  for  $\mu$ -almost every *x*. Assume that  $\mu(R) = 0$  for every *k-rectifiable* set *R*. Then, for every  $0 < \vartheta < 1$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \cap B(x, \varepsilon) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \vartheta) \neq \emptyset \tag{3}$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every *x*.

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that there exists a Borel set  $E$  with  $\mu(E) > 0$  such that, for every  $x \in E$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that (3) fails. We claim that this implies that, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in E$ , every tangent measure  $\nu \in \text{Tan}(\mu, x)$  satisfies

$$\text{supp}(\nu) \subset X(0, V(\mu, x), \vartheta). \tag{4}$$

In order to prove (4), fix  $x \in E$  such that  $\text{Tan}(\mu, x)$  is nonempty and consider any open ball  $U(y, \rho) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus X(0, V(\mu, x), \vartheta)$ . Notice that since (3) fails, we have  $T_{x,r}\mu(U(y, \rho)) = \mu(U(x + ry, r\rho)) = 0$  for every  $r < \varepsilon/(|y| + \rho)$ , which we conclude in view of [De Lellis 2008, Proposition 2.7]. Thanks to [Del Nin and Merlo 2022, Proposition 2.9] we infer that  $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset V(\mu, x)$  and in particular  $\nu = c\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner V(\mu, x)$  for some  $c > 0$ . For every  $W \in \text{Gr}(k, n)$ , define

$$E_W := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (k + 1)F_{0,1}(\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner V(\mu, x), \mathcal{H}^k \llcorner W) < 20^{-k-4}\}.$$

By the compactness of the Grassmannian, there exists  $W \in \text{Gr}(k, n)$  such that  $\mu(E_W) > 0$ . On the other hand, by [Preiss 1987, §4.4(5)] and by the locality of tangent measures, see [Preiss 1987, §2.3(4)], we conclude that  $\mu \llcorner E_W$  is supported on a  $k$ -rectifiable set. This however contradicts the assumption that  $\mu(R) = 0$  for every  $k$ -rectifiable set  $R$ . □

**Definition** (cone-null sets). For any  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , we let the *one-sided cone of axis  $e$  and amplitude  $\theta$*  be the set

$$C(e, \theta) := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, e \rangle \geq \theta|v|\}.$$

In the following we denote by  $\Gamma(e, \theta)$  the family of Lipschitz curves  $\gamma : E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma'(t) \in C(e, \theta)$  for  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -almost every  $t \in E$ . Finally, a Borel set  $B$  is said to be  $C(e, \theta)$ -null if  $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{im}(\gamma) \cap B) = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(e, \theta)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $W \in \text{Gr}(k, n)$ , with  $k < n$ , and suppose that there exists  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that, for any  $e \in W^\perp$ , the set  $E$  is  $C(e, \theta_0)$ -null. Then, for any  $\theta_0 \leq \theta < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\text{im}(\gamma) \cap B(E, \delta_0)) \leq \varepsilon$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(e, \theta)$ . For any  $\theta_0 \leq \theta < 1$ ,  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  and any  $e \in W^\perp$ , consider the function

$$\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x) := \sup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma(e,\theta) \\ \gamma(b)=x+\lambda e}} \mathcal{H}^1(B(E, \delta) \cap \text{im}(\gamma)) - \lambda|e|. \tag{5}$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (i)  $0 \leq \omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x) \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x) \leq \omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x + se) \leq \omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x) + s|e|$  for every  $s > 0$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, if the segment  $[x, x + se]$  is contained in  $B(E, \delta)$ , then  $\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x + se) = \omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x) + s|e|$ ,
- (iii)  $|\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x + v) - \omega_{e,\theta,\delta}(x)| \leq \theta(1 - \theta^2)^{-1/2}|v|$  for every  $v \in V := e^\perp$ ,
- (iv)  $\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}$  is  $(1 + (n - 1)\theta(1 - \theta^2)^{-1/2})$ -Lipschitz.

*Proof.* The first part of the proposition is an immediate consequence of Step 1 in the proof of [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Lemma 4.12]. On the other hand, the construction of the function  $\omega_{e,\theta,\delta}$  was performed in the second step of that proof. □

### 3. Construction of nondifferentiable functions

In this section we prove the existence of some suitable Lipschitz functions which are nondifferentiable along directions that are quantitatively far away from the decomposability bundle. Given a measure  $\mu$  as in Lemma 2.1, we prove that there are *many* functions which are nondifferentiable on a set of positive  $\mu$ -measure with the additional property that the nondifferentiability is “detected” by the points in the support of  $\mu$ ; see Proposition 3.1.

In this section we fix  $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$  and let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure such that  $\dim(V(\mu, x)) = k$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu(R) = 0$  for any  $k$ -rectifiable set  $R$ . Thanks to the strong locality principle, see [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Proposition 2.9(i)], and Lusin’s theorem, we can assume, up to restriction to a compact subset  $\tilde{K} \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$  of positive  $\mu$ -measure, that  $V(\mu, x)$  is uniformly continuous on  $\tilde{K}$ . Up to restricting to a subset where the oscillation of  $V$  is small, we can assume that there are  $n$  continuous vector fields  $e_1, \dots, e_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that

$$V(\mu, x) = \text{span}\{e_1(x), \dots, e_k(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad V(\mu, x)^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{k+1}(x), \dots, e_n(x)\} \quad \text{for every } x \in \tilde{K}.$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{K}$  be as above. There exists a Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a Borel set  $E \subseteq \tilde{K}$  of positive  $\mu$ -measure such that, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in E$ , there exists a direction  $v \notin V(\mu, x)$  and a sequence of points  $y_i = y_i(x) \in \tilde{K}$  such that*

$$\frac{y_i - x}{|y_i - x|} \rightarrow v \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y_i) - f(x)}{|y_i - x|} - \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y_i) - f(x)}{|y_i - x|} > 0.$$

Writing  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{n}$ , we apply Lemma 2.1 with the choice  $\vartheta = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$  to find a compact subset  $K_\alpha$  of  $\tilde{K}$  with positive measure, where

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \cap B(x, r) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for any } r > 0 \text{ and every } x \in K_\alpha. \tag{6}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $K_\alpha$  be as above. Then, we can find a compact set  $K \subseteq K_\alpha$  of positive  $\mu$ -measure and a continuous vector field  $e : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $e(x)$  is orthogonal to  $V(\mu, x)$  at  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and such that*

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \cap B(x, r) \cap C(e(x), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \neq \emptyset$$

for any  $r > 0$  and for every  $x \in K$ . (7)

*Proof.* By the choice of  $\alpha$ , the cones

$$C(e_{k+1}(x), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha), \dots, C(e_n(x), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha), C(-e_{k+1}(x), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha), \dots, C(-e_n(x), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha)$$

cover  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X(0, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})$  for every  $x \in K_\alpha$ . Hence there exists one vector field, which we denote by  $e$ , among the  $e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n, -e_{k+1}, \dots, -e_n$  for which the set of those  $x \in K_\alpha$  where (7) holds has positive  $\mu$ -measure. □

**Definition.** Throughout the rest of this section we will let  $\alpha_0$  be as in (6) and we fix  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ . We also fix the compact set  $K$  and the continuous vector field  $e : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  yielded by Lemma 3.2. We let  $e_1, \dots, e_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be continuous orthonormal vector fields spanning  $V(\mu, x)$  at every  $x \in K$  and we complete  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k, e\}$  to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of orthonormal continuous vector fields that we denote by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k, e, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ .

Fix a ball  $B(0, r)$  such that  $K \subset B(0, r - 1)$ . For any  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , we denote by  $X_\beta$  the family of Lipschitz functions  $f : B(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|D_e f(x)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D_{e_j} f(x)| \leq \beta \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, n - 1, \tag{8}$$

for  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We metrize  $X_\beta$  with the supremum norm and note that this make  $X_\beta$  a complete and separable metric space. Note also that  $X_\beta$  is nontrivial as it contains all the  $\beta$ -Lipschitz functions.

In the following definition we introduce some quantities which measure the incremental ratios “detected” by points in the support of  $\mu$ , at fixed scales and along directions which are outside a cone whose axis is the decomposability bundle.

**Definition.** For any  $\beta > 0$  and any  $0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma < 1$ , we can define on  $X_\beta$  the maps

$$T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ f : x \mapsto \max \left\{ \sup \left\{ \frac{f(x+v) - f(x)}{|v|} : \sigma' < |v| \leq \sigma \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{and } x+v \in \text{supp}(\mu) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \right\}, -n \right\},$$

$$T_{\sigma', \sigma}^- f : x \mapsto \min \left\{ \inf \left\{ \frac{f(x+v) - f(x)}{|v|} : \sigma' < |v| \leq \sigma \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{and } x+v \in \text{supp}(\mu) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \right\}, n \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** For any  $0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma < 1$ , the functionals

$$U_{\sigma', \sigma}^\pm f := \int_K T_{\sigma', \sigma}^\pm f(z) d\mu(z)$$

are Baire class 1 on  $X_\beta$ .

*Proof.* As a first step we show that the  $T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ : X_\beta \rightarrow L^1(\mu \llcorner K)$  are continuous whenever  $0 < \sigma' < \sigma < 1$ . The functions  $T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ f$  belong to  $L^1(\mu \llcorner K)$  since  $K$  has finite measure and

$$|T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ f| \leq \text{Lip}(f) + n.$$

In addition, it is immediate to see that

$$|T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ f(x) - T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ g(x)| \leq \frac{2\|f - g\|_\infty}{\sigma'} \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

thanks to the fact that if at some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$(B(x, \sigma) \setminus B(x, \sigma')) \cap (\text{supp}(\mu) \setminus X(x, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})) = \emptyset,$$

then  $T_{\sigma', \sigma} f(x) = -n$  for any  $f \in X_\beta$ . Integrating with respect to  $\mu$ , we infer that

$$\|T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ f(x) - T_{\sigma', \sigma}^+ g(x)\|_{L^1(\mu \llcorner K)} \leq \frac{2\mu(K)}{\sigma'} \|f - g\|_\infty.$$

This implies in particular that  $U_{\sigma', \sigma}^+$  is a continuous functional on  $X_\beta$ . Following verbatim the argument above, one can also prove the continuity of the functionals  $T_{\sigma', \sigma}^-$  and  $U_{\sigma', \sigma}^-$ .

In order to prove that  $U_{0, \sigma}^\pm$  is of Baire class 1, thanks to [Kechris 1995, §24.B] we just need to show that, for any  $f \in X_\beta$ , we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} U_{j^{-1}, \sigma}^\pm f = U_{0, \sigma}^\pm f. \tag{9}$$

This is an immediate consequence of the dominated convergence theorem since the sequence  $(T_{j^{-1}, \sigma}^\pm f)_j$  converges pointwise to  $T_{0, \sigma}^\pm f$  and is dominated by the function constantly equal to  $n$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result of the section, namely the fact that  $X_\beta$  contains plenty of Lipschitz functions whose nondifferentiability at some points of  $K$  is “detected” by points in the support of  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\beta < (8n^2)^{-1}\alpha$ . Then, for every  $\sigma > 0$ , the continuity points of  $U_{0, \sigma}^\pm$  are contained in the set*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm(\sigma) := \left\{ f \in X_\beta : \pm U_{0, \sigma}^\pm f \geq \frac{\alpha}{16n} \mu(K) \right\}.$$

*In particular both  $\mathcal{L}_+(\sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_-(\sigma)$  are residual in  $X_\beta$ .*

Let us briefly explain here the idea of the proof. In our reduction, for every point  $x \in K$  at any small scale, there is a point  $y \in \text{supp}(\mu)$  such that  $y - x$  is far away from  $V(\mu, x)$ ; see Lemma 3.2. Hence the point  $y$  is not reached by Lipschitz curves passing through  $x$  and lying inside  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . By Proposition 2.2, we can find a Lipschitz function  $\omega$  with small supremum norm which “jumps” with high derivative along the segment  $[x, y]$  for any such point  $y$ . Assuming by contradiction that at a continuity point  $g \in X_\beta$  the value of  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$  is below a certain threshold, we reach a contradiction perturbing  $g$  by adding  $\omega$ , so that the value of  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$  increases significantly.

*Proof.* We prove the result just for  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$ . The argument to prove the analogous statement for  $U_{0, \sigma}^-$  can be obtained following verbatim that for  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$  while making suitable changes of sign.

Assume for contradiction that  $g$  is a continuity point for  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$  contained in  $X_\beta \setminus \mathcal{L}_+(\sigma)$ . It is easy to see by convolution that smooth functions are dense in  $X_\beta$ . Since  $g$  is a continuity point for  $U_{0, \sigma}^+$ , for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find a smooth function  $h_\ell \in X_\beta$  such that

$$\|g - h_\ell\|_\infty \leq 2^{-\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{0, \sigma}^+ h_\ell \leq \alpha \mu(K) / (8n),$$

and, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$|D_e h_\ell(x)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D_{e_j} h_\ell(x)| \leq \beta \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$



Let

$$A := \{y \in K : T_{0,\sigma}^+ h_\ell(y) \leq \alpha/(8n)\}.$$

Thanks to Besicovitch’s covering theorem and [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Lemma 7.5], we can cover  $\mu$ -almost all  $A$  with countably many closed and disjoint balls  $\{B(y_j, r_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that, for  $0 < \eta, \chi < (n2^{10\ell})^{-1}\beta^2$ ,

- (i)  $r_j \leq 2^{-\ell}$ ,  $\mu(A \cap B(y_j, r_j)) \geq (1 - \eta)\mu(B(y_j, r_j))$  and  $\mu(\partial B(y_j, r_j)) = 0$ ,
- (ii) for any  $z \in B(y_j, r_j)$ ,

$$|e(z) - e(y_j)| + |\nabla h_\ell(y_j) - \nabla h_\ell(z)| + \left| \frac{h_\ell(z) - h_\ell(y_j)}{|z - y_j|} - \nabla h_\ell(z) \left[ \frac{z - y_j}{|z - y_j|} \right] \right| \leq \chi^4,$$

- (iii) for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find  $0 < \rho_j < (n2^\ell)^{-1}\beta^2$  and a compact subset  $\tilde{A}_j$  of  $A \cap B(y_j, (1 - 2\rho_j)r_j)$  such that  $\mu(\tilde{A}_j) \geq (1 - 2\eta)\mu(B(y_j, r_j))$  and  $\tilde{A}_j$  is  $C(e(y_j), 2^{-10\ell}\chi^2)$ -null.

For any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\phi_j$  be a smooth  $2(\rho_j r_j)^{-1}$ -Lipschitz function such that  $0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1$ ,  $\phi_j = 1$  on  $B(y_j, (1 - \rho_j)r_j)$  and it is supported on  $B(y_j, r_j)$ . Now fix  $0 < \varepsilon < \beta\chi^2$ . Thanks to Proposition 2.2 we can find  $\delta_j \leq 2^{-j}\rho_j r_j$  and a function  $\omega_j$  such that:

- (1)  $0 \leq \omega_j(x) \leq \varepsilon\beta\rho_j r_j$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (2)  $\omega_j(x) \leq \omega_j(x + se(y_j)) \leq \omega_j(x) + s$  for every  $s > 0$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, if the segment  $[x, x + se(y_j)]$  is contained in  $B(\tilde{A}_j, \delta_j)$ , then  $\omega_j(x + se(y_j)) = \omega_j(x) + s$ .
- (3)  $|\omega_j(x + v) - \omega_j(x)| \leq 2^{-9\ell}\chi^2|v|$  for every  $v \in e(y_j)^\perp$ .
- (4)  $\omega_j$  is  $1 + 2^{-9\ell}\chi^2$ -Lipschitz.

We thus define the function  $g_\ell$  as

$$g_\ell := (1 - 2\chi) \left( h_\ell + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [-\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle + 1] \phi_j \omega_j \right). \tag{10}$$

First we estimate the supremum distance

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g_\ell\|_\infty &\leq \|g - h_\ell\|_\infty + 2\chi \|h_\ell\|_\infty + (1 - 2\chi) \|h_\ell - (1 - 2\chi)^{-1}g_\ell\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2^{-\ell} + \chi(\|g\|_\infty + 2^{-\ell}) + (1 - 2\chi) \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2^{-\ell}(2 + \|g\|_\infty + (1 + (n - 1)\beta^2)^{1/2}) \leq 2^{-\ell}(4 + \|g\|_\infty), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of  $\beta$ . The above computation shows that the sequence  $g_\ell$  converges in the supremum distance.

Let us now prove that  $g_\ell \in X_\beta$ . If  $z \notin \bigcup_j B(y_j, r_j)$ , then the functions  $h_\ell$  and  $g_\ell$  and their gradients coincide at  $z$  and hence  $g_\ell$  satisfies (8) on  $(\bigcup_j B(y_j, r_j))^c$ . If on the other hand  $z \in \bigcup_j B(y_j, r_j)$ , there

exists a unique  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $z \in B(y_j, r_j)$ . In particular, differentiating (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g_\ell(z) = (1 - 2\chi) & [\nabla h_\ell(z) + [-\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle + 1] \nabla \phi_j(z) \omega_j(z) \\ & + [-\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle + 1] \phi_j(z) \nabla \omega_j(z)], \end{aligned}$$

so that, for  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$|\langle \nabla g_\ell(z), e(z) \rangle| \leq (1 - 2\chi) |\langle \nabla h_\ell(z), e(z) \rangle + [-\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle + 1] \phi_j(z) \langle \nabla \omega_j(z), e(z) \rangle| + 2\varepsilon\beta,$$

where in the estimate above we have used the facts that

$$|-\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle + 1| \leq 2, \quad \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^n)} \leq 2(\rho_j r_j)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\omega_j\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon\beta\rho_j r_j.$$

Now we replace  $z$  with  $y_j$  in the first addendum, by means of the estimate (ii), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nabla g_\ell(z), e(z) \rangle| \leq 3(1 - 2\chi)\chi^2 + (1 - 2\chi) & |\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle (1 - \phi_j(z) \langle \nabla \omega_j(z), e(z) \rangle) \\ & + \phi_j(z) \langle \nabla \omega_j(z), e(z) \rangle| + 2\varepsilon\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting  $z$  with  $y_j$  in the argument of the vector field  $e$ , we deduce thanks to (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nabla g_\ell(z), e(z) \rangle| \leq 3(1 - 2\chi)\chi^2 + 2\varepsilon\beta + 6(1 - 2\chi)(1 + 2^{-9\ell}\chi)\chi^2 \\ + (1 - 2\chi) |\langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle (1 - \phi_j(z) \langle \nabla \omega_j(z), e(y_j) \rangle) + \phi_j(z) \langle \nabla \omega_j(z), e(y_j) \rangle| \\ \leq 3(1 - 2\chi)\chi^2 + 2\varepsilon\beta + 6(1 - 2\chi)(1 + 2^{-9\ell}\chi)\chi^2 + (1 - 2\chi) \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of  $\chi, \beta, \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, for any  $q = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we infer similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\ell(z + te_q(z)) - g_\ell(z)| & \leq (1 - 2\chi) |h_\ell(z + te_q(z)) - h_\ell(z)| \\ & + (1 - 2\chi) |[1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle] (\phi_j(z + te_q(z)) - \phi_j(z)) \omega_j(z)| \\ & + (1 - 2\chi) |[1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle] \phi_j(z) (\omega_j(z + te_q(y_j)) - \omega_j(z))| \\ & + (1 - 2\chi) |[1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle] \phi_j(z) (\omega_j(z + te_q(z)) - \omega_j(z + te_q(y_j)))| + o(|t|) \\ & \leq (1 - 2\chi)\beta|t| + 4(1 - 2\chi)(\beta\varepsilon\rho_j r_j)(\rho_j r_j)^{-1}|t| + 3 \cdot 2^{-9\ell}(1 - 2\chi)\chi^2|t| \\ & \quad + 3(1 - 2\chi)(1 + 2^{-9\ell}\chi)\chi^4|t| + o(|t|) \\ & \leq (1 - 2\chi)(\beta + 4\beta\varepsilon + 4 \cdot 2^{-9\ell}\chi^2 + 4(1 + 2^{-9\ell}\chi)\chi^4)|t| \\ & \leq (1 - 2\chi)(1 + 10\chi^2)\beta|t| + o(|t|) < \beta|t|, \end{aligned}$$

provided  $|t|$  is chosen sufficiently small (depending on  $z$ ) and where the second to last inequality holds thanks to the choice of  $\chi, \varepsilon$  and for  $\ell$  large enough that  $2^{-\ell} \leq \beta$ . The above bound implies that, in particular,

$$|\langle \nabla g_\ell(z), e_q(z) \rangle| \leq \beta \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{12}$$

This concludes the proof that, for  $\ell$  sufficiently large, we have  $g_\ell \in X_\beta$ .

The next step in the proof is to show that the functions  $g_\ell$  satisfy the inequality  $U_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \geq \alpha\mu(K)/(8n)$  for  $\ell$  sufficiently large, which contradicts the continuity of  $U_{0,\sigma}^+$  at  $g$  (recall that we supposed  $U_{0,\sigma}^+ g \geq \alpha\mu(K)/(16n)$ ). In order to see this, we first estimate from below the partial derivative of  $g_\ell$  along  $e$  on the points of  $\tilde{A}_j$  for any  $j$ . So, let us fix for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  a point  $z \in \tilde{A}_j$ . Then, let  $0 < \lambda_0 < \delta_j$  be small enough that  $\phi_j(z + \lambda e(z)) = 1$  for any  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , and note that

$$\begin{aligned} &(g_\ell(z + \lambda e(z)) - g_\ell(z), e(z)) \\ &\geq (1 - 2\chi)[(h_\ell(z + \lambda e(z)) - h_\ell(z)) + [1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle](\omega_j(z + \lambda e(z)) - \omega_j(z))] \\ &\geq (1 - 2\chi)[- \chi^2 \lambda + \lambda \langle \nabla h_\ell(z), e(z) \rangle + [1 - \langle \nabla h_\ell(y_j), e(y_j) \rangle] \lambda] \\ &\geq \lambda(1 - 2\chi)(1 - 4\chi^2) \geq (1 - 6\chi)\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular that, for any unit vector  $v \in C(e(z), (n - k)^{-1}\alpha)$  and for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_\ell(z + \lambda v) - g_\ell(z) &\geq g_\ell(z + \lambda v) - g_\ell(z + \lambda \langle e(z), v \rangle e(z)) + g_\ell(z + \lambda \langle e(z), v \rangle e(z)) - g_\ell(z) \\ &\geq \alpha(n - k)^{-1}(1 - 6\chi)\lambda - \beta\sqrt{n - 1}\lambda \geq \frac{\alpha}{2(n - k)}\lambda - \beta n\lambda > \alpha \frac{\lambda}{4(n - k)}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of  $\beta$ . However, thanks to the choice of  $K$ , see (7), we infer that

$$T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell(z) \geq \frac{\alpha}{4(n - k)} \quad \text{for any } z \in \bigcup_j \tilde{A}_j.$$

This allows us to infer that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell &= \int_A T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \, d\mu + \int_{K \setminus A} T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \, d\mu \geq \int_A T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \, d\mu + \alpha\mu(K \setminus A) \\ &= \int_{A \setminus \bigcup_j \tilde{A}_j} T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \, d\mu + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_j} T_{0,\sigma}^+ g_\ell \, d\mu + \alpha\mu(K \setminus A) \\ &\geq -\mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j\right) \text{Lip}(g_\ell) + \frac{\alpha}{4(n - k)}\mu\left(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j\right) + \alpha\mu(K \setminus A) \\ &\geq -2\mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j\right) + \frac{\alpha}{4(n - k)}\mu\left((K \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j\right) \\ &\geq -4\eta\mu(K) + \frac{\alpha}{4(n - k)}(1 - 2\eta)\mu(K) \geq \frac{\alpha}{8n}\mu(K) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\ell$  sufficiently large.

Since the functional  $U_{0,\sigma}^+$  is of Baire class 1, thanks to [Oxtoby 1971, Chapter 7] we know that the set of the continuity points of  $U_{0,\sigma}^+$  is residual. However, since, thanks to the above argument,  $\mathcal{L}_+(\sigma)$  contains the continuity points of  $U_{0,\sigma}^+$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_+(\sigma)$  is residual in  $X_\beta$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Let  $\beta := (16n^2)^{-1}\alpha$  and let  $c(\alpha) := \alpha/(16n)$ . Note that since the countable intersection of residual sets is residual, we can find a Lipschitz function  $f$  in  $X_\beta$  such that  $f \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} (\mathcal{L}_+(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{L}_-(\sigma))$ . In particular, for any  $\sigma > 0$ , we have

$$U_{0,\sigma}^- f \leq -c(\alpha)\mu(K) < c(\alpha)\mu(K) \leq U_{0,\sigma}^+ f.$$

Letting  $\Delta T_\sigma f(z) := T_{0,\sigma}^+ f(z) - T_{0,\sigma}^- f(z)$  and  $C_\sigma := \{z \in K : \Delta T_\sigma(z) > c(\alpha)\}$ , we have

$$2c(\alpha)\mu(K) \leq \int_K \Delta T_\sigma(z) d\mu \llcorner K(z) \leq \mu(K \setminus C_\sigma)c(\alpha) + 2\text{Lip}(f)\mu(C_\sigma).$$

Thanks to the above computation we infer in particular that  $\mu(C_\sigma) \geq c(\alpha)\mu(K)/(2\text{Lip}(f))$  for any  $\sigma > 0$ . Thus, defining  $E := \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{l \geq j} C_{1/l}$ , Fatou’s lemma implies that

$$\frac{c(\alpha)\mu(K)}{2\text{Lip}(f)} \leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_{1/p}) \leq \int \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{C_{1/p}} d\mu = \mu(E),$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_{C_{1/p}}$  denotes the indicator function of the set  $C_{1/p}$ . Therefore,  $E$  is a Borel set of positive  $\mu$ -measure such that, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $z \in E$ , there exists a sequence of natural numbers (depending on  $z$ ) such that  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Delta T_{1/p} > c(\alpha)$ . In particular, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $z \in E$ , we have

$$c(\alpha) < \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} (T_{0,1/p}^+ f(z) - T_{0,1/p}^- f(z)) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (T_{0,1/p}^+ f(z) - T_{0,1/p}^- f(z)), \tag{14}$$

where the last identity comes from the fact that  $p \mapsto T_{0,1/p}^+ f(z)$  is decreasing and  $p \mapsto T_{0,1/p}^- f(z)$  is increasing for any  $z$ . However, thanks to the definitions of  $T_{0,1/p}^+ f$  and  $T_{0,1/p}^- f$ , it is immediate to see that, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $z \in E$ , we can find a sequence

$$y_i = y_i(z) \in \text{supp}(\mu) \cap B(z, i^{-1}) \setminus X(0, V(\mu, x), \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})$$

such that

$$\frac{y_i - z}{|y_i - z|} \rightarrow v \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y_i) - f(z)}{|y_i - z|} - \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y_i) - f(z)}{|y_i - z|} > \frac{c(\alpha)}{2}. \quad \square$$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Without loss of generality we can restrict our attention to finite measures. Assume that  $\mu$  is a finite sum of rectifiable measures. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist finitely many disjoint, compact submanifolds  $S_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  of class  $C^1$  (of any dimension between 0 and  $n$ ) such that, defining  $K := \bigcup_{j=1}^N S_j$ , we have  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ . Consider now any Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Theorem 1.1(i)] and Lusin’s theorem, we can find a closed subset  $C \subset K$  such that  $\mu(K \setminus C) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  and, for every  $x \in C$ , the differential  $d_{V(\mu,x)} f(x)$ , see [Alberti and Marchese 2016, §2.1], exists and is continuous. Let  $d : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be obtained by extending  $d_{V(\mu,\cdot)} f$  to be zero in the directions orthogonal to  $V(\mu, \cdot)$ . By [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Proposition 2.9(iii)] and since the  $S_j$ ’s have positive mutual distances, we can apply Whitney’s extension theorem, see [Evans and Gariepy 1992, Theorem 6.10], deducing that there exists a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^1$  such that  $g = f$  and  $dg = d$  on  $C$ . Hence Lipschitz functions admit a Lusin-type approximation with respect to  $\mu$  with functions of class  $C^1$ .

Assume now that  $\mu$  is not a finite sum of rectifiable measures, and write  $\mu = \sum_{k=0}^n \mu \llcorner E_k$ , where

$$E_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \dim(V(\mu, x)) = k\}.$$

Then there exists  $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that  $\mu \llcorner E_k$  is not a  $k$ -rectifiable measure: the case  $k = n$  can be excluded by combining [Alberti and Marchese 2016, Theorem 1.1(i)] and [De Philippis and Rindler 2016, Theorem 1.14] so as to ensure that a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose decomposability bundle has



dimension  $n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}^n$ . Let  $\nu$  be the supremum of all  $k$ -rectifiable measures  $\sigma \leq \mu \llcorner E_k$ , and let  $E$  be any Borel set such that  $\nu = \mu \llcorner (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$ . We claim that  $\mu \llcorner E$  satisfies the assumptions of [Lemma 2.1](#).

To prove the claim, consider a  $k$ -dimensional surface  $S$  that is the graph of some function  $h : W \rightarrow W^\perp$  of class  $C^1$ , where  $W \in \text{Gr}(k, n)$ . Assume for contradiction that  $\eta := \mu \llcorner (E \cap S)$  is nonzero. If

$$G = \{\mu_t := \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner E_t\}_{t \in I} \in \mathcal{F}_\eta$$

is a family as in [\[Alberti and Marchese 2016, Proposition 2.8\(ii\)\]](#), then  $\text{supp}(\mu_t) \subset S$  for almost every  $t \in I$ . Since both  $V(\eta, x)$  and  $\text{Tan}(S, x)$  are  $k$ -dimensional, this implies that  $V(\eta, x) = \text{Tan}(S, x)$  for  $\eta$ -almost every  $x$ . Fix now a point  $y \in \text{supp}(\eta)$ , and observe that the family  $\{(p_W)_\# \mu_t\}_{t \in I}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{(p_W)_\# \eta}$  (as  $(p_W)_\# \mu_t$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner p_W(E_t)$  for any  $t$ ) and that  $V((p_W)_\# \eta, \cdot)$  is  $k$ -dimensional  $(p_W)_\# \eta$ -almost everywhere. By [\[De Philippis and Rindler 2016, Corollary 1.12\]](#), we infer that  $(p_W)_\# \eta$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner W$ . Finally, since  $p_W$  is locally bi-Lipschitz from  $S$  to  $W$ , this implies that  $\eta$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner S$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $\sigma$ . Hence  $\mu \llcorner E$  satisfies the assumptions of [Lemma 2.1](#).

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Lipschitz function obtained from [Proposition 3.1](#). Clearly there exists no function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^1$  which coincides with  $f$  on a set of positive  $\mu \llcorner E$  measure, hence Lipschitz functions do not admit a Lusin-type approximation with respect to  $\mu$  with functions of class  $C^1$ .

**Remarks.** (1) It is evident from the last lines in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) that the condition that  $g$  is of class  $C^1$  can be replaced by the condition that  $g$  is differentiable everywhere.

(2) In [Theorem 1.1](#) the condition (1) can be strengthened to

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \neq f(x) \text{ or } d_V g(x) \neq d_V f(x)\}) < \varepsilon, \quad (15)$$

where  $d_V$  denotes the ‘‘tangential differential’’ defined in [\[Alberti and Marchese 2016, Theorem 1.1\]](#). This follows immediately from [\[De Philippis et al. 2022, Proposition 6.2\]](#); see also [\[Julia et al. 2023, Theorem B\]](#). On the other hand one cannot replace (1) with the condition

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_V g(x) \neq d_V f(x)\}) < \varepsilon, \quad (16)$$

since the latter does not force any geometric structure on  $\mu$ . More precisely, for every Radon measure  $\mu$  and every Lipschitz function  $f$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find a function  $g$  of class  $C^1$  such that (16) holds; see [\[Marchese and Schioppa 2019, Theorem 2.1\]](#).

### Acknowledgements

Marchese acknowledges partial support from PRIN 2017TEXA3H\_002 ‘‘Gradient flows, Optimal Transport and Metric Measure Structures’’. During the writing of this work, Merlo was supported by the Simons Foundation grant 601941, GD., by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 200021-204501 ‘‘Regularity of sub-Riemannian geodesics and applications’’), by the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant 713998 GeoMeG) and by the European Union’s Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 101065346.

## References

- [Alberti and Marchese 2016] G. Alberti and A. Marchese, “On the differentiability of Lipschitz functions with respect to measures in the Euclidean space”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26**:1 (2016), 1–66. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Lellis 2008] C. De Lellis, *Rectifiable sets, densities and tangent measures*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Philippis and Rindler 2016] G. De Philippis and F. Rindler, “On the structure of  $\mathcal{A}$ -free measures and applications”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **184**:3 (2016), 1017–1039. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Philippis et al. 2022] G. De Philippis, A. Marchese, A. Merlo, A. Pinamonti, and F. Rindler, “On the converse of Pansu’s theorem”, preprint, 2022. [arXiv 2211.06081](#)
- [Del Nin and Merlo 2022] G. Del Nin and A. Merlo, “Endpoint Fourier restriction and unrectifiability”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150**:5 (2022), 2137–2144. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Evans and Gariepy 1992] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Julia et al. 2023] A. Julia, S. Nicolussi Golo, and D. Vittone, “Lipschitz functions on submanifolds of Heisenberg groups”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2023**:9 (2023), 7399–7422. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kechris 1995] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. **156**, Springer, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Marchese 2017] A. Marchese, “Lusin type theorems for Radon measures”, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* **138** (2017), 193–207. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Marchese and Schioppa 2019] A. Marchese and A. Schioppa, “Lipschitz functions with prescribed blowups at many points”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **58**:3 (2019), art. id. 112. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Oxtoby 1971] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category: a survey of the analogies between topological and measure spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. **2**, Springer, 1971. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Preiss 1987] D. Preiss, “Geometry of measures in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : distribution, rectifiability, and densities”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **125**:3 (1987), 537–643. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Simon 1983] L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proc. Cent. Math. Anal. **3**, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1983. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 3 Feb 2022. Revised 15 Nov 2022. Accepted 21 Dec 2022.

ANDREA MARCHESE: [andrea.marchese@unitn.it](mailto:andrea.marchese@unitn.it)  
*Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Trento, Povo, Italy*

ANDREA MERLO: [andrea.merlo@ehu.eus](mailto:andrea.merlo@ehu.eus)  
*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del País Vasco, Leioa, Spain*

# Analysis & PDE

[msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde)

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Clément Mouhot Cambridge University, UK  
[c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy <a href="mailto:berti@sissa.it">berti@sissa.it</a>	William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:minicozz@math.jhu.edu">minicozz@math.jhu.edu</a>
Zbigniew Blocki	Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Poland <a href="mailto:zbigniew.blocki@uj.edu.pl">zbigniew.blocki@uj.edu.pl</a>	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:mueller@math.uni-bonn.de">mueller@math.uni-bonn.de</a>
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:cf@math.princeton.edu">cf@math.princeton.edu</a>	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:irod@math.princeton.edu">irod@math.princeton.edu</a>
David Gérard-Varet	Université de Paris, France <a href="mailto:david.gerard-varet@imj-prg.fr">david.gerard-varet@imj-prg.fr</a>	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA <a href="mailto:siu@math.harvard.edu">siu@math.harvard.edu</a>
Colin Guillarmou	Université Paris-Saclay, France <a href="mailto:colin.guillarmou@universite-paris-saclay.fr">colin.guillarmou@universite-paris-saclay.fr</a>	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:tao@math.ucla.edu">tao@math.ucla.edu</a>
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:ursula@math.uni-bonn.de">ursula@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:met@math.unc.edu">met@math.unc.edu</a>
Peter Hintz	ETH Zurich, Switzerland <a href="mailto:peter.hintz@math.ethz.ch">peter.hintz@math.ethz.ch</a>	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA <a href="mailto:gunther@math.washington.edu">gunther@math.washington.edu</a>
Vadim Kaloshin	Institute of Science and Technology, Austria <a href="mailto:vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com">vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com</a>	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:andras@math.stanford.edu">andras@math.stanford.edu</a>
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada <a href="mailto:ilaba@math.ubc.ca">ilaba@math.ubc.ca</a>	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:dvv@math.berkeley.edu">dvv@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Anna L. Mazzucato	Penn State University, USA <a href="mailto:alm24@psu.edu">alm24@psu.edu</a>	Jim Wright	University of Edinburgh, UK <a href="mailto:j.r.wright@ed.ac.uk">j.r.wright@ed.ac.uk</a>
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA <a href="mailto:rbm@math.mit.edu">rbm@math.mit.edu</a>	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:zworski@math.berkeley.edu">zworski@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France <a href="mailto:merle@ihes.fr">merle@ihes.fr</a>		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$690/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

---

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 17 No. 6 2024

---

Projective embedding of stably degenerating sequences of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces JINGZHOU SUN	1871
Uniqueness of excited states to $-\Delta u + u - u^3 = 0$ in three dimensions ALEX COHEN, ZHENHAO LI and WILHELM SCHLAG	1887
On the spectrum of nondegenerate magnetic Laplacians LAURENT CHARLES	1907
Variational methods for the kinetic Fokker–Planck equation DALLAS ALBRITTON, SCOTT ARMSTRONG, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE MOURRAT and MATTHEW NOVACK	1953
Improved endpoint bounds for the lacunary spherical maximal operator LAURA CLADEK and BENJAMIN KRAUSE	2011
Global well-posedness for a system of quasilinear wave equations on a product space CÉCILE HUNEAU and ANNALaura STINGO	2033
Existence of resonances for Schrödinger operators on hyperbolic space DAVID BORTHWICK and YIRAN WANG	2077
Characterization of rectifiability via Lusin-type approximation ANDREA MARCHESE and ANDREA MERLO	2109
On the endpoint regularity in Onsager’s conjecture PHILIP ISETT	2123
Extreme temporal intermittency in the linear Sobolev transport: Almost smooth nonunique solutions ALEXEY CHESKIDOV and XIAOYUTAO LUO	2161
$L^p$ -polarity, Mahler volumes, and the isotropic constant BO BERNDTSSON, VLASSIS MASTRANTONIS and YANIR A. RUBINSTEIN	2179