

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 19

No. 2

2026

RAVI SHANKAR

**HESSIAN ESTIMATES FOR SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN EQUATION  
BY DOUBLING**

# HESSIAN ESTIMATES FOR SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN EQUATION BY DOUBLING

RAVI SHANKAR

New doubling proofs are given for the interior Hessian estimates of the special Lagrangian equation. These estimates were originally shown by Chen, Warren and Yuan in CPAM 2009 and Wang and Yuan in AJM 2014. This yields a higher codimension analogue of Korevaar’s 1987 pointwise proof of the gradient estimate for minimal hypersurfaces, without using the Michael–Simon mean value inequality.

## 1. Introduction

**The pointwise estimate for minimal surfaces.** Korevaar [1987] gave a new pointwise proof of the gradient estimate for solutions of the minimal hypersurface PDE. The proof was modeled after Cheng and Yau’s cutoff [1976] in the maximal surface context. Korevaar’s pointwise proof was robust enough to give gradient estimates for fully nonlinear relatives, the sigma- $k$  curvature equations.

The original proof by Bombieri, De Giorgi and Miranda [1969], and simplified by Trudinger [1972], uses two tools from minimal surface theory: the Michael–Simon mean value inequality for graphs with bounded mean curvature, and the Jacobi inequality  $\Delta b \geq |\nabla b|^2$ , a strong subharmonicity originating from Jacobi fields in the vertical direction. The Korevaar proof relies only on the Jacobi inequality. The two-dimensional surface proof of Gregori [1994] uses isothermal coordinates.

Although the Jacobi inequality can sometimes be found in other categories using ordinary differential calculus, the mean value inequality, and its cousin the monotonicity formula, is a delicate integral relation which is difficult to establish outside the minimal surface context.

**Higher codimensions?** Despite its versatility, an analogous Korevaar argument is missing for higher codimension minimal surfaces. Wang [2004] established a gradient estimate under the area decreasing condition using an integral method. More recently, Dimler [2023] found a pointwise proof under the area decreasing condition, using Savin’s theory of viscosity solutions. However, the method requires an additional condition, that all but one component of the graph to be small.

**Main result of this paper.** We find a Korevaar-type proof of the gradient estimate for a class of high-codimension minimal surfaces. These surfaces can be described by a single potential function  $u$ , such that  $(x, Du)$  is a minimal surface. The potential solves a second-order, fully nonlinear, elliptic PDE (2-1) called the special Lagrangian equation, as shown by Harvey and Lawson [1982]. In this context, the gradient estimate for  $(x, Du)$  is a Hessian estimate for  $u$ .

---

MSC2020: 35J93, 35J99.

Keywords: special Lagrangian equation, interior estimates, partial regularity, Hessian equation.

Despite its relative simplicity compared to general high-codimension surfaces, a Korevaar proof of the Hessian estimate for the special Lagrangian equation was elusive. Integral proofs under much weaker, and sharp by [Nadirashvili and Vlăduț 2010; Wang and Yuan 2013; Mooney and Savin 2024] singular solutions, conditions were only established by Chen, Warren and Yuan [Chen et al. 2009], and Wang and Yuan [2014]. A pointwise proof was attempted in [Warren and Yuan 2008] but required a flatness condition on the gradient.

The main technical ingredient of our proof is a Korevaar-type pointwise calculation. The other ingredients are pure PDE techniques, described below. In particular, nowhere is the Michael–Simon mean value inequality used.

**A doubling approach.** Our approach to the Hessian estimate is based on Shankar and Yuan’s resolution of the Hessian estimate for the sigma-2 equation in dimension four [2025]. The first step is to derive partial regularity by combining an Alexandrov ( $D^2u$ -existing-a.e.) theorem with Savin’s  $\varepsilon$ -regularity [2007]: the singular set is closed and Lebesgue measure zero. The next step is to propagate this partial regularity to the entire domain using a doubling inequality for the Hessian. Partial regularity implies local boundedness of the Hessian inside the smooth set, so the doubling gives a global  $C^{1,1}$  estimate and rules out the singular set.

The doubling inequality generally requires a Jacobi field type inequality  $\Delta b \geq |\nabla b|^2$ . Trudinger [1980] showed doubling in the uniformly elliptic Harnack inequality context. Using the Guan–Qiu test function [2019], Qiu [2024b] established doubling for the sigma-2 equation in dimension three, for which Jacobi is available. In fact, the sigma-2 equation is a special Lagrangian equation in dimension three only. Shankar and Yuan [2025] showed doubling for the sigma-2 equation in dimension four using an almost-Jacobi inequality with a degenerate coefficient. Shankar and Yuan [2024] found a geometric doubling inequality for the Monge–Ampère equation.

In the present paper, we use the Jacobi inequalities of [Chen et al. 2009; Wang and Yuan 2014] to discover doubling inequalities for the special Lagrangian equation in convex and critical/supercritical phase categories.

Another partial regularity propagation has been used for the minimal hypersurface equation. Caffarelli and Wang [1993] gave another proof of the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity of Lipschitz solutions. Starting with  $C^{1,\alpha}$  partial regularity (page 155), they use a geometric Harnack inequality to propagate this flatness to the entire domain (page 156).

**New ideas to establish the doubling inequality.** We modify the Korevaar-type calculation to our high-codimension setting. This fails to give a Hessian estimate, but it yields a doubling inequality. Two modifications are needed to Korevaar to achieve this. We first mix Guan and Qiu’s test function involving the radial derivative  $x \cdot Du - u$  with the Korevaar cutoff to create a minimal surface version of Guan–Qiu. Secondly, for critical phases, the equation’s ellipticity and concavity degenerate, and we need to add an additional increasing, concave term to the cutoff to compensate. Unfortunately, only Green’s-type functions have strong enough concavity, and the cutoff becomes singular. Nevertheless, we only need to establish a doubling inequality, rather than a Hessian estimate. We are free to exclude a small sphere from our calculations. We can then place the singularity inside this inner sphere without analytic problems.

The Qiu cutoff [2024b] used for sigma-2 in three dimensions (i.e., critical phase sLag in 3D) does not seem to extend to the special Lagrangian equation in the convex or higher-dimensional critical phase settings. Either a modification of this cutoff or a singular cutoff of Korevaar/Guan–Qiu type seems important to obtain the doubling inequality.

Wang and Yuan [2014] established  $n - 1$  convexity of solutions. This slightly weaker version of convexity and the black box in [Chaudhuri and Trudinger 2005] allow us to establish Alexandrov regularity without any trouble. In other situations, Alexandrov regularity can be challenging.

### 2. Statement of results

This paper gives pointwise proofs of the Hessian estimates for the special Lagrangian equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i(D^2u) = \Theta = \text{constant} \in \left(-n\frac{\pi}{2}, n\frac{\pi}{2}\right). \tag{2-1}$$

Here, the  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of the Hessian  $D^2u$  of solution  $u(x)$ . The symmetric polynomial  $\sigma_k$  version of this equation is

$$\cos \Theta (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 + \sigma_5 - \dots) - \sin \Theta (1 - \sigma_2 + \sigma_4 - \dots) = 0.$$

Harvey and Lawson [1982] showed that Lagrangian graph  $(x, Du(x)) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, dx^2 + dy^2)$  is a volume minimizing submanifold. The phase is called critical or supercritical if  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$  [Yuan 2006]. In this case, Yuan showed that the PDE has convex level set.

The result of this paper is a new proof of the following two Hessian estimates. The first was shown in [Chen et al. 2009], with interior regularity in [Chen et al. 2023] and further developments for prescribed phase and mean curvature flows in [Warren 2008; Bhattacharya and Shankar 2023; 2024; Bhattacharya and Wall 2024]. The Chen–Warren–Yuan estimate is explicit, while our proof is by compactness.

**Theorem 2.1** (convex solutions). *Let  $u$  be a smooth convex solution of (2-1) in  $B_2(0)$ . Then*

$$|D^2u(0)| \leq C(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}, \Theta).$$

Stronger forms of the next estimate were shown in [Warren and Yuan 2009b; 2010; Wang and Yuan 2014] for  $n \geq 3$  and [Warren and Yuan 2009a] in dimension two. Further developments include inhomogeneous equations [Bhattacharya 2021; 2022; Lu 2023a; 2023b; Zhou 2025], curvature equations [Qiu 2024a; Qiu and Zhou 2024], and mean curvature flows [Bhattacharya and Wall 2025]. We also restrict to  $n \geq 3$ . The dimension-two case is either harmonic, or covered by the simple compactness method in [Li 2019]. These two-dimensional cases were first consequences of results by Heinz [1959] and Gregori [1994] using isothermal coordinates.

**Theorem 2.2** (critical phase). *Let  $u$  be a smooth solution of (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  for phase  $\Theta$  critical  $\Theta = (n - 2)\pi/2$  or supercritical  $\Theta \in ((n - 2)\pi/2, n\pi/2)$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$|D^2u(0)| \leq C(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}, \Theta).$$

A byproduct is a removal of the flatness condition in the pointwise proof of [Warren and Yuan 2008], and a generalization of their condition required for the estimate. Given a smooth solution  $u$  of (2-1), we say that positive, proper, smooth function  $a(D^2u)$  of the Hessian has a *Jacobi inequality* if  $\Delta_g a \geq 2|\nabla_g a|^2/a$ . We also recall that a semiconvex function has a Hessian lower bound  $D^2u \geq -KI$  for some  $K > 0$ , and that a proper function  $a$  satisfies  $a^{-1}(B)$  is bounded for any bounded set  $B$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (semiconvex and Jacobi). *Let  $u$  be a smooth solution of (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  which is semiconvex and has a Jacobi inequality. Then*

$$|D^2u(0)| \leq C(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}, a, K, \Theta).$$

**Remark 2.4.** One consequence is a new proof of the following. The Hessian estimate was earlier shown in a pointwise proof of [Warren and Yuan 2008, Lemma 2.2] assuming the Hessian eigenvalue condition

$$3 + (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda_i^2 + 2\lambda_i\lambda_j \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \tag{2-2}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , under an additional flatness condition  $|Du(x)| \leq \delta(n)|x|$ . A similar estimate for convex Lagrangian mean curvature flow appears in [Bhattacharya et al. 2025]. Later, this estimate was shown in [Ding 2023, Theorem 5.1] without flatness, for a slightly negative  $\varepsilon$  above, using the Michael–Simon mean value inequality. Theorem 2.3 shows how to remove the Warren–Yuan flatness condition on  $Du$  in the pointwise proof. Indeed, equation (4.52) and Lemma 4.1 in [Ding 2023] show that  $u$  is semiconvex under condition (2-2). The Jacobi inequality in [Warren and Yuan 2008, Lemma 2.1] then verifies that the assumptions for Theorem 2.3 are verified. It is likely that doubling proofs for the subcritical estimates in [Zhou 2022; Zhou 2023] are also possible.

**Remark 2.5.** In view of Mooney and Savin’s [2024] recent semiconvex singular solution of (2-1), it is reasonable to expect that the Jacobi inequality required for Theorem 2.3 fails for such solutions. In fact, after a Legendre–Lewy transform, their solution satisfies  $\det D^2\bar{u} = 0$  on a subdomain. This equation lacks ellipticity and concavity, which seems necessary to establish Jacobi inequalities.

### 3. Preliminaries

**3.1. Notation.** For a function  $u(x)$ , we define  $u_i = \partial u / \partial x^i$  and  $u_{ij} = \partial^2 u / \partial x^i \partial x^j$ . On the other hand, eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of the Hessian and subharmonic quantities  $b_m = m^{-1}(\ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} + \dots + \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_m^2})$  do not denote partial derivatives. Moreover,  $C(n)$  denotes various dimensional constants.

**3.2. Differential operators.** For  $g = dx^2 + dy^2|_{y=D_u}$ , or  $g = I + D^2u D^2u$ , the Laplace–Beltrami operator is

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \partial_j). \tag{3-1}$$

In fact, the mean curvature is  $H = \Delta_g(x, Du(x))$ . By [Harvey and Lawson 1982], it follows that  $H = 0$  on solutions of (2-1). Since this implies  $x^i$  are harmonic coordinates where  $\Delta_g x = 0$ , the Laplace operator

simplifies to the linearized operator of (2-1),

$$\Delta_g = g^{ij} \partial_{ij} \stackrel{p}{=} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \partial_{ii} \tag{3-2}$$

at any diagonal point  $\lambda_i = u_{ii}$  of the Hessian, such as after composition with a rotation. Here, we assume summation over repeated indices, unless the index ranges are stated. The gradient and inner product with respect to the metric are

$$\nabla_g v = (g^{1i} v_i, \dots, g^{n1} v_i), \quad \langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g w \rangle_g = g^{ij} v_i w_j \stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii} v_i w_i, \quad |\nabla_g v|^2 = \langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g v \rangle_g \stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii} v_i^2, \tag{3-3}$$

where  $v_i = \partial_i v$  for a function  $v$ .

**3.3. Jacobi inequality: convex.** We recall the Jacobi inequality for smooth convex solutions of (2-1). Given a volume form  $dV_g = \sqrt{\det g} dx$ , we define

$$V = \sqrt{\det g} = \sqrt{\det(I + (D^2 u)^2)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}. \tag{3-4}$$

Then the Jacobi inequality is established by directly taking derivatives and using algebra.

**Proposition 3.1** [Chen et al. 2009, Proposition 2.1]. *Let  $u$  be a smooth **convex** solution of (2-1) on  $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then*

$$\Delta_g \ln V \geq \frac{1}{n} |\nabla_g \ln V|^2, \tag{3-5}$$

or equivalently, for  $a = V^{1/n}$ ,

$$\Delta_g a \geq 2 \frac{|\nabla_g a|^2}{a}. \tag{3-6}$$

**3.4. Jacobi inequality: critical or supercritical phase.** We order the eigenvalues of the Hessian by  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ .

**Proposition 3.2** [Wang and Yuan 2014, Lemma 2.3]. *Let  $u$  be a smooth solution of (2-1) with  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$ . Suppose  $u$  is smooth near  $x = p$  and that at  $x = p$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$ . Then the function  $b_m = m^{-1} \sum_1^m \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_m^2}$  is smooth near  $x = p$ , and satisfies*

$$\Delta_g b_m \geq M |\nabla_g b_m|^2, \quad M := \left( 1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{4n + 1} + 1} \right), \tag{3-7}$$

or equivalently, for  $a_m = \exp(M b_m)$ ,

$$\Delta_g a_m \geq 2 \frac{|\nabla_g a_m|^2}{a_m}. \tag{3-8}$$

Note that  $b_m$  is symmetric in the degenerate eigenvalues. See [Andrews 2007, Theorem 5.1] for the second derivative calculation of symmetric eigenvalue functions. One can take a degenerate limit in this calculation if  $b_m$  is symmetric.

**3.5. The  $n - 1$  convexity for critical or supercritical phases.** We recall the following eigenvalue pinching obtained from (2-1) for large phases using trigonometric identities.

**Lemma 3.3** [Wang and Yuan 2014, Lemma 2.1]. *Suppose the ordered numbers  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  solve (2-1) with  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|, \tag{3-9}$$

$$\lambda_1 + n\lambda_n \geq 0, \tag{3-10}$$

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n - 1. \tag{3-11}$$

Condition (3-11) is called  $n - 1$  convexity. More generally, we say  $u$  is  $k$ -convex if  $\sigma_\ell \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ . It is interpreted in the viscosity sense for nonsmooth  $u$ . Condition (3-9) is related to the convexity of the PDE level set  $F^{-1}\{0\}$ , since derivative  $1/(1 + \lambda_i^2)$  is increasing with  $i$ ; see [Yuan 2006] for a proof of this fact.

**3.6. Closedness of viscosity subsolutions.** We say that  $u$  is a viscosity subsolution of a fully nonlinear elliptic PDE  $F(D^2u) = 0$ , i.e., locally uniformly continuous  $F$  satisfies  $F(M + N) > F(M)$  for any  $N > 0$  at each matrix  $M$  in a convex cone of symmetric matrices containing the positive definite ones, if  $F(D^2Q) \geq 0$  for each quadratic  $Q$  touching  $u$  from above near a point, or  $Q(x_0) = u(x_0)$  with  $Q \geq u$  near  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ; see [Caffarelli and Cabré 1995, Proposition 2.4]. A smooth viscosity subsolution satisfies  $F(D^2u) \geq 0$  pointwise. A supersolution satisfies the reverse inequality, and a solution is both a subsolution and a supersolution.

Special Lagrangian equation (2-1) is elliptic, and  $\sigma_k$  is elliptic on the cone of  $k$ -convex matrices, or the  $M$  satisfying  $\sigma_\ell(M) \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ ; see [Trudinger and Wang 1999, equation (2.3)] for this and similar basics of  $k$ -convexity.

We will use the standard fact that the uniform limit of a sequence of viscosity solutions of an elliptic equation is also viscosity. This is stated in [Caffarelli and Cabré 1995], and this basic proof is written down in, for example, [Shankar and Yuan 2025, Appendix]. Since the domain of the special Lagrangian equation is entire, this is clear. For  $k$ -convexity, we repeat the proof verbatim to show the known fact that a uniform limit of  $k$ -convex solutions is also  $k$ -convex.

**Lemma 3.4** [Caffarelli and Cabré 1995]. *Let  $u_k \in C(\Omega)$  be a sequence of  $k$ -convex functions converging uniformly to  $u \in C(\Omega)$ . Then  $u$  is  $k$ -convex.*

**3.7. Alexandrov theorem on bounded domains.** The condition of  $k$ -convexity leads to an Alexandrov theorem, which is standard for convex, i.e.,  $n$ -convex functions. Let us verify the standard fact that the “black box” still works on bounded domains.

**Proposition 3.5** [Chaudhuri and Trudinger 2005, Theorem 1.1]. *Let  $u \in C(\Omega)$  for a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $u$  is  $k$ -convex for  $k > n/2$ . Then  $u$  is twice differentiable almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . More precisely, for almost every  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , there is a quadratic  $Q$  such that  $u(x) - Q(x) = o(|x - x_0|^2)$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 1.1 in [Chaudhuri and Trudinger 2005] works if  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ , so it suffices to extend  $u$  to a  $k$ -convex function  $\mathbb{R}^n$  outside a small neighborhood of any point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $u$  is continuous, we can

choose a tall enough convex polynomial  $P$  such that  $P(x_0) < 0$  but  $P(x) > u(x)$  on some  $\partial B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . Since  $P$  is convex, it is  $k$ -convex, so if we define  $\bar{u} := \max(P, u)$  on  $B_r(x_0)$  and  $\bar{u} = P$  outside  $B_r(x_0)$ , this is a viscosity subsolution of  $\sigma_\ell \geq 0$ , hence  $k$ -convex. By Alexandrov theorem [Chaudhuri and Trudinger 2005, Theorem 1.1], we conclude  $\bar{u}$  is second-order differentiable almost everywhere, hence  $u$  is also Alexandrov on a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Varying  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , we conclude the proof.  $\square$

**3.8. Savin small perturbation theorem.** We restate [Savin 2007, Theorem 1.3] for equations  $F(M)$  only depending on the Hessian, defined on  $\text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{R})$

**Proposition 3.6** [Savin 2007, Theorem 1.3]. *Let  $F(D^2u)$  satisfy the following hypotheses:*

- (i)  $F \in C^2$ .
- (ii)  $F$  is locally uniformly elliptic,  $F'(M) > 0$ .
- (iii)  $F(0) = 0$ .

*Then there exists  $c_1$  small enough depending on  $n, F$  such that if a viscosity solution  $u$  of  $F(D^2u) = 0$  satisfies flatness  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq c_1$ , then  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2})$  with  $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \leq 1$ .*

**3.9. Partial regularity.** Suppose  $u$  is a viscosity solution of (2-1) with Alexandrov, or  $D^2u$  exists a.e. Then by combining with Savin, we deduce the singular set of  $u$  is closed and measure zero (partial regularity). Indeed, if  $u - Q = o(|x|^2)$ , then one can apply Savin (Proposition 3.6) to

$$v_r(x) = \frac{u(rx) - Q(rx)}{r^2} = \frac{o(r^2)}{r^2}.$$

This function is flat and solves  $G(D^2v) = F(D^2Q + D^2v) = 0$  with  $G(0) = 0$ , so Savin gives  $C^{2,\alpha}$  regularity nearby the Alexandrov point. This shows the set of second-order differentiable points is open, full measure, and contained in the  $C^\infty$  set. In particular, we have partial regularity for (2-1) in the cases of convex solutions and critical or supercritical phases.

#### 4. Doubling for convex or semiconvex solutions

This section establishes a doubling inequality for the Hessian. First we consider the convex solution case, with Jacobi inequality (3-6). Recall that a proper function  $f$  satisfies  $f^{-1}(B)$  bounded for bounded set  $B$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $u \in C^\infty$  solve (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  with  $u$  convex. Then for any  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$ , there exists  $R(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) > 0$  small enough such that, for any  $r \leq R$ ,*

$$\sup_{B_R(y)} a(D^2u) \leq C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) \sup_{B_r(y)} a(D^2u). \tag{4-1}$$

*By the properness of  $a = V^{1/n}$ , we obtain*

$$\sup_{B_R(y)} |D^2u| \leq C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) \sup_{B_r(y)} |D^2u|. \tag{4-2}$$

Next we consider the semiconvex solution with a Jacobi inequality case.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $u \in C^\infty$  solve (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  with  $u$  semiconvex  $D^2u \geq -KI$  with a Jacobi inequality  $\Delta_g a \geq 2|\nabla_g a|^2/a$ . Then for any  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$  and  $0 < r \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , there exists  $R(n, K, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) > 0$  small enough such that*

$$\sup_{B_R(y)} a(D^2u) \leq C(r, K, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) \sup_{B_r(y)} a(D^2u). \tag{4-3}$$

By the properness of  $a$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{B_R(y)} |D^2u| \leq C(r, K, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}, a, \sup_{B_r(y)} |D^2u|). \tag{4-4}$$

We prove this in two separate cases of the same calculation.

*Proof.* Letting  $h \ll 1$  and  $t \gg 1$  with  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$ , we define a Korevaar [1987] exponential cutoff using a Guan–Qiu [2019] type radial derivative for the phase:

$$\eta = (e^{(1-\varphi)/h} - 1)_+, \quad \varphi = (x - y) \cdot Du - u + u(y) + \frac{1}{2}t|x - y|^2. \tag{4-5}$$

We make sure  $t \geq C(\|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1)})$  is large enough for  $\varphi > 1$  on  $\partial B_{1/2}(y)$ . Then  $\text{supp}(\eta) \Subset B_1(0)$ . Also  $B_r(y) \Subset \text{supp}(\eta)$  for  $r \leq R(t, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1)})$  small enough. Note that we continuously extend  $\eta = 0$  outside the connected component of  $B_1(0)$  containing  $x = y$ . We also note that the cutoff is rotationally invariant about the point  $x = y$ .

We now start with a standard calculation. At the max point of  $\eta a$ , we know

$$\nabla_g \eta = -\frac{\eta \nabla_g a}{a}, \tag{4-6}$$

so the Jacobi implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq a\Delta_g \eta + 2\langle \nabla_g \eta, \nabla_g a \rangle + \eta \Delta_g a \\ &= a\Delta_g \eta + \eta \left( \Delta_g a - \frac{2|\nabla_g a|^2}{a} \right) \\ &\geq a\Delta_g \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4-7}$$

Therefore,

$$|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 \leq h\Delta_g \varphi. \tag{4-8}$$

The right-hand side at a diagonal point  $u_{ii} = \lambda_i$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_n$  (omitting sums) is

$$\Delta_g \varphi = \frac{2\lambda_i + t}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \leq Ct. \tag{4-9}$$

The left-hand side is

$$|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 = (x_i - y_i)^2 \frac{(\lambda_i + t)^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2}. \tag{4-10}$$

**(i) Suppose  $u$  is convex.** Since  $\lambda_i \geq 0, t > 1$ , we get

$$(x_i - y_i)^2 \frac{t^2 + 2t\lambda_i + \lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \geq |x - y|^2. \tag{4-11}$$

We obtain

$$|x - y|^2 \leq Cht \leq r^2 \tag{4-12}$$

if  $h = r^2/Ct$ .

Therefore, the maximum value occurs in  $\overline{B_r(y)}$  or on the boundary of  $B_1(0)$ . Since  $\eta = 0$  on  $\partial B_1(0)$ , it occurs in  $\overline{B_r(y)}$ . Using  $\eta > 0$  on  $B_R(y)$ , we obtain the doubling inequality

$$\sup_{B_R(y)} a \leq C \sup_{B_R(y)} \eta a \leq C \sup_{B_1(0)} \eta a \leq C \sup_{B_r(y)} \eta a \leq C \sup_{B_r(y)} a. \tag{4-13}$$

Here,  $C = C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))})$ .

**(ii) Suppose  $u$  is semiconvex,  $\lambda_i \geq -K$ .** We first ensure  $t \geq 2K$ . Suppose  $|x_i - y_i| \geq |x - y|/\sqrt{n}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Subcase  $\lambda_i \leq 3K$ .** Then by

$$(\lambda_i + t)^2 = ((\lambda_i + K) + (t - K))^2 \geq (t - K)^2 \geq K^2,$$

we get

$$(x_i - y_i)^2 \frac{(\lambda_i + t)^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \geq (x_i - y_i)^2 \frac{K^2}{1 + 9K^2} \geq c|x - y|^2. \tag{4-14}$$

**Subcase  $\lambda_i > 3K$ .** Supposing also  $t > 1$ , then as in the convex case,

$$(x_i - y_i)^2 \frac{t^2 + 2t\lambda_i + \lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \geq \frac{|x - y|^2}{n}. \tag{4-15}$$

Overall, we obtain from (4-8)

$$|x - y|^2 \leq C(n, K)ht \leq r^2, \tag{4-16}$$

if  $h \leq C(n, K, t)r^2$ . As in case (i) above, we obtain the doubling inequality, noting the dependence  $t = t(n, K, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))})$ . □

### 5. Doubling for critical special Lagrangian equation by singular cutoff

We establish the doubling inequality for critical phases  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$ . Recall (3-8).

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $u \in C^\infty$  solve (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  with  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$ . Then for any  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$  and  $r < \frac{1}{4}$ ,*

$$\sup_{B_{1/4}(y)} a_1(D^2u) \leq C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) \sup_{B_r(y)} a_1(D^2u). \tag{5-1}$$

By the pinching (3-9), we obtain properness, and conclude

$$\sup_{B_{1/4}(y)} |D^2u| \leq C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}, \sup_{B_r(y)} |D^2u|). \tag{5-2}$$

In order to establish a doubling inequality, we are free to sacrifice all control inside a small ball. Therefore, we can add a singularity to our cutoff inside this ball.

*Proof. Step 1: cutoff.* Let  $\alpha, h^{-1} \gg 1$ . We form the *singular cutoff* on  $B_1(0) \setminus \{y\}$  of Korevaar exponential type,

$$\eta = (e^{(S-\varphi)/h} - 1)_+, \tag{5-3}$$

where, for  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$ , we add an increasing concave term to Guan and Qiu’s radial derivative:

$$\varphi = (x - y) \cdot Du - u + u(y) - \frac{\alpha^{-1}2^\alpha}{|x - y|^{2\alpha}}, \tag{5-4}$$

$$S = -1 - \|(x - y) \cdot Du - u + u(y)\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2}(y))} - \alpha^{-1}2^{3\alpha}.$$

Then  $S - \varphi < 0$  on  $\partial B_{1/2}(y)$  and  $S - \varphi > 0$  on  $B_{1/4}(y)$  for  $\alpha$  large enough. In general,

$$B_{1/4}(y) \setminus \{y\} \Subset \text{supp}(\eta) \Subset B_{1/2}(y) \subset B_1(0).$$

We extend  $\eta = 0$  outside the connected component of  $\{\eta > 0\}$  in  $B_1 \setminus \{y\}$  containing the hole at  $x = y$ .

**Step 2: test function.** We next consider the maximum point  $p$  of  $\eta a_1$  on  $B_{1/2}(y) \setminus B_r(y)$ . If  $p$  is in the interior, then suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$  at  $x = p$ . It follows that  $a_m$  in Proposition 3.2 is smooth near  $x = p$  and attains its maximum at  $x = p$ . As in the Jacobi calculation (4-7), we obtain at  $p$

$$|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 \leq h \Delta_g \varphi. \tag{5-5}$$

After a rotation about  $y$ , we suppose  $D^2u$  is diagonalized at  $p$  with  $\lambda_i = u_{ii}$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . We also define  $z_i = x_i - y_i$ . Then the increasing term increases the left-hand side:

$$|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 = \sum_i z_i^2 \frac{(\lambda_i + Z^{-\alpha-1})^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2}, \quad Z := \frac{1}{2}|z|^2. \tag{5-6}$$

The right-hand side has an extra negative term from the concave cutoff:

$$\Delta_g \varphi = \sum_i \frac{2\lambda_i + Z^{-\alpha-1}}{1 + \lambda_i^2} - (\alpha + 1)Z^{-\alpha-2} \sum_i \frac{z_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2}. \tag{5-7}$$

We emphasize that the correct signs in these equations require the extra term to be singular. This is usually a fatal problem, but restricting to  $|x - y| \geq r$ , we encounter no issues.

**Case 1:**  $|z_n| \geq |z|/\sqrt{n}$ . Using  $|\lambda_n| \leq \lambda_i$  for  $i < n$  from (3-9), inequality (5-5) becomes

$$Z \frac{(\lambda_n + Z^{-\alpha-1})^2}{1 + \lambda_n^2} \leq C(n)h \left( \frac{|\lambda_n| + Z^{-\alpha-1}}{1 + \lambda_n^2} - \frac{c(n)(\alpha + 1)Z^{-\alpha-1}}{1 + \lambda_n^2} \right). \tag{5-8}$$

To derive the first term on the right-hand side, we consider the function

$$f(x) := \frac{x}{1 + x^2}, \quad f'(x) = \frac{1 - x^2}{(1 + x^2)^2} \leq 0 \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1.$$

If  $|\lambda_n| \geq 1$ , then  $f(\lambda_i) \leq f(|\lambda_n|)$ , and it works. Consider now the case  $|\lambda_n| < 1$ . In  $B_{1/2}(y) \setminus B_r(y)$ , we have  $Z^{-\alpha-1} > 8$ . Moreover,  $1/(1 + \lambda_n^2) > \frac{1}{2}$ . So in this case, we obtain the bound

$$\sum_i \frac{2\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \leq n \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{Z^{-\alpha-1}}{1 + \lambda_n^2}.$$

So for small enough  $c(n)$  and large enough  $C(n)$ , we obtain (5-8).

**Hard subcase:**  $|\lambda_n + Z^{-\alpha-1}| \leq 4Z^{-\alpha-1}$ . This means  $|\lambda_n| \leq 5Z^{-\alpha-1}$ . Using the last negative term,

$$\alpha + 1 \leq C(n). \tag{5-9}$$

This is a contradiction for fixed  $\alpha = \alpha(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))})$  large enough. This case is hard because  $h \ll 1$  is unavailable.

**Easy subcase:**  $|\lambda_n + Z^{-\alpha-1}| > 4Z^{-\alpha-1}$ . This means  $|\lambda_n| \geq 3Z^{-\alpha-1}$ . If  $\lambda_n < 0$ ,

$$C(n)h|\lambda_n| \geq Z(-\lambda_n - Z^{-\alpha-1})^2 \geq cZ\lambda_n^2 \geq cZ|\lambda_n|Z^{-\alpha-1}. \tag{5-10}$$

The  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  case gives the same result. In fact, in  $B_{1/2}(y) \setminus B_r(y)$ , we have  $Z \leq \frac{1}{8}$ , so we obtain

$$h \geq \frac{1}{C(n)}. \tag{5-11}$$

This is a contradiction for  $h = h(n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_2)})$  small enough.

**Case 2:**  $|z_i| \geq |z|/\sqrt{n}$  for  $i < n$ . Since  $Z^{-\alpha-1} > 1$  on  $B_{1/2}(y) \setminus B_r(y)$ , and  $\lambda_i \geq |\lambda_n| \geq 0$  by (3-9), the left-hand side (5-6) becomes

$$|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 \geq c(n)Z \frac{\lambda_i^2 + 2\lambda_i Z^{-\alpha-1} + Z^{-2(\alpha+1)}}{1 + \lambda_i^2} > c(n)Z \geq c(n)r^2. \tag{5-12}$$

Then (5-5) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}r^2 \leq C(n)h(1 + Z^{-(\alpha+1)}) \leq C(n)hr^{-2(\alpha+1)}. \tag{5-13}$$

This is a contradiction for  $h(r, \alpha, n) = h(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_2)})$  small enough.

**Conclusion of Step 2.** The max must occur on the boundary. Since  $\eta = 0$  on  $\partial B_{1/2}(y)$ ,

$$\sup_{B_{1/2}(y) \setminus B_r(y)} \eta b = \sup_{\partial B_r(y)} \eta b \leq C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))}) \sup_{B_r(y)} b. \tag{5-14}$$

**Step 3: doubling inequality.** For  $r < \frac{1}{4}$ , the above conclusion gives

$$\sup_{B_{1/4}(y)} b \leq \sup_{B_{1/4} \setminus B_r(y)} b + \sup_{B_r(y)} b \leq C \sup_{B_{1/4}(y) \setminus B_r(y)} \eta b + \sup_{B_r(y)} b \leq C \sup_{B_r(y)} b. \tag{5-15}$$

Here,  $C = C(r, n, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))})$ . □

### 6. Proof of the theorems

Let  $u_k \in C^\infty$  solve (2-1) on  $B_2(0)$  with  $\|u_k\|_{C^{0,1}(B_1(0))} \leq A$  but blowup  $|D^2 u_k(0)| \rightarrow \infty$ . We choose a uniformly convergent subsequence in  $B_1(0)$  to viscosity solution  $u \in C^0(\overline{B_1(0)})$  of (2-1).

**Step 1: partial regularity of the limit.** There are two cases, and we claim Alexandrov is valid in both:

(i) Suppose  $u_k$  are convex solutions or semiconvex with a Jacobi inequality. It follows that  $u$  is also convex, and Alexandrov's theorem shows that  $D^2 u$  exists a.e. in  $B_1(0)$ .

(ii) Suppose  $\Theta \geq (n - 2)\pi/2$ . Then  $u_k$  is  $n - 1$  convex by (3-11), so by Lemma 3.4,  $u$  is also  $n - 1$  convex in the viscosity sense. Then Proposition 3.5 shows that Alexandrov's theorem is true for  $n \geq 3$ .

Using Alexandrov, we choose  $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$  such that  $|y| \leq R(n, K, A)/2$  is sufficiently close to  $x = 0$  as in Propositions 4.1, 4.2, and 5.1. Letting  $Q(x)$  be the Taylor polynomial of  $u$  at  $x = y$ , we have  $|u(x) - Q(x)| \leq \sigma(|x - y|)$  for some modulus  $\sigma(r) = o(r^2)/r^2$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . This implies  $Q$  solves (2-1), using quadratic comparison functions.

**Step 2: flattening the error.** We follow [Shankar and Yuan 2025, page 17]. We let error  $v_k$  equal  $u_k - Q$ , then rescale

$$\bar{v}_k(\bar{x}) = r^{-2}v_k(y + r\bar{x}), \quad \bar{x} \in B_1(0). \tag{6-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{v}_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} &\leq r^{-2}\|u_k(y + r\bar{x}) - u(y + r\bar{x})\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} + \left\| \frac{u(y + r\bar{x}) - Q(y + r\bar{x})}{r^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \\ &\leq r^{-2}\frac{o(k)}{k} + \sigma(r). \end{aligned} \tag{6-2}$$

The last inequality comes from uniform convergence and Alexandrov.

**Step 3: Savin stability of partial regularity.** Since  $Q$  is a solution of (2-1), observe that  $\bar{v}_k$  solves the fully nonlinear elliptic PDE on  $B_1(0)$

$$G(D^2\bar{v}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\arctan \lambda_i(D^2Q + D^2\bar{v}_k) - \arctan \lambda_i(D^2Q)) = 0. \tag{6-3}$$

We see that  $G(0) = 0$ , and Savin’s conditions are satisfied. We use Proposition 3.6 to find  $c_1$ . In (6-2), we can choose  $r = r(\sigma) \ll 1$ , then all  $k \geq k(r(\sigma)) \gg 1$ , such that  $\|\bar{v}_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq c_1$ . By Savin (Proposition 3.6), we deduce that  $\|\bar{v}_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq 1$ . Equivalently, if we relabel  $r/2$  as  $r = r(\sigma)$ ,

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_r(y))} \leq C(n, Q, \sigma). \tag{6-4}$$

**Step 4: doubling to propagate the partial regularity.** By Propositions 4.1, 4.2, or 5.1, we use (6-4) to obtain for smooth solutions  $u_k$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_R(y)} |D^2u_k| &\leq C(r, n, K, A, a, \sup_{B_r(y)} |D^2u_k|) \\ &\leq C(r, n, K, A, a, \underline{C(n, Q, \sigma)}). \end{aligned} \tag{6-5}$$

Since  $B_{R/2}(0) \subset B_R(y)$  and  $r = r(\sigma)$ , we obtain overall

$$|D^2u_k(0)| \leq \sup_{B_{R/2}(y)} |D^2u| \leq C(\sigma, n, K, A, Q, a). \tag{6-6}$$

This contradicts the blowup assumption. We conclude the proof.

### Acknowledgments

I thank Ovidiu Savin for pointing out the reference [Caffarelli and Wang 1993] and Yu Yuan for comments. I also thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

## References

- [Andrews 2007] B. Andrews, “Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **608** (2007), 17–33. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya 2021] A. Bhattacharya, “Hessian estimates for Lagrangian mean curvature equation”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **60**:6 (2021), art. id. 224. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya 2022] A. Bhattacharya, “A note on the two-dimensional Lagrangian mean curvature equation”, *Pacific J. Math.* **318**:1 (2022), 43–50. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya and Shankar 2023] A. Bhattacharya and R. Shankar, “Regularity for convex viscosity solutions of Lagrangian mean curvature equation”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **803** (2023), 219–232. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya and Shankar 2024] A. Bhattacharya and R. Shankar, “Optimal regularity for Lagrangian mean curvature type equations”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **248**:6 (2024), art. id. 95. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya and Wall 2024] A. Bhattacharya and J. Wall, “Hessian estimates for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **63**:8 (2024), art. id. 201. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya and Wall 2025] A. Bhattacharya and J. Wall, “A priori estimates for singularities of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow with supercritical phase”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **259** (2025), art. id. 113844. [MR](#)
- [Bhattacharya et al. 2025] A. Bhattacharya, M. Warren, and D. Weser, “A Liouville type theorem for ancient Lagrangian mean curvature flows”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **50**:1-2 (2025), 118–129. [MR](#)
- [Bombieri et al. 1969] E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi, and M. Miranda, “Una maggiorazione a priori relativa alle ipersuperfici minimali non parametriche”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **32** (1969), 255–267. [MR](#)
- [Caffarelli and Cabré 1995] L. A. Caffarelli and X. Cabré, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. [MR](#)
- [Caffarelli and Wang 1993] L. A. Caffarelli and L. Wang, “A Harnack inequality approach to the interior regularity of elliptic equations”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42**:1 (1993), 145–157. [MR](#)
- [Chaudhuri and Trudinger 2005] N. Chaudhuri and N. S. Trudinger, “An Aleksandrov type theorem for  $k$ -convex functions”, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **71**:2 (2005), 305–314. [MR](#)
- [Chen et al. 2009] J. Chen, M. Warren, and Y. Yuan, “A priori estimate for convex solutions to special Lagrangian equations and its application”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62**:4 (2009), 583–595. [MR](#)
- [Chen et al. 2023] J. Chen, R. Shankar, and Y. Yuan, “Regularity for convex viscosity solutions of special Lagrangian equation”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **76**:12 (2023), 4075–4086. [MR](#)
- [Cheng and Yau 1976] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, “Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz–Minkowski spaces”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**:3 (1976), 407–419. [MR](#)
- [Dimler 2023] B. Dimler, “Partial regularity for Lipschitz solutions to the minimal surface system”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62**:9 (2023), art. id. 260. [MR](#)
- [Ding 2023] Q. Ding, “Liouville type theorems and Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations”, *Math. Ann.* **386**:1-2 (2023), 1163–1200. [MR](#)
- [Gregori 1994] G. Gregori, “Compactness and gradient bounds for solutions of the mean curvature system in two independent variables”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **4**:3 (1994), 327–360. [MR](#)
- [Guan and Qiu 2019] P. Guan and G. Qiu, “Interior  $C^2$  regularity of convex solutions to prescribing scalar curvature equations”, *Duke Math. J.* **168**:9 (2019), 1641–1663. [MR](#)
- [Harvey and Lawson 1982] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr., “Calibrated geometries”, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47–157. [MR](#)
- [Heinz 1959] E. Heinz, “On elliptic Monge–Ampère equations and Weyl’s embedding problem”, *J. Analyse Math.* **7** (1959), 1–52. [MR](#)
- [Korevaar 1987] N. J. Korevaar, “A priori interior gradient bounds for solutions to elliptic Weingarten equations”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **4**:5 (1987), 405–421. [MR](#)
- [Li 2019] C. Li, “A compactness approach to Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with supercritical phase”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **187** (2019), 434–437. [MR](#)
- [Lu 2023a] S. Lu, “Interior  $C^2$  estimate for Hessian quotient equation in dimension three”, preprint, 2023. [arXiv 2311.05835](#)
- [Lu 2023b] S. Lu, “On the Dirichlet problem for Lagrangian phase equation with critical and supercritical phase”, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **43**:7 (2023), 2561–2575. [MR](#)

- [Mooney and Savin 2024] C. Mooney and O. Savin, “Non  $C^1$  solutions to the special Lagrangian equation”, *Duke Math. J.* **173**:15 (2024), 2929–2945. [MR](#)
- [Nadirashvili and Vlăduț 2010] N. Nadirashvili and S. Vlăduț, “Singular solution to special Lagrangian equations”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **27**:5 (2010), 1179–1188. [MR](#)
- [Qiu 2024a] G. Qiu, “Interior curvature estimates for hypersurfaces of prescribing scalar curvature in dimension three”, *Amer. J. Math.* **146**:3 (2024), 579–605. [MR](#)
- [Qiu 2024b] G. Qiu, “Interior Hessian estimates for  $\sigma_2$  equations in dimension three”, *Front. Math.* **19**:4 (2024), 577–598. [MR](#)
- [Qiu and Zhou 2024] G. Qiu and X. Zhou, “A priori interior estimates for special Lagrangian curvature equations”, preprint, 2024. [arXiv 2407.15159](#)
- [Savin 2007] O. Savin, “Small perturbation solutions for elliptic equations”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32**:4-6 (2007), 557–578. [MR](#)
- [Shankar and Yuan 2024] R. Shankar and Y. Yuan, “Regularity for the Monge–Ampère equation by doubling”, *Math. Z.* **307**:2 (2024), art. id. 34. [MR](#)
- [Shankar and Yuan 2025] R. Shankar and Y. Yuan, “Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension four”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **201**:2 (2025), 489–513. [MR](#)
- [Trudinger 1972] N. S. Trudinger, “A new proof of the interior gradient bound for the minimal surface equation in  $n$  dimensions”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **69** (1972), 821–823. [MR](#)
- [Trudinger 1980] N. S. Trudinger, “Local estimates for subsolutions and supersolutions of general second order elliptic quasilinear equations”, *Invent. Math.* **61**:1 (1980), 67–79. [MR](#)
- [Trudinger and Wang 1999] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, “Hessian measures, II”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150**:2 (1999), 579–604. [MR](#)
- [Wang 2004] M.-T. Wang, “Interior gradient bounds for solutions to the minimal surface system”, *Amer. J. Math.* **126**:4 (2004), 921–934. [MR](#)
- [Wang and Yuan 2013] D. Wang and Y. Yuan, “Singular solutions to special Lagrangian equations with subcritical phases and minimal surface systems”, *Amer. J. Math.* **135**:5 (2013), 1157–1177. [MR](#)
- [Wang and Yuan 2014] D. Wang and Y. Yuan, “Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions”, *Amer. J. Math.* **136**:2 (2014), 481–499. [MR](#)
- [Warren 2008] M. Warren, *Special Lagrangian equations*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, 2008, available at <https://www.proquest.com/docview/304439530>. [MR](#)
- [Warren and Yuan 2008] M. Warren and Y. Yuan, “A Liouville type theorem for special Lagrangian equations with constraints”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **33**:4-6 (2008), 922–932. [MR](#)
- [Warren and Yuan 2009a] M. Warren and Y. Yuan, “Explicit gradient estimates for minimal Lagrangian surfaces of dimension two”, *Math. Z.* **262**:4 (2009), 867–879. [MR](#)
- [Warren and Yuan 2009b] M. Warren and Y. Yuan, “Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension 3”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62**:3 (2009), 305–321. [MR](#)
- [Warren and Yuan 2010] M. Warren and Y. Yuan, “Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equations with large phase”, *Amer. J. Math.* **132**:3 (2010), 751–770. [MR](#)
- [Yuan 2006] Y. Yuan, “Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134**:5 (2006), 1355–1358. [MR](#)
- [Zhou 2022] X. Zhou, “Hessian estimates to special Lagrangian equation on general phases with constraints”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **61**:1 (2022), art. id. 4. [MR](#)
- [Zhou 2023] X. Zhou, “Hessian estimates for saddle solutions of a special Lagrangian equation in dimension three”, *J. Differential Equations* **358** (2023), 177–187. [MR](#)
- [Zhou 2025] X. Zhou, “Hessian estimates for Lagrangian mean curvature equation with sharp Lipschitz phase”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **64**:6 (2025), 187. [MR](#)

Received 16 Mar 2024. Revised 26 Aug 2024. Accepted 8 Jan 2025.

RAVI SHANKAR: [rs1838@princeton.edu](mailto:rs1838@princeton.edu)  
 Department of Mathematics, Princeton University,  
 Princeton, NJ, United States

# Analysis & PDE

[msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde)

## EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Anna L. Mazzucato Penn State University, USA  
[alm24@psu.edu](mailto:alm24@psu.edu)

Clément Mouhot Cambridge University, UK  
[c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:c.mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)

## BOARD OF EDITORS

|                        |   |                      |   |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Massimiliano Berti     | Sc. Intern. Sup. di Studi Avan., Italy<br><a href="mailto:berti@sissa.it">berti@sissa.it</a>  | Frank Merle          | Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France<br><a href="mailto:merle@ihes.fr">merle@ihes.fr</a>                            |
| Zbigniew Blocki        | Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Poland<br><a href="mailto:zbigniew.blocki@uj.edu.pl">zbigniew.blocki@uj.edu.pl</a>                                    | William Minicozzi II | Johns Hopkins University, USA<br><a href="mailto:minicozz@math.jhu.edu">minicozz@math.jhu.edu</a>                   |
| Yu Deng                | University of Chicago, USA<br><a href="mailto:yudeng@uchicago.edu">yudeng@uchicago.edu</a>  | Omar Mohsen          | Université Paris-Cité, France<br><a href="mailto:omar.mohsen.fr@gmail.com">omar.mohsen.fr@gmail.com</a>             |
| Thierry Gallay         | Université Grenoble Alpes, France<br><a href="mailto:Thierry.Gallay@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr">Thierry.Gallay@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr</a>           | Igor Rodnianski      | Princeton University, USA<br><a href="mailto:irod@math.princeton.edu">irod@math.princeton.edu</a>                   |
| David Gérard-Varet     | Université de Paris, France<br><a href="mailto:david.gerard-varet@imj-prg.fr">david.gerard-varet@imj-prg.fr</a>                                 | Xavier Ros Oton      | Catalan Inst. for Res. and Adv. Std., Spain<br><a href="mailto:xros@icrea.cat">xros@icrea.cat</a>                   |
| Colin Guillarmou       | Université Paris-Saclay, France<br><a href="mailto:colin.guillarmou@universite-paris-saclay.fr">colin.guillarmou@universite-paris-saclay.fr</a> | Nicolas Rougerie     | ENS Lyon, France<br><a href="mailto:nicolas.rougerie@ens-lyon.fr">nicolas.rougerie@ens-lyon.fr</a>                  |
| Ursula Hamenstaedt     | Universität Bonn, Germany<br><a href="mailto:ursula@math.uni-bonn.de">ursula@math.uni-bonn.de</a>   | Yum-Tong Siu         | Harvard University, USA<br><a href="mailto:siu@math.harvard.edu">siu@math.harvard.edu</a>                           |
| Sebastian Herr         | Universität Bielefeld, Germany<br><a href="mailto:herr@math.uni-bielefeld.de">herr@math.uni-bielefeld.de</a>                                    | Michael E. Taylor    | Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA<br><a href="mailto:met@math.unc.edu">met@math.unc.edu</a>                 |
| Peter Hintz            | ETH Zurich, Switzerland<br><a href="mailto:peter.hintz@math.ethz.ch">peter.hintz@math.ethz.ch</a>   | Gunther Uhlmann      | University of Washington, USA<br><a href="mailto:gunther@math.washington.edu">gunther@math.washington.edu</a>       |
| Vadim Kaloshin         | Institute of Science and Technology, Austria<br><a href="mailto:vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com">vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com</a>                          | András Vasy          | Stanford University, USA<br><a href="mailto:andras@math.stanford.edu">andras@math.stanford.edu</a>                  |
| Jonathan Wing-hong Luk | Stanford University<br><a href="mailto:jluk@stanford.edu">jluk@stanford.edu</a>   | Jim Wright           | University of Edinburgh, UK<br><a href="mailto:j.r.wright@ed.ac.uk">j.r.wright@ed.ac.uk</a>                         |
| Richard B. Melrose     | Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA<br><a href="mailto:rhm@math.mit.edu">rhm@math.mit.edu</a>   | Maciej Zworski       | University of California, Berkeley, USA<br><a href="mailto:zworski@math.berkeley.edu">zworski@math.berkeley.edu</a> |

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover image: Eric J. Heller: “Linear Ramp”


See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2026 is US \$500/year for the electronic version, and \$780/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published continuously online.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2026 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 19 No. 2 2026

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Constant sign and sign changing NLS ground states on noncompact metric graphs                                     | 203 |
| COLETTE DE COSTER, SIMONE DOVETTA, DAMIEN GALANT, ENRICO SERRA and<br>CHRISTOPHE TROESTLER                        |     |
| Controllability of parabolic equations with inverse square infinite potential wells via global Carleman estimates | 241 |
| ALBERTO ENCISO, ARICK SHAO and BRUNO VERGARA  |     |
| Focusing dynamics of 2D Bose gases in the instability regime  | 281 |
| LEA BOSSMANN, CHARLOTTE DIETZE and PHAN THÀNH NAM   |     |
| Lower bounds on fibered Yang–Mills functionals: generic nefness and semistability of direct images                | 317 |
| SIARHEI FINSKI  |     |
| Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equation by doubling   | 339 |
| RAVI SHANKAR  |     |
| Regularity for nonlocal equations with local Neumann boundary conditions  | 353 |
| XAVIER ROS-OTON and MARVIN WEIDNER  |     |