

ESSENTIAL NUMBER THEORY

Discrete series L -packets for real reductive groups

Jeffrey Adams and Tasho Kaletha

2026

vol. 5 no. 1



Discrete series L -packets for real reductive groups

Jeffrey Adams and Tasho Kaletha

We give a modern exposition of the construction, parameterization, and character relations for discrete series L -packets of real reductive groups, which are fundamental results due to Langlands and Shelstad. We incorporate recent developments not present in the original sources, such as normalized geometric transfer factors and the canonical double covers of tori and endoscopic groups, allowing for simpler statements and proofs. We also prove some new results, such as a simple criterion for detecting generic representations for a prescribed Whittaker datum, and an explicit formula for the factor Δ_1 in terms of covers of tori.

1. Introduction	141
2. Recollections	150
3. Genericity of essentially discrete series representations	183
4. Construction of L -packet and internal structure	192
5. Stability	199
6. Endoscopic character identities	202
References	216

1. Introduction

The classification of the discrete series of representations for a real reductive group was a fundamental achievement of Harish-Chandra and a key step towards understanding the tempered representations and obtaining an explicit Plancherel formula. Motivated by the emerging theory of the trace formula, Langlands [1989] organized the tempered representations into packets and Shelstad [1982] proved that these packets satisfy character relations with respect to endoscopic groups. These fundamental results lie at the core of the stabilization of the spectral side of the Arthur–Selberg trace formula and are the cornerstone of many applications of the Langlands program to arithmetic questions.

We give an exposition of these important results that is largely self-contained and incorporates a number of developments and insights that have occurred since

Kaletha was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-2301507. We thank Eric Opdam for some helpful advice.

MSC2020: 11F70, 11F72, 11S37, 17B22, 22E47.

Keywords: discrete series, L -packets, endoscopy, Shelstad, Langlands.

the results were originally obtained. We focus on the case of an essentially discrete series representation (which we henceforth abbreviate to *eds*; see [Definition 2.7.3](#)). Our motivation to do so is two-fold. First, the available expositions of the internal structure and character identities treat the general case of tempered representations, which is more complicated due to the reducibility of parabolic induction and the theory of the Knapp–Stein R -group. The case of *eds* representations on the other hand is more direct and is a good setting in which the basic ideas become visible. At the same time, it is sufficient for many important applications.

Second, a number of developments over the ensuing years have enabled a simplified construction of the L -packets and their internal structure, a more natural statement of the character identities, and a more direct approach to their proof. These developments include the introduction of pure and rigid inner forms and the resulting normalizations of transfer factors [[Vogan 1993](#); [Kaletha 2011](#); [2016b](#)], the introduction of double covers of tori and the recognition of the role they play in the classification of *eds* representations and the construction of their packets [[Adams and Vogan 1992](#); [2016](#); [Kaletha 2019a](#)], and the introduction of double covers of endoscopic groups [[Kaletha 2022](#)], which alleviate the need for ad-hoc constructions and technical work-arounds in the considerations of endoscopy.

We utilize these developments to give a clean and direct account of the construction, internal structure, and character identities of essentially discrete series L -packets. This exposition is rather different from the classical literature and follows more closely the developments in the p -adic case such as [[Kaletha 2019b](#)], whose combination with double covers was hinted at in [[Kaletha 2019a](#)]. In particular, the statement of the character identities that we ultimately present and prove in this paper does not yet exist in the literature in this form. We do however additionally present an alternative statement, for which an indirect and more complicated proof was given in [[Kaletha 2016b](#)] based on the classical works of Langlands and Shelstad.

To improve readability of the paper, we have kept the main arguments short and to the point: the construction and internal structure of L -packets is treated in [Section 4](#) in about 7 pages, and the endoscopic transfer in [Section 6](#) in about 12 pages. At the same time, we have included a somewhat lengthy discussion of relevant background material in [Section 2](#), as well as a discussion of genericity in [Section 3](#) containing results that do not yet appear in the literature.

To say more precisely what we do and how it differs from classical results, we first review these results in the setting of discrete parameters. We refer to [Section 2](#) for an overview of the concepts that will appear. Let G be a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group, \widehat{G} its complex dual group, and ${}^L G = \widehat{G} \rtimes \Gamma$ its L -group, where Γ is the Galois group of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} , and $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Weil group (the unique nonsplit extension of Γ by \mathbb{C}^{\times}). A discrete Langlands parameter is an L -homomorphism $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ whose image is not contained in a proper parabolic subgroup of ${}^L G$, or

equivalently for which the centralizer group $S_\varphi = \text{Cent}(\varphi, \widehat{G})$ contains $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ with finite index; the finite group $S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ turns out to be an abelian 2-group. Two such φ are considered equivalent if they are \widehat{G} -conjugate. To an equivalence class of φ , Langlands [1989, Section 3] attaches a finite set $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ of eds representations of $G(\mathbb{R})$. This construction is a bit complicated due to the fact that Langlands extracts by hand the explicit data contained in φ and uses it to specify the representations in $\Pi_\varphi(G)$. The finite sets $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ form a disjoint partition of the set of equivalence classes of irreducible eds representations of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Shelstad [1982] established an injective map $\pi \mapsto \langle \pi, - \rangle$ from $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ to the set of characters of the finite abelian group $S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$. This map depends on some auxiliary choices. Shelstad then proceeded to prove the following result. Let $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum (as reviewed in Section 2.8) with $\eta(s) \in S_\varphi$. Assume that there exists (and fix) an L -isomorphism ${}^L H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and let ${}^L \eta : {}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ denote its composition with $\eta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$. We note that such an L -isomorphism need not exist in general. By construction φ factors through ${}^L \eta$ as $\varphi = {}^L \eta \circ \varphi'$ for a necessarily discrete parameter $\varphi' : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L H$. Let $\Pi_{\varphi'}(H)$ be the associated L -packet on $H(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $H(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$ and $G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$ the subsets of strongly regular semisimple elements, respectively (recalled in Section 2.5). Shelstad proved the existence of a function $\Delta : H(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}} \times G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is implicitly defined and unique up multiplication by the constant -1 , and showed that there exists a constant $c(\Delta)$ depending on Δ such that for all $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$ the character identity

$$c(\Delta) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\varphi(G)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_\pi(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\gamma, \delta) \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H)} \Theta_\sigma(\gamma) \tag{1-1}$$

holds, where γ runs over the set of (representatives for) the stable conjugacy classes of strongly regular semisimple elements of $H(\mathbb{R})$, and Θ_π and Θ_σ are the Harish-Chandra character functions of the representations π and σ , respectively. The proof of this identity is rather complicated due to the implicit nature of the functions $\pi \mapsto \langle \pi, - \rangle$ and Δ , and the constant $c(\Delta)$.

Langlands and Shelstad [1987] succeeded in making the functions Δ explicit. More precisely, they explicitly defined such functions for all local fields of characteristic zero, in such a way that they satisfy a global product formula related to the stabilization of the Arthur–Selberg trace formula. These functions still remained ambiguous up to multiplication by a nonzero complex root of unity (of order 2 over \mathbb{R}). Subsequently, Langlands and Shelstad [1990, Theorem 2.6.A] proved that these explicitly defined functions must coincide up to a scalar with those implicitly defined by Shelstad earlier. This result was indirect and relied on Shelstad’s identity (1-1). This prompted Arthur [2008] to pose the question of rederiving Shelstad’s identity (1-1) directly in terms of the factors of [Langlands and Shelstad 1987], and without using the arguments of [Langlands and Shelstad 1990] and the implicit

work of [Shelstad 1982]. This was undertaken by Shelstad [2008a; 2010]. There she explicitly defined the so-called “spectral transfer factors” $\Delta(\sigma, \pi)$ and “compatibility factors” $\Delta(\sigma, \pi, \gamma, \delta)$, where σ and π are eds representations of $H(\mathbb{R})$ and $G(\mathbb{R})$ respectively, $\gamma \in H(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$, and $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$. The spectral transfer factor is, just like the geometric transfer factor $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$, well defined only up to a nonzero complex scalar multiple. The compatibility factor $\Delta(\sigma, \pi, \gamma, \delta)$ is canonical. Its purpose is to link the arbitrary choices for normalizations of geometric and spectral factors, by saying that a chosen normalization of $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ is compatible with a chosen normalization of $\Delta(\sigma, \pi)$ if the identity

$$\Delta(\sigma, \pi) = \Delta(\sigma, \pi, \gamma, \delta) \cdot \Delta(\gamma, \delta)$$

holds for all possible σ, π, γ and δ ; see [Shelstad 2010, Section 4]. Just like the variable γ matters only up to stable conjugacy, σ matters only up to L -packets, so we may write $\Delta(\varphi', \pi)$ in place of $\Delta(\sigma, \pi)$ whenever $\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H)$. With this notation, Shelstad proved the following modern version of her classical result:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G)} \Delta(\varphi', \pi) \Theta_{\pi}(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\gamma, \delta) \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H)} \Theta_{\sigma}(\gamma). \quad (1-2)$$

The advantage of this result is that the terms $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ and $\Delta(\varphi', \pi)$ are now explicitly constructed. The relationship between the spectral factor $\Delta(\varphi', \pi)$ and the character $\langle \pi, s \rangle$ in the previous formulation is

$$\frac{\Delta(\varphi', \pi_1)}{\Delta(\varphi', \pi_2)} = \frac{\langle \pi_1, s \rangle}{\langle \pi_2, s \rangle}.$$

Note that both fractions are well defined, since the ambiguity of all objects cancels in the fractions. This relative identity is weaker than what is desired: a version of (1-1) without implicit constants and arbitrary choices, or equivalently a version of (1-2) but with the spectral transfer factor $\Delta(\varphi', \pi)$ replaced by a pairing $\langle \pi, s \rangle$ between $\Pi_{\varphi}(G)$ and a suitable version of S_{φ} .

The next step towards that goal was given by the “generic packet conjecture” formulated by Shahidi [1990], which in its strong form states that any tempered L -packet contains a unique member that is generic with respect to a fixed Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} . The validity of this conjecture for real groups can be extracted from the work of Kostant [1978] and Vogan [1978]. This prompted Kottwitz and Shelstad [1999, Section 5.3] to single out a normalization of the geometric factor $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ depending on \mathfrak{w} when G is a quasisplit group. Shelstad [2008b, Theorem 11.5] was able to prove that the compatibly normalized spectral transfer factor $\Delta(\varphi, \pi_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is equal to 1 when $\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is that unique generic constituent. If we normalize the pairing $\langle \pi_{\mathfrak{w}}, s \rangle$ to equal 1 for that same $\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}$, then for general $\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G)$ one has

$$\Delta(\varphi', \pi) = \langle \pi, s \rangle$$

and this finally leads to the clean formulation

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\varphi(G)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_\pi(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\gamma, \delta) \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H)} \Theta_\sigma(\gamma) \quad (1-3)$$

of the character identities, where $\pi \mapsto \langle \pi, - \rangle$ is an injection of $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ into the character group $(S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)^*$ of the finite (as it turns out abelian) group $S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$, uniquely determined to make the above identity true, and $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ is the Whittaker normalization of the geometric transfer factor.

The main problem with (1-3) was that it was only available for quasisplit groups (slightly more generally, quasisplit K -groups), because other groups lack the concept of a Whittaker datum. For such more general groups, (1-2) was still the only option.

The ideas that led to the resolution of this problem originated in the work of Adams, Barbasch and Vogan [Adams et al. 1992], who showed that the theory becomes more balanced if one considers all groups in a given inner class together. However, one has to rigidify the concept of an inner form appropriately. An easy, but incomplete, way to do this for general fields was introduced in [Adams et al. 1992] over \mathbb{R} and in [Vogan 1993] over general local fields, under the name “pure inner form”. It was shown in [Kaletha 2011, Section 2.2] that pure inner forms can be used to normalize transfer factors beyond the quasisplit case. To go beyond pure inner forms, the concept of strong rational form was introduced in [Adams et al. 1992] over \mathbb{R} , but the associated methods didn’t generalize to other local fields, and didn’t appear to have a connection to transfer factors. The ideas needed to resolve this problem were based on the notion of Galois gerbe from [Langlands and Rapoport 1987], and began taking shape in the study by Kottwitz [1997] of isocrystals with additional structure. They led to the construction of rigid inner forms and to the normalizations of transfer factors for all groups in [Kaletha 2016b] for characteristic-zero local fields, and in [Dillery 2020] for positive-characteristic local fields. It was shown in [Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.2] that over the base field \mathbb{R} the concepts of rigid inner forms and strong rational forms coincide. Moreover, it was shown in [Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.6] that a suitable formulation of (1-3) holds for all real groups.

More precisely, there is a bijection $\pi \mapsto \langle \pi, - \rangle$ between the union of the packets $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ as G varies over all rigid inner forms of a given group, and $\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)^*$, where S_φ^+ is the preimage of S_φ in the universal cover of \widehat{G} . This bijection is normalized so that $\langle \pi_{\mathfrak{w}}, - \rangle = 1$ when $\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is the unique generic member in the L -packet for φ on the unique quasisplit inner form. Then (1-3) holds for all G , provided $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ has been normalized using both the Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} and the rigid inner form datum, as discussed in [Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.3]. This claim was proved in [Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.6] for all tempered φ , not just the discrete ones, using the results of Shelstad [2010; 2008b]. Thus, while the statement was clean, the proof

was again roundabout and involved the construction and study of objects, such as $\Delta(\varphi', \pi)$ and $\Delta(\sigma, \pi, \gamma, \delta)$, that were not needed for the statement. Another issue with the proof was that it relied on [Shelstad 2008b, Theorem 11.5], whose proof was not direct, but rather involved a series of reductions steps to the case of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which was then left to the reader as a “well-known computation”, but, at least to the authors of this article, that computation was not known in any form other than the result for general groups that we will formulate and prove; see Proposition 3.3.3 and Lemma 6.5.1.

The final issue in the classical set-up that we want to discuss is that of the assumed existence of an L -embedding ${}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$. In general such an L -embedding does not exist. Instead, one is given an endoscopic datum $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$, where η is an L -embedding $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$, but there is generally no L -isomorphism ${}^L H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. In the classical set-up one makes an arbitrary choice of a z -extension $H_1 \rightarrow H$ and an L -embedding $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$ and then works not with representations σ of $H(\mathbb{R})$, but rather with representations σ_1 of $H_1(\mathbb{R})$ that transform under a certain nontrivial character of the kernel $H_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$. This brings yet another amount of ambiguity and complication into the theory.

Work of Adams and Vogan [1992] introduced the idea that groups of the form \mathcal{H} should be understood as L -groups of topological covers of $H(\mathbb{R})$. In [Kaletha 2022] it was shown that, in the setting of an endoscopic datum $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$, there is a natural double cover $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$ whose L -group is canonically identified with \mathcal{H} . In other words, there is a canonical L -embedding ${}^L H_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$. Therefore, instead of assuming the existence of an (arbitrary) L -embedding ${}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ and working with the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi}(H)$, or choosing a z -extension $H_1 \rightarrow H$ and an (again arbitrary) L -embedding $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$ and working with the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)$, one ought to consider an L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi'}(H_{\pm})$ consisting of genuine representations of $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, where $\varphi' : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L H_{\pm}$ is the factorization of φ through the canonical L -embedding ${}^L H_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$. Here the word “genuine” means that the nontrivial element in the kernel of $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the representation by the scalar -1 . This allows the identity (1-3) to be stated without assuming the existence of an L -embedding ${}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$, and without an arbitrary choice of a z -extension. At this point, the identity (1-3) becomes fully canonical and does not depend on any choices. It is also worth pointing out that the explicit construction given in [Langlands and Shelstad 1987] of the transfer factor Δ is rather complicated and involves multiple factors, each of which depends on auxiliary data. In contrast, the construction of Δ in the setting of the canonical cover $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, which is given in [Kaletha 2022, Section 4.3], simplifies noticeably and becomes the product of two natural invariants, one of which has a close relationship to the simple form of the transfer factor for Lie algebras derived by Kottwitz [1999]. Moreover, the entire factor attains the pleasant property of being a locally constant function on the set of pairs of regular

semisimple elements, whose values are complex roots of unity of bounded order. This simplifies the analytic arguments.

After this overview of the classical statements and constructions and the historical development of ideas, let us state the form in which we formulate and prove the main result, and briefly describe the approach taken in this note.

We spend about the first half of the note to give in [Section 2](#) a review of much of the background that is needed for the main argument, in order to make the note as self-contained as possible. Then, in [Section 3](#), we discuss how to detect that an eds representation is generic for a particular fixed Whittaker datum. This goes beyond the classical work of Kostant [1978] and Vogan [1978], which treats the question of when a representation is generic with respect to *some* Whittaker datum. The main result of that section, [Proposition 3.3.3](#), describes a simple answer to this question that is entirely analogous to the answer for supercuspidal representations of p -adic groups (originally proved by DeBacker and Reeder [2010] in a special case and shown to hold much more generally in [Kaletha 2019b, Lemma 6.2.2]). As far as we know this result has not yet been recorded in the literature. It allows us to give a direct and explicit proof of the result of Shelstad [2008b, Theorem 11.5].

In [Section 4](#) we give the construction of the L -packet for a discrete series parameter. Here we systematically use the device of admissible embeddings of tori into reductive groups, and the existence of a canonical L -embedding from the L -group of the natural double cover of a maximal torus into the L -group of G . This provides a canonical factorization of the L -parameter into the L -group of that double cover, hence a genuine character of that double cover. From the genuine character we can explicitly produce a function on the set of regular elements of that torus, and basic results of Harish-Chandra provide the desired eds representation. The internal structure of the resulting L -packet is a simple consequence of Tate–Nakayama duality. This approach is very conceptual and avoids any explicit calculations using cocharacters and Galois cohomology. It is also parallel to the constructions employed in the p -adic case, such as in [Kaletha 2019b].

The main result of [Section 4](#) is the following. Let G_0 be a quasisplit connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group with dual group \widehat{G} and L -group ${}^L G$. For each discrete L -parameter $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ we explicitly construct the compound L -packet Π_{φ} , consisting of eds representations of pure (more generally, rigid) inner twists of G_0 . Given a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} for G_0 , we construct a bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \Pi_{\varphi} \rightarrow \pi_0(S_{\varphi})^*$ in the pure case, and $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \Pi_{\varphi} \rightarrow \pi_0(S_{\varphi}^+)^*$ in the rigid case, fitting into the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Pi_{\varphi} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \pi_0(S_{\varphi})^* \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^1(\mathbb{R}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma})^*
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \Pi_{\varphi} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \pi_0(S_{\varphi}^+)^* \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^+)^*
 \end{array}$$

While rigid inner forms are more general than pure inner forms, and hence subsume the latter, we have taken in this note the expositional approach of treating the two cases side-by-side, and sometimes even treating only the case of pure inner forms. This simplifies the language, and the argument in the rigid case is exactly the same as in the pure case.

If we are given a pure or rigid inner twist $(\xi, z) : G_0 \rightarrow G$ of G_0 , then the fiber of the left vertical map in the appropriate diagram over the isomorphism class of (ξ, z) is the L -packet $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ consisting of eds representations of the group $G(\mathbb{R})$, and the restriction of $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ to that subset provides the internal structure of that particular L -packet. Note that every connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group arises this way, provided we use rigid inner forms.

In [Section 6](#) we prove the endoscopic character identities. Our proof is direct and does not involve considerations of spectral transfer factors or compatibility factors, and does not appeal to prior expositions. Instead, we work directly with the factor $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$, which in the setting of the canonical double cover $H(\mathbb{R})_\pm$ is the product of two natural invariants. We show how one of these invariants simply measures the difference between the factorizations of φ and φ' through the L -groups of the natural double covers of the elliptic tori, while the other invariant detects which member of $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ is generic. In this way, we show that the factor $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ plays both a geometric and a spectral role. For the convenience of the reader, we give two versions of the character identities, one using the language of covers, and one using the classical language. For each of those, we give a statement using distributions ([Theorem 6.1.1](#)), and using the language of functions ([Theorem 6.2.1](#)). We show that these two statements are equivalent ([Lemma 2.11.3](#)) using the Weyl integration formula and its stable analog; this equivalence is stated and proved for all local fields of characteristic zero. We then begin the proof of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) and first reduce it to the case of simply connected semisimple groups and elliptic elements ([Lemma 6.2.2](#)), relying on Harish-Chandra's results about uniqueness of invariant eigendistributions. The treatment of this essential case rests on an analysis of the structure of the transfer factor and Harish-Chandra's character formula for discrete series representations. As part of the argument, we derive a new formula for the term Δ_I of the transfer factor in terms of covers of tori, which is purely Lie-theoretic and does not appeal to Galois cohomology; see [Proposition 6.4.2](#).

We now state the character identities of [Theorem 6.2.1](#). Keeping the already established notation of the quasisplit group G_0 with dual group \widehat{G} , the discrete parameter $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$, the Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} for G_0 , and the rigid inner twist $(\xi, z) : G_0 \rightarrow G$, we now take in addition a *refined* endoscopic datum $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ for G , equivalently for G_0 , such that $\eta(s) \in S_\varphi$ in the pure and $\eta(s) \in S_\varphi^+$ in the rigid case (the notion of a refined endoscopic datum was introduced in [[Kaletha 2016b](#), Section 5.3] and reviewed in [Section 2.8](#), and is needed when working

with nonquasisplit groups). This implies that φ factors through the inclusion $\eta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$. There is a canonical topological double cover $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ of $H(\mathbb{R})$ whose L -group ${}^L H_{\pm}$, as defined in [Kaletha 2022, Section 2.6], comes equipped with a canonical isomorphism ${}^L H_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ according to [Kaletha 2022, Section 3.1]. Writing ${}^L \eta$ for the composition of $\eta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$ with this canonical isomorphism we obtain a discrete L -parameter $\varphi' : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L H_{\pm}$ satisfying $\varphi = {}^L \eta \circ \varphi'$. The construction of Section 4.5 provides an L -packet of genuine eds representations $\Pi_{\varphi'}(H)$ on $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ and Theorem 6.2.1(1) asserts the identity

$$e(G) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_{\pi}(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H_{\pm})} \Theta_{\sigma}(\dot{\gamma}), \quad (1-4)$$

where $e(G)$ is the Kottwitz sign of G , defined in [Kottwitz 1983], $\langle \pi, s \rangle = \iota(\pi)(s)$, Δ is the transfer factor constructed in [Kaletha 2022, Section 4.3], and γ runs over a set of representatives for the stable classes of strongly regular semisimple elements of $H(\mathbb{R})$, while $\dot{\gamma} \in H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ is an arbitrary lift of γ . Note that both $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta)$ and $\Theta_{\sigma}(\dot{\gamma})$ are genuine functions of $\dot{\gamma}$, so their product only depends on γ .

For readers who prefer to avoid the language of covers, we also give the following alternative formulation. Choose a z -extension $H_1 \rightarrow H$ and an L -embedding ${}^L \eta_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$; such a choice is always available [Kottwitz and Shelstad 1999, Section 2.2]. Since φ factors through η we can let φ_1 be the composition of φ with ${}^L \eta_1$. This is a discrete parameter for H_1 and we have the eds L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)$ for the group $H_1(\mathbb{R})$. Theorem 6.2.1(2) asserts the identity

$$e(G) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_{\pi}(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\gamma_1, \delta) \sum_{\sigma_1 \in \Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)} \Theta_{\sigma_1}(\gamma_1). \quad (1-5)$$

Here again γ runs over a set of representatives for the stable classes of strongly regular semisimple elements of $H(\mathbb{R})$, while $\gamma_1 \in H_1(\mathbb{R})$ is an arbitrary lift of γ under the surjective map $H_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$. We note that there is a character λ of $K(\mathbb{R}) = \ker(H_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R}))$, depending on the choices of H_1 and ${}^L \eta_1$, such that all representations in $\Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)$ transform under $K(\mathbb{R})$ via λ , while $\Delta(\gamma_1, \delta)$ transforms under $K(\mathbb{R})$ via λ^{-1} in the first variable. Therefore the right-hand side again depends only on γ and not on the lift γ_1 .

We end this note with an apology about its length. Our goal was to give an exposition of the construction of eds L -packets and their character identities that is as simple and short as possible. This is achieved in Sections 4 and 6 in the span of 7 and 14 pages, respectively. But in order to make the note readable to mathematicians in neighboring fields, we decided to include a lengthy introduction and review sections, as well as a careful treatment of genericity, which became ultimately responsible for a much longer text.

2. Recollections

2.1. The L -group. We review the L -group of a connected reductive group following [Vogan 1993, Section 2].

Let F be a field. Assume first that F is separably closed. Let G be a connected reductive F -group. Given a Borel pair (T, B) of G one has the based root datum

$$\text{brd}(T, B, G) = (X^*(T), \Delta, X_*(T), \Delta^\vee),$$

where $\Delta \subset X^*(T)$ is the set of B -simple roots for the adjoint action of T on $\text{Lie}(G)$, and $\Delta^\vee \subset X_*(T)$ are the corresponding coroots. For a second Borel pair (T', B') , there is a unique element of $T'(F) \backslash G(F) / T(F)$ that conjugates (T, B) to (T', B') . This element provides an isomorphism $\text{brd}(T, B, G) \rightarrow \text{brd}(T', B', G)$. This procedure leads to a system of based root data and isomorphisms, indexed by the set of Borel pairs of G . The limit of that system is the based root datum $\text{brd}(G)$ of G .

One can formalize the notion of a based root datum: we refer the reader to [Springer 2009, Section 7.4] for the formal notion of a root datum, to which one has to add a set of simple roots to obtain the formal notion of a based root datum. Based root data can be placed into a category, in which all morphisms are isomorphisms, for the evident notion of isomorphism of based root data. The classification of connected reductive F -groups [Springer 2009, Theorems 9.6.2 and 10.1.1] can be stated as saying that $G \mapsto \text{brd}(G)$ is a full essentially surjective functor from the category of connected reductive F -groups and isomorphisms to the category of based root data and isomorphisms. Moreover, two morphisms lie in the same fiber of this functor if and only if they differ by an inner automorphism.

Consider now a general field F ; let F^s be a separable closure and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F^s/F)$ be the Galois group. Given a connected reductive F -group G , there is a natural action of Γ on the set of Borel pairs of G_{F^s} , and this leads to a natural action of Γ on $\text{brd}(G_{F^s})$. We denote by $\text{brd}(G)$ the based root datum $\text{brd}(G_{F^s})$ equipped with this Γ -action. Given two connected reductive F -groups G_1 and G_2 , an isomorphism $\xi : G_{1, F^s} \rightarrow G_{2, F^s}$ is called an *inner twist* if $\xi^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \xi \circ \sigma^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of G_{1, F^s} for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$. The two groups G_1 and G_2 are then called inner forms of each other. The functor $G \mapsto \text{brd}(G)$ from the category of connected reductive F -groups to the category of based root data over F and isomorphisms is again essentially surjective. It maps inner twists to isomorphisms, and two inner twists map to the same isomorphism if they differ by an inner automorphism. The fiber over a given based root datum over F consists of all reductive groups that are inner forms of each other.

Given a based root datum $(X, \Delta, Y, \Delta^\vee)$ over F , its dual $(Y, \Delta^\vee, X, \Delta)$ is also a based root datum over F . If G is a connected reductive F -group with based

root datum $(X, \Delta, Y, \Delta^\vee)$, its dual \widehat{G} is the unique split connected reductive group defined over a chosen base field (we will work with \mathbb{C}) with based root datum $(Y, \Delta^\vee, X, \Delta)$. Thus, given a Borel pair $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B})$ of \widehat{G} and a Borel pair (T, B) of G_{F^s} , one is given an identification $X_*(\widehat{T}) = X^*(T)$ that identifies the Weyl chambers associated to \widehat{B} and B .

To form the L -group, one chooses a pinning $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B}, \{Y_\alpha\})$ of \widehat{G} . The group of automorphisms of \widehat{G} that preserve this pinning is in natural isomorphism with the group of automorphisms of $\text{brd}(\widehat{G})$, hence with that of $\text{brd}(G)$. The Γ -action on $\text{brd}(G)$ then lifts to an action on \widehat{G} by algebraic automorphisms, and ${}^L G = \widehat{G} \rtimes \Gamma$.

When G is quasisplit, (T, B) is an F -Borel pair, and $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B})$ is a Γ -stable Borel pair of \widehat{G} , then the identification $X_*(T) = X^*(\widehat{T})$ is Γ -equivariant.

2.2. Inner forms. Let G be a connected reductive F -group. An *inner twist* of G is a pair (G_1, ξ) where G_1 is a connected reductive F -group and $\xi : G_{F^s} \rightarrow G_{1, F^s}$ is an isomorphism, such that for each $\sigma \in \Gamma$ the automorphism

$$\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \xi^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \xi \circ \sigma^{-1}$$

of G_{F^s} is inner. An isomorphism of inner twists $(G_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \xi_2)$ is a homomorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ of F -groups such that $\xi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \xi_1$ is an inner automorphism of G_{F^s} . From an inner twist (G_1, ξ) we obtain the function $\Gamma \rightarrow G/Z(G)$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi)$. It is an element of $Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$, whose cohomology class depends only on the isomorphism class of (G_1, ξ) . In this way the set of isomorphism classes of inner twists is in bijection with $H^1(F, G/Z(G))$.

Following Vogan [1993], a *pure inner twist* of G is a triple (G_1, ξ, z) , where G_1 is a connected reductive F -group, $\xi : G_{F^s} \rightarrow G_{1, F^s}$ is an isomorphism and $z \in Z^1(F, G)$, subject to $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \text{Ad}(\bar{z}_\sigma)$ where $\bar{z} \in Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$, is the image of z under the natural projection $G \rightarrow G/Z(G)$. An isomorphism of pure inner twists $(G_1, \xi_1, z_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \xi_2, z_2)$ is a pair (f, g) consisting of an isomorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ of F -groups and $g \in G(F^s)$ such that $\xi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \xi_1 = \text{Ad}(g)$ and $z_2(\sigma) = g z_1(\sigma) \sigma(g)^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$. The map $(G_1, \xi, z) \mapsto z$ induces a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of pure inner twists to $H^1(F, G)$.

There is lighter notation in which inner twists and pure inner twists can be recorded. It is grounded on the fact that, if (G_1, ξ) is an inner twist of G , with $\bar{z} \in Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$ given by $\bar{z}(\sigma) = \xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi)$, and we let $G_{\bar{z}}$ be the algebraic F -group obtained from G by twisting the F -structure by \bar{z} , then ξ becomes an isomorphism of F -groups $G_{\bar{z}} \rightarrow G_1$, and in fact an isomorphism of inner twists $(G_{\bar{z}}, \text{id}) \rightarrow (G_1, \xi)$. Therefore the map $\bar{z} \mapsto (G_{\bar{z}}, \text{id})$ induces an injection from $Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$ into the class of all inner twists of G which meets every isomorphism class. Two elements of $Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$ map into the same isomorphism class if and only if they lie in the same orbit for the action of $G(F^s)$ on $Z^1(F, G/Z(G))$ given by

$(g \cdot z)(\sigma) = gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}$. The orbits space for this action is $H^1(F, G/Z(G))$, and this gives the same identification between that orbit space and the set of isomorphism classes of inner twists as above.

The same simplification applies to the notion of pure inner twists. There we work with the set $Z^1(F, G)$ and obtain the embedding $z \mapsto (G_z, \text{id}, z)$ from that set into the class of pure inner twists of G . Again the orbit space for the action of $G(F^s)$ on $Z^1(F, G)$ by $(g \cdot z)(\sigma) = gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}$ equals $H^1(F, G)$ and is identified with the set of isomorphism classes of pure inner twists.

We now take $F = \mathbb{R}$, hence $F^s = \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Gamma = \{1, c\}$, where c is complex conjugation. An element of $Z^1(F, G)$ can be identified with the image of c , which is an element of $G(\mathbb{C})$. We can also think of this as an element of the coset $G(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes c \subset G(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \Gamma$. The elements of $Z^1(F, G)$ correspond precisely to the elements of $G(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes c$ of order 2.

In [Adams et al. 1992] the notion of strong real form was introduced. This is an element $\delta \in G(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes c$, such that δ^2 is a finite-order element of $Z(G)(\mathbb{C})$. In [Kaletha 2016b] this notion was given a cohomological interpretation and was extended to nonarchimedean local fields. Following the latter reference, a *rigid inner twist* of G is a triple (G_1, ξ, z) , where G_1 is a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group, $\xi : G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{1, \mathbb{C}}$ is an isomorphism and $z \in Z_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}, G)$, subject to $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \text{Ad}(\bar{z}_\sigma)$. Here

$$1 \rightarrow u_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

is a certain extension of the Galois group, $Z_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}, G)$ denotes the group of continuous 1-cocycles $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{C})$ whose restriction to $u_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})$ takes values in $Z(G)(\mathbb{C})$, and \bar{z} is again the image of z under the natural projection map $G \rightarrow G/Z(G)$; it factors through the quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma$. Our notation here differs somewhat from [Kaletha 2016b], in that we write $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in place of W and $H_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}, G)$ in place of

$$H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G) \rightarrow G).$$

An isomorphism of pure inner twists $(G_1, \xi_1, z_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \xi_2, z_2)$ is a pair (f, g) consisting of an isomorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ of \mathbb{R} -groups and $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\xi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \xi_1 = \text{Ad}(g)$ and $z_2(e) = gz_1(e)\sigma_e(g)^{-1}$ for all $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\sigma_e \in \Gamma$ is the image of e . The set of isomorphism classes of rigid inner twists is in bijection with $H_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}, G)$. It is shown in [Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.2] that an element of $G(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes c$ whose square is a finite-order element of $Z(G)(\mathbb{C})$ naturally gives an element of $Z_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}, G)$, and this sets up a bijection between the set of $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of the former and the set of cohomology classes of the latter.

Each of these three notions partitions the set of isomorphism classes of connected reductive \mathbb{R} -groups into equivalence classes. The notion of inner twist is the most classical, stemming from the classification of reductive groups, and each equivalence class has exactly one quasisplit member. Unfortunately, this notion is not rigid

enough for representation theory — the group of automorphisms of an inner twist (G_1, ξ) is $(G_1/Z(G_1))(\mathbb{R})$, and the conjugation by such an element can be an outer automorphism of the Lie group $G_1(\mathbb{R})$.

The notion of pure inner twist is sufficiently rigid — the group of automorphisms of a pure inner twist (G_1, ξ, z) is $G_1(\mathbb{R})$, hence acts by inner automorphisms of $G_1(\mathbb{R})$. Unfortunately, the equivalence classes induced by this notion are generally smaller, and not all of them contain a quasisplit member.

The notion of a rigid inner twist is again sufficiently rigid, and in addition the equivalence classes it induces coincide with those induced by the notion of inner twist. This will be the notion that we will use.

For further discussion of this topic we refer the reader to [Vogan 1993; Kaletha 2016a].

Given an inner twist $\xi : G \rightarrow G_1$, the isomorphism ξ induces an isomorphism $\text{brd}(G) \rightarrow \text{brd}(G_1)$, which is Γ -equivariant, even though ξ itself is not. This leads to an identification of dual groups $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_1$ and L -groups ${}^L G = {}^L G_1$.

2.3. Admissible embeddings of tori. Let F be a field and let G be a connected reductive F -group. Let \widehat{G} be its dual group, defined over any base field, which we take to be \mathbb{C} , equipped with a Γ -action.

Let S be an F -torus. We recall from [Kaletha 2019b, Section 5.1] that, given a Γ -stable \widehat{G} -conjugacy class \widehat{J} of embeddings $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ whose images are maximal tori, there is an associated Γ -stable $G(F^S)$ -conjugacy class J of embeddings $S_{F^S} \rightarrow G_{F^S}$.

To obtain J , we first assume that G is quasisplit. Fix Γ -stable Borel pairs $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B})$ and (T, B) in \widehat{G} and G , respectively. Thus we have the identifications $X_*(T) = X^*(\widehat{T})$ and $\Omega(T, G) = \Omega(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ as Γ -modules, where $\Omega(T, G) = N_G(T)/T$ is the absolute Weyl group. There is $\widehat{j} \in \widehat{J}$ with image \widehat{T} and we obtain the isomorphism $X_*(T) = X^*(\widehat{T}) \rightarrow X^*(\widehat{S}) = X_*(S)$, hence an isomorphism $j : S_{F^S} \rightarrow T_{F^S}$. We let J be the $G(F^S)$ -conjugacy class of the composition of j with the inclusion $T \rightarrow G$.

For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ the embedding

$$\sigma(\widehat{j}) = \sigma_{\widehat{G}} \circ \widehat{j} \circ \sigma_{\widehat{S}}^{-1}$$

is \widehat{G} -conjugate to \widehat{j} , but has the same image because \widehat{T} is Γ -stable, so there exists $w \in \Omega(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ such that $\sigma(\widehat{j}) = w \circ \widehat{j}$. By construction of j we have $\sigma(j) = w \circ j$, using $\Omega(T, G) = \Omega(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$, and conclude that J is Γ -stable. Since all Γ -stable Borel pairs of \widehat{G} are conjugate under \widehat{G}^Γ , and all Γ -stable Borel pairs of G are conjugate under $G(F)$, the construction of J does not depend on the choices of Borel pairs.

Now drop the assumption that G is quasisplit. We consider an inner twist $\xi : G_0 \rightarrow G$ with G_0 quasisplit. It gives an identification $\widehat{G}_0 = \widehat{G}$ and we obtain from \widehat{J} a Γ -stable $G_0(F^S)$ -conjugacy class J_0 of embeddings $S_{F^S} \rightarrow (G_0)_{F^S}$. Composing

with ξ we obtain the desired $G(F^s)$ -conjugacy class J of embeddings $S_{F^s} \rightarrow G_{F^s}$. It is Γ -stable, because the $G(F^s)$ -conjugacy class of ξ is.

This completes the construction of J . We will refer to it as the set of *admissible* embeddings $S \rightarrow G$. If we want to record $\xi : G_0 \rightarrow G$ we will write J^ξ .

Write $J(F)$ for the set of Γ -fixed points in J , i.e., the set of embeddings $S \rightarrow G$ defined over F . When $G = G_0$ is quasisplit, a result of Kottwitz [1982, Corollary 2.2] guarantees that this set is nonempty. For a general G this set may be empty. The group $G(F)$ acts on $J(F)$ by conjugation and we will write $J(F)/G(F)$ for the set of orbits under this action.

Given any two elements $j_1, j_2 \in J(F)$ there exists $g \in G(F^s)$ such that $j_2 = \text{Ad}(g) \circ j_1$. The map $\sigma \mapsto j_1^{-1}(g^{-1}\sigma(g))$ is a 1-cocycle of Γ valued in $S(F^s)$. Its class is independent of g and will be denoted by $\text{inv}(j_1, j_2)$. For a fixed $j_1 \in J(F)$ the map $j_2 \mapsto \text{inv}(j_1, j_2)$ is a bijection between $J(F)/G(F)$ and $\ker(H^1(j_1) : H^1(F, S) \rightarrow H^1(F, G))$.

One can combine multiple inner forms in this discussion to obtain a more uniform picture. This requires the use of pure or rigid inner twists. Fix a quasisplit group G_0 . We start with the case of pure inner twists and consider tuples (G, ξ, z, j) , where (G, ξ, z) is a pure inner twist of G_0 and $j \in J^\xi(F)$. An isomorphism $(G_1, \xi_1, z_1, j_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \xi_2, z_2, j_2)$ between such tuples is an isomorphism

$$(f, g) : (G_1, \xi_1, z_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \xi_2, z_2)$$

of inner twists that satisfies $j_2 = f \circ j_1$. Let $\mathcal{J}(F)$ be the category whose objects are these tuples and whose morphisms are these isomorphisms. Given two tuples as above there exists $g \in G_0(F^s)$ such that $j_2 = \xi_2 \circ \text{Ad}(g) \circ \xi_1^{-1} \circ j_1$. The map

$$\sigma \mapsto j_1^{-1}(\xi_1(g^{-1}z_2(\sigma)\sigma(g)z_1(\sigma)^{-1}))$$

is a 1-cocycle $\Gamma \rightarrow S(F^s)$ whose class $\text{inv}(j_2, j_1)$ depends only on the isomorphism classes of the tuples. If we fix the tuple (G_1, ξ_1, z_1, j_1) then the map $(G_2, \xi_2, z_2, j_2) \mapsto \text{inv}(j_1, j_2)$ induces a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{J}(F)]$ to $H^1(F, S)$.

The individual groups can be extracted from the combined picture as follows. For a fixed (G, ξ, z) we can view the set $J^\xi(F)$ as the set of objects in a category, with set of morphisms $j_1 \rightarrow j_2$ given by $\{g \in G(F) \mid j_2 = \text{Ad}(g) \circ j_1\}$. Then we obtain an embedding (fully faithful functor) from $J^\xi(F)$ to $\mathcal{J}(F)$. The category $\mathcal{J}(F)$ decomposes into blocks, indexed by $H^1(F, G_0)$, and each block is equivalent to $J^\xi(F)$ for some (G, ξ, z) . In particular, the set of isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{J}(F)]$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{H^1(F, G_0)} (J^\xi(F)/G(F))$. If we choose $j_0 \in J^{G_0}(F)$, then under the bijection $[\mathcal{J}(F)] \rightarrow H^1(F, S)$ given by $(G, \xi, z, j) \mapsto \text{inv}(j, j_0)$, an individual $J^\xi(F)/G(F)$ coming from (G, ξ, z) is mapped bijectively onto the fiber of $H^1(j_0) : H^1(F, S) \rightarrow H^1(F, G)$ over the class of z .

The bijection $[\mathcal{F}(F)] \rightarrow H^1(F, S)$ coming from fixing (G, ξ, z, j) can be understood as the orbit map for a natural action of $H^1(F, S)$ on $[\mathcal{F}(F)]$ that does not depend on any choices. To see this action it is easier to consider the simplified notation, where a pure inner twist of G_0 is understood simply as an element $z \in Z^1(F, G_0)$, in the sense that it corresponds to (G_z, id, z) , where G_z is the F -group obtained by twisting the rational structure of G_0 by z . Then \mathcal{F} consists of pairs (z, j) , where $z \in Z^1(F, G_0)$ and $j \in J^{G_0}$. Such a pair lies in $\mathcal{F}(F)$ if and only if $j \in J^{G_z}(F)$, which is explicitly given as $\text{Ad}(z(\sigma))\sigma_{G_0} \circ j \circ \sigma_S^{-1} = j$. The group $G_0(F^s)$ acts on \mathcal{F} by $g \cdot (z, j) = ([\sigma \mapsto gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}], \text{Ad}(g) \circ j)$. This action preserves $\mathcal{F}(F)$ and the orbit space is $[\mathcal{F}(F)]$. We introduce the action of $Z^1(F, S)$ on $\mathcal{F}(F)$ as $x \cdot (z, j) = ([j \circ x] \cdot z, j)$ for $x \in Z^1(F, S)$ and $(z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(F)$. One checks directly that the actions of $Z^1(F, S)$ and $G(F^s)$ on $\mathcal{F}(F)$ commute and that the action of $S(F^s)$ on $Z^1(F, S)$ is compatible with the action of $Z^1(F, S)$ on $\mathcal{F}(F)$ in the sense that $(s \cdot x) \cdot (z, j) = j(s) \cdot (x \cdot (z, j))$. Thus we obtain an action of $H^1(F, S)$ on $[\mathcal{F}(F)]$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *The above action is simply transitive.*

Proof. For simplicity, let $x \in Z^1(F, S)$, $g \in G_0(F^s)$, and $(z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ be such that $g \cdot (z, j) = x \cdot (z, j)$. Then $j = \text{Ad}(g) \circ j$ from which we see that $g = j(s)$ for some $s \in S(F^s)$. We also have $j(s)z(\sigma)\sigma_{G_0}(j(s))^{-1} = j(x(\sigma)) \cdot z(\sigma)$ and multiplying on the right by $z(\sigma)^{-1}$ and using that $(z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ we conclude $j(s \cdot \sigma_S(s)^{-1}) = j(x(\sigma))$; thus $[x] = 1$ in $H^1(F, S)$, as desired.

For transitivity, we need to show that any two elements of $[\mathcal{F}(F)]$ are in the same $H^1(F, S)$ orbit. Since the members of J^{G_0} are all conjugate under $G_0(F^s)$ we may represent the two elements of $[\mathcal{F}(F)]$ by (z_1, j) and (z_2, j) with the same j . The fact that both lie in $\mathcal{F}(F)$ implies that

$$\text{Ad}(z_1(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_{G_0} \circ j = \text{Ad}(z_2(\sigma)) \circ \sigma_{G_0} \circ j.$$

It follows that $\sigma_{G_0}^{-1}(z_2(\sigma)^{-1}z_1(\sigma))$ lies in the image of j , and we write it as $j(\sigma_S^{-1}(x(\sigma)))$ for some $x(\sigma) \in S(F^s)$. Using that $(z_1, j), (z_2, j) \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ we see that $j(x(\sigma)) = z_1(\sigma)z_2(\sigma)^{-1}$. From this one easily checks that $x \in Z^1(F, S)$ and concludes $(z_1, j) = x \cdot (z_2, j)$, as desired. \square

The procedure that produced J from \hat{J} is invertible. Given a Γ -stable $G(F^s)$ -conjugacy class J of embeddings $S \rightarrow G$, we compose with ξ^{-1} to obtain a Γ -stable $G_0(F^s)$ -conjugacy class J_0 of embeddings $S \rightarrow G_0$. Pick Γ -stable Borel pairs (T, B) of G_0 and (\hat{T}, \hat{B}) of \hat{G} . Choose $j \in J_0$ giving an isomorphism $S \rightarrow T$ and use it to get identifications $X^*(\hat{S}) = X_*(S) = X_*(T) = X^*(\hat{T})$. The resulting isomorphism $\hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ is not Γ -equivariant, but translates the Γ -structure on \hat{S} to a twist by $\Omega(\hat{T}, \hat{G})$ of the Γ -structure on \hat{T} . Therefore the composition of this

isomorphism with the tautological inclusion $\widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ has the property that its \widehat{G} -conjugacy class \widehat{J} is Γ -stable.

The same discussion applies to the setting of rigid inner forms when the base field is local. One should only replace $H^1(F, -)$ with $H^1(u_F \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_F, Z(G) \rightarrow -)$.

2.4. Representations and elements in inner forms. In Section 2.3 we reviewed the idea of grouping the various admissible embeddings of a torus S into the different inner forms of a fixed group G_0 , thereby obtaining a set $\mathcal{F}(F)$ with an action of $G_0(F^s)$ on it. Here we follow the same idea, but group elements or representations.

We focus on $F = \mathbb{R}$, although the same procedure applies for any F of interest. Let $\widetilde{\Pi}$ be the set of pairs (z, π) , where $z \in Z^1(F, G_0)$ and π is an isomorphism class of irreducible admissible representations of $G_z(F)$, where G_z is again the \mathbb{R} -group obtained by twisting the \mathbb{R} -structure of G_0 by z . The group $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ acts on this set by $g \cdot (z, \pi) = ([\sigma \mapsto gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}], \pi \circ \text{Ad}(g)^{-1})$. Note that $\text{Ad}(g) : G_z \rightarrow G_{gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}}$ is an isomorphism of algebraic \mathbb{R} -groups. Let $\Pi = \widetilde{\Pi}/G_0(\mathbb{C})$. This is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of pure inner forms of G_0 . The pairs $(z, \pi) \in \widetilde{\Pi}$ with a fixed z correspond to all isomorphism classes of representations of $G_z(\mathbb{R})$.

Similarly, consider the set of pairs (z, δ) , where $z \in Z^1(F, G_0)$ and $\delta \in G_z(F)$ is a strongly regular semisimple element (reviewed in Section 2.5). The group $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ acts on this set by $g \cdot (z, \delta) = ([\sigma \mapsto gz(\sigma)\sigma(g)^{-1}], g\delta g^{-1})$. If two pairs (z_1, δ_1) and (z_2, δ_2) are in the same orbit for this action, we will call them *stably conjugate*. Just as with the case of admissible embeddings, there is a cohomological invariant $\text{inv}((z_1, \delta_1), (z_2, \delta_2)) \in H^1(F, S_1)$, where $S_1 \subset G_0$ is the centralizer of δ_1 , a maximal \mathbb{R} -torus of G_{z_1} . This invariant is the class of the 1-cocycle $\Gamma \rightarrow S_1$ given by

$$\sigma \mapsto g^{-1}z_2(\sigma)\sigma(g)z_1(\sigma)^{-1},$$

where $g \in G_0(\mathbb{C})$ is any element satisfying $(z_2, \delta_2) = g \cdot (z_1, \delta_1)$. If $z_1 = z_2 = z$ and $\text{inv}((z, \delta_1), (z, \delta_2)) = 1$, then g can be chosen to lie in $G_z(\mathbb{R})$, and we see that δ_1 and δ_2 are $G_z(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate. More generally, if $\text{inv}((z_1, \delta_1), (z_2, \delta_2)) = 1$, the element g can be chosen to be an isomorphism $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ defined over \mathbb{R} . In either case, we will call (z_1, δ_1) and (z_2, δ_2) *rationally conjugate*. With this in mind, we call the orbit space for this action the set of rational classes of regular semisimple elements of pure inner forms.

The same discussion applies with pure inner forms replaced by rigid inner forms, using the action of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ via the projection map $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma$.

2.5. Weyl denominators. Let G be a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group and $T \subset G$ a maximal \mathbb{R} -torus. One can consider the function $T(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$D_T(\gamma) = D_T^G(\gamma) = \prod_{\alpha \in R(T, G)} (1 - \alpha(\gamma)).$$

An element $\gamma \in T(\mathbb{R})$ is called regular if T is the identity component of its centralizer, and strongly regular if T is its centralizer. In either case, T is uniquely determined by γ , and we can write $D_T(\gamma) = D(\gamma)$.

In this paper we will normalize orbital integrals and characters by multiplying them by $|D(\gamma)|^{1/2}$. Thus, for $\gamma \in T(\mathbb{R})$ strongly regular and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}))$ we set

$$O_\gamma(f) = |D(\gamma)|^{1/2} \int_{G(\mathbb{R})/T(\mathbb{R})} f(g\gamma g^{-1}) dg/d\gamma, \quad (2-1)$$

while for π an admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ we have the normalized character function $\Theta_\pi : G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ determined by

$$\text{tr}(\pi(f)) = \Theta_\pi(f) = \int_{G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}} |D(g)|^{-1/2} \Theta_\pi(g) f(g) dg. \quad (2-2)$$

This has the advantage that the functions $O_\gamma(f)$ and $\Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ remain bounded as γ approaches singular elements in $T(\mathbb{R})$.

We note here that the distribution $f \mapsto O_\gamma(f)$ depends on the choice of measures dg on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and dt on $T(\mathbb{R})$, and the distribution $f \mapsto \Theta_\pi(f)$ depends on dg , while the function $\gamma \mapsto \Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ does not depend on any choices.

An important role in this paper will be played by a function D_B which has the property that $|D_B| = |D_T|^{1/2}$. To see this function, let us interpret D_T as an element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[Q]$, where $Q \subset X^*(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the root lattice; we write the group operation on Q multiplicatively. Given a Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup $B \subset G$ containing T we write $\alpha > 0$ when α is a B -positive root, and define

$$D'_B = \prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - \alpha^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[Q], \quad D_B = \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\alpha^{1/2} - \alpha^{-1/2}) \in \mathbb{Q}[Q]. \quad (2-3)$$

In $\mathbb{Q}[Q]$ we have the identity

$$D_B = \rho \cdot D'_B, \quad (2-4)$$

where $\rho = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^{1/2} \in Q$. This implies

$$D_T = D'_B \cdot D'_B = D_B \cdot D_{\bar{B}},$$

where \bar{B} is the Borel subgroup opposite to B . Moreover, for $w \in \Omega(T, G)$ we have

$$wD_B = D_{w^{-1}Bw} = \text{sgn}(w)D_B. \quad (2-5)$$

In particular, $|D_B|$ is independent of the choice of B and hence $|D_T|^{1/2} = |D_B|$, provided we can interpret D_B as a function on $T(\mathbb{R})$.

It is clear that D'_B is a function on $T(\mathbb{R})$. If we want to interpret D_B as a function of $T(\mathbb{R})$, the occurrence of $\alpha^{1/2}$ in the formula causes a problem. From (2-4) we see that D_B will be a function of $T(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if ρ is, which is equivalent to the element ρ lying in $X^*(T)$. This is always the case when G is semisimple

and simply connected, but can fail in general. To remedy this situation, one can introduce a double cover of $T(\mathbb{R})$, which will be discussed in the next section.

2.6. Double covers of tori and L -embeddings. Let G be a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group and let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus. An obstruction to the element D_B defining a function on $T(\mathbb{R})$ is the fact that $\rho \in \frac{1}{2}X^*(T)$ may not lie in $X^*(T)$. To remedy this, Adams and Vogan [1992; 2016] introduce the ρ -double cover $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho$ as the pullback of the diagram

$$T(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\rho^2} \mathbb{C}^\times \xleftarrow{(-)^2} \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

which comes equipped with a natural character $\rho : T(\mathbb{R})_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, namely the projection onto the right factor \mathbb{C}^\times . By construction we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})_\rho \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 1$$

and ρ is a genuine character, i.e., $\rho(-x) = -\rho(x)$ for $x \in T(\mathbb{R})_\rho$, where $-x$ denotes the product of x and the element -1 .

While the double cover $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho$ appears to depend on ρ , this is actually not so. Indeed, for any other Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup B' we have $\rho'/\rho \in X^*(T)$, which allows us to define the genuine character $\rho' : T(\mathbb{R})_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ as $\rho \cdot (\rho'/\rho)$. Combining this character with the natural projection $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})$ gives a map from $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho$ to the diagram defining $T(\mathbb{R})_{\rho'}$, hence an isomorphism $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})_{\rho'}$.

To emphasize the independence of $T(\mathbb{R})_\rho$ on ρ we will write $T(\mathbb{R})_\pm$ for this cover, or alternatively $T(\mathbb{R})_G$ if we want to emphasize the dependence on the ambient group G . For each Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup B we have the genuine character $\rho_B : T(\mathbb{R})_\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

In this paper we will be particularly interested in the case when T is elliptic. Then there is a different way to obtain the ρ -cover that generalizes to all local fields, as discussed in [Kaletha 2019a]. One first defines the ‘‘big cover’’ of $T(\mathbb{R})$ as follows. Each root provides a homomorphism $\alpha : T(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$. Combining these homomorphisms for a pair $A = \{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}\}$ provides a homomorphism $A : T(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1_\pm$, where $\mathbb{S}^1_\pm \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is the kernel of the product map $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$. Define the ‘‘big cover’’ as the pullback of

$$T(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{(\alpha)} \prod \mathbb{S}^1_\pm \xleftarrow{z/\bar{z}} \prod (\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}_{>0})_-, \tag{2-6}$$

where the products run over the set of pairs $A = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ consisting of a root and its negative, and $(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}_{>0})_-$ denotes analogously the antidiagonal in

$$(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}_{>0}).$$

The result is an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \prod \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the map z/\bar{z} becomes the squaring map, and we see that $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm}$ is equipped with a character $\alpha^{1/2} : T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ for each root α , and that $\beta^{1/2} = (\alpha^{1/2})^{-1}$ whenever $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. There is an obvious surjective homomorphism $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ whose kernel is the kernel of the multiplication map $\prod\{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. The function $\alpha^{1/2} - \alpha^{-1/2}$ is well defined on the big cover, while for any choice of Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup B the function

$$D_B := \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\alpha^{1/2} - \alpha^{-1/2})$$

descends to the double cover $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$.

The action of the Weyl group $\Omega_G(T)(\mathbb{R})$ lifts naturally to an action on $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, even on $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm}$, because $\Omega_G(T)(\mathbb{R})$ acts naturally on each term in (2-6). The identity (2-5) holds for this function.

What makes the double cover $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ very useful in the setting of the Langlands program is the fact that there is an associated L -group ${}^L T_{\pm} = {}^L T_G$, as well as a canonical \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of L -embeddings ${}^L T_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$; see [Kaletha 2019a, Section 4.1]. The property of the L -group ${}^L T_{\pm}$ is that the set of \widehat{T} -conjugacy classes of L -homomorphisms $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L T_{\pm}$ is in natural bijection with the set of genuine characters of $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. Therefore, any L -parameter for G that factors through the image of the embedding of ${}^L T_{\pm}$ provides in a canonical way an $\Omega_G(T)(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of genuine characters of $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$.

In contrast to ${}^L T_{\pm}$, there is generally no canonical L -embedding ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$. In fact, if the Galois form of ${}^L T$ is used, there is generally no L -embedding ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$ at all, let alone a canonical one, as one sees by considering the example of $G = \text{PGL}_2$ and T the anisotropic torus. If the Weil form of ${}^L T$ is used, then there always do exist L -embeddings ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$, but there is generally no canonical choice. If one chooses a genuine character of $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, then the pointwise product of its L -parameter $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L T_{\pm}$ with the natural inclusion $\widehat{T} \rightarrow {}^L T_{\pm}$ does lead to an L -isomorphism ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L T_{\pm}$ between the Weil forms of the L -groups for $T(\mathbb{R})$ and $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. Composing this isomorphism with the canonical L -embedding ${}^L T_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$ provides an L -embedding ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$, and every L -embedding arises from this construction. A convenient choice for a genuine character on $T(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ is the character ρ associated to some Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup of G containing T .

It is worth pointing out that all L -embeddings ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$, as well as all L -embeddings ${}^L T_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$, that extend a fixed embedding $\hat{j} : \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$, have the same image, namely

$$\{x \in N_{L_G}(\widehat{T}) \mid x \cdot \hat{j}(t) \cdot x^{-1} = \hat{j}(\sigma_x(t)) \ \forall t \in \widehat{T}\}, \tag{2-7}$$

where $\sigma_x \in \Gamma$ is the image of x under the natural projection ${}^L G \rightarrow \Gamma$.

2.7. Essentially discrete series representations. We will recall here the definition of essentially discrete series representations of real reductive groups and their classification due to Harish-Chandra. We will need to allow for a slightly different set-up than that in Harish-Chandra’s original papers.

The main results on discrete series representations are from [Harish-Chandra 1965a], which considers a real Lie group \mathcal{G} that is connected, semisimple, and acceptable. An example is $\mathcal{G} = G(\mathbb{R})$ for a connected semisimple simply connected \mathbb{R} -group G . Later, Harish-Chandra [1975; 1976a; 1976b] works with a wider class of groups (known as “Harish-Chandra’s class”, consisting of real Lie groups satisfying the conditions of [Harish-Chandra 1975, Section 3]), but his results on discrete series still assume that the center of the group is compact.

The setting that we will need here is one that encompasses the groups $G(\mathbb{R})$, where G is a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group, as well as the covers of $G(\mathbb{R})$ obtained from [Kaletha 2022], because they will be important for endoscopy, as will be discussed in Section 2.8 below.

Thus, we take \mathcal{G} to be a real Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ its Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{g} the complexification. We make the following assumptions:

- (1) \mathfrak{g} is a complex reductive Lie algebra.
- (2) If G_{ad} is the complex connected semisimple adjoint group associated to the derived subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $G_{\text{ad}} = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}')^\circ$, then the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathfrak{g} comes from a (necessarily unique) homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ of real Lie groups. Its kernel is equal to the center of \mathcal{G} .
- (3) If G_{sc} is the complex connected semisimple simply connected group associated to \mathfrak{g}' , i.e., the universal cover of G_{ad} , then the natural homomorphism $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ lifts (necessarily uniquely) to a homomorphism $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of Lie groups.
- (4) Let \mathcal{G}^\natural be the image of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then $[\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})] < \infty$.

Lemma 2.7.1. *A group \mathcal{G} satisfying the above assumptions is in the Harish-Chandra class provided $\pi_0(Z(\mathcal{G}))$ is finite.*

Proof. Assumption (1) of [Harish-Chandra 1975, Section 3] follows from assumption (2) above.

Assumption (2) of [Harish-Chandra 1975, Section 3] follows from the fact that the analytic subgroup of \mathcal{G} corresponding to $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is equal to the image \mathcal{G}^\natural of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Any element of the center of \mathcal{G}^\natural is therefore the image of an element $x \in G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ that maps trivially to $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$, hence lies in the center of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. We conclude that the center of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$, which is finite, surjects onto the center of \mathcal{G}^\natural .

For assumption (3) we use that $\mathcal{G}^\natural \subset \mathcal{G}^\circ$ and see that

$$[\mathcal{G}^\circ : \mathcal{G}] \leq [\mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})^\circ : \mathcal{G}] \leq [\mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G}) : \mathcal{G}] \cdot [Z(\mathcal{G})^\circ : Z(\mathcal{G})] < \infty. \quad \square$$

One class of examples of this set-up arises as $\mathcal{G} = G(\mathbb{R})$, where G is a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group. Another class of examples comes from the covers $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ of such groups constructed in [Kaletha 2022] from characters $x : \bar{\pi}_1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. In both cases $\pi_0(Z(\mathcal{G}))$ is finite, so these groups are in Harish-Chandra's class.

Recall that, for any Lie group \mathcal{H} , one defines $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] \subset \mathcal{H}$ to be the subgroup generated by the commutators in \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 2.7.2. *The group \mathcal{G}^\natural is a connected semisimple Lie group, and a closed Lie subgroup of \mathcal{G} with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' . We have*

$$[\mathcal{G}^\natural, \mathcal{G}^\natural] = \mathcal{G}^\natural = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\mathcal{G}^\natural) = Z(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathcal{G}^\natural.$$

The subgroup $\mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} is an open and closed Lie subgroup.

Proof. We will cite some results from [Bourbaki 1989]. For this, we note that what is called a *Lie subgroup* in [loc. cit., III.1.3, Definition 3] is usually referred to as a closed Lie subgroup [loc. cit., III.1.3, Proposition 5], and what is called an *integral subgroup* in [loc. cit., III.6.2, Definition 1] is usually referred to as a Lie subgroup.

The Lie group $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is connected [Platonov and Rapinchuk 1994, Section 7.2, Proposition 7.6]. Therefore, [Bourbaki 1989, III.3.2, Corollary 1] shows that \mathcal{G}^\natural is a Lie subgroup of \mathcal{G} . Since the kernel of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is contained in the kernel of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$, it is central and finite. Thus \mathcal{G}^\natural is a finite central quotient of the connected semisimple Lie group $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$, hence itself a connected semisimple Lie group. According to [Bourbaki 1989, III.9.8, Proposition 29], \mathcal{G}^\natural equals its own commutator subgroup. The homomorphism $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces an isomorphism on Lie algebras and hence identifies \mathfrak{g}' with the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G}^\natural .

Moreover, the finiteness of the kernel of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\natural$ implies, via [Bourbaki 1989, III.3.2, Corollary 1], that the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G}^\natural equals the Lie algebra of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$, which is $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$. The latter is the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. It now follows from [Bourbaki 1989, III.9.2, Proposition 4], applied with $A = B = \mathcal{G}$ and $C = \mathcal{G}^\natural$, that $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{G}^\natural$.

Next we show that \mathcal{G}^\natural is a closed Lie subgroup, and $\mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ is an open and closed Lie subgroup. The latter is the image of the Lie group homomorphism

$$G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \times Z(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

given by composing the product operation on \mathcal{G} with the homomorphism $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and the inclusion $Z(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. This Lie group homomorphism induces an isomorphism on Lie algebras, via the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}$, where \mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{g} , and where we note that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathbb{R})$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and also the Lie algebra of $Z(\mathcal{G})$; see [Bourbaki 1989, III.9.3, Proposition 9]. Therefore $\mathcal{G}^\natural \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ contains an open neighborhood of the identity of \mathcal{G} , and is hence open in \mathcal{G} , and hence also closed. Since $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\natural$ is a quotient map, a subset $U \subset \mathcal{G}^\natural$ is open if and only if its

preimage V in $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is open. Then $V \times Z(\mathcal{G})$ is open in $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \times Z(\mathcal{G})$, so its image U' in $\mathcal{G}^{\natural} \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ is open. We have $U' \cap \mathcal{G}^{\natural} = U$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{\natural} \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ is open in \mathcal{G} we see that U' is open in \mathcal{G} , and conclude that \mathcal{G}^{\natural} carries the subspace topology inherited from \mathcal{G} . According to [Bourbaki 1989, III.6.2, Proposition 2], both \mathcal{G}^{\natural} and $\mathcal{G}^{\natural} \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$ are closed Lie subgroups of \mathcal{G} .

Now we compute $Z(\mathcal{G}^{\natural})$. This group is equal to the kernel of the action of \mathcal{G}^{\natural} on the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G}^{\natural} , which is \mathfrak{g}' . By assumption (2) above, this is the same as the kernel of $\mathcal{G}^{\natural} \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$, which in turn equals $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathcal{G}^{\natural}$. \square

Definition 2.7.3. Let π be an admissible representation of \mathcal{G} .

- (1) π is called *square-integrable*, or *in the discrete series*, if every matrix coefficient of π is square-integrable, i.e., belongs to $L^2(\mathcal{G})$.
- (2) π is called *relatively square-integrable*, or *in the relative discrete series*, if π is unitary and every matrix coefficient of π is square-integrable modulo the center of \mathcal{G} , i.e., lies in $L^2(\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}))$.
- (3) π is called *essentially square-integrable*, or *in the essentially discrete series* (*eds* for short), if there exists a character $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is relatively square-integrable.

Remark 2.7.4. It is clear that a square-integrable representation is relatively square-integrable, and a relatively square-integrable representation is essentially square-integrable. When $Z(\mathcal{G})$ is not compact, a square-integrable representation cannot exist, so the concepts of relatively square-integrable and essentially square-integrable are the only ones that are available.

Some authors say that an irreducible representation π (not necessarily unitary) is in the *relative discrete series* if the restrictions of its matrix coefficients to the commutator subgroup are square-integrable. This commutator subgroup equals \mathcal{G}^{\natural} by Lemma 2.7.2, so the next lemma shows that this is equivalent to our notion of essentially square-integrable.

Lemma 2.7.5. *Let π be an irreducible admissible (not necessarily unitary) representation of \mathcal{G} . Then π is essentially square-integrable if and only if its restriction to \mathcal{G}^{\natural} is square-integrable, in which case this restriction is a finite direct sum of irreducible square-integrable representations.*

Proof. The main part of the proof is contained in the following statement.

Claim. *There exists a continuous group homomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is unitary if and only if $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is unitary, in which case $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible unitary representations.*

Proof of claim. We begin by noticing that the claimed statement is insensitive to replacing \mathcal{G} by a finite-index subgroup \mathcal{H} that contains \mathcal{G}^{\natural} . First, the representation

$\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is unaffected by this change. Second, if there exists $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is unitary, then $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \chi|_{\mathcal{H}} = (\pi \otimes \chi)|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is also unitary. Third, if there exists $\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is unitary, then the divisibility of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ implies that χ has a unique extension to \mathcal{G} , and now we can use the finiteness of \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} to average any \mathcal{H} -invariant scalar product on $\pi \otimes \chi$ to obtain a \mathcal{G} -invariant scalar product. Fourth, while $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}}$ may no longer be irreducible, it is easy to see it is still semisimple and of finite length, so we can apply the reasoning to each of its finitely many irreducible summands.

This observation allows us to assume that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^{\natural} \cdot Z(\mathcal{G})$. Since π is irreducible and admissible, its (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is also irreducible and admissible [Vogan 1981, Section 0.3] and Dixmier's version of Schur's lemma [Wallach 1988, Lemma 0.5.3] implies that it has a central character $\omega : Z(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, so its restriction to \mathcal{G}^{\natural} is still irreducible. If there is $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is unitary, then $(\pi \otimes \chi)|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is still unitary, but χ has trivial restriction to \mathcal{G}^{\natural} by Lemma 2.7.2, so $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is unitary. Conversely, assume that $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is unitary. Note that, since the center of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is finite, $|\omega|$ restricts trivially to $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathcal{G}^{\natural}$, hence extends to \mathcal{G} , and $\pi \otimes |\omega|^{-1}$ is a unitary representation of \mathcal{G} . \square

Now suppose $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is square integrable. In particular it is unitary, so by the above claim there is $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is unitary. The matrix coefficients of $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ are the restrictions of the matrix coefficients of π to \mathcal{G}^{\natural} . It follows that the matrix coefficients of $\pi \otimes \chi$ lie in $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{\natural}/Z(\mathcal{G}^{\natural}))$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{\natural}/Z(\mathcal{G}^{\natural})$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G})$, we see that these matrix coefficients also lie in $L^2(\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}))$; thus π is essentially square-integrable.

Conversely, suppose that π is essentially square-integrable, so that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is relatively square-integrable for some $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. By the above claim, $(\pi \otimes \chi)|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible unitary representations. By Lemma 2.7.2, $\chi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is trivial, so $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}^{\natural}}$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible unitary representations. The matrix coefficients of these representations are the restrictions of those of $\pi \otimes \chi$, hence belong to $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{\natural})$. \square

Let us recall the terms “anisotropic”, “elliptic”, and “compact”, for maximal tori. We call an algebraic \mathbb{R} -torus S anisotropic if it contains no nontrivial split torus. This is equivalent to the action of complex conjugation on its character module being multiplication by -1 . This is also equivalent to the real Lie group $S(\mathbb{R})$ being compact. We call a maximal torus $S \subset G$ elliptic, if $S/Z(G)$ is anisotropic.

Lemma 2.7.6. *Assume G_{ad} has an anisotropic maximal torus S_{ad} . The preimage $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{G}$ of $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ equals $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^{\natural}$, where $\mathcal{S}^{\natural} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{G}^{\natural}$. In particular, \mathcal{S} is abelian.*

Proof. Let $S_{\text{sc}} \subset G_{\text{sc}}$ be the preimage of S_{ad} . It is also an anisotropic maximal torus. Then $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ are connected compact abelian Lie groups. Therefore, the natural map $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective. This implies $\mathcal{S} = Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^{\natural}$. \square

We may thus call the subgroup \mathcal{S} in the statement of the above lemma an “elliptic maximal torus” of \mathcal{G} .

Let $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ be the double cover defined in Section 2.6. We define the double cover $\mathcal{S}_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ as the fiber product of $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R}) \leftarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. The action of $\Omega(S_{\text{ad}}, G_{\text{ad}})$ on $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ lifts naturally to both \mathcal{S} and $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, hence also to \mathcal{S}_{\pm} .

Theorem 2.7.7. *The set of eds representations of \mathcal{G} is in bijection with the set of \mathcal{G} -conjugacy classes of pairs (\mathcal{S}, τ) , where \mathcal{S} is the preimage in \mathcal{G} of a compact maximal torus of $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ and τ is a regular genuine character of \mathcal{S}_{\pm} . This representation is uniquely determined, among irreducible tempered representations, by the fact that its character Θ takes, on a strongly regular element $\delta \in \mathcal{S}$, the value*

$$\Theta(\delta) = (-1)^{q(G_{\text{sc}})} \sum_{w \in N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G})/\mathcal{S}} \frac{\tau}{d_{\tau}}(w\delta) = (-1)^{q(G_{\text{sc}})} \sum_{w \in N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G})/\mathcal{S}} \frac{\tau'}{d'_{\tau}}(w\delta). \quad (2-8)$$

Furthermore, Θ is supported on $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^{\natural}$.

Before we give the proof we explain the notation and terminology. The constant $q(G_{\text{sc}})$ is one half of the dimension (which is even) of the symmetric space associated to G_{sc} . In the quotient τ/d_{τ} , both the numerator and denominator are genuine functions on the cover \mathcal{S}_{\pm} of \mathcal{S} , so the quotient descends to a function of \mathcal{S} .

Due to the construction of the covers $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ and $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, the natural map $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ lifts naturally to a map $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, which leads to a lift of $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ to a map $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pm}$. But the cover $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ splits canonically, because 2ρ is divisible by 2 in $X^*(S_{\text{sc}})$. Therefore, we have a map $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pm}$. Pulling back τ under this map produces a character τ_{sc} of $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. This character is algebraic since $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, i.e., it is the restriction to $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ of a (unique) element of $X^*(S_{\text{sc}}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg. grp}}(S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$. The regularity assumption on τ is that this element does not lie on any (absolute) root hyperplane. Note that this element of $X^*(S_{\text{sc}})$ also coincides with the differential $d\tau_{\text{sc}} : \text{Lie}(S_{\text{sc}})(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ under the identifications $\text{Lie}(S_{\text{sc}})(\mathbb{R}) = [X_*(S_{\text{sc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}]^{\Gamma} = X_*(S_{\text{sc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} i\mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{S}^1) = i\mathbb{R}$. Hence $d\tau_{\text{sc}}$ is a regular element of $X^*(S_{\text{sc}})$, and specifies a Weyl chamber, i.e., a Borel \mathbb{C} -subgroup B_{τ} of G_{ad} containing S_{ad} . Write D_{τ} in place of $D_{B_{\tau}}$ for the Weyl denominator (2-3). Since our convention (see Section 2.5) is to normalize orbital integrals and characters by the absolute value of this denominator, we will only need $d_{\tau} = \arg D_{\tau}$. We compose d_{τ} with the map $\mathcal{S}_{\pm} \rightarrow S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. Both τ and d_{τ} are genuine functions of \mathcal{S}_{\pm} , so their quotient τ/d_{τ} descends to \mathcal{S} .

In the second sum we have set $\tau' = \tau \cdot \rho_{\tau}^{-1}$, and $d'_{\tau} = d_{\tau} \cdot \rho_{\tau}^{-1}$, where $\rho_{\tau} = \rho_{B_{\tau}}$ and we are using the notation of (2-4). In this way, both numerator and denominator are functions on \mathcal{S} . Note that ρ_B takes values in \mathbb{S}^1 because S_{ad} is anisotropic.

Definition 2.7.8. We shall call the \mathcal{G} -conjugacy class of the pair (\mathcal{S}, τ) the *Harish-Chandra parameter* of the corresponding eds representation.

Remark 2.7.9. Given a pair (\mathcal{S}, τ) as above we can consider the differential

$$d\tau : \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathfrak{s} = \text{Lie}(S)$. It is an \mathbb{R} -linear map which we may extend to a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and thus obtain an element of the dual space \mathfrak{s}^* . We have the decomposition $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{s}'$, where $\mathfrak{z} = \text{Lie}(Z(\mathcal{G}))$, $\mathfrak{g}' = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^\natural)$ and $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. This dualizes to $\mathfrak{s}^* = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus (\mathfrak{s}')^*$ and we can decompose $d\tau = d\tau_{\mathfrak{z}} + d\tau'$. Note that $d\tau'$ coincides with the restriction of $d\tau$ to $\mathfrak{s}' \subset \mathfrak{s}$ and hence with the differential of τ_{sc} , i.e., $d\tau' = d\tau_{\text{sc}}$. As discussed above we have $d\tau' \in X^*(S_{\text{sc}}) \subset i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})^*$. Finally note that the quotient $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}^*$ splits canonically by taking the subspace of \mathfrak{g}^* that is fixed by the coadjoint action of \mathcal{S} . The same holds for the quotient $\mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}'$. Therefore we can identify $d\tau$ with an element of $\mathfrak{z}^* \oplus i\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Proof of Theorem 2.7.7. When $\mathcal{G} = G(\mathbb{R})$ with G a connected semisimple simply connected \mathbb{R} -group, this theorem is a celebrated result of Harish-Chandra [1965a]. The general case reduces to this by elementary considerations, which we now give for the convenience of the reader.

Let π be an eds representation of \mathcal{G} . Since π is irreducible and $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$ is of finite index in \mathcal{G} , the restriction of π to $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible representations. They all share the same central character ω , namely that of π . Therefore, each of them is of the form $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$, with π^\natural an irreducible representation of \mathcal{G}^\natural . The square-integrability of π^\natural follows from that of π . The inflation of π^\natural to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is still square-integrable. By Harish-Chandra's result applied to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists an anisotropic maximal torus S_{sc} of G_{sc} and a character τ_{sc} of $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ whose differential $d\tau_{\text{sc}} \in X^*(S_{\text{sc}})$ is regular, with the property that $d\tau_{\text{sc}} - \rho_\tau$ is in the same Weyl chamber as ρ_τ , and so the character of π^\natural on $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the character formula (2-8) for the group $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and the character τ_{sc} .

Since π^\natural is pulled back from \mathcal{G}^\natural and the central character of this pullback is $\tau'_{\text{sc}}|_{Z(G_{\text{sc}})(\mathbb{R})}$, we see that τ'_{sc} factors through the surjection $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\natural$. Its restriction to $\mathcal{S}^\natural \cap Z(\mathcal{G})$ matches the central character ω of π . Therefore τ'_{sc} and ω splice to a character τ' of $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^\natural$, which equals \mathcal{S} according to Lemma 2.7.6. Let $\tau = \tau' \cdot \rho_\tau$. Thus we have a pair (\mathcal{S}, τ) as in the statement of the theorem. Since the \mathcal{G} -orbit of π^\natural is determined by π , so is the \mathcal{G} -orbit of (\mathcal{S}, τ) .

Conversely, given a \mathcal{G} -orbit of pairs (\mathcal{S}, τ) we can invert the above procedure and obtain a \mathcal{G} -orbit of representations of $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$, all sharing the same character of $Z(\mathcal{G})$, hence of the form $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$, and with π^\natural square-integrable.

Next we claim that the induction of $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$ to \mathcal{G} is irreducible, and when $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$ arises as an irreducible constituent of the restriction of an eds representation π of \mathcal{G} , then π is equal to that induction. Indeed, let π_1 be this induction. The latter claim reduces to the former by using Frobenius reciprocity to obtain a map $\pi \rightarrow \pi_1$ and using the irreducibility of π and π_1 . To show that π_1 is irreducible it

suffices to check that the stabilizer of $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$ for the action of \mathcal{G} equals $\mathcal{L}(G) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$. If $g \in \mathcal{G}$ stabilizes $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$, then it stabilizes the $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of $(S_{\text{sc}}, \tau_{\text{sc}})$. Multiplying g by an element of \mathcal{G}^\natural we may assume it stabilizes the pair $(S_{\text{sc}}, \tau_{\text{sc}})$. The regularity of τ_{sc} now implies that the image of g in $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ lies in $S_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$, hence g lies in \mathcal{S} , which by [Lemma 2.7.6](#) equals $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^\natural$ and hence lies in $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$. The claim has thus been proved.

We have thus established that (isomorphism classes of) eds representations of \mathcal{G} are in bijection with \mathcal{G} -conjugacy classes of pairs (\mathcal{S}, τ) as in the statement of the theorem.

Next we consider the character of a representation π corresponding to (\mathcal{S}, τ) evaluated at a regular element $s \in \mathcal{G}$. Since π is induced from $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$, the Frobenius formula gives

$$\Theta_\pi(s) = \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural \\ g^{-1}sg \in Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural}} \Theta_{\omega \cdot \pi^\natural}(g^{-1}sg).$$

Noting that $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$ is normal in \mathcal{G} we see that this character vanishes unless $s \in Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$. Next we take $s \in \mathcal{S} = Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^\natural$. Then the second condition in the sum is vacuous. Since all anisotropic tori in G_{ad} are conjugate under $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ every coset in $\mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$ has a representative lying in $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G})$. The desired character formula for π now follows from the corresponding formula for π^\natural .

To show that π is uniquely determined by its character restricted to \mathcal{S} it is enough to observe that this is true for π^\natural by Harish-Chandra’s results, but the formula for π shows that $\omega \cdot \pi^\natural$ is contained in the restriction of π to $Z(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{G}^\natural$. \square

2.8. Endoscopic groups and double covers. For the next few sections we will work with an arbitrary local field F ; the case $F = \mathbb{R}$ is one example. Let G be a connected reductive F -group.

The notion of endoscopic data is introduced in [[Langlands and Shelstad 1987](#), Section 1.2], and is a variation of the notion of endoscopic pairs or endoscopic triples discussed in [[Kottwitz 1984; 1986](#)]. It can be described equivalently as follows.

An endoscopic datum for G is a tuple $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ consisting of

- (1) a quasisplit connected reductive group H ,
- (2) an extension $1 \rightarrow \widehat{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ of topological groups,
- (3) a semisimple element $s \in Z(\widehat{H})$, and
- (4) an L -embedding $\eta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$.

It is required that

- (a) the extension \mathcal{H} admits a splitting by a continuous group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$,

- (b) the homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(\widehat{H})$ provided by \mathcal{H} coincides with the one provided by the extension ${}^L H$,
- (c) η identifies \widehat{H} with the identity component of the centralizer of $\eta(s)$ in \widehat{G} ,
- (d) there exists $z \in Z(\widehat{G})$ such that $s\eta^{-1}(z) \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$.

The map η produces a Γ -equivariant embedding $Z(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow Z(\widehat{H})$, that we will use without explicit notation.

Condition (a) is equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong \widehat{H} \rtimes \Gamma$. Note however that such an isomorphism is only assumed to exist, but is not fixed, by this condition. Note further that it is not assumed that the product $\widehat{H} \rtimes \Gamma$ can be arranged to be isomorphic to the L -group of H , i.e., that the action of Γ on \widehat{H} preserves a pinning. These seemingly technical points turn out to be quite important, and will be taken up at the end of this section.

An isomorphism $(H_1, s_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \eta_1) \rightarrow (H_2, s_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \eta_2)$ is an element $g \in \widehat{G}$ that satisfies the following properties. First, $\text{Ad}(g)\eta_1(\mathcal{H}_1) = \eta_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$. In particular, $\eta_2^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(g) \circ \eta_1$ is an L -isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$, and restricts to a Γ -equivariant isomorphism $Z(\widehat{H}_1) \rightarrow Z(\widehat{H}_2)$. The second condition is that the resulting isomorphism $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_1)/Z(\widehat{G})) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_2)/Z(\widehat{G}))$ maps the coset of s_1 to the coset of s_2 .

We are working with pure and rigid inner twists, and this necessitates a slight refinement of the notion of endoscopic datum. A *pure refined* endoscopic datum is one in which it is required that $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ in point (3), and this eliminates the need for condition (d). An isomorphism of such data is required to map the coset of s_1 to the coset of s_2 under $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_1)^\Gamma) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_2)^\Gamma)$, without dividing by $Z(\widehat{G})$. A *rigid refined* endoscopic datum replaces $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ by $\dot{s} \in Z(\widehat{H})^+$. An isomorphism of such data is required to map the coset of \dot{s}_1 to the coset of \dot{s}_2 under $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_1)^+) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z(\widehat{H}_2)^+)$. We recall here that \widehat{G} is the universal cover (as a complex Lie group) of \widehat{G} , and \widehat{H} is the fiber product of $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{G} \leftarrow \widehat{G}$, and the superscript $+$ denotes the inverse image in \widehat{H} .

Given an L -parameter $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ and a semisimple element $s \in S_\varphi$, where $S_\varphi = \text{Cent}(\varphi, \widehat{G})$, one obtains a pure refined endoscopic datum as follows. Set $\widehat{H} = \text{Cent}(s, \widehat{G})^\circ$. The homomorphism $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Cent}(s, \widehat{G}) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\widehat{H})$ factors through the projection $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma$. There is a unique (up to isomorphism) quasisplit connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group H with dual group \widehat{H} such that the homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(H) = \text{Out}(\widehat{H})$ induced by the \mathbb{R} -structure of H matches the one induced by φ . Set $\mathcal{H} = \widehat{H} \cdot \varphi(W_{\mathbb{R}})$, and let η be the tautological inclusion $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$. In the rigid setting, the same construction works starting with $\dot{s} \in S_\varphi^+$, where S_φ^+ is the preimage in \widehat{G} of S_φ .

By construction the parameter φ takes values in \mathcal{H} . However, as already mentioned above, the extensions \mathcal{H} and ${}^L H$ of Γ by \widehat{H} need not be isomorphic, and even if they are, there is no natural isomorphism between them. Therefore, φ is *not*

a parameter for H in any natural way. There are two ways to remedy this situation.

The classical approach is to choose a z -extension $H_1 \rightarrow H$ and an L -embedding $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$ that extends the natural embedding $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{H}_1$. These choices (which always exist; see [Kottwitz and Shelstad 1999, Section 2.2]) are called a z -pair. They provide a parameter φ_1 for H_1 . Furthermore, they provide a character $\lambda : K(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of the kernel K of the z -extension, discussed in [Kottwitz and Shelstad 1999, Section 2.2, page 23], and denoted by λ_{H_1} there. With these choices made, one expects endoscopic functoriality between representations of $G(F)$ and those representations of $H_1(F)$ that transform under $K(F)$ by the character λ_{H_1} .

An approach introduced in [Kaletha 2022] is to extend the theory of double covers of tori from [Kaletha 2019a] to the setting of quasisplit connected reductive groups. The datum \mathcal{H} then leads to a *canonical* double cover $H(F)_\pm$ of $H(F)$ and a *canonical* isomorphism ${}^L H_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. In this way, φ naturally becomes a parameter for $H(F)_\pm$, and there are no choices involved. We will write $\varphi' : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L H_\pm$ for this parameter, so that φ is the composition of φ' with the natural isomorphism ${}^L H_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$. In this set-up, one expects endoscopic functoriality between representations of $G(F)$ and *genuine* representations of $H(F)_\pm$, i.e., those that are not inflated from representations of $H(F)$.

2.9. Transfer of orbital integrals. We continue with a local field F (the main example for this note being $F = \mathbb{R}$), a connected reductive F -group G , and an endoscopic datum $\epsilon = (H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ for G . We shall further assume that F has characteristic zero, because Theorem 2.9.1 below has so far been proved only under this assumption. We will review here the transfer of orbital integrals, which is dual to the transfer of characters that is the subject of this paper.

In order to work with precise normalizations, we realize G as a (pure or rigid) inner twist $(\xi, z) : G_0 \rightarrow G$ of its quasisplit inner form, and we choose a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} of G_0 . In the pure setting we demand $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$, and in the rigid setting we demand $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^+$.

As explained in Section 2.8, in the classical setting, in order to formulate endoscopic transfer (both geometric and spectral), one has to make an arbitrary choice of a z -pair $\mathfrak{z} = (H_1, \eta_1)$, where $H_1 \rightarrow H$ is a surjective homomorphism of algebraic groups whose kernel is an induced torus, and $\eta_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$ is an L -embedding.

Alternatively, [Kaletha 2022] shows that there is a canonical double cover $H(F)_\pm \rightarrow H(F)$ and a canonical isomorphism ${}^L H_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, whose composition with η then becomes an L -embedding ${}^L H_\pm \rightarrow {}^L G$. In this framework, no auxiliary choices are needed.

The transfer of orbital integrals and characters between G and H is governed by the transfer factor. In the classical case this is a function

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, \mathfrak{z}, z] : H_1(F)^{\text{sr}} \times G(F)^{\text{sr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

that depends on the z -pair datum, while in the setting of covers it is a function

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z] : H(F)_{\pm}^{\text{sr}} \times G(F)^{\text{sr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

that is genuine in the first argument.

In the classical case, it is given as the product

$$\epsilon \cdot \Delta_{\text{I}}^{-1} \Delta_{\text{II}} \Delta_{\text{III}_1}^{-1} \Delta_{\text{III}_2}.$$

The individual factors are defined in [Langlands and Shelstad 1987], except for ϵ , which is defined in the more general twisted setting in [Kottwitz and Shelstad 1999, Section 5.3], and Δ_{III_1} , whose relative definition is given in [Langlands and Shelstad 1987], but whose absolute definition is given in [Kaletha 2011] in the setting of pure inner forms, and in [Kaletha 2016b] in the setting of rigid inner forms. The inverses appear due to the conventions of [Kottwitz and Shelstad 2012, (1.0.4)], which we will use in this paper. The term Δ_{IV} is missing because we have normalized orbital integrals and characters by the Weyl denominator in Section 2.5. We will not review the construction of the individual pieces here, as it has been reviewed in various other places, such as [Kaletha 2024, Sections 3.5, 4.2 and 4.3]. The individual factors depend on auxiliary data, known as a -data and χ -data. The total factor depends on a choice of Whittaker datum, and z -datum.

In the case of covers, the transfer factor becomes the product

$$\epsilon \cdot \Delta_{\text{I}}^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\text{III}}.$$

The terms Δ_{I} and Δ_{III} are slightly different from the original ones, and are defined in [Kaletha 2022, Section 4.3]. Neither depends on the auxiliary a -data and χ -data, but they are defined on certain covers of tori, and one could argue that the elements of those covers count as auxiliary data. The product does not require a z -datum, but it does depend on a Whittaker datum.

Recall the notation $O_{\gamma}(f)$ for normalized orbital integrals from Section 2.5. We define the stable orbital integral

$$SO_{\gamma}(f) = \sum_{\gamma'} O_{\gamma'}(f), \tag{2-9}$$

where γ' runs over a set of representatives for the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes of those elements that are stably conjugate, i.e., $G(F_s)$ -conjugate, to γ .

We need to extend the definition of $O_{\gamma}(f)$ and $SO_{\gamma}(f)$ to the setting of the covers discussed in [Kaletha 2022]. This is very easy to do. Given a cover $G(F)_x$, an element $\dot{\gamma} \in G(F)_x$ is called strongly regular semisimple if its image $\gamma \in G(F)$ is such. For any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(G(F)_x)$ we define $O_{\dot{\gamma}}(f)$ as the integral of f over the $G(F)_x$ -conjugacy class of $\dot{\gamma}$, normalized by the Weyl denominator as in

Section 2.5. Note that the action of $G(F)_x$ on itself by conjugation factors through $G(F)$, and if $T \subset G$ is the centralizer of γ then

$$O_{\dot{\gamma}}(f) = |D(\gamma)|^{1/2} \int_{G(\mathbb{R})/T(\mathbb{R})} f(g\dot{\gamma}g^{-1})dg/dt,$$

in complete analogy with (2-1). To define $SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f)$ we use the same identity (2-9), but we need to explain what it means for two strongly regular semisimple elements $\dot{\gamma}_1$ and $\dot{\gamma}_2$ of $G(F)_x$ to be stably conjugate. This we recall from [Kaletha 2022, Section 3.8]; there are two requirements. The first is that their images $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(F)$ are stably conjugate. Then we have the admissible isomorphism $\eta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ between their centralizers that maps γ_1 to γ_2 . This isomorphism lifts canonically to an isomorphism $T_1(F)_x \rightarrow T_2(F)_x$ between the preimages of $T_i(F)$ in $G(F)_x$, and we use $\eta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ to denote this lift. The second requirement is that $\eta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(\dot{\gamma}_1) = \dot{\gamma}_2$.

We will be particularly interested in functions on $G(F)_x$ that are genuine or antigenuine. More precisely, let $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. An ϵ -genuine function on $G(F)_x$ is a function $f : G(F)_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(z\dot{\gamma}) = z^\epsilon \cdot f(\dot{\gamma})$ for all $z \in \mu_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset G(F)_x$. We then say *genuine* in place of (+)-genuine and *antigenuine* in place of (−)-genuine. We will also use this terminology when we are using a z -extension $G_1 \rightarrow G$ and we have fixed a character λ on the kernel K of this z -extension. Then the requirement will be $f(z\gamma_1) = \lambda(z)^\epsilon f(\gamma_1)$.

We now state the theorem asserting transfer of orbital integrals. In the case $F = \mathbb{R}$ it is a fundamental result of Shelstad [1982, Section 3; 1983, Theorem 5.2.1; 2008a, Theorem 14.3; Langlands and Shelstad 1990, Section 2.6]. In the case of F/\mathbb{Q}_p it is a culmination of the work of many people, including Langlands and Shelstad [1987; 1990], Waldspurger [1997; 2006], and Ngô [2010]. We state two versions, one using the cover $H(F)_\pm$ and one using a z -pair (H_1, η_1) . For the interpretation of this result in terms of $H(F)_\pm$, see [Kaletha 2022, Theorem 5.6.2].

Theorem 2.9.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$.*

- (1) *There exists an antigenuine function $f^{H_\pm} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H(F)_\pm)$ such that for all $\dot{\gamma} \in H(F)_\pm^{\text{st}}$,*

$$SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f^{H_\pm}) = \sum_{\delta} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, z](\dot{\gamma}, \delta) O_{\delta}(f).$$

- (2) *Assume we have chosen a z -pair (H_1, η_1) . There exists an antigenuine function $f^{H_1} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H_1(F))$ (with respect to the character $\lambda : K(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$) such that for all $\gamma_1 \in H_1(F)^{\text{st}}$,*

$$SO_{\gamma_1}(f^{H_1}) = \sum_{\delta} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, \mathfrak{z}, z](\gamma_1, \delta) O_{\delta}(f).$$

In both cases δ runs over the set of $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes in $G(F)^{\text{st}}$.

Definition 2.9.2. The functions f and $f^{H_{\pm}}$ are called *matching*. The functions f and f^{H_1} are called *matching*.

Remark 2.9.3. Since the orbital integral $O_{\delta}(f)$ depends on the choice of measures on $G(F)$ and $T(F)$, $T = \text{Cent}(\delta, G)$, the concept of matching functions also depends on the choice of measures on $G(F)$, $H(F)$, and all tori in G and H . There is a way to synchronize the various tori; see [Remark 6.1.2](#).

We note further that the normalization of orbital integrals we are using (see [Section 2.5](#)) cancels the normalization of the transfer factor we are using (it is missing the Δ_{IV} piece) and therefore the notion of matching functions of [Definition 2.9.2](#) is the same as that obtained by using unnormalized orbital integrals and a transfer factor containing the Δ_{IV} piece.

For the computations of this paper it would be useful to review some basic properties of the transfer factor, which we shall henceforth abbreviate by Δ to ease notation. The first property concerns the behavior of Δ under stable conjugacy in the variables γ and δ . If $\dot{\gamma}$, or γ_1 , is replaced by a stable conjugate, then the value of Δ doesn't change. For stable conjugacy in the variable δ , considering two stably conjugate regular semisimple elements (z_1, δ_1) and (z_2, δ_2) of pure (or rigid) inner twists as in [Section 2.4](#), we have

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, z_2](\dot{\gamma}, \delta_2) = \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, z_1](\dot{\gamma}, \delta_1) \cdot \langle \text{inv}((z_1, \delta_1), (z_2, \delta_2)), \hat{j}^{-1}(s) \rangle, \tag{2-10}$$

with notation explained in the next paragraph. This is in the setting of covers and we refer to [\[Kaletha 2022, Lemma 5.3.1\]](#) for a proof of this formula. In the classical setting, where $\dot{\gamma}$ is replaced by γ_1 , the statement is analogous, and we refer to [\[Kaletha 2016b, Section 5.3\]](#).

To explain the notation in (2-10), let us denote by $\gamma \in H(F)$ the image of $\dot{\gamma}$ (and γ_1) and let $S \subset H$ be the centralizer of $\gamma \in H(F)$. Let $T \subset G_{z_1}$ be the centralizer of δ_1 . Using the discussion of [Section 2.3](#) we obtain from the inclusion $S \rightarrow H$ a Γ -stable \widehat{H} -conjugacy class of embeddings $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{H}$. Composing this with the inclusion $\eta: \widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ we obtain a Γ -stable \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of embeddings $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$, hence conversely a Γ -stable $G(F^s)$ -conjugacy class of embeddings $S \rightarrow G$. Among them, there is a unique one that maps γ to δ_1 . It is automatically defined over F , and we call it j . Its dual-inverse \hat{j}^{-1} is an isomorphism $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. Composing with the canonical inclusion $Z(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ we can use it to transport the element $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^{\Gamma}$ to \widehat{T}^{Γ} , where it can be paired with elements of $H^1(F, T)$ by Tate–Nakayama duality. This is in the setting of pure inner twists, and the setting of rigid inner twists is analogous.

The second property is related to the definition of Δ_{III} . We first present an idealized situation. Assume that an L -embedding ${}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ exists and has been fixed. Assume further that L -embeddings ${}^L S \rightarrow {}^L H$ and ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$ have been fixed.

These always exist if we use the Weil forms of the L -groups, but are not unique. The isomorphism $j : S \rightarrow T$ from the previous paragraph induces an L -isomorphism ${}^L S \rightarrow {}^L T$. We now have four maps that fit in a square, but this square has no reason to commute. More precisely, there does exist an L -isomorphism ${}^L S \rightarrow {}^L T$ that does make the square commute, but it is not necessarily the one induced from j . Rather, it differs from it by multiplication by an element $a \in H^1(W_F, \widehat{S})$. This element is the L -parameter of a character of $S(F)$, which we may denote by $\langle a, - \rangle$. By definition,

$$\Delta_{\text{III}}(\gamma, \delta) = \langle a, \gamma \rangle.$$

Even though δ doesn't appear on the right, it influences the construction via the map j , which depends on δ .


The key property of this definition that we will need is the following. Assume given L -parameters $\varphi_S : W_F \rightarrow {}^L S$ and $\varphi_T : W_F \rightarrow {}^L T$, with the property that composing them with the L -embeddings

$${}^L S \rightarrow {}^L H, \quad {}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G, \quad {}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G,$$

provides a commutative triangle. If θ_S and θ_T are the characters of $S(F)$ and $T(F)$ corresponding to these parameters, then

$$\Delta_{\text{III}}(\gamma, \delta) = \theta_T(\delta) / \theta_S(\gamma). \tag{2-11}$$

To see this one observes that in the diagram



the square commutes by definition of a , hence the triangle commutes by the assumptions on φ_S and φ_T .

We now comment on the practical, rather than idealized, situation. In the classical setting an L -embedding ${}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ doesn't always exist. Instead, one has to choose a z -pair (H_1, η_1) and then has ${}^L G \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L H_1$. This makes the first variable of Δ_{III} an element of $S_1(F)$, where S_1 is the preimage of S in H_1 . Moreover, the L -embeddings ${}^L S \rightarrow {}^L H$ and ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$ are specified in terms of auxiliary data, called χ -data, and the term Δ_{III} depends on that choice, as well as on the choice of z -pair.

In the setting of covers, all L -embeddings are canonical, and no auxiliary data need to be chosen, but the L -groups that are involved are those of certain covers. Therefore, Δ_{III} , while independent of any choices, has its first variable coming from

the double cover $S(F)_\pm$ of $S(F)$ that is the pullback of $S(F)$ under the canonical double cover $H(F)_\pm \rightarrow H(F)$. With these provisos, the obvious analog of (2-11) still holds.

Finally, we need to review the factor ϵ and compute it in the case of the base field \mathbb{R} , where the computation is rather straightforward. Consider the universal maximal torus T_0^G of G and T_0^H of H . The complexified character modules $V_G := X^*(T_0^G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and $V_H := X^*(T_0^H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ are self-dual Artin representations of the same dimension. Choose an \mathbb{R} -pinning of the quasisplit form G_0 of G and a nontrivial additive character $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which combine to give the Whittaker datum fixed for G_0 . Then

$$\epsilon = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, V_G - V_H, \Lambda\right),$$

where we have used Langlands' convention [Tate 1979, (3.6.4)] for the ϵ -factor. The pinning is used in the construction of G_0 .

Lemma 2.9.4. *Let $\Lambda(x) = e^{irx}$ with $r > 0$. Then*

$$\epsilon = (-1)^{q(H)-q(G_0)} i^{r_G/2-r_H/2},$$

where r_G is the number of roots in the absolute root system of G , and r_H is the analogous number for H .

Proof. Let $A_0^G \subset T_0^G$ be the maximal split torus ($X_*(A_0^G) = X_*(T_0^G)^\Gamma$), and $S_0^G \subset T_0^G$ the maximal anisotropic torus ($X^*(S_0^G) = X^*(T_0^G)/X^*(T_0^G)^\Gamma$). Then

$$X^*(T_0^G)_{\mathbb{C}} = X^*(A_0^G)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus X^*(S_0^G)_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where we have abbreviated $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ by the subscript \mathbb{C} . One has $\epsilon(1/2, \mathbf{1}, \Lambda) = 1$ and $\epsilon(1/2, \text{sgn}, \Lambda) = i$ according to [Tate 1979, (3.2.4)]; hence

$$\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, V_G, \Lambda\right) = i^{d-\dim(A_0^G)},$$

where $d = \dim(T_0^G)$. We use the same computation for H and conclude

$$\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon(1/2, X^*(T_0^G)_{\mathbb{C}}, \Lambda)}{\epsilon(1/2, X^*(T_0^H)_{\mathbb{C}}, \Lambda)} = \frac{i^{d-\dim(A_0^G)}}{i^{d-\dim(A_0^H)}} = i^{\dim(A_0^H)-\dim(A_0^G)}.$$

The Iwasawa decomposition $\text{Lie}(G_0) = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ shows

$$2q(G_0) = \dim(\mathfrak{a}) + \dim(\mathfrak{n}) = \dim(A_0^G) + \frac{1}{2}r_G.$$

We note that $q(G_0)$ is an integer, because G_0 has an elliptic maximal torus, and $q(G_0)$ equals the number of positive noncompact roots with respect to any Weyl chamber. Therefore

$$\dim(A_0^H) - \dim(A_0^G) = 2(q(H) - q(G_0)) + \left(\frac{1}{2}r_G - \frac{1}{2}r_H\right). \quad \square$$

2.10. The Weyl integration formula and its stable analog. In this section we work with an arbitrary local field F of characteristic zero. While our intended application is $F = \mathbb{R}$, the arguments work for an arbitrary F and do not admit any significant simplification for $F = \mathbb{R}$, so we take this opportunity to record the statement for a general F .

Let G be a connected reductive F -group. Given a maximal torus $T \subset G$, write $\Omega(T, G) = N(T, G)/T$ for its absolute Weyl group. This is a finite F -group and we may consider the group $\Omega(T, G)(F)$ of its F -points. Write

$$\Omega_F(T, G) = N(T, G)(F)/T(F).$$

This is an abstract finite group and we have $\Omega_F(T, G) \subset \Omega(T, G)(F)$. The inclusion is often proper.

Theorem 2.10.1 (Weyl integration formula). *Let f be a smooth compactly supported function on $G(F)$. Let \mathcal{T} be a set of representatives for the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes of maximal tori of G . Then*

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g)dg = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} |\Omega_F(T, G)|^{-1} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} O_\gamma(f) d\gamma.$$

In this theorem we have chosen a Haar measure dg on $G(F)$, and a Haar measure $d\gamma$ on $T(F)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$. The orbital integral $O_\gamma(f)$ is formed with respect to the quotient measure $dg/d\gamma$. The power $1/2$ occurs in the Weyl discriminant because O_γ has been normalized in [Section 2.5](#).

Corollary 2.10.2 (stable Weyl integration formula). *Let f be a smooth compactly supported function on $G(F)$. Let \mathcal{ST} be a set of representatives for the stable conjugacy classes of maximal tori of G . Then*

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g)dg = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{ST}} |\Omega(T, G)(F)|^{-1} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} S O_\gamma(f) d\gamma.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{ST}$ and let $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{T}$ be those tori that are stably conjugate to T . In fact, it is known that $n = 1$ (a special feature of F) but we will not need to know that.

It is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega(T, G)(F)|^{-1} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} S O_\gamma(f) d\gamma \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n |\Omega_F(T_i, G)|^{-1} \int_{T_i(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_{T_i}(\gamma)|^{1/2} O_{\gamma_i}(f) d\gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

Two elements of $T(F)_{\text{sr}}$ are stably conjugate if and only if they are conjugate under $\Omega(T, G)(F)$, and are rationally conjugate if and only if they are conjugate

under $\Omega_F(T, G)$. Since $SO_\gamma(f)$ and $|D_T(\gamma)|$ are invariant under stable conjugacy in γ , and $O_\gamma(f)$ is invariant under rational conjugacy in γ , and since the action of $\Omega(T, G)(F)$ on $T(F)_{\text{sr}}$ is free, we can write the above identity as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T, G)(F)} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} SO_\gamma(f) d\gamma \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T_i(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega_F(T_i, G)} |D_{T_i}(\gamma)|^{1/2} O_{\gamma_i}(f) d\gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

The domain of integration on the left is the set of elements of $T(F)_{\text{sr}}$ up to stable conjugacy, and the domain of integration on the right is the set of elements of $T_i(F)_{\text{sr}}$ up to rational conjugacy.

Choose $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\text{Ad}(g_i) : T \rightarrow T_i$ is an isomorphism of F -tori and induces an isomorphism of F -groups $\Omega(T, G) \rightarrow \Omega(T_i, G)$. For any $\gamma \in T(F)_{\text{sr}}$, the set

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n [\Omega_F(T_i, G) \backslash \Omega(T_i, G)(F)] \cdot \text{Ad}(g_i)\gamma$$

represents the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes in the stable class of γ . Therefore,

$$SO(\gamma, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{w \in \Omega_F(T_i, G) \backslash \Omega(T_i, G)(F)} O(w \text{Ad}(g_i)\gamma, f).$$

Moreover,

$$D_T(\gamma) = D_{T_i}(\text{Ad}(g_i)\gamma).$$

As γ runs over all elements of $T(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T, G)(F)$, $\text{Ad}(g_i)\gamma$ runs over all elements of $T_i(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T_i, G)(F)$. \square

Remark 2.10.3. As discussed in the proof, we can rewrite the above formulas as

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g) dg = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega_F(T, G)} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} O_\gamma(f) d\gamma$$

and

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g) dg = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{ST}} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T, G)(F)} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} SO_\gamma(f) d\gamma,$$

respectively.

2.11. Endoscopic lifting of stable distributions. In this subsection we continue to work with an arbitrary local field F of characteristic zero. Let $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum of G . The transfer of orbital integrals given by [Theorem 2.9.1](#) defines a dual transfer of distributions. Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ denote the space of invariant

distributions on $G(F)$. This space consists of linear functionals on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$, with a certain continuity property in the case $F = \mathbb{R}$, and which are invariant under the conjugation action of $G(F)$. By a deep theorem of Harish-Chandra [Kottwitz 2005, Theorem 27.5] this invariance property is equivalent to the requirement that such a functional vanishes on any test function for which all regular semisimple orbital integrals vanish. Let $\mathcal{S}(G) \subset \mathcal{I}(G)$ denote the subspace of *stable* distributions, defined to be those that vanish on all test functions for which all stable regular semisimple orbital integrals vanish.

Analogously we have the spaces $\mathcal{S}(H) \subset \mathcal{I}(H)$. But the transfer of orbital integrals doesn't involve $H(F)$. Rather, it involves either the canonical double cover $H(F)_\pm$, or a chosen z -extension $H_1(F)$. Therefore, we have to explain the notion of a stable genuine distribution on such covers. We write $\mathcal{I}_{\text{gen}}(H_\pm)$ for the space of $H(F)$ -invariant (continuous when $F = \mathbb{R}$) linear functionals on the space $\mathcal{C}_{c,\text{gen}}^\infty(H(F)_\pm)$ of genuine test functions on $H(F)_\pm$, and similarly $\mathcal{I}_{\text{gen}}(H_1)$ for the space of $H(F)$ -invariant (continuous when $F = \mathbb{R}$) linear functionals on the space $\mathcal{C}_{c,\text{gen}}^\infty(H_1(F))$ of genuine test functions on $H_1(F)$. To say when such a distribution is stable, we use the notion of stable conjugacy for strongly regular semisimple elements of $G(F)_x$ from Section 2.9. A function $\phi : G(F)_x^{\text{sr}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *stably invariant* if $\phi(\delta_1) = \phi(\delta_2)$ whenever δ_1 and δ_2 are stably conjugate. A distribution d is called *stably invariant* if $d(f) = 0$ for any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F)_x)$ for which $SO_{\delta}(f) = 0$ for any strongly regular semisimple $\delta \in G(F)_x$, where $SO_{\delta}(f) = 0$ is the integral of f over the stable class of δ . Note that such a distribution vanishes in particular on all test functions f for which $O_{\gamma}(f) = 0$, and is therefore an invariant distribution by Harish-Chandra's theorem. We shall write $\mathcal{S}_{\text{gen}}(H_\pm) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{gen}}(H_\pm)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\text{gen}}(H_1) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{gen}}(H_1)$ for the subspace of stably invariant distributions.

An invariant distribution can be given by integration against a locally integrable class function $\phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G(F))$, namely

$$d_\phi(f) = \int_{G(F)_{\text{rs}}} |D(x)|^{-1/2} \phi(x) f(x) dx.$$

Here locally integrable means that ϕ is measurable on $G(F)$ and integrable on any compact subset of $G(F)$, and once again d_ϕ depends on the choice of Haar measure dx . We say that the distribution d_ϕ is represented by ϕ . We have inserted the factor $|D(x)|^{-1/2}$ as a means of normalization, following the convention made in Section 2.5 of normalizing orbital integrals and characters by the Weyl denominator. Note that only the restriction of ϕ to $G(F)_{\text{rs}}$ is relevant, since the complement of this set has measure zero.

Lemma 2.11.1. *If the genuine invariant distribution d is representable by the locally integrable conjugation-invariant genuine function ϕ , then d is stably invariant if and only if ϕ is stably invariant.*

Proof. The stable Weyl integration formula ([Corollary 2.10.2](#)) shows that

$$d(\phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(\dot{g})\phi(\dot{g})dg = \sum_T |\Omega(T, G)(F)|^{-1} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} SO_{\gamma}(f \cdot \phi)d\gamma.$$

If ϕ is stably invariant then the integral above becomes

$$\int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}}} |D_T(\gamma)|^{1/2} \phi(\dot{\gamma}) SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f)d\gamma,$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is an arbitrary lift of γ , and we see that $d(f) = 0$ whenever $SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f) = 0$ for all $\dot{\gamma}$.

Conversely, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(F)$ be stably conjugate strongly regular semisimple elements. Let T_1 and T_2 be their centralizers. We choose an open neighborhood U_1 of γ_1 in $T_1(F)$ such that no elements of U_1 are stably conjugate to γ_1 — this is possibly because any two stably conjugate strongly regular elements of $T_1(F)$ are in the same orbit under the finite group $\Omega(T_1, G)(F)$. Let U_2 be the image of U_1 under the admissible isomorphism $\eta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ sending γ_1 to γ_2 . Then the map $\xi_i : U_i \times G(F)/T_i(F) \rightarrow G(F)$ given by $(t, g) \mapsto gtg^{-1}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, which is an open and $G(F)$ -conjugation invariant subset of $G(F)$. For any test function f_1 supported on the image of ξ_1 we define the test function f_2 supported on the image of ξ_2 by $f_2(gt_2g^{-1}) = f_1(g\eta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{-1}(t_2)g^{-1})$. Then $f = f_1 - f_2$ has the property $SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f) = 0$ for all γ , hence $d(f_1) = d(f_2)$. Letting U_1 shrink around γ_1 we see that $\phi(\dot{\gamma}_1) = \phi(\dot{\gamma}_2)$. \square

We are now ready to discuss the notion of an endoscopic lifting of stable distributions.

Definition 2.11.2. The *endoscopic lifting* is the linear map

$$\text{Lift} : \mathcal{S}_{\text{gen}}(H_{\pm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lift} : \mathcal{S}_{\text{gen}}(H_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$$

defined by $\text{Lift}(d)(f) = d(f^{H_{\pm}})$ and $\text{Lift}(d)(f) = d(f^{H_1})$, where $f^{H_{\pm}}$ and f^{H_1} , respectively, are functions matching f as in [Definition 2.9.2](#).

Note that this definition requires d to be a stable distribution, because the function $f^{H_{\pm}}$ (resp. f^{H_1}) is not uniquely determined by f . Rather, only its stable orbital integrals at strongly regular semisimple elements are determined (by [Theorem 2.9.1](#)), so d is only allowed to depend on its input test function through its stable strongly regular orbital integrals.

We can apply the Weyl integration formula and its stable analog to show that the endoscopic lifting of a stable distribution represented by a function is an invariant distribution that is again represented by a function, and moreover the two functions are related by an explicit formula.

Lemma 2.11.3. *Let ϕ^H be a stably invariant locally integrable genuine function on $H(F)_\pm$ or $H_1(F)$. Then*

$$\text{Lift}(d_{\phi^H}) = d_{\phi^G},$$

where ϕ^G is the locally integrable class function on $G(F)$ given by the formula

$$\phi^G(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(F)_{\text{rs/st}}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z](\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \phi^H(\dot{\gamma})$$

or

$$\phi^G(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(F)_{\text{rs/st}}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, z](\gamma_1, \delta) \phi^H(\gamma_1),$$

where $\dot{\gamma} \in H(F)_\pm$ and $\gamma_1 \in H_1(F)$, respectively, denote an arbitrary lift of γ .

Proof. The proofs of the two cases $H(F)_\pm$ and $H_1(F)$ are almost identical, so we will only give the proof for $H(F)_\pm$.

We have $d_{\phi^H}(f^{H_\pm}) = \int_{H(F)} |D^H(\gamma)|^{-1/2} \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) f^{H_\pm}(\dot{\gamma}) d\gamma$, where $\dot{\gamma} \in H(F)_\pm$ is any preimage of γ . Note that, while the factors in the integrand depend on $\dot{\gamma}$, the dependence cancels out in the product, because ϕ^H is genuine and f^{H_\pm} is antigenuine.

We apply the stable Weyl integration formula ([Corollary 2.10.2](#), but in the form of [Remark 2.10.3](#)) and use the stable invariance of ϕ^H to rewrite the above as

$$\sum_{T_H \in \mathcal{ST}_H} \int_{T_H(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T_H, H)(F)} \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) SO_{\dot{\gamma}}(f^{H_\pm}) d\gamma.$$

According to [Theorem 2.9.1](#) this equals

$$\sum_{T_H \in \mathcal{ST}_H} \int_{T_H(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T_H, H)(F)} \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) \sum_{\delta} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) O_{\delta}(f) d\gamma. \quad (2-12)$$

We will now rework the indexing sets. Let \mathfrak{X} be the set of pairs ($[\gamma], [\delta]$), where $[\gamma]$ is a stable class of strongly regular semisimple elements of $H(F)$, $[\delta]$ is a rational class of strongly regular semisimple elements of $G(F)$, and γ and δ are related. Projecting onto the first coordinate provides a surjective map

$$\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \bigcup_{T_H \in \mathcal{ST}_H} T_H(F)_{\text{sr}}/\Omega(T_H, H)(F)$$

with finite fibers. Pulling back the measure on the target that is comprised of the various Haar measures on the tori $T_H(F)$, we obtain a measure on \mathfrak{X} , and (2-12) becomes

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) O_{\delta}(f) d([\gamma], [\delta]). \quad (2-13)$$

On the other hand, projecting onto the second coordinate provides a surjective map

$$\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \bigcup_{T \in \mathfrak{T}_G} T(F)_{\text{sr}} / \Omega_F(T, G)$$

and pulling back the measure on the target that is comprised of the various Haar measures on the tori $T(F)$, we obtain a measure on \mathfrak{X} . Since the measures on the tori in H and those on the tori in G are synchronized as in [Remark 6.1.2](#), the two measures on \mathfrak{X} agree. Therefore, we can rewrite (2-13) as

$$\sum_{T \in \mathfrak{T}} \int_{T(F)_{\text{sr}} / \Omega_F(T, G)} O_\delta(f) \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) d\delta,$$

where now γ runs over the set of stable classes of strongly regular semisimple elements of $H(F)$. Applying the Weyl integration formula ([Theorem 2.10.1](#), in the form of [Remark 2.10.3](#)) we can rewrite this as

$$\int_{G(F)_{\text{sr}}} f(\delta) |D^G(\delta)|^{-1/2} \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}) d\delta, \tag{2-14}$$

which is equal to $d_{\phi^G}(f)$ provided we define

$$\phi^G(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \phi^H(\dot{\gamma}). \tag{2-15}$$

It remains to remark that this definition does indeed produce a locally integrable class function. The conjugation-invariance is clear from the corresponding property of the transfer factor. For the local integrability, it is enough to show that for any regular semisimple δ there is an open neighborhood U on which ϕ^G is integrable. This follows from the fact that there are only finitely many stable classes of elements of $H(F)$ that match δ , and the local constancy of $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta)$. More precisely, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H(F)$ be representatives for the stable classes such that $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \neq 0$ and let S_1, \dots, S_n be the centralizers of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$. There is a unique admissible isomorphism $j_i : S \rightarrow S_i$ mapping δ to γ_i ; here S is the centralizer of δ . Choosing U small enough we can ensure that $j_1(\delta'), \dots, j_n(\delta')$ is a set of representatives for the stable classes that match δ' , for all $\delta' \in U$. Shrinking U further if required, the double cover $S_i(F)_{\pm}$ splits over $U_i = j_i(U \cap S(F))$, and [[Kaletha 2022](#), Corollary 4.3.4] shows that the function $\Delta(j_i(\delta'), \delta')$ is constant in $\delta' \in U$, where we have composed j_i with an arbitrary splitting of the cover $S_i(F)_{\pm}$ over U_i . Now the local integrability of ϕ^G follows from that of ϕ^H . \square

2.12. Derivatives of class functions. This section is specific to the base field \mathbb{R} . In the last section we discussed distributions that are representable by locally integrable class functions. The distributions that appear in the endoscopic character identities

are of this form. We will now recall a result of Harish-Chandra ([Proposition 2.12.1](#)) about differentiating class functions on a semisimple Lie group, which will play an important technical role in the proof of the endoscopic character identities.

For the duration of this section we switch notation and let G be a connected semisimple Lie group (rather than an algebraic \mathbb{R} -group) and let $T \subset G$ be a Cartan subgroup. The main case of interest for us will be when G is the group of \mathbb{R} -points of a connected semisimple algebraic \mathbb{R} -group and T is the group of \mathbb{R} -points of a maximal torus. Let \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{t}_0 be the Lie algebras of G and T and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be their complexifications. We denote by G' the open subset of strongly regular semisimple elements of G and set $T' = T \cap G'$.

Recall the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of differential operators on G . More generally, we have the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathcal{D}(V)$ for an open subset $V \subset G$. This is a subalgebra of the space $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}^\infty(V))$ defined as follows. If $U \subset V$ is an open subset contained in a local coordinate chart, then $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is the subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}^\infty(U))$ generated by the following two kinds of operators: multiplication by an element of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$, and partial derivative with respect to a local coordinate. An element $D \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}^\infty(V))$ lies in $\mathcal{D}(V)$ if there is an open cover of V by open subsets U , each lying in a coordinate chart, such that D maps $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ to itself and the resulting element of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}^\infty(U))$ lies in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, for all U in that open cover.

The actions of G on itself by left and right translations induce actions of G on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$, hence also on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}^\infty(G))$. Both of these actions preserve the subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(G)$. More precisely, we have for $x, y \in G$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$, and $D \in \mathcal{D}(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_x f(y) &= f(x^{-1}y), & L_x D &= L_x \circ D \circ L_x^{-1}, \\ R_x f(y) &= f(yx), & R_x D &= R_x \circ D \circ R_x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have the conjugation action $C_x = L_x \circ R_x^{-1}$. An element of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is called *left-*, *right-*, or *conjugation-invariant*, if it is invariant under the appropriate action of G .

We recall that any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as a left-invariant differential operator on the space of smooth functions on G by the formula

$$(Xf)(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g \cdot \exp(tX)).$$

The resulting map $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$ of complex vector spaces takes the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} to the commutator bracket of the associative algebra $\mathcal{D}(G)$, and thus extends to a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras $\tau : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathcal{D}_l(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$ denote the subalgebra of left-invariant differential operators. Then τ is an isomorphism onto $\mathcal{D}_l(G)$:

$$\tau : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}_l(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G).$$

The restriction of τ to the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the subalgebra of differential operators that are both left- and right-invariant.

Henceforth we suppress τ from the notation and identify an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ with a left invariant differential operator. We apply this to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$ as well.

The Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t})^\Omega$ of commutative \mathbb{C} -algebras identifies the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} with the invariants under the Weyl group $\Omega = \Omega(T, G)$ in the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t})$, the latter being equal to the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$ of \mathfrak{t} .

Here is the result of Harish-Chandra which we need.

Proposition 2.12.1. *Let f be a smooth conjugation-invariant function $G' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For any $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ we have the identity*

$$(|D_T|^{1/2} \cdot zf)|_{T'} = \gamma(z)(|D_T|^{1/2} \cdot f)|_{T'},$$

with D_T as in [Section 2.5](#).

This result is not stated in exactly this form in the works of Harish-Chandra, so we give the proof, starting with some preliminaries.

The first step is to recall that Harish-Chandra [[1956](#), Section 4] constructs a family of retractions $\mathcal{D}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_l(G)$ to the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_l(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$. This family is indexed by the set of points of G , and the retraction $\mathcal{D}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_l(G)$ associated to $y \in G$ is denoted by $D \mapsto D_y$. The left-invariant operator D_y is called the “local expression of D at y ”, and has the defining property that

$$(Df)(y) = (D_y f)(y) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G). \tag{2-16}$$

This is [[Harish-Chandra 1956](#), Section 4, Corollary to Lemma 13, page 112].

The next step concerns the passage from G to T . Suppose $a \in T'$. Let U_G be an open neighborhood of a in G' and set

$$U_T = a^{-1}(U_G \cap T) \subset a^{-1}T'. \tag{2-17}$$

This is an open neighborhood T containing 1.

Harish-Chandra [[1965b](#), Section 5] constructs a map

$$\delta_a : \mathcal{D}(U_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U_T)$$

which is characterized by the property

$$\delta_a(D)_y = \alpha_y(D_{a_y}) \quad \text{for all } y \in U_T$$

where $\alpha_y : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$ ($y \in a^{-1}T'$) is defined in [[Harish-Chandra 1965b](#), Section 5, Corollary 1, page 463].

Now assume $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_G)$ is locally invariant. This means the map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto f(\exp(tX)y \exp(-tX))$, has trivial derivative at $t = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y \in U_G$;

see [Harish-Chandra 1964, Section 8]. In particular, the restriction to U_G of any conjugation-invariant function on G' is locally invariant. Then [Harish-Chandra 1965b, Lemma 18] gives the identity

$$D(f)(ay) = \delta_a(D)_y(f)(ay) \quad (y \in U_T). \quad (2-18)$$

To aid the reader, we mention that [Harish-Chandra 1965b, Lemma 18] uses the notation $\Xi' = \Xi'(a) = a^{-1}(\Xi \cap G')$ on page 462, which is *not* a set of regular elements in the usual sense, but rather the a^{-1} -translate of a set of regular elements. We are taking $\Xi = T$, and $U_T = a^{-1}U_G \cap a^{-1}T' = a^{-1}(U_G \cap T')$. In particular, note that $1 \in U_T$.

We mention here that [Harish-Chandra 1965b, Lemma 18] has a typographical error: it states (2-18) with $\delta_a(D)$ in place of $\delta_a(D)_y$. However $\delta_a(D)$ is a differential operator on U_T , but $ay \notin U_T$, so $\delta_a(D)(ay)$ is not well defined. However the last line of the proof says

$$D_{ay}f(ay) = \delta_a(D)_y(f)(ay),$$

which is exactly (2-18), when we note that by (2-16) the left-hand side is $Df(ay)$. Finally we note that [Harish-Chandra 1965b, Lemma 18] is used only in the proof of [loc. cit., Lemma 19], and it is in fact (2-18) which is used.

The final step is to connect the operator $\delta_a(z)$, for $z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{g})$, to the operators z and $\gamma(z)$. Set

$$|v_a|(h) := |D_T(ah)| \quad (h \in T).$$

Viewing $|v_a|$ as the operator of multiplication by this function, [Harish-Chandra 1965b, Section 6, Lemma 13] states that

$$\delta_a(z) = |v_a|^{-1/2} \circ \gamma(z) \circ |v_a|^{1/2}. \quad (2-19)$$

We can now combine the three steps outlined so far to prove [Proposition 2.12.1](#). *Proof of Proposition 2.12.1.* Suppose $a \in T'$. Let $y = 1 \in U_T$ (see (2-17)). Apply (2-18) with $D = z$ (recall we've identified $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathcal{D}_l(\mathfrak{g})$ via τ) to conclude

$$(zf)(a) = \delta_a(z)_1(f)(a) = [L_{a^{-1}} \circ \delta_a(z)_1](f)(1).$$

Since $\delta_a(z)_1$ is left-invariant on T we have

$$[L_{a^{-1}} \circ \delta_a(z)_1](f)(1) = [\delta_a(z)_1](L_{a^{-1}}(f))(1) = \delta_a(z)(L_{a^{-1}}(f))(1)$$

where the last equality is (2-16). We now apply (2-19) and see

$$\delta_a(z)(L_{a^{-1}}(f))(1) = [|v_a|^{-1/2} \circ \gamma(z) \circ |v_a|^{1/2}](L_{a^{-1}}(f))(1).$$

A direct computation shows

$$|v_a|^{1/2} \circ L_{a^{-1}} = L_{a^{-1}} \circ |v_1|^{1/2} = L_{a^{-1}} \circ |\Delta_T|^{1/2}.$$

Using this and the left-invariance of $\gamma(z)$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} [|\nu_a|^{-1/2} \circ \gamma(z) \circ |\nu_a|^{1/2}] \circ L_{a^{-1}}(f)(1) &= L_{a^{-1}} \circ (|\Delta_T|^{-1/2} \circ \gamma(z) \circ |\Delta_T|^{1/2})(f)(1) \\ &= (|\Delta_T|^{-1/2} \circ \gamma(z) \circ |\Delta_T|^{1/2})(f)(a). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Genericity of essentially discrete series representations

Work of Kostant [1978] and Vogan [1978] provides a description of which eds representations are generic (Definition 3.3.1 below). More precisely, [Vogan 1978, Theorem 6.2(a,f)] shows that such a representation is *large* if its Harish-Chandra parameter lies in a Weyl chamber for which all simple roots are noncompact, and [Kostant 1978, Theorem L] shows that *large* is equivalent to *generic*. In order to make the internal structure of L -packets precise, one needs finer information — a condition for an eds to be \mathfrak{w} -generic for a given Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} (Definition 3.1.1). Since in general there are multiple choices of \mathfrak{w} , this information is not obtainable from [Vogan 1978, Theorem 6.2(a,f)].

Proposition 3.3.3 provides an answer to this question. It is an exact analog of a result in the p -adic case, originally due to DeBacker and Reeder [2010, Proposition 4.10] under unramifiedness assumptions, but whose proof applies in much greater generality; see [Kaletha 2019b, Lemma 6.2.2; Fintzen et al. 2023, Section 4.4]. This allows us to prove the strong form of Shahidi’s generic packet conjecture [Shahidi 1990, Section 9] for discrete series packets, originally established by Shelstad [2008b] using a less direct argument. Note that the general form of the conjecture (for tempered L -packets) reduces immediately to the case of discrete L -packets.

3.1. Whittaker data and regular nilpotent elements. We assume G is a quasisplit connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group. Suppose B is a Borel \mathbb{R} -subgroup of G , N is its unipotent radical, and $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Lie}(N)$. The real Lie group $N(\mathbb{R})$ is connected, nilpotent, and simply connected (see [Knapp 2002, Theorem 6.46], where the assumption that G is semisimple is unnecessary). The connectedness of $N(\mathbb{R})$ implies that any character $\eta : N(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is determined by its differential $d\eta : \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is an \mathbb{R} -linear form. Furthermore the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow N(\mathbb{R})$ is a diffeomorphism by [Knapp 2002, Theorem 1.127], and we have $\eta(\exp(Y)) = e^{d\eta(Y)}$ for $Y \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3.1.1. A *Whittaker datum* is a $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of pairs (B, η) where B is an \mathbb{R} -Borel subgroup and η is a nondegenerate unitary character of $N(\mathbb{R})$. We write $\mathfrak{w} = [(B, \eta)]$ for the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of (B, η) .

We now give two constructions of Whittaker data.

We say an element X of \mathfrak{g}^* is *nilpotent* if the G -orbit of X is a (weak) cone (closed under multiplication by \mathbb{C}^*), and is *regular* if $\dim_{\text{Cent}(G)}(X) = \text{rank}(G)$. Suppose $X \in i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular nilpotent element. The kernel of iX intersects $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ in a Borel subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{R})$, and in this way defines an \mathbb{R} -Borel subgroup \bar{B} of G . Choose an \mathbb{R} -Cartan subgroup T of \bar{B} , and let B be the corresponding opposite Borel subgroup (characterized by $B \cap \bar{B} = T$). Let N be the nilradical of B . Then X defines a unitary character of $N(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\eta_X(\exp Y) = e^{X(Y)} \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Since all \mathbb{R} -Cartan subgroups of B are $N(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate [Borel 1991, Theorem 19.2], this is independent of the choice of T . We define \mathfrak{w}_X to be the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of (B, η_X) .

The second construction starts with a character $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and an \mathbb{R} -pinning $\mathcal{P} = (T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ of G (thus T and B are defined over \mathbb{R} , $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ for each absolute B -simple root of T in G , and the set $\{X_\alpha\}$ is permuted by complex conjugation). Let u_α be the isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -groups taking \mathbb{G}_α to U_α (it can be explicitly given as $u_\alpha(t) = \exp(tX_\alpha)$). If N is the unipotent radical of B then the composition $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/[N, N]$ is an isomorphism of complex algebraic groups, which we compose with (u_α) . The inverse of the result, composed with the product map $\prod_\alpha \mathbb{G}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\alpha$, becomes a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\gamma : N/[N, N] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\alpha$ which is defined over \mathbb{R} , and $\eta_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda} = \Lambda \circ \gamma$ is a nondegenerate unitary character of $N(\mathbb{R})$. The $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of $\eta_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda}$ only depends on the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of \mathcal{P} . Write $\mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda}$ for the Whittaker datum $[(B, \eta_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda})]$.

If we consider an element $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ fixed, we obtain the “standard” character $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ given by $x \mapsto e^{ix}$. We can then relate the two constructions as follows. For each B -simple root α let $X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ be determined by $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$, where $H_\alpha = d\alpha^\vee(1) \in \text{Lie}(T)$ is the coroot. Define $X_\mathcal{P} \in i\mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$ by

$$(X_\mathcal{P}, Y) = i \sum_\alpha [Y_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] / H_\alpha,$$

where $Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the projection of Y to the direct summand $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 3.1.2. (1) *The map $X \mapsto \mathfrak{w}_X$ is an $\text{Aut}(G)(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant bijection from the set of $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of regular nilpotent elements of $i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ to the set of Whittaker data of G .*

(2) *Fix a nontrivial unitary character Λ of \mathbb{R} . The map $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda}$ is an $\text{Aut}(G)(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant bijection from $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of real pinnings to Whittaker data.*

(3) *If we take $\Lambda(x) = e^{ix}$ then $\eta_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda} = \eta_{X_\mathcal{P}}$ and hence $\mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda} = \mathfrak{w}_{X_\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. The $\text{Aut}(G)(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariance of the maps in (1) and (2) are clear. We need to prove they are bijections.

(1) We construct the inverse map. Suppose \mathfrak{w} is the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of (B, η) . Choose a maximal \mathbb{R} -torus T of B , use it to define \bar{B} , and write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ as before. Then the unitary character η of N lifts to an element of $i\mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$. Using the decomposition we view this as an element of $i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$, which is nilpotent (because it lies in $i\mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$) and regular (because the character is nondegenerate). As before the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of this element is independent of the choice of T .

(2) Let $(T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ be an \mathbb{R} -pinning and set $X_- = \sum_\alpha X_{-\alpha}$. Let $H \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$ be the sum of the positive coroots. Since $\{H_\alpha\}$ forms a basis of the coroot lattice there exist positive integers n_α such that $H = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha H_\alpha$. Since H is Γ -fixed, the collection of integers $\{n_\alpha\}$ is Γ -stable. Then $X_+ = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and (X_+, H, X_-) forms an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple with all three elements in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. All three elements X_+ , H , and X_- , are regular. The intersection of their centralizers is the center of G . In particular, the only unipotent element that centralizes the triple (X_+, H, X_-) is the identity element.

For injectivity, assume two \mathbb{R} -pinnings $(T^{(i)}, B^{(i)}, \{X_\alpha^{(i)}\})$, $i = 1, 2$, lead to the same regular nilpotent element $X_- \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Let B^- be the unique (due to regularity of X_-) Borel subgroup such that X_- is contained in the Lie algebra of its unipotent radical U^- . The two triples $(X_+^{(i)}, H^{(i)}, X_-)$ are then conjugate by a unique element of the centralizer of X_- in U^- . This element must then lie in $U^-(\mathbb{R})$. Since $H^{(i)}$ and $X_+^{(i)}$ are regular elements, after conjugating by that element of $U^-(\mathbb{R})$ we may assume that $T^{(1)} = T^{(2)}$ and $B^{(1)} = B^{(2)}$. But then each $X_\alpha^{(i)}$ can be recovered as the α -component of $X_+^{(i)}$. We conclude that the two pinnings are indeed conjugate under $G(\mathbb{R})$.

For surjectivity consider a regular nilpotent element $X_- \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and let B^- be the unique Borel subgroup such that X_- is contained in the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical U^- of B^- . If we can find a maximal torus $T \subset B^-$ such that the decomposition of X_- according to the root spaces for T has nonzero components only for the B^- -simple roots, then writing $X_- = \sum_\alpha X_{-\alpha}$ accordingly and setting $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$ we obtain an \mathbb{R} -pinning $(T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ giving rise to X_- , where B is the T -opposite of B^- . In order to find such a T , we first choose an arbitrary maximal \mathbb{R} -torus $T \subset B^-$ and want to conjugate this torus under $U^-(\mathbb{R})$ to obtain the desired torus. This is equivalent to conjugating X_- under $U^-(\mathbb{R})$ to achieve that its components for roots that are not B^- -simple are all zero. To see that this is possible, write $X_- = \sum_\alpha X_{-\alpha}$, where α runs over *all* roots of T in B^- , and set $X'_- = \sum_\alpha X_{-\alpha}$, where now α runs over *only the simple* roots of T in B^- . Thus $X_- - X'_- \in [\mathfrak{n}^-, \mathfrak{n}^-](\mathbb{R})$. Since both X_- and X'_- are regular and contained in \mathfrak{n}^- , they are conjugate under an element of $B^-(\mathbb{C})$. But conjugation by $U^-(\mathbb{C})$ doesn't change the simple components, while conjugation by $T(\mathbb{C})$ does so

unless the element lies in $Z_G(\mathbb{C}) \subset T(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore we conclude that X_- and X'_- are conjugate under an element $u \in U^-(\mathbb{C})$. Now $u^{-1}\sigma(u)$ lies in the centralizer of X_- in $U^-(\mathbb{C})$, which is a connected unipotent subgroup $U'(\mathbb{C})$ of $U^-(\mathbb{C})$. But $H^1(\mathbb{R}, U') = \{0\}$ and we see that $uU'(\mathbb{C})$ intersects $U^-(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., X_- and X'_- are indeed conjugate under $U^-(\mathbb{R})$, as claimed.

(3) It is enough to check that $\eta_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda}(\exp(Y)) = \eta_{X_{\mathcal{P}}}(\exp(Y))$ for $Y \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})$. We have $Y \in \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} + [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ for some $t_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $X_{\mathcal{P}}(Y) = i \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha}$, so $\eta_{X_{\mathcal{P}}} = e^{i \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha}}$, but we also have $\eta_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda} = e^{i \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha}}$ when $\Lambda(X) = e^{iX}$. \square

In the literature Whittaker models are usually defined by a regular nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ (not the dual). It is helpful to relate these formulations.

To do so, fix a nondegenerate, $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant bilinear form κ on $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}](\mathbb{R})$, and extend it by complex-linearity to a $G(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant form on \mathfrak{g}' , still denoted by κ (for example, we could take the Killing form). Suppose $T \subset B$ are a Cartan and Borel subgroup, both defined over \mathbb{R} . Let \bar{B} be the T -opposite Borel subgroup, and let N and \bar{N} be the nilpotent radicals of B and \bar{B} , respectively.

Given \mathcal{P}, Λ and κ , write $\Lambda(t) = e^{st}$ for some $s \in i\mathbb{R}$ and define

$$X_-(P, \kappa, \Lambda) = s \sum_{\alpha} \kappa(X_{-\alpha}, X_{\alpha})^{-1} X_{-\alpha} \in i\bar{n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here the sum is over the absolute B -simple roots, and as above $X_{-\alpha}$ satisfies $[X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}] = H_{\alpha}$. Then a straightforward calculation gives

$$\eta_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda}(\exp Y) = e^{\kappa(X_-(\mathcal{P},\kappa,\Lambda), Y)} \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Note that, in particular, the right-hand side is independent of κ .

In other words let ϕ_{κ} be the isomorphism $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$ given by

$$\phi_{\kappa}(Y) = \kappa(X, Y) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Then $\eta_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda} = \eta_{\phi_{\kappa}(X_-(\mathcal{P},\kappa,\Lambda))}$. See [Kaletha 2019b, proof of Lemma 6.2.2].

It is helpful to understand the set of all Whittaker data. Let

$$Q(G(\mathbb{R})) = G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})/\text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{R})).$$

This is a finite abelian 2-group, which acts by automorphisms on $G(\mathbb{R})$, and therefore induces an action of $Q(G(\mathbb{R}))$ on the set of Whittaker data.

Lemma 3.1.3. *$Q(G(\mathbb{R}))$ acts simply transitively on*

- (1) *the set of Whittaker data;*
- (2) *the set of regular nilpotent orbits in $i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$.*

See [Adams et al. 1992, Lemma 14.14].

Proof. We use Lemma 3.1.2(1) and the fact that $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda}$ is $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant, to reduce to the transitivity of the action of $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ on the set of \mathbb{R} -pinning. Since all Borel pairs over \mathbb{R} are conjugate under $G(\mathbb{R})$, this reduces the statement to the simple transitivity of the action of $T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ on the possible choices of nonzero simple root vectors. If the simple root α is real, then so is the corresponding fundamental coweight ϖ_α , which then gives a 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$, which clearly acts simply transitively on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$. If the simple root is complex, then a pair $(X_\alpha, X_{\sigma\alpha})$ contributing to the pinning is an element of $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\alpha})(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}$. The fundamental coweight ϖ_α induces a 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ which again acts simply transitively on $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\alpha})(\mathbb{R})$.

The second assertion is immediate from Lemma 3.1.2(2). □

For the next lemma recall the notation $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$ from Section 2.10.

Lemma 3.1.4. *Assume there exists (and fix) an elliptic maximal torus $S \subset G$. The inclusion $N(S_{\text{ad}}, G_{\text{ad}})(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ induces a bijection*

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\text{ad}}, G_{\text{ad}}) / \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G) \rightarrow Q(G(\mathbb{R})).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that any two elliptic maximal tori of G are conjugate under $G(\mathbb{R})$ — this is well known and is seen as follows. It is enough to show conjugacy under $G_{\text{der}}(\mathbb{R})$, so we may assume G is semisimple, hence S is anisotropic. Then $S(\mathbb{R})$ is compact [Borel 1991, Section 24.6(c)], hence contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. But any two maximal compact subgroups of $G(\mathbb{R})$ are conjugate [Borel 1991, Section 24.6(a)], and any two maximal tori of a compact Lie group are conjugate [Knapp 2002, Corollary 4.35]. □

3.2. Kostant sections. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a regular nilpotent element. It can be extended to an $\text{SL}(2)$ -triple (X, H, Y) [Collingwood and McGovern 1993, Chapter 3]. The Kostant section $\mathcal{H}(X)$ associated to (X, H, Y) is the affine space $X + \text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Kostant [1963] showed that the Kostant section meets every regular orbit in a unique point. If $X, H, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is Galois stable. Since any two choices of triples that contain X and lie in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ are conjugate under the centralizer of X in $G(\mathbb{R})$, it is clear that the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ only depends on the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of X . Note that any $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ can be extended to a triple (X, H, Y) with $H, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$.

Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathcal{O} is a regular orbit in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C})$ which is defined over \mathbb{R} , i.e., stable under complex conjugation. Then the unique point in $\mathcal{H}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}$ is also stable under complex conjugation, i.e., contained in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. The $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of $\mathcal{H}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}$ depends only on \mathcal{O} and the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of X .

We can just as well use elements $X \in i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is sent to $-\mathcal{H}(X)$ by complex conjugation.

We now define the analog of a Kostant section for \mathfrak{g}^* . Choose an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces that is equivariant for the action of G and of the Galois group. Then for a regular nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ define $\mathcal{H}^*(X) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ as $\mathcal{H}^*(X) = \phi(\mathcal{H}(\phi^{-1}(X)))$. The rationality and uniqueness properties of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ are inherited from the analogous properties in the case of \mathfrak{g} .

Choosing the isomorphism ϕ is equivalent to choosing a nondegenerate, G and Galois invariant, bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . For example we can use any extension of the Killing form on the derived algebra by a nondegenerate bilinear form on the center. In fact, the definition of $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ is independent of the choice as we now show.

Decompose

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n,$$

where \mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}_i are the simple factors. This decomposition is canonical, up to permuting the \mathfrak{g}_i . The dual decomposes accordingly as

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n^*,$$

where \mathfrak{z}^* is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$, and also equal to the fixed point space for the coadjoint action of G , and \mathfrak{g}_i^* is the annihilator of

$$\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$$

(with “hat” signifying that we are omitting this summand), and also equal to the dual space of \mathfrak{g}_i . The isomorphism ϕ breaks up as $\phi_{\mathfrak{z}} \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_n$, where $\phi_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^*$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces equivariant under complex conjugation, and $\phi_i : \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i^*$ is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces equivariant under G (equivalently, its simple piece G_i) and complex conjugation. Of these, $\phi_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is arbitrary, while ϕ_i is uniquely determined up to a nonzero real scalar. Now $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $Y = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ break up accordingly (neither has a central piece), and we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\phi^{-1}(X)) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \bigoplus (\phi_i^{-1}(X_i) + \text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(\phi_i^{-1}(Y_i))),$$

hence

$$\mathcal{H}^*(X) = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \bigoplus (X_i + \phi_i(\text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(\phi_i^{-1}(Y_i)))).$$

But it is clear that the term $\phi_i(\text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(\phi_i^{-1}(Y_i)))$ does not change if we multiply ϕ_i by a nonzero scalar.

Note in particular that if we decompose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$ and analogously $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}')^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, then a regular nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ lies in \mathfrak{g}' and $\mathcal{H}(X) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathcal{H}(X)'$ with $\mathcal{H}(X)' = \mathcal{H}(X) \cap \mathfrak{g}'$, and dually a regular nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ lies in $(\mathfrak{g}')^*$ and $\mathcal{H}^*(X) = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \mathcal{H}^*(X)'$ with $\mathcal{H}^*(X)' = \mathcal{H}^*(X) \cap (\mathfrak{g}')^*$.

3.3. Generic essentially discrete series representations.

Definition 3.3.1. Suppose $\mathfrak{w} = [(B, \eta)]$ is a Whittaker datum. We say that a representation π of $G(\mathbb{R})$ is \mathfrak{w} -generic if there is a nonzero smooth vector v in the space of π such that $\pi(x)v = \eta(x)v$ for all $x \in N(\mathbb{R})$. We say π is generic if it is \mathfrak{w} -generic for some \mathfrak{w} .

Remark 3.3.2. It is clear from the definition that π is \mathfrak{w} -generic if and only if its pull-back to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is so. We could therefore immediately restrict to the case where G is semisimple and simply connected. We will not do this in order to make referencing this section easier. But notice that this observation allows one to treat all groups discussed in [Section 2.7](#).

Suppose π is an irreducible eds representation and let (S, τ) be its Harish-Chandra parameter as in [Remark 2.7.9](#), and write $d\tau = d\tau_3 + d\tau' \in \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus i\mathfrak{g}^*(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ accordingly. Let $\mathcal{O}_\pi \subset \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus i\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of $d\tau$, and $\mathcal{O}'_\pi \subset i\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})^*$ be the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of $d\tau'$. Given a regular nilpotent element $X \in i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ we have the Kostant section $\mathcal{K}^*(X) = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \mathcal{K}^*(X)'$ defined in [Section 3.2](#). The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following result.

Proposition 3.3.3. *Suppose π is an eds representation and $X \in i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ is a regular nilpotent element. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) π is \mathfrak{w}_X -generic;
- (2) \mathcal{O}_π meets $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$;
- (3) \mathcal{O}'_π meets $\mathcal{K}^*(X)'$.

We begin with some preparations. If W is a subset of a real or complex vector space V , define $\text{AC}(W)$, the *asymptotic cone* of W as in [[Barbasch and Vogan 1980](#), Proposition 3.7; [Adams and Vogan 2021](#), Definition 2.9]:

$$\text{AC}(W) = \left\{ v \in V \mid \exists t_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, t_n \rightarrow 0, w_n \in W, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n w_n = v \right\}.$$

This is a closed cone.

Lemma 3.3.4. *Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \epsilon\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a regular $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit and $X \in \epsilon\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ be a regular nilpotent element. Then $X \in \text{AC}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ meets $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Choose an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ as in [Section 3.2](#). It is immediate that $\phi(\text{AC}(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}))) = \text{AC}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$. This reduces the proof to the analogous statement but with \mathfrak{g}^* replaced by \mathfrak{g} . It is also clear that $\epsilon(\text{AC}(\epsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}))) = \text{AC}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$, so we may take $\epsilon = 1$. We thus have a regular nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and a regular $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume first that $X \in \text{AC}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$. By [[Kostant 1963](#)], $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is transversal to any $G(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore the set $\text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{C}))\mathcal{K}(X)$ contains an open ball around

X in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C})$. This remains valid over \mathbb{R} as well: $\text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{R}))\mathcal{H}(X)(\mathbb{R})$ contains an open ball around X in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$.

Choose $t_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $w_n \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $t_n w_n \rightarrow X$. We conclude that there exists $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that $t_n w_n \in \text{Ad}(g)\mathcal{H}(X)(\mathbb{R})$ for some n . This is equivalent to $\text{Ad}(g)^{-1}w_n \in t_n^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(X))(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit, we see $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap t_n^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(X))(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$.

We now use the elementary facts that $t\mathcal{H}(X) = \mathcal{H}(tX)$ and $\text{Ad}(h)\mathcal{H}(X) = \mathcal{H}(\text{Ad}(h)X)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in G(\mathbb{C})$. Also it is well known that the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of X is a cone. (To see this, apply the real version of the Jacobson–Morozov theorem [Collingwood and McGovern 1993, Theorem 9.2.1] to find a homomorphism $\phi: \text{SL}_2 \rightarrow G$ such that $d\phi\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = X$; then $\text{Ad}(\phi(\text{diag}(t, t^{-1}))) (X) = t^2 X$.) Together these imply

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathcal{H}(X) = G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathcal{H}(tX) \quad (\text{for all } t > 0). \quad (3-1)$$

The result follows, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap t_n^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(X))(\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot (t_n^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(X))(\mathbb{R})) \\ &= \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot (\mathcal{H}(X)(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathcal{H}(X)(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

For the other direction, suppose $\mathcal{H}(X)$ meets $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$. This means there is an $\text{SL}(2)$ -triple (X, H, Y) , and an element $Z \in \text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)$ such that $W = X + Z \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [Kostant 1959], the centralizer $\text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)$ is abelian; furthermore there exists a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and a positive system Δ for the roots of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} , such that X is contained in the sum of Δ -positive root spaces, while $\text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)$ is contained in the sum of Δ -negative roots. Therefore, if we set $h(t) = \exp(tH)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(h(t)) \cdot F &\rightarrow 0 && \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ while} \\ \text{Ad}(h(t)) \cdot X &= f(t)X && \text{with } f(t) > 0 \text{ and } f(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Set $Y(t) = \text{Ad}(h(t))Y$; then $f(t)[X + Y(t)] = \text{Ad}(h(t))W$ lies on the orbit \mathbb{O} . Thus there exists a continuous path in $\mathbb{R}^+ \cdot \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with X as a limit point; by definition this means $X \in \text{AC}(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$. \square

For a closely related result see [Fresse and Mehdi 2021, Proposition 3.5].

Proof of Proposition 3.3.3. Since $\mathcal{H}^*(X) = \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus \mathcal{H}^*(X)'$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\pi} = d\tau_3 + \mathbb{O}'_{\pi}$, the equivalence of (2) and (3) is clear.

Suppose π is \mathfrak{w}_X -generic. We define the wave-front set $\text{WF}(\pi)$ as in [Matumoto 1992, Section 3]. By definition this is a subset of $i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ consisting of nilpotent elements, so it is a finite union of nilpotent orbits.

By [Matumoto 1992, Theorem A], $X \in \text{WF}(\pi)$. Furthermore by [Harris et al. 2016, Theorem 1.2] $\text{WF}(\pi) = \text{AC}(\mathbb{O}_{\pi})$. Therefore $X \in \text{AC}(\mathbb{O})$, so $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ meets \mathbb{O}_{π} by Lemma 3.3.4.

For the opposite implication consider the Harish-Chandra parameter (S, τ) of π . Recall that $\Omega(S, G)$ acts on S by \mathbb{R} -automorphisms, due to the ellipticity of S . Applying an element of $\Omega(S, G)$ to τ we obtain τ_1 with the property that the element $H_1 \in i\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to $d\tau_1$ under κ lies in a Weyl chamber whose simple roots are noncompact (such Weyl chambers exist). By [Vogan 1978, Theorem 6.2(a,f)] the corresponding representation π_1 is generic with respect to some Whittaker datum. By Lemmas 3.3.4 and 3.1.4 we can apply an element of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\text{ad}}, G_{\text{ad}})$ to τ_1 to obtain τ_2 , such that the corresponding π_2 is \mathfrak{w}_X -generic. By construction there is $n \in N(S, G)(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\tau_2 = \text{Ad}(n)\tau$.

By the already proved first implication of this proposition, \mathbb{C}_{π_2} meets $K(X)$. By assumption \mathbb{C}_{π} also meets $K(X)$. Thus, the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits of τ and $\tau_2 = \text{Ad}(n)\tau$ meet $K(X)$. Since the $G(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of $H\tau$ meets $K(X)$ in a unique point, τ and $\tau_2 = \text{Ad}(n)\tau$ lie in the same $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit, thus (by regularity) in the same $N(S, G)(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit. Modifying n by $N(S, G)(\mathbb{R})$ arranges $\tau = \tau_2$, without changing π_2 , and we conclude $\pi = \pi_2$. □

Corollary 3.3.5. *Let π be an eds representation. There exists at most one Whittaker model \mathfrak{w} for which π is \mathfrak{w} -generic.*

We remark that the analogous statement for p -adic groups is false, as can already be seen in the example of $G = \text{SL}_2$, which for $p \neq 2$ has four Whittaker data and each regular supercuspidal representation is generic for exactly two of them.

Proof. Let (S, τ) be the Harish-Chandra parameter of π . If \mathfrak{w}_1 and \mathfrak{w}_2 are two Whittaker models for which π is generic, let $w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\text{ad}}, G_{\text{ad}})$ be an element such that $w\mathfrak{w}_1 = \mathfrak{w}_2$ by Lemmas 3.3.4 and 3.1.4. Proposition 3.3.3 shows that the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits of τ and $w\tau$ meet the same Kostant section (associated to \mathfrak{w}_2). These $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits must then be equal. Modifying w by an element of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$ we see that $\tau = w\tau$. By regularity of H_{τ} this shows $w = 1$, but then $\mathfrak{w}_2 = w\mathfrak{w}_1 = \mathfrak{w}_1$. □

We now mention a slight strengthening of Proposition 3.3.3.

Lemma 3.3.6. (1) *Suppose $\pi = \pi(S, \tau)$ is a generic eds representation and $X \in i\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^*$ is a regular nilpotent element. Then π is \mathfrak{w}_X -generic if and only if $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ meets $G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \lambda$ for one, equivalently every, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z} \oplus i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})^*$ that lies in the same Weyl chamber as $d\tau$.*

(2) *Suppose $\pi_1 = \pi(S, \tau_1)$ and $\pi_2 = \pi(S, \tau_2)$ are eds representations and \mathfrak{w}_1 and \mathfrak{w}_2 are Whittaker data, such that π_i is \mathfrak{w}_i -generic. Then $\mathfrak{w}_1 = \mathfrak{w}_2$ if and only if the unique Weyl chambers containing $d\tau_1$ and $d\tau_2$ are conjugate under $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \lambda$ and recall $\mathbb{C}_{\pi} = G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot d\tau$. By Proposition 3.3.3, we need to show that \mathbb{C}_{λ} meets $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ if and only if $\mathbb{C}_{d\tau}$ meets $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$. Applying

Lemma 3.3.4 we see that we need to show the equivalence of $X \in \text{AC}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ and $X \in \text{AC}(\mathbb{C}_{d\tau})$. This follows since λ and $d\tau$ are in the same Weyl chamber and the fact [Adams and Afgoustidis 2024, Proposition 3.9] that $\text{AC}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ only depends on the Weyl chamber of λ .

(2) Assume that the Weyl chambers of $d\tau_1$ and $d\tau_2$ are conjugate under $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$. Conjugating (S, τ_2) by $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$ we may assume that $d\tau_1$ and $d\tau_2$ lie in the same Weyl chamber without changing the isomorphism class of π_2 . According to (1), which applies to both (S, τ_1) and (S, τ_2) , π_2 is generic for \mathfrak{w}_1 . **Corollary 3.3.5** now implies $\mathfrak{w}_1 = \mathfrak{w}_2$.

Assume conversely that $\mathfrak{w}_1 = \mathfrak{w}_2$ and express this Whittaker datum as \mathfrak{w}_X for a regular nilpotent element X . Then (1) shows that $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ meets the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits of both λ_1 and λ_2 , where λ_i is any element in the same Weyl chamber as $d\tau_i$. But if w is the element of $\Omega(S, G)$ that sends the chamber of $d\tau_1$ to the chamber of $d\tau_2$, we can choose $\lambda_2 = w\lambda_1$. Then λ_1 and λ_2 are $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate and their $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits both meet $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$, so the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits of λ_1 and λ_2 are equal, which implies that w can be lifted to an element of $N(S, G)(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$. Thus the Weyl chambers of $d\tau_1$ and $d\tau_2$ are conjugate under $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$. \square

See [Adams and Afgoustidis 2024, Appendix] for details in the example of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

4. Construction of L -packet and internal structure

Let G_0 be a quasisplit connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group with dual group \widehat{G} and L -group ${}^L G$. Let $[\varphi] : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of discrete Langlands parameters.

4.1. Factorization of a parameter. Before we state the next lemma, we introduce the following notation. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we define

$$z^a \cdot \bar{z}^b := |z|^{a+b} \cdot (z/|z|)^{a-b}.$$

More generally, if \widehat{T} is a complex torus and $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define

$$\lambda(z) \cdot \mu(\bar{z}) \in \widehat{T}$$

to be the unique element characterized by

$$\chi(\lambda(z) \cdot \mu(\bar{z})) = z^{\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle} \cdot \bar{z}^{\langle \chi, \mu \rangle} \quad \text{for all } \chi \in X^*(\widehat{T}).$$

It is well known that every continuous group homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is of the form $z \mapsto z^a \cdot \bar{z}^b$ for unique $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, every continuous group homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ is of the form $z \mapsto \lambda(z) \cdot \mu(\bar{z})$ for unique $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$.

Lemma 4.1.1. *Choose any representative φ within the conjugacy class $[\varphi]$.*

- (1) *There exists a maximal torus $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{G}$ that is normalized by the image of φ .*
- (2) *There exist $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$ such that, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$,*

$$\varphi(z) = \lambda(z) \cdot \mu(\bar{z}) \in \widehat{T}.$$

- (3) *The action of $\Gamma = W_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ on \widehat{T} by conjugation via φ induces multiplication by -1 on $X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))$.*
- (4) *For all $\alpha \in R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$, the a priori complex number $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$ is a nonzero half-integer, and equals $-\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle$.*
- (5) *$\widehat{T} = \text{Cent}(\varphi(\mathbb{C}^{\times}), \widehat{G})$, thus \widehat{T} is uniquely determined by φ .*

Remark 4.1.2. (1) Note that (4) implies that the images $\lambda', \mu' \in X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))_{\mathbb{C}}$ lie in $\frac{1}{2}X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))$ and satisfy $\mu' = -\lambda'$. Although we will not need it, it can be shown that in fact λ' and μ' are integral, i.e., they lie in $X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))$.

(2) Furthermore, (4) implies that λ' is a regular element of $X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))_{\mathbb{R}}$, and hence determines a Weyl chamber. Let Δ be the set of simple roots for that chamber. Once the integrality of λ' is established, its regularity implies $\langle \alpha, \lambda' \rangle \geq 1$, and hence $\lambda' - \rho \in X_*(\widehat{T}/Z(\widehat{G}))$ is still a dominant integral element of the chamber.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.1. (1) We consider ${}^L G = \widehat{G} \rtimes \Gamma$ as a disconnected algebraic group. Let $A \subset {}^L G$ denote the Zariski closure of the image of φ and let $B \subset A$ denote the Zariski closure of $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^{\times})$; the latter lies in \widehat{G} .

We claim that A consists of semisimple elements. Since $x^2 \in B$ for $x \in A$, it is enough to show that B consists of semisimple elements. This is equivalent to showing that the adjoint action of B on the Lie algebra of \widehat{G} is semisimple. Thus consider $\text{Ad} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. The subgroup $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^{\times})$ of \widehat{G} consists of elements which commute with each other, and are semisimple elements by definition of φ ; see [Borel 1979, Section 8]. Therefore their actions on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be simultaneously diagonalized, i.e., their images in $\text{GL}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ lie in a common torus. The preimage of this torus in \widehat{G} is a closed subgroup consisting of commuting semisimple elements and contains B , proving the claim.

Now B is normal in A of index 2, B/B° is a finite abelian group, and B° is a connected abelian algebraic group consisting of semisimple elements, hence a torus. We conclude that A is supersolvable according to [Springer and Steinberg 1970, Definition 5.14], and [loc. cit., Theorem 5.16] implies that A normalizes a maximal torus $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{G}$.

(2) We continue with a maximal torus $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{G}$ normalized by the image of φ . Thus $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^{\times})$, which is a subgroup of \widehat{G} , lies in $N(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$. By continuity of φ for the

analytic topology, the subset $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ of \widehat{G} is connected in the analytic topology. The projection map $N(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G}) \rightarrow \Omega(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ is continuous in the analytic topology, from which we conclude that the image of $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ in $\Omega(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ is trivial, and hence $B \subset \widehat{T}$. In particular, $\varphi(z) \in \widehat{T}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The discussion before the statement of the lemma provides unique $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$ such that

$$\varphi(z) = \lambda(z) \cdot \mu(\bar{z}) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

For the remainder of the proof, we assume without loss of generality that \widehat{G} is adjoint.

(3) Since $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subset \widehat{T}$ by (2), the action of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ on \widehat{T} by conjugation via φ factors through $W_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{C}^\times = \Gamma$. If the induced action on $X_*(\widehat{T})$ stabilizes some $0 \neq \nu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$, then the image of φ would be contained in the proper parabolic subgroup P_ν determined by ν , contradicting the assumed discreteness of φ . Therefore, the involution of $X_*(\widehat{T})$ induced by the action of the nontrivial element of Γ is given by multiplication by -1 .

(4) Using (3) we see that, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$,

$$\mu(z)\lambda(\bar{z}) = \varphi(\bar{z}) = \varphi(\sigma \cdot z \cdot \sigma^{-1}) = \text{Ad}(\varphi(\sigma))(\lambda(z)\mu(\bar{z})) = (-\lambda)(z) \cdot (-\mu)(\bar{z}),$$

which shows $\lambda = -\mu$. Thus $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = -\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle$ for all $\alpha \in R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$. Moreover, since $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$, we conclude $2\lambda \in X_*(\widehat{T})$.

If there is some $\alpha \in R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ with $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0$, then all elements of the root subgroups U_α and $U_{-\alpha}$ are fixed by $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^\times)$, while these two root subgroups are interchanged by $\varphi(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in W_{\mathbb{R}}$ projecting to the nontrivial element of Γ . The semisimple group of rank 1 generated by U_α and $U_{-\alpha}$ is thus stable under the action of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ via conjugation by φ , and this action descends to Γ and is thus given by an involution. This involution is necessarily inner, i.e., it coincides with the conjugation action of an element of this group. The subgroup of fixed points is thus at least of dimension 1 (it contains a maximal torus in this 3-dimensional subgroup). This subgroup lies in $\text{Cent}(\varphi, \widehat{G})$ and contradicts the discreteness of φ .

(5) From (2) and (4) we have $\varphi(z) = (2\lambda)(z/|z|) \in \widehat{T}$ and 2λ is a regular element of $X_*(\widehat{T})$. Since the image of $z \mapsto z/|z|$ is the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 of \mathbb{C}^\times , which is Zariski dense in the 1-dimensional torus \mathbb{C}^\times , the centralizer of the subgroup $(2\lambda)(\mathbb{S}^1)$ of \widehat{G} is the same as that of $(2\lambda)(\mathbb{C}^\times)$, which in turn equals \widehat{T} . \square

We continue with a chosen representative φ of the conjugacy class $[\varphi]$. [Lemma 4.1.1](#) provides the maximal torus $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{G}$ normalized by φ and containing $\varphi(\mathbb{C}^\times)$. The composition of φ with the projection

$$N(\widehat{T}, {}^L G) \rightarrow \Omega(\widehat{T}, {}^L G) = N(\widehat{T}, {}^L G)/\widehat{T}$$

factors through a homomorphism $\xi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega(\widehat{T}, {}^L G)$. Let \widehat{S} denote the Γ -module with underlying abelian group \widehat{T} and Γ -structure given by $\text{Ad} \circ \xi$. Let S be the \mathbb{R} -torus whose dual is \widehat{S} , i.e., the \mathbb{R} -torus determined by $X^*(S) = X_*(\widehat{S})$ as Γ -modules.

By construction, $R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G}) \subset X^*(\widehat{T}) = X^*(\widehat{S}) = X_*(S)$, and we write $R^\vee(S, G)$ for this set. Analogously we have a subset $R(S, G) \subset X^*(S)$. Both of these subsets are Γ -stable and according to [Lemma 4.1.1](#) the action of σ on $R(S, G)$ is by negation. Thus $S/Z(G)$ is anisotropic, where $Z(G) \subset S$ is the joint kernel of all elements of $R(S, G)$.

Let $S(\mathbb{R})_\pm$ be the double cover of $S(\mathbb{R})$ reviewed in [Section 2.6](#), associated to the subset $R(S, G) \subset X^*(S)$. As discussed there, there is a canonical \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of L -embeddings ${}^L S_\pm \rightarrow {}^L G$. Inside of this class, there is a unique \widehat{S} -conjugacy class, call it ${}^L j$, whose restriction to \widehat{S} is the tautological embedding $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$. The image of this L -embedding is described in (2-7), and contains the image of φ by construction. Thus $\varphi = {}^L j \circ \varphi_S$ for a unique \widehat{S} -conjugacy class of L -homomorphisms $\varphi_S : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L S_\pm$. According to [\[Kaletha 2019a, Theorem 3.15\]](#), φ_S corresponds to a genuine character $\tau : S(\mathbb{R})_\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Finally, the tautological inclusion $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{G}$ provides an embedding $\hat{j} : \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$. While this embedding is not Γ -equivariant, its \widehat{G} -conjugacy class is, because the embedding $\widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is Γ -equivariant and the Γ -structures of \widehat{G} and \widehat{S} differ by twisting by \widehat{G} .

The construction of (S, τ, \hat{j}) depended on the choice of φ within its conjugacy class. The next lemma shows that this dependence is irrelevant.

Lemma 4.1.3. *If (S_1, τ_1, \hat{j}_1) and (S_2, τ_2, \hat{j}_2) are two pairs obtained from two different choices φ_1 and φ_2 of elements of the \widehat{G} -conjugacy class $[\varphi]$, there exists a unique isomorphism $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ which identifies τ_1 with τ_2 and whose dual intertwines \hat{j}_1 and \hat{j}_2 . It is given by conjugation by an element of \widehat{G} .*

Proof. The uniqueness claim is clear from the compatibility with \hat{j}_i . We show existence. Let \widehat{T}_i be the centralizer of $\varphi_i(\mathbb{C}^\times)$, a maximal torus according to [Lemma 4.1.1](#). Choose any $g \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\text{Ad}(g) \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$. Then $\text{Ad}(g)\widehat{T}_1 = \widehat{T}_2$ and the isomorphism $\text{Ad}(g) : \widehat{T}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{T}_2$ translates the Γ -action induced by $\text{Ad} \circ \varphi_1$ to that induced by $\text{Ad} \circ \varphi_2$. Therefore, $\text{Ad}(g) : \widehat{S}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{S}_2$ is Γ -equivariant. Tautologically, it intertwines \hat{j}_1 and \hat{j}_2 .

The dual isomorphism $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ identifies the subsets $R(S_1, G) \subset X^*(S_1)$ and $R(S_2, G) \subset X^*(S_2)$, hence lifts canonically to an isomorphism of double covers $S_2(\mathbb{R})_\pm \rightarrow S_1(\mathbb{R})_\pm$. Dually the isomorphism $\widehat{S}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{S}_2$ extends canonically to an isomorphism ${}^L S_{1,\pm} \rightarrow {}^L S_{2,\pm}$, which commutes with the canonical L -embeddings into ${}^L G$. Therefore, it translates the factorization of φ_1 through ${}^L S_{1,\pm}$ to the factorization of φ_2 through ${}^L S_{2,\pm}$, which implies that the isomorphism $S_2(\mathbb{R})_\pm \rightarrow S_1(\mathbb{R})_\pm$ identifies τ_2 with τ_1 . □

4.2. Construction of the L -packet. The natural embedding $\widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is not Γ -equivariant, but its \widehat{G} -conjugacy class is. From [Section 2.3](#) we obtain the category \mathcal{F} of embeddings of S into all pure (or rigid) inner forms of G_0 .

Consider $(G, \xi, z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. As discussed in [Section 2.7](#), there exists a unique eds representation π_j of $G(\mathbb{R})$ associated to the pair (S, τ) , transported to G via j . According to [Section 2.7](#) the representation π_j depends on the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of j , and two distinct such conjugacy classes produce two nonisomorphic representations.

Definition 4.2.1. We define the pure (resp. rigid) compound L -packet

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Pi}_\varphi &= \{(G, \xi, z, \pi_j) \mid (G, \xi, z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})\} \subset \widetilde{\Pi}, \\ \Pi_\varphi &= \widetilde{\Pi}_\varphi / G_0(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Pi. \end{aligned}$$

For each pure (resp. rigid) inner twist (G, ξ, z) of G_0 , we define

$$\Pi_\varphi(G, \xi) = \Pi_\varphi(G, \xi, z) = \{\pi \mid (G, \xi, z, \pi) \in \widetilde{\Pi}_\varphi\}.$$

Lemma 4.2.2. *The set of representations $\Pi_\varphi(G, \xi)$ equals $\{\pi_j \mid j \in J^\xi(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})\}$. In particular, it is independent of z . It coincides with the set $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ constructed by Langlands [[1989](#), Section 3].*

Proof. As discussed in [Section 2.3](#), the set of $(G, \xi, z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ with fixed triple (G, ξ, z) corresponds to $J^\xi(\mathbb{R})$; in particular, it is independent of z . Since the images of the members of $J^\xi(\mathbb{R})$ are elliptic maximal tori, and all such are conjugate under $G(\mathbb{R})$, we can choose representatives of $J^\xi(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})$ that all have the same image, call it $S' \subset G$. Then these representatives are a single orbit under $\Omega(S', G)$. In other words, if τ' is the transport of τ under one admissible embedding $j : S \rightarrow G$ with image S' , then all others make out the $\Omega(S', G)$ -orbit of τ' . Since we have specified the representations π_j by their character values on regular elements of $S'(\mathbb{R})$ in the same way as [[Langlands 1989](#), Section 3] or [[Adams and Vogan 2016](#), Section 4], the resulting L -packet coincides with the packet constructed in these references. \square

We have thus recovered the L -packets constructed by Langlands. At the moment they do not depend on the datum z in the triple (G, ξ, z) . This datum will play a role in the internal parameterization of these packets, to which we turn in a moment. They do however depend on ξ , as follows.

Remark 4.2.3. In our construction of the compound L -packet we used the L -group ${}^L G$ of the quasisplit form G_0 and a parameter $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ to construct an L -packet $\Pi_\varphi(G, \xi)$ on each inner form (G, ξ) . If we keep G fixed, but change ξ , the set of representations $\Pi_\varphi(G, \xi)$ may well change. For example, if $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ and we use once $\xi = \mathrm{id}$ and another time ξ being the unique nontrivial outer automorphism, then the unique member of $\Pi_\varphi(G, \xi)$ will change to its contragredient.

We can also take a different point of view. If we momentarily write ${}^L G_0$ for the L -group of G_0 and ${}^L G$ for the L -group of G , the twist ξ provides an identification $\text{brd}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{brd}(G)$, as discussed in [Section 2.1](#), and therefore an isomorphism ${}^L G_0 \rightarrow {}^L G$. If we now use L -parameters valued in ${}^L G$ rather than ${}^L G_0$, and we only care about the L -packet on G , rather than the compound L -packet, then the construction will be intrinsic to G and will not depend on ξ . However, since the precise internal structure of L -packets requires the usage of the compound L -packet, we have adopted the point of view of [\[Adams et al. 1992\]](#) that the quasisplit form plays the central organizing role for all of its inner forms.

4.3. Internal structure of the compound packet. Recall from [Lemma 2.3.1](#) that the abelian group $H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ in the pure case (and $H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G_0) \rightarrow S)$ in the rigid case) acts simply transitively on the set $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{C})$. By construction we have a bijection $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi$. At the same time, [Lemma 4.1.1](#) provides an identification $S_\varphi = \widehat{S}^\Gamma$, hence by Tate–Nakayama duality $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^* = \pi_0(\widehat{S}^\Gamma)^* = H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$. Analogously, in the rigid setting we obtain $\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)^* = H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G_0) \rightarrow S)$. This provides a simply transitive action of the abelian group $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^*$ in the pure setting, and $\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)^*$ in the rigid setting, on the set Π_φ .

Lemma 4.3.1. *The set $\Pi_\varphi(G_0, 1, 1)$ contains a unique \mathfrak{w} -generic member.*

Proof. By [Lemma 3.1.2](#) we have $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_X$ for some regular nilpotent $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*(\mathbb{R})$. [Proposition 3.3.3](#) states that implies that π_j is \mathfrak{w} -generic if and only if $dj(d\tau) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ meets the Kostant section $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$. But as j varies over $J^{G_0}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{R})$, the element $dj(d\tau)$ varies over the $G_0(\mathbb{R})$ -classes in a fixed stable class. Therefore, $dj(d\tau)$ meets any Kostant section for precisely one $j \in J^{G_0}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{R})$. At the same time, $j \mapsto \pi_j$ is a bijection from $j \in J^{G_0}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{R})$ to $\Pi_\varphi(G_0, 1, 1)$ by construction of the latter. \square

Taking the unique \mathfrak{w} -generic member of $\Pi(G_0, 1, 1) \subset \Pi_\varphi$, provided by [Lemma 4.3.1](#), as a basepoint, the simply transitive action turns into the bijection

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \pi_0(S_\varphi)^* \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi, \quad \iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \pi_0(S_\varphi^+)^* \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi,$$

in the pure and rigid cases, respectively.

We can summarize the construction and internal structure of Π_φ as follows. We use the language of pure inner forms; that of rigid inner forms is entirely analogous. We use the simplified notation to write $\widetilde{\Pi}$ for the set of pairs (z, π) , where $z \in Z^1(\mathbb{R}, G_0)$ and π is an isomorphism class of representations of the twist G_z , and $\Pi = \widetilde{\Pi}/G_0(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\widetilde{\Pi}_\varphi \subset \widetilde{\Pi}$ is the image of the map $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\Pi}$ sending (z, j) to (z, π_j) . The group $Z^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ by $x \cdot (z, j) = ([j \circ x] \cdot z, j)$. If $j_{\mathfrak{w}} : S \rightarrow G_0$ is an embedding for which $\pi_{j_{\mathfrak{w}}}$ is \mathfrak{w} -generic, then we obtain the map $Z^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ sending x to $(x, j_{\mathfrak{w}})$. Composing this with the map $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\Pi}_\varphi$ and taking the quotient under the action of $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ produces the bijection

$H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi$, which, together with the isomorphism $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^* = H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$, produces the desired bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \pi_0(S_\varphi)^* \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi$.

4.4. Dependence on the choice of Whittaker datum. In order to obtain the bijection from $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^*$ (or $\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)^*$) to Π_φ , we had to choose a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} and apply Lemma 4.3.1. Another Whittaker datum is of the form $\mathfrak{w}' = \text{Ad}(\bar{g})\mathfrak{w}$ with $\bar{g} \in G_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$. According to Proposition 3.3.3, if π is \mathfrak{w} -generic, then $\text{Ad}(\bar{g})\pi$ is \mathfrak{w}' -generic. If $j : S \rightarrow G_0$ is the embedding with $\pi = \pi_j$, then $\text{Ad}(\bar{g})\pi_j$ is associated to the embedding $\text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \circ j$.

As described in Section 4.3, the bijection $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^* \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi$ is the composition of the orbit map for the action of $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^* = H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{C})$ through the embedding j with the $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant bijection $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \tilde{\Pi}_\varphi$. Neither the latter bijection nor the action of $H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})/G_0(\mathbb{C})$ depend on \mathfrak{w} , only the particular point $j \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ does. The orbit map through j is given by $x \mapsto (x, j)$, while the orbit map through $\text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \circ j$ is given by $x \mapsto (x, \text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \circ j)$. But $(x, \text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \circ j) = g \cdot (g^{-1}x\sigma(g), j)$, for any $g \in G_0(\mathbb{C})$ lifting $\bar{g} \in G_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Now $z(\sigma) = g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ belongs to $Z^1(\mathbb{R}, Z(G_0))$. We conclude that $(x, \text{Ad}(\bar{g}) \circ j)$ is equivalent modulo the action of $G_0(\mathbb{C})$ to $(z \cdot x, j)$. This shows that the bijection $H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \rightarrow \Pi_\varphi$ normalized via $\mathfrak{w}' = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{w}$ is obtained from the bijection normalized via \mathfrak{w} by shifting the latter via multiplication by $[z] \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, Z(G_0))$.

Now consider the identification $\pi_0(S_\varphi)^* = H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$. It can be extended to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(S_\varphi)^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^1(W_{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G})^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{R}, Z(G_0)) \end{array}$$

We have used the $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ -cohomology of the crossed module $\widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ and the duality between it and the Γ -cohomology of the crossed module $G \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$. The latter is however quasiisomorphic to $Z(G_0)$. The left vertical map is obtained from the long exact cohomology sequence for the crossed module $\widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ endowed with $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action given by $\text{Ad} \circ \varphi$. The edge map $S_\varphi = H^0(W_{\mathbb{R}}, \varphi, \widehat{G}) \rightarrow H^1(W_{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G})$ factors through $\pi_0(S_\varphi)$. Note that, the cohomology of $\widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is canonically the same whether we take the $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ -action coming from the dual groups, or the one coming from $\text{Ad} \circ \varphi$, because the two structures differ by a homotopically trivial twist in the sense of [Kaletha 2011, Section 2.4]. For an alternative construction without resorting to crossed modules we refer to [Kaletha 2013, Section 4].

If we denote by $(\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')$ the character of $H^1(W_{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \widehat{G})$ corresponding to $[z]$, as well as its pullback to $\pi_0(S_\varphi)$, then we obtain the formula

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}(x) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(x \cdot (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')). \tag{4-1}$$

4.5. The case of a cover of G . We now assume that G is quasisplit and consider a cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ of $G(\mathbb{R})$ coming from a character $x : \tilde{\pi}_1(G) \rightarrow \mu_n(\mathbb{R})$ as in [Kaletha 2022]. This will be relevant for endoscopic groups H , where we will be working with L -packets on the double cover $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. The arguments of [Kaletha 2022, Section 2.6] reduce the construction of the local Langlands correspondence to the case of linear groups, which we have just treated. In this section, we will give an alternative approach that does not employ reduction to the linear case, and instead applies the arguments we have used so far for linear groups directly to the covering groups. This is possible due to the flexibility of Harish-Chandra's results on representation theory and harmonic analysis, as reviewed in Section 2.7.

The procedure is essentially the same as for the group $G(\mathbb{R})$. We start with a discrete L -parameter $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G_x$. By the same arguments as in Section 4.1,

$$\widehat{T} = \text{Cent}(\varphi(\mathbb{C}^{\times}), \widehat{G})$$

is a maximal torus of \widehat{G} , and the image of φ lies in $N(\widehat{T}, {}^L G_x)$. The composition of φ with the adjoint action Ad factors through Γ and induces a Γ -structure on \widehat{T} , which we call \widehat{S} . The \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of the inclusion $\hat{j} : \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is Γ -stable, hence leads again to the set $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ of admissible embeddings of S into pure (or rigid) inner forms of G .

Let $S(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ be the double cover of $S(\mathbb{R})$ associated to the subset $R(S, G) \subset X^*(S)$. It is the same double cover that was used in Section 4.1. Now we have another double cover, called $S(\mathbb{R})_x$, coming from the pullback of $x : \tilde{\pi}_1(G) \rightarrow \mu_n(\mathbb{C})$ under the natural map $\tilde{\pi}_1(S) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_1(G)$. Each admissible embedding $j : S \rightarrow G$ lifts naturally to an embedding $S(\mathbb{R})_x \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})_x$.

Consider the Baer sum $S(\mathbb{R})_{\pm, x}$ of the two double covers. We have the canonical L -embedding ${}^L S_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$. This embedding induces a canonical L -embedding ${}^L S_{\pm, x} \rightarrow {}^L G_x$. The L -parameter φ factors through it and provides an L -parameter $\varphi_S : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L S_{\pm, x}$, hence a genuine character $\tau : S(\mathbb{R})_{\pm, x} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Thus again we have a triple (S, τ, \hat{j}) . The analog of Lemma 4.1.3 holds, with the same proof.

For each $(G, \xi, z, j) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ we use j to identify S with a maximal torus of G . We can view τ as a genuine character of a double cover of $S(\mathbb{R})_x$. Then Theorem 2.7.7 provides a genuine eds representation π_j of $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ whose character restricted to $S(\mathbb{R})_x$ is given by (2-8). The definition of the L -packet and its internal structure are now done in the same way as in Sections 4.1 and 4.3.

5. Stability

Let G be a connected reductive \mathbb{R} -group. If G is not quasisplit we fix an inner twist $\xi : G_0 \rightarrow G$ to the quasisplit form G_0 . If G is quasisplit we further take a character $x : \tilde{\pi}_1(G) \rightarrow \mu_n(\mathbb{C})$, which we allow to be trivial, and consider the cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ constructed in [Kaletha 2022].

Let ${}^L G_x$ be the L -group of the cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ and let $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G_x$ be a discrete parameter. We have constructed in [Section 4.5](#) the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi}(G(\mathbb{R})_x)$ of genuine discrete series representations of the cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$.

When x is trivial then the cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ is split, i.e., equals $G(\mathbb{R}) \times \mu_n(\mathbb{C})$. The set of (isomorphism classes of) genuine representations of $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ is then canonically identified with the set of (isomorphism classes of) representations of $G(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we have the identification ${}^L G_x = {}^L G$ with the usual L -group recalled in [Section 2.1](#). The L -packet is then the one constructed in [Section 4.2](#). We refer to [Remark 4.2.3](#) for the role of ξ .

5.1. Statement of the theorem. Define the virtual character

$$S\Theta_{\varphi} := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G_x)} \dim(\iota_{\mathbb{w}}^{-1}(\pi))\Theta_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G_x)} \Theta_{\pi}, \quad (5-1)$$

where Θ_{π} is the Harish-Chandra character of the representation π . In the first sum we are using the internal structure of the L -packet discussed in [Section 4.3](#). However, since the group $\pi_0(S_{\varphi}^+)$ is abelian, all its irreducible representations are 1-dimensional, from which we obtain the second formula for $S\Theta_{\varphi}$ which shows that it doesn't depend on this internal structure.

This virtual character is a genuine invariant distribution on $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ that is represented by a genuine conjugation-invariant function defined on the set of regular semisimple elements of $G(\mathbb{R})_x$. Recall that we defined the notion of stably invariant distributions in [Section 2.11](#).

Theorem 5.1.1. *The distribution $S\Theta_{\varphi}$ is stably invariant.*

The proof of this theorem, which is originally [[Shelstad 1979](#), Lemma 5.2], will be given in the following subsections, first by reducing it to a more basic statement.

5.2. Reductions. Here we will reduce the proof of [Theorem 5.1.1](#) to the core case where G is a simply connected semisimple group and the cover $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ is trivial, and to showing that the restriction of the function representing $S\Theta_{\varphi}$ to any maximal torus $T(\mathbb{R})$ is invariant under the Weyl group $\Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{R})$, where M is the centralizer in G of the maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in T .

To that end, Harish-Chandra has shown that the character of a discrete series representation is a distribution that is representable by a smooth locally integrable class function defined on the regular semisimple elements of $G(F)_x$. The same then also holds for the virtual character $S\Theta_{\varphi}$. According to [Lemma 2.11.1](#) we need to show that this function takes equal values on stably conjugate elements.

Recall from [Theorem 2.7.7](#) that the character of each eds representation, and hence also the virtual character $S\Theta_{\varphi}$, is supported on $Z(G(\mathbb{R})_x) \cdot G(\mathbb{R})_x^{\natural}$, with $G(\mathbb{R})_x^{\natural}$ being the image of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})_x$. Therefore it is enough to show that

the pullback of $S\Theta_\varphi$ to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is stably invariant. But this pullback is the version of $S\Theta_\varphi$ for the trivial cover of the group $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and the parameter obtained by composing φ with the natural projection

$${}^L G_x = \widehat{G} \boxtimes_x \Gamma \rightarrow (\widehat{G}/Z(\widehat{G})) \rtimes \Gamma = {}^L(G_{\text{sc}}).$$

Moreover, two elements of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ are stably conjugate if and only if their images in $G(\mathbb{R})_x$ are stably conjugate. This reduces the proof to the case that G is semisimple simply connected and the cover is trivial.

It now remains to show that, given $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(\mathbb{R})$ stably conjugate strongly regular semisimple elements with centralizers T_1 and T_2 , there exists $w \in \Omega(T, M_1)(\mathbb{R})$ such that $w\gamma_1$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to γ_2 , where M_1 is the centralizer of the maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in T_1 . To see this, let $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $g\gamma_1 g^{-1} = \gamma_2$. Then $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in T_1(\mathbb{C})$ provides an element of $Z^1(\mathbb{R}, T_1)$ whose image in $Z^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$ is cohomologically trivial. Let M be the centralizer of the maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in T_1 . Then M is a Levi subgroup of G . The map $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T_1) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$ factors through $H^1(\mathbb{R}, M)$, but the map $H^1(\mathbb{R}, M) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G)$ is injective (this follows for example from [Adams and Taïbi 2018, Proposition 7.3]) and we conclude that there exists $h \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that $hg \in M(\mathbb{C})$. Replacing γ_2 by $h\gamma_2 h^{-1}$ we may thus assume that $g \in M(\mathbb{C})$, hence $\gamma_2 \in M(\mathbb{R})$. But now both T_1 and T_2 are maximal tori of M whose preimages in the simply connected cover of M are anisotropic, and thus their groups of \mathbb{R} -points are compact. It follows that T_1 and T_2 are conjugate under $M(\mathbb{R})$: to see this, replace M by its simply connected cover and choose two maximal compact subgroups K_1 and K_2 of $M(\mathbb{R})$, one containing $T_1(\mathbb{R})$ and the other $T_2(\mathbb{R})$. By conjugacy of maximal compact subgroups in connected semisimple Lie groups we can find $m \in M(\mathbb{R})$ that conjugates K_1 to K_2 . Next, by conjugacy of maximal tori in connected compact Lie groups we can find $k \in K_1$ that conjugates T_1 to T_2 .

This completes the desired reduction of the proof of [Theorem 5.1.1](#).

5.3. Proof of [Theorem 5.1.1](#) in the core case. We will now assume that G is semisimple and simply connected, $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ is a discrete parameter, and show that the function representing $S\Theta_\varphi$, when restricted to a maximal torus $T \subset G$, is invariant under the Weyl group $\Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{R})$, where M is the centralizer of the maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in \mathbb{R} .

This function was studied by Harish-Chandra [1965a, Section 24], where it is denoted by Θ_λ^* . Indeed, as we have recalled in [Theorem 2.7.7](#), each individual representation $\pi = \pi_\lambda \in \Pi_\varphi(G)$ is parameterized by a regular point $\lambda \in \text{Lie}^*(S)/\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)$, where $S \subset G$ is an arbitrarily chosen anisotropic maximal torus, and its character Θ_π is the unique invariant eigendistribution that is bounded on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and whose restriction to $S(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the formula $\sum_{w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)} d_\lambda(\gamma^w)^{-1} e^\lambda(\gamma^w)$. Harish-Chandra

writes this slightly differently, using the fact that $d_\lambda(\gamma^w) = \epsilon(w)d_\lambda(\gamma^w)$, namely as $d_\lambda(\gamma)^{-1} \sum_{w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G)} \epsilon(w)e^\lambda(\gamma^w)$. Now the L -packet $\Pi_\varphi(G)$ consists of the representations $\pi_{w\lambda}$ as w runs over the coset space $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(S, G) \backslash \Omega(S, G)$. Therefore the distribution $S\Theta_\varphi$ is the unique invariant eigendistribution which is bounded on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and whose restriction to $S(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $d_\lambda(\gamma)^{-1} \sum_{w \in \Omega(S, G)} \epsilon(w)e^\lambda(\gamma^w)$. This is indeed the distribution Θ_λ^* studied in [Harish-Chandra 1965a, Section 24].

While it is evident that this function is stable under $\Omega(S, G)(\mathbb{R}) = \Omega(S, G)$, we need to show that its restriction to any maximal torus $T \subset G$ is stable under $\Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{R})$. This is shown in [Harish-Chandra 1965a, Section 24], where however it is not explicitly stated. Thankfully, it is explicitly stated, and the details of the proof are given, in the survey article of Varadarajan [1973, Section 3.6, Theorem 1]. We just need to note that the “imaginary Weyl group”, denoted by $W_I(\mathbb{I})$ in that reference, is equal to the Weyl group $\Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{R})$ we are using here. Indeed, the imaginary roots in $R(T, G)$ are precisely the roots in the subsystem $R(T, M)$, because a root $\alpha \in R(T, G)$ is imaginary by definition if and only if $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$, but this is equivalent to requiring that it restricts trivially to the maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus in S , thus to being in $R(T, M)$. Therefore, the Weyl group $\Omega(T, M)$ is precisely the subgroup of $\Omega(T, G)$ generated by reflections along imaginary roots. Each such reflection acts on T as an \mathbb{R} -automorphism, so we have $\Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{R}) = \Omega(T, M)(\mathbb{C})$.

6. Endoscopic character identities

Let $\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a discrete parameter. Let $s \in S_\varphi$ (or $s \in S_\varphi^+$) be a semisimple element. Associated to the pair (φ, s) is a pure (or rigid) refined endoscopic datum $(H, s, \mathcal{H}, \eta)$ and a factorization $\varphi' : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L H_\pm$ of φ , whose construction was reviewed in Section 2.8. Here ${}^L H_\pm$ is the L -group of the canonical double cover $H(F)_\pm$ of $H(F)$ associated to (\mathcal{H}, η) .

6.1. Statement of the main theorem. As discussed in Section 4.5 there is an associated L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi'}(H_\pm)$ of genuine representations of $H(F)_\pm$. Consider the virtual character

$$S\Theta_{\varphi'} := \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H_\pm)} \langle \sigma, s \rangle \Theta_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H_\pm)} \langle \sigma, 1 \rangle \Theta_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(H_\pm)} \Theta_\sigma$$

on $H(\mathbb{R})_\pm$, where $\langle \sigma, - \rangle$ is the character of the irreducible representation of $\pi_0(S_\varphi)$ (resp. $\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)$) associated to σ by the bijection of Section 4.3. Let us argue the two equalities. Since $Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ (resp. $Z(\widehat{H})^+$) acts trivially on this irreducible representation, and s belongs by construction to this group, we see $\langle \sigma, s \rangle = \langle \sigma, 1 \rangle$, hence the first equality. The second comes from the fact that S_φ is abelian, because it lies in \widehat{S} (and S_φ^+ lies in \widehat{S}), where \widehat{S} is the torus involved in the construction of the L -packet on H . Note that, while the bijection of Section 4.3 depends on the choice

of a Whittaker datum, the argument of [Section 3.1](#) shows that the value $\langle \sigma, 1 \rangle$ does not depend on this choice.

We have a corresponding construction in the classical language, where the cover $H(F)_\pm$ is replaced by an arbitrary choice of a z -pair $(H_1, {}^L\eta_1)$ consisting of a z -extension $H_1 \rightarrow H$ and an L -embedding ${}^L\eta_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^LH_1$. We can then form the composed parameter $\varphi_1 = {}^L\eta_1 \circ \varphi'$ and have the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)$, from which we can form the virtual character

$$S\Theta_{\varphi_1} := \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)} \langle \sigma, s \rangle \Theta_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)} \langle \sigma, 1 \rangle \Theta_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{\varphi_1}(H_1)} \Theta_\sigma$$

on $H_1(F)$.

Let (G, ξ, z) be a pure (or rigid) inner twist of G_0 . We have the virtual character on $G(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w},s} := e(G) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\varphi(G, \xi, z)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_\pi,$$

where $e(G)$ is the Kottwitz sign of G , defined in [\[Kottwitz 1983\]](#) as $(-1)^{q(G_0) - q(G)}$. The dependence of this virtual character on \mathfrak{w} is simple and mirrors the dependence of the transfer factor $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, z]$ on it. It is given by

$$\Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w}',s} = \langle (\mathfrak{w}', \mathfrak{w}), s \rangle \cdot \Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w},s},$$

where $(\mathfrak{w}', \mathfrak{w})$ is the character of $\pi_0(S_\varphi)$ defined in [Section 4.4](#). This formula follows at once from [\(4-1\)](#).

The following is the main theorem of this article. It is a fundamental result of Shelstad [\[1982; 2008b; 2010\]](#).

Theorem 6.1.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}))$ be a test function.*

(1) *If $f^{H_\pm} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{R})_\pm)$ matches f as in [Definition 2.9.2](#), then*

$$\Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w},s}(f) = S\Theta_{\varphi'}(f^{H_\pm}).$$

(2) *If $f^{H_1} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H_1(\mathbb{R}))$ matches f as in [Definition 2.9.2](#), then*

$$\Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w},s}(f) = S\Theta_{\varphi_1}(f^{H_1}).$$

Remark 6.1.2. Recall from [Remark 2.9.3](#) that the concept of matching functions depends on choices of measures for $G(\mathbb{R})$, $H(\mathbb{R})$, and all tori in those groups. In the above theorem the distribution $\Theta_\varphi^{\mathfrak{w},s}$ depends on the choice of measure on $G(\mathbb{R})$, and $S\Theta_{\varphi'}$ depends on the choice of measure on $H(\mathbb{R})$. But the measures on the tori do not influence these distributions. Therefore, the validity of the claimed identity assumes that the measures on the tori of G and H are synchronized.

More precisely, if $T_H \subset H$ and $T \subset G$ are tori and $T_H \rightarrow T$ is an admissible isomorphism, we demand that it identifies the measures on $T_H(\mathbb{R})$ and $T(\mathbb{R})$. Any

two admissible isomorphisms differ by conjugation by $\Omega(T, G)(\mathbb{R})$. Since this action preserves any Haar measure on $T(\mathbb{R})$, the choice of admissible isomorphism is irrelevant.

6.2. Reduction to the elliptic set. We now formulate an equivalent version of [Theorem 6.1.1](#) that involves character functions, rather than character distributions. The statement of this theorem involves the normalized transfer factors reviewed in [Section 2.9](#). Note that the character functions are canonical, and in particular independent of choices of measures.

Theorem 6.2.1. (1) *For every strongly regular semisimple element $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(\mathbb{R})/\text{st}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z](\dot{\gamma}, \delta) S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma}).$$

(2) *For every strongly regular semisimple element $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}(\delta) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(\mathbb{R})/\text{st}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, z](\gamma_1, \delta) S\Theta_{\varphi_1}(\gamma_1).$$

[Lemma 2.11.3](#) shows that [Theorems 6.1.1](#) and [6.2.1](#) are equivalent. The next lemma reduces the proof further to the set of elliptic elements.

Lemma 6.2.2. *If [Theorem 6.2.1](#) holds for all elliptic δ , then it holds for all δ .*

Proof. Again the proofs of (1) and (2) are essentially the same.

Step 1: Let $G(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$ be the image of $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$. Both sides of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) are supported on $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot G(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$, and transform by the same character of $Z_G(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Step 1. Letting $Z_G(\mathbb{R})$ act on the elliptic maximal torus of G by multiplication, the assumption that the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) holds for elliptic elements implies that both sides of that identity transform under the same character of $Z_G(\mathbb{R})$. It thus remains to prove the support condition.

[Theorem 2.7.7](#) shows that the character of an eds representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ is supported on $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot G(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$. It follows that the function $\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}$ is supported on $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot G(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$. In the same way, the function $S\Theta_{\varphi_{\pm}}$ is supported on $Z_{H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}} \cdot H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}^{\natural}$, where $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}^{\natural}$ is the image of the natural splitting $H_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$.

To show that the right-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) is supported on $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot G(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$, consider a strongly regular semisimple element $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})$ for which the right-hand side is nonzero. Thus there exists $\dot{\gamma} \in H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ such that $S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma}) \neq 0$ and $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \neq 0$.

Let $T \subset G$ be the centralizer of δ and let $S \subset H$ be the centralizer of the image $\gamma \in H(\mathbb{R})$ of $\dot{\gamma}$. Since $S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma}) \neq 0$ we know $\gamma \in Z_H(\mathbb{R}) \cdot S(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$, where $S(\mathbb{R})^{\natural}$ is the image of $S_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{R})$, and S_{sc} is the preimage of S in H_{sc} . Since

$\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \neq 0$ there is a (necessarily unique) admissible isomorphism $j : S \rightarrow T$ mapping γ to δ . The induced isomorphism $X_*(S) \rightarrow X_*(T)$ identifies the coroot lattice $Q^\vee(S, H)$ with a sublattice of the coroot lattice $Q^\vee(T, G)$, and hence restricts to a homomorphism $X_*(S_{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow X_*(T_{\text{sc}})$. This means that $j : S(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R})$ maps $S(\mathbb{R})^\natural$ into $T(\mathbb{R})^\natural$. This reduces to showing that j maps $Z_H(\mathbb{R})$ to $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot T(\mathbb{R})^\natural$, for which it is enough to show that the map $(S/Z_G)(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (T/Z_G)(\mathbb{R})$ sends $(Z_H/Z_G)(\mathbb{R})$ into the image of $T_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$; here T_{sc} is the preimage of T in G_{sc} and T_{ad} is the image of T in G_{ad} .

If we assume that T is elliptic then the claim follows from the fact that both T_{sc} and T_{ad} are anisotropic, hence their \mathbb{R} -points are connected, and hence the map $T_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective.

We will reduce the general case to this special case by means of the following general consideration. Consider maximal tori $S_1, S_2 \subset H$ and $T_1, T_2 \subset G$ and let $j_i : S_i \rightarrow T_i$ be admissible isomorphisms. By definition this means that there exist $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{Ad}(g) \circ j_1 = j_2 \circ \text{Ad}(h)$. Since j_1 and j_2 are defined over \mathbb{R} we see $\text{Ad}(\sigma(g)) \circ j_1 = j_2 \circ \text{Ad}(\sigma(h))$. Combining with the previous equation and noting that $h^{-1}\sigma(h) \in N(S_1, H)(\mathbb{C})$ and $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in N(T_1, G)(\mathbb{C})$ we conclude that the action of $h^{-1}\sigma(h)$ on S_1 is transported via j_1 to the action of $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ on T_1 . Use j_1 to identify the Weyl group of (S_1, H) with a subgroup of the Weyl group of (T_1, G) , and the root system $R(S_1, H)$ with a subset of the root system $R(T_1, G)$. Now let $z \in Z_H(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that $j_1(z) \in T_1(\mathbb{R})$ lies in $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot T_1(\mathbb{R})^\natural$. We claim that then $j_2(z) \in T_2(\mathbb{R})$ lies in $Z_G(\mathbb{R}) \cdot T_2(\mathbb{R})^\natural$. To see this, write $j_1(z) = x\bar{y}_1$ with $x \in Z_G(\mathbb{R})$ and $\bar{y}_1 \in T_1(\mathbb{R})$ the image of $y_1 \in T_{1,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $j_2(z) = j_2(\text{Ad}(h)z) = \text{Ad}(g)j_1(z)$ we see that $j_2(z) = x\bar{y}_2$ with $y_2 = \text{Ad}(g)y_1 \in T_{2,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$. It is enough to check that $y_2 \in T_{2,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. But

$$\sigma(y_2) = \text{Ad}(\sigma(g))y_1 = \text{Ad}(g)\text{Ad}(g^{-1}\sigma(g))y_1$$

and it is enough to check that the action of $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in N(T_1, G)(\mathbb{C})$ on $T_{1,\text{sc}}$ fixes y_1 . We have established that the image of $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ in the Weyl group of (T_1, G) lies in the Weyl group of (S_1, H) , and therefore is a product of simple reflections for roots in the subset $R(S_1, H)$ of $R(T_1, G)$. Therefore, the Weyl element $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ fixes every element of $T_{1,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$ that is killed by all elements of $R(S_1, H) \subset R(T_1, G)$. But y_1 is indeed killed by all elements of $R(S_1, H)$, because \bar{y}_1 is.

We apply this general consideration to the case $S_1 = S$, $T_1 = T$, $j_1 = j$, S_2 is the maximal elliptic torus in H , T_2 is the maximal elliptic torus in G , and $j_2 : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ is any admissible isomorphism. \square

With Step 1 complete, it is thus enough to compare both sides of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) after pulling back to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. The pullback of $\Theta_\varphi^{\text{m},s}$ to $G_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to the analogous function for that group. Indeed, the L -group of G_{sc} is ${}^L G/Z(\widehat{G})$, so we can compose φ with the projection modulo $Z(\widehat{G})$ and map s

modulo $Z(\widehat{G})$. The Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} for G produces one for G_{sc} by pullback, and the function $\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}$ for G_{sc} equals the pullback to G_{sc} of the function $\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}$ for G .

The same argument applies to the right-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#). We can replace \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{H}/Z(\widehat{G})$ to obtain an endoscopic pair for G_{sc} . The transfer factors for that pair and G_{sc} are the pullback of the transfer factors for G .

This allows us to assume that G is semisimple and simply connected in what follows, and hence apply the results of [\[Harish-Chandra 1965a\]](#).

Step 2: Both sides of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) are invariant eigendistributions, with the same infinitesimal character, whose values on the regular set are bounded.

Proof of Step 2. It is known [\[Harish-Chandra 1965a, Theorem 3\]](#) that the unnormalized character of a discrete series representation π of a $G(\mathbb{R})$ is represented by a conjugation-invariant function, denoted by Θ in [\[loc. cit.\]](#), which is an eigenfunction for the center \mathfrak{z} of the universal enveloping algebra and such that the normalized function $|D_T|^{1/2}\Theta$ is bounded. Let us explain more precisely the eigenfunction property. Let (S, τ) be the Harish-Chandra parameter of π . Let $\gamma : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{s})^{\Omega(S,G)}$ be the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. The differential $d\tau : \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear form and induces an algebra character $S(\mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The eigenfunction property is $z \cdot \Theta = d\tau(\gamma(z)) \cdot \Theta$.

These statements carry over to the left-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#), which is just a complex linear combination of such functions, but we need to be mindful that we are using here normalized characters. So these properties now read:

- (1) $z \cdot (|D(-)|^{-1/2}\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}) = d\tau(\gamma(z)) \cdot (|D(-)|^{-1/2}\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s})$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{z}$.
- (2) $\sup_{x \in G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{rs}}} |\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}(x)| < \infty$.

We now claim that the right-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1](#) also has those properties. Invariance (under conjugation by $G(\mathbb{R})$) follows from the corresponding property of the transfer factor $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta)$ in the variable δ .

To see boundedness, we note that for any fixed δ , there are only finitely many $\dot{\gamma}$ with $\Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \neq 0$, and in fact their number is bounded by $|\Omega(T, G)|$. The values of the transfer factor are roots of unity [\[Kaletha 2022, Lemma 4.3.3\]](#). The boundedness of the right-hand side now follows from the boundedness of $S\Theta_{\varphi'}$, the latter function being the analog of $\Theta_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{w},s}$ for the group H and $s = 1$.

To check the eigendistribution property for the function $\delta \mapsto \sum_{\dot{\gamma}} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma})$ we fix δ and let $T \subset G$ be its centralizer. To apply a differential operator to this function at δ , it is enough to consider the function in a neighborhood of δ . Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be representatives for the stable classes in $H(\mathbb{R})$ that transfer to the stable class of δ and let $S_1, \dots, S_n \subset H$ be their centralizers. Let $j_i : T \rightarrow S_i$ be the unique admissible isomorphism with $j_i(\delta) = \gamma_i$. There is a connected open neighborhood $U \subset T(\mathbb{R})$ of δ such that, for each $\delta' \in U$, $j_1(\delta'), \dots, j_n(\delta')$ is a set of representatives

for the stable classes in $H(\mathbb{R})$ that transfer to δ' , and such that the double cover $S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ splits over $j_i(U)$. We let $U_i \subset S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ be an arbitrary choice of one of the two connected components of the preimage of $j_i(U)$ in $S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. Then j_i lifts to a diffeomorphism $U \rightarrow U_i$, which we still call j_i . The restriction to U of the function $\delta \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma})$ is now given by $\delta' \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta(j_i(\delta'), \delta') S\Theta_{\varphi'}(j_i(\delta'))$.

It will be enough to consider each summand individually. By [Kaletha 2022, Corollary 4.3.4], the function $\delta' \mapsto \Delta(j_i(\delta'), \delta')$ is locally constant, so after possibly shrinking U we may assume that it is constant. Thus the summand under consideration becomes $\delta' \mapsto S\Theta_{\varphi'}(j_i(\delta'))$, a function defined on a connected open neighborhood U in $G(\mathbb{R})$ of the fixed strongly regular semisimple element δ .

We apply Proposition 2.12.1 to the smooth class function

$$f_i(\delta') = |D_T(\delta')|^{-1/2} S\Theta_{\varphi'}(j_i(\delta'))$$

and see that for $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ we have

$$(z \cdot f_i)|_{T(\mathbb{R}) \cap U} = |D_T(-)|^{-1/2} \gamma(z) \cdot (S\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i)|_{T(\mathbb{R}) \cap U}. \quad (6-1)$$

The admissible isomorphism $j_i : T \rightarrow S_i$ induces an isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{s}_i)$ which embeds $S(\mathfrak{t})^{\Omega(T, G)}$ into $S(\mathfrak{s}_i)^{\Omega(S_i, H)}$. We have

$$\gamma(z) \cdot (S\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i)|_{T(\mathbb{R}) \cap U} = (j_i(\gamma(z)) \cdot S\Theta_{\varphi'}|_{S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \cap U_i}) \circ j_i. \quad (6-2)$$

Let $\gamma_i : \mathfrak{z}_H \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{s}_i)^{\Omega(S_i, H)}$ be the Harish-Chandra isomorphism for (H, S_i) and let $z_H \in \mathfrak{z}_H$ be the preimage under γ_i of $j_i(\gamma(z))$. We apply again Proposition 2.12.1, this time to the smooth class function $|D_{S_i}(-)|^{-1/2} S\Theta_{\varphi'}$ on $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ to see

$$j_i(\gamma(z)) \cdot S\Theta_{\varphi'}|_{S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \cap U_i} = |D_{S_i}(-)|^{1/2} \cdot z_H \cdot (|D_{S_i}(-)|^{-1/2} S\Theta_{\varphi'})|_{S_i(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \cap U_i}. \quad (6-3)$$

The eigenfunction property of $S\Theta_{\varphi'}$ gives

$$z_H \cdot (|D_{S_i}(-)|^{-1/2} S\Theta_{\varphi'}) = d\tau'(\gamma'(z_H)) \cdot (|D_{S_i}(-)|^{-1/2} S\Theta_{\varphi'}), \quad (6-4)$$

where (S', τ') is the Harish-Chandra parameter of any element in the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi'}$, unique up to stable conjugacy, and $\gamma' : \mathfrak{z}_H \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{s}')^{\Omega(S', H)}$ is the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. The scalar $d\tau'(\gamma'(z_H))$ can now switch places with the Weyl discriminant and combining (6-2), (6-3), and (6-4), we obtain

$$\gamma(z) \cdot (S\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i) = d\tau'(\gamma'(z_H)) \cdot S\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i \quad (6-5)$$

as functions on $T(\mathbb{R}) \cap U$.

By definition, the two Harish-Chandra isomorphisms γ_i and γ' are related by composition by $\text{Ad}(h)$ for any $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$ with $\text{Ad}(h)S_i = S'$. Note that the \mathbb{R} -structure here is irrelevant, because the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is defined over \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, transporting $d\tau'$ under $\text{Ad}(h)$ and then under the isomorphism

j_i produces $d\tau$. With this we obtain $d\tau'(\gamma'(z_H)) = d\tau(\gamma(z))$. Combining this with (6-1) and (6-5) we obtain

$$z \cdot (|D_T(-)|^{-1/2} S_{\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i}) = d\tau(\gamma(z)) \cdot (|D_T(-)|^{-1/2} S_{\Theta_{\varphi'} \circ j_i}).$$

The desired eigendistribution property of the function $\delta \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(\dot{\gamma}, \delta) S_{\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma})}$ has thus been proved. \square

Step 3: We have shown that both sides of the identity of Theorem 6.2.1 are invariant functions on $G(\mathbb{R})_{\text{sr}}$, transform under the same character of \mathfrak{z} , and are bounded (having already been normalized). We are assuming that they take equal values on all elliptic elements. Therefore [Harish-Chandra 1965a, Lemma 44] implies that they are equal, completing the proof of Lemma 6.2.2. \square

6.3. The left-hand side. In this subsection we will provide a formula for the left-hand side of the identity in Theorem 6.2.1, i.e., $\Theta_{\varphi,s}^{\text{w}}(\delta)$, for strongly regular semisimple elliptic $\delta \in G(\mathbb{R})$. The end result is (6-6).

The members of $\Pi_{\varphi}(G, \xi, z)$ are parameterized by the set of $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings $j : S \rightarrow G$. Given such an embedding let π_j be the corresponding representation. According to Lemma 4.3.1 there exists a unique embedding $j_{\text{w}} : S \rightarrow G_0$ for which $\pi_{j_{\text{w}}} \in \Pi_{\varphi}(G_0, 1, 1)$ is w -generic. Then

$$\text{inv}(j_{\text{w}}, j) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) = \pi_0(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma})^* = \pi_0(S_{\varphi})^*$$

equals the character ρ_{π_j} associated to π_j by the construction of Section 4.3, i.e., $\iota_{\text{w}}(\rho_{\pi_j}) = \pi_j$ in the notation of that section. Therefore the left-hand side becomes

$$\Theta_{\varphi,s}^{\text{w}}(\delta) = e(G) \sum_j \langle \text{inv}(j_{\text{w}}, j), s \rangle \Theta_{\pi_j}(\delta),$$

where j runs over (a set of representatives for) the set of $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes in $J^{\xi}(\mathbb{R})$ (see Lemma 4.2.2). Harish-Chandra’s character formula (2-8) states

$$\Theta_{\pi_j}(\delta) = (-1)^{q(G)} \sum_{w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(G, jS)} \frac{\tau'}{d'_{\tau}}(j^{-1}w^{-1}\delta),$$

where we have conjugated δ within $G(\mathbb{R})$ to land in $jS(\mathbb{R})$. Combining the two formulas and using $e(G) = (-1)^{q(G_0)-q(G)}$, we obtain

$$\Theta_{\varphi,s}^{\text{w}}(\delta) = (-1)^{q(G_0)} \sum_j \langle \text{inv}(j_{\text{w}}, j), s \rangle \sum_{w \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}(G, jS)} \frac{\tau'}{d'_{\tau}}(j^{-1}w^{-1}\delta).$$

Instead of conjugating δ to land in jS , we can conjugate j by $G(\mathbb{R})$ to achieve this, without changing π_j . With this shift in point of view we can combine the two sums and arrive at

$$\Theta_{\varphi,s}^{\mathfrak{w}}(\delta) = (-1)^{q(G_0)} \sum_j \langle \text{inv}(j_{\mathfrak{w}}, j), s \rangle \frac{\tau'}{d'_t}(j^{-1}w^{-1}\delta),$$

where now the sum runs over the set of those $j \in J^{\xi}(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})$ whose image contains δ .

As j runs over this set, $j_{\mathfrak{w}}j^{-1}(\delta)$ runs over the set of elements $\delta_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})$ that are stably conjugate to δ , where $S_{\mathfrak{w}} \subset G_0$ is the image of $j_{\mathfrak{w}}$, an elliptic maximal torus of G_0 . Moreover, $j_{\mathfrak{w}}$ transports $\text{inv}(j_{\mathfrak{w}}, j) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ to $\text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, S_{\mathfrak{w}})$. So we arrive at

$$\Theta_{\varphi,s}^{\mathfrak{w}}(\delta) = (-1)^{q(G_0)} \sum_{\delta_0} \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle \frac{\tau'_{\mathfrak{w}}}{d'_{\mathfrak{w}}}(\delta_0), \tag{6-6}$$

where the sum runs over the set of elements $\delta_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})$ that are stably conjugate to δ , and we have used the subscript \mathfrak{w} to indicate various transports under $j_{\mathfrak{w}} : S \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{w}}$.

6.4. The right-hand side: covers. In this subsection we will show that the right-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1\(1\)](#) is also equal to [\(6-6\)](#).

We begin by applying [\(6-6\)](#) to the group H , the parameter φ' , and the trivial endoscopic element, and obtain

$$S\Theta_{\varphi'}(\dot{\gamma}) = (-1)^{q(H)} \sum_{\dot{\gamma}_0} \frac{\tau_H}{d_H}(\dot{\gamma}_0),$$

where we have fixed an embedding $j_H : S \rightarrow H$ for which the corresponding eds representation of $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ is generic with respect to some Whittaker datum and denote by subscript H the various transports under j_H , $\dot{\gamma}_0$ runs over the elements of $S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ that are H -stably conjugate to $\dot{\gamma}$, and we have used $\tau_H/d_H = \tau'_H/d'_H$.

The right-hand side of [Theorem 6.2.1\(1\)](#) then becomes

$$(-1)^{q(H)} \sum_{\gamma \in H(\mathbb{R})/\text{st}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z](\dot{\gamma}, \delta) \sum_{\dot{\gamma}_0} \frac{\tau_H}{d_H}(\dot{\gamma}_0).$$

The first sum runs over elements of $H(\mathbb{R})$ that are related to δ , up to stable conjugacy under H . Each such stable conjugacy class consists of regular semisimple elliptic elements (because δ is such), and hence intersects $S_H(\mathbb{R})$. The second sum runs over elements $\dot{\gamma}_0 \in S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ that lie in the H -stable class of the lift $\dot{\gamma} \in S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ of γ . Since Δ is H -stably invariant in the first factor, its values at $\dot{\gamma}$ and $\dot{\gamma}_0$ are the same. We can combine the two sums together and obtain

$$(-1)^{q(H)} \sum_{\gamma_0 \in S_H(\mathbb{R})} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z](\dot{\gamma}_0, \delta) \frac{\tau_H}{d_H}(\dot{\gamma}_0),$$

where now γ_0 runs over all elements of $S_H(\mathbb{R})$, equivalently all those that are related to δ , since the transfer factor vanishes for the others.

Having fixed the embeddings j_w and j_H , they provide an isomorphism $S_w \rightarrow S_H$, and this isomorphism induces a bijection $\delta_0 \leftrightarrow \gamma_0$ between the set of elements of $S_w(\mathbb{R})$ that are stably conjugate to δ and the set of elements of $S_H(\mathbb{R})$ related to δ . Using the basic property (2-10) of transfer factors we obtain

$$(-1)^{q(H)} \sum_{\delta_0 \in S_w(\mathbb{R})} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{e}, z](\dot{\gamma}_0, \delta_0) \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_w \rangle \frac{\tau_H}{d_H}(\dot{\gamma}_0), \tag{6-7}$$

where δ_0 runs over the elements of $S_w(\mathbb{R})$ that are stably conjugate to δ , $\gamma_0 \in S_H(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the element corresponding to δ_0 under above bijection, and $\dot{\gamma}_0 \in S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ is an arbitrary lift of γ_0 .


We now unpack the transfer factor. It is given as

$$\Delta(\dot{\gamma}_0, \delta_0) = \epsilon \Delta_I^{-1}(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0) \Delta_{III}(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0),$$

where we recall that the term Δ_{IV} is missing because we are working with normalized characters and orbital integrals, and $\dot{\delta}_0 \in S_w(\mathbb{R})_{G/H}$ is an arbitrary lift of δ_0 .

Lemma 6.4.1. $\Delta_{III}(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0) = \frac{\tau_w}{\tau_H}(\dot{\delta}_0).$

Proof. This is the property (2-11) that was reviewed in an idealized situation. We say here a few more words about the actual situation in the setting of double covers. We have the following commutative diagram:



Here ${}^L S_{\pm}$ is the L -group of the double cover $S(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ and the bottom horizontal map is the canonical L -embedding. We have written ${}^L S_{H, \pm}$ for the L -group of the double cover of $S_H(\mathbb{R})$ obtained as the Baer sum of the canonical double cover $S_H(\mathbb{R})_H$ coming from $R(S_H, H) \subset X^*(S_H)$ and the double cover $S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ that is the preimage of $S_H(\mathbb{R})$ in the double cover $H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm} \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$. The top horizontal map is the canonical L -embedding of the L -group of $S_H(\mathbb{R})_H$ into ${}^L H$, which remains an L -embedding after passing to the \pm -covers. The right vertical map is

the canonical L -embedding ${}^L H_{\pm} \rightarrow {}^L G$. The map a is the unique map making the square, hence also the triangle, commute. It is the parameter of a genuine character of the double cover of $S(\mathbb{R})$ obtained as the Baer sum of $S_H(\mathbb{R})_H$, $S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, and $S(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$, where we have identified S_H with S . This Baer sum is the same as for $S_H(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$ and $S(\mathbb{R})_{G/H}$. \square

Proposition 6.4.2. *For any $\dot{\delta}_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})_{G/H}$, the identity*

$$\Delta_I(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0) = \epsilon \cdot (-1)^{q(G_0) - q(H)} \cdot \frac{d_{\mathfrak{w}}}{d_H}(\dot{\delta}_0)$$

holds, where $\dot{\gamma}_0 \in S_H(\mathbb{R})_{G/H}$ is the image of $\dot{\delta}_0$ under the isomorphism $j_H \circ j_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}$, we have chosen a pinning \mathcal{P} and a unitary character $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ that together produce the fixed Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} as in Section 3.1, and we have used Λ for ϵ and \mathcal{P} for $\Delta_I(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0)$.

Proof. Let us explicate

$$\frac{d_{\mathfrak{w}}}{d_H}(\dot{\delta}_0) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(S, G/H) \\ \langle \alpha^{\vee}, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle > 0}} \arg(\alpha^{1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0) - \alpha^{-1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0)).$$

Since $d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a regular element of $X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the condition $\langle \alpha^{\vee}, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle > 0$ defines a positive system $R(S, G)^+$ of roots in $R(S, G)$. We will write $\alpha > 0$ when $\alpha \in R(S, G)$ belongs to that positive system, and write $R(S, G/H)^+ = R(S, G/H) \cap R(S, G)^+$.

We first investigate how both sides of the identity to be proved vary as functions of $\dot{\delta}_0$. Consider another strongly regular $\dot{\delta}_1$. Replacing $\dot{\delta}_0$ with $\dot{\delta}_1$ in the right-hand side results in multiplication by $\prod_{\alpha} \arg(b_{\alpha})$, where the product runs again over $R(S, G/H)^+$ and $b_{\alpha} = (\dot{\delta}_{1, \alpha} - \dot{\delta}_{1, -\alpha}) / (\dot{\delta}_{0, \alpha} - \dot{\delta}_{0, -\alpha})$. By construction $\dot{\delta}_{0, -\alpha} = \sigma(\dot{\delta}_{0, \alpha})$, and the same holds for $\dot{\delta}_1$, from which it follows that $b_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, and hence $\arg(b_{\alpha}) = \text{sgn}(b_{\alpha})$.

We now look at the left-hand side. Replacing $\dot{\delta}_0$ by $\dot{\delta}_1$ multiplies $\text{inv}(\dot{\delta}_0, \mathcal{P})$ by $\prod_{\alpha > 0, \sigma\alpha < 0} \alpha^{\vee}(b_{\alpha})$, and hence Δ_I by the Tate–Nakayama pairing of this 1-cocycle with the endoscopic element $s_{\mathfrak{w}}$. Since the torus S is elliptic, the conditions $\alpha > 0$ and $\sigma\alpha < 0$ are equivalent, and the value of the 1-cocycle at σ equals $\prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^{\vee}(b_{\alpha})$. This is the product over $\alpha > 0$ of the images of the 1-cocycles $b_{\alpha} \in Z^1(\mathbb{R}, R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m)$ under the homomorphisms $\alpha^{\vee} : R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow S$, so the change in $\Delta_{I, \pm}$ is given by $\prod_{\alpha > 0} \langle b_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha} \rangle$, where s_{α} is the image of $s \in \widehat{S}$ under $\widehat{\alpha} : \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. This is a Galois-equivariant homomorphism, with σ acting as inversion on \mathbb{C}^{\times} . Since s is σ -fixed, so is s_{α} , i.e., $s_{\alpha} \in \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. By construction of the endoscopic group H , we have $s_{\alpha} = 1$ precisely for $\alpha \in R(S, H)$. On the other hand, when $s_{\alpha} = -1$, then $\langle b_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha} \rangle = \text{sgn}(b_{\alpha})$.

We have thus shown that both sides of the identity multiply by the same factor upon replacing $\dot{\delta}_0$ by a different element. To establish the identity we may thus evaluate at an arbitrary element $\dot{\delta}_0$. Our approach will be to choose this element so that both sides are equal to 1.

To construct $\dot{\delta}_0$ we shall use the exponential map $\text{Lie}(S_{\mathfrak{w}})(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm}$ discussed in [Kaletha 2019a, Section 3.7]. Write $\mathfrak{s} = \text{Lie}(S_{\mathfrak{w}})$ and $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$, where $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Consider

$$d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}} = d\tau_{\mathfrak{z}} + d\tau' \in \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})^*$$

as in Remark 2.7.9. Let $H_{\mathfrak{w}} \in i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})$ be the element corresponding to $d\tau'$ via the Killing form on \mathfrak{g}' , i.e., $\kappa(-, H_{\mathfrak{w}}) = d\tau'$. Then $-irH_{\mathfrak{w}} \in \mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$. We will choose $r > 0$ small enough, to be specified in a moment, and set

$$\ddot{\delta}_0 = \exp(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}) \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm\pm}.$$

Let $\dot{\delta}_0$ be the image of $\ddot{\delta}_0$ in $S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})_{\pm}$. We will now show that both sides take the value 1 at this particular element.

We first evaluate the left-hand side. By definition, $\Delta_I(\dot{\gamma}_0, \dot{\delta}_0)$ is given by pairing the invariant $\text{inv}(\ddot{\delta}_0, \mathcal{P})$ with the endoscopic element; see [Kaletha 2022, Sections 4.1 and 4.3]. It will be enough to show that $\text{inv}(\ddot{\delta}_0, \mathcal{P}) = 0$. By [Kaletha 2022, Lemma 4.1.4] we have $\text{inv}(\ddot{\delta}_0, \mathcal{P}) = \text{inv}(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{P})$ provided r is small enough.

Write $\mathcal{P} = (T_0, B_0, \{X_{\alpha}\})$, which, together with $\Lambda(x) = e^{ix}$, produces the chosen Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} . According to Lemma 3.1.2(3), if we define $X \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$ by $X(Y) = \sum_{\alpha} [Y_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}] / H_{\alpha}$, then $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_i X$. Proposition 3.3.3 implies that $d\tau'$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{H}^*(iX)'$, and hence $H_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{H}(iX_{\kappa})'$, where $X_{\kappa} \in \mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$ is the element such that $\kappa(X_{\kappa}, Y) = X(Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We can compute X_{κ} as the element of $i\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$X_{\kappa} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \kappa(\alpha, \alpha) X_{-\alpha}.$$

Thus, $-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to the Kostant section $\mathcal{H}(rX_{\kappa})$, which means that $\text{inv}(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}) = 0$, where \mathcal{P}_{κ} is the pinning $(T_0, B_0, \{r_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}\})$ with $r_{\alpha} = \frac{r}{2}\kappa(\alpha, \alpha)$. It is well known that $\kappa(\alpha, \alpha)$ is a positive rational number—see [Humphreys 1978, Section 8.5]—and that the assignment $\alpha \rightarrow r_{\alpha}$ is invariant under complex conjugation. Therefore the unique element $t \in T_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha(t) = r_{\alpha}$ for all B_0 -simple roots α lies in the identity component $T_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ}$ of $T_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$. The isogeny $T_{0,\text{sc}} \rightarrow T_{0,\text{ad}}$ induces a surjective map $T_{0,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ} \rightarrow T_{0,\text{ad}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ}$, so the element t lifts to $T_{0,\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus the pinnings \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_{κ} are $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate. According to [Kaletha 2022, Lemma 4.1.4] we have $\text{inv}(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{inv}(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}) = 0$, and conclude that $\text{inv}(\dot{\delta}_0, \mathcal{P})$ as desired.

We now turn to the right-hand side. We have for each $\alpha \in R(S, G)$

$$\alpha^{1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0) = \dot{\delta}_{0,\alpha} = e^{d\alpha(-irH_{\mathfrak{w}})/2} = e^{-ir\langle\alpha^\vee, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}}\rangle/2},$$

hence

$$\alpha^{1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0) - \alpha^{-1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0) = -2i \sin\left(\frac{r}{2}\langle\alpha^\vee, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}}\rangle\right).$$

Choosing $r > 0$ so that $0 < r\langle d\alpha, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}}\rangle/2 < \pi$ for all $\alpha > 0$ we obtain

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R(S, G/H)^+} \arg(\alpha^{1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0) - \alpha^{-1/2}(\dot{\delta}_0)) = (-i)^{\#R(S, G/H)^+}.$$

According to [Lemma 2.9.4](#) the right-hand side equals 1. □

Using the identities from [Lemma 6.4.1](#) and [Proposition 6.4.2](#), with the identity $\tau'_{\mathfrak{w}}/d'_{\mathfrak{w}} = \tau_{\mathfrak{w}}/d_{\mathfrak{w}}$, we conclude that (6-7) equals (6-6). This proves [Theorem 6.2.1\(1\)](#).

6.5. The right-hand side: classical set-up. In this subsection we will show that the right-hand side of the identity of [Theorem 6.2.1\(2\)](#) is also equal to (6-6). The initial arguments of [Section 6.4](#), which applied to the right-hand side of the identity in [Theorem 6.2.1\(1\)](#), have direct analogs in the setting of [Theorem 6.2.1\(2\)](#) and show that the right-hand side of that identity equals the analog of the expression (6-7), which is given by

$$\sum_{\delta_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}, \epsilon, z](\gamma_1, \delta_0) \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle \frac{\tau'_{H_1}(\gamma_1)}{d'_{H_1}}, \tag{6-8}$$

where we have used the parameter φ_1 of the z -extension H_1 to obtain the character τ'_{H_1} of the torus $S_{H_1}(\mathbb{R})$.

It is the handling of the transfer factor that is slightly different. Indeed, in this setting without covers the transfer factor is given by

$$\Delta = \epsilon \Delta_I^{-1} \Delta_{II} \Delta_{III_2}.$$


The construction of the pieces involves a choice of an admissible isomorphism $S^H \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{w}}$, which we take to be $j_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ j_H^{-1}$, as we did in [Section 6.4](#). It further involves choices of χ -data and a -data for $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$. We take $\rho_{\mathfrak{w}}$ -based χ -data, and $(-\rho_{\mathfrak{w}})$ -based a -data, so that $\chi_{\alpha}(x) = \arg(x)$ when $\alpha > 0$ and $a_{\alpha} = i$ when $\alpha < 0$, and where $\alpha > 0$ means $\langle \alpha^\vee, d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle > 0$.

We claim that

$$\Delta_{III_2}(\gamma_1, \delta_0) = \frac{\tau'_{\mathfrak{w}}(\delta_0)}{\tau'_{H_1}(\gamma_1)}.$$

This was the property (2-11) that was reviewed in an idealized situation. We say here a few more words about the actual situation, still assuming that the z -pair is trivial, i.e., there exists an L -isomorphism ${}^L\eta : {}^LH \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, the general case being

entirely analogous by requiring more cumbersome notation. We then have the commutative diagram



where the horizontal arrows are the L -embeddings obtained via ρ_w -based and ρ^H -based χ -data, respectively. We have $\Delta_{\Pi_2}(\gamma_1, \delta_0) = \langle a, \delta_0 \rangle$, where $a \in Z^1(W_{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{S})$ is the 1-cocycle that makes the above diagram commute, the pairing is the Langlands pairing, and $\delta_0 \in S(\mathbb{R})$ is the image of $\gamma_0 \in S^H(\mathbb{R})$ to $S(\mathbb{R})$ under the chosen fixed admissible isomorphism. The claim now follows from the above commutative diagram and the fact that τ and τ^H are the characters with parameters φ_S and φ_S^H .

Next we consider

$$\frac{\Delta_{\Pi}(\gamma_0, \delta)}{d'_H(\gamma_0)}.$$

By definition,

$$\Delta_{\Pi}(\gamma_0, \delta) = \frac{\Delta_{\Pi}^G(\gamma_0, \delta)}{\Delta_{\Pi}^H(\gamma_0, \delta)}.$$

With the chosen a -data and χ -data we have

$$\Delta_{\Pi}^H(\gamma_0) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(S^H, H) \\ \langle \alpha, \rho^H \rangle > 0}} \arg\left(\frac{\alpha(\gamma_0) - 1}{-i}\right) = i^{\#R(S^H, H)/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(S^H, H) \\ \langle \alpha, \rho^H \rangle > 0}} \arg(\alpha(\gamma_0) - 1).$$

On the other hand,

$$(\alpha(\gamma_0) - 1)(1 - \alpha(\gamma_0)^{-1}) = \alpha(\gamma_0) + \alpha(\gamma_0)^{-1} - 2 = 2(\operatorname{Re}(\alpha(\gamma_0) - 1)) < 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Delta_{\Pi}^H(\gamma_0, \delta) d'_H(\gamma_0) = (-i)^{\#R(S^H, H)/2}.$$

In the same way one shows

$$\Delta_{\Pi}^G(\gamma_0, \delta) d'_{G_0}(\delta_0) = (-i)^{\#R(S, G_0)/2}$$

and we conclude

$$\frac{\Delta_{\Pi}(\gamma_0, \delta)}{d'_H(\gamma_0)} = \frac{i^{\#R(S^H, H)/2 - \#R(S, G_0)/2}}{d'_{G_0}(\delta_0)}.$$

With this (6-8) becomes

$$(-1)^{q(H)} i^{\#R(S^H, H)/2 - \#R(S, G_0)/2} \epsilon \sum_{\delta_0 \in S_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{R})} \Delta_I(\gamma_0, \delta)^{-1} \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle \cdot \frac{\tau'_{\mathfrak{w}}(\delta_0)}{d'_{G_0}(\delta_0)}.$$

The terms ϵ and $\Delta_I(\gamma_0, \delta)$ depend on the choice of a pinning \mathcal{P} and unitary character $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. These choices are to be made so that $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{P}, \Lambda}$. We are free to take $\Lambda(x) = e^{ix}$ and then \mathcal{P} is determined by \mathfrak{w} .

We have

$$\Delta_I(\gamma_0, \delta)^{-1} = \langle \lambda, s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle^{-1}$$

where $\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, S)$ is the splitting invariant of S relative to the chosen a -data and \mathcal{P} . Using Lemma 2.9.4 we simplify (6-8) to

$$(-1)^{q(G_0)} \langle \lambda, s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle^{-1} \sum_{\delta_0} \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\mathfrak{w}} \rangle \cdot \frac{\tau'_{\mathfrak{w}}(\delta_0)}{d'_{G_0}(\delta_0)}. \tag{6-9}$$

The following lemma shows that (6-9) equals (6-6), and thereby completes the proof of Theorem 6.2.1(2).

Lemma 6.5.1. *The splitting invariant λ of S computed in terms of $(-\rho_{\mathfrak{w}})$ -based a -data and the pinning \mathcal{P} is trivial.*

Proof. Let $(S_{\mathfrak{w}}, \tau_{\mathfrak{w}})$ be the Harish-Chandra parameter of the generic member of $\Pi_{\varphi}(G_0, 1, 1)$, as in Remark 2.7.9, and write $d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}} = d\tau_3 + d\tau' \in \mathfrak{z}^* \oplus i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})^*$, where $\mathfrak{s} = \text{Lie}(S_{\mathfrak{w}})$ and $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Let $H_{\mathfrak{w}} \in i\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{R})$ be the element determined by $\kappa(H_{\mathfrak{w}}, -) = \langle d\tau_{\mathfrak{w}}, - \rangle$ with respect to the Killing form κ on $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Write $\mathcal{P} = (T_0, B_0, \{X_{\alpha}\})$.

If we define $X \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})^*$ by $X(Y) = \sum_{\alpha} [Y_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}]/H_{\alpha}$, then Lemma 3.1.2(3) shows that $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}_{iX}$. Proposition 3.3.3 implies that $d\tau'$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{H}^*(iX)'$, and hence $H_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{H}(iX_{\kappa})'$, where $X_{\kappa} \in \mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$ is the element such that $\kappa(X_{\kappa}, Y) = X(Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We can compute X_{κ} as the element of $i\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$X_{\kappa} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \kappa(\alpha, \alpha) X_{-\alpha}.$$

Therefore, $-iH_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to the Kostant section $\mathcal{H}(X_{\kappa})$. According to [Kottwitz 1999, Theorem 5.1] the splitting invariant computed in terms of the a -data $d\alpha(-iH_{\mathfrak{w}})$ and the pinning $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} = (T_0, B_0, \{r_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\})$, where $r_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa(\alpha, \alpha)$, is trivial.

For every $\alpha > 0$ the complex number $d\alpha(-iH_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is a positive real multiple of $-i$. At the same time it is well known that $\kappa(\alpha, \alpha)$ is a positive rational number — see [Humphreys 1978, Section 8.5] — and that the assignment $\alpha \rightarrow r_\alpha$ is invariant under complex conjugation. Applying [Kaletha 2013, Lemma 5.1] we conclude the triviality of the splitting invariant computed in terms of the pinning \mathcal{P} and in terms of a -data obtained from the $-\rho_{\mathfrak{w}}$ -based a -data by rescaling each element by a *positive* real number. Such a rescaling doesn't change the splitting invariant; see [Langlands and Shelstad 1987, (2.3.2)]. \square

References

- [Adams and Afgoustidis 2024] J. Adams and A. Afgoustidis, “Nilpotent invariants for generic discrete series of real groups”, preprint, 2024. [arXiv 2410.04134](#)
- [Adams and Taïbi 2018] J. Adams and O. Taïbi, “Galois and Cartan cohomology of real groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **167**:6 (2018), 1057–1097. [MR](#)
- [Adams and Vogan 1992] J. Adams and D. A. Vogan, Jr., “ L -groups, projective representations, and the Langlands classification”, *Amer. J. Math.* **114**:1 (1992), 45–138. [MR](#)
- [Adams and Vogan 2016] J. Adams and D. A. Vogan, Jr., “Contragredient representations and characterizing the local Langlands correspondence”, *Amer. J. Math.* **138**:3 (2016), 657–682. [MR](#)
- [Adams and Vogan 2021] J. Adams and D. A. Vogan, Jr., “Associated varieties for real reductive groups”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **17**:4 (2021), 1191–1267. [MR](#)
- [Adams et al. 1992] J. Adams, D. Barbasch, and D. A. Vogan, Jr., *The Langlands classification and irreducible characters for real reductive groups*, Progr. Math. **104**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992. [MR](#)
- [Arthur 2008] J. Arthur, “Problems for real groups”, pp. 39–62 in *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups*, edited by J. Arthur et al., Contemp. Math. **472**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [MR](#)
- [Barbasch and Vogan 1980] D. Barbasch and D. A. Vogan, Jr., “The local structure of characters”, *J. Functional Analysis* **37**:1 (1980), 27–55. [MR](#)
- [Borel 1979] A. Borel, “Automorphic L -functions”, pp. 27–61 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, II*, edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. [MR](#)
- [Borel 1991] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer, 1991. [MR](#)
- [Bourbaki 1989] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras, chapters 1–3*, Springer, 1989. [MR](#)
- [Collingwood and McGovern 1993] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993. [MR](#)
- [DeBacker and Reeder 2010] S. DeBacker and M. Reeder, “On some generic very cuspidal representations”, *Compos. Math.* **146**:4 (2010), 1029–1055. [MR](#)
- [Dillery 2020] P. Dillery, “Rigid inner forms over local function fields”, preprint, 2020. [arXiv 2008.04472](#)
- [Fintzen et al. 2023] J. Fintzen, T. Kaletha, and L. Spice, “A twisted Yu construction, Harish-Chandra characters, and endoscopy”, *Duke Math. J.* **172**:12 (2023), 2241–2301. [MR](#)
- [Fresse and Mehdi 2021] L. Fresse and S. Mehdi, “Approximation of nilpotent orbits for simple Lie groups”, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **56(76)**:2 (2021), 287–327. [MR](#)

- [Harish-Chandra 1956] Harish-Chandra, “The characters of semisimple Lie groups”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1956), 98–163. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1964] Harish-Chandra, “Invariant distributions on Lie algebras”, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 271–309. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1965a] Harish-Chandra, “Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups, I: Construction of invariant eigendistributions”, *Acta Math.* **113** (1965), 241–318. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1965b] Harish-Chandra, “Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie group”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1965), 457–508. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1975] Harish-Chandra, “Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups, I: The theory of the constant term”, *J. Functional Analysis* **19** (1975), 104–204. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1976a] Harish-Chandra, “Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups, II: Wavepackets in the Schwartz space”, *Invent. Math.* **36** (1976), 1–55. [MR](#)
- [Harish-Chandra 1976b] Harish-Chandra, “Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups, III: The Maass–Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**:1 (1976), 117–201. [MR](#)
- [Harris et al. 2016] B. Harris, H. He, and G. Ólafsson, “Wave front sets of reductive Lie group representations”, *Duke Math. J.* **165**:5 (2016), 793–846. [MR](#)
- [Humphreys 1978] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **9**, Springer, 1978. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2011] T. Kaletha, “Endoscopic character identities for depth-zero supercuspidal L -packets”, *Duke Math. J.* **158**:2 (2011), 161–224. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2013] T. Kaletha, “Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence”, *Algebra Number Theory* **7**:10 (2013), 2447–2474. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2016a] T. Kaletha, “The local Langlands conjectures for non-quasi-split groups”, pp. 217–257 in *Families of automorphic forms and the trace formula*, edited by W. Müller et al., Springer, 2016. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2016b] T. Kaletha, “Rigid inner forms of real and p -adic groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **184**:2 (2016), 559–632. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2019a] T. Kaletha, “On L -embeddings and double covers of tori over local fields”, preprint, 2019. [arXiv 1907.05173](#)
- [Kaletha 2019b] T. Kaletha, “Regular supercuspidal representations”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **32**:4 (2019), 1071–1170. [MR](#)
- [Kaletha 2022] T. Kaletha, “Covers of reductive groups and functoriality”, preprint, 2022. [arXiv 2209.14357](#)
- [Kaletha 2024] T. Kaletha, “Lectures on the stable trace formula with emphasis on SL_2 ”, pp. 45–139 in *On the Langlands program—endoscopy and beyond*, edited by W. T. Gan et al., Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap. **43**, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, 2024. [MR](#)
- [Knapp 2002] A. W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, 2nd ed., Progr. Math. **140**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002. [MR](#)
- [Kostant 1959] B. Kostant, “The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group”, *Amer. J. Math.* **81** (1959), 973–1032. [MR](#)
- [Kostant 1963] B. Kostant, “Lie group representations on polynomial rings”, *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 327–404. [MR](#)
- [Kostant 1978] B. Kostant, “On Whittaker vectors and representation theory”, *Invent. Math.* **48**:2 (1978), 101–184. [MR](#)

- [Kottwitz 1982] R. E. Kottwitz, “Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **49**:4 (1982), 785–806. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 1983] R. E. Kottwitz, “Sign changes in harmonic analysis on reductive groups”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278**:1 (1983), 289–297. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 1984] R. E. Kottwitz, “Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms”, *Duke Math. J.* **51**:3 (1984), 611–650. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 1986] R. E. Kottwitz, “Stable trace formula: elliptic singular terms”, *Math. Ann.* **275**:3 (1986), 365–399. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 1997] R. E. Kottwitz, “Isocrystals with additional structure, II”, *Compositio Math.* **109**:3 (1997), 255–339. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 1999] R. E. Kottwitz, “Transfer factors for Lie algebras”, *Represent. Theory* **3** (1999), 127–138. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz 2005] R. E. Kottwitz, “Harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups and Lie algebras”, pp. 393–522 in *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, edited by J. Arthur et al., Clay Math. Proc. **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz and Shelstad 1999] R. E. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad, *Foundations of twisted endoscopy*, Astérisque **255**, Soc. Math. de France, 1999. [MR](#)
- [Kottwitz and Shelstad 2012] R. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad, “On splitting invariants and sign conventions in endoscopic transfer”, preprint, 2012. [arXiv 1201.5658](#)
- [Langlands 1989] R. P. Langlands, “On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups”, pp. 101–170 in *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, edited by P. J. Sally, Jr. and D. A. Vogan, Jr., Math. Surveys Monogr. **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. [MR](#)
- [Langlands and Rapoport 1987] R. P. Langlands and M. Rapoport, “Shimuravarietäten und Gerben”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **378** (1987), 113–220. [MR](#)
- [Langlands and Shelstad 1987] R. P. Langlands and D. Shelstad, “On the definition of transfer factors”, *Math. Ann.* **278**:1–4 (1987), 219–271. [MR](#)
- [Langlands and Shelstad 1990] R. Langlands and D. Shelstad, “Descent for transfer factors”, pp. 485–563 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, II*, edited by P. Cartier et al., Progr. Math. **87**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. [MR](#)
- [Matumoto 1992] H. Matumoto, “ $C^{-\infty}$ -Whittaker vectors corresponding to a principal nilpotent orbit of a real reductive linear Lie group, and wave front sets”, *Compositio Math.* **82**:2 (1992), 189–244. [MR](#)
- [Ngô 2010] B. C. Ngô, “Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **111** (2010), 1–169. [MR](#)
- [Platonov and Rapinchuk 1994] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **139**, Academic Press, Boston, 1994. [MR](#)
- [Shahidi 1990] F. Shahidi, “A proof of Langlands’ conjecture on Plancherel measures; complementary series for p -adic groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **132**:2 (1990), 273–330. [MR](#)
- [Shelstad 1979] D. Shelstad, “Characters and inner forms of a quasi-split group over \mathbb{R} ”, *Compositio Math.* **39**:1 (1979), 11–45. [MR](#)
- [Shelstad 1982] D. Shelstad, “ L -indistinguishability for real groups”, *Math. Ann.* **259**:3 (1982), 385–430. [MR](#)
- [Shelstad 1983] D. Shelstad, “Orbital integrals, endoscopic groups and L -indistinguishability for real groups”, pp. 135–219 in *Conference on automorphic theory* (Dijon, 1981), Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII **15**, Univ. Paris VII, 1983. [MR](#)

- [Shelstad 2008a] D. Shelstad, “Tempered endoscopy for real groups, I: Geometric transfer with canonical factors”, pp. 215–246 in *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups*, edited by J. Arthur et al., Contemp. Math. **472**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [MR](#)
- [Shelstad 2008b] D. Shelstad, “Tempered endoscopy for real groups, III: Inversion of transfer and L -packet structure”, *Represent. Theory* **12** (2008), 369–402. [MR](#)
- [Shelstad 2010] D. Shelstad, “Tempered endoscopy for real groups, II: Spectral transfer factors”, pp. 236–276 in *Automorphic forms and the Langlands program*, edited by L. Ji et al., Adv. Lect. Math. **9**, International, Somerville, MA, 2010. [MR](#)
- [Springer 2009] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, Boston, 2009. [MR](#)
- [Springer and Steinberg 1970] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, “Conjugacy classes”, pp. 167–266 in *Seminar on algebraic groups and related finite groups* (Princeton, NJ, 1968/69), Lecture Notes in Math. **131**, Springer, 1970. [MR](#)
- [Tate 1979] J. Tate, “Number theoretic background”, pp. 3–26 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, II*, edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. [MR](#)
- [Varadarajan 1973] V. S. Varadarajan, “The theory of characters and the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups”, pp. 45–99 in *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces* (Williamstown, MA, 1972), edited by C. C. Moore, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **26**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973. [MR](#)
- [Vogan 1978] D. A. Vogan, Jr., “Gelfand–Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules”, *Invent. Math.* **48**:1 (1978), 75–98. [MR](#)
- [Vogan 1981] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*, Progr. Math. **15**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981. [MR](#)
- [Vogan 1993] D. A. Vogan, Jr., “The local Langlands conjecture”, pp. 305–379 in *Representation theory of groups and algebras*, edited by J. Adams et al., Contemp. Math. **145**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993. [MR](#)
- [Waldspurger 1997] J.-L. Waldspurger, “Le lemme fondamental implique le transfert”, *Compositio Math.* **105**:2 (1997), 153–236. [MR](#)
- [Waldspurger 2006] J.-L. Waldspurger, “Endoscopie et changement de caractéristique”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **5**:3 (2006), 423–525. [MR](#)
- [Wallach 1988] N. R. Wallach, *Real reductive groups, I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **132**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988. [MR](#)

Received 1 Oct 2024. Revised 25 Aug 2025.

JEFFREY ADAMS:

jeffreydavidadams@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States

and

Institute for Defense Analysis, Bowie, MD, United States

TASHO KALETHA:

kaletha@math.uni-bonn.de

Mathematics Center, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

ESSENTIAL NUMBER THEORY

msp.org/ent

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Lillian B. Pierce Duke University
pierce@math.duke.edu

EDITORIAL BOARD

Adebisi Agboola UC Santa Barbara
agboola@math.ucsb.edu

Valentin Blomer Universität Bonn
blomer@math.uni-bonn.de

Farrell Brumley Sorbonne Université
farrell.brumley@gmail.com

Frank Calegari University of Chicago
fcale@math.uchicago.edu

Laura DeMarco Harvard University
demarco@math.harvard.edu

Ellen Eischen University of Oregon
eeischen@uoregon.edu

Amanda Folsom Amherst College
afolsom@amherst.edu

Kaisa Matomäki University of Turku
ksmato@utu.fi

James Newton Oxford University
newton@maths.ox.ac.uk

Raman Parimala Emory University
parimala.raman@emory.edu

Jonathan Pila University of Oxford
jonathan.pila@maths.ox.ac.uk

Peter Sarnak Princeton University/Institute for Advanced Study
sarnak@math.princeton.edu

Andrew Sutherland MIT
drew@math.mit.edu

Richard Taylor Stanford University
rtaylor@stanford.edu

Anthony Várilly-Alvarado Rice University
av15@rice.edu

John Voight Dartmouth College
john.voight@dartmouth.edu

Melanie Matchett Wood Harvard University
mmwood@math.harvard.edu

Zhiwei Yun MIT
zyun@mit.edu

Tamar Ziegler Hebrew University
tamar.ziegler@mail.huji.ac.il

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)
production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/ent for submission instructions.

Essential Number Theory (ISSN 2834-4634 electronic, 2834-4626 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published continuously online.

ENT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY
 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<https://msp.org/>

© 2026 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ESSENTIAL NUMBER THEORY

2026 vol. 5 no. 1

Isolated and parameterized points on curves BIANCA VIRAY and ISABEL VOGT	1
Explicit analytic continuation of Euler products BRANDON ALBERTS	49
A framework for Tate modules of abelian varieties under isogeny SARAH FREI, KATRINA HONIGS and JOHN VOIGHT	113
Discrete series L -packets for real reductive groups JEFFREY ADAMS and TASHO KALETHA	141