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We apply representation theory to study the homology of equivariant Dehn-fillings of a given finite, regular cover of a compact 3–manifold with boundary a torus. This yields a polynomial which gives the rank of the part of the homology carried by the solid tori used for Dehn-filling. The polynomial is a symmetrized form of the group determinant studied by Frobenius and Dedekind. As a corollary every such hyperbolic 3–manifold has infinitely many virtually Haken Dehn-fillings.
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1 Introduction

In this paper we develop a new connection between the topology of three-manifolds and the representation theory of finite groups. One consequence for 3–manifolds is:

**Theorem 1.1** Let $Y$ be a compact, orientable 3–manifold with one torus boundary component. Assume that the interior of $Y$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume. Then infinitely many Dehn-fillings of $Y$ are virtually Haken.

The case that $Y$ is not fibered also follows from Cooper and Long [3]. The case that $Y$ is fibered but not semi-fibered is in Cooper and Walsh [4]. The latter paper introduced the idea of invariant slope in a special case. The investigation of invariant slopes for general finite regular covers led to the present work.

Unless otherwise stated, in this paper we will use rational coefficients for all homology groups. Throughout $Y$ is a compact, connected, orientable 3–manifold with boundary consisting of a torus $T$ and $\pi: \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a finite regular cover with covering group $G$. Given a simple closed curve $\gamma$ in $Y$ the virtual rank of $\gamma$ in this cover is the dimension of the subspace of $H_1(\widetilde{Y})$ generated by the union of the pre-images of $\gamma$. This rank only depends on the conjugacy class in $\pi_1(Y)$ determined by $\gamma$. A conjugacy class is a virtual homology class if there is a finite cover such that this rank is not zero. It has been conjectured that if $Y$ is a hyperbolic 3–manifold then every non-trivial conjugacy class is a virtual homology class.
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Let $K(\widetilde{Y})$ denote the kernel of the map $\text{incl}_*: H_1(\partial \widetilde{Y}) \to H_1(\widetilde{Y})$. For each slope $\alpha$ on $\partial Y$ let $V(\alpha, \widetilde{Y})$ denote the subspace of $H_1(\partial \widetilde{Y})$ spanned by the pre-images of $\alpha$. The \textit{filling rank} of $\alpha$ for this covering is defined as

$$\text{fillrank}(\alpha, \widetilde{Y}) = \dim \left( K(\widetilde{Y}) \cap V(\alpha, \widetilde{Y}) \right).$$

Let $\widetilde{Y}(\alpha)$ be the closed 3–manifold obtained by equivariant Dehn-filling of $\widetilde{Y}$ along slopes which cover $\alpha$. Then the filling rank equals the dimension of the subspace, $P$, of $H_1(\widetilde{Y}(\alpha))$ carried by the union of the solid tori used for the Dehn-filling. If the filling rank is greater than zero we say that $\alpha$ is a \textit{virtual homology slope} for the covering. The quotient $\widetilde{Y}(\alpha)/G$ is in general an orbifold obtained by an orbifold Dehn-filling of $Y$ and $P$ is the homology carried by the pre-images of the core curve of the (orbifold) solid torus attached to $Y$. A priori in general one might not expect any interesting virtual homology slopes, and so the following theorem, is perhaps surprising:

\textbf{Theorem 1.2} Let $Y$ be as in \textbf{Theorem 1.1}. Then for all $n > 0$, there is a regular cover $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ and a slope $\alpha$ on $\partial Y$ so that $\alpha$ is a virtual homology slope for $\widetilde{Y}$ of filling rank bigger than $n$.

Suppose $H$ is any subgroup of a finite group $G$. The collection of sets $S = \{ HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H \}_{g \in G}$ form a partition of $G$. Define $\sigma: G \to S$ by $\sigma(g) = HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H$. Introduce commuting variables $Y_s$ for each element $s \in S$. Enumerate the left cosets of $H$ as $g_1H, \cdots, g_kH$ and define the \textit{symmetrized group-coset matrix} $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$ to be the $k \times k$ matrix with $(i, j)$ entry $Y_{\sigma(g_i)}$ where $g = g_i^{-1}g_j$. This is related to the group determinant. Here is our main theorem:

\textbf{Theorem 1.3} Suppose that $G$ is a finite group and $Y$ is a compact, connected, orientable 3–manifold with boundary a torus $T$. Suppose that $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a regular cover with group of covering transformations $G$. Let $T_1$ be a component of $\partial \widetilde{Y}$ and $H = \text{stab}(T_1)$. Then there are $\alpha, \beta$ which generate $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ and are not virtual homology slopes of this cover. Any such basis determines a collection of rationals, $t$: $S \to \mathbb{Q}$, one for each element of $S$ with the following property.

Let $B$ be the rational matrix obtained from $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$ by setting $Y_s = t(s)$ for each $s \in S$. Given $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ not both zero then $\text{fillrank}(ma + nb, \widetilde{Y})$ equals the dimension of the $(n/m)$–eigenspace of $B$.

The rationals $t(s)$ can be read off from the kernel of the map $\text{incl}_*: H_1(\partial \widetilde{Y}) \to H_1(\widetilde{Y})$. However the power of this theorem lies in the fact that for some pairs $(G, H)$ there are eigenvalues of $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$ which are rational linear combinations of the variables.
Then every specialization will have certain rational eigenvalues with multiplicity at least as large as for the unspecialized matrix. In Section 4 we use representation theory to obtain information about the eigenvalues of $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$.

As a simple example if $G = \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $H = 1$ then $\det(M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)) = (a-b)^2(a+2b)$. It follows that there are eigenvalues $\lambda = a + 2b$ of multiplicity 1 and $\lambda = a - b$ of multiplicity 2. From this we obtain a result which can be easily proved more directly, once it is known.

**Corollary 1.4** Suppose $Y$ as above and $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a 3–fold cyclic cover and suppose that $T$ has three distinct lifts to this cover. Then there is a virtual homology slope of filling rank 2 or 3.

The two possibilities depend on whether or not under the specialization $b = 0$. The corresponding statement for a 5–fold cyclic cover is false. A more general result, and the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 are given in Section 5.

**Corollary 1.5** Suppose $p$ is a prime and $Y$ as above and $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a regular cover with group of covering transformations $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Suppose that $T$ is a component of $\partial \widetilde{Y}$ and that $|\text{stab}(T)| = p$. Then there is a virtual homology slope for this cover of filling rank at least $p$.

Long and Reid have shown that for a hyperbolic 3–manifold $Y$ there are infinitely many primes for which the hypothesis holds. The condition $|\text{stab}(T)| = p$ is equivalent to $\text{stab}(T)$ is conjugate to the group of upper-triangular matrices with 1’s on the diagonal. An explicit example for $n = 3$ is given in Section 5.1.

A fundamental question which our methods do not address is whether it is possible to have a hyperbolic 3–manifold so that there is a unique slope which is the only virtual homology slope. This does happen for the connect sum of a solid torus and a closed hyperbolic 3–manifold, so hyperbolicity is needed.

In the earlier paper [4] we studied a certain covering corresponding to $G = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The decomposition of $H_1(\widetilde{Y})$ into $G$–eigenspaces yields virtual homology slopes of filling rank 1. For a more general group $G$ one is led to study the decomposition of $H_1(\widetilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ into $\mathbb{Q}$–irreducible sub-representations of $G$.

Sections 2 and 4 might be of interest to some algebraists, are devoid of topology, and can be read independently. We thank Mark Baker, Marc Lackenby and Alan Reid for helpful conversations. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0405963.
2 Linear algebra

To find virtual homology slopes one considers the intersection of the two vector subspaces \( K(Y) \) and \( V(\alpha, Y) \) as \( \alpha \) varies. These are two subspaces of half dimension in \( H_1(\partial Y) \). More generally we consider the intersection of two subspaces of dimension \( n \) in a vector space of dimension \( 2n \). One subspace is fixed, but the other rotates. Generically the intersection is dimension zero, but has positive dimension for a finite set of rotation angles. We show below that these angles are determined by a certain polynomial.

Let \( V \) be a vector space of even dimension \( 2n \) over some field \( \mathbb{F} \), and let

\[
\mathcal{B} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)
\]

be an ordered basis of \( V \). Given a point \([x_0 : y_0] \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{F}\) in the 1–dimensional projective space over \( \mathbb{F} \) we define an \( n \)–dimensional subspace of \( V \) by

\[
V([x_0 : y_0], \mathcal{B}) = \text{Span} \left< x_0 \alpha_1 + y_0 \beta_1, x_0 \alpha_n + y_0 \beta_n \right>.
\]

A non-zero vector in this space is called a vector of constant slope with respect to \( \mathcal{B} \) and \([x_0 : y_0]\) is called the slope of the vector.

**Proposition 2.1** With \( V = \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}^n \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) as above suppose that \( U \) is a half-dimensional subspace of \( V \). Let \( \pi_i : \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n \) denote projection onto the \( i \)’th factor. Suppose \( S : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}^n \) is a linear map with image \( U \). Given \((x, y) \in \mathbb{F}^2 \setminus (0, 0)\) define \( T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}^n \) by \( T(v) = (x \cdot v, y \cdot v) \). Set \( W(x, y) = U \cap V([x : y]; \mathcal{B}) \).

Then:

(i) \( W(x, y) \cong \ker(S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2) \).

(ii) \( U \) contains a vector of constant slope \([x_0 : y_0]\) iff \( p(x_0, y_0) = 0 \) where \( p(x, y) = \det(S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2) \).

(iii) If \( U \) contains no vector of constant slope \([0 : 1]\) then \( W(x, y) \) is isomorphic to the \((y/x)\)–eigenspace of \((\pi_2 \circ S) \circ (\pi_1 \circ S)^{-1}\).

**Proof** Observe that \( W(x, y) \) is the subspace of vectors in \( U \) of constant slope \([x : y]\) and that \( V([x, y]; \mathcal{B}) = \text{Im}(T) \). Hence

\[
W(x, y) = \text{Im}(S) \cap \text{Im}(T).
\]

Observe that

\[
\text{Im}(S) \cap \text{Im}(T) = S \circ \pi_1 \left( \ker(S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2) \right).
\]
The restriction of $S \circ \pi_1$ to $\ker (S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2)$ is injective and so $W(x, y) \cong \ker (S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2)$ which gives (i). It follows that

$$\dim(W(x, y)) = \text{nullity } (S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2).$$

Now

$$p(x, y) = \det (S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2)$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$ in the variables $x$ and $y$. It follows that $W(x_0, y_0)$ has positive dimension if and only if $(x_0, y_0)$ is a root of $p(x, y)$. This proves (ii).

Observe that $(v_1, v_2) \in \ker (S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2)$ iff

$$((\pi_1 \circ S)v_1, (\pi_2 \circ S)v_1) = (x \cdot v_2, y \cdot v_2).$$

The hypothesis that there is no vector of constant slope $[0 : 1]$ implies that $\pi_1 \circ S$ is injective thus $v_1 = x(\pi_1 \circ S)^{-1}v_2$. The above is thus equivalent to

$$(\pi_2 \circ S) \circ (\pi_1 \circ S)^{-1}v_2 = (y/x)v_2.$$

We now describe this in terms of matrices. Choose a basis $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)$ of $U$ and express these vectors as linear combinations of the basis $B$ vectors thus:

$$\mu_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ij} \alpha_i + b_{ij} \beta_i) \quad a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in F.$$

Define two $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$. Let $I_n$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix then the matrix of $S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2$ is the $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & -xI_n \\ B & -yI_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that the first $n$ columns of this matrix span $U$ and the last $n$ columns span $V([x : y], B)$. From the above we see that $p(x, y) = \det(M)$. If $(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathbb{F}^n$ then

$$M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -xI_n \\ B & -yI_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A\nu_1 - x\nu_2 \\ B\nu_1 - y\nu_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ is in the kernel of $S \circ \pi_1 - T \circ \pi_2$ if and only if

$$A\nu_1 = x\nu_2 \quad \text{and} \quad B\nu_1 = y\nu_2.$$

The assumption that there is no vector of constant slope $[0 : 1]$ implies that $A$ is invertible. Then the above is equivalent to

$$BA^{-1} \nu_2 = (y/x) \cdot \nu_2.$$
It is interesting to contemplate this in the following context. Suppose that $Y$ is a compact manifold with boundary consisting of a collection of $n$ tori, $\{T_i\}_{i \leq i \leq n}$. For each torus we may choose a basis $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ of $H_1(T_i)$ and apply the proposition with $U = \text{Ker}[\text{incl}_*: H_1(\partial Y) \to H_1(Y)]$.

In Section 3 we apply this to a finite regular cover $\overline{Y}$ of a 3–manifold. We will see that the basis of $V = H_1(\partial \overline{Y})$ can be chosen so that $A$ is the identity matrix. The matrix $B$ is then the boundary matrix. The fact that the intersection pairing vanishes on $U$ implies $B$ is symmetric. In particular $B$ has real eigenvalues and the algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue equals the dimension of the corresponding subspace. We will see that the polynomial $p(x, y)$ is related to the group determinant.

3 Virtual homology slopes and the boundary matrix

Given a regular cover $\overline{Y} \to Y$, we define a matrix of rational numbers, the boundary matrix, which encodes $K(\overline{Y})$. This matrix has certain symmetry properties. We also define another matrix, the general boundary matrix, whose entries are variables satisfying the same symmetry properties. The boundary matrix is obtained by replacing the variables in the general boundary matrix by certain rationals. In Section 4 we show that the general boundary matrix is closely connected to the group determinant.

We define a slope on a torus $T$ to be an element of the projective space $\mathbb{P}H_1(T; \mathbb{Q})$. A slope is uniquely determined by any of the following: an essential simple closed curve on $T$, a primitive element of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ or a non-zero element of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Q})$. In each case there is an equivalence relation that gives a bijection between equivalence classes and slopes. We will find it convenient to suppress mention of this relation. This does not lead to any serious ambiguity.

Throughout this section $Y$ is a compact oriented 3–manifold with boundary a torus $T$ and we fix a regular covering $\pi: \overline{Y} \to Y$ with covering group $G$. Let $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_k$ denote the boundary components of $\overline{Y}$. The orientation on $Y$ induces ones on $T, \overline{Y}$ and $\partial \overline{Y}$. We will use $\iota(\_\_\_)$ to denote the (skew-symmetric) intersection pairing on both $H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_1(\partial \overline{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 3.1 There are at most $k$ distinct slopes on $Y$ that are virtual homology slopes for the covering $\overline{Y}$.

Proof We assign a vector, $v = v(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ to each virtual homology slope $\alpha \in H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Q})$ as follows. Define $\alpha_i \in H_1(T_i; \mathbb{Q})$ by $\pi_* \alpha_i = \alpha$. There is a non-zero
A compact, connected, oriented surface $S$ has an ordered basis $\alpha; \beta$ which gives an identification virtual homology slope for this cover. We fix such a generic basis with $\alpha; \beta$.

By applying covering transformations, it follows that for each torus $T$ there is a unique generic basis of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not virtual homology slopes means that every virtual homology slope lies in $\mathbb{Q} \setminus 0$ with respect to this basis. Let $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ be the ordered basis of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Q})$ which projects by $\pi_*$ to $(\alpha, \beta)$. Observe that $\iota(\alpha_i, \beta_j) = d^{-1} \delta_{ij}$ where $d = \text{degree}(\pi|: T_1 \to T) = |G|/k$.

**Lemma 3.2** There is a unique $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{K}(\Y)$ and $b_1, \cdots, b_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\gamma_j = \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_j \beta_j.$$

**Proof** We have $H_1(\partial \Y) = V(\alpha) \oplus V(\beta)$ where $V(\alpha)$ is the subspace spanned by $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ and $V(\beta)$ is similarly defined. Consider the projection onto the first factor $p: H_1(\partial \Y) \to V(\alpha)$. Then $p$ restricted to $\mathcal{K}(\Y)$ is injective, otherwise $\beta$ is a virtual homology slope. Since $\mathcal{K}(\Y)$ and $V(\alpha)$ have the same dimension it follows that this restriction is an isomorphism. Hence there is a unique $\gamma_j$ which projects onto $\alpha_1$.

A compact, connected, oriented surface $S$ properly embedded in $\Y$ for which $[\partial S]$ is a non-zero multiple of $\gamma_1$ is called a **fundamental surface**. It depends on the choice of generic basis of $H_1(\partial Y)$ and on the labeling of the components of $\partial \Y$. Such a surface always exists.

By applying covering transformations, it follows that for each torus $T_i$ there is a unique $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{K}(\Y)$ such that

$$\gamma_i = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} \beta_j.$$
We call the $k \times k$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ the boundary matrix for $\tilde{Y}$. The boundary matrix depends on the choice of generic basis and on the ordering of the components of $\partial \tilde{Y}$. It follows that

$$b_{ij} = d \cdot i(\alpha_j, \gamma_i).$$

**Lemma 3.3** The boundary matrix $B$ is invertible and symmetric.

**Proof** If the boundary matrix is singular then some linear combination of the rows of $B$ are zero. The corresponding linear combination of the $\gamma_i$'s is a non-zero element $\gamma \in K(\tilde{Y})$ which is a linear combination of $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$. This contradicts that $\alpha$ is not a virtual homology slope for $\tilde{Y}$. To prove symmetry we use the fact that the intersection pairing vanishes on $K(\tilde{Y})$ and recalling that $i(\alpha_i, \beta_j) = d^{-1} \delta_{ij}$ gives

$$0 = i(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = i(\alpha_i + \sum_k b_{ik} \beta_k, \alpha_j + \sum_l b_{jl} \beta_l) = d^{-1}(-b_{ji} + b_{ij}).$$

The symmetry may be seen another way. Assume the slope $\beta \subset \partial Y$ lifts to $\tilde{Y}$. Then the Dehn-filling, $Y(\beta)$, of $Y$ along $\beta$ is covered by a Dehn-filling, $\tilde{Y}(\beta)$, of $\tilde{Y}$ along lifts of $\beta$. For simplicity, suppose that $\tilde{Y}(\beta)$ is a homology 3–sphere. Then there is a surface $S_i$ in $\tilde{Y}(\beta)$ with boundary which is the core curve, $\gamma_i$, of the $i$’th solid torus in $\tilde{Y}(\beta)$. The surface $S_i$ can be chosen so it meets $\gamma_j$, for every $j \neq i$, transversally and minimally. Thus $S_i \cap \tilde{Y}$ has boundary some longitude $\alpha_i \beta_i^n$ of $T_i$ together with meridians $\beta_j$ on the other $T_j$ for $j \neq i$.

The linking number of $\gamma_i$ and $\gamma_j$ in $\tilde{Y}(\beta)$ is

$$Lk(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = #(S_i \cap \gamma_j) = b_{ij}.$$  

Now the linking number is symmetric, ie $Lk(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = Lk(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)$, which shows again that $B$ is a symmetric matrix.

Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ which stabilizes $T_1$. For $g \in G$ the subset of $G$ consisting of elements which map $T_1$ to $g \cdot T_1$ is $g \cdot H$. Thus components of $\partial \tilde{Y}$ are in one to one correspondence with left cosets of $H$ in $G$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$ choose $g_i \in G$ so that $g_i \cdot T_1 = T_i$. Then $\{g_1, \cdots, g_k\}$ is a complete set of left coset representatives of the subgroup $H$ of $G$.

**Corollary 3.4** The $G$–orbit of $\gamma_1$ spans $K(\tilde{Y})$. In particular $K(\tilde{Y})$ is a cyclic representation of $G$ which is isomorphic to the action of $G$ by permutations on left cosets of $H$ in $G$.
Proof The elements $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_k$ are linearly independent in $K(\widetilde{Y})$. Since $\dim(K(\widetilde{Y})) = k$ they form a basis. Since $g_i T_1 = T_i$ it follows that $g_i \gamma_1 = \gamma_i$ so the $G$–orbit of $\gamma_1$ spans $K(\widetilde{Y})$. Since $\gamma_i \subset T_i$ the action of $G$ on $K(\widetilde{Y})$ is isomorphic to the action of $G$ by permutations on the components of $\partial \widetilde{Y}$. This, in turn, is isomorphic to the action of $G$ on left cosets of $H$. \qed

**Proposition 3.5** (Virtual homology slopes are eigenvalues of the boundary matrix) The slope $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ (which corresponds to $\alpha + t \beta$) is a virtual homology slope of the covering $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ if and only if $t$ is an eigenvalue of the boundary matrix $B$. Furthermore the filling rank of this slope equals the dimension of the $t$–eigenspace of $B$.

Proof First suppose that $\alpha + t \beta$ is a virtual homology slope. Then there is a non-zero element of $K(\widetilde{Y})$ of the form

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i (\alpha_i + t \beta_i).$$

Now $\gamma$ is a linear combination of the basis elements $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_k$ of $K(\widetilde{Y})$. Recalling that $\gamma_i = \alpha_i + (\beta's)$ we get that

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \gamma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i [\alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij} \beta_j].$$

The part of $\gamma$ on torus $T_j$ is

$$c_j \alpha_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{ij} c_i \beta_j.$$ 

This has slope $t$ so we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{ij} c_i = t c_j.$$

Recalling that $B$ is symmetric this implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{ji} c_i = t c_j.$$

In other words

$$B \vec{c} = t \cdot \vec{c}$$

where $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k)$. Thus $\vec{c}$ is an eigenvector of $B$ with eigenvalue $t$. This also shows there is an injective linear map from the subspace, $W(t)$, of $K(\widetilde{Y})$ consisting of vectors of slope $t$ into the $t$–eigenspace, $E_t$, of $B$. Hence $\dim(W(t)) \leq \dim(E_t)$. 
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For the reverse inequality suppose that $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k) \in E_t$ so $B \cdot \vec{c} = t \cdot \vec{c}$. Then

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \gamma_i$$

is in $K(\tilde{Y})$. Using the calculations above we see that the components of $\gamma$ on each torus $T_j$ all have slope $t$. It follows that there is an injective linear map from $E_t$ into $W(t)$. Hence $W(t)$ and $E_t$ have the same dimension.

The boundary matrix may be regarded as a function on pairs of left $H$–cosets, $B: G/H \times G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$B(g_i H, g_j H) = b_{ij}.$$ 

**Proposition 3.6** The boundary matrix satisfies the following properties:

1. Invariance under left translation: $B(xH, yH) = B(g xH, g yH)$
2. Symmetry: $B(xH, yH) = B(yH, xH)$
3. Inversion: $B(H, g^{-1} H) = B(H, gH)$

**Proof** Recall that

$$b_{ij} = d \cdot \iota(\alpha_i, \gamma_j).$$

Thus

$$B(xH, yH) = d \cdot \iota(x \alpha_1, y \gamma_1).$$

Intersection numbers are preserved by covering transformations, thus left invariance follows from $\iota(x \alpha_1, y \gamma_1) = \iota(g x \alpha_1, g y \gamma_1)$. Symmetry follows from 3.3. Finally using the first two properties we get $B(H, g^{-1} H) = B(gH, H) = B(H, gH)$ which gives (3).

It follows that $B(xH, yH) = B(H, x^{-1} yH)$ so the boundary matrix is determined by its values in the first row, i.e. by the function $L: G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ given by $L(xH) = B(H, xH)$. We describe this by saying $B$ is induced by $L$. In other words the entries in the boundary matrix are not arbitrary but satisfy certain relations of the form $(i, j)$–entry equals $(k, l)$–entry for values of $i, j, k, l$ that only depend on $G$ and $H$. Thus the boundary matrix is determined by $\gamma_1$ together with how $G$ permutes the entries in the first row of $B$ to give the other rows of $B$. Geometrically this corresponds to the statements that covering translates of the fundamental surface generate $K(\tilde{Y})$ and the boundary of the fundamental surface is given by the first row of the boundary matrix.
Lemma 3.7 A function $L: G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ induces a function $B: G/H \times G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ which satisfies properties (1) to (3) iff $L$ factors through a map $\overline{L}: S \to \mathbb{Q}$ where

$$S = \{ HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H \}_{g \in G}$$

is the partition of $G$ defined in the introduction.

Proof First suppose we are given $\overline{L}: S \to \mathbb{Q}$ then we obtain a well defined function $B: G/H \times G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ given by $B(xH, yH) = \overline{L}(Hx^{-1}yH \cup H^{-1}y^{-1}xH)$. It is immediate that $B$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3).

For the converse, given $B: G/H \times G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ which satisfies properties (1) to (3) define $L: G/H \to \mathbb{Q}$ as above. Suppose that $HxH \cup Hx^{-1}H = HyH \cup Hy^{-1}H$. Then either $y \in HxH$ or $y \in Hx^{-1}H$. In either case $yH = hx^\epsilon H$ for some $h \in H$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Using properties (1) to (3) for $B$ we get

$$L(yH) = B(H, yH) = B(H, hx^\epsilon H) = B(h^{-1}H, x^\epsilon H)$$

$$= B(H, x^\epsilon H) = B(H, xH) = L(xH).$$

It follows that $L$ factors through $\overline{L}$. \hfill $\Box$

Remark A double coset $HgH$ may be viewed as the union of left cosets $xH$ where $x = hgh^{-1}$ varies over the $H$–conjugates of the element $x \in G$. Thus the pair of double cosets $HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H$ can be viewed as the union of left $H$ cosets obtained by taking $H$–conjugates of an unoriented loop (ie pair of elements $g$ and $g^{-1}$).

The $(i, j)$ entry of the boundary matrix is

$$b_{ij} = B(g_iH, g_jH) = B(H, g_i^{-1}g_jH) = L(g_i^{-1}g_jH).$$

This is determined by which element of $S$ contains $g_i^{-1}g_j$. Let $\{ Y_s \mid s \in S \}$ be a set of commuting variables, one for each element of $S$. Recall that $g_1, \ldots, g_k$ is a complete set of left coset representatives of the subgroup $H$ of $G$ and $\sigma: G \to S$ is given by $\sigma(g) = HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H$. The general boundary matrix $B$ is the $k \times k$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry is $Y_{\sigma(g_i^{-1}g_j)}$. Observe that this matrix only depends on the pair $(G, H)$ together with an ordering of the left cosets of $H$ (coming from the ordering of the boundary components of $\partial \Sigma$.) In fact we see from the definition given in the introduction that $B$ equals $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$. In particular, when the boundary torus lifts, the generalized boundary matrix is the symmetrized group matrix defined in Section 4. The boundary matrix for a particular 3–manifold is obtained by replacing the variables in the general boundary matrix by certain rationals, ie by a specialization $t: \{ Y_s \mid s \in S \} \to \mathbb{Q}$ of the variables. We record this for later use:

Theorem 3.8 Suppose \( Y \) is a compact oriented 3–manifold with boundary a torus \( T \) and that \( \widetilde{Y} \to Y \) is a regular cover with \( G \) the group of covering transformations. Let \( T_1, \cdots, T_k \) be the boundary components of \( \widetilde{Y} \) and let \( H \) be the stabilizer of \( T_1 \). Choose a generic basis of \( H_1(T) \) and let \( B \) be the boundary matrix defined with this data. Then there is a specialization \( t: \{ Y_s \mid s \in S \} \to \mathbb{Q} \) of the variables so that \( B = t(M^\text{sym}(G, H)) \).

In Section 4 we show how the symmetrized group-coset matrix is related to group determinants and representation theory. Although we will not make use of this fact, every specialization of the general boundary matrix is a boundary matrix for some covering of some 3–manifold:

Proposition 3.9 Let \( G \) be a finite group and \( H < G \) such that \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) surjects \( H \). With the above notation, given \( t: \{ Y_s \mid s \in S \} \to \mathbb{Q} \) there is a 3–manifold \( P \) and a regular cover \( \widetilde{P} \) of \( P \) with covering group \( G \) such that the boundary matrix is \( t(M^\text{sym}(G, H)) \).

Proof We will only sketch the proof in the case that \( H = 1 \). We will construct a manifold \( \widetilde{P} \) with a free \( G \)-action and set \( P = \widetilde{P}/G \). The fact that \( H = 1 \) means that \( |\partial \widetilde{P}| = |G| = k \) and \( G \) freely permutes the components of \( \partial \widetilde{P} \). Let \( m > 0 \) be an integer so that \( C_{ij} = m \cdot t(\sigma(g_i^{-1}g_j)) \) is an integral matrix. Set \( a = \gcd(m, |C_{11}|) \) and \( b = \sum_{i=2}^k |C_{1i}| \).

The manifold \( \widetilde{P} \) we seek has boundary consisting of tori \( T_1, \cdots, T_k \) where \( T_i = g_i \cdot T_1 \). It contains a fundamental surface \( F \) with \( a + b \) boundary components of which \( b \) boundary components are on \( T_2, \cdots, T_k \) and project to loops parallel to \( \beta \). In fact \( T_i \cap F = C_{1i} \beta_i \in H_1(T_i) \) for \( i \geq 2 \). The remaining \( a \) boundary components are parallel loops on \( T_1 \) whose sum is the homology class \( ma_1 + C_{1i} \beta_i \in H_1(T_1) \). The orbit of the fundamental surface under \( G \) is a collection of surfaces corresponding to the rows of \( C \). The number of boundary components of \( g_i \cdot F \) on the torus \( g_j \cdot T_1 \) is \( |C_{ij}| \) for \( i \neq j \), and \( a \) for \( i = j \), and the sign of \( C_{ij} \) determines the direction a boundary component of \( F \) winds round \( T \). The existence of these surfaces in \( \widetilde{P} \) ensures that the boundary matrix is a scalar multiple of \( C \).

These surfaces may be assumed to be transverse to one another. They intersect along circles and arcs which run between intersection points on the boundary of opposite sign. We will assume there are no circles and that the number of such arcs is minimal. The latter is just the requirement that the various loops which are the boundary components of the fundamental surfaces have minimal intersection with each other. Given \( g_i \neq g_j \in G \) the boundaries of the surfaces \( F_i = g_i \cdot F \) and \( F_j = g_j \cdot F \) only intersect on \( T_i \) and
This is because on the remaining tori they both have boundary components parallel to $\beta$. Minimality of intersection then implies they do not intersect on any other torus. Furthermore minimality also implies all the intersections on one torus have the same sign. Thus these two surfaces intersect along arcs each of which has one endpoint on each of these two tori. The number of such arcs is given by the absolute value of the algebraic intersection of $F_i \cap T_i$ with $F_j \cap T_i$ and can be computed from $C$.

We decompose $\tilde{P} = N \cup (\tilde{P} \setminus \text{int}(N))$ where $N$ is a regular neighborhood of $\partial \tilde{P} \cup \bigcup F_i$. To construct $\tilde{P}$ we reverse the above. We construct $N$ by gluing copies of $F$ onto $\partial \tilde{P}$ and then thickening. The construction must be done $G$–invariantly. For each $g \in G$ there is one copy, $F_g$, of $F$ and a torus $T_g$. The group acts on this set by permuting the labels. It is easy to use $C$ to $G$–invariantly glue each $F_g$ to the tori as described above. We need a $G$–invariant collection of disjoint arcs in $\cup F_i$ to enable the gluing together of the copies of $F$. On $F_1$ choose a set of disjoint arcs, one going from each component of $F_1 \setminus T_1$ to each component of $F_i \cap T_i$ for $i \geq 2$. We can certainly do this if $F$ has large enough genus. Now take the required number of parallel copies of these arcs. Using $G$ we can move copies of these arcs to each $F_i$.

This allows us to construct a 2–complex by gluing the copies of $F$ and the tori in the way required by $G$. This complex can be thickened to be a 3–manifold $\tilde{N}$ on which $G$ acts freely. The boundary of $\tilde{N}$ is $\cup T_g$ together with some other boundary components $S$ coming from parts of the neighborhood of copies of $F$. Take two copies of $N$ and glue them along $S$. The result is a manifold with a free $G$–action and boundary two copies of $\cup T_g$. Equivariantly Dehn-fill along one copy of $\cup T_g$. This produces a compact manifold $\tilde{P}$ with a free $G$–action, boundary $\cup T_g$, and the required boundary matrix.

### 4 Group determinants

The theory of group determinants was initiated by Frobenius and Dedekind. An interesting history of this and the origins of representation theory is contained in Lam [7]. A contemporary survey is Johnson [6]. We introduce a version of the group determinant that is symmetric relative to a subgroup. We also show how the symmetrized group-coset matrix defined in the introduction is related to this.

In what follows, for simplicity we will work with complex vector spaces. Suppose $G$ is a group, $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space and $\rho: G \to \text{Aut}(V)$ is a representation. Consider the polynomial ring $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[\{X_g : g \in G\}]$ with one indeterminate, $X_g$, for each element $g$ of the group $G$. The representation matrix of $\rho$ is the matrix with...
entries in this ring

\[ M(\rho) = \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) \cdot X_g \in M_n(\Lambda). \]

One may view this as the image of the “general element”, \( \sum_{g} X_g \cdot g \in \Lambda[G] \), of the group \( G \) under the induced ring homomorphism \( \rho_* : \Lambda[G] \to \text{End}(V \otimes \Lambda) \). The representation determinant of \( \rho \) is

\[ \det(\rho) = \det(M(\rho)) \]

which is a homogeneous polynomial in \( \Lambda \) of degree \( \text{dim}(V) \). In particular if \( \rho \) is a 1–dimensional representation, then \( \det(\rho) \) is a polynomial of degree 1. The right regular representation, \( R_G : G \to \mathbb{C}^{|G|} \), is the representation obtained from the action of \( G \) by multiplication on the group ring \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) induced by the right regular action of \( G \) on itself, \( h : g \mapsto gh^{-1} \). A basic result in the representation theory of finite groups is:

**Theorem 4.1** The right regular representation is conjugate to

\[ \bigoplus_{\rho} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\text{dim}(\rho)} \rho \right) \]

where the first sum is over all conjugacy classes of irreducible representations.

The group matrix of \( G \) is \( M(G) = M(R_G) \). The group matrix was originally defined using the left-regular representation, but the theory is exactly the same. Let \( \{ g_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) be a list of the elements of \( G \). Another description of this matrix is that it is the \( n \times n \) matrix (where \( n = |G| \)) whose \((i, j)\) entry is \( X_{g_i^{-1}g_j} \). This follows since the right-regular action of \( g_i^{-1}g_j \) takes \( g_j \) to \( g_i \). The group determinant of \( G \) is the representation determinant of the right regular representation, ie

\[ \det(G) = \det(M(G)). \]

Since the right regular representation involves integral matrices, this polynomial has integer coefficients. The following is immediate:

**Proposition 4.2** \( M(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2) = M(\rho_1) \oplus M(\rho_2) \) and \( \det(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2) = \det(\rho_1) \cdot \det(\rho_2) \).

This is useful for computing \( \det(G) \), since instead of having to work with the determinant of an \( n \times n \) matrix, where \( n = |G| \), coming from the right regular representation, one can instead compute the contributions to the group determinant from each irreducible representation separately. The size of the matrices involved is then the dimension of the irreducible representation. Since the regular representation of an abelian group is the sum of the one-dimensional representations one obtains:
Corollary 4.3  The group determinant of a finite abelian group is
\[ \det(G) = \prod_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{\rho : G \to \mathbb{C}^*} \rho(g) \cdot X_g \right) \]

Given a subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) we form the following quotients of \( \Lambda \)
\[
\pi_{\Lambda}^{\text{sym}} : \Lambda \to \Lambda_{\Lambda}^{\text{sym}} = \Lambda / \langle X_g - X_g^{-1} \mid g \in G \rangle
\]
\[
\pi_{H} : \Lambda \to \Lambda_{H} = \Lambda / \langle X_g - X_{gh} \mid g \in G, h \in H \rangle
\]
\[
\pi_{H}^{\text{sym}} : \Lambda \to \Lambda_{H}^{\text{sym}} = \Lambda / \langle X_g - X_{g^{-1}} , X_g - X_{gh} \mid g \in G, h \in H \rangle
\]
Each of these quotients is a polynomial ring obtained by formally identifying some of the variables in \( \Lambda \). Generators of \( \Lambda_{\Lambda}^{\text{sym}} \) correspond to subsets \( \{ g, g^{-1} \} \subset G \) and generators for \( \Lambda_{H} \) correspond to left cosets of \( H \). Generators of \( \Lambda_{H}^{\text{sym}} \) correspond to the subsets \( HgH \cup Hg^{-1}H \subset G \). Observe that the ideal used for the third quotient is the ideal generated by the previous two ideals.

The symmetrized group determinant is
\[ \det^{\text{sym}}(G) = \pi_{\Lambda}^{\text{sym}}(\det(G)). \]

Sjogren introduced this in connection with groups acting on graphs (Sjogren [10]). Informally one just equates \( X_g = X_{g^{-1}} \) for all \( g \in G \) in the group determinant. The symmetrized group matrix of \( G \) is
\[ M_{\text{sym}}(G) = \pi_{\Lambda}^{\text{sym}}(M(G)). \]

From the description of the \((i, j)\) entry of the group matrix as \( X_{g_i^{-1}g_j} \) it follows that this is a symmetric matrix. Hence the symmetrized group determinant is the determinant of this symmetric matrix. Observe that if every element of \( G \) is its own inverse then the symmetrized group determinant equals the group determinant.

Here are some examples. In the sequel we will be interested in how many linear factors there are over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and what the multiplicity of these factors is, in the symmetrized group determinant.

(1) For \( G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \) the group determinant is
\[ \det(\mathbb{Z}_3) = (a + b + c)(a^2 - ab + b^2 - ac - bc + c^2) \]
where the correspondence between group elements and variables is \((0, 1, 2) \leftrightarrow (a, b, c)\). If we symmetrize this by setting \( b = c \) we obtain
\[ \det^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{Z}_3) = (a + 2b)(a - b)^2. \]

(2) \( \det^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{Z}_4) = (a + 2b + c)(a - 2b + c)(a - c)^2. \)
enumerate the elements of \(H\) with respect to this ordered basis. Enumerate the elements of \(H\).

**Proposition 4.4** Choose a complete set of left coset representatives \(g_1 H, g_2 H, \ldots, g_k H\) with \(g_1 H = H\). Consider the matrix whose \((i, j)\)-entry is \(\pi_{ij}^H(X_{g_i^{-1} g_j})\). This entry is \(X_{HgH \cup Hg^{-1} H} \) where \(g = g_i^{-1} g_j\). If we identify this variable with \(Y_{\sigma(g)}\) then this matrix is just \(M^{sym}(G, H)\).

If \(H\) is the trivial subgroup then this is just the symmetrized group matrix. In general the symmetrized group-coset matrix is a symmetric non-singular matrix. Indeed specializing all the variables equal to zero except \(Y_H\) one obtains \(Y_H\) times the identity matrix.

**Proposition 4.4** Choose a complete set of left coset representatives \(g_1, \ldots, g_k\) of \(H\) in \(G\). Let \(B = M^{sym}(G, H)\) be the symmetrized group-coset matrix for \((G, H)\) with respect to this ordered basis. Enumerate the elements of \(H\) as \(h_1, \ldots, h_l\) then enumerate the elements of \(G\) so that for \(1 \leq p \leq k\) and \(1 \leq q \leq l\) element \(p + (q - 1)k\)
is $g_p h_q$. With this as an ordered basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, 

$$
\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(G)) = \begin{pmatrix}
B & B & \cdots & B \\
B & B & \cdots & B \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
B & B & \cdots & B
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Furthermore $\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(G))$ is conjugate to $l M^\text{sym}(G, H) \oplus 0$. It follows that for $\lambda \neq 0$ the dimension of the $\lambda$–eigenspace of $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ equals the dimension of the $(l \lambda)$–eigenspace of $\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(G))$.

**Proof** The $(i, j) = (p + (q - 1)k, r + (s - 1)k)$ entry of $\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(G))$ is $\pi^\text{sym}_H(X_g)$ where 

$$
g = (g_p h_q)^{-1}(g_r h_s) = h_q^{-1}(g_p^{-1} g_r) h_s.
$$

The $(p, r)$ entry of $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ is $\pi^\text{sym}_H(g_p^{-1} g_r)$. This equals $\pi^\text{sym}_H(X_g)$ for $g = g_p^{-1} g_r$.

For the second conclusion, there is an invertible matrix $Q$ with entries in $\mathbb{Q}$ so that 

$$
Q \begin{pmatrix}
B & B & \cdots & B \\
B & B & \cdots & B \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
B & B & \cdots & B
\end{pmatrix} Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
lB & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The following shows how the eigenvalues of the symmetrized group-coset matrix can be determined from the roots of the group determinant.

**Proposition 4.5** The characteristic polynomial of $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ can be obtained from the group determinant, $\text{det}(G)$, of $G$ by a change of variables. For $g \neq 1$ replace $X_g$ by $Y_{a(g)}$. Replace $X_1$ by $Y_H - t$. The resulting polynomial is $t^m p(t)$ where $p(t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ and $m = |G| - |H|$. 

**Proof** Let $n = |G|$ and $I_n$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then $M(G) = X_1 I_n + P$ where $P$ is a matrix that does not involve $X_1$. Thus the characteristic polynomial of $M(G)$ is obtained from $\text{det}(G)$ by replacing $X_1$ with $X_1 - t$. It follows that the characteristic polynomial of $\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(G))$ is obtained from this by now replacing $X_g$ by $Y_{a(g)}$. The result now follows from 4.4. 

**Lemma 4.6** Suppose $H$ is a subgroup of index $k$ in a finite group $G$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $G$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^\text{sym}_H$ is an eigenvalue with multiplicity $m$ of $\pi^\text{sym}_H(M(\rho))$ then $\lambda/k$ is an eigenvalue of $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ of multiplicity at least $m \cdot \dim(\rho)$.
Proof By Theorem 4.1 \( \rho \) appears with multiplicity \( \dim(\rho) \) in the right regular representation of \( G \). By Proposition 4.2 \( \pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(G)) \) has \( \lambda \) as an eigenvalue of multiplicity at least \( m \cdot \dim(\rho) \). The result now follows from 4.4.

Lemma 4.7 Suppose that \( \rho : G \to \text{Aut}(V) \) is a representation and \( H \) is a subgroup of \( G \). Set \( P = \sum_{h \in H} \rho(h) \in \text{End}(V) \). Then:

(i) \( \text{rank}[P] \) equals the dimension of the subspace of \( V \) on which \( H \) acts trivially.

(ii) \( \text{rank}[\pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho))] = \text{rank}[P] \).

(iii) If \( \text{rank}[P] = 1 \) then the non-zero eigenvalue of \( \pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho)) \) is

\[
\text{trace}(\pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho)))
\]

which is a linear homogeneous polynomial in \( \Lambda_H^{\text{sym}} \).

Proof The image of \( P \) equals the subspace of \( V \) on which \( H \) acts trivially which gives (i). For (ii) let \( M(\rho) \) be the representation matrix of \( \rho \). Then

\[
\pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho)) = \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)Y_\sigma(g).
\]

If \( a \in bH \) then \( \sigma(a) = HaH \cup Ha^{-1}H = HbH \cup Hb^{-1}H = \sigma(b) \) thus \( Y_\sigma(a) = Y_\sigma(b) \).

We will split the above summation up into sums over left cosets of \( H \). Let \( g_1, \ldots, g_k \) be a complete set of representatives of left \( H \) cosets in \( G \) with \( g_1 = 1 \). Then

\[
\pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{h \in H} \rho(g_i)\rho(h)Y_\sigma(g_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho(g_i)Y_\sigma(g_i) \right) \cdot \sum_{h \in H} \rho(h).
\]

We claim that the matrix \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho(g_i)Y_\sigma(g_i) \) is invertible. This is because the only term in the sum for which \( \sigma(g_i) = H \) is when \( i = 1 \). Thus setting \( Y_H = 1 \) and all the other \( Y \)'s equal to zero gives a specialization of \( \pi_H^{\text{sym}}(M(\rho)) \) which gives the identity matrix. Since this is invertible the claim, and hence part (ii) of the lemma, follows. Part (iii) follows immediately from (ii).

Proposition 4.8 Let \( p \) be a prime and \( G = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) and \( H \) the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices with 1’s on the diagonal. Then \( M^{\text{sym}}(G, H) \) has an eigenvalue, \( \lambda \), which is a non-zero rational linear combination of \( \{Y_S : s \in S\} \). The multiplicity of \( \lambda \) is at least \( p \).

Proof \( H \) is a cyclic subgroup of order \( p \) generated by \( A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). There is a natural action of \( PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{Z}_p \). Let \( V \) be the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space consisting of formal linear combinations of points in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{Z}_p \). The dimension of \( V \) equals the number...
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of points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{Z}_p$ which is $p + 1$. Let $\rho : \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ be the natural action by permutations. [Observe that if $g$ is any element of order $p$ in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ then $g$ must act as a $p$–cycle plus a 1–cycle and thus $g$ is conjugate to a power of $A$.] There is an invariant 1–dimensional subspace spanned by $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ on which the action is trivial so $V = \epsilon \oplus W$ where $\epsilon$ is the trivial 1–dimensional representation. Since the action of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F})$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{Z}_p$ is 2–transitive, $V$ splits into two irreducible representations of dimension 1 and $p$, by Burrow [1, Lemma 29.1]. Hence $W$ is an irreducible representation, $\rho'$, of $G$ of dimension $p$. The action of $H$ on $V$ is the right regular action plus a trivial 1–dimensional representation. Hence the action of $H$ on $W$ is the right regular representation. Thus the subspace of $W$ on which $H$ acts trivially has dimension 1.

By the above calculation and Lemma 4.7 $\rho'$ has an eigenvalue, $\lambda'$, which is a non-zero rational linear combination of $\{Y : s \in S\}$. Since $\rho'$ is irreducible Lemma 4.6 implies $M^\text{sym}(G, H)$ has eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda'/p$ with multiplicity at least $\dim(\rho') = p$.

We compute $\lambda$ for a few values of $p$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$a + b - c - d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$a + 2b - c - d - e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$a + 2b + 2c - d - e - f - g - h$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$a + b + 2c + 2d - e - f - g - h - i - j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$a + b + 2c + 2d + 2e - f - g - h - i - j - k - l - m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$a + 2b + 2c + 2d + 2e - f - g - h - i - j - k - l - m - n$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following sometimes allows one to deduce information about the $m_i$.

**Proposition 4.9** Let $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$ be a list of the conjugacy classes of irreducible representations of $G$ and set $m_i = \operatorname{rank}(\pi_H^\text{sym}(M(\rho_i)))$. Then $|G|/|H| = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(\rho_i) \cdot m_i$.

**Proof** By 4.1 and 4.2

$$M(G) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\dim(\rho_i)} M(\rho_i) \right)$$

Applying $\pi_H^\text{sym}$ to this and taking the rank gives

$$\operatorname{rank}[\pi_H^\text{sym}(M(G))] = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(\rho_i) \cdot \operatorname{rank}[\pi_H^\text{sym}(M(\rho_i))].$$

*Geometry & Topology, Volume 10 (2006)*
By 4.5 the left hand side is \( \text{rank}[M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)] \). Since \( M^{\text{sym}}(G, H) \) is non-singular rank \( \text{rank}[M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)] = |G|/|H| \).

5 Applications to 3–manifolds

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** First observe that by 3.1 there are always slopes \( \alpha, \beta \) which are not virtual homology slopes and form a basis of \( H_1(T) \). By 3.8 there is a specialization \( t: S \to \mathbb{Q} \) such that with \( G, H \) as in the hypotheses the boundary matrix, \( B \), for \( \tilde{Y} \) equals \( t(M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)) \). By 3.5 it follows that the dimension of the \((n/m)–\text{eigenspace of} B \) equals the filling rank of the slope \( m\alpha + n\beta \) for the cover \( \tilde{Y} \to Y \).

**Corollary 5.1** Suppose \( Y \) is a compact orientable 3–manifold with boundary a torus. Suppose that \( \tilde{Y} \to Y \) is a regular cover with group of covering transformations \( G \) and that \( |\partial \tilde{Y}| = |G| \). If \( \det^{\text{sym}}(G) \) has a linear factor of multiplicity \( n \) then there is a virtual homology slope of filling rank at least \( n \). In particular if \( G \) surjects one of \( \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Q}_8 \) then there is a virtual homology slope of filling rank at least 2.

**Proof** Since \( |\partial \tilde{Y}| = |G| \) the stabilizer of each component of \( \partial \tilde{Y} \) is trivial, thus \( H = 1 \). Hence \( M^{\text{sym}}(G; H) = \pi^{\text{sym}}(M(G)) \) is the symmetrized group matrix of \( G \). The second conclusion follows from the computations in Section 4 plus the observation that if \( G \) surjects \( H \) then \( H_1(\tilde{Y}) \) surjects \( H_1(\tilde{Y}_H) \) where \( \tilde{Y}_H \) is the cover corresponding to \( H \).

If \( \tilde{Y} \to Y \) is a regular cover with group of covering transformations \( G \) then \( G \) acts on \( H_1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}) \). Let \( U \) be the subspace on which the \( G \)–action is trivial. Then using transfer one obtains \( U \cong H_1(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \). The action of \( G \) on \( V = H_1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q})/U \) has no trivial summands. It follows that \( \beta_1(\tilde{Y}) = \beta_1(Y) \) or \( \beta_1(\tilde{Y}) \geq \beta_1(Y) + n \) where \( n \) is the dimension of the smallest non-trivial action of \( G \) on a \( \mathbb{Q} \)–vector space. This gives the following two results, which appear to have not been stated in the literature. Theorem 5.2 was used implicitly in Dunfield and Thurston [5].

**Theorem 5.2** Suppose that \( G \) is a finite group. Let \( n \) be the dimension of the smallest non-trivial \( \mathbb{Q} \)–representation of \( G \). Suppose that \( Y \) is a 3–manifold and that \( p: \tilde{Y} \to Y \) is a regular cover with group of covering transformations \( G \). Either \( \beta_1(\tilde{Y}) = \beta_1(Y) \) or \( \beta_1(\tilde{Y}) \geq \beta_1(Y) + n \).

**Example** Suppose that \( G \) is simple and contains an element \( g \) of order \( n \). If \( G \) acts non-trivially on a \( \mathbb{Q} \) vector space \( V \) then, since \( G \) is simple, \( g \) acts non-trivially. The irreducible \( \mathbb{Q} \)–representations of \( < g > \) correspond to the factorization of \( x^n - 1 \).
over \( \mathbb{Q} \). In particular if \( n \) is prime then \( 1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1} \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \) thus \( \dim(V) \geq n - 1 \). If \( p > 3 \) is a prime then \( PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) is a simple group which contains an element of order \( p \) so \( \dim(V) \geq p - 1 \).

**Theorem 5.3** Suppose that \( G \) is a finite group. Let \( n \) be the dimension of the smallest non-trivial \( \mathbb{Q} \)–representation of \( G \). Suppose that \( Y \) is an orientable 3–manifold with boundary a torus \( T \) and that \( p: \widetilde{Y} \to Y \) is a regular cover with group of covering transformations \( G \). Suppose that \( \gamma \) is a virtual homology slope for this cover and either \([\gamma] \neq 0 \in H_1(Y)\) or fillrank\( (\gamma, \widetilde{Y}) > 1 \). Then fillrank\( (\gamma, \widetilde{Y}) \geq n \).

**Proof** The hypotheses on \( \gamma \) imply that \( \beta_1(\widetilde{Y}) > \beta_1(Y) \).

**Lemma 5.4** Suppose that \( Y \) is a compact, connected, orientable 3–manifold and \( V \) is a subspace of \( H_2(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \) of dimension at least 2. Then there is a non-separating, connected, orientable, norm-minimizing surface \( S \) in \( Y \) which is not a fiber of a fibration of \( Y \) over the circle and \([S] \in V\).

**Proof** This follows from Thurston’s description of the collection of homology classes of fibers as the set of integral points in a union of cones on some of the open faces of the Thurston norm. The intersection of \( V \) with such an open cone is an open cone in \( V \). There is a line of rational slope in \( V \) which is in the complement of the union of these open cones. This line contains an integral point which corresponds to a norm-minimizing surface that is not a fiber.

**Corollary 5.5** Suppose that \( Y \) is a compact, connected, orientable 3–manifold with boundary a torus \( T \). Suppose that \( p: \widetilde{Y} \to Y \) is a finite regular cover and that for each component \( \widetilde{T} \) of \( p^{-1}T \) that the induced cover \( p|: \widetilde{T} \to T \) is cyclic. Suppose that \( \alpha \) is a slope on \( T \) with fillrank\( (\alpha, \widetilde{Y}) \geq 2 \). Then \( Y \) has infinitely many virtually Haken Dehn-fillings.

**Proof** Let \( V \) be the subspace of \( H_2(\widetilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q}) \) generated by surfaces all of whose boundary components cover \( \alpha \). Then \( \dim(V) \geq \text{fillrank}(\alpha, \widetilde{Y}) \geq 2 \). By 5.4 there is a norm-minimizing (hence incompressible) surface \( S \) in \( \widetilde{Y} \) which is non-separating and not a fiber and \([S] \in V\) thus all the boundary components of \( S \) cover \( \alpha \). The result follows from Lemma 7 of [4].

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2** By results of Long and Reid [8] there is a prime \( p \) such that \( \pi_1Y \) surjects \( G = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p) \). They also show that a surjection may be found so that \( \pi_1 \partial Y \) maps onto the subgroup \( H \) of upper triangular matrices.
with 1’s on the diagonal. Then by 4.8 $M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$ has an eigenvalue of multiplicity at least $p$, which is a linear polynomial in $\Lambda_H^{\text{sym}}$. It follows from 3.8 that the boundary matrix for $\tilde{Y}$ has a rational eigenvalue of multiplicity at least $p$. This eigenvalue determines a slope $\alpha \subset T$ with filling rank at least $p$. This proves Theorem 1.2. Since $p \geq 2$ Theorem 1.1 follows from 5.5.

\[\text{Theorem 5.6} \]
Suppose that $Y$ is a compact, connected, orientable 3–manifold with boundary a torus $T$. Suppose that $G$ is a finite group and $\theta: \pi_1 Y \to G$ is an epimorphism and set $H = \theta(\pi_1 T)$. Let $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the cover corresponding to $G$. Suppose that $\det M^{\text{sym}}(G, H)$ has a root which is a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[\{Y_s : s \in S\}]$ of degree one and multiplicity $m$. Then there is a slope $\alpha \subset T$ such that fillrank($\alpha, \tilde{Y}$) $\geq m$.

\[\text{Theorem 5.7} \]
Suppose $Y$ is a compact, orientable 3–manifold with torus boundary and $\tilde{Y}$ is a regular cover. Then there is a basis of $H_2(\tilde{Y}, \partial \tilde{Y}, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of elements whose boundaries have constant slope (possibly real rather than rational).

\[\text{Proof} \]
Since the boundary matrix is symmetric there is a basis of eigenvectors. By 3.5 an eigenvector gives a 2–chain with constant slope.

5.1 An example

For $p > 3$ the group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is simple, however $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is the semi-direct product $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$. By Riley, [9], the fundamental group of the figure-8 knot complement surjects $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ with the peripheral subgroup mapping onto $H$. Now we claim that there is only one $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ cover of the figure-8 knot complement such that the peripheral group maps to $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Let $\Gamma$ be the fundamental group of the figure-8 knot complement. Then a surjection $\Gamma \to (\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ induces a surjection $\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_3$. This map factors through the abelianization, so it corresponds to the unique 3–fold cyclic cover of the figure-8 knot complement. The first homology of this manifold is $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$. A map from the fundamental group of this manifold to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ factors through the abelianization, and is unique when the boundary torus lifts to the associated cover $\tilde{Y}$. Any filling of the figure-8 knot complement that lifts to the three-fold cyclic cover will lift to $\tilde{Y}$. The rational homology of $\tilde{Y}$ is carried by the boundary.

According to 1.5, there is a virtual homology slope of filling rank at least 3 for the associated regular cover. We claim that this slope is a meridian. Indeed, orbifold-filling along a (3,0) curve results in a Euclidean orbifold (Cooper, Hodgson and Kerckhoff [2]). The unique three-fold cyclic manifold cover of this is an orientable Euclidean manifold $Y$ with $H_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$. By the classification of such manifolds in Wolf...
$\pi_1(Y)$ is the group $G_6$, and this manifold has a unique $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ cover which is the 3–torus. This is an equivariant Dehn-filling of $\widehat{Y}$, where the filling slopes are pre-images of the meridian of the complement of the figure-8 knot. Therefore, this is a virtual homology slope of filling rank 3.
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