

Kervaire invariants and selfcoincidences

ULRICH KOSCHORKE
DUANE RANDALL

Minimum numbers decide, eg, whether a given map $f: S^m \rightarrow S^n/G$ from a sphere into a spherical space form can be deformed to a map f' such that $f(x) \neq f'(x)$ for all $x \in S^m$. In this paper we compare minimum numbers to (geometrically defined) Nielsen numbers (which are more computable). In the stable dimension range these numbers coincide. But already in the first nonstable range (when $m = 2n - 2$) the Kervaire invariant appears as a decisive additional obstruction which detects interesting geometric coincidence phenomena. Similar results (involving, eg, Hopf invariants taken mod 4) are obtained in the next seven dimension ranges (when $1 < m - 2n + 3 \leq 8$). The selfcoincidence context yields also a precise geometric criterion for the open question whether the Kervaire invariant vanishes on the 126-stem or not.

55M20, 55P40, 55Q15, 55Q25, 57R99; 55Q45

1 Introduction and statement of results

Given two (continuous) maps $f_1, f_2: M \rightarrow N$ between polyhedra, we are interested in somehow measuring the size of the coincidence subspace

$$(1-1) \quad C(f_1, f_2) := \{x \in M \mid f_1(x) = f_2(x)\}$$

of M , and in capturing mainly those “essential” features that remain preserved by homotopies $f_i \sim f'_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus the central problem of topological coincidence theory is to determine the minimum numbers

$$(1-2) \quad MC(f_1, f_2) := \min\{\#C(f'_1, f'_2) \mid f'_1 \sim f_1, f'_2 \sim f_2\}$$

and

$$(1-3) \quad MCC(f_1, f_2) := \min\{\#\pi_0(C(f'_1, f'_2)) \mid f'_1 \sim f_1, f'_2 \sim f_2\}$$

of coincidence points and of coincidence path components, respectively, within given pairs of homotopy classes (cf Koschorke [23]; compare also with similar notions in topological fixed point theory, eg in Brown [8, page 9]).

The special case where these minimum numbers vanish is of particular interest.

Definition 1.4 We call the pair (f_1, f_2) of maps *loose* if there are homotopies $f_1 \sim f'_1$, $f_2 \sim f'_2$ such that $f'_1(x) \neq f'_2(x)$ for all $x \in M$ (ie, f_1, f_2 can be “deformed away” from one another).

When M and N are smooth manifolds and M is closed (and hence $MCC(f_1, f_2)$ — but not necessarily $MC(f_1, f_2)$ — is finite) there is a strong lower bound for both minimum numbers at our disposal, namely the Nielsen number $N^\#(f_1, f_2)$ (cf Koschorke [21] or [23]). It is a nonnegative integer that depends only on the homotopy classes of f_1 and f_2 and can be extracted from a careful geometric analysis of just one generic pair of representing maps.

Question I When is $MCC(f_1, f_2)$ different from its lower bound $N^\#(f_1, f_2)$?

In this paper we study the very special case $M = S^m$, $N =$ spherical space form (ie, $N = S^n/G$ where the finite group G acts smoothly and freely on the sphere S^n).

Theorem 1.5 Consider two maps $f_1, f_2: S^m \rightarrow S^n/G$, $m, n \geq 1$. If $MCC(f_1, f_2) \neq N^\#(f_1, f_2)$, then $f_1 \sim f_2$ (ie, f_1, f_2 are homotopic).

Since the minimum and Nielsen numbers depend only on the homotopy classes, it suffices to consider the selfcoincidence case $f_1 = f_2 =: f$ in order to get interesting answers to our **Question I**. (We may even assume that f preserves base points.)

As a special feature of the selfcoincidence setting we have the following refinement of **Definition 1.4** (introduced by Dold and Gonçalves; cf [11, page 296]).

Definition 1.6 Given a map $f: M \rightarrow N$ between manifolds, put $f_1 = f_2 =: f$. The pair $(f_1, f_2) = (f, f)$ is *loose by small deformation* if and only if for every metric on N and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an ϵ -approximation f' of f such that $f'(x) \neq f(x)$ for all $x \in S^m$.

Question II When is (f, f) loose, but not by small deformation?

In our special case $M = S^m$, $N = S^n/G$ (compare **Theorem 1.5**) **Question II** turns out to be very closely related to our **Question I** above. In order to see that, consider the (horizontal) exact homotopy sequence

(1-7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \pi_m(V_{n+1,2}) & \rightarrow & \pi_m(S^n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}_*} \pi_{m-1}(V_{n+1,2}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & E := \text{suspension} \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \pi_m(S^n) \end{array}$$

of the Stiefel manifold $V_{n+1,2} = ST(S^n)$ of unit tangent vectors of S^n , fibered over S^n .

Definition 1.8 We call the assumption

$$0 = \partial(\pi_m(S^n)) \cap \ker(E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n))$$

the *Wecken condition* for (m, n) (briefly: $\text{WeC}(m, n)$).

This condition holds at least if n is odd (and hence $\partial(\pi_m(S^n)) = 0$ due to the existence of a nowhere zero vector field on S^n) or in the “stable dimension range” $m < 2n - 2$ (where $\ker E = 0$) or if $m \leq n + 5$, $(m, n) \neq (11, 6)$ or if $n = 2 \leq m$.

The previous discussion motivates us to study the five geometric or homotopy theoretical conditions (i), . . . , (v) written down below. They are related to one another and to the Wecken condition [Definition 1.8](#) by the following result. (For proofs and further details see [Section 2](#) below and eg [23].)

Theorem 1.9 *Given a finite group G acting smoothly and freely on S^n , let $N = S^n/G$ be the resulting orbit space and consider any $[f] \in \pi_m(N)$, $m, n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\text{MC}(f, f) = \text{MCC}(f, f)$$

and these minimum numbers (as well as the Nielsen number $N^\#(f, f)$) can take only 0 and 1 as possible values.

Moreover, if $[f]$ lifts to some homotopy class $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_m(S^n)$ we have the following logical implications:

(i) $\partial([\tilde{f}]) \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$ vanishes

\Updownarrow

(ii) (f, f) is loose by small deformation

\Downarrow (\Updownarrow eg, if $G \neq 0$)

(iii) $\text{MCC}(f, f) = 0$; equivalently, (f, f) is loose (by any deformation)

\Downarrow (\Updownarrow eg, if $G \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$)

(iv) $N^\#(f, f) = 0$

\Updownarrow

(v) $E \circ \partial([\tilde{f}]) = 0$

In particular, the five conditions (i)–(v) are equivalent for all maps $f: S^m \rightarrow N$ if and only if the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(m, n)$ holds (cf [Definition 1.8](#)) (and in this case $\text{MC}(f, f) = \text{MCC}(f, f) = N^\#(f, f)$ for all f).

In view of this theorem we may say that $N^\#(f, f)$ is “at most one desuspension short” of being a complete looseness obstruction. Note also that

$$\text{MC}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) = \text{MCC}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) = N^\#(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) = N^\#(f, f).$$

The following equivalent version of conditions (iv) and (v) above is sometimes more suitable for computations.

Corollary 1.10 *Let $n \geq 2$ be even. In the setting of Theorem 1.9 we have: $N^\#(f, f)$ (or, equivalently, $N^\#(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})$) vanishes if and only if*

$$(vi) \quad 2[\tilde{f}] = [\iota_n, \iota_n] \circ h_0(\tilde{f}).$$

Here $h_0: \pi_m(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^{2n-1})$ denotes the 0th Hopf–Hilton homomorphism (cf Whitehead [28, Chapter XI, 8.3]). (If $m \leq 3n - 3$, then condition (vi) takes also the form

$$(vi') \quad 2[\tilde{f}] = [\iota_n, E^{-n+1}(h_0(\tilde{f}))]$$

where E^{-n+1} denotes the inverse of the iterated suspension isomorphism E^{-n-1} .)

Now assume that $E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n)$ is surjective. Then

$$N^\#(f, f) = N^\#(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2[\tilde{f}] = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume in addition that $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ with generator v . Then the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(m, n)$ fails if and only if

$$v \in (\iota_{n-1} + \iota_{n-1})_*(\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})).$$

If also $(\iota_{n-1} + \iota_{n-1})_* \equiv 2 \cdot \text{id}_{\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})}$ then $\partial([\tilde{f}]) = 2 \cdot E^{-1}([\tilde{f}])$ is obtained by multiplying any desuspension of $[\tilde{f}]$ with 2; moreover, $\text{WeC}(m, n)$ fails precisely when v can be halved, ie, $v = 2u$ for some $u \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$.

When can failures of the Wecken condition occur, and which geometric consequences do they have? It turns out that they are directly related to our Questions I and II.

Corollary 1.11 *Assume that G is nontrivial. Then, given $[f] \in \pi_m(S^n/G)$ and a lifting $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_m(S^n)$ of $[f]$, $m, n \geq 1$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\partial([\tilde{f}]) \neq 0$ but $E \circ \partial([\tilde{f}]) = 0$
- (ii) $\text{MCC}(f, f) \neq N^\#(f, f)$
- (iii) $N^\#(f, f) = 0$ but f is coincidence producing (ie, the pair (f, f') cannot be loose for any map $f': S^m \rightarrow N$; thus $\text{MCC}(f, f') \neq 0$)

- (iv) $MCC(f, f) > MCC(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})$
- (v) $MC(f, f) > MC(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})$
- (vi) (\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) is loose, but (f, f) is not loose
- (vii) (\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) is loose, but not by small deformation

None of these conditions can hold when $G \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (since then $\chi(N) \cdot \#G \neq \chi(S^n)$) or when $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or in the “stable dimension range” $m - 2n + 3 \leq 0$ where our suspension homomorphism

$$E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n)$$

(cf (1-7) and Definition 1.8) is injective by Freudenthal’s Theorem.

Definition 1.12 We call the integer

$$q := m - 2n + 3$$

the degree of nonstability of E .

In view of Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 1.11 let us take a closer look at the first few nonstable dimension settings $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ when n is even and $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and hence $N = S^n/G$ is the orbit space of a fixed point free involution on S^n (standard example: $N = \mathbb{R}P^n$).

Already in the first dimension setting outside of the stable range we encounter fascinating interrelations of coincidence theory with other, seemingly distant branches of topology.

Theorem 1.13 ($q = 1$) For all $[f] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2)$, as well as $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n)$ lifting $[f]$, n even, $n \geq 2$, we have:

$$N^\#(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2[\tilde{f}] = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $n \neq 2, 4, 8$, then $MCC(f, f) = 0$ precisely when $N^\#(f, f)$ and the Kervaire invariant $KI([\tilde{f}]) := KI(E^\infty([\tilde{f}]))$ of $[\tilde{f}]$ vanish. If $n = 2, 4, 8$ then $MCC(f, f) = N^\#(f, f)$.

The Wecken condition $WeC(2n-2, n)$ fails if and only if $n = 16, 32$ or 64 (or possibly 128); in these dimensions $[f], [\tilde{f}]$ have the seven equivalent properties (i), \dots , (vii) in Corollary 1.11 precisely if $[\tilde{f}]$ has order 2 and Kervaire invariant one.

Here we define the composite homomorphism $KI = KI \circ E^\infty$,

$$(1-14) \quad KI : \pi_{2n-2}(S^n) \xrightarrow[\cong]{E^\infty} \pi_{n-2}^s \xrightarrow{KI} \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

by the Kervaire invariant when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and by the trivial homomorphism otherwise; recall that the stable stem π_{n-2}^s can also be interpreted as the $(n - 2)^{\text{th}}$ framed bordism group.

Originally M Kervaire introduced his invariant in order to exhibit a triangulable closed manifold that does not admit any differentiable structure (cf [17]). Subsequently M Kervaire and J Milnor used it in their classification of exotic spheres (cf [18]; see also Milnor [25]). Then W Browder showed that, given $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n)$, $KI([\tilde{f}]) = 0$ whenever n is not a power of 2 (cf [6]). But for $n = 16, 32$ or 64 there exists an element $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n)$ such that $KI([\tilde{f}]) = 1$ and $2[\tilde{f}] = 0$ (“strong Kervaire invariant one conjecture”; cf Barratt, Jones and Mahowald [2, pages 10–11] and Cohen [9, page 1195]); eg Barratt, Jones and Mahowald [3] construct Kervaire invariant one elements in $\pi_{62}^s \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ (cf Kochman and Mahowald [19]). On the other hand, according to the spectacular recent results of M Hill, M Hopkins and D Ravenel $KI([\tilde{f}]) \equiv 0$ whenever $n > 128$ (cf [15]). Only the case $n = 128$ remains open. This open question turns out to be closely related to selfcoincidences.

Theorem 1.15 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists no Kervaire invariant one element in $\pi_{126}^s \cong \pi_{254}(S^{128})$ (in particular, $KI(E^{12}([h])) = 0$ for all $[h] \in \pi_{242}(S^{116})$).*
- (ii) *There exists a suspended element $[Eg]$ in $E(\pi_{240}(S^{115})) \subset \pi_{241}(S^{116})$ such that (Eg, Eg) is loose, but not loose by small deformation.*

Theorems 1.13 and 1.15 involving the Kervaire invariant will be proved in Section 4 below.

In the next nonstable dimension setting the Wecken condition fails in infinitely many exceptional dimension combinations. Recall that for n even the group $\pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ is the direct sum of its torsion subgroup and a copy of \mathbb{Z} generated by the Hopf maps when $n = 2, 4$ or 8 , and by $[\iota_n, \iota_n]$ otherwise.

Theorem 1.16 ($q = 2$) *Consider any $[f] \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ lifting $[f]$.*

If $n \neq 4, 8$, n even, then

$$N^\#(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the torsion part of } [\tilde{f}] \text{ has order } \leq 2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n = 2$, then $\text{MCC}(f, f) = N^\#(f, f)$.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 6$, the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(2n - 1, n)$ does not hold; moreover, $\text{MCC}(f, f) = 0$ precisely when $N^\#(f, f) = 0$ and the Hopf invariant $H(\tilde{f})$ of \tilde{f} is divisible by 4; in particular, $[f]$ and $[\tilde{f}]$ satisfy the seven equivalent conditions (i), ..., (vii) in [Corollary 1.11](#) precisely when the torsion part of $[\tilde{f}]$ has order ≤ 2 and $H(\tilde{f}) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

This and the following theorem will be proved in [Section 5](#) below.

Theorem 1.17 ($q = 3$) Consider any $[f] \in \pi_{2n}(S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n}(S^n)$ lifting $[f]$.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n = 2$ or $n = 6$, then $\ker E = 0$ and

$$\text{MCC}(f, f) = N^\#(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2[\tilde{f}] = [\iota_n, \iota_n] \circ h_0(\tilde{f}), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Here h_0 denotes the 0th Hopf–Hilton invariant as in [Corollary 1.10\(vi\)](#)).

Now assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 10$. Then E is surjective with kernel $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, generated by $[\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}^2] \in 4 \cdot \pi_{2n-1}(S^{n-1})$; in particular a desuspension $E^{-1}([\tilde{f}]) \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^{n-1})$ of $[\tilde{f}]$ exists, and $2 \cdot E^{-1}([\tilde{f}])$ is well-defined. Moreover the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(2n, n)$ fails to hold and we have

$$N^\#(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2[\tilde{f}] = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{MCC}(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \cdot E^{-1}([\tilde{f}]) = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $\text{MCC}(f, f) \neq N^\#(f, f)$ if and only if $2[\tilde{f}] = 0$ but $2 \cdot E^{-1}([\tilde{f}]) = [\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}^2]$ (compare [Corollary 1.10](#)).

Further results concerning the Wecken condition in the first 8 nonstable dimension settings can be found in [Table 1](#) below. They are based on complete injectivity and surjectivity criteria for E (extracted from the literature and listed in the first two columns of [Table 1](#)) as well as from the following proposition.

Proposition 1.18 Assume $q \leq 8$ (cf [Definition 1.12](#)) and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $n \geq 2$. Then either $\ker E = 0$ (and therefore the Wecken condition holds) or $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (and nearly always generated by a suitable Whitehead product).

m	E is injective iff	E is onto iff	The Wecken condition WeC(m, n) fails to hold
$m \leq 2n - 3$ ($q \leq 0$)	always	always	never
$m = 2n - 2$ ($q = 1$)	$n = 2, 4, 8$	always	iff $n = 16, 32$ or 64 (or possibly 128)
$m = 2n - 1$ ($q = 2$)	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n = 2$	never	iff $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 6$
$m = 2n$ ($q = 3$)	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n = 2, 6$	$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 6$	iff $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 10$
$m = 2n + 1$ ($q = 4$)	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ or $n = 4$ or $n = 2^i - 2$ for $i \geq 2$	$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 10$	eg if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 10$ and $n \neq 2^i - 2$ for $i \geq 4$ and [l_{n-1}, v_{n-1}] can be halved
$m = 2n + 2$ ($q = 5$)	always	never	never
$m = 2n + 3$ ($q = 6$)	always	$n \geq 4$	never
$m = 2n + 4$ ($q = 7$)	$n \equiv 6, 8 \pmod{8}$ or $n = 2^i - 4$ for $i \geq 3$ or $n = 2$	$n \geq 4$	iff $n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{8}$ and $n \geq 10$ and $n \neq 2^i - 4$ for $i \geq 4$ and [l_{n-1}, v_{n-1}^2] can be halved
$m = 2n + 5$ ($q = 8$)	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ or $n = 2, 4, 8, 12$	$n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{8}$ and $n \neq 2^i - 4$ for $i \geq 4$ and $n \geq 10$	eg if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ and $n \geq 14$ and [l_{n-1}, σ_{n-1}] can be halved

Table 1: The suspension homomorphism $E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n)$ and the Wecken condition for $m, n \geq 2$, n even. (We adopt the notation of [12]; eg η_2, ν_4, σ_8 denote the Hopf maps).

This follows from the work of many authors, collected and completed by M Golasinski and J Mukai in [12]. Actually these sources allow us also to decide which of the two alternatives hold.

Example 1.19 ($q = 6$) If $n \geq 2$ is even and a discrete group G acts freely and smoothly on S^n , then we have for every map $f: S^{2n+3} \rightarrow S^n/G$

$$\text{MC}(f, f) = \text{MCC}(f, f) = N^\#(f, f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2[f] = 0 \text{ (eg if } n = 2, 6 \text{ or } 14), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is mainly due to the fact that the stable homotopy groups π_4^s and π_5^s vanish.

2 Minimum numbers and Nielsen numbers

Minimum numbers and four types of Nielsen numbers (agreeing with the classical notions in the setting of fixed point theory) were discussed in great detail in the survey paper [23], and so were various “Wecken theorems” specifying conditions where a minimum number equals a Nielsen number. In particular, proofs of the results [Theorem 1.5](#), [Theorem 1.9](#) and [Corollary 1.11](#) were indicated in [23], sometimes in the more general context of maps from S^m into an arbitrary smooth connected n -dimensional manifold N (for more background see Koschorke [20]–[22]; see also Crabb [10] for some work on related questions).

It is not surprising that the boundary homomorphism

$$\partial_N: \pi_m(N) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$$

of the homotopy exact sequence of the tangent sphere bundle $ST(N)$, fibered over N , plays an important role. So let us describe it geometrically.

Decompose S^m into half-spheres S_+^m and S_-^m , and consider the pullback $\eta = f^*(ST(N))$ of the tangent sphere bundle of N by $f: S^m \rightarrow N$. Choose a section s of $\eta|_{S_-^m}$ and use a (suitably orientation preserving) trivialization

$$\eta|_{S_+^m} \cong S_+^m \times S^{n-1}$$

to interpret $s|_{S_{m-1}}$ as an “index map” into the fibre S^{n-1} . The resulting homotopy class agrees with $\partial_N[f]$.

Often it seems difficult to compute ∂_N , even when $N = S^n$ (and hence $\partial_N = \partial$, cf (1-7)). However, here is a well known partial result.

Lemma 2.1 *The composite homomorphism*

$$\partial \circ E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$$

is induced by the self map of S^{n-1} having degree $\chi(S^n) = 1 + (-1)^n$.

Proof If f is the suspension of some map $f': S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ in the geometric description of $\partial_N(f)$ above, the decompositions of S^m and S^n into the half-spheres are preserved. Thus s can be chosen to be the pullback of a vector field on S^n with index $\chi(S^n)$. □

This gives no new insights when n is odd and hence $\partial \equiv 0$ on the whole group $\pi_m(S^n)$ (and not just on $E(\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}))$), due to a nowhere vanishing vector field on S^n and the resulting splitting of (1-7).

If n is even and $\alpha \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$ then according to Theorem 8.9 in [28, chapter XI], we have

$$(2-2) \quad \partial \circ E(\alpha) = (2 \cdot \iota_{n-1}) \circ \alpha = 2\alpha + [\iota_{n-1}, \iota_{n-1}] \circ h_0(\alpha) - [[\iota_{n-1}, \iota_{n-1}], \iota_{n-1}] \circ h_1(\alpha)$$

where h_0 and h_1 denote Hopf–Hilton homomorphisms. (The last term to the right vanishes trivially when $m \leq 3n-5$, ie, $n \geq q+2$, since then $h_1(\alpha) \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{3n-5}) = 0$.)

Proof of Corollary 1.10 We apply Theorem 1.9 to the case when G is trivial and conclude that condition (v) is equivalent to (\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) being loose. But this means that \tilde{f} is homotopic to its composite with the antipodal map on S^n or, equivalently, that $[\tilde{f}] = (-\iota_n) \circ [\tilde{f}]$ (cf [11, 2.10]). Thus Corollary 1.10 follows from [28, chapter XI, 8.12 and chapter XII, 2.4-2.5], as well as from Lemma 2.1 above. □

3 Whitehead products and suspensions

Since we are looking for interesting answers to our Questions I and II we assume from now on that n is even. Let us first discuss the claims in Table 1 concerning the kernel and cokernel of $E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n)$. They are based on work of Adams, Barcus, Barratt, Freudenthal, Golasiński, Hilton, Hoo, James, Kristensen, Madsen, Mahowald, Mimura, Mori, Mukai, Oda, Oshima, Serre, Thomeier, Toda, Whitehead and others, as summarized in [12] and quoted below.

Using the notation of [12] we write $\eta_2 \in \pi_3(S^2)$, $\nu_4 \in \pi_7(S^4)$ and $\sigma_8 \in \pi_{15}(S^8)$ for the Hopf maps. Suspend and/or compose them to obtain the elements

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_j &= [\text{identity map}] \in \pi_j(S^j), j \geq 1, \\ \eta_j &\in \pi_{j+1}(S^j) \text{ and } \eta_j^2 = \eta_j \circ \eta_{j+1} \in \pi_{j+2}(S^j), j \geq 2, \\ \nu_j &\in \pi_{j+3}(S^j) \text{ and } \nu_j^2 = \nu_j \circ \nu_{j+3} \in \pi_{j+6}(S^j), j \geq 4, \\ \sigma_j &\in \pi_{j+7}(S^j), j \geq 8. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Hopf-invariant-one result of Adams [1] and to [12, Section 2] we have:

$$(3-2) \quad \#[\iota_j, \iota_j] = \left. \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1, 3 \text{ or } 7, \\ 2 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd, } j \neq 1, 3, 7, \\ \infty & \text{if } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \right\} (j \geq 1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (3-3) \quad [\iota_j, \eta_j] &= 0 \text{ if and only if } j \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ or } j = 2, 6. \\ (3-4) \quad [\iota_j, \eta_j^2] &= 0 \text{ if and only if } j \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \text{ or } j = 5. \end{aligned} \right\} (j \geq 2)$$

$$(3-5) \quad \#\{\iota_j, \nu_j\} = \left. \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \text{ or } j = 2^i - 3 \text{ for } i \geq 3; \\ 2 & \text{if } j \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{8} \geq 9 \text{ and } j \neq 2^i - 3; \\ 12 & \text{if } j \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \geq 6 \text{ or } j = 4, 12; \\ 24 & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \geq 8 \text{ unless } j = 12. \end{cases} \right\} (j \geq 4)$$

$$(3-6) \quad [\iota_j, \nu_j^2] = 0 \text{ if and only if } j \equiv 4, 5, 7 \pmod{8} \text{ or } j = 2^i - 5 \text{ for } i \geq 4.$$

$$(3-7) \quad \#\{\iota_j, \sigma_j\} = \left. \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 11 \text{ or } j \equiv 15 \pmod{16}, \\ 2 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd and } j \geq 9 \text{ unless } j = 11 \text{ or } j \equiv 15 \pmod{16}, \\ 120 & \text{if } j = 8, \\ 240 & \text{if } j \text{ is even and } j \geq 10. \end{cases} \right\} (j \geq 8)$$

Here # denotes the order of a group element.

Now assume that $m \leq 3n - 5$ and consider the exact sequence

$$(3-8) \quad \pi_{m-n+1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{[\iota_{n-1}, -]} \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_m(S^n) \xrightarrow{E^{-n} \circ H} \pi_{m-n}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{[\iota_{n-1}, -]} \dots$$

derived from the EHP–sequence (cf [28, XII, 2.3 and 2.5]). The group $\pi_{m-n+1}(S^{n-1})$ is stable (ie, isomorphic to π_{q-1}^S , cf Definition 1.12) and generated by one of the homotopy classes listed in (3-1) if $1 \leq q \leq 8$, $q \neq 5, 6$. Thus the Whitehead product of ι_{n-1} with this generator vanishes precisely if the subsequent suspension homomorphism E in (3-8) is injective.

Similarly E is onto if and only if $E^{-n} \circ H \equiv 0$, ie, the generator of $\pi_{m-n}(S^{n-1})$ has the same order as its Whitehead product with ι_{n-1} . The claims in the first two columns of Table 1 now follow from (3-1)–(3-7).

The remaining injectivity and surjectivity claims in the finitely many dimension combinations where $m > 3n - 5$ and $q = m - 2n + 3 \leq 8$ can be established by using Toda’s explicit calculations in chapter V of [27] or the 2–primary sequence in [27, Chapter IV, (4.4)]. Indeed, since we assume n to be even, $\ker E$ has no odd torsion (by Serre’s Theorem, cf [27, 13.1]). □

These techniques yield also a proof of Proposition 1.18.

Example 3.9 If $q \leq 8$ and $n = 4$, then $\ker E = 0$.

Clearly $E: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_m(S^n)$ is also injective whenever $n = 2 \leq m$.

4 Kervaire invariants

In this section we discuss Theorems 1.13 and 1.15, which deal with the first nonstable dimension setting $m = 2n - 2$, n even, $n \geq 2$. The role that the Kervaire invariant plays for selfcoincidence questions was first noted in Gonçalves and Randall [13; 14].

First we prove Theorem 1.13, using Proposition 1.18. We may assume that $n \neq 2, 4, 8$ (since E is an isomorphism otherwise). Then the EHP–sequence (cf (3-8)) yields the short exact sequence

$$(4-1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \pi_{2n-3}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{2n-2}(S^n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by

$$(4-2) \quad w_{n-1} = [\iota_{n-1}, \iota_{n-1}].$$

Moreover $\partial \circ E \equiv 2 \cdot \text{id}$ on $\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) = E(\pi_{m-2}(S^{n-2}))$ and hence $\partial \equiv 2 \cdot E^{-1}$ (by (2-2)). Therefore the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(2n-2, n)$ fails if and only if there is some $\alpha \in \pi_{2n-3}(S^{n-1})$ such that $2\alpha = w_{n-1}$, ie, w_{n-1} can be halved. But this is equivalent to the existence of a Kervaire invariant one element $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n) \cong \pi_{n-2}^s$ having order 2 (cf [7]) which is known to exist, eg, for $n = 16, 32$ and 64 (cf [2, page 11] and [9, page 1195]). In fact, for all order 2 Kervaire invariant one elements $[\tilde{f}]$ we know that $\partial([\tilde{f}]) = 2 \cdot E^{-1}([\tilde{f}]) = w_{n-1}$ (cf [2, page 11]); hence the homomorphisms ∂ and KI agree on all $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n-2}(S^n)$ such that $2[\tilde{f}]$ or equivalently, $N^\#(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})$ vanish. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.15 Toda [27] denotes the standard $\text{im } J$ generator for the 2–primary component \mathbb{Z}_8 of the stable 11–stem \mathbb{Z}_{504} by ζ . Now, [24, Theorem 3.1] affirms that the vanishing of the Whitehead product $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}]$ in $\pi_{240}(S^{115})$ is the complete obstruction to the existence of θ_6 . If $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] = 0$, any map $h: S^{242} \rightarrow S^{116}$ with Hopf invariant ζ_{231} has the property that $KI([E^{12}h]) = 1$ by [4, Theorem A].

We assume now that condition (i) holds, ie, $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] \neq 0$, and shall derive (ii). We shall deduce that $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] \in 8\pi_{240}(S^{115})$ from Nomura’s tables in [26, page 164] (also see [24, (3.2)]). With respect to the Stiefel fibering

$$S^{115} \xrightarrow{i} V_{128,13} \rightarrow V_{128,12},$$

Nomura shows that $i_*(\zeta_{115}) = 8i_8s_5\sigma_{119} \in \pi_{126}(V_{128,13})$. Consider the J –morphism $J: \text{SO}(115) \rightarrow SF(115) = \Omega^{115}S^{115}$. Since the boundary morphism

$$\partial: \pi_{126}(V_{128,13}) \rightarrow \pi_{125}(\text{SO}(115))$$

is an isomorphism in the fibration $SO(115) \rightarrow SO(128) \rightarrow V_{128,13}$, the image of $i_*(\zeta_{115})$ under the morphisms

$$\pi_{126}(V_{128,13}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} \pi_{125}(SO(115)) \longrightarrow \pi_{125}(SF(115))$$

is represented by

$$S^{125} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{114}} S^{114} \xrightarrow{T(S^{115})} SO(115) \xrightarrow{J} SF(115).$$

The adjoint of the above map represents $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}]$. Thus nontriviality of $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}]$ yields $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] = 8\alpha$ for some class $\alpha \in \pi_{240}(S^{115})$. Let $g: S^{240} \rightarrow S^{115}$ represent 4α . Then $[Eg]$ has order 2 so (Eg, Eg) is loose. Note that $h_0([g]) = h_0(4\alpha) = 4h_0(\alpha) = 0$ in $\pi_{240}(S^{229})$ by [28, Chapter XI, Theorem 8.6]. Now in the Stiefel fibering $V_{117,2} \rightarrow S^{116}$,

$$\partial([Eg]) = (2\iota_{115}) \circ [g] = 2[g] + [\iota_{115}, \iota_{115}]h_0([g]) = 2[g] = [\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}].$$

Consequently, (Eg, Eg) is not loose by small deformation.

We now assume that condition (ii) holds and shall deduce that $[\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] \neq 0$ so condition (i) must hold. By hypothesis, for some map $g: S^{240} \rightarrow S^{115}$, (Eg, Eg) is loose, but not loose by small deformation. That is, $\partial([Eg]) \neq 0$ in $\pi_{240}(S^{115})$ in the Stiefel fibering $S^{115} \rightarrow V_{117,2} \rightarrow S^{116}$, but $E(\partial([Eg])) = 0$ in $\pi_{241}(S^{116})$. Now (Eg, Eg) is loose means $(-\iota_{116}) \circ [Eg] = -[Eg] = [Eg]$ so $2[Eg] = 0$. So $P: \pi_{242}(S^{231}) \rightarrow \pi_{240}(S^{115})$ must be nontrivial on the 2–primary component of $\pi_{242}(S^{231})$ by exactness of the EHP–sequence. Consequently, $P(\zeta_{231}) = [\zeta_{115}, \iota_{115}] \neq 0$. □

5 Criteria involving Hopf invariants

In this section we prove Theorems 1.16 and 1.17 as well as the claims in the third column of Table 1, applying Corollary 1.10 and Proposition 1.18, which were established in Sections 2 and 3. Again let n be even throughout.

Assume that $h_0: \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(S^{2n-3})$ vanishes and $\ker E$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 . If the generator v of $\ker E$ can be halved, ie, $v = 2\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$, then the Wecken condition $\text{WeC}(m, n)$ (cf Definition 1.8) fails; indeed

$$\partial(E(\alpha)) = 2\alpha + [\iota_{n-1}, \iota_{n-1}] \circ h_0(\alpha) = v$$

(cf (2-2)) is a nontrivial element of $\partial(\pi_m(S^n)) \cap \ker E$. When E is also onto, then $\partial(\pi_m(S^n)) = 2 \cdot \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$, and $\text{WeC}(m, n)$ fails precisely if v can be halved.

In the dimension range $q \leq 8$ we know when $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and when E is onto (cf Table 1 and Proposition 1.18). So let us take a closer look at h_0 .

Lemma 5.1 *Assume that $q \leq 8$ and $\ker E \neq 0$. Then $h_0(\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})) = 0$ except when $q = 4$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $n \geq 12$, or possibly also when $q = 8$ and $n = 6$ or 10 .*


Proof If $(q, n) \neq (8, 6), (8, 10)$ our assumptions imply that $n \geq q + 3$ or, equivalently, $m - 1 \leq 3(n - 1) - 4$ (cf the first column of Table 1); thus the piece

$$\pi_{m-2}(S^{n-2}) \xrightarrow{E'} \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E'^{1-n} \circ H'} \pi_{m-n}(S^{n-2}) \xrightarrow{[\iota_{n-2}, -]} \pi_{m-3}(S^{n-2})$$

of the EHP-sequence (for $m - 1, n - 1$ instead of m, n in (3-8)) is exact and $h_0 = \pm H'$ (cf [5, Theorem 4.18]) vanishes precisely if the generator γ_{n-2} of $\pi_{m-n}(S^{n-2})$ has the same order as its image $[\iota_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-2}]$. According to formulas (3-2)–(3-7) above this holds except when $q = 4$, $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $n > 4$ (ie, except when $\#[\iota_{n-2}, \nu_{n-2}] = 12$ and $\ker E \neq 0$; anyway, note that E is not surjective in this case). \square

Now we are ready to establish the claims in the third column of Table 1. In all dimension combinations listed there, the nontrivial element $v \in \ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the indicated Whitehead product of ι_{n-1} with a generator of $\pi_{m-n+1}(S^{n-1})$ (compare (3-8)). Moreover it follows from Lemma 5.1 that $\partial \circ E \equiv 2 \cdot \text{id}_{\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})}$ (cf (2-2)). In view of the discussion above and of Corollary 1.10 our claims for $q \neq 2$ are reduced to the question whether v can be halved. When $q = 1$ this is just the “strong Kervaire invariant one problem” discussed eg in Theorem 1.13 and Section 4 above. The following proofs contain detailed answers also for $q = 2$ or 3 .

Proof of Theorem 1.16 We need to consider only the case $n \geq 4$, n even (since $\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}), \partial, E \circ \partial, \text{MCC}(f, f)$ and $N^\#(f, f)$ all vanish for $n = 2$). We have the exact sequence

$$(5-2) \quad \ker E \subset \pi_{2n-2}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{2n-1}(S^n) \xrightarrow{H \neq 0} \mathbb{Z},$$


where the kernel of E is generated by $v_{n-1} := [\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}]$ (cf (3-8)). Clearly $\ker H = E(\pi_{2n-2}(S^{n-1}))$ is just the torsion subgroup of $\pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$. Moreover

$$(5-3) \quad \partial([\iota_n, \iota_n]) = v_{n-1}$$

(cf [16, (7.4)]).

If $n \neq 4, 8$, we can write

$$[\tilde{f}] = E\alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}H([\tilde{f}])\right) \cdot [\iota_n, \iota_n];$$

then

$$(5-4) \quad \partial([\tilde{f}]) = 2\alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}H([\tilde{f}])\right)v_{n-1}$$

(cf (2-2) and Lemma 5.1); hence $E \circ \partial([\tilde{f}]) = 2E(\alpha)$. This implies the first claim in Theorem 1.16 (cf Theorem 1.9).

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, E is injective (cf Table 1); hence the Wecken condition holds.

Thus assume $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 6$. Then $\ker E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (cf Table 1) and v_{n-1} is a nontrivial element of $\ker E \cap \partial(\pi_{2n-1}(S^n))$ (cf (5-3)). Therefore the Wecken condition fails (cf Definition 1.8). Moreover v_{n-1} cannot be halved, ie, $v_{n-1} \notin 2\pi_{2n-2}(S^{n-1})$ (cf [16, 5.2]). Thus $\partial([\tilde{f}]) = 0$ if and only if $2\alpha = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}H([\tilde{f}])$ is even (cf (5-4)); in turn, $2\alpha = 0$ precisely if $2E(\alpha) = E \circ \partial([\tilde{f}])$ or, equivalently, $N^\#(f, f)$ vanishes. In view of Theorem 1.9 this completes the proof. \square

For early examples illustrating Theorem 1.16; see [13, Section 4].

Let us note one interesting aspect of the previous discussion. The question of whether the generator v of $\ker E$ can be halved (ie, lies in $2\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$) plays crucial but different roles in the first two nonstable dimension settings. If $q = 1$ the Wecken condition fails and an additional looseness obstruction (the Kervaire invariant) is needed precisely when v is both nontrivial and halvable. In contrast, if $q = 2$ the Wecken condition fails when only $v \neq 0$; then the Hopf invariant, taken mod 4, appears as the extra looseness obstruction (in addition to $N^\#$) because v cannot be halved.

Proof of Theorem 1.17 Now assume $q = 3$. Then such halvability considerations play no role. Indeed, $v = [\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}^2]$ is even divisible by 4. Eg if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 10$, then $v = 4\alpha$ for a certain element $\alpha = J(i_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\bar{\tau}'_{n-2})$ of $\pi_{2n-1}(S^{n-1})$ (cf [12], lines 10–13 of the introduction, together with formula (4.5)). Theorem 1.17 follows now from our results Theorem 1.9, Corollary 1.10, Proposition 1.18, Lemma 5.1 and the injectivity and surjectivity criteria in Table 1. \square

Example 5.5 ($n = 2$ or $n = 6$) Here the pair (f, f) is loose by small deformation for all maps $f: S^{2n} \rightarrow S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Indeed, $\pi_{2n}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and hence $2[\tilde{f}] = 0$. If $n = 2$ and β denotes the homotopy class of the Hopf map, then $[\iota_n, \iota_n] = \beta + \beta$, and $h_0([\tilde{f}]) \in \pi_4(S^3) = E(\pi_3(S^2))$; thus $[\iota_n, \iota_n] \circ h_0(\tilde{f}) = 2(\beta \circ h_0(\tilde{f}))$ vanishes also. (As an alternative we may use Theorem 1.9 and the fact that $\partial([\tilde{f}])$ lies in $\pi_3(S^1) = 0$.)

If $n = 6$, then $[\iota_n, \iota_n] \circ h_0(\tilde{f}) = 0$ by the following more general argument. Given $n \geq 3$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_2 \cdot \eta_n = \pi_{n+1}(S^n) & \xrightarrow{[\iota_n, -]} & \pi_{2n}(S^n) \\ \cong \downarrow E^{n-1} & & \uparrow \\ \pi_{2n}(S^{2n-1}) & \xrightarrow{[\iota_n, \iota_n]_*} & \pi_{2n}(S^n) \end{array}$$

commutes (cf [28, Chapter XII, (2.4) and (2.5)]). Thus the induced homomorphism $[\iota_n, \iota_n]_*$ is injective precisely when $[\iota_n, \eta_n] \neq 0$, ie, $n \neq 6$ and $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (cf (3.3)). In particular, given any element $[\tilde{f}] \in \pi_{2n}(S^n)$, we obtain also:

Corollary 5.6 *When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $2[\tilde{f}] = 0$ (eg when $n \leq 16$, cf [27]) then (\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) is loose if and only if $h_0([\tilde{f}]) \in \pi_{2n}(S^{2n-1})$ vanishes.*

Remark 5.7 It is important to notice that the Hopf homomorphisms h_0 appearing in Corollary 1.10, Theorem 1.17 and Corollary 5.6 on the one hand, and in (2-2) and Lemma 5.1 on the other hand, are defined in different dimension settings. Since the parity of j plays a big role in formulas (3-2)–(3-7), $h_0(\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}))$ may often vanish (eg as in Lemma 5.1) while $h_0(\pi_m(S^n))$ need not be trivial. Eg the Hopf invariant h_0 occurring in Corollary 5.6 above is never identically zero: $h_0(\pi_{2n}(S^n)) \neq 0$ whenever $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ (since E is not onto in this case).

Acknowledgements

Both authors gratefully acknowledge the support by the “Research in Pairs” program of the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach as well as by DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) and by a Distinguished Professorship at Loyola University.

References

- [1] JF Adams, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960) 20–104 MR0141119
- [2] MG Barratt, JDS Jones, ME Mahowald, *The Kervaire invariant problem*, from: “Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference”, (HR Miller, SB Priddy, editors), Contemp. Math. 19, Amer. Math. Soc. (1983) 9–22 MR711039
- [3] MG Barratt, JDS Jones, ME Mahowald, *Relations amongst Toda brackets and the Kervaire invariant in dimension 62*, J. London Math. Soc. 30 (1984) 533–550 MR810962

- [4] **M G Barratt, JDS Jones, ME Mahowald**, *The Kervaire invariant and the Hopf invariant*, from: “Algebraic topology”, (HR Miller, DC Ravenel, editors), Lecture Notes in Math. 1286, Springer, Berlin (1987) 135–173 [MR922925](#)
- [5] **J M Boardman, B Steer**, *On Hopf invariants*, Comment. Math. Helv. 42 (1967) 180–221 [MR0221503](#)
- [6] **W Browder**, *The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalization*, Ann. of Math. 90 (1969) 157–186 [MR0251736](#)
- [7] **E H Brown, Jr, F P Peterson**, *Whitehead products and cohomology operations*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 15 (1964) 116–120 [MR0161341](#)
- [8] **RF Brown**, *Wecken properties for manifolds*, from: “Nielsen theory and dynamical systems”, (CK McCord, editor), Contemp. Math. 152, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1993) 9–21 [MR1243467](#)
- [9] **FR Cohen**, *Fibration and product decompositions in nonstable homotopy theory*, from: “Handbook of algebraic topology”, (IM James, editor), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995) 1175–1208 [MR1361909](#)
- [10] **MC Crabb**, *The homotopy coincidence index*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 7 (2010) 1–32 [MR2652509](#)
- [11] **A Dold, DL Gonçalves**, *Self-coincidence of fibre maps*, Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005) 291–307 [MR2147735](#)
- [12] **M Golasiński, J Mukai**, *Gottlieb groups of spheres*, Topology 47 (2008) 399–430 [MR2427733](#)
- [13] **DL Gonçalves, D Randall**, *Self-coincidence of maps from S^q -bundles over S^n to S^n* , Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 10 (2004) 181–192 [MR2199347](#)
- [14] **D Gonçalves, D Randall**, *Self-coincidence of mappings between spheres and the strong Kervaire invariant one problem*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342 (2006) 511–513 [MR2214606](#)
- [15] **M Hill, M Hopkins, D Ravenel**, *On the non-existence of elements of Kervaire invariant one* (2010) [arXiv:0908.37254v2](#)
- [16] **IM James**, *Products on spheres*, Mathematika 6 (1959) 1–13 [MR0148067](#)
- [17] **MA Kervaire**, *A manifold which does not admit any differentiable structure*, Comment. Math. Helv. 34 (1960) 257–270 [MR0139172](#)
- [18] **MA Kervaire, J W Milnor**, *Groups of homotopy spheres, I*, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963) 504–537 [MR0148075](#)
- [19] **SO Kochman, ME Mahowald**, *On the computation of stable stems*, from: “The Čech centennial”, (M Cenk, H Miller, editors), Contemp. Math. 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1995) 299–316 [MR1320997](#)

- [20] **U Koschorke**, *Selfcoincidences in higher codimensions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 576 (2004) 1–10 [MR2099198](#)
- [21] **U Koschorke**, *Nonstabilized Nielsen coincidence invariants and Hopf–Ganea homomorphisms*, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006) 619–666 [MR2240900](#)
- [22] **U Koschorke**, *Minimizing coincidence numbers of maps into projective spaces*, from: “The Zieschang Gedenkschrift”, (M Boileau, M Scharlemann, R Weidmann, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 14, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry (2008) 373–391 [MR2484710](#)
- [23] **U Koschorke**, *Minimum numbers and Wecken theorems in topological coincidence theory, I*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 10 (2011) 3–36 [MR2825738](#)
- [24] **K Y Lam, D Randall**, *Block bundle obstruction to Kervaire invariant one*, from: “Recent developments in algebraic topology”, (A Ádem, J González, G Pastor, editors), Contemp. Math. 407, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006) 163–171 [MR2248977](#)
- [25] **J Milnor**, *Differential topology forty-six years later*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (2011) 804–809 [MR2839925](#)
- [26] **Y Nomura**, *Some homotopy groups of real Stiefel manifolds in the metastable range, V*, Sci. Rep. College Gen. Ed. Osaka Univ. 29 (1980) 159–183 [MR626477](#)
- [27] **H Toda**, *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 49, Princeton Univ. Press (1962) [MR0143217](#)
- [28] **G W Whitehead**, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 61, Springer, New York (1978) [MR516508](#)

UK: *FB 6 - Mathematik V, Universität Siegen*
Emmy Noether Campus, Walter-Flex-Str. 3, D-57068 Siegen, Germany

Loyola University New Orleans, Mathematical Sciences
6363 St. Charles Ave., Campus Box 35, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA

koschorke@mathematik.uni-siegen.de, randall@loyno.edu

<http://www.math.uni-siegen.de/topologie/>

Proposed: Shigeyuki Morita
 Seconded: Ralph Cohen, Steve Ferry

Received: 9 August 2011
 Revised: 9 August 2012