

The simplicial suspension sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy

ARAVIND ASOK
KIRSTEN WICKELGREN
BEN WILLIAMS

We study a version of the James model for the loop space of a suspension in unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. We use this model to establish an analog of G W Whitehead's classical refinement of the Freudenthal suspension theorem in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory: our result refines F Morel's \mathbb{A}^1 -simplicial suspension theorem. We then describe some E_1 -differentials in the EHP sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. These results are analogous to classical results of G W Whitehead. Using these tools, we deduce some new results about unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of motivic spheres, including the counterpart of a classical rational nonvanishing result.

14F42, 19E15; 55Q15, 55Q20, 55Q25

1 Introduction

If K is an $(n-1)$ -connected pointed CW complex, then the suspension map

$$E: \pi_q(K) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma K)$$

fits into a long exact sequence of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{3n-2}(K) &\xrightarrow{E} \pi_{3n-1}(\Sigma K) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{3n-1}(\Sigma K^{\wedge 2}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{3n-3}(K) \xrightarrow{E} \cdots \\ \cdots &\longrightarrow \pi_q(K) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma K) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma K^{\wedge 2}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{q-1}(K) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Together with an elementary connectivity estimate for $\Sigma K^{\wedge 2}$, this exact sequence may be viewed as a refinement of the Freudenthal suspension theorem. The exact sequence above was first constructed by G W Whitehead [58, Theorem 1, page 211] if $K = S^n$ and by W D Barcus [9, Proposition 2.9] for K as above (see also Whitehead [59, Theorem XII.2.2, page 543] for a textbook treatment of the general statement).

The morphisms H and P appearing in the above exact sequence were also studied by Whitehead [57, Section 10] in great detail in the case where $K = S^n$. The morphism H is the Hopf invariant, and Whitehead linked the morphism P with Whitehead products. In more detail, begin by observing that the $(n+1)$ -fold suspension $E^{n+1}: \pi_{q-n}(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(S^{2n+1})$ is an isomorphism for $q < 3n - 1$. Define $P': \pi_{q-n}(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^n)$

by $P'(\alpha) = [\alpha, \iota_n]$, where ι_n is the identity map on the n -sphere and the bracket denotes the Whitehead product. For $P: \pi_{q+1}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^n)$, Whitehead observed that

$$P = P' \circ (E^{n+1})^{-1} \quad \text{if } q < 3n - 1.$$

While Whitehead established this result for spheres, it has been known for some time that the morphism P is, for general $(n-1)$ -connected spaces, still closely related to Whitehead products; see eg IM James [30, Section 2] or Ganea [20, Theorem 3.1 and page 231] for a very general statement. In any case, these kinds of tools were used to great effect in early computations of unstable homotopy groups of spheres, eg, by James [29; 31] and Toda [52].

The goal of this paper, whose title pays homage to the work of James [31], is to establish analogs of the above results in the Morel–Voevodsky unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category [45] and to deduce some consequences of these results. The jumping-off point is to give a James-style model for the loop space of a suspension in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (see Theorem 2.4.2). Using this model, we deduce the following result, which can be thought of as a refinement of the \mathbb{A}^1 -simplicial suspension theorem of F Morel [44, Theorem 6.61].

Theorem (see Theorem 3.2.1, Remark 3.2.3 and Theorem 4.2.1) *Assume k is a perfect field. If \mathcal{X} is a pointed \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected simplicial presheaf on $(\text{Sm}_k)_{\text{Nis}}$, with $n \geq 2$, then there is an exact sequence of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{3n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{3n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{3n-3}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \cdots \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \pi_q^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{q+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{q+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{q-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where the map E is (simplicial) suspension, the map H is a James–Hopf invariant, and the map P is described, as above, in terms of Whitehead products.

We go on to discuss various consequences of the existence of this exact sequence. We analyze the low-degree portion of this sequence in Theorem 3.3.13 and give a more explicit description of the sequence in the first degree where the suspension map fails to be an isomorphism. When \mathcal{X} is a motivic sphere, it is shown in Wickelgren and Williams [61] that the exact sequences displayed above can be extended to all degrees after localizing at 2. By suitably varying the input sphere, these sequences can be strung together to obtain the EHP spectral sequence converging to the 2-local S^1 -stable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of spheres.

By construction, the E_1 -differentials in this spectral sequence arise from the composite map HP , which in certain degrees we can analyze integrally. To state the result, recall that Morel [44, Corollary 6.43] computed the first nonvanishing \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy

sheaf of a motivic sphere in terms of Milnor–Witt K-theory. Morel [44, Lemma 3.10] also showed that there is an isomorphism of rings $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k) \cong \text{GW}(k)$, ie the zeroth Milnor–Witt K-theory group of a field k is isomorphic to the Grothendieck–Witt ring of isomorphism classes of symmetric bilinear forms over k , defined to be the group completion of the monoid of isomorphism classes of nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms. Given this terminology, the class of the composite HP can be seen to correspond with a symmetric bilinear form, which we can describe. More precisely, we establish the following result (see the statement in the body of the text and Remark 4.4.3 for a more conceptual explanation of the formula).

Theorem (see Theorem 4.4.1) *Assume k is a perfect field, and let p and q be integers with $p > 1$ and $q \geq 1$. The map*

$$\text{HP: } K_{2q}^{\text{MW}} = \pi_{2p+3}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma(S^{p+1+q\alpha})^{\wedge 2}) \longrightarrow \pi_{2p+1}(\Sigma(S^{p+q\alpha})^{\wedge 2}) = K_{2q}^{\text{MW}}$$

corresponds to the element $\langle 1 \rangle + (-1)^{p+1+q} \langle -1 \rangle^q \in \text{GW}(k)$.

One consequence of this result is the following analog of the classical fact, due to Hopf, that $\pi_{4n-1}(S^{2n})$ is nontrivial.

Theorem (see Theorem 5.3.1) *Fix a base field k assumed to be perfect and to have characteristic unequal to 2. Let $n, q \geq 2$ be even integers, and let j be an integer. There is a surjection*

$$\pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{H}\otimes\mathbb{Q}} K_{2q-j}^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

and the sheaf $\pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial if either k is formally real or if $j \leq 2n - 1$.

Relying on the computations of Asok and Fasel [5], we analyze the low-degree portion of the EHP sequence in great detail in the special case where $X = \mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0$. In particular, we give a description of the next nonvanishing \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaf (ie beyond that computed by Morel) of $\Sigma\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0 \cong \mathbb{P}^{1\wedge 3}$ in Theorem 5.2.5. The following statement is an easy-to-state special case of a more general result.

Theorem (see Theorem 5.2.5) *If k is a field of characteristic 0 containing an algebraically closed subfield, then, for any integer $i \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism of sheaves of the form*

$$\pi_{4+i+5\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S_s^{3+i} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 3}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24.$$

Remark Cohomology of homotopy sheaves of spheres such as those above appears in concrete applications to problems in algebra via techniques of obstruction theory; see eg Asok and Fasel [4; 5] for more details. Our description of the sheaf $\pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$ is well-

suited to such cohomology computations. Our computation allows us to state a precise conjecture (see Conjecture 5.2.10) regarding the structure of the sheaf $\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0)$ for $n \geq 4$. An explicit description of the sheaf $\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0)$ for $n = 2, 3$ was a key step in [4; 5] in the resolution of Murthy’s conjecture regarding splitting of rank- n vector bundles on smooth affine $(n+1)$ -folds over algebraically closed fields. A resolution of Conjecture 5.2.10 would, similarly, imply Murthy’s conjecture in general.

We close this introduction with some general comments regarding prerequisites. When working with the (unstable) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category in general and Morel’s \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology in particular, with the goal of making this paper as self-contained as possible, we have labored to present the material in an axiomatic framework involving the “unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property”, which is introduced in Section 2.2. All of the results in Sections 2 and 3 are written from this axiomatic perspective. We hope this style of presentation makes the material accessible to people who have some familiarity with homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves and the constructions of Morel and Voevodsky [45], but not, for example, all of the technical results about strongly and strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves contained in the first five chapters of Morel [44]. Moreover, we hope that our presentation also makes [44] itself more accessible to the nonexpert.

For the most part, Section 4 is written in the same axiomatic framework. In contrast, Sections 4.4 and 5 require more background. In particular, this portion of the text requires familiarity with facts about strongly and strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves (see Section 5.1 for more precise statements), and known explicit computations of homotopy sheaves. In Section 5, we also appeal to structural results from the theory of quadratic forms and both the affirmation of the Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms and the Bloch–Kato conjecture.

Notation Throughout, the (undecorated) symbol S will be used to denote a base scheme assumed Noetherian and of finite Krull dimension. We write Sm_S for the category of schemes that are separated, smooth and of finite type over S . Script letters, eg, \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} , will typically be used to denote “spaces”, ie pointed simplicial presheaves on Sm_S (from Section 2.2 onward), while capital roman letters will typically be used to denote simplicial presheaves on more general sites. Typically, boldface letters will be used to denote strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves of groups (again, from Section 2.2 onward), with the exception of \mathbf{C} , which will always mean a category (often equipped with the structure of a site) and \mathbf{R} , which will be used to denote right derived functors.

Sheaf cohomology will always be taken with respect to the Nisnevich topology. See 2.2.3 for our conventions regarding motivic spheres; unfortunately the letter S appears in our notation for spheres, but since it will always be decorated with a superscript, we hope no confusion arises. See 2.2.4 for a summary of notation pertaining

to homotopy sheaves, 2.2.5 for some discussion of our connectivity conventions, 2.2.9 for some recollections on our use of the term fiber sequence, 2.3.5 for conventions about relative connectivity, 3.3.2 for notation regarding \mathbb{A}^1 -homology sheaves, and 3.3.6 for notation regarding the \mathbb{A}^1 -tensor product. Finally, our conventions for loop spaces change in Sections 5.2 and 5.3; see 5.2.1 for more details.

Acknowledgements Asok would like to thank Frédéric Déglise for his hospitality during a stay at ENS Lyon in September 2012 when parts of this work were conceived and Fabien Morel for pointing out many years ago that a James-style model for (simplicial) loops on the suspension exists in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. This project was initially conceived as joint with Jean Fasel, and the authors would also like to sincerely thank him for his collaboration in the formative stages. Some of this work was done while Wickelgren was in residence at MSRI during the Spring 2014 semester supported by NSF grant 0932078 000. The authors are grateful to have been afforded the opportunity to work together in several places including the University of Duisburg–Essen during a special semester on motivic homotopy theory organized by Marc Levine (funded by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the German Research Foundation) and the American Institute of Mathematics. Finally, we thank the referees for a very careful reading and a number of thoughtful suggestions that improved the clarity of the presentation.

Asok was partially supported by National Science Foundation Award DMS-1254892. Wickelgren was partially supported by National Science Foundation Award DMS-1406380.

2 The James construction revisited

The James construction on a CW complex was originally introduced by James in [29]. Milnor observed that the construction could be recast in the language of simplicial sets [39, page 120]. Using this translation, it is straightforward to develop a version of the James construction in the category of simplicial presheaves. Section 2.1 reviews the James construction in the category of simplicial sets and extends these constructions to simplicial presheaves; the main result in the context of simplicial presheaves is Proposition 2.1.6.

In this section, we aim to develop a version of the James model in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category; this idea is due originally to Morel. Section 2.2 recalls a number of structural properties of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category that will be used throughout the work: we point the reader to Definition 2.2.6, Lemma 2.2.11 and Theorem 2.2.12. Section 2.3 studies some aspects of \mathbb{A}^1 -fiber sequences in the context of the axiomatic setup of

Section 2.2. Section 2.4 proves the main result, ie Theorem 2.4.2, which provides a James-style model for loops on the suspension of a space in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory; this result depends on results about the Kan loop group in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, for which we refer the reader to Theorem 2.3.2.

2.1 The James construction in simplicial homotopy theory

Textbook treatments of the James construction can be found in [59, Chapter VII.2], for the category of CW complexes, and [62, Section 3.3.3] in the category of simplicial sets.

The James construction for simplicial sets Let K be a pointed simplicial set. An injection $\alpha: (1, 2, \dots, m) \rightarrow (1, 2, \dots, n)$ induces a map $\alpha_*: K^m \rightarrow K^n$. Let \sim denote the equivalence relation on $\coprod_{n=0}^\infty K^n$ generated by $x \sim \alpha_*(x)$ for all *order-preserving* injections α . The James construction on K is defined by the formula

$$J(K) := \coprod_{n=0}^\infty K^n / \sim,$$

ie $J(K)$ is the free (pointed) monoid on the pointed simplicial set K . The assignment $K \mapsto J(K)$ is functorial in K by definition. The James construction is filtered by pointed simplicial sets $J_n(K) \subset J(K)$, defined by

$$J_n(K) := \coprod_{m=0}^n K^m / \sim.$$

We consider also $F(K)$, the pointed free group functor as in [23, page 293] or [62, Section 3.2]. Because $J(K)$ is the free pointed monoid on K , there is an evident inclusion map $J(K) \hookrightarrow F(K)$. If ΣK denotes the Kan suspension [23, page 191], and $G(K)$ denotes the Kan loop group [23, page 276], then Milnor showed that there is a weak equivalence $F(K) \simeq G(\Sigma K)$ [23, Theorem V.6.15]. By [23, Corollary V.5.11], since ΣK is reduced, we conclude that $F(K)$ is a model for $\Omega \Sigma K$; here Ω is the derived loops (for a model, take naive loops on a fibrant model of the input). The following result details the main properties of $J(-)$.

Theorem 2.1.1 [62, Theorems 3.24 and 3.25] *Suppose K is a pointed simplicial set.*

- (1) *If K is connected, there is a weak equivalence $J(K) \simeq F(K)$.*
- (2) *For any integer $n \geq 1$ there is a cofiber sequence of the form*

$$J_{n-1}(K) \hookrightarrow J_n(K) \longrightarrow K^{\wedge n}.$$

- (3) *The canonical map $\text{colim}_n J_n(K) \rightarrow J(K)$ is an isomorphism.*

Remark 2.1.2 Consider the map $K = J_1(K) \rightarrow J(K)$. Under the weak equivalence $J(K) \simeq \Omega \Sigma K$ of point (1) of Theorem 2.1.1, this map corresponds to the unit map $K \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma K$ of the loops-suspension adjunction.

The James construction for simplicial presheaves Suppose \mathbf{C} is a site. We will consider (pointed) simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} , though we do not introduce any special notation for this category. The category of (pointed) simplicial presheaves can be equipped with its injective local model structure [32]: cofibrations are given by monomorphisms, weak equivalences are defined locally with respect to the Grothendieck topology on \mathbf{C} and fibrations are defined via the right-lifting property. Abusing terminology slightly, we will refer to the associated homotopy category as the (pointed) simplicial homotopy category. The category of pointed simplicial presheaves is a pointed category, ie the canonical map from the initial to the final object is an isomorphism. We will typically write $*$ for the final object. With this terminology, one can extend the definition of the James construction to (pointed) simplicial presheaves in a straightforward fashion by applying the constructions above sectionwise.

Definition 2.1.3 Assume X is a pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} , and an $n \geq 0$ is an integer. Define pointed simplicial presheaves $G(X)$, $F(X)$, $J(X)$ and $J_n(X)$ by assigning to $U \in \mathbf{C}$ the following simplicial sets:

$$\begin{aligned} G(X)(U) &:= G(X(U)), \\ F(X)(U) &:= F(X(U)), \\ J(X)(U) &:= J(X(U)), \\ J_n(X)(U) &:= J_n(X(U)). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to the pointed simplicial presheaf $J(X)$ as the *James construction of X* , and $G(X)$ as the Kan loop group of X .

Remark 2.1.4 Since we have not assumed X to be reduced (ie having presheaf of 0-simplices the constant presheaf $*$) in the above, the simplicial presheaf $G(X)$ will not in general have the homotopy type of the loop space of X (eg, take $X = \Delta_1$ the simplicial interval, in which case $G(\Delta^1)$ is the constant simplicial group on \mathbb{Z} , which is not contractible).

The assignments $X \mapsto G(X)$, $X \mapsto F(X)$, $X \mapsto J(X)$, $X \mapsto J_n(X)$ are all evidently functorial in X . Moreover, there are morphisms $J_n(X) \rightarrow J(X)$ for any integer $n \geq 0$. We distinguish the morphism

$$(2.1.1) \quad E: X = J_1(X) \longrightarrow J(X);$$

we will refer to this morphism as *suspension* (see Remark 2.1.2).

Proposition 2.1.5 *Suppose X is a reduced pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} , ie the presheaf of 0–simplices is $*$. There is a weak equivalence*

$$G(X) \simeq \Omega X.$$

Proof This follows by observing that the induced map on sections over $U \in \mathbf{C}$ is a weak equivalence by [23, Corollary V.5.11]. □

Proposition 2.1.6 *Suppose X is a pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} .*

- (1) *The map $J(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ is a sectionwise equivalence.*
- (2) *The simplicial presheaf $J(X)$ is locally weakly equivalent to $\Omega \Sigma X$.*

Both of the weak equivalences just mentioned are functorial in X .

Proof Point (1) follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.1(1): the inclusion maps $J(X(U)) \rightarrow F(X(U))$ are weak equivalences; these maps are evidently functorial in U . For point (2), observe that [23, Theorem V.6.15] guarantees that for any object $U \in \mathbf{C}$ there is a functorial weak equivalence

$$G(\Sigma X(U)) \simeq F(X(U)),$$

where Σ is the Kan suspension. Since $\Sigma X(U)$ is by construction reduced, the result then follows from Proposition 2.1.5. □

The classifying space of the Kan loop group Suppose H is a simplicial presheaf of groups. If Y is a simplicial presheaf equipped with a right action $a: Y \times H \rightarrow Y$ of H , we will say that the action is *categorically free* if the morphism

$$Y \times H \xrightarrow{(a, p_Y)} Y \times Y$$

is a monomorphism. If Y carries a categorically free action of H , we write Y/H for the quotient, ie the colimit of the diagram $Y \leftarrow Y \times H \rightarrow Y$.

2.1.7 Following [37, Chapter 7], if H is a presheaf of groups, Y is a space with a right H –action and Z is a space with a left H –action, we may form the two-sided bar construction $B(Y, H, Z)$ as the “geometric realization” of a certain functorially constructed simplicial object $B(Y, H, Z)_\bullet$ having n –simplices of the form $Y \times H^{\times n} \times Z$. In the present context, $B(Y, H, Z)_\bullet$ is a simplicial object in the category of simplicial presheaves and the “geometric realization” is the homotopy colimit over Δ^{op} , ie

$$B(Y, H, X) := \operatorname{hocolim}_{n \in \Delta^{op}} B(Y, H, Z)_n.$$

When $Y = X = *$, then we use the following special terminology: by the *simplicial classifying space* BH we mean $B(*, H, *)$, by the *universal bundle* EH we mean $B(*, H, H)$, and by the *Borel construction* we mean $B(Y, H, *)$.

The next result, which is a (pre)sheaf-theoretic variant of a classical fact about the Kan loop group (see eg [14, point (5) on page 137]), follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.5; we use this result in the next section.

Proposition 2.1.8 *For X any reduced pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} , there is a sectionwise weak equivalence $X \simeq BG(X)$.*

2.2 The unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property

Before discussing the James construction in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, we will recall some facts from \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology. We take $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Sm}_S$, ie the category of smooth schemes over S .

This category will be endowed throughout with the Nisnevich topology, as in [45, Section 3], and the category of simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{Sm}_S may be equipped with a *simplicial* model structure, [32], local with respect to this topology. That is, the cofibrations are the monomorphisms of simplicial presheaves, and the weak equivalences may be detected on Nisnevich stalks. We warn the reader that in [44] and [45], contrary to our conventions, the motivic homotopy category is constructed using simplicial sheaves. In [33, Theorem 1.2], Jardine shows that the sheafification and the forgetful functor define an adjoint equivalent between the two theories.

By a *pointed space*, we will mean pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{Sm}_S . A model structure for the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category $\mathcal{H}(S)$ can be constructed by left Bousfield localization of the simplicial model structure of simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{Sm}_S .

We will adopt the convention, at variance with that of [25], that homotopy limits will be calculated by first applying a functorial fibrant replacement objectwise to the diagram in question.

\mathbb{A}^1 -localization Recall from [45, Section 3.2] the notion of an \mathbb{A}^1 -local object. It will be useful to remember that the simplicial homotopy limit of a diagram of \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects is again \mathbb{A}^1 -local, this is the case because the fibrant, \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects are the fibrant objects of a model category, and we may use [25, Theorem 18.5.2]. We begin by recalling the basic properties of “the” \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor.

Proposition 2.2.1 *There exists an endofunctor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ of the category of simplicial presheaves on $(\mathbf{Sm}_S)_{\text{Nis}}$ and a natural transformation $\theta: \text{id} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ such that, for any space \mathcal{X} , the following statements hold:*

- (i) The space $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is fibrant and \mathbb{A}^1 -local, and the map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.
- (ii) If \mathcal{Y} is any simplicially fibrant \mathbb{A}^1 -local space, and $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism, then f factors as $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.
- (iii) The functor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ commutes with the formation of finite limits.

Comments on the proof Most of this statement is contained in [45]; we slightly modify the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor given in [45, page 107]. The functor is constructed by repeated application of the singular construction and a fibrant replacement functor in the category of simplicial presheaves. The singular construction commutes with limits (see [45, page 87]). We use the Godement resolution functor of [45, Section 2, Theorem 1.66] as our functorial fibrant replacement for simplicial presheaves; this commutes with formation of finite limits by construction. \square

In Morel's analysis, a distinguished role is played by Eilenberg–MacLane spaces [45, page 56] or classifying spaces of Nisnevich sheaves of groups [45, page 128] that are \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Definition 2.2.2 A sheaf of groups G is called *strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant* if BG is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. A sheaf of abelian groups A is called *strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant* if $K(A, i)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local for every $i \geq 0$.

Homotopy sheaves and the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property Suppose \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are pointed spaces. We write $[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}]_s$ for morphisms in the homotopy category of the injective local model structure (we will refer to this category as the *simplicial homotopy category*) and $[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ for morphisms in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category. We now fix some conventions that will remain in force throughout the paper.

Notation 2.2.3 (spheres, suspension and looping) Write S_s^i to denote the simplicial i -sphere, and write $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j}$ for the j -fold smash product of \mathbb{G}_m (pointed by 1) with itself. Following conventions of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant homotopy theory, we write $S^{i+j\alpha}$ for the sphere $S_s^i \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j}$. If $j = 0$, the \mathbb{G}_m -term shall be dropped from the notation. The undecorated symbol Σ will be used for simplicial suspension. Likewise, we use Ω for the derived simplicial loops, a model for which is obtained by first taking a functorial fibrant replacement of the input and then applying naive loops.

Notation 2.2.4 (homotopy sheaves) We define homotopy sheaves $\pi_i(\mathcal{X}, x)$ and \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ as Nisnevich sheaves associated with the presheaves $U \mapsto [S_s^i \wedge U_+, (\mathcal{X}, x)]_s$ and $U \mapsto [S_s^i \wedge U_+, (\mathcal{X}, x)]_{\mathbb{A}^1} = [S_s^i \wedge U_+, (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x)]_s$.

Likewise, $\pi_{i+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ is the sheafification for the Nisnevich topology of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [S^{i+j\alpha} \wedge U_+, (\mathcal{X}, x)]_{\mathbb{A}^1}.$$

For notational compactness, basepoints will typically be suppressed from notation.

Convention 2.2.5 (connectivity) We borrow various bits of terminology from classical homotopy theory: A pointed space (\mathcal{X}, x) is simplicially connected (resp. \mathbb{A}^1 -connected) if the sheaf $\pi_0(\mathcal{X})$ (resp. $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$) is $*$. Similarly, if $n \geq 1$ is an integer, we will say that (\mathcal{X}, x) is simplicially n -connected (resp. \mathbb{A}^1 - n -connected) if (\mathcal{X}, x) is simplicially (resp. \mathbb{A}^1 -)connected and $\pi_i(\mathcal{X}, x)$ (resp. $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$) is trivial for $i \leq n$.

Morel’s approach to \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology in [44] consists in studying \mathbb{A}^1 -local spaces via their Postnikov towers and, in doing this, it is important to understand the structural properties of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves. Our discussion is inspired by Morel’s axiomatic discussion of the so-called stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property in [43, Section 6].

Definition 2.2.6 We will say that the *unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S* if the following two properties hold:

- $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant for any pointed space (\mathcal{X}, x) .
- Any strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{A} is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.

For the most part, the results in this text will be proven assuming that the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity holds over S . From this point of view, one of the key results of [44] is the following.

Theorem 2.2.7 (Morel) *If S is the spectrum of a perfect field, then the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S .*

Proof See [44, Theorems 5.46 and 6.1]. Since the proof of [44, Lemma 1.15], a result due to Gabber, requires the restriction that k be infinite, use [26] to obtain the result in the stated generality. \square

Remark 2.2.8 The unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property does not hold if S is a Noetherian scheme of Krull dimension ≥ 2 ; see Remark 3.3.5 for more details. Nevertheless, it is expected that the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for the spectrum of an arbitrary field. In fact, the perfection of the base field only intercedes in the verification of point (2) of Definition 2.2.6. There is some hope that it may hold for base schemes S that are regular of dimension ≤ 1 .

Fiber sequences

Convention 2.2.9 (fiber and cofiber sequences) We use the terminology “(co)fiber sequence” as in [27, Definition 6.2.6]; we refer the reader there for more formal properties of (co)fiber sequences. We use the terminology *simplicial fiber sequence* for a fiber sequence in the injective local model structure on simplicial presheaves and \mathbb{A}^1 -*fiber sequence* for a fiber sequence in the \mathbb{A}^1 -local model structure. The theory of fiber sequences is simplified slightly by the fact that the injective local and \mathbb{A}^1 -local model structures are right proper.

The following result, which is a version of [44, Lemma 6.51], studies the behavior of \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects in simplicial fiber sequences (see [19, e.6, page 5] for a completely analogous result for localizations of the classical homotopy category). There appears to be a misprint in the statement of [44, Lemma 6.51]; the statement concerns \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity whereas the hypothesis used and the conclusion reached appear to concern \mathbb{A}^1 -locality.

Lemma 2.2.10 *Suppose*

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

is a simplicial fiber sequence of pointed spaces. If \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{F} are both \mathbb{A}^1 -local and \mathcal{B} is simplicially connected, then \mathcal{E} is \mathbb{A}^1 -local as well.

Proof The proof is that given in [44, Lemma 6.51], but we have added some details for the convenience of the reader and for the sake of completeness. By means of the existence of functorial factorizations, we may replace $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by a simplicial fibration between simplicially fibrant pointed spaces, and we may assume \mathcal{F} is the fiber over the basepoint of this map.

Write $\mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \cdot)$ to denote the derived internal mapping object in the category of presheaves with the simplicial model structure. The model structure is closed monoidal, by [10, Section 4] for example, and the functor $\mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \cdot)$ is a right Quillen functor, [27, Chapter 4]. In particular, this means that $\mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \cdot)$ preserves simplicial fiber sequences.

Condition (2) of [45, Lemma 2.2.8], combined with the definition of internal mapping objects, allows us to say a space \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{A}^1 -local if and only if the map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{X})$ induced by the projection map $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow *$ is a simplicial weak equivalence. In the case of pointed spaces, we forget the basepoint and then apply this test.

Combining the above observations, one concludes that there is a morphism of simplicial

fiber sequences of the following form:

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

The two indicated arrows are simplicial weak equivalences.

It is now possible to test whether the map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{E})$ is a simplicial weak equivalence by arguing at points of the Nisnevich site. We refer to [34, Chapter 3 and Lemma 5.12] for the required local homotopy theory. If p^* is point, then from (2.2.1) we obtain a morphism of fiber sequences of Kan complexes of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p^* \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & p^* \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & p^* \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ p^* \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & p^* \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & p^* \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

We wish to show that the map in the middle is a weak equivalence of (possibly disconnected) simplicial sets; that is, it induces an isomorphism on π_0 and on all homotopy groups for all choices of basepoint in $p^* \mathcal{E}$. It suffices, since $p^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p^* \mathcal{B}$ is a fibration, to consider basepoints lying in $p^* \mathcal{F}$, the fiber over the canonical basepoint of $p^* \mathcal{B}$.

The required isomorphism on homotopy groups and π_0 follows from a 5-lemma argument; the potentially problematic case of π_0 is handled by identifying $\pi_0(p^* \mathcal{E})$ with the orbit space of $\pi_0(p^* \mathcal{F})$ under the action of $\pi_1(p^* \mathcal{B}, b_0)$, and similarly for the derived mapping spaces. \square

Basic consequences of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorem We very briefly recall the Postnikov tower in the form we will use. For any pointed simplicially connected space \mathcal{X} , there is a tower of fibrations of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathcal{X} & & & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{p_{i+2}} & \mathcal{X}^{(i+1)} & \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} & \mathcal{X}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{p_i} & \mathcal{X}^{(i-1)} & \xrightarrow{p_{i-1}} & \dots \end{array}$$

such that (a) $\text{hofib}(p_j) = K(\pi_j(\mathcal{X}), j)$ for any integer $j \geq 0$, and (b) $\mathcal{X} \simeq \text{holim}_i \mathcal{X}^{(i)}$ [45, Definition 1.31 and Theorem 1.37]. We now deduce some consequences of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property.

Lemma 2.2.11 *Suppose the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S .*

- (1) *For any pointed space (\mathcal{X}, x) , and any integer $i \geq 2$, the sheaves $\pi_i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x)$ are strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*
- (2) *If (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed, simplicially connected space, then \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{A}^1 -local if and only if $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x)$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and $\pi_i(\mathcal{X}, x)$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant for any integer $i \geq 2$.*

Proof For (1), $\Omega^i \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local and simplicially fibrant for every $i \geq 1$. In particular, the sheaf $\pi_1(\Omega^{i-1} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Since this is abelian when $i \geq 2$, we conclude by the assumption that the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds that $\pi_i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x)$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant for $i \geq 2$.

For point (2), we use the existence and convergence of the Postnikov tower, together with an induction argument in combination with the results of point (1). Using this tower, it suffices to show that if \mathcal{X} is a pointed, simplicially connected \mathbb{A}^1 -local space, and we have a simplicial fiber sequence of the form

$$K(\mathbf{A}, n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

where \mathbf{A} is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if $n = 1$, and strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if $n \geq 2$, then \mathcal{X}' is \mathbb{A}^1 -local as well. Either assumption guarantees that $K(\mathbf{A}, n)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local and the result then follows by appeal to Lemma 2.2.10. □

The following result, which is called the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorem, justifies our terminology; this result is an axiomatic form of [44, Theorem 6.38].

Theorem 2.2.12 (unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorem) *Suppose $n \geq 0$ is an integer, and (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed, simplicially n -connected space. The space $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is simplicially connected, and if the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , then it is simplicially n -connected.*

Proof The case $n = 0$ of the theorem follows from [45, Section 2, Corollary 3.22] and does not require the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property to hold. That result is presented without a proof in [45], but follows from the properties of the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor. For a detailed proof, see eg [51, Theorem 1.2.20].

Now, we treat the case $n = 1$. We begin by establishing a general result. For any simplicially connected space \mathcal{X} , and any Nisnevich sheaf of groups \mathbf{G} , there is a functorial bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{G}) \cong [(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_s$$

by obstruction theory [44, Lemma B.7(1)]. If \mathbf{G} is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then \mathbf{BG} is

\mathbb{A}^1 -local, and there are functorial bijections of the form

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_s &\cong [(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ &\cong [(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \\ &\cong [(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is simplicially connected by [45, Section 2, Corollary 3.22], we conclude that there is a functorial bijection of the form

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{G}) \cong [(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{BG}]_s.$$

Now, $\pi_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}, x) = \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ by definition, so combining all of the above isomorphisms, we conclude that if \mathbf{G} is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then

$$(2.2.2) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{G}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{G}).$$

Having established this bijection, we can proceed to the proof of the main result.

If \mathcal{X} is simplicially 1-connected, then $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, x), \mathbf{G}) = 0$ for any sheaf of groups \mathbf{G} . If \mathbf{G} is furthermore strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, we conclude by the isomorphism of (2.2.2) that $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{G}) = 0$. Since the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for k , we know that $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Therefore, by the Yoneda lemma, we know that $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ must be trivial.

For the general case, one proceeds by induction on n . If \mathcal{X} is a simplicially $(n-1)$ -connected space, $n \geq 2$, then for any sheaf of abelian groups \mathbf{A} ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_n(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{A}) \cong [(\mathcal{X}, x), K(\mathbf{A}, n)]_s;$$

this follows from [44, Lemma B.7(2)]. An argument completely analogous to the one above, this time using Lemma 2.2.11(1) to conclude that the higher \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves are strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, shows that if \mathbf{A} is any strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_n(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{A}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{A}).$$

If \mathcal{X} is simplicially n -connected, then as before we can again conclude by appealing to the Yoneda lemma. □

Remark 2.2.13 We add one comment about the $n = 0$ case of the above theorem. In fact, [45, Section 2, Corollary 3.22] establishes a more general statement that we will frequently use below: if $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence with \mathcal{X}' an \mathbb{A}^1 -local space, then the induced morphism $\pi_0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{X}')$ is an epimorphism.

2.3 Further consequences of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property

In this section, we study further consequences of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property introduced in Section 2.2. In particular, we recast some results of Morel in our axiomatic framework. First, we provide an analog of Proposition 2.1.5 in the context of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (see Theorem 2.3.2); this result is a key technical tool in all that follows. In particular, it allows us to establish Theorem 2.3.3, which is a statement about preservation of simplicial fiber sequences under \mathbb{A}^1 -localization. Consequences of this result include a relative version of the unstable connectivity theorem, which appears below as Corollary 2.3.6, and Theorem 2.3.8, which is a technical result about the interaction between Postnikov towers and \mathbb{A}^1 -localization.

On \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy types of connected spaces We begin by establishing a result about the behavior of the classifying space of the Kan loop group under \mathbb{A}^1 -localization; this result is culled from the proof of [44, Theorem 6.46]. Suppose G is a simplicial presheaf of groups. Since $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ preserves finite products, $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G$ is again a simplicial presheaf of groups, the morphism $G \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G$ is a homomorphism, and there is an induced morphism

$$(2.3.1) \quad BG \longrightarrow BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G.$$

Regarding this morphism, one has the following result.

Lemma 2.3.1 *If G is a simplicial presheaf of groups, then the functorial map $BG \rightarrow BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.*

Proof Recall from 2.1.7 that

$$BG = \operatorname{hocolim}_{n \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} B(*, G, *)_n.$$

Since the map $G^{\times n} \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G)^{\times n}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence, and since $\operatorname{hocolim}$ preserves such equivalences [45, Section 2, Lemma 2.12], it follows that $BG \rightarrow B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G)$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. \square

Theorem 2.3.2 *Suppose the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed reduced space. If $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}))$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then the objects $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{X}$ and $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ are simplicially weakly equivalent.*

Proof By Proposition 2.1.8, since \mathcal{X} is reduced, we know that there is a simplicial weak equivalence of the form $\mathcal{X} \simeq BG(\mathcal{X})$. In particular, $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{X} \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BG(\mathcal{X})$. It will be sufficient to prove that there is a simplicial weak equivalence $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BG(\mathcal{X}) \simeq BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$.

We show that $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. To this end, consider the sequence

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow EL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}).$$

We know this is a simplicial fiber sequence by reference to [56] for instance. This fiber sequence yields a long exact sequence of homotopy sheaves. Since $EL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is simplicially contractible, we conclude that

$$\pi_{i+1}(BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})) \cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}))$$

for every integer $i \geq 0$. The space $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is simplicially connected as it is the classifying space of a simplicial group. By assumption $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}))$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, so we conclude that $\pi_1(BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}))$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Since the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , we conclude from Lemma 2.2.11(1) that $\pi_j(BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}))$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant for $j \geq 2$. Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.2.11(2), we conclude that $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is itself \mathbb{A}^1 -local, and the map $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is a simplicial weak equivalence. By Lemma 2.3.1, the map $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BG(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X})$ is also a simplicial weak equivalence. It follows that there is a map $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}G(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}BG(\mathcal{X})$ that is also a simplicial weak equivalence, as required. \square

On \mathbb{A}^1 -fiber sequences The following result is a slight variant of [44, Theorem 6.53], which is presented there without proof.

Theorem 2.3.3 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S . Suppose*

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}$$

is a simplicial fiber sequence of pointed spaces and assume that \mathcal{B} is simplicially connected. If, in addition, $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Omega\mathcal{B})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then the canonical map

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F} = L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\text{hofib}(f) \longrightarrow \text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f))$$

is a simplicial weak equivalence. In particular, if $\pi_1(\mathcal{B})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant (eg, trivial) then the canonical map of the previous display is a simplicial weak equivalence.

Proof The idea is to replace the simplicial fiber sequence in question by a “principal” fibration under the Kan loop group of the base and use Theorem 2.3.2. To this end, we begin with a reduction.

Step 1 For any simplicial presheaf \mathcal{X} , the map $\mathcal{X}(U) \rightarrow \text{Ex } \mathcal{X}(U)$ is a (functorial) simplicial weak equivalence. Thus, without loss of generality we can assume that \mathcal{B} is objectwise fibrant. Since \mathcal{B} is connected, we can furthermore assume that \mathcal{B}

is also reduced. To see this, write $\mathcal{B}(0)$ for the zeroth level of the (sectionwise) Moore–Postnikov factorization of \mathcal{B} , and set $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ to be the pullback of the diagram:

$$* \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(0) \longleftarrow \mathcal{B};$$

the space $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ is called the zeroth Eilenberg subcomplex of \mathcal{B} (see [23, page 327, proof of Lemma VI.3.6]). By the existence of functorial factorizations, we may assume that, replacing \mathcal{E} by a simplicially weakly equivalent space if necessary, that $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a simplicial fibration. In that case, we replace \mathcal{E} by the pullback of the diagram $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}$; this does not change the simplicial homotopy type of the fiber.

Step 2 Since \mathcal{B} is now assumed reduced, set $\mathcal{G} := G(\mathcal{B})$. Next, we claim that the simplicial fiber sequence is equivalent to the simplicial fiber sequence associated with a principal fibration under the Kan loop group. Indeed, since \mathcal{B} is reduced, then $\mathcal{B} \simeq B\mathcal{G}$ by Proposition 2.1.8. Now, a priori there is an action of the h -group $\Omega\mathcal{B}$ on \mathcal{F} . Since $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a simplicial fibration by assumption, if we set \mathcal{F}' to be the pullback of $E\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}$, then \mathcal{F}' is simplicially weakly equivalent to \mathcal{F} and carries an honest action of \mathcal{G} (see 2.1.7 for our conventions regarding two-sided bar constructions). One then checks that there is an induced simplicial weak equivalence $B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ making the simplicial fiber sequence $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \rightarrow B\mathcal{G}$ (see [37, Proposition 7.9]) weakly equivalent to the fiber sequence $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with which we began.

Step 3a We now study what happens under \mathbb{A}^1 -localization. First, since the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3.2 are satisfied by assumption, and the map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}$ induces a simplicial weak equivalence $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{B} \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1}B\mathcal{G} \rightarrow BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}$. In particular, $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

On the other hand, there is a simplicial fiber sequence of the form

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}, *) \longrightarrow BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}.$$

Note that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}'$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local by assumption and $BL_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local and simplicially connected. Thus, by appeal to Lemma 2.2.10, we conclude that $B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}, *)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local as well.

Next, the maps $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}$ induce \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalences

$$\mathcal{F}' \times \mathcal{G}^{\times n} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}' \times (L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G})^{\times n}$$

for all n . Therefore, the induced morphism

$$B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \longrightarrow B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1}\mathcal{G}, *)$$

is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence by [45, Section 2, Lemma 2.12].

If R_{Nis} denotes the simplicial fibrant replacement functor, then the map

$$B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *) \rightarrow R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *)$$

is a simplicial weak equivalence and $R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant. Therefore, the composite map

$$B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \rightarrow R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *)$$

is also an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence and factors through a simplicial weak equivalence of the form

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \longrightarrow R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *).$$

Step 3b The evident projections give morphisms

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} B\mathcal{G} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *) \longrightarrow R_{\text{Nis}} B L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}$$

that fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} B(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}, *) & \longrightarrow & R_{\text{Nis}} B(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}', L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}, *) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathbb{A}^1} B\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & R_{\text{Nis}} B L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

The simplicial homotopy fiber of the first column is $\text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} f)$ by construction while the simplicial homotopy fiber of the second column is $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{hofib}(f)$. Moreover, the diagram gives rise to a morphism of simplicial fiber sequences. Since the horizontal maps in the diagram are simplicial weak equivalences by the conclusions of the previous step, we conclude that the induced map of simplicial homotopy fibers is a simplicial weak equivalence.

The final statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3.4 below. □

Lemma 2.3.4 [44, Lemma 6.54] *If \mathcal{X} is a pointed connected space, such that $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) = \pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X})$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then the morphism*

$$\pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, if $\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then so is $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$.

Proof By [45, Section 2, Corollary 3.22], the morphism $\pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$ is always an epimorphism (see Remark 2.2.13). Since $\pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X})$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and has simplicial dimension 0 it is necessarily simplicially fibrant and therefore \mathbb{A}^1 -local by [45, Section 2, Proposition 3.19]. Therefore, the morphism $\Omega \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X})$ necessarily factors through $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X}$. Applying π_0 , we see that the identity map of

$\pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X})$ factors through $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$. Using the epimorphism from the first sentence, we conclude that $\pi_0(\Omega \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism. \square

The relative unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorem Theorem 2.3.3 can be used to establish a relative version of the unstable connectivity theorem, Theorem 2.2.12. In the form below, this result is a variant of [44, Theorem 6.56] and will be used repeatedly in the sequel.

Convention 2.3.5 (relative connectivity) If $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of pointed spaces, we will say that f is simplicially i -connected or a simplicial i -equivalence if the simplicial homotopy fiber of f is $(i-1)$ -connected. Likewise, we will say that f is \mathbb{A}^1 - i -connected or an \mathbb{A}^1 - i -equivalence if the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy fiber of f is \mathbb{A}^1 - $(i-1)$ -connected.

Corollary 2.3.6 Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , and suppose $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of pointed spaces in which \mathcal{B} is connected. If

- (i) $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Omega \mathcal{B}) = \pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{B})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, and
- (ii) $\text{hofib}(f)$ is n -connected for some integer $n \geq 2$,

then the space $\text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f))$ is $(n-1)$ -connected as well. In particular, under hypothesis (i), if f is a simplicial n -equivalence, then f is also an \mathbb{A}^1 - n -equivalence.

Proof Since the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , and since by hypothesis (ii) the space $\text{hofib}(f)$ is assumed $(n-1)$ -connected, we may apply Theorem 2.2.12 to conclude that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{hofib}(f)$ is again n -connected. Again using the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property for S , the fact that \mathcal{B} is connected, and hypothesis (i), we may apply Theorem 2.3.3 to conclude that the canonical map $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{hofib}(f) \rightarrow \text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f))$ is a simplicial weak equivalence. Combining these observations, we conclude that $\text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f))$ is n -connected as well. \square

\mathbb{A}^1 -localization of layers of Postnikov towers We can also deduce some stability properties for the layers of Postnikov towers under \mathbb{A}^1 -localization. To this end, assume (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed connected space. If $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ is the n^{th} layer of the Postnikov tower for \mathcal{X} , then we write $\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle$ for the space fitting into a simplicial fibration sequence of the form

$$\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(n)}.$$

The space $\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle$ is the n -fold connective cover of \mathcal{X} , in particular it is n -connected.

Lemma 2.3.7 If (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed simplicially connected space, and the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for our base S , then $(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Proof Assuming the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for our base S , we conclude from Lemma 2.2.11 that $(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(n)}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. Moreover, $(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(n)}$ is simplicially connected by Theorem 2.2.12 (though this does not require the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property). It follows that, under these hypotheses, $(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local since it is the simplicial homotopy fiber of the map $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(n)}$, which has a connected base. \square

By functoriality of the Postnikov tower, there is an induced morphism $\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle$. Assuming the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for our base S , it follows from Lemma 2.3.7 that there is an induced morphism

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle) \longrightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle.$$

Regarding this morphism, we have the following result, which is a variant of [44, Theorem 6.59 and Corollary 6.60].

Theorem 2.3.8 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , and \mathcal{X} is a pointed, connected space. Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Suppose for each integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, the sheaf $\pi_i(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

- (1) *The universal map $\pi_i(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism if $i \leq n$.*
- (2) *For each $i \leq n$, the morphism $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle i \rangle) \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle i \rangle$ is a simplicial weak equivalence.*
- (3) *The universal map $\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is the initial map from $\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{X})$ to a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of groups.*

Proof Since $\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$ is assumed strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, and for any integer $n \geq 1$ the map $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}^{(n)})$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}^{(n)})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant for any $n \geq 1$. By assumption, the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S . Thus, for $i \geq 2$ (or $i = 1$ if $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is abelian) we conclude that $\pi_i(\mathcal{X})$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. We may also apply Theorem 2.3.3 to conclude that the sequence

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle) \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}^{(n)})$$

is always a simplicial fiber sequence.

By Theorem 2.2.12, we know that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle)$ is simplicially n -connected. Therefore, we conclude that

$$(2.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) &\cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}^{(n)})) && \text{if } i \leq n, \\ \pi_{n+1}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) &\longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}^{(n)})) && \text{is an epimorphism.} \end{aligned}$$

There are also simplicial fiber sequences of the form

$$K(\pi_i(\mathcal{X}), i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(i)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(i-1)}.$$

Since \mathcal{X} is connected, point (2) of Lemma 2.2.11 and the assumptions about the sheaves $\pi_i(\mathcal{X})$ guarantee that $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. Thus

$$(2.3.3) \quad \pi_i(\mathcal{X}^{(n)}) \cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}^{(n)}) \quad \text{if } i \leq n.$$

Now, we can put these facts together to prove the results.

For point (1), notice that by combining the isomorphisms of (2.3.2) and (2.3.3) we obtain for $i \leq n$ the series of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\mathcal{X}) &\cong \pi_i(\mathcal{X}^{(n)}) \\ &\cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}^{(n)}) \\ &\cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) \\ &\cong \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely what we wanted to show.

For point (2) we proceed as follows. From the isomorphisms established in point (1), we conclude that the map $\mathcal{X}^{(i)} \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(i)}$ is a simplicial weak equivalence. On the other hand, we already saw that $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local for $i \leq n$. Thus, the map $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}^{(i)} \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(i)}$ is a simplicial weak equivalence for $i \leq n$. Since $(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle i \rangle$ is by definition the simplicial homotopy fiber of $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{(i)}$, it follows from the fiber sequence in the previous paragraph that the induced map $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle i \rangle) \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle i \rangle$ is a simplicial weak equivalence for $i \leq n$.

Finally, for point (3), begin by observing that if \mathbf{A} is a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf, then since $\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle$ is n -connected, obstruction theory (see [44, Lemma B.7]) gives a bijection

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{A}) \cong [\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle, K(\mathbf{A}, n + 1)]_S.$$

Since $K(\mathbf{A}, n + 1)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local, any map $\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow K(\mathbf{A}, n + 1)$ factors through $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle)$. However, as $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow (L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle$ is a simplicial weak equivalence by point (2), the result follows from the fact that $\pi_{n+1}((L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})\langle n \rangle) = \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$. \square

2.4 James-style models for loop spaces in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

In this section, we discuss the James model for loop spaces in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. The construction involves comparing the James model and the Kan loop group model, as was the case in the setting of simplicial homotopy theory. If (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed

simplicially connected space, then $\Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is a model for the \mathbb{A}^1 -derived loop space of \mathcal{X} . The map $\Omega \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ factors through a morphism

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X},$$

which need not be a simplicial weak equivalence. The following result, which is a variant of [44, Theorem 6.46], gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the above morphism to be a simplicial weak equivalence.

Theorem 2.4.1 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and suppose (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed space. If $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then*

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$$

is a simplicial weak equivalence.

Proof Since $\Omega \mathcal{X}$ only depends on the simplicial connected component of the base-point x , without loss of generality we can assume that \mathcal{X} is simplicially connected. In that case, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.3.3 applied to the simplicial fiber sequence $\Omega \mathcal{X} \rightarrow * \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. \square

We now use Proposition 2.1.6, the James construction in the category of simplicial presheaves, together with the result just established about models for \mathbb{A}^1 -derived loop spaces to produce a James-style model for loops on the suspension in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category.

Theorem 2.4.2 *Suppose that the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of pointed simplicially connected spaces.*

- (1) *There is a functorial simplicial weak equivalence $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Sigma \mathcal{X}$.*
- (2) *If f is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence, the map $J(f)$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.*

Proof By Proposition 2.1.6, there is a simplicial weak equivalence $J(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \Omega \Sigma \mathcal{X}$. Thus, there is a simplicial weak equivalence

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}) \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \Sigma \mathcal{X}.$$

Since \mathcal{X} is connected, $\Sigma \mathcal{X}$ is necessarily 1-connected (this follows by checking on stalks). Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.4, we conclude that $\pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \Sigma \mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.4.1 to conclude that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Omega \Sigma \mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Sigma \mathcal{X}$.

For (2), it suffices to observe that if $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence, then by [45, Section 3, Lemma 2.13] the map $\Sigma \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. It follows immediately that the induced morphism $\Omega \Sigma \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. By part (1), we conclude that $J(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow J(\mathcal{Y})$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. \square

3 The EHP sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

In this section, we study the analog in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of Whitehead's EHP exact sequence from the introduction. We begin by recasting this exact sequence in the homotopy theory of simplicial sets (see Proposition 3.1.2), and then explaining how to extend this result to simplicial presheaves on a site (see Proposition 3.1.4). For convenience, we will assume our site has enough points. In Section 3.2, we construct a version of Whitehead's exact sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (see Theorem 3.2.1). In Section 3.3, we study the low-degree portion of the exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.1 and study very explicitly the first degree in which the suspension fails to be an isomorphism. The main result is Theorem 3.3.13, which depends on various facts about \mathbb{A}^1 -homology.

3.1 The EHP sequence in simplicial homotopy theory

In this section, we recall Whitehead's refinement of the Freudenthal suspension theorem and adapt this result to the context of simplicial presheaves. This result appears as [59, Chapter XII, Theorem 2.2] and the main novelty of this section is that we give a different derivation of the exact sequence that we learned from Mike Hopkins; this version allows more precise control at the end of the sequence. The translation to the setting of simplicial presheaves is then straightforward.

The classical EHP sequence We begin by recalling the combinatorial construction of James–Hopf maps. We refer the reader to [62, page 169] for more details.

Definition 3.1.1 Suppose K is a pointed simplicial set and $r \geq 1$ is an integer. Define a morphism of simplicial sets

$$H_r: J(K) \rightarrow J(K^{\wedge r})$$

that in each simplicial degree is given by the formula

$$H_r(x_1 \dots x_q) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq q} x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_r},$$

where the product on the right-hand side is taken in (left-to-right) lexicographic order. We refer to H_r as a *simplicial James–Hopf invariant*.

Note that H_r is, by definition, functorial in the input simplicial set K . Directly from the definition of H_r it follows that if $r \geq 2$, then the composite $K \xrightarrow{E} J(K) \xrightarrow{H_r} J(K^{\wedge r})$

is trivial. We fix $r = 2$, and write H for H_2 . There is a commutative diagram:

$$(3.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & K & & & \\ & \downarrow \phi & \searrow E & & \\ \text{hofib } H & \longrightarrow & J(K) & \xrightarrow{H} & J(K^{\wedge 2}) \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1.2 *Suppose K is $(n-1)$ -connected where $n \geq 2$. Then the morphism $\phi: K \rightarrow \text{hofib } H$ is $(3n-2)$ -connected. In particular, we obtain a long exact sequence of homotopy groups:*

$$(3.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_{3n-2}(K) & & \pi_{3n-1}(\Sigma K) & & \pi_{3n-1}(\Sigma(K^{\wedge 2})) & & \\ \downarrow & & \cong & & \cong & & \\ \pi_{3n-2}(\text{hofib } H) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{3n-2}(J(K)) & \xrightarrow{H} & \pi_{3n-2}(J(K^{\wedge 2})) & \xrightarrow{P} & \pi_{3n-3}(K) \xrightarrow{E} \dots \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_q(K) & \xrightarrow{E} & \pi_q(J(K)) & \xrightarrow{H} & \pi_q(J(K^{\wedge 2})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{q-1}(K) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \cong & & \cong & & \\ & & \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma K) & & \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma(K^{\wedge 2})) & & \end{array}$$

Proof Since K is $(n-1)$ -connected, we conclude that $K^{\wedge 2}$ is $(2n-1)$ -connected. Therefore, $J(K) \simeq \Omega \Sigma K$ is $(n-1)$ -connected, and $J(K^{\wedge 2}) \simeq \Omega \Sigma K^{\wedge 2}$ is $(2n-1)$ -connected.

We consider the Serre spectral sequence in homology $H_*(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ associated with the simplicial fiber sequence

$$\text{hofib } H \longrightarrow J(K) \longrightarrow J(K^{\wedge 2}).$$

Since $n \geq 1$ by assumption, $J(K^{\wedge 2})$ is simply connected.

By use of the Hilton–Milnor splitting [59, Chapter VII, Theorem 2.10] there are isomorphisms

$$\tilde{H}_*(J(K), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_*(K^{\wedge i}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}_*(J(K^{\wedge 2}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_*(K^{\wedge 2i}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We remark in passing that the map $E: K \rightarrow J(K)$ induces an isomorphism of $\tilde{H}_*(K, \mathbb{Z})$ with the first summand of $\tilde{H}_*(J(K), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_*(K^{\wedge i}, \mathbb{Z})$; this appears in the proof of [59, Chapter VII, Theorem 2.10].

In the range where $p + q < 3n$, the E^2 page of the spectral sequence takes a particularly simple form: $E_{0,q}^2 = H_q(\text{hofib } H, \mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{p,0}^2 = H_p(J(K^{\wedge 2}), \mathbb{Z}) = H_p(K^{\wedge 2}, \mathbb{Z})$, and all other groups are necessarily 0.

From [36, Theorem 6.2], we know that the composite map

$$H_*(K^{\wedge 2}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_*(J(K), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{H} H_*(J(K^{\wedge 2}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_*(K^{\wedge 2}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the identity. This observation implies that there are no nonzero differentials in our spectral sequence having source $E_{p,0}^*$ with $p < 4n$. For degree reasons, therefore, there can be no nonzero differentials the targets of which are the groups $E_{0,q}^*$ with $q < 3n - 1$ either, and in the range where $p + q \leq 3n - 2$, the sequence collapses at the E^2 page. We obtain $\tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(J(K), \mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(K^{\wedge 2}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(\text{hofib } H, \mathbb{Z})$.

In the given range, therefore, we have a commutative diagram of homology groups (with \mathbb{Z} coefficients)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(\text{hofib } H) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(J(K)) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(J(K^{\wedge 2})) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow \phi_* & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(K) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(K) \oplus \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(K^{\wedge 2}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{\leq 3n-2}(K^{\wedge 2}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

from which it follows that the map ϕ_* is a homology isomorphism in the stated range. In particular, the map ϕ is $(3n-2)$ -connected. The long exact sequence (3.1.2) now follows from the long exact sequence in homotopy associated with the simplicial fiber sequence

$$\text{hofib } H \longrightarrow J(K) \longrightarrow J(K^{\wedge 2}). \quad \square$$

The EHP sequence for simplicial presheaves Using the results of the previous section, we can generalize Proposition 3.1.2 to the situation of pointed simplicial presheaves on a site \mathbf{C} equipped with a local model structure; for simplicity, we assume that \mathbf{C} has enough points. Functoriality of the simplicial James–Hopf invariants allows Definition 3.1.1 to be extended to simplicial presheaves.

Definition 3.1.3 If X is a pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} , define morphisms

$$H_r: J(X) \longrightarrow J(X^{\wedge r})$$

by $H_r: J(X)(U) \rightarrow J(X^{\wedge r})(U)$. Set $H := H_2$.

As before, the composite map $X \xrightarrow{E} J(X) \xrightarrow{H_r} J(X^{\wedge r})$ is null. The next result extends Proposition 3.1.2 to simplicial presheaves.

Proposition 3.1.4 Suppose \mathbf{C} is a site that has enough points. Suppose, $n \geq 1$ is an integer, and X is a pointed $(n-1)$ -connected simplicial presheaf. Let $E: X \rightarrow J(X)$ be as in (2.1.1), $H: J(X) \rightarrow J(X^{\wedge 2})$ as in Definition 3.1.3, and let ϕ be a lift of the

map $E: X \rightarrow J(X)$ to a map $\phi: X \rightarrow \text{hofib } H$. The map ϕ is $(3n-2)$ -connected and there is a long exact sequence of homotopy sheaves of the form

$$(3.1.3) \quad \pi_{3n-2}(X) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{3n-2}(J(X)) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{3n-2}(J(X^{\wedge 2})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{3n-3}(X) \xrightarrow{E} \dots \\ \dots \longrightarrow \pi_q(X) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_q(J(X)) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_q(J(X^{\wedge 2})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{q-1}(X) \longrightarrow \dots .$$

Remark 3.1.5 Proposition 2.1.6 guarantees the existence of isomorphisms of homotopy sheaves of the form $\pi_q(J(X)) \cong \pi_{q+1}(X)$ and $\pi_q(J(X^{\wedge 2})) \cong \pi_{q+1}(X^{\wedge 2})$.

Proof In outline, we argue at points to reduce to the classical EHP sequence. In more detail, let F denote the homotopy fiber of the map $H: J(X) \rightarrow J(X^{\wedge 2})$ in the local model structure. Since the composite $H \circ E: X \rightarrow J(X) \rightarrow J(X^{\wedge 2})$ is null, there is a lift of $E: X \rightarrow J(X)$ to a map $\phi: X \rightarrow F$ as follows:

$$(3.1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \phi \downarrow & \searrow E & \\ F & \longrightarrow & J(X) \xrightarrow{H} J(X^{\wedge 2}) \end{array}$$

If q^* is a point of the site \mathbf{C} , then q^* preserves fiber sequences, and commutes with the formation of $J(\cdot)$ and E, H . In particular, applying q^* throughout, we see using Proposition 3.1.2 that $q^*\phi$ is $(3n-2)$ -connected. Since this holds for all such q^* , we deduce that the map ϕ is itself $(3n-2)$ -connected. The long exact sequence follows. \square

3.2 The construction of the EHP sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

We now transport the EHP sequence studied in the previous section to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. The basic idea is to appeal to Proposition 3.1.4 and use facts about when \mathbb{A}^1 -localization preserves simplicial fiber sequences from Section 2.3. If we \mathbb{A}^1 -localize the simplicial James–Hopf map H of Definition 3.1.3 (we abuse notation and write H for the resulting map), then we can consider the following sequence of morphisms

$$(3.2.1) \quad L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{H} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}).$$

The next result gives an analog of Whitehead’s classical exact sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory.

Theorem 3.2.1 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and suppose \mathcal{X} is a pointed \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected space, with $n \geq 2$. There is an exact sequence of homotopy sheaves of the form*

$$(3.2.2) \quad \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{3n-3}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \dots \\ \dots \longrightarrow \pi_q^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_q^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_q^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{q-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \dots .$$

Remark 3.2.2 Theorem 2.4.2 guarantees the existence of isomorphisms of sheaves $\pi_q^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X})) \cong \pi_{q+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X})$ and $\pi_q(J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})) \cong \pi_{q+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})$.

Proof We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.1.4, with the part of \mathcal{X} played by $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$. By hypothesis, $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is simplicially $(n-1)$ -connected. As before, set up the diagram:

$$(3.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} & & & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow E & & & \\ \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & J(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{H} & J((L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{\wedge 2}) \end{array}$$

Since $n \geq 1$, the space $J((L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{\wedge 2})$ is simplicially 1-connected. Then, using the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property, we may apply Theorem 2.3.3 to conclude that applying $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ to the simplicial fiber sequence in (3.1.4) results in a simplicial fiber sequence of the form

$$(3.2.4) \quad L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J((L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{\wedge 2}).$$

Since the map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence, Theorem 2.4.2(2) implies that there are weak equivalences of the form $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X})$ and $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J((L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X})^{\wedge 2}) \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})$.

Since the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S , the sheaves $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ are strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant by Lemma 2.2.11(1). Then Theorem 2.3.8 implies that

$$\pi_i(\mathcal{F}) \cong \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}) \cong \pi_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}) = \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3n - 3.$$

These observations suffice to establish exactness everywhere except the leftmost part of the long exact sequence.

The map $\phi: L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is simplicially $(3n-2)$ -connected, and since $n \geq 2$, the connectivity of \mathcal{X} implies that $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Omega \mathcal{F}) \simeq *$, by means of Theorem 2.2.12 for example. Thus, we can apply Corollary 2.3.6 to conclude that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \phi: L_{\mathbb{A}^1} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}$ is also simplicially $(3n-2)$ -connected. Therefore, there is a surjective map $\phi_*: \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})$ factoring $E: \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{3n-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X}))$, yielding the exactness of the long exact sequence at the left as well. \square

Remark 3.2.3 Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S . If \mathcal{X} is a simplicially $(n-1)$ -connected space, then $J(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})$ is at least $\mathbb{A}^1-(2n-1)$ -connected by Theorem 2.2.12. Theorem 3.2.1 is therefore a refinement of Morel’s suspension theorem, [44, Theorem 6.61].

3.3 Analyzing the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence in low degrees

The goal of this section is to study the low-degree portion of the EHP sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology. To do this, given an \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected space \mathcal{X} , we will show that $\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $(2n-1)$ -connected, identify the first nonvanishing \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaf of $\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}$ and use this to give a more explicit form of the EHP sequence in the first degree in which the suspension map is not an isomorphism. Granted the results of previous sections, and some results about \mathbb{A}^1 -homology recalled below, the argument is a straightforward translation of a classical argument due to J H C Whitehead [60, Theorem 2] in the case of spheres and more generally by P Hilton [24, Theorem 2.1].

Some connectivity estimates Suppose (\mathcal{X}, x) and (\mathcal{Y}, y) are two pointed spaces. We will assume that \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{A}^1 - $(m-1)$ -connected, and \mathcal{Y} is \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $m \leq n$.

Lemma 3.3.1 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds over S . The wedge sum $\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y}$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $(m-1)$ -connected, and the smash product $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $(m+n-1)$ -connected.*

Proof For the first statement, observe that the map $\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \vee L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{Y}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence by [45, Section 2, Lemma 2.11]. Since taking stalks commutes with coproducts, we conclude that the stalks of $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \vee L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{Y}$ are at least $(m-1)$ -connected. Under the hypotheses, Theorem 2.2.12 implies that $\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y}$ is at least $(m-1)$ -connected.

The second statement is established similarly. By two applications of [45, Section 3, Lemma 2.13] we can conclude that the map $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \wedge L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{Y}$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. Again by checking on stalks, and using the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorem one concludes that $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $(m+n-1)$ -connected. \square

\mathbb{A}^1 -homology The \mathbb{A}^1 -derived category may be constructed as a left Bousfield localization of the derived category of presheaves of abelian groups on Sm_S with respect to a notion of \mathbb{A}^1 -quasi-isomorphism [44, Section 6.2].¹

Morel gives a construction of an \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}$ [44, Lemma 6.18]; this functor is an endofunctor of the category of chain complexes of Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups, and there is a natural transformation $\theta: \text{id} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}$ such that for any complex A , there is a quasi-isomorphism $A \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}(A)$ with target that is fibrant and \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

¹Morel works with the derived category of Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups, but the exact functor of Nisnevich sheafification induces a Quillen equivalence between the model we use and Morel's model.

Notation 3.3.2 If \mathcal{X} is a space, then we consider $C_*(\mathcal{X})$, the normalized chain complex associated with the simplicial presheaf of free abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{X})$. The \mathbb{A}^1 -singular chain complex of \mathcal{X} is the complex $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}} C_*(\mathcal{X})$, which we may also denote $C_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$. The structure morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ induces a morphism $C_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow C_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S)$, and we define $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ as the kernel of this morphism.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -homology sheaves of \mathcal{X} , denoted $H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$, are defined as the Nisnevich sheafifications of the homology presheaves $H_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}} C_*(\mathcal{X}))$. If A is a complex of presheaves of abelian groups, we will abuse notation and define $H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(A)$ to be the Nisnevich sheafification of the homology presheaf $H_i(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}} A)$. We define $\tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ as $\ker(H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S))$.

The Dold–Kan adjunction shows that the Eilenberg–MacLane space associated with an \mathbb{A}^1 -local complex is an \mathbb{A}^1 -local space [15, Proposition 4 and (3.5)]. Note, however, that the ordinary singular chain complex of an \mathbb{A}^1 -local space can *fail* to be \mathbb{A}^1 -local (the standard counterexample is \mathbb{G}_m). The following property is the analog of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property of Definition 2.2.6 and was studied in [43, Section 6.2] in the closely related context of S^1 -spectra.

Definition 3.3.3 The *stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S* if $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}$ preserves (-1) -connected complexes.

Theorem 3.3.4 [43, Theorem 6.1.8] *The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for the spectrum of a field.*²

Remark 3.3.5 Ayoub [8] has shown that if S is a Noetherian scheme of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$, then the stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property may fail for S in a very strong sense. Ayoub’s counterexample is constructed in Voevodsky’s derived category of motives. As noted above, if a complex of sheaves of abelian groups is \mathbb{A}^1 -local, then the associated Eilenberg–MacLane space is \mathbb{A}^1 -local as well. Therefore, Ayoub’s counterexample can be transported to yield a counterexample to the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property over S .

If the stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds, the \mathbb{A}^1 -derived category has a number of very nice properties. Write Ab_S for the category of Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups on Sm_S , and $\text{Ab}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ for the full subcategory of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves. Before proceeding, we introduce the following notation.

²For k finite, use the result of [26] for the same reason as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.7.

Notation 3.3.6 Given two strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves, set

$$A \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} B := H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(A \otimes^L B).$$

Remark 3.3.7 The unit object for the \mathbb{A}^1 -tensor product is the strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf \mathbb{Z} .

With this notation, the following result holds.

Lemma 3.3.8 [43, Lemma 6.2.13] *If the stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds over S , then $\text{Ab}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ is an abelian category and the inclusion functor $\text{Ab}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow \text{Ab}_S$ is an exact embedding. Moreover, the bifunctor $(A, B) \mapsto A \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} B$ equips the category $\text{Ab}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ with a symmetric monoidal structure.*

The next result is closely related to [43, Remark 6.2.6] (apply that remark to shifted suspension spectra of suitably highly connected pointed spaces).

Proposition 3.3.9 *Assume the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity properties hold for S , and suppose m, n are integers ≥ 1 . If \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{A}^1 - $(m-1)$ -connected, and \mathcal{Y} is \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected, there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m+n-1, \\ (\tilde{H}_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \tilde{H}_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})) \oplus \tilde{H}_{m+n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \tilde{H}_{m+n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) & \text{if } i = m+n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof Consider the inclusion map

$$\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}.$$

The cone of this inclusion map is $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$. Note also that after a single suspension, the inclusion map is split by the projection. As a consequence, there are direct sum decompositions of the form

$$\tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \cong \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) \oplus \tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}).$$

Under the assumption that the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems hold for S , Lemma 3.3.1 together with the usual \mathbb{A}^1 -Hurewicz theorem [44, Theorem 6.57] immediately imply the result for $i \leq m+n-1$ (note that Morel’s Hurewicz theorem holds in this context under the assumptions given: simply replace the appeal to [44, Theorem 6.56] in Morel’s proof by an appeal to Corollary 2.3.6).

It remains to treat the case $i = m+n$. In that case, let $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})$ be the \mathbb{A}^1 -chain complexes of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} ; recall that these are obtained by taking the chain

complex associated with the free abelian group on \mathcal{X} and then \mathbb{A}^1 -localizing the result. By replacing $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ by a shift, we may assume $n = 0$ and similarly for \mathcal{Y} and $m = 0$. The complex $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^L \tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})$ is also concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 , and since the stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S it follows that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}(\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^L \tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}))$ is concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 . Since the zeroth homology is obtained by truncation with respect to the homotopy t -structure, it follows that

$$H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^L \tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})) \cong H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

To conclude it remains to identify the left-hand side in terms of the smash product $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$. For this, it suffices to observe that the Eilenberg–Zilber theorem implies the existence of an isomorphism of the form $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^L \tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) \cong \tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y})$. \square

Corollary 3.3.10 *Assume the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity properties hold for S and suppose m, n are integers ≥ 2 . If (\mathcal{X}, x) is a pointed $\mathbb{A}^1-(m-1)$ -connected space and (\mathcal{Y}, y) is a pointed $\mathbb{A}^1-(n-1)$ -connected space, then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\pi_{n+m}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

Proof By Lemma 3.3.1, we know $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$ is $\mathbb{A}^1-(m+n-1)$ -connected. By the \mathbb{A}^1 -Hurewicz theorem [44, Theorem 6.57], it suffices to prove the result in \mathbb{A}^1 -homology (again, as in the proof of Proposition 3.3.9, this holds under our assumption that the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity properties hold). In that case, it follows immediately from the proof of Proposition 3.3.9. \square

The connection with the results of Hilton and Whitehead mentioned at the beginning of this section is contained in the next result, which describes the first “nonlinear” \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaf of a wedge sum. Given the above results, the proof is a direct consequence of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy excision theorem (aka Blakers–Massey theorem;³ see eg [6, Theorem 3.1], [51, Theorem 2.3.8] or [61, Proposition 2.20]) and is left to the reader.

Corollary 3.3.11 *Assume the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity properties hold for S and suppose $m, n \geq 2$ are integers. Suppose \mathcal{X} is a pointed $\mathbb{A}^1-(m-1)$ -connected space and \mathcal{Y} is a pointed $\mathbb{A}^1-(n-1)$ -connected space. There are canonical*

³By inspecting the proof, one sees that this result is a direct consequence of the relative connectivity theorem (Corollary 2.3.6) and therefore holds over any base scheme S for which the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds.

isomorphisms

$$\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m+n-2, \\ \pi_{m+n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \pi_{m+n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}) & \text{when } i = m+n-1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.3.12 As in classical homotopy theory, the computation of the homotopy of a wedge sum allows one to study homotopy operations. The first “nonlinear” summand in the homotopy of a wedge sum is closely related to the Whitehead product studied in Section 4.1, though we have not attempted to establish equivalence of the definitions.

The EHP sequence in low degrees

Theorem 3.3.13 Assume the unstable and stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity properties hold for S . Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If \mathcal{X} is an \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-1)$ -connected space, then there is an exact sequence of the form

$$\pi_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, one has an exact sequence as above if S is the spectrum of an (infinite) perfect field.

Proof Consider the exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.1. Lemma 3.3.1 implies that $\Sigma \mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $2n$ -connected, and thus $J(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X})$ is at least \mathbb{A}^1 - $(2n-1)$ -connected. This immediately yields the surjectivity in the statement. Corollary 3.3.10 then yields the identification of $\pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}))$ with the \mathbb{A}^1 -tensor product term. The final statement is a consequence of Theorems 2.2.7 and 3.3.4. \square

Remark 3.3.14 The exact sequence of Theorem 3.3.13 when $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0$ is precisely the one described in [2, Theorem 4]. One notational benefit of the statement of Theorem 3.3.13 is that the quadratic nature of the James–Hopf invariants is apparent.

4 Some E_1 differentials in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence

The goal of this section is to analyze the morphisms in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence. As mentioned in the introduction, classically, the morphism P can be described in terms of Whitehead products. In Section 4.1, we extend the definition of Whitehead product to the theory of simplicial presheaves (see Definition 4.1.2) to make it available in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category as well. These results are written in the generality of simplicial presheaves on a site with enough points. We then use the results of Section 4.1 to show

that the map P in Theorem 3.2.1 can indeed be expressed in terms of the Whitehead product (see Theorem 4.2.1); this requires that the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S .

The \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP spectral sequence is created by combining \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequences into an exact couple. However, since the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequences of Theorem 3.2.1 are truncated, some algebraic manipulation is required to form an exact couple (for example extending the sequences to the left by a kernel and then zeros), and the resulting spectral sequence will differ from the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP spectral sequence. Nevertheless, it is shown in [61] that after localizing at 2, the exact sequences of Theorem 3.2.1 are “low-degree portions” of suitable long exact sequences, and these long exact sequences yield the 2-primary \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence (with the expected convergence properties).

The analysis of morphisms in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence described above can be used to describe some differentials on the E_1 page in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP spectral sequence. The desired E_1 -differentials (given by the composite HP linking the EHP sequences of different spheres) are then determined by the James–Hopf invariant of a Whitehead product. The axiomatic approach to Hopf invariants of Boardman and Steer [12] determines these James–Hopf invariants. In Section 4.3, we recast some results of Boardman and Steer in the context of simplicial presheaves. The main result is Proposition 4.3.5, which holds in the generality of simplicial presheaves on a site with enough points. In contrast, the remaining Section 4.4 is more specific to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory; Theorem 4.4.1 identifies an E_1 -differential in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence with multiplication by a given element of $\text{GW}(k)$.

4.1 Whitehead products for simplicial presheaves

In this section, we give a construction of Whitehead products in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, the construction generalizes classical results of [13; 1] to the context of simplicial presheaves. Suppose \mathbf{C} is a site and as in Section 2.1 consider the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} with its injective local model structure. If X and Y are pointed simplicial presheaves, we write $[X, Y]$ for morphisms in the associated (pointed) homotopy category. Unfortunately, it is also standard to use the notation $[-, -]$ for Whitehead products, but we hope that context will ensure that no confusion arises.

Recall that the constant simplicial presheaf S^1 is an H -cogroup object in the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} , and there is an induced H -cogroup structure on ΣW for any pointed space W . In particular, for any space Z , the space $\text{Map}(\Sigma W, Z)$ has the structure of an H -group, functorially in both Z and W . We will write \cdot for the product in $\text{Map}(\Sigma W, Z)$ and $(-)^{-1}$ for the inversion map; the constant map to the basepoint $*$ serves as a unit.

Suppose given pointed simplicial presheaves X , Y and Z . The product projections $p_X: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are pointed, and they induce morphisms $\Sigma p_X: \Sigma(X \times Y) \rightarrow \Sigma X$ and $\Sigma p_Y: \Sigma(X \times Y) \rightarrow \Sigma Y$. In addition, the canonical map $X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ induces a morphism $\Sigma(X \vee Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma(X \times Y)$ that fits into a cofiber sequence with cofiber $\Sigma(X \wedge Y)$.

Construction 4.1.1 (Whitehead product) Given maps $\alpha: \Sigma X \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: \Sigma Y \rightarrow Z$, composition with the projections yields morphisms $a := \alpha \circ \Sigma p_X$ and $b := \beta \circ \Sigma p_Y$. With respect to the product structure on $\text{Map}(\Sigma(X \times Y), Z)$, we may consider the map

$$(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b): \Sigma(X \times Y) \longrightarrow Z.$$

We embed the map $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(X \times Y) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(X \wedge Y) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

$(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$

The pullback of $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ to $\Sigma(X \vee Y)$ has a prescribed null-homotopy described as follows. The composition of the inclusion $\Sigma(X \times *) \rightarrow \Sigma(X \times Y)$ with π_Y is the constant map. Thus, if we pull back $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ to $\Sigma(X \times *)$ the result coincides with the pullback of $(a^{-1} \cdot *^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot *)$. There is a canonical homotopy between $(a^{-1} \cdot *^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot *)$ and the constant map $*$. Switching the roles of X and Y and a and b , the pullback of $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ to $\Sigma(* \times Y)$ also admits a specified null-homotopy. Thus, the pullback of $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ to $\Sigma(X \vee Y)$ comes equipped with a specified null-homotopy. By means of this null-homotopy, the map $(a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (a \cdot b)$ passes to a well-defined homotopy class of maps $\Sigma(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow Z$; we write $[\alpha, \beta]$ for any representative of this class.

Since the sequence $1 \rightarrow [\Sigma(X \wedge Y), Z] \rightarrow [\Sigma(X \times Y), Z] \rightarrow [\Sigma(X \vee Y), Z] \rightarrow 1$ is exact, the choice of null-homotopy does not affect the homotopy class of $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Definition 4.1.2 Given maps $\alpha: \Sigma X \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: \Sigma Y \rightarrow Z$, a representative for the homotopy class of maps

$$[\alpha, \beta]: \Sigma(X \wedge Y) \longrightarrow Z.$$

in Construction 4.1.1 (or the homotopy class itself) is a *Whitehead product* of α and β .

Following classical conventions, we write ι_X for the identity map on a (pointed) simplicial presheaf X , and by a slight abuse of notation, we also let $\iota_{\Sigma X}$ denote the

inclusion $\Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$. The construction above with $\alpha = \iota_{\Sigma X}$ and $\beta = \iota_{\Sigma Y}$ also yields a canonical map

$$[\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}]: \Sigma(X \wedge Y) \longrightarrow \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$$

that can be thought of as a universal Whitehead product in the sense that the Whitehead product of Definition 4.1.2 can be obtained by composing $[\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}]$ with the map $\alpha \vee \beta: \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \rightarrow Z$. Regarding this product, we have the following result, which is a straightforward consequence of [1, Theorem 4.2].

To state this result, introduce the following notation. For X and Y pointed spaces, let $\tau: \Sigma\Sigma(X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma\Sigma(X \wedge Y)$ denote the map which switches the two suspensions and is the identity on $X \wedge Y$. Let $\wp: \Sigma\Sigma(X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma X \wedge \Sigma Y$ be the map which does not change the order of the suspensions and is the identity on X and Y .

Lemma 4.1.3 *If X and Y are pointed connected spaces, then there is a cofiber sequence of the form*

$$\Sigma(X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{[\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}]} \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \longrightarrow \Sigma X \times \Sigma Y,$$

where the second map is the usual map from the sum to the product, and such that the induced weak equivalence

$$\Sigma^2(X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma X \wedge \Sigma Y$$

is homotopic to $\wp\tau$.

Proof Recall that if X and Y are two pointed spaces, their join, typically denoted $X * Y$, is the homotopy pushout of the diagram $X \leftarrow X \times Y \rightarrow Y$. There is a functorial sectionwise weak equivalence $X * Y \simeq \Sigma X \wedge Y$. Using this identification, the universal Whitehead product can be thought of as a map with source $X * Y \rightarrow \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$ (see [1, Definition 2.3]).

We first treat the case of simplicial sets. Thus, suppose A and B are connected simplicial sets. Let $C(A * B \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B)$ be the reduced mapping cone of $[\iota_{\Sigma A}, \iota_{\Sigma B}]$. In the proof of [1, Theorem 4.2], one finds a natural map

$$\Theta: C(A * B \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B) \longrightarrow \Sigma A \times \Sigma B$$

(this map is called G in [1] and is originally due to DE Cohen [13]). We claim the map Θ is a homology isomorphism. In [1, Theorem 4.2], this is fact is established for A and B polyhedra with one of A or B compact. As a consequence, it is true for finite simplicial sets. Since homology commutes with filtered direct limits and since

the map Θ is evidently compatible with passing to sub-simplicial-sets by inspection, it follows that Θ is a homology isomorphism for A and B arbitrary simplicial sets.

Now, we treat the general case of simplicial presheaves. Write $C([\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}])$ for the cofiber of $[\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}]: X * Y \rightarrow \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$. It follows that there is a map $\Theta: C([\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}]) \rightarrow \Sigma X \times \Sigma Y$ defined sectionwise, ie for each object $U \in \mathbf{C}$ define $\Theta(U): C([\iota_{\Sigma X}, \iota_{\Sigma Y}])(U) \rightarrow \Sigma X(U) \times \Sigma Y(U)$ to be the map above. For each such U , the map $\Theta(U)$ is a homology equivalence between simply connected simplicial sets, and therefore a sectionwise weak equivalence. Combining with the sectionwise weak equivalence $X * Y \rightarrow \Sigma(X \wedge Y)$ and using the compatibility of the definitions of the Whitehead product we have established the claimed cofiber sequence.

The cofiber sequence identifies the suspension of $\Sigma(X \wedge Y)$ with the homotopy cofiber of $\Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma X \times \Sigma Y$. To prove that the homotopy class of the resulting map

$$(4.1.1) \quad \Sigma^2(X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma X \wedge \Sigma Y$$

is $\wp\tau$, by working sectionwise it suffices to establish the analogous claim in the context of simplicial sets, which in turn can be reduced to checking the claim in the context of CW complexes, as considered in [1].

We recall the following constructions from [1]. Let S denote the unreduced suspension, T denote the unreduced cone, and C denote the reduced cone. Let A and B be pointed, locally finite, connected, CW complexes. The map Θ induces a map

$$(4.1.2) \quad C(A * B \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B) / (\Sigma A \vee \Sigma B) \longrightarrow (\Sigma A \times \Sigma B) / (\Sigma A \vee \Sigma B).$$

By construction [1, Theorem 4.2, Lemma 4.1], (4.1.2) is induced from a map of pairs

$$(4.1.3) \quad (T(A * B), A * B) \longrightarrow (\Sigma A \times \Sigma B, \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B),$$

constructed as follows. Define

$$N: (T(A * B), A * B) \longrightarrow (TA \times TB, TA \times B \cup A \times TB)$$

by

$$N(u, (t, a, b)) = \begin{cases} (u, a) \times (1 - 2t(1 - u), b) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1 - 2(1 - t)(1 - u), a) \times (u, b) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For a space W , let $t_W: TW \rightarrow SW$ and $s_W: SW \rightarrow \Sigma W$ be the quotient maps. Then

(4.1.3) is defined to be the composite:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T(A * B), A * B) & \xrightarrow{N} & (TA \times TB, TA \times B \cup A \times TB) \\
 & & \downarrow t_A \times t_B \\
 (\Sigma A \times \Sigma B, \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B) & \xleftarrow{S_A \times S_B} & (SA \times SB, SA \vee SB)
 \end{array}$$

There is a weak equivalence $\mu': A * B \rightarrow \Sigma A \wedge B$ given by quotienting by points of the forms $(a, *, t)$ and $(*, b, t)$, where $*$ denotes the base points, and t denotes the coordinate of the interval in the standard representation of the join. Let μ be a homotopy inverse. The map (4.1.1) in the homotopy category is $\bar{M} \circ \Sigma(\mu)$, where \bar{M} denotes the map on quotient spaces associated to the map of pairs $(S_X \times S_Y) \circ (t_X \times t_Y) \circ N$. It therefore suffices to show that

$$(u, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} (u, 1 - 2t(1 - u)) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1 - 2(1 - t)(1 - u), u) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

determines an endomorphism of $S^1 \wedge S^1$ of degree -1 . This is easily checked: for example, the fiber over $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ consists of the single point $(u, t) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6})$ and the Jacobian determinant at the point $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6})$ is negative. □

Proposition 4.1.4 *If Z is an h -space in the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} , then $[\alpha, \beta] = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [\Sigma X, Z]$ and $\beta \in [\Sigma Y, Z]$.*

Proof If Z is an h -space, then the group $[\Sigma(X \times Y), Z]$ is an abelian group by the Eckmann–Hilton argument. Therefore, the commutator must be zero. □

4.2 On the map P in the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence

In this section, we return to the setting of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory and we analyze the map

$$P: \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$$

in the exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.1 under the additional assumption that the pointed space \mathcal{X} is itself a suspension $\mathcal{X} = \Sigma \mathcal{Z}$ (see the beginning of Section 2.2 for a reminder regarding conventions). In direct analogy with the results mentioned in the introduction, the map P can be described in terms of the Whitehead product introduced in Definition 4.1.2; the main result is Theorem 4.2.1.

The map P was defined as the connecting homomorphism in the exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.1. This exact sequence does not arise directly from a fiber sequence, however. If \mathcal{X} is as above, then we can recast the sequence of (3.2.1) as the homotopy

commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow E & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{H} & L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2}) \end{array}$$

By functoriality of homotopy fibers, there is an induced morphism

$$\text{hofib}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X})) \longrightarrow \Omega L_{\mathbb{A}^1} J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})$$

and the connectivity of this map is what allows us to define the map P in Theorem 3.2.1.

In the range where the map above is connected, it makes sense to consider the composite map

$$(4.2.1) \quad \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma \mathcal{Z})) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z}).$$

Precisely, if \mathcal{Z} is $(n-2)$ -connected, then this composite is defined for $i \leq 3n - 3$. We shall furthermore see that, provided the \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and $n \geq 2$, the first map in (4.2.1) is in fact an isomorphism in the range being considered.

On the other hand, we saw in Section 4.1 that the Whitehead square of the identity $[\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]$ gives a morphism $\Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{Z}$. We will abuse notation and write $[\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]$ for the map

$$[\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]: L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \Sigma \mathcal{Z}.$$

This morphism induces a pushforward map on homotopy sheaves

$$[\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]_*: \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z})$$

that we would like to compare with the map (4.2.1). The next result, which gives precisely such a comparison, provides an analog of [59, Theorem XII.2.4] or, rather, its extension to general $(n-1)$ -connected spaces in the spirit of [20, Theorem 3.1 and page 231], in the context of unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory.

Theorem 4.2.1 *Assume the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds for S and suppose $n \geq 2$ is an integer. If \mathcal{Z} is an \mathbb{A}^1 - $(n-2)$ -connected pointed space, and $\mathcal{X} = \Sigma \mathcal{Z}$, then for any positive integer $i \leq 3n - 4$ the composite morphism of (4.2.1) fits into a commutative diagram of the following form:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma(\mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z})) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J(\mathcal{X}^{\wedge 2})) & \xrightarrow{P} & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & [\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]_* \end{array}$$

The isomorphism of homotopy sheaves in the diagram is induced by 2-fold suspension.

Proof Without loss of generality, assume \mathcal{Z} is \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant.

We begin with the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \vee \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \times \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$$

of Lemma 4.1.3. Here u denotes the “universal” Whitehead product; the map

$$[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}]: \Sigma(\mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$$

is obtained by composing u with a fold map.

By construction, the map u is represented by $u: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \vee \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$, where \mathcal{C} denotes the reduced mapping cone of $\Sigma(\mathcal{Z} \vee \mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z})$. We now consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{u} & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \vee \Sigma\mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \times \Sigma\mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}]} & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & J_2(\Sigma\mathcal{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are, reading left to right, the identity, the fold map, the canonical map from the product to J_2 and the identity. The horizontal arrows $\Sigma\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow J_2(\Sigma\mathcal{Z})$ in the center are the canonical inclusions. We observe that the center square is a pushout, being the definition of $J_2(\Sigma\mathcal{Z})$, and therefore the rightmost two horizontal maps are quotient maps. Since $\Sigma\mathcal{Z} \vee \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \times \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$ is a cofibration, this square is in fact a homotopy pushout square. The two rows are homotopy cofiber sequences.

We form the following diagram, where the upper row is a homotopy cofiber sequence and the lower row a fiber sequence:

$$(4.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Z}}]} & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & J_2(\Sigma\mathcal{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \Omega J((\Sigma\mathcal{Z})^{\wedge 2}) & \xrightarrow{s} & \text{hofib } H & \longrightarrow & J(\Sigma\mathcal{Z}) & \xrightarrow{H} & J((\Sigma\mathcal{Z})^{\wedge 2}) \end{array}$$

The maps indicated by the dashed arrows are adjoint to one another: by [48, Chapter I.3, proof of Proposition 6, 3.13] the map $\Sigma^2\mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{f} J((\Sigma\mathcal{Z})^{\wedge 2})$ is inverse adjoint to g . By Lemma 4.1.3, the map $\Sigma^2\mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$ is simplicially homotopic to $\wp\tau$, which reverses the order of the two suspensions, inducing -1 in the homotopy category.

The map $f: \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow J((\Sigma\mathcal{Z})^{\wedge 2})$ is given by the canonical map $\Sigma\mathcal{Z} \times \Sigma\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow J(\Sigma\mathcal{Z})$ followed by H ; an analysis of H (Definition 3.1.1) now shows that f is the suspension map E as applied to $\Sigma\mathcal{Z} \wedge \Sigma\mathcal{Z}$. Therefore, g is the 2-fold suspension map.

We may \mathbb{A}^1 -localize throughout. We do not draw (4.2.3) a second time with $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ prepped to all terms. Since all spaces appearing above are at least 1-connected, Corollary 2.3.6 applies throughout and maps that are n -connected remain n -connected after \mathbb{A}^1 -localization. We apply $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ to the leftmost square to obtain a commuting square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z} \wedge \mathcal{Z}) & \xrightarrow{[\iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}, \iota_{\Sigma \mathcal{Z}}]} & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathcal{Z}) \\
 \downarrow g_* & & \downarrow t_* \\
 \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(J((\Sigma \mathcal{Z})^{\wedge 2})) & \xrightarrow{s_*} & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{hofib } H)
 \end{array}$$

The map g_* , the 2-fold suspension map, is an isomorphism when $i \leq 4n - 3$. When $i \leq 3n - 2$, the map t_* is an isomorphism, and by definition $P = t_*^{-1} \circ s_*$; the result follows. □

4.3 The James–Hopf invariant of a Whitehead product

In this section, we collect some properties of the James–Hopf invariant defined in Section 3.1. Probably due to proliferation of different definitions of “Hopf invariants” made at the time, Boardman and Steer [12] made an axiomatic study of such invariants. We adapt some of their results to the context under consideration.

Let \mathbf{C} be a site with enough points and consider simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} equipped with the injective local model structure. For pointed simplicial presheaves X and Y , we continue to use the notation $[X, Y]$ for morphisms in the associated (pointed) homotopy category, and rely on context to distinguish this notation from that for the Whitehead product.

Cup products Before passing to the main results, it will be necessary to recall some constructions from [12].

Construction 4.3.1 (cup product [12, Definition 1.3]) Let X, Y and Z be pointed simplicial presheaves. The reduced diagonal map $X \rightarrow X \wedge X$ is the composite of the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times X$ and the map $X \times X \rightarrow X \wedge X$; this map is null-homotopic if X is a suspension. The smash product induces a map

$$[\Sigma^m X, Y] \times [\Sigma^n X, Z] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^m X \wedge \Sigma^n X, Y \wedge Z].$$

The reduced diagonal then induces a morphism

$$\Sigma^{m+n} X \longrightarrow \Sigma^{m+n} X \wedge X \simeq \Sigma^m X \wedge \Sigma^n X;$$

the isomorphism on the right does not permute the suspension factors. The composite of these two morphisms defines the cup-product pairing

$$\smile: [\Sigma^m X, Y] \times [\Sigma^n X, Z] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{n+m} X, Y \wedge Z].$$

The following result, which is identical in form to [12, Lemma 1.4] summarizes the properties of cup products we use.

Lemma 4.3.2 *Suppose X, Y and Z are pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} .*

- (1) *The cup-product pairing is bilinear and associative.*
- (2) *If X is itself a suspension, the cup-product pairing is trivial.*

Proof For point (1), note that the smash product is bilinear and associative, and the pullback is a homomorphism. Thus, the bilinearity and associativity of the cup product follow immediately. As regards point (2), if there exists a pointed simplicial presheaf W such that $X = \Sigma W$, then the reduced diagonal map $\Sigma W \rightarrow \Sigma W \wedge \Sigma W$ is simplicially null-homotopic, which means the cup-product pairing is trivial. □

Hopf invariants after Boardman and Steer Now, fix a pointed space Z and consider the James–Hopf invariant $H: J(Z) \rightarrow J(Z^{\wedge 2})$ from Definition 3.1.3. Applying $[X, -]$ to this morphism, the identification of $J(-)$ with $\Omega\Sigma(-)$ of Proposition 2.1.6 and the loops suspension adjunction, H determines a map

$$H: [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z] \longrightarrow [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z \wedge Z];$$

in an abuse of notation, we denote this map also by H , but the context should make clear which version we mean.

Following Boardman and Steer [12, Definition 2.1], define

$$\lambda_2: [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z] \rightarrow [\Sigma^2 X, \Sigma^2(Z \wedge Z)]$$

by $\lambda_2 = \Sigma \circ H$. Note that λ_2 vanishes on suspensions. The classical interaction between λ_2 and the group operation \cdot induced by the h -cogroup structure of a suspension generalizes to the context under consideration; this is a special case of the Cartan formula [12, Definition 2.1(c) and Theorem 3.15], which uses the notion of cup products just introduced. To state the result, let $\wp: \Sigma Z \wedge \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^2 Z \wedge Z$ be the map which does not change the order of the suspensions or the order of the copies of Z . The next result provides a direct analog of [12, Formula 3.14], but we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.3.3 Suppose that X and Z are pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} . Given $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in $[X, Z]$, consider $\Sigma\alpha_i \in [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z]$. The following formula holds in $[\Sigma^2 X, \Sigma^2 Z \wedge Z]$:

$$\lambda_2(\Sigma\alpha_1 \cdots \Sigma\alpha_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \wp(\Sigma\alpha_i \smile \Sigma\alpha_j),$$

where the product $\Sigma\alpha_1 \cdots \Sigma\alpha_n$ is taken with respect to the group structure on $[\Sigma X, \Sigma Z]$ and \prod denotes the group operation \cdot ordered lexicographically from left to right.

Proof We abuse notation slightly and write α_i for a specified representative of the homotopy class of maps $\alpha_i \in [X, Z]$. In that case, $\Sigma\alpha_1 \cdots \Sigma\alpha_n$ is adjoint to the element of $[X, J(Z)]$ determined by $x \mapsto \alpha_1(x)\alpha_2(x) \cdots \alpha_n(x)$ for $x \in X(U)$.

Then $H(\Sigma\alpha_1 \cdots \Sigma\alpha_n)$ is adjoint to the element of $[X, J(Z \wedge Z)]$ represented by

$$x \mapsto \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_i(x) \wedge \alpha_j(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j)(x \wedge x),$$

where \prod denotes the group operation on $J(Z \wedge Z)$ ordered lexicographically from left to right. Thus, $\lambda_2(\Sigma\alpha_1 \cdots \Sigma\alpha_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \wp(\Sigma\alpha_i \smile \Sigma\alpha_j)$, as claimed. \square

Let

$$s: \Sigma(X \times Y) \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \wedge Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{S}: \Sigma^2(X \times Y) \longrightarrow \Sigma^2(X \wedge Y)$$

be the suspension and 2-fold suspension of the usual map from the product to the smash. The group operation on $[\Sigma^2(X \times Y), \Sigma^2 Z \wedge Z]$ is abelian, so we use the symbol $+$ instead of \cdot when writing this operation.

Proposition 4.3.4 Let X and Y be pointed simplicial presheaves on \mathbf{C} and assume both are suspensions. If $\alpha \in [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z]$ and $\beta \in [\Sigma Y, \Sigma Z]$ are suspensions, then

$$\mathfrak{S}^* \lambda_2[\alpha, \beta] = -\wp(\pi_Y^* \beta \smile \pi_X^* \alpha) + \wp(\pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta).$$

We remind the reader that $[\alpha, \beta]$ denotes the Whitehead product of α and β . Here $\pi_X^*: [\Sigma X, \Sigma Z] \rightarrow [\Sigma(X \times Y), \Sigma Z]$ is the map induced by projection.

Proof All cup products will be followed by \wp , so we suppress \wp from the notation. By definition, $s^*[\alpha, \beta] = \pi_X^* \alpha^{-1} \cdot \pi_Y^* \beta^{-1} \cdot \pi_X^* \alpha \cdot \pi_Y^* \beta$. By the naturality of λ_2 and Lemma 4.3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^* \lambda_2[\alpha, \beta] &= \pi_X^* \alpha^{-1} \smile \pi_Y^* \beta^{-1} + \pi_X^* \alpha^{-1} \smile \pi_X^* \alpha + \pi_X^* \alpha^{-1} \smile \pi_Y^* \beta \\ &\quad + \pi_Y^* \beta^{-1} \smile \pi_X^* \alpha + \pi_Y^* \beta^{-1} \smile \pi_Y^* \beta + \pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since X is a suspension, the cup product $\alpha^{-1} \smile \alpha$ vanishes by Lemma 4.3.2(2), whence $\pi_X^* \alpha^{-1} \smile \pi_X^* \alpha = 0$. The same reasoning shows that $\pi_Y^* \beta^{-1} \smile \pi_Y^* \beta = 0$. By Lemma 4.3.2(1), the cup product is bilinear and associative, and so we obtain the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^* \lambda_2[\alpha, \beta] &= \pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta - \pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta - \pi_Y^* \beta \smile \pi_X^* \alpha + \pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta \\ &= -\pi_Y^* \beta \smile \pi_X^* \alpha + \pi_X^* \alpha \smile \pi_Y^* \beta. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Hopf invariants of Whitehead products Let Z be a pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} . Consider the maps

$$[\iota_{\Sigma Z}, \iota_{\Sigma Z}]: \Sigma Z \wedge Z \longrightarrow \Sigma Z \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma H[\iota_{\Sigma Z}, \iota_{\Sigma Z}]: \Sigma^2 Z \wedge Z \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 Z \wedge Z.$$

Let $e: Z \wedge Z \rightarrow Z \wedge Z$ denote the exchange map, ie the map that permutes the two factors.

Proposition 4.3.5 *Let Z be a pointed simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{C} that is a suspension. In the homotopy category, there is an equality*

$$\Sigma H[\iota_{\Sigma Z}, \iota_{\Sigma Z}] = -\Sigma^2 e + \Sigma^2 \iota_{Z \wedge Z}.$$

Proof Let $\pi_i: \Sigma(Z \times Z) \rightarrow \Sigma Z$ denote the suspension of the i^{th} projection for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\iota: \Sigma Z \rightarrow \Sigma Z$ denote the identity. Let $\wp: \Sigma Z \wedge \Sigma Z \rightarrow \Sigma^2(Z \wedge Z)$ be the permutation that does not change the order of suspensions, and let $\wp^{-1}: \Sigma^2(Z \wedge Z) \rightarrow \Sigma Z \wedge \Sigma Z$ be its inverse.

Consider the map $\mathfrak{S}: \Sigma^2(Z \times Z) \rightarrow \Sigma^2(Z \wedge Z)$ as introduced above. In that case, Proposition 4.3.4 allows us to conclude the following equality holds:

$$\mathfrak{S}^* \Sigma H[\iota, \iota] = -\wp(\pi_2^* \iota \smile \pi_1^* \iota) + \wp(\pi_1^* \iota \smile \pi_2^* \iota).$$

Write $\Delta: Z \times Z \rightarrow (Z \times Z) \wedge (Z \times Z)$ for the reduced diagonal map. Let

$$\wp': \Sigma^2(Z \times Z) \wedge (Z \times Z) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Z \times Z) \wedge \Sigma(Z \times Z)$$

denote the permutation which does not swap the order of the two suspensions in $\Sigma^2(Z \times Z) \wedge (Z \times Z)$. Note that

$$(\pi_1^* \iota \wedge \pi_2^* \iota) \circ \wp' \Sigma^2 \Delta: \Sigma^2(Z \times Z) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Z \times Z) \wedge \Sigma(Z \times Z) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Z) \wedge \Sigma(Z)$$

is homotopic to \mathfrak{S} followed by the permutation \wp^{-1} . Therefore, up to homotopy, $(\pi_1^* \iota \wedge \pi_2^* \iota) \circ \wp' \Sigma^2 \Delta = \wp^{-1} \mathfrak{S}$.

By definition, $\pi_1^* \iota \smile \pi_2^* \iota = (\pi_1^* \iota \wedge \pi_2^* \iota) \circ \wp' \Sigma^2 \Delta$. Thus $\pi_1^* \iota \smile \pi_2^* \iota = \wp^{-1} \mathfrak{S}$ in the homotopy category. Applying \wp to both sides, we conclude that

$$\wp(\pi_1^* \iota \smile \pi_2^* \iota) = \mathfrak{S}.$$

Note that

$$(\pi_2^* \iota \wedge \pi_1^* \iota) \circ \wp' \Sigma^2 \Delta: \Sigma^2(Z \times Z) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Z \times Z) \wedge \Sigma(Z \times Z) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Z) \wedge \Sigma(Z)$$

is homotopic to $\wp^{-1} \circ \Sigma^2 e \circ \mathfrak{S}$, whence

$$\wp(\pi_2^* \iota \smile \pi_1^* \iota) = \mathfrak{S}^* \Sigma^2 e.$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{S}^* \Sigma H[\iota_{\Sigma Z}, \iota_{\Sigma Z}] = \mathfrak{S}^* (-\Sigma^2 e + \Sigma^2 \iota_{Z \wedge Z}).$$

To conclude, we simply observe that \mathfrak{S}^* is injective. Indeed, this follows from the standard fact that for simplicial presheaves X and Y , after a single suspension, the cofiber sequence $X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow X \wedge Y$ is split by the sum of the projections Σp_X and Σp_Y . In that case, the long exact sequence in homotopy obtained by mapping any suspension of the above cofiber sequence into the space Z splits into a collection of short exact sequences. □

4.4 The composite HP for a sphere as an element of $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$

In this section, we analyze the composite map HP for a sphere. Up to this point in the paper, we have worked either in the context of simplicial presheaves on a site having enough points or in the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory over a base for which the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds. The results in this section differ from those earlier in the paper because they will use finer structure of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category over a base field k assumed to be perfect (and infinite for those being especially careful). We will try to be clear about exactly which ingredients do not follow from the “axiomatic” point of view.

Morel shows the sheaf $\pi_p^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{p+q\alpha})$ (see Notation 2.2.4) is isomorphic to the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf K_q^{MW} for $p \geq 2$ (or, somewhat exceptionally, for $p = 1$ and $q = 2$) [44, Theorem 1.23]. Stringing the EHP exact sequences of Theorem 3.2.1 for different spheres together, one obtains the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \pi_{i+3}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2p+3+2q\alpha}) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{P} & & & & \\
 \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{p+q\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{\text{E}} & \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{p+1+q\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{\text{H}} & \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2p+1+2q\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{\text{P}} & \pi_{i-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{p+q\alpha}) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{E} & & & & \\
 & & \pi_{i+2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{p+2+q\alpha}) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The composite map HP becomes the E_1 -differential in the EHP spectral sequence.

When $i = 2p$, the composite map HP is, by means of Morel’s computations, a morphism

$$\text{HP}: \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}}.$$

Note that by definition of Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves, there is a ring homomorphism $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}}, \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}})$ induced on sections by multiplication; moreover this homomorphism is necessarily injective. Lemma 5.1.3, combined with the computation of contractions of Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves (see the discussion after Lemma 5.1.1), implies this morphism is an isomorphism if k has characteristic unequal to 2; that this map is an isomorphism is also true if k has characteristic 2 but a different proof is required; see the discussion after Lemma 5.1.1 for more details. In any case, the map HP corresponds to an element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}}, \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}})$; we will see below that it always lies in the subring $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$.

In order to state the result, we need some more precise information about the structure of the Milnor–Witt K-theory ring. Recall that $\mathbf{K}_*^{\text{MW}}(k)$ is generated by elements $[a] \in k^*$ of degree +1 and an element η of degree -1 subject to various relations [44, Definition 3.1]. For a unit $a \in k^*$, set $\langle a \rangle := 1 + \eta[a]$; the identification $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k) \cong \text{GW}(k)$ sends the element $\langle a \rangle$ to the class of the 1-dimensional symmetric bilinear form of the same name [44, Lemma 3.10]. Following Morel [44, page 51] or [40, Section 6.1], we set $\epsilon := -\langle -1 \rangle$. The class ϵ is related to the map $\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ that exchanges the two factors: see [40, Lemma 6.1.1(2)] for a “stable” statement or [44, Lemma 3.43] for an “unstable” statement. Note that $1 - \epsilon$ is the hyperbolic form h , which intercedes in the definition of Milnor–Witt K-theory.

Theorem 4.4.1 *Assume k is a perfect field and suppose p and q are integers with $p > 1$ and $q \geq 1$. The map*

$$\text{HP}: \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}} = \pi_{2p+2}^{\mathbb{A}^1} J((S^{p+1+q\alpha})^{\wedge 2}) \longrightarrow \pi_{2p} J((S^{p+q\alpha})^{\wedge 2}) = \mathbf{K}_{2q}^{\text{MW}}$$

is given by $1 - (-1)^p \epsilon^q \in \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$. Equivalently,

$$\text{HP} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are even,} \\ 2 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } q \text{ is even,} \\ h & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } q \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 + \epsilon & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.4.2 See Remark 5.1.2 for more details on the situation when k has characteristic 2. There is a corresponding statement if $q = 0$ as well, but in that case, the composite in question gets identified with an element of \mathbb{Z} , not $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ and the result is the classical computation of HP.

Proof Under the hypothesis on k , the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property holds by Theorem 2.2.7. The hypotheses on p and q are simply those that need to be imposed to appeal to Morel’s computations of homotopy sheaves.

We begin by applying Theorem 4.2.1 with $\mathcal{X} = S^{p+1+q\alpha}$, $\mathcal{Y} = S^{p+q\alpha}$, $n = p + 1$ and $i = 2p + 1$ to interpret P as a Whitehead product. More precisely, since $1 \leq p$, it follows that $i = (2p + 1) \leq 3(p + 1) - 3$, and so we know that P is induced by the map $[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{X}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Y}}]_*$ in degree $2p + 1$. It follows that the composite HP is isomorphic to the map obtained by applying $\pi_{2p+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ to $H[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{X}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Y}}]$.

Next, we appeal to our results about James–Hopf invariants of Whitehead products (Proposition 4.3.5) to produce an explicit formula for HP in terms of the exchange map $e: \mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}$. Indeed, Proposition 4.3.5 yields an equality of the form

$$\Sigma H[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{X}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Y}}] = -\Sigma^2 e + \Sigma^2 \iota_{\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}}.$$

However, recall that by Theorem 3.2.1 (combined with Remark 3.2.3), the suspension map

$$\Sigma: [\Sigma(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}), \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X})] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^2(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}), \Sigma^2(\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X})]$$

is an isomorphism and we conclude that the following equality holds:

$$H[\iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{X}}, \iota_{\Sigma\mathcal{Y}}] = -\Sigma e + \Sigma \iota_{\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}}.$$

Therefore, we see that HP is isomorphic to the map induced by applying $\pi_{2p+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ to $-\Sigma e + \Sigma \iota_{\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}}$.

Now we identify the homotopy class of the exchange map, e . Since e can be effected by pairwise exchanging copies of S^1 , and \mathbb{G}_m , it suffices to understand the effect of each such exchange on a homotopy class. By [44, Lemma 3.43], in the presence of a single suspension, the exchange of copies of \mathbb{G}_m contributes a factor of ϵ . It is well known that the permutation map on $S^1 \wedge S^1$ has degree -1 . Combining these two observations, a straightforward induction argument allows us to conclude that e has degree $(-1)^p \epsilon^q$. Thus, the map induced by applying $\pi_{2p+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$ to $-\Sigma e + \Sigma \iota_{\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{X}}$ is multiplication by $1 - (-1)^p \epsilon^q$. Since $\epsilon^2 = 1$ in $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ by [44, Lemma 3.5], the statement of the theorem follows by simply listing the possible cases. \square

Remark 4.4.3 Classically, the composite HP computed above is either 2 or 0 depending on the parity of the dimension of the sphere in question (this follows immediately from the definition of the James–Hopf invariant and symmetry properties of the Whitehead square of the identity). If one invokes real realization [45, page 121], Theorem 4.4.1 can be viewed as a direct analog of this classical result. First, observe that $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{GW}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$; this identification sends a symmetric

bilinear form over the real numbers to its rank and signature. Under real realization, the sphere $S^{p+q\alpha}$ is sent to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant sphere of the same name. In particular, the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -fixed-point locus of $S^{p+q\alpha}$ is simply S^p , while the fixed-point locus under the trivial group is the sphere S^{p+q} . From this point of view, the formula for HP from Theorem 4.4.1 simply reflects the relative parities of p and $p + q$: the signature keeps track of the degree on fixed-point loci for $\mathbb{Z}/2$, while the rank keeps track of the degree on fixed-point loci for the trivial subgroup. For example, when p is even and q is odd, HP is multiplication by h , which has rank 2 and signature 0.

5 Applications

Here we collect some computational applications of Theorems 3.2.1 and 3.3.13. Section 5.1 is of a preliminary nature and contains a number of results about Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves that are used elsewhere in the text; some of these facts are certainly well known, but we could not find good references. Section 5.2 contains new computations of a family of unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of motivic spheres: it contains the first computation since Morel’s of an S^1 -stable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaf (see Theorems 5.2.5 and 5.2.9). Finally, Section 5.3 contains results regarding unstable rationalized motivic homotopy sheaves, and S^1 -stable homotopy sheaves of Voevodsky’s mod m motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spaces (see Theorems 5.3.1 and 5.3.3). While it should be clear from the referencing, essentially all of the results of this section require finer properties of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category than merely the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity property.

5.1 On Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves

In this section we study some properties of the Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves K_n^{MW} [44, Section 3]. By [44, Theorem 3.37], the sheaves K_n^{MW} are strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves for any integer n . In fact, for any integer $n \geq 1$, the sheaf K_n^{MW} is the free strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf on the sheaf of pointed sets $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$, and K_0^{MW} is the free strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf on $\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}$ by [44, Theorem 3.46] (not pointed in this case).

Basic properties of Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves If M is a presheaf of groups (actually, pointed sets suffices), then its contraction M_{-1} is the presheaf of groups on Sm_k defined by

$$M_{-1}(U) := \ker(M(\mathbb{G}_m \times U) \xrightarrow{(1 \times \text{id})^*} M(U)),$$

where $1: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is the unit map. The next result summarizes the properties of contractions we will use.

Lemma 5.1.1 [44, Lemmas 2.32 and 7.33] *The assignment $M \mapsto M_{-1}$ defines an endofunctor of the category of strictly (or strongly) \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves which preserves exact sequences.*

We will freely use the fact [3, Lemma 2.9]⁴ that $(K_n^{\text{MW}})_{-j} = K_{n-j}^{\text{MW}}$ for any pair of integers n, j . We write W for the sheaf of unramified Witt groups, and $I^n \subset W$ for the subsheaves of unramified powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring [42, Section 2.1]. For any integer m , we write K_n^M/m for the mod m Milnor K-theory sheaf. The contractions of K_n^M are summarized in [3, Lemma 2.7]. There is a canonical morphism $K_n^M/2 \rightarrow I^n/I^{n+1}$; the Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms, now a theorem, asserts that this morphism is an isomorphism [46; 42].

Suppose k is a base field of characteristic unequal to 2. Morel established [41, Théorème 5.3] under this hypothesis that there is a fiber product presentation of K_n^{MW} relating the various sheaves described in the previous paragraph. For any integer n , there is a fiber product diagram of the following form:⁵

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & I^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_n^M & \longrightarrow & K_n^M/2 \end{array}$$

By convention $K_n^M = K_n^M/2 = 0$ for $n < 0$, whereas $I^n \cong W$ for $n < 0$.

This fiber product presentation yields two fundamental exact sequences

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & K_n^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & K_n^M \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 2K_n^M & \longrightarrow & K_n^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & I^n \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We use these sequences repeatedly in the sequel.

Remark 5.1.2 The assumption that k has characteristic unequal to 2 is inessential above: the fiber product presentation exists without this condition as one can see by inspecting the proofs, and appealing to the results of Kato [35] on the characteristic 2 version of Milnor’s conjecture involving symmetric bilinear forms instead of [46]. However, a detailed proof of this generalization does not appear in the literature, and

⁴This identification is due to Morel and appears in several places in [44] but without a proof. The proof given in [3] requires k to have characteristic unequal to 2 since it depends on the Gersten conjecture for the sheaves I^j . The result can also be demonstrated when k has characteristic 2 if one appeals to Morel’s Gersten–Schmid resolution of K_n^{MW} . Nevertheless, since we will momentarily restrict to the case where k has characteristic different from 2 for other reasons, the result from [3] is sufficient for our purposes.

⁵See [22] for some corrections to [41].

since in later applications we will be restricted to the characteristic unequal to 2 case anyway, we have not pursued this generalization.

On the structure of contracted sheaves

Lemma 5.1.3 *Suppose M is a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf.*

- (1) *For any integer $n \geq 1$, there are isomorphisms*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}}, M) \cong M_{-n}(k).$$

- (2) *If $n \geq 2$, the evident map $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_{n-1}^{\text{MW}}, M_{-1})$ induced by contraction is an isomorphism compatible with the identification of point (1).*

Proof Write $\underline{\text{Hom}}_*$ for the internal Hom in the category of presheaves of pointed sets on Sm_k . In that case, unwinding the definitions, there is an identification $M_{-1} = \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m, M)$. A straightforward induction argument combined with the adjunction between \wedge and $\underline{\text{Hom}}_*$ then shows $M_{-n} = \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}, M)$.

For $n \geq 1$, [44, Theorem 3.37] shows that \mathbf{K}_n^{MW} is the free strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of groups on the sheaf of pointed sets $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$. As a consequence, there are functorial identifications

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}}, M),$$

where $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ on the right-hand side is the internal Hom in the category of presheaves of abelian groups. To complete the verification of point (1), simply take sections over k .

In light of the discussion of the previous paragraphs, to establish point (2) one simply observes that, as long as $n \geq 2$, the map in question arises via the following sequence of identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}}, M) &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}, M) \\ &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge(n-1)}, \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m, M)) \\ &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbf{K}_{n-1}^{\text{MW}}, M_{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.1.4 *Suppose M is a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf.*

- (1) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}, M) \cong M(k) \times_h M_{-1}(k),$$

where ${}_h M_{-1}(k)$ is the h -torsion subgroup. The first map is induced by the projection $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, while the second is induced by a splitting of the map $I \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}$.

- (2) *For any integer $n \geq 1$, the map $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}, M_{-n})$ induced by contraction has image the factor $M_{-n}(k)$ of the product described in point (1).*

Proof Note that $K_0^{\text{MW}} = H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}) = \tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2})$ by [44, Theorem 3.46] and what follows amounts to unwinding the proof of this result. Note that there is a split cofiber sequence $S_k^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}$.

By adjunction, one then obtains identifications of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Hom}}(K_0^{\text{MW}}, M) &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}, M) \\ &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(S_k^0, M) \times \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}, M) \\ &\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \times \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}), M) \\ &\cong M \times \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}), M). \end{aligned}$$

Under this decomposition, the projection map $K_0^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is precisely the rank map. On the other hand, the splitting $\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2} \cong S_k^0 \vee \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}$ corresponds to the splitting $I \rightarrow K_0^{\text{MW}}$ as described before [44, Corollary 3.47].

Next, there is an exact sequence of Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups of the form

$$\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking reduced \mathbb{A}^1 -homology (as the composite of taking the (based) free abelian group functor and the exact functor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{ab}}$) yields an exact sequence of the form

$$\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m) = K_1^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow K_1^{\text{MW}}$ induced by the squaring map on \mathbb{G}_m is multiplication by $h = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$ by [44, Lemma 3.14]. Thus, we conclude that

$$\text{Hom}(\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m^{\times 2}), M) \cong {}_h M_{-1}(k),$$

which is what we wanted to show.

For point (2), we appeal to Lemma 5.1.3. Indeed, it suffices by Lemma 5.1.3(2) and induction to treat the case where $n = 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1.3, adjunction yields identifications of the form

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(K_1^{\text{MW}}, M) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m, M) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(S_k^0, \underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\mathbb{G}_m, M)) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}, M_{-1}).$$

In particular, the map

$$\text{Hom}(K_1^{\text{MW}}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_0^{\text{MW}}, M_{-1})$$

induced by contraction factors through the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(K_1^{\text{MW}}, M) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, M_{-1}).$$

We treat the universal case: taking $M = K_1^{\text{MW}}$, we see that the map induced by contraction factors through $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, K_0^{\text{MW}})$. Such homomorphisms correspond to elements of $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ via the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and under the identification, the identity map $K_1^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow K_1^{\text{MW}}$ is sent to the class of $\langle 1 \rangle$.

The identification $(K_1^{\text{MW}})_{-1} \cong K_0^{\text{MW}}$ can be seen in terms of the fiber product presentations $K_1^{\text{MW}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1^{\text{M}} \times_{K_1^{\text{M}/2} I} I$ and $K_0^{\text{MW}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} W$. The symbol map $G_m \rightarrow K_1^{\text{MW}}$ can be thought of as a set-theoretic splitting of the projection map $K_1^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow K_1^{\text{M}}$. After contraction, this projection is sent to the rank map $K_0^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The factorization produced in the previous paragraph thus corresponds to a splitting of the rank map $K_0^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, the decomposition in point (1) corresponded with a decomposition $K_0^{\text{MW}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus I$ and under this identification the unit $\langle 1 \rangle$ is sent to $(1, 0)$, thus we conclude that the projection onto the other factor is the zero map. \square

Lemma 5.1.5 *Fix a base field k . If $\phi: K_n^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of sheaves such that $\phi_{-j} = 0$, then*

- (1) *if $n \geq j \geq 0$, the morphism ϕ is trivial;*
- (2) *if $0 \leq n < j$, the morphism ϕ factors through a morphism $K_n^{\text{MW}}/I^j \rightarrow M$.*

Proof Factor $\phi: K_n^{\text{MW}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Im}(\phi) \hookrightarrow M$. Since the inclusion of the abelian category of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves into the abelian category of abelian sheaves is exact (see Lemma 3.3.8) we can assume without loss of generality that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Thus, it suffices to consider the case where $\phi: K_n^{\text{MW}} \twoheadrightarrow M$ is an epimorphism and M is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.

If $M_{-0} = 0$, then $M = 0$, and there is nothing to check. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that $j \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.1.3, for any integer $r \geq 1$, $M_{-r}(k) \cong \text{Hom}(K_r^{\text{MW}}, M)$. For (1) we simply observe that if $n \geq j$, then the morphism $K_n^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow M$ is the trivial map since $M_{-n}(k) = 0$ as well.

For (2), begin by observing that, since $0 \leq n < j$, we can consider the following diagram:

$$K_j^{\text{MW}} \twoheadrightarrow I^j \hookrightarrow I^{n+1} \hookrightarrow K_n^{\text{MW}} \twoheadrightarrow M$$

Reading from the left, the first and third maps are those in the exact sequences in (5.1.1), the map $I^j \hookrightarrow I^{n+1}$ is the standard inclusion (since $j \geq n + 1$, this makes sense), and the final epimorphism is the one given by the assumptions. Since $M_{-j} = 0$ (and $j \geq 1$ by assumption), this composite is trivial, which means the map $K_n^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow M$ factors through the quotient K_n^{MW}/I^j . \square

Remark 5.1.6 This result will be applied below with $K_n^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow M$ a map to a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf with strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant cokernel.

Some result on \mathbb{A}^1 -tensor products

Proposition 5.1.7 *There is an isomorphism $K_m^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^{MW} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{m+n}^{MW}$, for any integers $m, n \geq 1$.*

Proof Morel computed $\tilde{H}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^i \setminus 0) \cong K_n^{MW}$ [44, Theorem 6.40] (strictly speaking, this result is stated for $n \geq 2$, but the result is true for $n = 1$ as well by unwinding the definitions and appealing to [44, Theorem 3.37]). There are identifications

$$\Sigma \mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0 \wedge \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0 * \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^{m+n} \setminus 0$$

(here $*$ means join), for any $m, n \geq 1$. Proposition 3.3.9 then yields

$$K_m^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^{MW} \cong \tilde{H}_{n+m-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0 \wedge \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0),$$

which when combined with the suspension isomorphism $\tilde{H}_{n+m-2}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0 \wedge \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0) \cong \tilde{H}_{n+m-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^m \setminus 0 * \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0) \cong K_{n+m}^{MW}$ yields the result. \square

Lemma 5.1.8 *For any integers $m, n \geq 1$, and any integer $r \geq 0$, there are canonical isomorphisms $K_m^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^M/r \cong K_{m+n}^M/r$. There are also canonical isomorphisms $K_m^M/r \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^M/r \cong K_{m+n}^M/r$.*

Proof By Lemma 5.1.3, we can identify $\text{Hom}(K_{n+1}^{MW}, K_n^{MW}) \cong K_{-1}^{MW}(k) \cong W(k)$ for $n \geq 2$ (the final identification by [44, Lemma 3.10]). The group $K_{-1}^{MW}(k)$ contains the element η and we refer to the corresponding map $K_{n+1}^{MW} \rightarrow K_n^{MW}$ using the same notation. Unwinding the definitions, this map corresponds to the composite of the $K_{n+1}^{MW} \rightarrow I^{n+1}$ defined on sections by multiplication by η and the inclusion map $I^{n+1} \hookrightarrow K_n^{MW}$.

By the discussion of the previous paragraph, the first exact sequence of (5.1.1) yields the exact sequence

$$K_{n+1}^{MW} \xrightarrow{\eta} K_n^{MW} \longrightarrow K_n^M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring this exact sequence with K_m^{MW} and applying Proposition 5.1.7 we conclude that there is an exact sequence

$$K_{m+n+1}^{MW} \xrightarrow{\eta} K_{m+n}^{MW} \longrightarrow K_m^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^M \longrightarrow 0.$$

However, this sequence identifies $K_m^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_n^M \cong K_{m+n}^M$. Repeating this discussion using the exact sequence $K_n^M \rightarrow K_n^M \rightarrow K_n^M/r$ we obtain the isomorphism of the statement. Repeating this discussion in the other factor allows us to obtain the final statement. \square

Rationalized Milnor–Witt K-theory sheaves Now, we turn our attention to rationalized Milnor–Witt sheaves $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which will reappear in Section 5.3.

Lemma 5.1.9 Fix a base field k , assumed to have characteristic unequal to 2.

- (1) There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}_n^{\text{M}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbf{I}^n \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for every integer n .
- (2) If k is not formally real, then $\mathbf{I}^n \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial, ie $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}_n^{\text{M}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof The first statement follows from the fiber product presentation of \mathbf{K}_n^{MW} together with the fact that $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{M}}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$. For the second statement, since \mathbf{I}^n is an unramified sheaf, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{I}^n(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for L a finitely generated extension of k . If k is not formally real, then any extension field has the same property, and the result follows immediately from the fact that $\mathbf{I}^n(L)$ is a 2-torsion sheaf if L is not formally real [18, Proposition 31.4]. \square

Corollary 5.1.10 Fix a base field k , assumed to have characteristic unequal to 2.

- (1) $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial for any integer $n \geq 0$.
- (2) If k is formally real, then $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial for any integer n .

Proof Both tensoring with \mathbb{Q} and contraction are exact endofunctors of the category of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves (see Lemma 5.1.1) and it follows immediately from the definitions that the two constructions commute, ie if \mathbf{M} is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then $(\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{-1} \cong \mathbf{M}_{-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, to show $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial, it suffices to show that $(\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{-m} = (\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{MW}})_{-m} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbf{K}_{n-m}^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial for some $m > 0$. There is a canonical identification $\mathbf{K}_{n-m}^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbf{K}_{n-m}^{\text{M}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbf{I}^{n-m} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, by Lemma 5.1.9(1).

For (1), take $m = n$, and observe that $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{M}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. For (2) observe that if $m > n$, then $\mathbf{K}_{n-m}^{\text{MW}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Since k is assumed formally real, we can choose an ordering of k [18, Proposition 31.20], and thus find a real closed field k' containing k . In that case, observe that $\mathbf{W}(k') \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by Sylvester’s law of inertia [18, Proposition 31.5]. Thus, $\mathbf{W}(k') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nonzero, so the sheaf $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial. \square

Remark 5.1.11 Once again, the assumption that k has characteristic unequal to 2 is inessential. This assumption only appears by way of our appeal to Morel’s fiber square presentation of \mathbf{K}_n^{MW} (see Remark 5.1.2).

Remark 5.1.12 Rationalized Milnor K-theory sheaves can be quite large. If L is an infinite field, write L^{alg} for an algebraic closure. The Bloch–Kato conjecture [54; 55] implies that for $n \geq 2$, the groups $\mathbf{K}_n^{\text{M}}(L^{\text{alg}})$ are (nontrivial) uniquely divisible (these

groups are evidently divisible for $n = 1$). By using transfers in Milnor K-theory [11, Section I.5] it is easy to see that the restriction map $K_n^M(L) \rightarrow K_n^M(L^{\text{alg}})$ is injective modulo torsion. Any element $\alpha \in K_n^M(L)$ that goes to zero in $K_n^M(L^{\text{alg}})$ necessarily goes to zero in a finite extension L'/L . In that case, the composite $K_n^M(L) \rightarrow K_n^M(L') \rightarrow K_n^M(L)$ of restriction with transfer is multiplication by the degree. Thus, $[L' : L]\alpha = 0$, ie α is torsion. Equivalently, one can use the identification of Milnor K-theory with motivic cohomology [38, Theorem 5.1] and transfers there.

5.2 On \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of spheres

The goal of this section is to establish Conjecture 5 of [2]. The results below depends rather heavily on the results of [5] and thus we assume throughout this section that k is an infinite perfect field of characteristic unequal to 2.

On the computation of $\pi_{3+j}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha})$ To begin, we recall some results from [5] where we used the notation $\pi_{3,j}(\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0)$ for the sheaf in the title. One begins by considering the fiber sequence

$$(5.2.1) \quad \text{SL}_4 / \text{Sp}_4 \longrightarrow \text{SL}_6 / \text{Sp}_6 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^5 \setminus 0.$$

A stable range was described for the homotopy sheaves of $\text{SL}_{2n} / \text{Sp}_{2n}$ in [5, Proposition 4.2.2] in terms of Grothendieck–Witt sheaves (see [5, Sections 3.1 and 3.3] and the references there for explication of the notation). Also obtained there was a short exact sequence of sheaves of the form

$$(5.2.2) \quad \mathbf{GW}_5^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}} \longrightarrow \pi_3^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GW}_4^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The cokernel of morphism $\mathbf{GW}_5^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}}$ was called F_5 and a description of F_5 was given in [5, Theorem 4.4.1]. Before discussing the structure of this morphism, we introduce a further convention to simplify the notation.

Convention 5.2.1 Write $\Omega(-)$ for the \mathbb{A}^1 -derived loop functor, ie $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^1}(-)$.

The map $\mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \pi_3^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha})$ is by construction induced by a morphism $\Omega S^{4+5\alpha} \rightarrow S^{2+3\alpha}$. The composite map

$$\delta: S^{3+5\alpha} \longrightarrow \Omega S^{4+5\alpha} \longrightarrow S^{2+3\alpha}$$

was shown to be a generator of $\pi_{3+5\alpha}(S^{2+3\alpha})$ in [5, Proposition 5.2.1]. We deduce a few simple consequences of these results now.

Lemma 5.2.2 *Suppose $j \geq 6$ is an integer.*

- (1) *There is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_{3+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) \cong W$.*
- (2) *Any \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy class of maps $S^{3+j\alpha} \rightarrow S^{2+3\alpha}$ lifts uniquely along δ to a map $S^{3+j\alpha} \rightarrow S^{3+5\alpha}$.*

Proof For point (1), begin by applying [44, Theorem 6.13] to the exact sequence of (5.2.2). By [5, Proposition 3.4.3], we observe that $(\mathbf{GW}_4^3)_{-j} = 0$ for $j \geq 5$. By [5, Lemma 3.4.1], if $j = 5$, we conclude that $(\mathbf{GW}_5^3)_{-5} = \mathbf{GW}_0^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $(\mathbf{GW}_5^3)_{-j} = 0$ for $j \geq 6$. Thus, we conclude that there is a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\pi_{3+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) \cong \pi_{3+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Omega S^{4+5\alpha}) \cong \pi_{4+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{4+5\alpha}) \cong (\mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}})_{-j} \cong W$$

if $j \geq 6$.

For point (2), take a map $\phi: S^{3+j\alpha} \rightarrow S^{2+3\alpha}$ as in the statement. Mapping $S^{3+j\alpha}$ into the fiber sequence of (5.2.2), the argument of point (1) shows that, for $j \geq 6$, such a map lifts uniquely to a map $S^{3+j\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega S^{4+5\alpha}$. Since $S^{3+5\alpha}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -2-connected, the unit of the loop-suspension adjunction $S^{3+5\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega S^{4+5\alpha}$ induces an isomorphism on \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves in degrees ≤ 4 by, eg, Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.3. Therefore, ϕ lifts uniquely along δ . □

On the computation of $\pi_{j+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{j+3\alpha})$ for $j \geq 3$

Proposition 5.2.3 *If k is a field of characteristic 0 and containing a quadratically closed subfield, then $\pi_{4+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) = 0$.*

Proof With \mathcal{X} equal to $S^{2+3\alpha}$, which is \mathbb{A}^1 -1-connected, Theorem 3.3.13, the exactness of contraction and [44, Theorem 6.13] yield the following exact sequence:

$$(5.2.3) \quad \pi_{5+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_{5+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{5+6\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} \pi_{3+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_{4+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have $\pi_{5+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{5+6\alpha}) \cong \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}$ again by Morel’s computations [44, Theorem 1.23].

By Theorem 4.2.1, the morphism \mathbf{P} is induced by composition with

$$[\iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}, \iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}]: S^{3+6\alpha} \longrightarrow S^{2+3\alpha};$$

we will refer to this map as composition with the Whitehead square of the identity. By Lemma 5.2.2(2), this map lifts uniquely through δ to a map

$$[\iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}, \iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}]: S^{3+6\alpha} \longrightarrow S^{3+5\alpha},$$

which (by [44, Corollary 6.43]) can be viewed as an element of $W(k)$. The exact sequence (5.2.3) becomes, by Lemma 5.2.2(1),

$$\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} W \longrightarrow \pi_{4+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We claim each of the sheaves in the above exact sequence are sheaves of \mathbf{K}_0^{MW} -modules in a natural way, and that the morphisms are morphisms of sheaves of \mathbf{K}_0^{MW} -modules. To see this, it suffices to observe that the portion of the \mathbb{A}^1 -EHP sequence under consideration takes the form $\pi_{3+6\alpha}(\Omega^2 S^{5+6\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_{3+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_{3+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Omega S^{3+3\alpha})$, and the \mathbf{K}_0^{MW} -module structure is induced by precomposition with $\pi_{3+6\alpha}(S^{3+6\alpha})$. From these observations it follows that the map P is determined by an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}}(\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}, W) = W(k)$.

Assume first that k is a quadratically closed field of characteristic 0. In that case $W(k) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, and, to establish the claim, it suffices to prove that our morphism is nontrivial. To see this, fix an embedding $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Using complex realization (see [45, Section 3, Lemma 3.4] or [16]), and the fact that complex realization takes spheres to spheres, it suffices to prove that composition with the Whitehead square of the identity is nontrivial after taking \mathbb{C} -points. Serre showed that $\pi_9(S^5) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and that the Whitehead square of the identity on S^5 is a generator, [50, Section 41]. Consequently, we conclude that the our morphism P also corresponds to the nontrivial element of $W(k)$ and is therefore an epimorphism.

If L/k is an extension field, then the morphism P in our sequence viewed over the base field L is pulled back from the morphism P over k . Thus, by appeal to the conclusion of the previous paragraph, we conclude in this case as well that the morphism $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow W$ is necessarily the standard epimorphism, and therefore that $\pi_{4+6\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) = 0$. \square

Remark 5.2.4 In the preceding proof, the assumption that k has characteristic 0 can likely be weakened to the assumption that k has characteristic unequal to 2 via appeal to étale realization [28]. As a consequence, the same remark applies to all statements below appealing to Proposition 5.2.3. Removing the assumption that k contains a quadratically closed subfield will probably require different techniques. Nevertheless, it seems likely that the “lifted” map $[\iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}, \iota_{S^{2+3\alpha}}]: S^{3+6\alpha} \rightarrow S^{3+5\alpha}$ is simply a suspension of η and the above result can be established without reference to realization of any sort.

The above vanishing statement has a number of useful consequences.

Theorem 5.2.5 *If k is a field of characteristic 0 and containing a quadratically closed subfield, then for every integer $j \geq 3$, there is an exact sequence of the form*

$$(5.2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F'_5 \longrightarrow \pi_{j+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{j+3\alpha}) \longrightarrow GW_4^3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

together with an epimorphism $\mathbf{K}_5^{\text{M}}/24 \rightarrow F'_5$ that becomes an isomorphism after 4-fold contraction. Moreover, the composite map $\mathbf{K}_5^{\text{M}}/24 \rightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$ determines an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/24 \cong \pi_{4+5\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$.

Proof We treat the case where $j = 3$, building upon the analysis in the proof of Proposition 5.2.3. Since $S^{3+3\alpha}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -2-connected, the case $j \geq 4$ will follow immediately from this case and the \mathbb{A}^1 -simplicial suspension theorem (Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.3).

Take $\mathcal{X} = S^{2+3\alpha}$ in Theorem 3.3.13 and consider the map $P: \mathbf{K}_6^{\text{MW}} = \pi_5^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{5+6\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_3^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha})$. Recall the exact sequence of (5.2.2), which appears as the horizontal line of (5.2.5):

$$(5.2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \pi_5^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{5+6\alpha}) = \mathbf{K}_6^{\text{MW}} & & & & \\ & & \downarrow P & \searrow \text{dotted} & & & \\ \mathbf{GW}_5^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_3^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{GW}_4^3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow E & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ & & & & \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

The vertical sequence is the EHP sequence applied to $S^{2+3\alpha}$. The dotted diagonal map is an element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_6^{\text{MW}}, \mathbf{GW}_4^3) \cong (\mathbf{GW}_4^3)_{-6}(k)$ by Lemma 5.1.3. On the other hand, [5, Proposition 3.4.3] allows us to conclude that $(\mathbf{GW}_4^3)_{-6} = 0$ so this diagonal map vanishes, and therefore there is an induced epimorphism, denoted by the dashed diagonal arrow in (5.2.5), $\pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathbf{GW}_4^3$, as required by the theorem. By combining a portion of diagram (5.2.5) with the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^6 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0$ we obtain diagram (5.2.6), ignoring the dotted arrow for the moment:

$$(5.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \mathbf{I}^6 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathbf{GW}_5^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_3^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{2+3\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{GW}_4^3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow E & & \parallel \\ & & \mathbf{K}_5^{\text{M}} & \cdots \longrightarrow & \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{GW}_4^3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

As we know that $\pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})_{-6} = 0$, it follows from Lemma 5.1.5 that the composite map $K_5^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$ factors through $K_5^{\text{MW}}/I^6 = K_5^{\text{M}}$, giving the dotted arrow in diagram (5.2.6).

We define F_5 , as in [5], to be the cokernel of the map $GW_5^3 \rightarrow K_5^{\text{MW}}$, and define F'_5 to be the image of F_5 in $\pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F'_5 \longrightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \longrightarrow GW_4^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is an immediate consequence of this definition. Furthermore, there is a diagram of exact sequences:

$$(5.2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^6 & \longrightarrow & K_5^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & K_5^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & I^6 & \longrightarrow & F_5 & \longrightarrow & F'_5 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

To determine the behavior of F'_5 , we need finer information regarding the sheaf F_5 as described in [5, Theorems 4.3.1 and 4.4.1]. We provide a brief recapitulation of that description here. The sheaf F_5 is identified there as a quotient of a fibered product as follows. One defines a sheaf S_5 , the cokernel of a ‘‘Chern class’’ map $K_5^{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow K_5^{\text{M}}$ [3, Definition 3.6]. The sheaf S_5 is equipped with a canonical surjection onto $K_5^{\text{M}}/2$ (see [3, Lemma 3.13] and the subsequent discussion). One then defines a sheaf T_5 to be the fiber product of S_5 and I^5 over $K_5^{\text{M}}/2$ [3, page 911]; the maps $I^5 \rightarrow K_5^{\text{M}}/2$ and $K_5^{\text{M}} \rightarrow S_5 \rightarrow K_5^{\text{M}}/2$ coincide with the defining maps in the fiber product presentation in K_5^{MW} . By [5, Theorem 4.3.1] (see [5, Theorem 4.4.1]), there is an epimorphism $T_5 \rightarrow F_5$ and this epimorphism becomes an isomorphism after 4-fold contraction by [5, Lemma 5.1.1]. Assembling all the above, there is a diagram of short exact sequences, enlarging (5.2.7),

$$(5.2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^6 & \longrightarrow & K_5^{\text{MW}} & \longrightarrow & K_5^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I^6 & \longrightarrow & T_5 & \longrightarrow & S_5 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ & & I^6 & \longrightarrow & F_5 & \longrightarrow & F'_5 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where ϕ , and therefore ϕ' , becomes an isomorphism after 4-fold contraction.

There is an epimorphism $\mathbf{K}_5^M/24 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{S}_5$ that becomes an isomorphism after 4-fold contraction, [3, Corollary 3.11]. It follows there is such an epimorphism $\mathbf{K}_5^M/24 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{F}'_5$ as well.

Since $(\mathbf{K}_5^M)_{-5} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $(\mathbf{GW}_4^3)_{-5} = 0$, the latter by [5, Proposition 3.4.3], the 5-fold contraction of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}'_5 \longrightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GW}_4^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

reduces to an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/24 \cong \pi_{4+5\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$. □

Remark 5.2.6 Because of the observation of [5, Remark 5.1.2], we do not know whether the map $\mathbf{K}_5^M/24 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}'_5$ of Theorem 5.2.5 is an isomorphism after 3-fold contraction. Nevertheless, it seems likely that this is the case.

Consider the motivic Hopf map $\nu: S^{3+4\alpha} \rightarrow S^{2+2\alpha}$. The standard construction of this map is via the Hopf construction [44, page 190] on the multiplication map $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2$.

Corollary 5.2.7 *If k is a field having characteristic 0 and containing a quadratically closed subfield, then for every integer $j \geq 3$, the group $\pi_{j+1+5\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{j+3\alpha}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$ is generated by $\Sigma^{j-2+\alpha}\nu$.*

Proof This follows by combining Theorem 5.2.5 and [5, Corollary 5.3.1]. □

Remark 5.2.8 Since $\eta: S^{1+2\alpha} \rightarrow S^{1+\alpha}$ we can consider $\nu \wedge \eta: S^{4+6\alpha} \rightarrow S^{3+3\alpha}$. Proposition 5.2.3 then guarantees that $\nu \wedge \eta$ and $\eta \wedge \nu$ are null-homotopic. Since they remain null-homotopic after suspension, we obtain a purely unstable proof of one of the motivic null-Hopf relations [17, Proposition 5.4].

Similarly, if $\eta_s: S_s^3 \rightarrow S_s^2$ is the simplicial Hopf map, then we can consider the composite map

$$\Sigma^{2+2\alpha}\eta \circ \Sigma^{2+3\alpha}\eta \circ \Sigma^{2+4\alpha}\eta \circ \Sigma^{1+6\alpha}\eta_s: S^{4+6\alpha} \longrightarrow S^{3+3\alpha}.$$

Once again, Proposition 5.2.3 implies this composition is null-homotopic. Stabilizing with respect to \mathbb{P}^1 -suspension, this implies the relation $\eta^3\eta_s = 0$ in the motivic stable homotopy ring. This relation is an incarnation of the fact that the topological Hopf map η_{top} satisfies $\eta_{\mathrm{top}}^4 = 0$.

The existence of such null-homotopies allows us to construct new elements in unstable homotopy sheaves of motivic spheres using Toda brackets [52]. It would be interesting to study such constructions more systematically.

On the structure of $\pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n-1+n\alpha})$ for $n \geq 4$ Finally, we are able to establish [2, Conjecture 5] under the additional hypothesis that our base field contains a quadratically closed field having characteristic 0.

Theorem 5.2.9 *Suppose k is a field that contains a quadratically closed field having characteristic 0. For every integer $n \geq 4$, the map $v_n := \Sigma^{(n-2)+(n-2)\alpha} v$ induces a nontrivial morphism*

$$(v_n)_*: K_{n+2}^M/24 \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha}).$$

Proof This follows essentially from Corollary 5.2.7. In more detail, the map $(v_n)_*$ determines a morphism $K_{n+2}^{MW} \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha})$, but by construction, this morphism factors through \mathbb{P}^1 -suspension. In particular, since the map $K_5^{MW} \rightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$ factors through a morphism $K_5^M/24 \rightarrow \pi_4^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{3+3\alpha})$, we conclude that for any integer $n \geq 4$, the morphism $K_{n+2}^{MW} \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha})$ factors through a map $K_{n-3}^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_5^M/24 \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha})$. Lemma 5.1.8 allows us to conclude $K_{n-3}^{MW} \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} K_5^M/24 \cong K_{n+2}^M/24$, which is precisely what we wanted to show. \square

Recall that in [7, Theorem 5], a morphism

$$\pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha}) \longrightarrow GW_{n+1}^n$$

is constructed using ‘‘Suslin matrices’’. The composite map

$$K_{n+2}^{MW} \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{n+n\alpha}) \longrightarrow GW_{n+1}^n$$

is, by means of Lemma 5.1.3, determined by an element of $(GW_{n+1}^n)_{-n-2}(k)$; since the latter group is trivial by [5, Proposition 3.4.3], we conclude that this composite is trivial. Combining these observations with Theorem 5.2.9 and the connectivity estimate from the \mathbb{A}^1 -simplicial suspension theorem (see Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.3), we now refine [2, Conjecture 7].

Conjecture 5.2.10 *For any pair of integers $n \geq 4$ and $i \geq 0$, there is an exact sequence of the form*

$$K_{n+2}^M/24 \longrightarrow \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(S^{(n-1+i)+n\alpha}) \longrightarrow GW_{n+1}^n;$$

the right-hand map becomes an epimorphism after $(n-3)$ -fold contraction, and the sequence becomes a short exact sequence after n -fold contraction.

Remark 5.2.11 In private communication from 2005, Morel stated a conjecture about the stable π_1 sheaf of the motivic sphere spectrum. Conjecture 5.2.10 can be thought of as an unstable refinement of Morel’s conjecture. Morel’s conjecture has been verified in

various situations. K Ormsby and P-A Østvær verified Morel’s conjecture after taking sections over fields of small cohomological dimension [47]. Much more generally, work of Østvær, O Röndigs and M Spitzweck has verified Morel’s conjecture over fields having characteristic 0 [49] (or, more generally, after inverting the characteristic exponent of the base field). While these results provide evidence for Conjecture 5.2.10, without a version of the suspension theorem for \mathbb{P}^1 –suspension these stable results do not imply our conjecture.

5.3 Other computations

In this section, we establish nontriviality of unstable rationalized \mathbb{A}^1 –homotopy sheaves of motivic spheres. We then go on to compute the first S^1 –stable \mathbb{A}^1 –homotopy sheaf of a mod m motivic Eilenberg–MacLane space.

Rationalized \mathbb{A}^1 –homotopy sheaves of spheres The computations of Morel of \mathbb{A}^1 –homotopy sheaves of spheres yield isomorphisms $\pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{2n-1+2q\alpha} \cong K_{2q}^{MW}$ for $2n - 1 \geq 2$ [44, Theorem 6.40]. By [44, Theorem 6.13] (see also [44, Corollary 6.43]), for any integer j there are induced isomorphisms $\pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{2n-1+2q\alpha} \cong (K_{2q}^{MW})_{-j}$. In these degrees, the James–Hopf invariant map H of Section 3.2 yields a morphism

$$H: \pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \longrightarrow \pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{2n-1+2q\alpha} \cong K_{2q-j}^{MW}.$$

We now study the rationalized version of this map. The next result provides an analog of the fact, due to Hopf, that there is a surjection $\pi_{4n-1}(S^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 5.3.1 *Fix a base field k , assumed to be perfect and to have characteristic unequal to 2. Let $n > 2$ and $q \geq 2$ be even integers.*

- (1) *For any integer $j \geq 0$, the sequence of sheaves*

$$\pi_{2n-2+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n-1+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}} \pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{H \otimes \mathbb{Q}} K_{2q-j}^{MW} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- (2) *If k is not formally real, then for any integer j satisfying $0 \leq j \leq 2q$, the sheaf $\pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial.*
- (3) *If k is formally real, then for any integer $j \geq 0$, the sheaf $\pi_{2n-1+j\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is nontrivial.*

Proof Tensoring with \mathbb{Q} and contraction are exact functors on the category of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 –invariant sheaves of abelian groups (see Lemma 5.1.1). Combining [44, Theorem 6.13] with the exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.1 (which applies since $n \geq 3$ by

assumption) and then tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , we obtain exactness of the above sequence at $\pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1} S^{n+q\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Since n and q are even by assumption, the class $1 + (-1)^{n+q} (-1)^q$ equals 2. Surjectivity of $H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ follows from Theorem 4.4.1.

Points (2) and (3) follow immediately from Corollary 5.1.10. □

Remark 5.3.2 A corresponding statement holds for $q = 0$ as well, but that result follows immediately from the classical computation of nonzero rational homotopy groups of spheres.

Some S^1 -stable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spaces

Set $K_n := K(\mathbb{Z}(n), 2n)$ and $K_n/m := K(\mathbb{Z}/m(n), 2n)$ where for an abelian group A , the space $K(A(n), 2n)$ is a motivic Eilenberg–MacLane space in the sense of Voevodsky; see, for example, [53, Section 2]. We write $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$ for the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^i(U, \mu_m^{\otimes n})$. In the next result, which is an analog of a result appearing in [9, Example 5.11], we adhere to Convention 5.2.1.

Theorem 5.3.3 *Assume k is a field having characteristic exponent p . Fix integers $i \geq 1$ and $m, n \geq 2$ and assume m is coprime to p .*

- (1) *The space $\Sigma^i K_n/m$ is \mathbb{A}^1 – $(n+i-1)$ –connected.*
- (2) *If j is an integer satisfying $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, then there are isomorphisms of the form*

$$\pi_{n+j+i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma^i K_n/m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^{n-j}(\mu_m^{\otimes n}).$$

- (3) *There is an exact sequence of the form*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(\mu_m^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow \pi_{2n+i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma^i K_n/m) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2n}^M/m \longrightarrow 0,$$

and $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$ is killed by a single contraction.

Proof The space K_n/m is \mathbb{A}^1 – $(n-1)$ –connected and it is possible to describe all its higher \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves. If m is prime to p by the Bloch–Kato conjecture (in Beilinson–Lichtenbaum form) together with \mathbb{A}^1 -representability of mod m motivic cohomology [54; 55], there are isomorphisms of the form $\pi_{n+r}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{n-r}(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$. In particular, $H_{\text{ét}}^{n-r}(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{K}_n^M/m for $r = 0$ and vanishes for $r > n$.

We begin by investigating what occurs after a single suspension. By the \mathbb{A}^1 -Freudenthal suspension theorem, the map $\pi_r^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \rightarrow \pi_{r+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma K_n/m)$ is an isomorphism for $r \leq 2n-2$. We now show that this map is an isomorphism for $r = 2n-1$ as well.

Theorem 3.3.13 applied with $\mathcal{X} = K_n/m$ yields an exact sequence of the form

$$\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \longrightarrow \pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \longrightarrow \pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma K_n/m) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the discussion of the previous paragraph combined with Lemma 5.1.8, we conclude $\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \cong \mathbf{K}_n^M/m \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathbf{K}_n^M/m \cong \mathbf{K}_{2n}^M/m$. Thus, in the above exact sequence the left-hand map is a map $\mathbf{K}_{2n}^M/m \cong \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \otimes^{\mathbb{A}^1} \pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m)$.

One knows $(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^i(\mu_m^{\otimes n}))_{-s} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{i-s}(\mu_m^{\otimes n-s})$ (appeal to [38, Example 23.3] and sheafify). Since étale cohomology vanishes in negative degrees, we conclude that $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$ is killed by 2-contractions. Since there is an epimorphism $\mathbf{K}_{2n}^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2n}^M/m$, and $(\mathbf{K}_1^M/m)_{-2n} = 0$, by appealing to Lemma 5.1.3, we may conclude that the left-hand morphism in the exact sequence displayed in the previous paragraph is the trivial map. Therefore, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(\mu_m^{\otimes n}) \cong \pi_{2n-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \rightarrow \pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma K_n/m)$ is an isomorphism.

In light of the discussion above, by reading the exact sequence of Theorem 3.3.13 farther to the left, we conclude that there is a short exact sequence of the form

$$\pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \longrightarrow \pi_{2n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma K_n/m) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2n}^M/m \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\pi_{2n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^0(\mu_m^{\otimes n})$ and this sheaf is killed by a single contraction as discussed in the previous paragraph.

For $i \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, the map $\pi_{n+j+i}(\Sigma^i K_n/m) \rightarrow \pi_{n+j+i+1}(\Sigma^{i+1} K_n/m)$ is an isomorphism by the \mathbb{A}^1 -Freudenthal suspension theorem. Combining these observations establishes the points listed above. \square

Remark 5.3.4 It is possible to treat the case where m is a power of p as well, but the answer is simpler. If m is a power of p , then Geisser and Levine computed the homotopy sheaves of K_n/m : by [21, Theorem 8.3], $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m)$ is nonvanishing if and only if $i = n$, in which case $\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_n/m)$ may be described as the unramified Milnor K-theory sheaf \mathbf{K}_n^M/m (see [21, Theorem 8.1]). In this case, we conclude that $\pi_{n+j+i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma^i K_n/m)$ simply vanishes for $1 < i \leq n-1$.

References

- [1] **M Arkowitz**, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962) 7–23 MR
- [2] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *Toward a meta-stable range in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of punctured affine spaces*, Oberwolfach Rep. 10 (2013) 1892–1895 MR
- [3] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *Algebraic vector bundles on spheres*, J. Topol. 7 (2014) 894–926 MR
- [4] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *A cohomological classification of vector bundles on smooth affine threefolds*, Duke Math. J. 163 (2014) 2561–2601 MR
- [5] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *Splitting vector bundles outside the stable range and \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy sheaves of punctured affine spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015) 1031–1062 MR

- [6] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *Comparing Euler Classes*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 67 (2016) 603–635
- [7] **A Asok, J Fasel**, *An explicit KO -degree map and applications*, Journal of Topology 10 (2017) 268–300
- [8] **J Ayoub**, *Un contre-exemple à la conjecture de \mathbb{A}^1 -connexité de F Morel*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342 (2006) 943–948 MR
- [9] **W D Barcus**, *The stable suspension of an Eilenberg–MacLane space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1960) 101–114 MR
- [10] **C Barwick**, *On left and right model categories and left and right Bousfield localizations*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 12 (2010) 245–320 MR
- [11] **H Bass, J Tate**, *The Milnor ring of a global field*, from “Algebraic K -theory, II: ‘Classical’ algebraic K -theory and connections with arithmetic” (H Bass, editor), Springer, Berlin (1973) 349–446. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342 MR
- [12] **J M Boardman, B Steer**, *On Hopf invariants*, Comment. Math. Helv. 42 (1967) 180–221 MR
- [13] **D E Cohen**, *Products and carrier theory*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957) 219–248 MR
- [14] **E B Curtis**, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Advances in Math. 6 (1971) 107–209 MR
- [15] **P Deligne**, *Voevodsky’s lectures on motivic cohomology 2000/2001*, from “Algebraic topology” (N A Baas, E M Friedlander, B Jahren, P A Østvær, editors), Abel Symp. 4, Springer, Berlin (2009) 355–409 MR
- [16] **D Dugger, D C Isaksen**, *Topological hypercovers and \mathbb{A}^1 -realizations*, Math. Z. 246 (2004) 667–689 MR
- [17] **D Dugger, D C Isaksen**, *Motivic Hopf elements and relations*, New York J. Math. 19 (2013) 823–871 MR
- [18] **R Elman, N Karpenko, A Merkurjev**, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2008) MR
- [19] **E D Farjoun**, *Cellular spaces, null spaces and homotopy localization*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1622, Springer, Berlin (1996) MR
- [20] **T Ganea**, *On the homotopy suspension*, Comment. Math. Helv. 43 (1968) 225–234 MR
- [21] **T Geisser, M Levine**, *The K -theory of fields in characteristic p* , Invent. Math. 139 (2000) 459–493 MR
- [22] **S Gille, S Scully, C Zhong**, *Milnor–Witt K -groups of local rings*, Advances in Mathematics 286 (2016) 729–753

- [23] **P G Goerss, J F Jardine**, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics 174, Birkhäuser, Basel (1999) MR
- [24] **P J Hilton**, *On the homotopy groups of unions of spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. 29 (1955) 59–92 MR
- [25] **P S Hirschhorn**, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 99, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2003) MR
- [26] **A Hogadi, G Kulkarni**, *Gabber’s presentation lemma for finite fields*, preprint (2016) arXiv
- [27] **M Hovey**, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999) MR
- [28] **D C Isaksen**, *Etale realization on the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, Adv. Math. 184 (2004) 37–63 MR
- [29] **I M James**, *Reduced product spaces*, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955) 170–197 MR
- [30] **I M James**, *On the suspension triad*, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956) 191–247 MR
- [31] **I M James**, *On the suspension sequence*, Ann. of Math. 65 (1957) 74–107 MR
- [32] **J F Jardine**, *Simplicial presheaves*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 47 (1987) 35–87 MR
- [33] **J F Jardine**, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, Doc. Math. 5 (2000) 445–552 MR
- [34] **J F Jardine**, *Local homotopy theory*, Springer, New York (2015) MR
- [35] **K Kato**, *Symmetric bilinear forms, quadratic forms and Milnor K -theory in characteristic two*, Invent. Math. 66 (1982) 493–510 MR
- [36] **N J Kuhn**, *The transfer and James–Hopf invariants*, Math. Z. 196 (1987) 391–405 MR
- [37] **J P May**, *Classifying spaces and fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 155, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1975) MR
- [38] **C Mazza, V Voevodsky, C Weibel**, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006) MR
- [39] **J Milnor**, *On the construction FK* , from “Algebraic topology—a student’s guide” (J F Adams, editor), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 4, Cambridge University Press (1972) 119–136 MR
- [40] **F Morel**, *An introduction to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory*, from “Contemporary developments in algebraic K -theory” (M Karoubi, A O Kuku, C Pedrini, editors), ICTP Lect. Notes XV, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste (2004) 357–441 MR
- [41] **F Morel**, *Sur les puissances de l’idéal fondamental de l’anneau de Witt*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004) 689–703 MR
- [42] **F Morel**, *Milnor’s conjecture on quadratic forms and mod 2 motivic complexes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 114 (2005) 63–101 MR
- [43] **F Morel**, *The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems, K -Theory 35 (2005) 1–68 MR*

- [44] **F Morel**, \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2052, Springer, Heidelberg (2012) MR
- [45] **F Morel**, **V Voevodsky**, \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 90 (1999) 45–143 MR
- [46] **D Orlov**, **A Vishik**, **V Voevodsky**, An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms, Ann. of Math. 165 (2007) 1–13 MR
- [47] **K M Ormsby**, **P A Østvær**, Stable motivic π_1 of low-dimensional fields, Adv. Math. 265 (2014) 97–131 MR
- [48] **D G Quillen**, Homotopical algebra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 43, Springer, Berlin (1967) MR
- [49] **O Röndigs**, **M Spitzweck**, **P A Østvær**, The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres, preprint (2016) arXiv
- [50] **J-P Serre**, Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg–MacLane, Comment. Math. Helv. 27 (1953) 198–232 MR
- [51] **F Strunk**, On motivic spherical bundles, PhD thesis, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück (2012) Available at <https://tinyurl.com/strunkthesis>
- [52] **H Toda**, Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres, Annals of Mathematics Studies 49, Princeton University Press (1962) MR
- [53] **V Voevodsky**, Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 98 (2003) 1–57 MR
- [54] **V Voevodsky**, Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -coefficients, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 98 (2003) 59–104 MR
- [55] **V Voevodsky**, On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients, Ann. of Math. 174 (2011) 401–438 MR
- [56] **M Wendt**, Classifying spaces and fibrations of simplicial sheaves, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 6 (2011) 1–38 MR
- [57] **G W Whitehead**, A generalization of the Hopf invariant, Ann. of Math. 51 (1950) 192–237 MR
- [58] **G W Whitehead**, On the Freudenthal theorems, Ann. of Math. 57 (1953) 209–228 MR
- [59] **G W Whitehead**, Elements of homotopy theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 61, Springer, New York (1978) MR
- [60] **J H C Whitehead**, On adding relations to homotopy groups, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941) 409–428 MR
- [61] **K Wickelgren**, **B Williams**, The simplicial EHP Sequence in \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology, preprint (2014) arXiv

- [62] **J Wu**, *Simplicial objects and homotopy groups*, from “Braids” (A J Berrick, F R Cohen, E Hanbury, Y-L Wong, J Wu, editors), Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap. 19, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2010) 31–181 MR

*Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California
3620 S Vermont Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, United States*

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology
686 Cherry Street, Atlanta, GA 30308, United States*

*Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia
1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver BC V6T 1Z2, Canada*

asok@usc.edu, kwickelgren3@math.gatech.edu, tbjw@math.ubc.ca

Proposed: Haynes Miller

Received: 6 August 2015

Seconded: Peter Teichner, Mark Behrens

Revised: 7 July 2016