

A spectral sequence for stratified spaces and configuration spaces of points

DAN PETERSEN

We construct a spectral sequence associated to a stratified space, which computes the compactly supported cohomology groups of an open stratum in terms of the compactly supported cohomology groups of closed strata and the reduced cohomology groups of the poset of strata. Several familiar spectral sequences arise as special cases. The construction is sheaf-theoretic and works both for topological spaces and for the étale cohomology of algebraic varieties. As an application we prove a very general representation stability theorem for configuration spaces of points.

55R80; 55T05, 32S60, 14F25, 55N30

1 Introduction

Let $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in P} S_\alpha$ be a stratified space. By this we mean that the topological space X is the union of disjoint locally closed subspaces S_α called the *strata*, and that the closure of each stratum is itself a union of strata. The set P of strata becomes partially ordered by declaring that $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\bar{S}_\alpha \supseteq S_\beta$.

Let $\chi_c(-)$ denote the compactly supported Euler characteristic of a space. Since this invariant is additive over stratifications, one has an equality

$$(1) \quad \chi_c(\bar{S}_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \leq \beta} \chi_c(S_\beta)$$

for all $\alpha \in P$. By the Möbius inversion formula for the poset P , it therefore holds that

$$(2) \quad \chi_c(S_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \leq \beta} \mu_P(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \chi_c(\bar{S}_\beta),$$

where μ_P is the Möbius function of the poset. This expresses the simple combinatorial fact that if one knows all the integers $\chi_c(\bar{S}_\alpha)$, then one can also determine the integers $\chi_c(S_\alpha)$ by inclusion-exclusion.

Equation (1) can be upgraded (or “categorified”) to a relationship between actual cohomology groups. Suppose $\sigma: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a function such that $\sigma(\alpha) < \sigma(\beta)$ if $\alpha < \beta$. Such a function defines a filtration of \bar{S}_α by closed subspaces, and the corresponding

spectral sequence in compactly supported cohomology reads

$$(3) \quad E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \leq \beta \\ \sigma(\beta) = -p}} H_c^{p+q}(S_\beta, \mathbb{Z}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By equating the Euler characteristics of the E_1 and E_∞ pages of this spectral sequence one recovers (1).

It is then natural to ask whether also the dual equation (2) admits a similar interpretation. The quantity $\mu_P(\alpha, \beta)$ is *also* an Euler characteristic, by Philip Hall’s theorem: the Möbius function $\mu_P(\alpha, \beta)$ equals the reduced Euler characteristic of $N(\alpha, \beta)$, by which we mean the nerve of the poset (α, β) , where (α, β) denotes an open interval in P . (The preceding is valid only if $\alpha < \beta$: in the degenerate case $\alpha = \beta$ it is natural to define the reduced cohomology of $N(\alpha, \beta)$ to be \mathbb{Z} in degree -2 , as we explain in Section 2.) In any case, one can expect such a categorification to also involve the reduced cohomology groups of the poset.

In this paper, we construct a spectral sequence accomplishing this goal:

Theorem 1.1 *There exists a spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \leq \beta \\ \sigma(\beta) = p}} \bigoplus_{i+j+2=p+q} H_c^j(\bar{S}_\beta, \tilde{H}^i(N(\alpha, \beta), \mathbb{Z})) \implies H_c^{p+q}(S_\alpha, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Taking Euler characteristics of both sides, we recover (2). This would seem a very natural question — given the cohomology of the closed strata, how does one compute the cohomology of open strata? — and it is close in spirit to the work of Vassiliev [30; 31]. Yet to my knowledge the result is new.

The proof is elementary and completely sheaf-theoretic, and the theorem we prove in the body of the paper is a more general statement that is valid with coefficients given by any sheaf or complex of sheaves \mathcal{F} on X . It also works in the setting of ℓ -adic sheaves, if X is an algebraic variety: in this case, the spectral sequence is a spectral sequence of ℓ -adic Galois representations.

As an application of our result we prove a very general representation stability theorem for configuration spaces of points. In particular, a novel feature is that if one is willing to work with Borel–Moore homology (or, dually, compact support cohomology), then one can prove homological stability results for an arbitrary topological space M satisfying rather mild hypotheses; to my knowledge, all existing results in the literature prove homological stability for configuration spaces of points on *manifolds*. In this introduction we focus on the case when M is a (possibly singular) algebraic variety, in which case the result is easier to state.

Let M be a space, and let \mathcal{A} be a finite collection of closed subspaces $A_i \subset M^{n_i}$. We define a configuration space $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$, parametrizing n ordered points on M “avoiding all \mathcal{A} -configurations”. For instance, if \mathcal{A} consists only of the diagonal inside M^2 , then $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ is the usual configuration space of distinct ordered points on M .

Theorem 1.2 *Let M be a geometrically irreducible d -dimensional algebraic variety over a field κ , and \mathcal{A} an arbitrary finite collection of closed subvarieties $A_i \subset M^{n_i}$.*

- (1) *For $\kappa = \mathbb{C}$, the (singular) Borel–Moore homology groups*

$$H_{i+2dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

form a finitely generated FI-module for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (2) *The (étale) Borel–Moore homology groups*

$$H_{i+2dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)_{\bar{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(-dn))$$

form a finitely generated FI-module in ℓ -adic $\text{Gal}(\bar{\kappa}/\kappa)$ -representations, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, whenever ℓ is a prime different from $\text{char}(\kappa)$.

In particular, the homology groups $H_{i+2dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n), \mathbb{Q})$ form a representation stable sequence of \mathbb{S}_n -representations, and the \mathbb{S}_n -invariants $H_{i+2dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)/\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfy homological stability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If M is smooth, or at least a homology manifold, we may conclude instead that the cohomology groups $H^i(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n), \mathbb{Z})$ form a finitely generated FI-module, by Poincaré duality. See Remark 4.17.

The fact that we obtain a finitely generated FI-module over \mathbb{Z} gives a homological stability result with rational coefficients, but it also has interesting consequences for the mod p homology of the unordered configuration spaces: by results of Nagpal [24], our theorem implies that the groups $H_{i+2dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)/\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{F}_p)$ become eventually periodic.

Vakil and Wood [29] introduced certain configuration spaces $\bar{w}_{\lambda}^c(M)$ depending on a partition λ . For a suitable choice of \mathcal{A} , one has $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)/\mathbb{S}_n = \bar{w}_{\lambda}^c(M)$, so Theorem 1.2 implies in particular a homological stability theorem for the spaces $\bar{w}_{\lambda}^c(M)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives a proof of [29, Conjecture F]. This conjecture has previously been proven by Kupers, Miller and Tran [21]. Compared to their proof, our proof gives the stronger assertion of representation stability, and makes no smoothness assumptions about M (they assume M is a smooth manifold). On the other hand, their proof gives in many cases integral stability for the unordered configuration space, and they give an explicit stability range. The latter should be possible in our setting, too, but we have not done so.

Vakil and Wood formulated their conjecture after making point counts of varieties over finite fields, and using the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula to guess what the cohomology should look like. Since the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula concerns *compact support* cohomology, it is in a sense natural that we obtain stronger results when working with compact support cohomology/Borel–Moore homology from the start.

As mentioned, one can prove also a version of [Theorem 1.2](#) for an arbitrary topological space, but the assumptions on M and \mathcal{A} become more cumbersome to state. However, if we let \mathcal{A} be the arrangement leading to the configuration spaces considered by Vakil and Wood, the hypotheses are quite simple: if M is any locally compact topological space with finitely generated Borel–Moore homology groups, and such that there exists an integer $d \geq 2$ for which $H_d^{\text{BM}}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_i^{\text{BM}}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > d$, then $H_{i+dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n), \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated FI-module if d is even; if d is odd, one needs to twist by the sign representation, and $H_{i+dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{sgn}_n$ is a finitely generated FI-module.

2 Generalities on posets

Let P be a poset, always assumed to be finite. We define its *nerve* NP to be the simplicial complex with vertices the elements of P , and a subset $S \subseteq P$ forms a face if and only if all elements of S are pairwise comparable. The corresponding simplicial set is exactly the usual nerve of P , when P is thought of as a category.

We use $\tilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ to denote the *reduced cellular chains* of a simplicial complex Δ . The group $\tilde{C}_i(\Delta)$ is free abelian on the set of i -dimensional faces; we include the empty set as a (-1) -dimensional face. The homology of this chain complex is $\tilde{H}_\bullet(\Delta, \mathbb{Z})$. However, we will prefer to work with cohomology. The usual definition would be to set

$$\tilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta) = \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta), \mathbb{Z})$$

but we will find it more convenient to use the distinguished basis of $\tilde{C}_i(\Delta)$ to consider $\tilde{C}^i(\Delta)$ as *also* being free abelian on the set of i -dimensional faces; then the differential becomes an alternating sum over ways of *adding* an element to a face.

If $x \leq y$ in P , we denote by $\tilde{C}^\bullet(x, y)$ the chain complex which in degree d is the free abelian group spanned by the increasing sequences

$$x = z_{-1} < z_0 < z_1 < \cdots < z_d < z_{d+1} = y,$$

and whose differential $\partial: \tilde{C}_d \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{d+1}$ is an alternating sum over ways of adding an element to the sequence. For $x < y$, $\tilde{C}^\bullet(x, y)$ is equal to $\tilde{C}^\bullet(N(x, y))$, where (x, y)

denotes an open interval in P ; for $x = y$, it consists of \mathbb{Z} placed in degree -2 . We denote by $\tilde{H}^\bullet(x, y)$ the cohomology of this cochain complex.

Let $\sigma: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a strictly increasing function, eg a grading or a linear extension.

Proposition 2.1 *For $x < y$ in P , there exists a spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{x \leq z \leq y \\ \sigma(z)=p}} \tilde{H}^{p+q-1}(x, z)$$

converging to zero.

Proof Consider the chain complex $\tilde{C}^\bullet = \tilde{C}^\bullet(N(x, y])$, where $(x, y]$ denotes a half-open interval in P . Since $(x, y]$ has a unique maximal element its nerve is contractible, so the complex \tilde{C}^\bullet is acyclic. We identify \tilde{C}^d with the set of increasing sequences

$$x = z_{-1} < z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_d \leq y.$$

Define a decreasing filtration on this complex by taking $F^p \tilde{C}^d$ to be the span of all sequences with $\sigma(z_d) \geq p$. This makes \tilde{C}^\bullet a filtered complex. Consider the quotient

$$F^p \tilde{C}^\bullet / F^{p+1} \tilde{C}^\bullet$$

and its induced differential. Then $F^p \tilde{C}_d / F^{p+1} \tilde{C}_d$ has a basis consisting of sequences such that $\sigma(z_d)$ is exactly equal to p , and the differential is a sum over all ways of adding an element to the sequence coming before z_d . It therefore follows that the quotient is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{x \leq z \leq y \\ \sigma(z)=p}} \tilde{C}^{\bullet-1}(x, z),$$

by an isomorphism taking the sequence

$$x = z_{-1} < z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_d \leq y \in F^p \tilde{C}^d / F^{p+1} \tilde{C}^d$$

to the sequence

$$x = z_{-1} < z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_{d-1} < z_d = z_d \in \tilde{C}^{d-1}(x, z_d).$$

Thus the spectral sequence associated to this filtration has the required form. □

Let $\text{Int}(P)$ denote the set of pairs $(x, y) \in P \times P$ with $x \leq y$. We define the *Möbius function*

$$\mu: \text{Int}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

by $\mu(x, y) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } \tilde{H}^i(x, y)$.

Proposition 2.2 *If $x < y$, then $\sum_{x \leq z \leq y} \mu(x, z) = 0$.*

Proof The left-hand side is the Euler characteristic of the E_1 page of the spectral sequence constructed in Proposition 2.1, and the right-hand side is the Euler characteristic of the E_∞ page. □

A consequence of this is a simple recursive procedure for calculating the Möbius function: the Möbius function could equivalently have been defined as

$$\mu(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y, \\ -\sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu(x, z) & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

In most treatments this is taken as the definition of the Möbius function. The fact that $\mu(x, y)$ for $x < y$ equals the reduced Euler characteristic of the nerve of the interval (x, y) is then called Philip Hall’s theorem. We may think of Proposition 2.1 as a categorification of the usual recursion for the Möbius function.

3 The construction and several examples

Let $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in P} S_\alpha$ be a stratified space. By this we mean that the space X is the union of disjoint locally closed subspaces S_α called the *strata*, and that the closure of each S_α is itself a union of strata. By a “space” we mean *either*:

- (1) X is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space,
- (2) X is an algebraic variety over some field.

In the former case, “sheaf” will just mean “sheaf of abelian groups”; in the latter case, “sheaf” will mean “constructible ℓ -adic sheaf, for ℓ different from the characteristic”.

The set P of strata becomes partially ordered by declaring that $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\bar{S}_\alpha \supseteq S_\beta$. We assume for simplicity (and without loss of generality) that P has a unique minimal element 0 , ie a unique open dense stratum S_0 .

For $\alpha \in P$ we denote by j_α the locally closed inclusion $S_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$, and by i_α the inclusion $\bar{S}_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$ of the closure of a stratum.

For $d \geq 0$, define a sheaf

$$L^d(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{0=\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d \in P} (i_{\alpha_d})_* (i_{\alpha_d})^* \mathcal{F}$$

on X . In particular, $L^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$. We may define a differential

$$L^d(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^{d+1}(\mathcal{F})$$

as an alternating sum over ways of adding an element to the sequence $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d$, just as in our definition of $\tilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta)$ for a simplicial complex Δ ; when the element we add appears at the end of the sequence, ie as $\alpha_{d+1} > \alpha_d$, then the differential uses the map

$$(i_{\alpha_d})_*(i_{\alpha_d})^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (i_{\alpha_{d+1}})_*(i_{\alpha_{d+1}})^*\mathcal{F}$$

obtained from the fact that $i_{\alpha_{d+1}}$ factors through i_{α_d} . This makes $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ into a complex, for the same reason that $\tilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta)$ is.

Proposition 3.1 *The complex $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F}$, where j_0 is the inclusion of the open stratum.*

Proof We show that

$$j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is an acyclic complex of sheaves. It suffices to check this on stalks. For $x \in S_0$ the induced sequence on stalks reads

$$\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$

with the map $\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ the identity. Thus we may restrict attention to x in some stratum S_β , $\beta \neq 0$. In this case $(j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F})_x$ will of course vanish, and we will have

$$L^d(\mathcal{F})_x \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0=\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d \in P \\ \alpha_d \leq \beta}} \mathcal{F}_x,$$

with the differential on $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})_x$ given by adding an element to the sequence of α_i 's. But this means that $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})_x$ is (up to a degree shift) the tensor product of \mathcal{F}_x with the complex $\tilde{C}^\bullet(N(0, \beta])$, which is acyclic because the poset $(0, \beta]$ has a unique maximal element. □

Remark 3.2 Another way to think about the complex $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is that $j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F}[-1]$ can be calculated as the cone of $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_*i^*\mathcal{F}$, where $i: (X \setminus S_0) \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. Now $i_*i^*\mathcal{F}$ may be calculated as the homotopy limit of the various $(i_\alpha)_*(i_\alpha)^*\mathcal{F}$ (for $\alpha \neq 0$), and $L^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{F})$ is the bar resolution computing this homotopy limit.

Suppose we are given $\sigma: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ an increasing function. We may now define a decreasing filtration of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ by taking

$$F^p L^d(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{0=\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d \in P \\ \sigma(\alpha_d) \geq p}} (i_{\alpha_d})_*(i_{\alpha_d})^*\mathcal{F}.$$

The compactly supported hypercohomology spectral sequence associated to this filtration reads

$$E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{H}_c^{p+q}(X, \text{Gr}_F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) \implies \mathbb{H}_c^{p+q}(X, L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) = H_c^{p+q}(S_0, j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F}),$$

where the second equality is the preceding proposition. Thus we should understand the associated graded $\text{Gr}_F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$. By arguments just like those in the proof of Proposition 2.1, the associated graded can be written as

$$\text{Gr}_F^p L^d(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \bigoplus_{0=\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d = \beta} (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F},$$

and hence

$$\text{Gr}_F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{H}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes^L (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F}.$$

The last equality uses that $\tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta)$ is a complex of free modules, so it calculates the derived tensor product, and that any complex of abelian groups is quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology.

In full generality, this cannot be simplified further. However, in most cases occurring in practice it can:

- (1) If $\mathcal{F} = R$ is a constant sheaf associated to the ring R , then $\tilde{H}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes^L (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F}$ is the constant sheaf $\tilde{H}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta; R)$.
- (2) If the cohomology groups $\tilde{H}^i(0, \beta)$ are torsion-free, or if \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of k -vector spaces for some field k , then we may replace the derived tensor product with the usual tensor product.

Let us state our main result only in these two simpler situations.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $X = \bigcup_{\beta \in P} S_\beta$ be a stratified space, where the set P is partially ordered by reverse inclusion of the closures of strata. Choose a function $\sigma: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(x) < \sigma(y)$ if $x < y$.*

(i) *For any ring R , there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} H_c^j(\bar{S}_\beta, \tilde{H}^i(0, \beta; R)) \implies H_c^{p+q}(S_0, R).$$

(ii) If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on X , and we assume either that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of k -vector spaces or that each interval $(0, \beta)$ in P has torsion-free cohomology, then there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} \tilde{H}^i(0, \beta) \otimes H_c^j(\bar{S}_\beta, i_\beta^* \mathcal{F}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(S_0, j_0^{-1} \mathcal{F}).$$

If in (ii) X is an algebraic variety and \mathcal{F} is an ℓ -adic sheaf, then this spectral sequence is a spectral sequence of Galois representations, if the cohomology groups $\tilde{H}^i(0, \beta)$ are given the trivial Galois action.

3.1 Examples and applications

Example 3.4 If the stratification consists only of a closed subspace $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$, then the complex $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ reduces to the two-term complex $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_* i^* \mathcal{F}$, and the spectral sequence reduces to the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^k(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^k(Z, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{k+1}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots \triangleleft$$

Example 3.5 Let X be a complex manifold, and $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ a strict normal crossing divisor. Consider the stratification of X by the various intersections of the components of D . For $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $D_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$, including $D_\emptyset = X$. Each interval in the poset of strata is a boolean lattice, so its reduced cohomology vanishes below the top degree, where it is one-dimensional. The spectral sequence therefore reduces to

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{|I|=p} H_c^q(D_I, \mathbb{Z}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(X \setminus D, \mathbb{Z}).$$

This is the Poincaré dual of the spectral sequence used by Deligne to construct the mixed Hodge structure on a smooth noncompact complex algebraic variety [11]. In the algebraic case, it is a spectral sequence of mixed Hodge structures/Galois representations. \triangleleft

Example 3.6 Suppose $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ is affine space over a field, and the stratification consists of all the intersections in some subspace arrangement. Let $\sigma(\alpha) = -\dim(S_\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. In this case the spectral sequence simplifies to

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{H}^{q+3p-2}(0, \beta) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(p) \implies H_c^{p+q}(S_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Since all columns have different weight there can be no differentials in the spectral sequence. It follows that (up to semisimplification of the Galois representation)

$$H_c^n(S_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-j) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\beta: \dim(S_\beta)=j} \tilde{H}^{n-2j-2}(0, \beta) \right),$$

which re-proves a result of Björner and Ekedahl [4]. ◁

Example 3.7 The aforementioned result of Björner and Ekedahl is the algebro-geometric analogue of a theorem of Goresky and MacPherson [17] about real subspace arrangements; the latter result, too, can be given an easy proof using our spectral sequence. Goresky and MacPherson originally proved it as an application of their stratified Morse theory; many different authors have subsequently given alternative proofs and/or strengthenings. Their result, in turn, is a refinement of the work of Orlik and Solomon on complex hyperplane arrangements [25]. In any case, suppose that X is a real vector space, stratified according to intersections in a real subspace arrangement. Let $\sigma(\alpha) = -\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_\alpha$. The result of Goresky and MacPherson is equivalent to our spectral sequence degenerating at E_1 . The weight argument used in the case of a complex subspace arrangement is of course not valid in this setting. We can instead argue as follows:

Choose for each α an open ball U_α inside S_α . Then $C_c^\bullet(U_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ (compactly supported cochains) is a subcomplex of $C_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ for all α . The inclusion of each of these subcomplexes is a quasi-isomorphism, and the restriction maps between these subcomplexes can be chosen to be identically zero (since U_α may be taken to be disjoint from all S_β with $\beta > \alpha$). By additionally choosing an arbitrary quasi-isomorphism between $C_c^\bullet(U_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ we thus get a quasi-isomorphism between the two functors $P \rightarrow \text{Ch}_k$ (where the poset P is thought of as a category) given by $\alpha \mapsto S_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha \mapsto H_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$.

We can compute $R\Gamma_c(X, L^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}))$ by means of a double complex, with each vertical row a direct sum of complexes $S_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$, and the differentials in the horizontal row given by the differentials in the complex $L^\bullet(\mathbb{Z})$; equivalently, given by the functor $\alpha \mapsto S_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$. If we apply the quasi-isomorphism of functors constructed in the previous paragraph we can replace this double complex with one in which the vertical rows have zero differential, and the horizontal rows are direct sums of complexes of the form $\tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta)$.

Our spectral sequence arises from a filtration of this double complex. In this case, the filtration clearly splits, and the spectral sequence degenerates immediately. ◁

Example 3.8 Let us give two variations of our spectral sequence.

(1°) Let \mathbb{D} denote Verdier’s duality functor. The filtration on $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ induces a filtration on $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$, satisfying $\text{Gr}_F \mathbb{D}L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbb{D}\text{Gr}_F L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ (see eg [18, (2.2.8.1)]). Thus the associated graded pieces of $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ are quasi-isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{D} \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma(\beta)=p} \tilde{H}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes^L (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F} \right) = \bigoplus_{\sigma(\beta)=p} \tilde{H}_{-\bullet-2}(0, \beta) \otimes^L (i_\beta)_!(i_\beta)^!\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}.$$

Since the cohomology of $\mathbb{D}R$ in negative degrees equals Borel–Moore homology with coefficients in R , our filtration of $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ gives rise to a Borel–Moore homology spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} H_j^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, \tilde{H}_i(0, \beta; R)) \implies H_{p+q}^{\text{BM}}(S_0, R).$$

(2°) Instead of taking the compact support cohomology of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \simeq j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F}$, we may take the usual cohomology. Since $j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is the cone of $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_*i^*\mathcal{F}$, where i is the inclusion $(X \setminus S_0) \hookrightarrow X$, this gives instead a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} H^j(\bar{S}_\beta, \tilde{H}^i(0, \beta; R)) \implies H^{p+q}(X, X \setminus S_0; R). \quad \triangleleft$$

Example 3.9 Let $X = \{X(n)\}$ be a topological operad. Suppose that $X(n)$ is stratified in such a way that the strata correspond to trees with n legs, the closed stratum corresponding to a tree T is $\prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} X(n_v)$, and the composition maps in the operad X are given tautologically by grafting of trees. Let $Y(n)$ be the open stratum in $X(n)$ corresponding to the unique tree with a single vertex. Examples of such operads abound: the Stasheff associahedra, the Fulton–MacPherson model of the e_n -operads, the Deligne–Mumford spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$, the Boardman–Vogt W -construction applied to an arbitrary topological operad, Devadoss’s mosaic operad, the cactus operad, Brown’s dihedral moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}^\delta$, the brick operad $\mathcal{B}(n)$ of Dotsenko, Shadrin and Vallette, etc.

Clearly, the compact support cohomology $H_c^\bullet(X(n), \mathbb{Q})$ will form a cooperad. Moreover, the degree-shifted cohomologies $H_c^{\bullet-1}(Y(n), \mathbb{Q})$ will form an operad: $Y(n) \times Y(m)$ will be a stratum adjacent to $Y(n+m-1)$ inside $X(n+m-1)$, and there is a connecting homomorphism $H_c^\bullet(Y(n) \times Y(m), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^{\bullet+1}(Y(n+m-1), \mathbb{Q})$ coming from the long exact sequence of a pair in compact support cohomology.

If σ is the function taking a stratum to the number of vertices in the corresponding tree, then we get a filtration of $X(n)$. The corresponding spectral sequence in compact support cohomology (equation (3) from the introduction) is a cooperad in the

category of spectral sequences. Its E_∞ page is the associated graded for a filtration on $H_c^\bullet(X(n), \mathbb{Q})$, and its E_1 page is exactly the *bar construction* on the operad $H_c^{\bullet-1}(Y(n), \mathbb{Q})$. This construction seems to have first been considered in [16, Section 3.3], where it was used to prove Koszul self-duality of the e_n -operads (equivalently, collapse of the spectral sequence), using the Fulton–MacPherson compactification.

Our Theorem 1.1 then gives a dual spectral sequence. All intervals in the poset of trees are boolean lattices, and the spectral sequence of Theorem 1.1 takes the simple form

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\#\text{Vert}(T)=p} H_c^q \left(\prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} X(n_v), \mathbb{Q} \right) \implies H_c^{p+q-1}(Y(n), \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is now an *operad* in the category of spectral sequences, whose E_∞ page is the associated graded for a filtration on $H_c^{\bullet-1}(Y(n), \mathbb{Q})$, and whose E_1 page is exactly the *cobar construction* on the operad $H_c^\bullet(X(n), \mathbb{Q})$.

Thus we see that working with compact support cohomology gives a quite general setting for proving bar/cobar-duality results for such pairs of operads X, Y . ◁

Example 3.10 Suppose that the poset P is Cohen–Macaulay, or more generally that P is graded with rank function ρ and that $\tilde{H}_i(0, \beta) = 0$ for $i < \rho(\beta) - 2 = \dim N(0, \beta)$. Then if we apply the spectral sequence for the function ρ , the spectral sequence simplifies to

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \rho(\beta)=p}} \tilde{H}^{p-2}(0, \beta) \otimes H_c^q(\bar{S}_\beta, i_\beta^* \mathcal{F}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(S_0, j_0^{-1} \mathcal{F}).$$

In fact, something stronger is true: the chain complex $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is filtered quasi-isomorphic to a complex of sheaves $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$, with

$$K^d(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \rho(\beta)=d}} \tilde{H}^{d-2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_* (i_\beta)^* \mathcal{F},$$

and which is filtered by the “stupid filtration”. The Cohen–Macaulay condition is extremely well studied and is known for large classes of posets. See eg [32, Section 4]. ◁

Example 3.11 Suppose that X is a complex manifold, and that we are given an “arrangement-like” divisor D on X , ie D can locally be defined by a product of linear forms. Then the poset of strata is a geometric lattice and therefore Cohen–Macaulay. The complex of sheaves $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is the Verdier dual of the one constructed in [22, Section 2]. As part of his construction, he needs to inductively choose a certain free

\mathbb{Z} -module E_S for each stratum S — the fact that such a choice is possible is not obvious, and requires the Cohen–Macaulay condition! \triangleleft

Example 3.12 Suppose that P is Cohen–Macaulay and the two contravariant functors $P \rightarrow \text{Ch}_k$ given by $\alpha \mapsto R\Gamma_c(\bar{S}_\alpha, i_\alpha^* \mathcal{F})$ and $\alpha \mapsto H_c^\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha, i_\alpha^* \mathcal{F})$ are quasi-isomorphic. Then the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 .

Indeed, we can realize $R\Gamma_c(X, K^\bullet(\mathcal{F}))$ as a double complex, with each column a direct sum of complexes $R\Gamma_c(\bar{S}_\alpha, i_\alpha^* \mathcal{F})$, and the differentials in each row given by the differentials in the complex $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$. If we have such a quasi-isomorphism we can therefore replace this double complex with one in which all vertical differentials vanish. Our spectral sequence is the spectral sequence given by filtering this double complex column-wise, since $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ has the stupid filtration. Thus it will indeed be the case that the spectral sequence has nontrivial differential only on E_1 .

Suppose that each closed stratum \bar{S}_α is a compact complex manifold on which the dd^c -lemma holds, eg a Kähler or Moishezon manifold, and that the sheaf \mathcal{F} is the constant sheaf \mathbb{R} . Then the criterion stated in the first sentence of this example is satisfied. Indeed, we may take as our model for $R\Gamma_c(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{R}) = R\Gamma(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{R})$ the real de Rham complex of \bar{S}_α , and then the validity of the above criterion is a particular case of [12, Section 6, Main Theorem (ii)]. \triangleleft

Example 3.13 Suppose that P is Cohen–Macaulay, and that each closed stratum is an algebraic variety whose compact support cohomology is of pure weight in each degree (eg a smooth projective variety). Then the spectral sequence also degenerates at E_2 , using instead a weight argument. \triangleleft

Example 3.14 For a space M , let $F(M, n)$ denote the configuration space of n distinct ordered points on M . If M is an oriented manifold, a spectral sequence calculating the cohomology of $F(M, n)$ was constructed by Cohen and Taylor [10]. Their construction was later simplified by Totaro, who noticed that the spectral sequence is just the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $j: F(M, n) \hookrightarrow M^n$ [28]. Getzler [15] then realized that the spectral sequence exists for a more or less arbitrary topological space, if one works with *compactly supported* cohomology instead: more precisely, Getzler constructed a complex of sheaves quasi-isomorphic to $j_! j^{-1} \mathcal{F}$, whose compactly supported hypercohomology spectral sequence was Poincaré dual to the spectral sequence of Cohen and Taylor in the case of an oriented manifold.

So suppose that $X = M^n$ for some space M , and let us stratify X according to points coinciding. Then the poset of strata is the partition lattice Π_n , which is Cohen–Macaulay. Our complex $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is exactly the one considered by Getzler, and the

resulting spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in \Pi_n \\ \rho(\beta) = p}} \tilde{H}^{p-2}(0, \beta) \otimes H_c^q(M^{n-p}, \mathbb{Z}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(F(M, n), \mathbb{Z})$$

is the Poincaré dual of Cohen and Taylor’s if M is an oriented manifold. To see the identification of $K^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ with Getzler’s resolution we need to know the cohomology of the partition lattice.

Note first of all that each lower interval $[0, \beta]$ in the partition lattice is itself a product of partition lattices: eg if β corresponds to the partition $(136|27|45)$, then $[0, \beta] \cong \Pi_3 \times \Pi_2 \times \Pi_2$. Thus by the Künneth theorem we only need to know the top cohomology group $\tilde{H}^{n-3}(\Pi_n, \mathbb{Z})$. This calculation is hard to attribute correctly — it follows by combining the results of [20] and [27]; see also [19, Section 4]. The result is in any case that $\tilde{H}^{n-3}(\Pi_n, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank $(n - 1)!$ and that as a representation of the symmetric group S_n , it is isomorphic to $\text{Lie}(n) \otimes \text{sgn}_n$, where $\text{Lie}(n)$ is the arity- n component of the Lie operad. But the same is also true for the cohomology group $H^{n-1}(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$, by the results of Cohen [9]; specifically, since the homology of the little disk operad is the Gerstenhaber operad, and the Gerstenhaber operad in top degree is just a suspension of the Lie operad, we get the above identification. This explains why the cohomology groups of $F(\mathbb{C}, n)$ appear in Getzler’s construction of the resolution: the decomposition of $H^\bullet(F(\mathbb{C}, n))$ into summands corresponding to different partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ used by Getzler corresponds to

$$H^k(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in \Pi_n \\ \rho(\beta) = k}} \tilde{H}^{k-2}(0, \beta).$$

If we instead consider the spectral sequence described in Example 3.8(2°), applied to the stratification of $X = M^n$ according to points coinciding, then we recover the spectral sequence of Bendersky and Gitler [3]. ◁

Example 3.15 Consider the example $X = M^n$ of a configuration space. Let $A_c^\bullet(M)$ be a cdga model for the compactly supported cochains on M with rational coefficients. Then the criterion described in Example 3.12 is equivalent to $A_c^\bullet(M)$ being formal, ie that $A_c^\bullet(M)$ and $H_c^\bullet(M)$ are quasi-isomorphic. Hence if $A_c^\bullet(M)$ is formal then the Cohen–Taylor–Totaro spectral sequence degenerates after the first differential.

If in the same situation we consider the second variant of Example 3.8 (ie the Bendersky–Gitler spectral sequence), then we see by the same argument that the spectral sequence degenerates after the first differential whenever M is a formal space, a result which is also proven in Bendersky and Gitler’s original paper. ◁

3.2 Compatibility with Hodge theory

We have already mentioned several times that in the algebraic case, we obtain a spectral sequence of ℓ -adic Galois representations. It is natural to ask whether in the complex algebraic setting we get a spectral sequence of mixed Hodge structures.

The answer is yes, and it follows from Saito’s theory of mixed Hodge modules [26]. Yet some care must be taken here. Saito proves the existence of a six functors formalism on the level of the derived categories $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$, where X is a complex algebraic variety. We defined the complex $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ in such a way that $L^d(\mathcal{F})$ is a sum of objects of the form $(i_{\alpha_d})_*(i_{\alpha_d})^*\mathcal{F}$. Now if \mathcal{F} is a mixed Hodge module then $(i_{\alpha_d})_*(i_{\alpha_d})^*\mathcal{F}$ is in general only going to be an object of $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$, and this is not good enough: a “chain complex” of objects in a triangulated category T can in general have several nonisomorphic totalizations to an object of T , or none at all.

Thus the construction can only be carried out if i_*i^* is a t -exact functor, for i a closed immersion. This is of course true for the usual t -structure of constructible sheaves, but it is *false* for the perverse t -structure on $D_c^b(X)$: i_* is still t -exact, but i^* clearly is not. Since a mixed Hodge module does not have an underlying constructible sheaf but instead an underlying perverse sheaf, i^* is not t -exact for mixed Hodge modules.

However, one can choose instead to give $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$ a constructible (ie nonperverse) t -structure, uniquely characterized by the functor $\text{rat}: D^b(\text{MHM}(X)) \rightarrow D_c^b(X)$ being t -exact for the constructible t -structure on $D_c^b(X)$ [26, Remark 4.6]. In other words, an object $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$ is in the heart of the constructible t -structure if and only $\text{rat}(\mathcal{F})$ is quasi-isomorphic to a constructible sheaf. In particular, i^* will be t -exact for this t -structure, and i_* will be t -exact whenever i is a closed immersion.

Let $\text{H}(X)$ be the heart of the constructible t -structure of $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$, and let \mathcal{F} be an object of $\text{H}(X)$. Then for $d \geq 0$ we obtain an object

$$L^d(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{0=\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_d \in P} (i_{\alpha_d})_*(i_{\alpha_d})^*\mathcal{F}$$

of $\text{H}(X)$, and we can define a differential $L^d(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^{d+1}(\mathcal{F})$ just as before. Thus we get an object $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ of $D^b(\text{H}(X))$, quasi-isomorphic to $j_{0!}j_0^{-1}\mathcal{F} \in \text{H}(X)$. Moreover, we obtain a filtration of $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ by the same procedure as before. This filtration allows us to write down a *Postnikov system* in the triangulated category $D^b(\text{H}(X))$, with totalization $L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ [14, page 262]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \text{Gr}_F^{p-1} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[-1] & & \text{Gr}_F^{p-2} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[-1] & & \text{Gr}_F^{p-3} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})[-1] \\
 & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow \\
 \dots & F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-1} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-2} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & F^{p-3} L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \dots
 \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with a t -structure, and \mathcal{T}^\heartsuit its heart. A *realization functor* is an exact functor $D^b(\mathcal{T}^\heartsuit) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ whose restriction to the full subcategory \mathcal{T}^\heartsuit is the inclusion into \mathcal{T} . If \mathcal{T} is itself the derived category of an abelian category (not necessarily with its standard t -structure), then a realization functor always exists [2, Section 3]; more generally, a realization functor always exists if \mathcal{T} is the homotopy category of a stable ∞ -category. In particular, we obtain a realization functor

$$\text{real}: D^b(H(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{MHM}(X)).$$

Exact functors preserve Postnikov systems, and we get a Postnikov system in $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$ whose terms are of the form $\text{real}(\text{Gr}_F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F}))$, up to a degree shift. Now we note that the functor real commutes with tensoring with a bounded complex of free abelian groups. Hence, the terms in the Postnikov system in $D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{real}(\text{Gr}_F^p L^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) &\cong \text{real} \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes \text{real}(i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P \\ \sigma(\beta)=p}} \tilde{C}^{\bullet+2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

using in the last step that $(i_\beta)_*(i_\beta)^*\mathcal{F}$ is in $H(X)$. Applying $Rf_!$ to this Postnikov system, where $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ is the projection to a point, gives a Postnikov system in the derived category of mixed Hodge structures (the category of mixed Hodge modules over a point). The associated spectral sequence [14, page 263] is the one of Theorem 3.3(ii), now equipped with the canonical mixed Hodge structure coming from the fact that \mathcal{F} is a mixed Hodge module.

Remark 3.16 It seems likely that $D^b(H(X)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{MHM}(X))$ is an equivalence of categories, which would be the analogue for mixed Hodge modules of Beilinson’s theorem that the realization functor from the derived category of perverse sheaves to the derived category of constructible sheaves is an equivalence [1], but I do not know if this is known and I have not attempted to prove it.

4 Representation stability

The notion of *representation stability* was introduced by Church and Farb [8] as an extension of homological stability to situations where the Betti numbers do not actually

stabilize. Roughly, a sequence $\{V(n)\}$ of representations of S_n over \mathbb{Q} is said to be *representation stable* if, for $n \gg 0$, the representation $V(n+1)$ is obtained from the representation $V(n)$ by adding a single box to the top row of the Young diagram of each irreducible representation occurring in $V(n)$. Thus $V(n+1)$ is completely determined from $V(n)$ for sufficiently large n . Note in particular that the S_n -invariants satisfy $V(n+1)^{S_{n+1}} \cong V(n)^{S_n}$ for $n \gg 0$; if $\{V(n)\}$ were a sequence of homology groups, the S_n -invariants would satisfy homological stability in the usual sense.

The theory was clarified by the introduction of *FI-modules* [6]. The key point is that the underlying sequence of S_n -representations of an FI-module in \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces is representation stable if and only if the FI-module is *finitely generated*. Most examples of representation stability arise from an FI-module in this way.

One of the main examples of representation stability is given by the following theorem of Church [5]: if M is an oriented manifold, then $H^i(F(M, n), \mathbb{Q})$ is a representation stable sequence of S_n -representations for any i . In this example, it was known since [23] that the cohomology of the unordered configuration space $F(M, n)/S_n$ satisfies homological stability for *integer* coefficients if M is an *open* manifold, but also that integral homological stability is false in general. Church's result shows in particular that, with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients, the unordered configuration space always satisfies homological stability.

This result of Church fits well into the general framework of FI-modules. The assignment $S \mapsto F(M, S)$ is a contravariant functor from FI to spaces: if $S \subset T$, then $F(M, T) \rightarrow F(M, S)$ is the map that forgets all the points indexed by elements of $T \setminus S$. On applying $H^i(-, \mathbb{Q})$ one gets an FI-module, which turns out to be finitely generated; in fact, finite generation holds already with integral coefficients [7].

For the remainder of this paper, we will prove a theorem extending Church's result in several ways:

- (1) Our proof works in a uniform way for a much larger class of configuration-like spaces, such as “ k -equals” configuration spaces, the spaces $\bar{w}_\lambda^c(M)$ considered by Vakil and Wood, etc.
- (2) We give a proof valid also in the algebrogeometric setting, so we get eg representation stability in the category of ℓ -adic Galois representations. (This was previously proven for the spaces $F(M, n)$ in [13] under more restrictive assumptions on M .)
- (3) We allow M to have singularities. In the paper we focus on the case when M is an algebraic variety (with arbitrary singularities); we comment towards the end on the differences in the topological setting.

In order to have representation stability in the more general setting of a singular space, one needs to work with Borel–Moore homology/compactly supported cohomology. Note that compactly supported cohomology is only contravariant for *proper* maps, and the map $F(M, T) \rightarrow F(M, S)$ is (almost) never proper, so $H_c^\bullet(F(M, S), \mathbb{Q})$ is not directly an FI-module. This should in any case not be surprising: if we want to recover Church’s theorem by Poincaré duality when M is an oriented manifold, then we had better prove that the cohomology $H_c^\bullet(F(M, S), \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies representation stability up to a degree shift by the dimension of $F(M, S)$.

4.1 Twisted commutative algebras and FI-modules

Our proof of representation stability uses the formalism of FI-modules and twisted commutative algebras. We briefly recall the definitions for the reader’s convenience. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category (the reader is encouraged to take \mathcal{C} to be the category of dg vector spaces over a field of characteristic zero). By a *species* in \mathcal{C} we mean a functor $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where \mathbf{B} the category of finite sets and bijections. The category of species is equivalent to the category of sequences of representations of the symmetric groups \mathbb{S}_n in \mathcal{C} . We write a species as $S \mapsto A(S)$ or $n \mapsto A(n)$, depending on whether we wish to consider it as a functor of finite sets or as a sequence of representations. We call $A(n)$ the *arity- n* component of the species A .

Let us consider \mathbf{B} as a symmetric monoidal category, with monoidal structure given by disjoint union. A *twisted commutative algebra* (tca) in \mathcal{C} is a lax symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Thus a twisted commutative algebra in \mathcal{C} consists of a sequence $\{A(n)\}$ of \mathbb{S}_n –representations in \mathcal{C} , equipped with multiplication maps $A(n) \otimes A(m) \rightarrow A(n+m)$ which are $\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_m$ –equivariant and satisfy suitable commutativity and associativity axioms. An equivalent definition is that a tca is a left module over the commutative operad Com in \mathcal{C} . A third equivalent definition is that a tca is an algebra over the operad Com in the category of species in \mathcal{C} , where the tensor product on the category of species is given by Day convolution:

$$(A \otimes B)(S) = \bigoplus_{S=T_1 \sqcup T_2} A(T_1) \otimes B(T_2).$$

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is the category of dg R –modules. Let A be a species in \mathcal{C} . We define the *suspension* SA by

$$SA(n) = A(n)[-n] \otimes \text{sgn}_n.$$

The suspension is a symmetric monoidal endofunctor on the symmetric monoidal category of species. In particular, if A is a tca, then so is SA .

Let FI denote the category of finite sets and injections. By an *FI-module* in the category \mathcal{C} we mean a functor $\text{FI} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Let Com be the twisted commutative algebra which has the monoidal unit with trivial S_n -action in each arity, and for which all multiplication maps $\text{Com}(S) \otimes \text{Com}(T) \rightarrow \text{Com}(S \sqcup T)$ are given by the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{1}$. In other words, we are considering the commutative operad as a left module over itself. There is a general notion of a module over an algebra over any operad, which in this case specializes to an evident notion of a module over a tca.

Lemma 4.1 *Every module over the tca Com is in a canonical way an FI-module, and vice versa.*

Sketch of proof Let M be a module over the tca Com , and let $S \subset T$. Then we have a map

$$M(S) = M(S) \otimes \mathbf{1} = M(S) \otimes \text{Com}(T \setminus S) \rightarrow M(S \sqcup (T \setminus S)) = M(T),$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the monoidal unit in \mathcal{C} and $=$ denotes a canonical isomorphism. This makes M into an FI-module. The converse construction is similar. \square

If A is a tca in \mathcal{C} , then the choice of a morphism $a: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A(1)$ is the same as the choice of a tca morphism $\text{Com} \rightarrow A$. Thus the choice of such an a defines the structure of FI-module on the underlying species of the tca A .

In particular, let \mathcal{C} be the category of graded R -modules. For a tca A in \mathcal{C} , let us write $A^i(n)$ for the degree- i component of $A(n)$. Then any $a \in A^0(1)$ defines a structure of FI-module on the collection $A^i(n)$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write $|a|$ for the degree of a homogeneous element in a graded vector space.

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose A is a tca in graded R -modules, and that $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is a set of generators. Suppose that $a_0 \in A^0(1)$, $|a_i| < 0$ for $i > 0$, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |a_i| = -\infty$. Then the FI-module $n \mapsto A^i(n)$ defined by multiplication with a_0 is finitely generated for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof The hypotheses imply that there are only finitely many monomials in $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ (ie all generators except a_0) of given degree. Those monomials of degree i generate the FI-module $n \mapsto A^i(n)$. \square

Applying the previous lemma to the d -fold suspension $S^d A$, we get the following:

Lemma 4.3 Suppose A is a tca in graded R -modules, and that $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is a set of generators. Suppose that if $a_k \in A^i(n)$ then $i \leq nd$, with equality only for a single element $a_0 \in A^d(1)$, and that for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are only finitely many k for which $a_k \in A^i(n)$ and $i \geq nd - p$. If d is even, then $n \mapsto A^{i+dn}(n)$ becomes an FI-module by multiplication with a_0 , and if d is odd, then $n \mapsto A^{i+dn}(n) \otimes \text{sgn}_n$ becomes an FI-module in this way. This FI-module is finitely generated for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4.2 The “ \mathcal{A} -avoiding” configuration spaces

For each finite set S , let M^S be the cartesian product of $|S|$ copies of some space M . The functor $S \mapsto M^S$ is a co-FI-space. If $S \hookrightarrow T$, then we denote by $\pi_S^T: M^T \rightarrow M^S$ the projection.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite collection of closed subspaces $\{A_i \subset M^{S_i}\}_{i=1}^\ell$, where each S_i is some finite set. For every finite set T , consider the stratification of M^T given by all subspaces

$$(\pi_{S_i}^T)^{-1}(A_i) \subset M^T, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

ranging over all inclusions $S_i \hookrightarrow T$, and all intersections of those subspaces. Let $P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ be the poset of strata in this stratification, and let $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, T)$ denote the open stratum which is the complement of all of the $(\pi_{S_i}^T)^{-1}(A_i)$.

Example 4.4 If \mathcal{A} is a singleton with $A_1 = \Delta \subset M^2$, then $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ is the classical configuration space of n points on M . If \mathcal{A} instead consists only of the small diagonal in M^k , then $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ is the “ k -equals” configuration space of points on M . \triangleleft

Example 4.5 If a finite group G acts on M , then we can let \mathcal{A} consist of all subspaces $\{(x, g \cdot x) : x \in M\}$ inside M^2 , in which case $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ parametrizes n distinct ordered points all of which are in distinct G -orbits. An example of this is the complement of hyperplanes in the Coxeter arrangement associated to the wreath product $(\mu_r)^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ acting on \mathbb{C}^n . \triangleleft

Example 4.6 Suppose that $M = Y^2$ for some other space Y , and that \mathcal{A} consists of the collection $\{\Delta_{13}, \Delta_{14}, \Delta_{23}, \Delta_{24}\}$ of diagonals inside $M^2 = Y^4$. Then $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ parametrizes points x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n in Y such that the x_i may collide amongst each other, and so may the y_i , but $x_i \neq y_j$ for all i, j . \triangleleft

Example 4.7 Let λ be a partition of n . As our notation for partitions we use both $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ and $\lambda = (1^{n_1} 2^{n_2} 3^{n_3} \dots)$, so that $n = \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j = \sum_{i \geq 1} i \cdot n_i$. Vakil and Wood [29] defined an open subspace $\bar{w}_\lambda^c(M) \subset M^n / \mathbb{S}_n$, and they studied

the behavior of these spaces under the operation of “padding λ with ones”, ie letting n_1 approach ∞ while keeping all $n_i, i \geq 2$, fixed.

We can understand their construction in our terms as follows: if λ is a partition of n with $n_1 = 0$, let $\Delta_\lambda \subset M^n$ be the locus where the first λ_1 points coincide, the subsequent λ_2 points coincide, etc., and put $\mathcal{A} = \{\Delta_\lambda\}$. If λ' is the partition of $N \geq n$ obtained by padding λ with ones, then $\bar{w}_{\lambda'}^c(M) = F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)/\mathbb{S}_N$. In particular, rational homological stability for the spaces $\bar{w}_\lambda^c(M)$ under the operation of padding λ with ones follows from representation stability for the spaces $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \triangleleft

Example 4.8 The configuration space of n points in \mathbb{P}^2 such that no three of them lie on a line and no six lie on a conic is of the form $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$, where $M = \mathbb{P}^2$ and \mathcal{A} has two elements which are closed subvarieties of M^3 and M^6 , respectively. \triangleleft

We are going to prove a homological stability result for the spaces $F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n)$. To avoid dealing with trivial cases we will assume that $|S_i| \geq 2$ for all i , and that no subspace A_i can be written as

$$A_i = (\pi_{S'_i}^{S_i})^{-1}(A'_i)$$

where S'_i is a proper subset of S .

4.3 The setup

Observe that there is an open embedding

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S \sqcup T) \hookrightarrow F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S) \times F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, T).$$

This makes $S \mapsto F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S)$ a twisted cocommutative coalgebra of spaces. Since Borel–Moore homology is contravariant for open embeddings and admits cross products

$$H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(X, R) \otimes H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(Y, R) \rightarrow H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(X \times Y, R)$$

(which are isomorphisms if R is a field), we get a twisted commutative algebra in graded R –modules:

$$S \mapsto H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S), R),$$

for any choice of coefficients R .

The functor $S \mapsto P_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ forms a twisted commutative algebra in the category of posets: the product of a stratum in M^S and a stratum in M^T is a stratum in $M^{S \sqcup T}$, which identifies $P_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \times P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ with an order ideal in $P_{\mathcal{A}}(S \sqcup T)$. If $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ and $\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$, then we write $\beta \times \gamma$ for their product in $P_{\mathcal{A}}(S \sqcup T)$.

Let $L^\bullet(S)$ denote the complex of sheaves $L^\bullet(R)$ on M^S constructed in the previous part, associated to the stratification $P_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$. The previous paragraph implies that $L^\bullet(S) \boxtimes L^\bullet(T)$ is a subcomplex of $L^\bullet(S \sqcup T)$, and $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(S) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}L^\bullet(T)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(S \sqcup T)$. Applying $R\Gamma(-)$, we see that the functor

$$S \mapsto R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(M^S, \mathbb{D}L^\bullet(S))$$

is a twisted commutative algebra of chain complexes, whose homology is the tca $S \mapsto H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S), R)$.

4.4 The hypotheses

Let us now describe the hypotheses on M and \mathcal{A} that will lead to a proof of representation stability. Fix M and \mathcal{A} as above, and a coefficient ring R .

Hypothesis 4.9 We assume that $H_d^{\text{BM}}(M, R) \cong R$, and that homology vanishes above this degree. We assume that (possibly after refining the stratifications) all strata in all spaces M^n have finitely generated homology groups, and there exists an increasing function $\sigma: P_{\mathcal{A}}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for all n such that:

- (1) If $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ satisfies $\sigma(\beta) = p$ and $\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ satisfies $\sigma(\gamma) = q$, then $\sigma(\beta \times \gamma) = p + q$.
- (2) If $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$ satisfies $\sigma(\beta) = p$, then $H_i^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ vanishes above degrees $dn - 2p$, and $H_{dn-2p}^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ is a projective R -module.

Example 4.10 Suppose that M is a geometrically irreducible algebraic variety of dimension $\frac{d}{2}$, and that \mathcal{A} consists of closed subvarieties. Then it will indeed be the case that $H_d^{\text{BM}}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, that $H_i^{\text{BM}}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > d$, and that all strata have finitely generated homology. Let $\sigma(\beta)$ be the codimension of S_β . After refining the stratifications we may assume all strata irreducible, in which case σ becomes a strictly increasing function, and conditions (1) and (2) are clearly satisfied. \triangleleft

Example 4.11 Suppose that all the subspaces in \mathcal{A} are given by diagonals, so all closed strata are products of the same space M . This covers eg all the configuration spaces considered by Vakil and Wood. In this case, for $\bar{S}_\beta \cong M^k \subset M^n$, we can take $\sigma(\beta) = (n - k)$. If we suppose that M has finitely generated homology and finite dimension $d > 1$, and $H_d^{\text{BM}}(M, R) \cong R$, then **Hypothesis 4.9** is satisfied. To verify the second condition, note that if $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$ satisfies $\sigma(\beta) = p$, then $\bar{S}_\beta \cong M^{n-p}$, whose highest nonzero Borel–Moore homology group is $H_{d(n-p)}^{\text{BM}}(M^{n-p}, R) \cong R$. Since we assumed $d > 1$, we get in particular vanishing above degree $dn - 2p$ and that the homology group in degree $dn - 2p$ is projective. \triangleleft

From now on we shall assume that **Hypothesis 4.9** is satisfied.

4.5 Proof with coefficients in a field

In this subsection, we fix a field k of coefficients, and all homology groups will be taken with coefficients in k . In the algebraic case we take $k = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, where ℓ is not equal to the characteristic. We will later see that the proof can be modified to work also for integral coefficients, but the added complications arising from the lack of a Künneth isomorphism obscure the ideas somewhat.

Lemma 4.12 *There exists a twisted commutative algebra of spectral sequences which satisfies*

$$E_{pq}^1(S) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \\ \sigma(\beta) = p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} \tilde{H}_i(0, \beta) \otimes H_j^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, k),$$

and which converges to the twisted commutative algebra $S \mapsto H_{\bullet}^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S), k)$.

Proof By condition (2) in Hypothesis 4.9, the filtration on $L^\bullet(S) \boxtimes L^\bullet(T)$ induced by σ agrees with the one on $L^\bullet(S \sqcup T)$, when we consider $L^\bullet(S) \boxtimes L^\bullet(T)$ as a subcomplex of $L^\bullet(S \sqcup T)$. This makes the twisted commutative algebra $S \mapsto R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(M^S, \mathbb{D}L^\bullet(S))$ a tca in filtered chain complexes, and the associated spectral sequence is given as above. \square

We say that an element $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ is *indecomposable* if, whenever $T = S \sqcup S'$ and β is in the image of the multiplication map $P_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \times P_{\mathcal{A}}(S') \rightarrow P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$, then S or S' is empty.

Lemma 4.13 *There exists a constant C such that if $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ is indecomposable, then $\sigma(\beta) \geq C \cdot |T|$.*

Proof The stratum S_β is (an open stratum inside) an intersection of subspaces of the form $(\pi_{S_i}^T)^{-1}(A_i)$, for some collections of inclusions $S_i \hookrightarrow T$. We may assume this collection of subspaces to be irredundant. In order that β be indecomposable, it is certainly necessary that the images of the $S_i \hookrightarrow T$ cover T , which means that the number of subspaces that one needs to intersect to obtain S_β grows linearly in $|T|$. Moreover, since we assumed the collection irredundant and σ increasing, the value of σ must go up by at least 1 for each subspace we intersect, which proves the result. \square

Let E be the twisted commutative algebra in graded vector spaces given by

$$S \mapsto E_{\bullet}(S); \quad E_i(S) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} E_{pq}^1(S).$$

Lemma 4.14 *The tca E satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3, so that $S^d E$ is a finitely generated FI-module.*

Proof The tca E is generated by classes $\tilde{H}_i(0, \beta) \otimes H_j^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, k)$ where β ranges over indecomposable elements of $P_A(n)$.

If $\beta \in P_A(n)$ satisfies $\sigma(\beta) = p$, then $\tilde{H}_i(0, \beta)$ vanishes above degree $p - 2$, and $H_j^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, k)$ vanishes above degree $dn - 2p$. Thus the corresponding generators in $E_i(n)$ satisfy $i \leq dn - p$. In particular, we get a generator in degree $i = dn$ only for $p = 0$. But the open stratum is indecomposable only when $n = 1$, in which case we get a single generator in this degree from the one-dimensional space $H_d^{\text{BM}}(M, k)$.

Moreover, by Lemma 4.13, for each $p \geq 0$ there are only finitely many strata in $P_A(n)$ (summed over all n) with $\sigma(\beta) \leq p$, which means that only finitely many of these generators satisfy $i \geq dn - p$. Thus the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied. \square

Theorem 4.15 *The FI-module given by $n \mapsto H_{i+dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_A(M, n), k) \otimes \text{sgn}_n^{\otimes d}$ is finitely generated for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof By the previous lemma,

$$n \mapsto (S^d E)(n) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i+dn} E_{pq}^1(n) \otimes \text{sgn}_n^{\otimes d}$$

is a finitely generated FI-module. Then so is $n \mapsto \bigoplus_{p+q=i+dn} E_{pq}^\infty(n) \otimes \text{sgn}_n^{\otimes d}$, being a subquotient of a finitely generated FI-module [6, Theorem 1.3]. But the latter is just the associated graded of the FI-module $n \mapsto H_{i+dn}^{\text{BM}}(F_A(M, n), k) \otimes \text{sgn}_n^{\otimes d}$ for the Leray filtration. \square

Remark 4.16 The sign representation which appears for odd d arises from Lemma 4.3, and does not play a role in the algebraic case since an algebraic variety has even (real) dimension. That the sign representation should appear is clear, if we want to recover representation stability for the usual cohomology $H^i(F(M, n), k)$ from Poincaré duality when M is an oriented manifold. Indeed, the Poincaré duality isomorphism for $F(M, n)$ involves capping with the fundamental class, which generates the 1-dimensional vector space $H_{dn}^{\text{BM}}(F(M, n), k) \cong H_{dn}^{\text{BM}}(M^n, k)$. When d is even this vector space carries the trivial representation of S_n , but when d is odd it has the sign representation.

Remark 4.17 A space M is called an R -homology manifold of dimension d if for all $x \in M$ one has

$$H_i(M, M \setminus \{x\}; R) \cong \begin{cases} R & \text{if } i = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, $\mathbb{D}R \cong R[d]$. A trivial example is an oriented d -manifold for any R ; a more typical example is that a complex algebraic variety with finite quotient singularities is a \mathbb{Q} -homology manifold (of dimension twice its dimension over \mathbb{C}). An R -homology manifold of dimension d satisfies Poincaré duality in the form $H_i^{\text{BM}}(M, R) \cong H^{d-i}(M, R)$. If we add to [Hypothesis 4.9](#) the condition that M is an R -homology manifold of dimension d , then the conclusion of [Theorem 4.15](#) (if R is a field, or the results of the next subsection if R is a PID) become equivalent to the claim that $n \mapsto H^i(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, n), R)$ is a finitely generated FI-module. For instance, if M is a connected oriented manifold of dimension $d > 1$, then $H^i(F(M, n), \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated FI-module for all i ; this is how the results of [\[5; 7\]](#) can be obtained as specializations of those in this paper.

4.6 Proof for integral coefficients

In the proof of [Theorem 4.15](#) in the preceding subsection, we used that any subquotient of a finitely generated FI-module is finitely generated. This was proven for field coefficients in [\[6\]](#), but the result was then extended to any noetherian ring in [\[7\]](#). We will now use the latter result to give a proof also for integral coefficients.

However, the real reason we used field coefficients in the preceding subsection was to have a robust Künneth isomorphism: without it, it would not be true that generators for the twisted commutative algebra we considered arise from indecomposable strata. Namely, if β is decomposable — say $\bar{S}_\beta = \bar{S}_\gamma \times \bar{S}_{\gamma'}$ — then the cross product map

$$H_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_{\gamma'}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, \mathbb{Z})$$

is not necessarily surjective. To remedy this, we will need to work on the chain level, analogous to [\[7, Lemma 4.1\]](#).

For the remainder of this section we fix a coefficient ring R which we assume to be a PID, eg $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. In order for the proof to work, we shall need to verify a refinement of [Hypothesis 4.9](#).

Lemma 4.18 *Assume [Hypothesis 4.9](#). For each stratum \bar{S}_β in any of the spaces M^n , we can choose a quasi-isomorphism*

$$C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta) \simeq R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\beta, \mathbb{D}R)$$

where $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$ is a bounded complex of finitely generated free modules, and such that

- for any decomposable stratum $\bar{S}_\alpha \times \bar{S}_\beta$, we have an equality

$$C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha) \otimes C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta) = C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha \times \bar{S}_\beta)$$

compatible with the quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{D}R) \otimes R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\beta, \mathbb{D}R) \simeq R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\beta \times \bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{D}R);$$

- for $\bar{S}_\alpha \subset \bar{S}_\beta$, there is a map

$$C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha) \rightarrow C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$$

compatible with the map

$$R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\alpha, \mathbb{D}R) \rightarrow R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\beta, \mathbb{D}R);$$

- if $\bar{S}_\beta \subset M^n$ has $\sigma(\beta) = p$ then $C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ vanishes above degree $dn - 2p$, and $C_d(M) \cong R$.

It is immediate from Hypothesis 4.9 that such a complex $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$ can be constructed for each individual stratum, but we need the choices to satisfy various compatibilities.

Taking the lemma for granted for the moment, the idea will be to run nearly the same proof, but instead of starting at the E^1 page of the spectral sequence, we start at E^0 . Equivalently, we work directly on the level of the double complex computing $R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(M^n, \mathbb{D}L^\bullet(R))$, associated to our filtration of $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(R)$. The filtration on $\mathbb{D}L^\bullet(R)$ has its associated graded pieces quasi-isomorphic to sums of complexes of the form $\tilde{C}_{-\bullet, -2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_* \mathbb{D}R$, where i_β is the inclusion of a closed stratum, so the columns of this double complex are of the form $R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(M^n, \tilde{C}_{-\bullet, -2}(0, \beta) \otimes (i_\beta)_* \mathbb{D}R)$. Under our Lemma 4.18 we may (for all β) replace this with the totalization of the double complex $\tilde{C}_{-\bullet, -2}(0, \beta) \otimes C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$. Then this collection of double complexes becomes a twisted commutative algebra, and that we get a tca of spectral sequences:

Lemma 4.19 *There exists a twisted commutative algebra of spectral sequences which satisfies*

$$E_{pq}^0(S) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \\ \sigma(\beta) = p}} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q-2} \tilde{C}_i(0, \beta) \otimes C_j(\bar{S}_\beta),$$

and which converges to the twisted commutative algebra $S \mapsto H_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(F_{\mathcal{A}}(M, S), R)$.

If we consider the twisted commutative algebra in graded abelian groups given by

$$S \mapsto E_\bullet(S); \quad E_i(S) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} E_{pq}^0(S),$$

then this tca will be generated by classes $\tilde{C}_i(0, \beta) \otimes C_j^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ where β ranges over indecomposable elements of $P_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$. In particular, it satisfies the hypotheses of

Lemma 4.3, for the same reason as the tca E considered in the previous subsection, and the rest of the proof carries over without any changes.

Let us now argue that **Lemma 4.18** is satisfied.

Proof of Lemma 4.18 First off, we replace each $R\Gamma^{-\bullet}(\bar{S}_\beta, \mathbb{D}R)$ by a functorial free resolution, and then apply the “wise” truncation functor $\tau^{\leq(dn-2p)}$. Let us call the resulting complexes $C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$. Unfortunately, the truncation functor has the wrong functoriality: there is for any chain complex C_\bullet a map $C_\bullet \rightarrow \tau^{\leq n}C_\bullet$, but we need a map in the opposite direction. However, the assumption that $H_{dn-2p}^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ is projective and that R is a PID implies that $C_{dn-2p}^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ is itself free. In particular, $C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ is itself a free resolution, and the truncation map has a section. One checks that any choice of section gives rise to a well-defined chain map $C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R) \rightarrow C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\alpha, R)$ for $\bar{S}_\beta \subset \bar{S}_\alpha$, making the assignment $\beta \mapsto C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ functorial.

To replace these complexes with ones that are finitely generated in each degree, we work inductively, starting with M itself. If we have chosen complexes $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)$ for all $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$, $n < N$, then the condition that we have an “on-the-nose” Künneth isomorphism determines our choice of $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$ for all decomposable strata $\beta \in P_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$. Now I claim that if we have compatible choices of $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)$ for all β in some order ideal $I \subset P_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$, and α is any minimal element of $P_{\mathcal{A}}(N) \setminus I$, then we can also choose $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha)$ compatibly (and thus the inductive procedure can be continued). Indeed, consider the composition

$$\text{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} (C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} (C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\beta, R)) \rightarrow C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\alpha, R).$$

We note that the colimit over a finite poset can be defined as the totalization of a functorially defined chain complex, which is of finite rank in each degree if each chain complex in the colimit is. By induction, the image of this composition is then finitely generated in each degree, so we may choose a quasi-isomorphism $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha) \rightarrow C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\alpha, R)$ where $C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha)$ is again finitely generated in each degree, such that the image contains the image of $\text{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} (C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta))$. Then there is a factorization

$$\text{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} (C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\beta)) \rightarrow C_\bullet(\bar{S}_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_\bullet^{\text{BM}}(\bar{S}_\alpha, R),$$

which proves the claim. □

References

[1] **A A Beilinson**, *On the derived category of perverse sheaves*, from “ K -theory, arithmetic and geometry” (Y I Manin, editor), Lecture Notes in Math. 1289, Springer, Berlin (1987) 27–41 [MR](#)

- [2] **A A Beilinson, J Bernstein, P Deligne**, *Faisceaux pervers*, from “Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I”, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1982) 5–171 [MR](#)
- [3] **M Bendersky, S Gitler**, *The cohomology of certain function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 326 (1991) 423–440 [MR](#)
- [4] **A Björner, T Ekedahl**, *Subspace arrangements over finite fields: cohomological and enumerative aspects*, Adv. Math. 129 (1997) 159–187 [MR](#)
- [5] **T Church**, *Homological stability for configuration spaces of manifolds*, Invent. Math. 188 (2012) 465–504 [MR](#)
- [6] **T Church, JS Ellenberg, B Farb**, *FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups*, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015) 1833–1910 [MR](#)
- [7] **T Church, JS Ellenberg, B Farb, R Nagpal**, *FI-modules over Noetherian rings*, Geom. Topol. 18 (2014) 2951–2984 [MR](#)
- [8] **T Church, B Farb**, *Representation theory and homological stability*, Adv. Math. 245 (2013) 250–314 [MR](#)
- [9] **FR Cohen, T J Lada, JP May**, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 533, Springer, Berlin (1976) [MR](#)
- [10] **FR Cohen, LR Taylor**, *Computations of Gel’fand–Fuks cohomology, the cohomology of function spaces, and the cohomology of configuration spaces*, from “Geometric applications of homotopy theory, I” (M Barratt, M Mahowald, editors), Lecture Notes in Math. 657, Springer, Berlin (1978) 106–143 [MR](#)
- [11] **P Deligne**, *Théorie de Hodge, II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 40 (1971) 5–57 [MR](#)
- [12] **P Deligne, P Griffiths, J Morgan, D Sullivan**, *Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. 29 (1975) 245–274 [MR](#)
- [13] **B Farb, J Wolfson**, *Étale homological stability and arithmetic statistics*, preprint (2015) [arXiv](#)
- [14] **SI Gelfand, YI Manin**, *Methods of homological algebra*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin (2003) [MR](#)
- [15] **E Getzler**, *Resolving mixed Hodge modules on configuration spaces*, Duke Math. J. 96 (1999) 175–203 [MR](#)
- [16] **E Getzler, JDS Jones**, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, unpublished manuscript (1994) [arXiv](#)
- [17] **M Goresky, R MacPherson**, *Stratified Morse theory*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 14, Springer, Berlin (1988) [MR](#)
- [18] **L Illusie**, *Complexe cotangent et déformations, I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 239, Springer, Berlin (1971) [MR](#)

- [19] **A Joyal**, *Foncteurs analytiques et espèces de structures*, from “Combinatoire énumérative” (G Labelle, P Leroux, editors), Lecture Notes in Math. 1234, Springer, Berlin (1986) 126–159 [MR](#)
- [20] **A A Klyachko**, *Lie elements in a tensor algebra*, *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* 15 (1974) 1296–1304 [MR](#) In Russian; translated in *Siberian Math. J.* 15 (1974) 914–920
- [21] **A Kupers, J Miller, T Tran**, *Homological stability for symmetric complements*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016) 7745–7762 [MR](#)
- [22] **E Looijenga**, *Cohomology of \mathcal{M}_3 and \mathcal{M}_3^1* , from “Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces” (C-F Bödigheimer, R M Hain, editors), *Contemp. Math.* 150, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1993) 205–228 [MR](#)
- [23] **D McDuff**, *Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles*, *Topology* 14 (1975) 91–107 [MR](#)
- [24] **R Nagpal**, *FI-modules and the cohomology of modular representations of symmetric groups*, preprint (2015) [arXiv](#)
- [25] **P Orlik, L Solomon**, *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*, *Invent. Math.* 56 (1980) 167–189 [MR](#)
- [26] **M Saito**, *Mixed Hodge modules*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 26 (1990) 221–333 [MR](#)
- [27] **R P Stanley**, *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 32 (1982) 132–161 [MR](#)
- [28] **B Totaro**, *Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties*, *Topology* 35 (1996) 1057–1067 [MR](#)
- [29] **R Vakil, M M Wood**, *Discriminants in the Grothendieck ring*, *Duke Math. J.* 164 (2015) 1139–1185 [MR](#)
- [30] **V A Vassiliev**, *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, *Transl. Math. Monogr.* 98, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1992) [MR](#)
- [31] **V A Vassiliev**, *Topology of discriminants and their complements*, from “Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians” (S D Chatterji, editor), volume 1, Birkhäuser, Basel (1995) 209–226 [MR](#)
- [32] **M L Wachs**, *Poset topology: tools and applications*, from “Geometric combinatorics” (E Miller, V Reiner, B Sturmfels, editors), *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.* 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2007) 497–615 [MR](#)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5,
DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

danpete@math.ku.dk

Proposed: Benson Farb
Seconded: Ralph Cohen, Dan Abramovich

Received: 17 May 2016
Revised: 14 July 2016

