

MICHAEL BRANDENBURSKY EGOR SHELUKHIN

We show that for each $p \ge 1$, the L^p -metric on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the two-sphere has infinite diameter. This solves the last open case of a conjecture of Shnirelman from 1985. Our methods extend to yield stronger results on the large-scale geometry of the corresponding metric space, completing an answer to a question of Kapovich from 2012. Our proof uses configuration spaces of points on the two-sphere, quasimorphisms, optimally chosen braid diagrams, and, as a key element, the cross-ratio map $X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to \mathcal{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{\infty, 0, 1\}$ from the configuration space of 4 points on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to the moduli space of complex rational curves with 4 marked points.

20F65, 37E30, 53D99; 20F36, 57M07, 57R50, 57S05

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Introduction

msp

The L^2 -length of a path of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, which describes a time-dependent flow of an ideal incompressible fluid, corresponds to the hydrodynamic action of the flow in the same way as the length of a path in a Riemannian manifold corresponds to its energy; see Shnirelman [41]. Indeed, it is the length of this path with respect to the formal right-invariant Riemannian metric on the group \mathcal{G} of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms introduced by Arnold in [1]. The L^1 -length of the same path has a dynamical interpretation as the average length of a trajectory of a point under the flow.

Following the principle of least action, it therefore makes sense to consider the infimum of the lengths of paths connecting two fixed volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. This gives rise to a right-invariant distance function (metric) on \mathcal{G} . Taking the identity transformation as the initial point, Arnold observes that a path whose L^2 -length is minimal (and equal to the distance) necessarily solves the Euler equation of an ideal incompressible fluid.

It follows from works of Ebin and Marsden [18] that for diffeomorphisms in \mathcal{G} that are C^2 -close to the identity, the infimum is indeed achieved. Further, more global results on the corresponding Riemannian exponential map were obtained in Ebin, Misiołek and Preston [19] and Shnirelman [42]; see Ebin [17] for additional references. Shnirelman [40; 41] showed, among a number of surprising facts related to this subject, that in the case of the ball of dimension 3, the diameter of the L^2 -metric is bounded. This result is known¹ to hold for all compact simply connected manifolds of dimension 3 or larger (see Eliashberg and Ratiu [20], Khesin and Wendt [29] and Arnold and Khesin [3]), while its analogue in the non-simply connected case is false (see Eliashberg and Ratiu [20] and Brandenbursky [9]). Furthermore, Shnirelman has conjectured that for compact manifolds of dimension 2, the L^2 -diameter is infinite.

In this paper we consider Shnirelman's conjecture, and its analogues for L^p -metrics, with $p \ge 1$. It follows from results of [20] that on compact surfaces (possibly with boundary) other than T^2 and S^2 . Shnirelman's conjecture holds for all p > 1. Their arguments rely on the Calabi homomorphism Cal (see Calabi [14]) from the compactly supported Hamiltonian group $\operatorname{Ham}_{c}(\Sigma, \sigma)$ to the real numbers in the case of a surface Σ with nonempty boundary (σ is the area form), and on nontrivial first cohomology combined with trivial center of the fundamental group in the closed case. For the two-torus T^2 this conjecture of Shnirelman also holds for all $p \ge 1$, as can be quickly seen by the following steps. First, the methods of [20] together with the fact that the Hamiltonian group $\operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ is simply connected as a topological space (see eg Polterovich [35, Chapter 7.2.B]) imply that $\text{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ with the L^p -metric has infinite diameter (compare with Brandenbursky and Kedra [11, Theorem 1.2]). Second, the inclusion $\operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$, the two groups being equipped with their respective L^p -metrics, is a quasi-isometry (see Proposition A.1). The case of the two-sphere S^2 , to which previous methods do not apply, remained open.

The case p > 2 (but not that of Shnirelman's original conjecture!) is well-known, as it follows from a result of Polterovich [34] regarding Hofer's metric on Ham(S^2) by an application of the Sobolev inequality. The authors gave a different proof of this case by elementary methods in the preprint [12].

The main result of this paper is the unboundedness of the L^p -metric on $\text{Ham}(S^2)$ for all $p \ge 1$. This completes a full answer to Shnirelman's question. Our methods extend to yield stronger results on the large-scale geometry on the L^p -metric on $\text{Ham}(S^2)$. In particular, we provide bi-Lipschitz group monomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^m endowed with the standard (say Euclidean) metric into $(\text{Ham}(S^2), d_{L^p})$ for each positive integer m

¹The authors have not found a detailed proof of this generalization in the literature.

and each $p \ge 1$. Moreover, our key technical estimate implies by an argument of Kim and Koberda [30] (cf Crisp and Wiest [16] and Benaim and Gambaudo [5]) the existence of quasi-isometric group monomorphisms from each right-angled Artin group to $(\text{Ham}(S^2), d_{L^p})$ for each $p \ge 1$, completing the resolution of a question of Kapovich [27] in the case of S^2 (the case p > 2 shown in Kim and Koberda uses [12]).

Our methods are two-dimensional in nature, and have to do with braiding and relative rotation numbers of trajectories of time-dependent two-dimensional Hamiltonian flows (in extended phase space). We note that Shnirelman has proposed to use relative rotation numbers to bound from below the L^2 -lengths of two-dimensional Hamiltonian paths in [41]. This direction is related to the method of Eliashberg and Ratiu by a theorem of Gambaudo and Ghys [22] and Fathi [21] (compare with Shelukhin [39]), stating that the Calabi homomorphism is proportional to the relative rotation number of the trajectories of two distinct points in the two-disc \mathbb{D} under a Hamiltonian flow, averaged over the configuration space of ordered pairs of distinct points (x_1, x_2) in the two-disc.

This line of research was pursued in Gambaudo and Lagrange [24], Benaim and Gambaudo [5], Crisp and Wiest [16], Brandenbursky [9], Brandenbursky and Kedra [10] and Kim and Koberda [30], obtaining quasi-isometric and bi-Lipschitz embeddings of various groups (right-angled Artin groups and additive groups of finite-dimensional real vector spaces) into $\operatorname{Ham}_{c}(\mathbb{D}^{2}, dx \wedge dy)$ and into $\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Cal}) \subset \operatorname{Ham}_{c}(\mathbb{D}^{2}, dx \wedge dy)$ endowed with their respective L^p -metrics (see Brandenbursky and Kedra [11] for similar embedding results on manifolds with a sufficiently complicated fundamental group). In all cases, the key technical estimate is an upper bound, via the L^{p} -length of an isotopy of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, of the average, over all points in a configuration space of the manifold, of the word length in the fundamental group of the configuration space of the trace of the point under the induced isotopy (closed up to a loop by a system of short paths on the configuration space). In this paper we produce similar estimates for the case of the two-sphere. Our case of $\text{Diff}_0(S^2, \sigma)$, with $p \leq 2$, is more difficult than that of ker(Cal) \subset Ham_c($\mathbb{D}, dx \wedge dy$) because the necessary analytical and topological bounds require a more global approach and have to take into account the geometry and topology of the sphere.

In turn, lower bounds on the average word length can often be provided by quasimorphisms — functions that are additive with respect to the group multiplication up to an error which is uniformly bounded (as a function of two variables). The quasimorphisms we use were introduced and studied by Gambaudo and Ghys in the beautiful paper [23]; see also Polterovich [36], Py [37; 38] and Brandenbursky [8]. These quasimorphisms essentially appear from invariants of braids traced out by the action of a Hamiltonian path on an ordered n-tuple of distinct points in the surface (suitably closed up), averaged over the configuration space $X_n(\Sigma)$ of *n*-tuples of distinct points on the surface Σ .

Comparison with [12] The first step in our study of Shnirelman's conjecture is found in the unpublished preprint [12], where we saw which elements of the approach of [9] extend to the case of S^2 . There we found that without a key new idea one could only obtain the necessary estimates for p > 2. The main novelty of this paper consists indeed of a new geometric idea, which is of independent interest. To wit, we introduce certain canonical "logarithmic" differential forms on $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, which play a key role in our arguments. These forms can be considered as analogues for the case of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ of the differential forms of Arnold [2] on $X_n(\mathbb{C})$. One curious aspect of these forms is that while in Arnold's case they appeared from pairs of points, that is from the natural projections $X_n(\mathbb{C}) \to X_2(\mathbb{C})$ on pairs of coordinates, in the case of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ they are constructed from quadruples of points, that is from projections $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ on quadruples of coordinates. This fits with $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = \pi_1(X_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_4(\mathbb{C}P^1) = \pi_1(X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z})$ being the first infinite pure braid groups in the two cases.

1.2 Preliminaries

1.2.1 The L^p -metric Let M denote a smooth oriented manifold without boundary that is either closed, or has $M = X \setminus \partial X$ for a compact manifold X. Let M be endowed with a Riemannian metric g and smooth measure μ (given by a volume form, which in our case that M is a surface is an area form σ , and orientation on M). We require g and μ to extend continuously to X in the second case. Finally denote by

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff}_{c,0}(M, \mu)$$

the identity component of the group of compactly supported diffeomorphisms of M preserving the smooth measure μ .

Fix $p \ge 1$. For a smooth isotopy $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ from $\phi_0 = 1$ to $\phi_1 = \phi$, we define the L^p -length by

$$l_p(\{\phi_t\}) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M,\mu)} \cdot \int_M |X_t|^p \, d\mu\right)^{1/p} dt,$$

where $X_t = \frac{d}{dt'}\Big|_{t'=t} \phi_{t'} \circ \phi_t^{-1}$ is the time-dependent vector field generating the isotopy $\{\phi_t\}$, and $|X_t|$ is its length with respect to the Riemannian structure on M. As is easily seen by a displacement argument, the L^p -length functional determines a nondegenerate norm on \mathcal{G} by the formula

$$d_p(\mathbf{1}, \phi) = \inf l_p(\{\phi_t\}).$$

This in turn defines a right-invariant metric on \mathcal{G} by the formula

$$d_p(\phi_0, \phi_1) = d_p(\mathbf{1}, \phi_1 \phi_0^{-1}).$$

Remark 1.1 Consider the case p = 1. It is easy to see that the L^1 -length of an isotopy is equal to the average Riemannian length of the trajectory $\{\phi_t(x)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ (over $x \in M$, with respect to μ). Moreover for each $p \ge 1$, by Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality, we have

$$l_p(\{\phi_t\}) \ge l_1(\{\phi_t\}).$$

Denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$ the identity element of the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} . Similarly one has the L^p -pseudonorm (that induces the right-invariant L^p -pseudometric) on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, defined for $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as

$$d_p(\tilde{\mathbf{1}}, \tilde{\phi}) = \inf l_p(\{\phi_t\})$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\{\phi_t\}$ in the class of $\tilde{\phi}$. Clearly $d_p(\mathbf{1}, \phi) = \inf d_p(\tilde{\mathbf{1}}, \tilde{\phi})$, where the infimum runs over all $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ that map to ϕ under the natural epimorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}$.

Up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence of metrics (d and d' are equivalent if $d/C \le d' \le Cd$ for a certain constant C > 0) the L^p -metric on \mathcal{G} (and its pseudometric analogue on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$) is independent of the choice Riemannian structure and of the volume form μ on M. In particular, the question of boundedness or unboundedness of the L^p -metric enjoys the same invariance property.

Terminology For a positive integer *n*, we use *A*, *B*, *C* > 0 as generic notation for positive constants that depend only on *M*, μ , *g* and *n*.

1.2.2 Quasimorphisms For some of our results, we require the notion of a quasimorphism. Quasimorphisms are a helpful tool for the study of nonabelian groups, especially those that admit few homomorphisms to \mathbb{R} . A quasimorphism $r: G \to \mathbb{R}$ on a group *G* is a real-valued function that satisfies

$$r(xy) = r(x) + r(y) + b_r(x, y),$$

for a function $b_r: G \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ that is uniformly bounded:

$$\delta(r) := \sup_{G \times G} |b_r| < \infty.$$

A quasimorphism $\overline{r}: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *homogeneous* if $\overline{r}(x^k) = k\overline{r}(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, it is additive on each pair $x, y \in G$ of commuting elements: r(xy) = r(x) + r(y) if xy = yx.

For each quasimorphism $r: G \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique homogeneous quasimorphism \overline{r} that differs from r by a bounded function:

$$\sup_{G} |\overline{r} - r| < \infty.$$

It is called the *homogenization* of r and satisfies

$$\overline{r}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{r(x^n)}{n}.$$

Denote by Q(G) the real vector space of homogeneous quasimorphisms on G.

For a finitely generated group G, with finite symmetric generating set S, define the word norm $|\cdot|_S: G \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$|g|_S = \min\{k \mid g = s_1 \cdots s_k \text{ for some } s_1, \dots, s_k \in S\}$$

for $g \in G$. This is a norm on G, and as such it induces a right-invariant metric $d_S: G \times G \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by $d_S(f,g) = |gf^{-1}|_S$. This metric is called the word metric. In this setting, any quasimorphism $r: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is controlled by the word norm. Indeed, for all $g \in G$,

$$|r(g)| \le \left(\delta(r) + \max_{s \in S} |r(s)|\right) \cdot |g|_S.$$

When a specific symmetric generating set S for G can be fixed, we will usually denote $|\cdot|_S$ by $|\cdot|_G$.

We refer to [15] for more information about quasimorphisms.

1.2.3 Configuration spaces and braid groups For a manifold M, which shall in this paper be usually of dimension 2 and without boundary, the configuration space $X_n(M) \subset M^n$ of *n*-tuples of points on M is defined as

$$X_n(M) = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \neq x_j, \ 1 \le i < j \le n \}.$$

That is,

$$X_n(M) = M^n \setminus \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} D_{ij},$$

where the partial diagonal $D_{ij} \subset M^n$ is defined as $D_{ij} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j\}$ for $1 \le i < j \le n$. Note that D_{ij} is a submanifold of M^n of codimension dim M. When dim M = 2 and M is endowed with a complex structure, D_{ij} is a complex hypersurface. Therefore we shall sometimes refer to D_{ij} and $D = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} D_{ij}$ as divisors. Indeed, complex coordinates serve an important role in our arguments.

Finally we define the pure braid group of M as

$$P_n(M) = \pi_1(X_n(M)).$$

Noting that the symmetric group S_n on n elements acts on $X_n(M)$, we form the quotient $C_n(M) = X_n(M)/S_n$ and define the full braid group of M as

$$B_n(M) = \pi_1(C_n(M)).$$

For smooth surfaces M endowed with a complex structure (hence smooth complex manifolds of complex dimension 1), $C_n(M)$ turns out to inherit the structure of a smooth complex manifold of complex dimension n.

We note that $P_n(M)$ and $B_n(M)$ enter the exact sequence

$$1 \to P_n(M) \to B_n(M) \to S_n \to 1.$$

In particular $P_n(M)$ is a normal subgroup of $B_n(M)$ of finite index. We refer to Kassel and Turaev [28] for further information about braid groups.

1.2.4 Short paths and the Gambaudo–Ghys construction Given a real-valued quasimorphism r on $P_n(M) = \pi_1(X_n(M), q)$, for a fixed basepoint $q \in X_n(M)$ there is a natural way to construct a real-valued quasimorphism on the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of the group $\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff}_0(S^2, \sigma)$ of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of $M = S^2$. We shall see that in our case of $M = S^2$ this induces a quasimorphism on \mathcal{G} itself, because the fundamental group of \mathcal{G} is finite. The construction is carried out by the following steps; see Gambaudo and Ghys [23], Polterovich [36] and Brandenbursky [8].

Step 1 For all $x \in X_n(S^2) \setminus Z$ with Z a closed negligible subset (eg a union of submanifolds of positive codimension), choose a smooth path $\gamma(x)$: $[0, 1] \to X_n(S^2)$ between the basepoint $q \in X_n(S^2)$ and x. Make this choice continuous in $X_n(S^2) \setminus Z$. We first choose a system of paths on $M = S^2$ itself, in our case the minimal geodesics with respect to the round metric, and then consider the induced coordinate-wise paths in M^n , and pick Z to ensure that these induced paths actually lie in $X_n(S^2)$. After choosing the system of paths $\{\gamma(x)\}_{x \in X_n(S^2) \setminus Z}$ we extend it measurably to all $x \in X_n(S^2)$ (obviously, no numerical values computed in the paper will depend on this extension). We call the resulting choice a "system of short paths".

Step 2 Given a path $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{G} starting at Id, and a point $x \in X_n(S^2)$, consider the path $\{\phi_t \cdot x\}$, to which we then concatenate the corresponding short paths. That is, consider the loop

$$\lambda(x, \{\phi_t\}) := \gamma(x) \, \# \{\phi_t \cdot x\} \, \# \, \gamma(y)^{-1}$$

in $X_n(S^2)$ based at q, where $^{-1}$ denotes time reversal, and $y = \phi_1 \cdot x$. Hence we obtain for each $x \in X_n(S^2) \setminus Z \cap (\phi_1)^{-1}(Z)$ an element $[\lambda(x, \{\phi_t\})] \in \pi_1(X_n(S^2), q)$ (or rather for each $x \in X_n(S^2)$ after the measurable extension in Step 1).

Step 3 Consequently applying the quasimorphism $r: \pi_1(X_n(S^2), q) \to \mathbb{R}$ we obtain a measurable function $f: X_n(S^2) \to \mathbb{R}$. Namely, $f(x) = r([\lambda(x, \{\phi_t\})])$. The quasimorphism Φ on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by

$$\Phi([\{\phi_t\}]) = \int_{X_n(S^2)} f \, d\mu^{\otimes n}.$$

It is immediate to see that this function is well-defined for topological reasons. The quasimorphism property follows by the quasimorphism property of r combined with finiteness of volume. The fact that the function f is absolutely integrable can be shown to hold a priori by a reduction to the case of the disc. We note, however, that by Tonelli's theorem this fact follows as a byproduct of the proof of our main theorem, and therefore requires no additional proof.

Step 4 Of course our quasimorphism can be homogenized, to obtain a homogeneous quasimorphism $\overline{\Phi}$.

Remark 1.2 In our case, by the result of Smale [43], $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and hence the quasimorphisms descend to quasimorphisms on \mathcal{G} , eg by minimizing over the two-element fibers of the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}$. For $\bar{\Phi}$, the situation is easier since by homogeneity it vanishes on $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}) \subset Z(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$, and therefore depends only on the image in \mathcal{G} of an element in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. We keep the same notation for the induced quasimorphisms.

1.2.5 The cross-ratio map Recall that S^2 can be identified with $\mathbb{C}P^1$, and the latter has an affine chart $u_0: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ with $u_0(z) = [z, 1]$ in homogeneous coordinates, whose image is the complement of the point $\infty := [1, 0]$.

The cross-ratio map is given by the natural² projection

$$X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to \mathcal{M}_{0,4} = X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1)/\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C}).$$

Composing it with the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{\infty, 0, 1\} \cong \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ given by the inverse of the map $u \mapsto [(\infty, 0, 1, u)]$, we obtain a map

cr:
$$X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

In other words $\operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = A(x_4)$ for the unique map $A \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $A(x_1) = \infty$, $A(x_2) = 0$, $A(x_3) = 1$.

²Recall that the holomorphic automorphism group of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is isomorphic to PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) acting by fractional-linear transformations.

In homogeneous coordinates, for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in X_4(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ where $x_j = [z_j, w_j]$ for $1 \le j \le 4$, the map cr is given by

$$\operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{(z_1w_3 - z_3w_1)(z_2w_4 - z_4w_2)}{(z_2w_3 - z_3w_2)(z_1w_4 - z_4w_1)}.$$

In the affine chart $u_0 \times u_0 \times u_0 \times u_0$ it looks like

$$\operatorname{cr}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)}$$

The cross-ratio map allows us to write down a diffeomorphism (in fact isomorphism of quasiprojective varieties)

$$c\colon X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \times X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}),$$
$$(\vec{x}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-3}) \mapsto (\vec{x}, \operatorname{cr}(\vec{x}, y_1), \dots, \operatorname{cr}(\vec{x}, y_{n-3})),$$

where $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denotes a point in $X_3(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ and $\operatorname{cr}(\vec{x}, y) = \operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, y)$ is the cross-ratio map. Later we shall see that this diffeomorphism is precisely what makes the proofs work, as it allows one to use the affine structure on $\mathbb{C} \supset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Note that

$$\pi_c := \operatorname{pr}_2 \circ c \colon X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}),$$

where pr₂: $X_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \times X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \to X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})$ is the projection to the second factor, is simply a coordinate description of the natural projection

$$X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to \mathcal{M}_{0,n} \cong X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)/\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C}).$$

Finally, note that *c* induces an isomorphism $c_{\#}$: $P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})$ on fundamental groups (recall that $P_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \cong \pi_1(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).

1.2.6 Differential 1-forms on configuration spaces Using the isomorphism c, we introduce special differential 1-forms on $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ for $n \ge 4$, that we consequently use as an intermediate step in our results. Denote by u_1, \ldots, u_{n-3} the affine coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{n-3} \supset (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})^{n-3} \supset X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})$. For each element $\nu \in I$ of an index set

$$I = \{(i;0)\}_{1 \le i \le n-3} \cup \{(i;1)\}_{1 \le i \le n-3} \cup \{(ij)\}_{1 \le i \ne j \le n-3},$$

define an \mathbb{R} -valued differential 1-form on $X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})$ by

$$\theta_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_{\nu}),$$

with

$$\alpha_{i;0} = \frac{du_i}{u_i}, \quad \alpha_{i;1} = \frac{d(u_i - 1)}{u_i - 1}, \quad \alpha_{ij} = \frac{d(u_i - u_j)}{u_i - u_j}.$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

Finally, define

$$\tilde{\theta}_{\nu} = (\pi_c)^* \theta_{\nu} \in \Omega^1(X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1), \mathbb{R}),$$

for each $v \in I$.

For a 1-form θ on a manifold Y and a smooth parametrized path $\gamma: [0, 1] \to Y$, set

$$\int_{\gamma} |\theta| := \int_0^1 |\theta_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t))| \, dt.$$

Clearly, for a smooth loop γ we have $|\int_{\gamma} \theta| \leq \int_{\gamma} |\theta|$. Moreover, $\int_{\gamma} |\theta| = \int_{\gamma^{-1}} |\theta|$, where γ^{-1} is the time-reversal of γ .

1.3 Main results

Our main technical result is:

Theorem 1.3 For an isotopy $\overline{\phi} = \{\phi_t\}$ in \mathcal{G} , the average word norm of a trajectory $\lambda(x, \overline{\phi})$ is controlled by the L^1 -length of $\overline{\phi}$:

$$W(\overline{\phi}) = \int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)\backslash Z \cap (\phi_1)^{-1}(Z)} |[\lambda(x,\overline{\phi})]|_{P_n(S^2)} d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) \le A \cdot l_1(\overline{\phi}) + B$$

for certain constants A, B > 0.

Remark 1.4 Note that $W(\overline{\phi})$ depends only on the class $\widetilde{\phi} = [\overline{\phi}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\overline{\phi}$ in the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 1.3 has a number of consequences concerning the large-scale geometry of the L^1 -metric on \mathcal{G} . Firstly, as any quasimorphism on a finitely generated group is controlled by the word norm, we immediately obtain the following statement.

Corollary 1.5 The homogenization $\overline{\Phi}$ of each Gambaudo–Ghys quasimorphism Φ satisfies

$$|\overline{\Phi}(\phi)| \le C \cdot d_1(\phi, 1).$$

By a theorem of Ishida [26], the composition $Q(B_n(S^2)) \rightarrow Q(P_n(S^2)) \xrightarrow{\text{GG}} Q(\mathcal{G})$, where the first arrow is the natural restriction map and the second is the Gambaudo– Ghys map, is an embedding. Hence for $n \ge 4$, by results of Bestvina and Fujiwara [6], $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is an infinite-dimensional vector space. Thus by Corollary 1.5 the diameter of \mathcal{G} with the L^1 -distance is infinite.

Corollary 1.6 The L^1 -diameter of \mathcal{G} is infinite.

Considering certain special examples of Gambaudo–Ghys quasimorphisms, and their calculations for certain autonomous flows, as in [12], we find for each integer $k \ge 1$ a k–

tuple of homogeneous Gambaudo–Ghys quasimorphisms $\{\bar{\Phi}_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ and a *k*-tuple of commuting autonomous Hamiltonian flows (one-parameter subgroups) $\{\{\phi_i^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ such that $\bar{\Phi}_i(\phi_i^t) = t\delta_{ij}$. This implies the following stronger statement.

Corollary 1.7 The metric group (\mathcal{G}, d_1) admits a bi-Lipschitz group monomorphism from (\mathbb{R}^k, d) , where d is any metric on \mathbb{R}^k induced by a vector-space norm.

Moreover, by an argument of Kim and Koberda [30] (cf Benaim and Gambaudo [5] and Crisp and Wiest [16]), Theorem 1.3 implies the following statement, finishing an answer to a question of Kapovich [27] in the case of S^2 .

Corollary 1.8 The metric group (\mathcal{G}, d_1) admits a quasi-isometric group embedding from each right-angled Artin group endowed with the word metric.

Remark 1.9 We note that Corollary 1.8 implies Corollary 1.6, providing the latter with a proof that does not use quasimorphisms.

Finally, Proposition 2.7 in [13] combined with Corollary 1.5 implies the following.

Corollary 1.10 For each positive integer k, the complement in \mathcal{G} of the set Aut^k of products of at most k autonomous diffeomorphisms contains a ball of any arbitrarily large radius in the L^1 -metric.

Remark 1.11 Let $p \ge 1$. By Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality we have

 $d_1 \leq d_p$,

hence all the results above for d_1 continue to hold for d_p .

1.4 Outline of the proof

Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma and two propositions. The lemma states that for our purposes two different choices of short paths are equivalent.

Lemma 1.12 Choosing as short paths the component-wise affine segments $\gamma'(x)$ in the chart $u_0 \times \cdots \times u_0$: $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ to the basepoint, obtain from the isotopy $\overline{\phi} = \{\phi_t\}$ another family of loops $\lambda'(x, \overline{\phi})$ for $x \in X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus Z' \cap (\phi_1)^{-1}(Z')$, for a different negligible subset Z', and hence another average word norm function

$$W'(\overline{\phi}) = \int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)\backslash Z'\cap(\phi_1)^{-1}(Z')} |[\lambda'(x,\overline{\phi})]|_{P_n(S^2)} d\mu^{\otimes n}(x).$$

Then $|W(\overline{\phi}) - W'(\overline{\phi})| \le C$, for a constant *C* depending only on the systems of paths.

The first proposition is a purely topological fact about the word norm of the classes of loops in the fundamental group of the configuration space.

Proposition 1.13 Let λ be a piecewise C^1 loop in $X_n(S^2)$ based at q. Let S be a finite generating set of $P_n(S^2)$. The word norm of the class $[\lambda] \in \pi_1(X_n(S^2), q) \cong P_n(S^2)$ with respect to S satisfies

$$|[\lambda]|_{S} \leq A_{0} \cdot \sum_{\nu \in I} \int_{\lambda} |\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}| + B_{0}$$

for constants A_0 , $B_0 > 0$ depending only on S and on n.

The second lemma is purely analytical and relies on the fact that we work with areapreserving diffeomorphisms, as well as on the fact that the differential forms we consider have integrable singularities near the divisors of $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^n$ that we excise to obtain $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$.

Proposition 1.14 There exist constants $A_1, B_1 > 0$, depending only on *n*, such that for each $v \in I$,

$$\int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)\backslash Z} \left(\int_{\lambda(x,\bar{\phi})} |\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}| \right) d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq A_1 \cdot l_1(\bar{\phi}) + B_1.$$

Acknowledgements Part of this work was carried out during Brandenbursky's stay at IHES and CRM Montreal. He wishes to express his gratitude to both institutes. Brandenbursky was supported by a CRM-ISM fellowship and NSF grant no. 1002477.

This work was carried out during Shelukhin's stay in CRM Montreal, ICJ Lyon 1, Institut Mittag-Leffler and IAS. He thanks these institutions for their warm hospitality. He was supported by a CRM-ISM fellowship, FP7-IDEAS-ERC grant no. 258204 RealUman, a Mittag-Leffler fellowship and NSF grant no. DMS-1128155.

We thank Leonid Polterovich and Pierre Py for useful comments on the manuscript.

We thank Mikhail Belolipetsky, Octav Cornea, Steven Hurder, Jake Solomon and Leonid Polterovich for the opportunity to speak on a preliminary version of these results in seminars at IMPA, CRM, UIC, Hebrew University and the University of Chicago.

Finally, we thank the referees for their careful reading and useful comments on the exposition.

2 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1.13 Let $\overline{\lambda}$ be a loop in $X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})$ based at $\overline{q} = \pi_c(q)$. We first claim that the word norm of $[\overline{\lambda}]$ in $P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) \cong \pi_1(X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}))$ satisfies

(1)
$$|[\overline{\lambda}]|_{P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\})} \le A_2 \cdot \sum_{\nu \in I} \int_{\overline{\lambda}} |\theta_{\nu}| + B_2$$

for $A_2, B_2 > 0$. Proposition 1.13 follows immediately from this statement by setting $\overline{\lambda} = \pi_c \circ \lambda$, since the map $P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \to P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})$ induced by π_c is a quasiisometry (note that it is identified with the projection $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \to P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})$ to the second factor, under the isomorphism given by c).

We require the following two lemmas from geometric group theory.

Lemma 2.1 The natural map $e: P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) \to P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ induced by adding constant strands at the punctures $\{0,1\}$ is a quasi-isometric embedding of groups.

Lemma 2.2 The inclusion $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to B_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a quasi-isometric embedding of groups.

Lemma 2.2 is a consequence of a general fact about cocompact group actions [25, Corollary 24], as P_{n-1} is a subgroup of finite index in B_{n-1} . Lemma 2.1 is rather special to our case, and hence we provide a proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.1 The map $e: P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \to P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ fits into the following exact sequence [28]:

$$1 \to P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) \to P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to P_2(\mathbb{C}) \to 1.$$

Note that $P_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover the generator *z* of the center $Z(P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}))$ of $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ maps to a generator $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $P_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence mapping 1 to *z* determines a section for $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to P_2(\mathbb{C})$ that yields an isomorphism between the above exact sequence and

$$1 \to P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) \to P_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}) \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 1,$$

the first map taking the form $x \mapsto (x, 0)$, and the second map being the projection to the second coordinate. The statement follows.

Consider the geometric braid $\overline{\lambda}$ and add two constant strands at 0 and 1. Call the new geometric braid $\overline{\lambda}'$. It is now a loop in $X_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ based at $\overline{q} \cup \{0, 1\}$. We show that

for any geometric braid β in $X_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ based at $\overline{q} \cup \{0, 1\}$, its word norm in $B_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies

(2)
$$|[\beta]|_{B_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})} \le A_3 \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n-1} \int_{\beta} |\theta'_{ij}| + B_3$$

for some A_3 , $B_3 > 0$ and

$$\theta_{ij}' = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{d(u_i - u_j)}{u_i - u_j}\right)$$

for $1 \le i \ne j \le n-1$. Note that the forms $\{\theta'_{\nu'}\}_{\nu' \in I'}$ with

$$I' = \{(ij) \mid 1 \le i < j \le n, (i, j) \ne (n - 2, n - 1)\}$$

pull back to $\{\theta_{\nu}\}_{\nu \in I}$ under the natural embedding $X_{n-3}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \to X_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(u_1, \ldots, u_{n-3}) \mapsto (u_1, \ldots, u_{n-3}, 0, 1)$, and the form $\theta_{n-2,n-1}$ pulls back to the zero form. Hence by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 estimate (2) implies estimate (1).

For $1 \le i \ne j \le n-1$, let

$$p_{ij}: X_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to X_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \mapsto (u_i, u_j)$$

be the natural projection on the respective pair of coordinates. Note that $\theta'_{ij} = p^*_{ij}\theta$, with $\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}(d(u-v)/(u-v))$. Hence we have $\int_{\beta} |\theta'_{ij}| = \int_{\beta_{ij}} |\theta|$, where $\beta_{ij} = p_{ij} \circ \beta$, and moreover the following equality holds by the co-area formula; see [32, Theorem 5.1.12] or [24].

For almost all $\omega \in S^1$, the quantity

$$n_{ij}(\omega) = \#\left\{t \in [0,1) \mid \frac{p_i \circ \beta(t) - p_j \circ \beta(t)}{|p_i \circ \beta(t) - p_j \circ \beta(t)|} = \omega \in S^1\right\}$$

is finite, and defines an L^1 -function with norm

$$\int_{S^1} n_{ij}(\omega) \, dm(\omega) = \int_{\beta} |\theta'_{ij}|$$

for *m* the Haar (Lebesgue) measure on S^1 . Note that (see [9; 10]) $n_{ij}(\omega)$ is the number of times that the *i*th strand crosses over the *j*th strand in the diagram of the braid β obtained by projection in the direction ω .

We claim that there exist a constant C (which depends only on n) and $\omega \in S^1$ such that for all $1 \le i, j \le n-1$,

$$n_{ij}(\omega) \le C \int_{\beta} |\theta_{ij}'|$$

and all crossings are transverse. Indeed, if n_{ij} does not have vanishing L^1 -norm, by Markov's (or Chebyshev's) inequality (see [31, Section 29.2, Theorem 5]) we estimate

$$m\left(\left\{\omega \in S^1 \left| n_{ij}(\omega) \ge C \int_{\beta} |\theta'_{ij}| \right\}\right) \le \frac{1}{C}$$

Hence any C > (n-1)(n-2) would be sufficient to ensure that the intersection

$$\bigcap_{1 \le i \ne j \le n-1} \left\{ \omega \in S^1 \left| n_{ij}(\omega) \le C \int_{\beta} |\theta'_{ij}| \right\} \right\}$$

has positive measure and hence is nonempty. Moreover, clearly the set of all ω for which all crossings are transverse has full measure.

Hence, from the ω -projection diagram of the braid β we get a presentation of β as a word in the full braid group $B_n(\mathbb{C})$, generated by say the half-twists, that has exactly one generator for each overcrossing. Hence

$$\|[\beta]\|_{B_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})} \leq \sum_{i \neq j} n_{ij}(\omega) \leq 2C \sum_{i < j} \int_{\beta} |\theta_{ij}|.$$

This finishes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 1.12 For a subset W of $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, set $W_{\phi_1} := W \cap (\phi_1)^{-1}(W)$. We note that for any negligible subset Z'' of $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$,

$$W(\overline{\phi}) = \int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus (Z_{\phi_1} \cup Z'_{\phi_1} \cup Z''_{\phi_1})} |[\lambda(x, \overline{\phi})]|_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} d\mu^{\otimes n}(x),$$
$$W'(\overline{\phi}) = \int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus (Z_{\phi_1} \cup Z'_{\phi_1} \cup Z''_{\phi_1})} |[\lambda'(x, \overline{\phi})]|_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} d\mu^{\otimes n}(x),$$

whether these integrals are finite or not (simply by the definition of the Lebesgue integral).

Hence it is sufficient to show that there exists a constant C, depending only on the systems of paths and a negligible subset Z'' of $X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, such that for each x in

$$X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus (Z_{\phi_1} \cup Z'_{\phi_1} \cup Z''_{\phi_1}) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus ((\mathbb{C}^n \cap Z_{\phi_1}) \cup (\mathbb{C}^n \cap Z'_{\phi_1}) \cup (\mathbb{C}^n \cap Z''_{\phi_1}))$$

we have $\left| \left| [\lambda'(x, \overline{\phi})] \right|_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} - \left| [\lambda(x, \overline{\phi})] \right|_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} \right| \le C.$

And indeed we see that

$$[\lambda(x,\overline{\phi})] = [\delta(\phi_1 \cdot x)]^{-1} [\lambda'(x,\overline{\phi})] [\delta(x)]$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

for $\delta(x) = \gamma(x) \# \overline{\gamma}'(x)$ and $[\delta(x)]_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} \leq C$, as can be seen by direct calculation on braid diagrams in \mathbb{C} . Indeed, as spherical geodesics map to circular arcs or affine rays under stereographic projection, and the latter happens for x in a negligible subset Z''of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus ((\mathbb{C}^n \cap Z) \cup (\mathbb{C}^n \cap Z'))$, considering for $x \in X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus (Z \cup Z' \cup Z'')$ the diagram of the geometric braid $\delta(x)$ in a generic direction $\omega \in S^1$, we see that it has at most $4\binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{2}$ crossings, corresponding to the $\gamma(x)$ and $\overline{\gamma}'(x)$ parts of the geometric braid. Therefore $[\delta(x)]_{B_n(\mathbb{C})} \leq 5\binom{n}{2}$. However, $[\delta(x)]_{P_n(\mathbb{C})} \leq A \cdot [\delta(x)]_{B_n(\mathbb{C})} + B$ for constants A, B > 0 (see Lemma 2.2 below), and obviously $[\delta(x)]_{P_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} \leq [\delta(x)]_{P_n(\mathbb{C})}$. This finishes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.14 We proceed to prove the analytic estimate on averages. First we show that for each $\nu \in I$, the integral of $|\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}|$ on each of the short paths is universally bounded.

Lemma 2.3 For each $v \in I$ and $x \in X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus Z'$, we have $\int_{v'(x)} |\tilde{\theta}_v| \leq C$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3 Recall that by definition of $\gamma'(x)$, we work in the chart \mathbb{C}^n . Since $\gamma'(x)$ is a component-wise affine segment, any linear function $h = z_i - z_j$ composed with $\gamma'(x)$ is an affine segment in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore $\int_{\gamma'(x)} |dh/h| \le \pi$. By the definition of $\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}$ (see (3) below) we obtain $\int_{\gamma'(x)} |\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 6 \cdot \pi = 3$ for all $\nu \in I$.

By Lemma 2.3 it is sufficient to give a bound on

$$\int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)\setminus Z'} \left(\int_{\overline{\phi}\cdot x} |\widetilde{\theta}_{\nu}|\right) d\mu^{\otimes n}(x),$$

which by preservation of area and continuity can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} |\widetilde{\theta}_{\nu}(X_t^{\oplus n})|(x) \, d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) \right) dt.$$

As the integrands are nonnegative, Tonelli's theorem ensures that one can change the order of integration without knowing in advance that the integrals converge.

We note that the above calculation is the only place in the proof that uses areapreservation.

Now note that under the standard stereographic projection, the lower hemisphere in S^2 is identified with the standard unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| \le 1\}$ in \mathbb{C} . This embeds as

$$H_0 = \{ [z, 1] \mid |z| \le 1 \}$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ under the standard affine chart $u_0: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_0$ containing the point [0, 1]. Similarly, the upper hemisphere is identified with the subset

$$H_{\infty} = \{[1, w] \mid |w| \le 1\}$$

of the image of the affine chart $u_{\infty}: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is the measure-disjoint union of H_0 and H_{∞} .

Let us write $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^n = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \{0,\infty\}^n} H_{\epsilon}$ as a measure-disjoint union of products $H_{\epsilon} = H_{\epsilon_1} \times \cdots \times H_{\epsilon_n}$ of hemispheres. Let e_{ϵ} denote the isomorphism

$$e_{\epsilon} = e_{\epsilon_1} \times \cdots \times e_{\epsilon_n} \colon \mathbb{D}^n = \mathbb{D} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D} \to H_{\epsilon_n}$$

where $e_{\epsilon_j} = u_{\epsilon_j}|_{\mathbb{D}}$: $\mathbb{D} \to H_{\epsilon_j}$ for $1 \le j \le n$ are given by the embeddings above. Write $H'_{\epsilon} = H_{\epsilon} \cap X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ and $\Delta_{\epsilon} = e_{\epsilon}^{-1}(H_{\epsilon} \setminus H'_{\epsilon})$. Put $\overline{e}_{\epsilon} = e_{\epsilon}|_{H'_{\epsilon}}$: $\mathbb{D}^n \setminus \Delta_{\epsilon} \to H'_{\epsilon}$. Then

$$\int_{X_n(\mathbb{C}P^1)} |\widetilde{\theta}_{\nu}(X_t^{\oplus n})|(x) \, d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,\infty\}^n} \int_{H'_{\epsilon}} |\widetilde{\theta}_{\nu}(X_t^{\oplus n})|(x) \, d\mu^{\otimes n}(x).$$

Write

$$\int_{H'_{\epsilon}} |\tilde{\theta}_{\nu}(X_t^{\oplus n})|(x) \, d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n \setminus \Delta_{\epsilon}} |(\bar{e}_{\epsilon})^* \tilde{\theta}_{\nu}(e_{\epsilon}^* X_t^{\oplus n})|(x) \, de_{\epsilon}^*(\mu^{\otimes n}).$$

Note that $e_{\epsilon}^* X_t^{\oplus n} = e_{\epsilon_1}^* X_t \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{\epsilon_n}^* X_t$, and that for each $\nu \in I$ we have $(\overline{e_{\epsilon}})^* \widetilde{\theta_{\nu}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}(df_{\epsilon,\nu}/f_{\epsilon,\nu})$ with $f_{\epsilon,\nu} = l_1 l_2 / (l_3 l_4)$ or $f_{\epsilon,\nu} = l_1 l_2 l_3 / (l_4 l_5 l_6)$, with each l_k of the form a - b or ab - 1, where a and b are natural coordinates on two of the factors in the product \mathbb{D}^n . From now on, we focus on the second case, since the first case is simpler and is treated analogously. We state it more precisely: if (a_1, \ldots, a_n) are natural coordinates on \mathbb{D}^n , then $\Delta_{\epsilon} = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} \{h_{ij} = 0\}$, with $h_{ij} = a_i - a_j$ if $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_j$ and $h_{ij} = a_i a_j - 1$ if $\epsilon_i \ne \epsilon_j$, and for each $1 \le k \le 6$ we have $l_k = h_{ij}$ for some $1 \le i < j \le n$. Indeed, this follows immediately from the identities

$$\operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - 1 = -\operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) = \frac{(z_1w_2 - z_2w_1)(z_3w_4 - z_4w_3)}{(z_2w_3 - z_3w_2)(z_1w_4 - z_4w_1)}$$

and

$$\operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - \operatorname{cr}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5) = \frac{(z_1w_3 - z_3w_1)(z_1w_2 - z_2w_1)(z_5w_4 - z_4w_5)}{(z_2w_3 - z_3w_2)(z_1w_4 - z_4w_1)(z_1w_5 - z_5w_1)}$$

for $x_j = [z_j, w_j]$ in homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ for $1 \le j \le 5$.

We record the formula

(3)
$$(\overline{e}_{\epsilon})^* \widetilde{\theta}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{dl_1}{l_1} + \frac{dl_2}{l_2} + \frac{dl_3}{l_3} - \frac{dl_4}{l_4} - \frac{dl_5}{l_5} - \frac{dl_6}{l_6} \right),$$

which follows immediately from the above discussion. From (3) it follows that it is sufficient to estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}\setminus\{h_{ij}=0\}}\left|\frac{dh_{ij}}{h_{ij}}\right|(e_{\epsilon_i}^*X_t\oplus e_{\epsilon_j}^*X_t)(e_{\epsilon_i}\times e_{\epsilon_j})^*d\mu^{\otimes 2}$$

for each $1 \le i < j \le n$.

The pullback $|\cdot|_{\text{Sph}} = e_{\epsilon}^* |\cdot|_{S^2}$ for $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$ of the metric on the sphere in either of the coordinate charts is equal to

$$(|\cdot|_{\text{Sph}})_{\zeta} = (1+|\zeta|^2)^{-1} |\cdot|_{\text{Eucl}}.$$

Abbreviating $|\cdot|_{\text{Eucl}} = |\cdot|$ we therefore have, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}|\cdot| \le |\cdot|_{\text{Sph}} \le |\cdot|_{\text{Sph}}$$

Hence in order to obtain an estimate via $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(e_{\epsilon_k}^* X_t)|_{\text{Sph}} d\mu$ for $1 \le k \le n$, it is sufficient to estimate via $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(e_{\epsilon_k}^* X_t)| d\mu$.

Hence it is sufficient to estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{a-b=0\}} \left| \frac{d(a-b)}{a-b} \right| (A_t \oplus B_t) \, d\mu^{\otimes 2}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{ab-1=0\}} \left| \frac{d(ab-1)}{ab-1} \right| (A_t \oplus B_t) \, d\mu^{\otimes 2}.$$

Here

$$d\mu(\zeta) = 2(1+|\zeta|^2)^{-2} \, dm(\zeta)$$

is the pullback of the spherical measure to \mathbb{D} by any of the maps e_{ϵ_j} (note that the map $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ given by $[z, w] \mapsto [w, z]$ is an isometry of the spherical metric, and hence preserves the volume form), and A_t , B_t are $e_{\epsilon_i}^* X_t$, $e_{\epsilon_j}^* X_t$ for appropriate i, j.

Start with

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{a-b=0\}} \frac{|A_t(a) - B_t(b)|}{|a-b|} \, d\mu(a) \, d\mu(b).$$

We apply the triangle inequality $|A_t(a) - B_t(b)| \le |A_t(a)| + |B_t(b)|$, and estimate the two resulting terms separately. Since they are estimated analogously, we show the estimate for the first term only. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|A_t(a)|}{|a-b|} d\mu(b) d\mu(a) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |A_t(a)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|a-b|} d\mu(b) \right) d\mu(a)$$
$$\leq C \cdot \int_{\mathbb{D}} |A_t(a)| d\mu(a),$$

since

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|a-b|} d\mu(b) \le 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|a-b|} dm(b) \le 8\pi = C.$$

We continue with

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{ab-1=0\}} \frac{|aB_t(b) - A_t(a)b|}{|ab-1|} \, d\mu(a) \, d\mu(b).$$

Using the triangle inequality in the numerator, we estimate the two terms separately. Consider for example the first term. The estimate proceeds analogously to the previous case, the only difference being the following calculation. Writing $a^* = 1/a$, we compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|a|}{|ab-1|} \, d\mu(a) = \int_{\{|a^*| \ge 1\}} \frac{1}{|b-a^*|} \, d\mu(a^*) \le C.$$

Indeed, write the last integral as the sum of the integrals over the measure-disjoint subsets $\{1 \le |a^*| \le 2\}$ and $\{|a^*| \ge 2\}$ of \mathbb{C} . Then we estimate

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{|a^*| \le 2\}} \frac{1}{|b-a^*|} \frac{1}{(1+|a^*|^2)^2} \, dm(z) \le \int_{\{|a^*| \le 2\}} \frac{1}{|b-a^*|} \, dm(a^*) \\ \le \int_{\{|b-a^*| \le 3\}} \frac{1}{|b-a^*|} \, dm(b-a^*) \\ = 6\pi, \end{split}$$

and, recalling that $|b| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{|a^*|\geq 2\}} \frac{1}{|a^*-b|} \frac{1}{(1+|a^*|^2)^2} \, dm(a^*) &\leq \int_{\{|a^*|\geq 2\}} \frac{1}{|a^*|-|b|} \frac{1}{(1+|a^*|^2)^2} \, dm(a^*) \\ &\leq \int_{\{|a^*|\geq 2\}} \frac{2}{|a^*|} \frac{1}{(1+|a^*|^2)^2} \, dm(a^*) \\ &= C_1 < \infty. \end{split}$$

This gives us an estimate as required, with $C = 12\pi + 2C_1$.

3 Examples of quasimorphisms and bi-Lipschitz embeddings of vector spaces

For $\alpha \in P_n = P_n(\mathbb{C})$ we denote by $\hat{\alpha}$ the *n*-component link which is a closure of α ; see Figure 1.

Let sign_n: $P_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a map such that sign_n(α) = sign($\hat{\alpha}$), where sign is the signature invariant of links in \mathbb{R}^3 . Gambaudo and Ghys [23] showed that sign_n defines a

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

3803




Figure 1: Closure $\hat{\alpha}$ of a braid α

quasimorphism on P_n ; see [8] for a different proof. We denote by sign_n: $P_n \to \mathbb{R}$ the induced homogeneous quasimorphism. Recall that the center of P_n is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Let Δ_n be a generator of the center of P_n . It is a well-known fact that $P_n(S^2)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of P_{n-1} by the cyclic group $\langle \Delta_{n-1}^2 \rangle$; see [7]. Let $lk_n: P_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a restriction to P_n of a canonical homomorphism from $B_n = B_n(\mathbb{C})$ to \mathbb{Z} which takes value 1 on each Artin generator of B_n . Let $s_{n-1}: P_{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasimorphism defined by

$$s_{n-1}(\alpha) := \overline{\operatorname{sign}}_{n-1}(\alpha) - \frac{\operatorname{sign}_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1})}{\operatorname{lk}_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1})} \operatorname{lk}_{n-1}(\alpha).$$

Since $s_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1}) = 0$, the homogeneous quasimorphism s_{n-1} descends to a homogeneous quasimorphism $\overline{s}_n: P_n(S^2) \to \mathbb{R}$. Note that \overline{s}_2 and \overline{s}_3 are trivial because $P_2(S^2)$ and $P_3(S^2)$ are finite groups.

For each $n \ge 4$, let

$$\overline{\mathrm{Sign}}_n:\mathrm{Diff}_0(S^2,\sigma)\to\mathbb{R}$$

be the induced homogeneous quasimorphism. In [23, Section 5.3] Gambaudo and Ghys evaluated quasimorphisms $\overline{\text{Sign}}_{2n}$ on a family of diffeomorphisms

$$f_{\omega}: S^2 \to S^2$$

such that $f_{\omega}(\infty) = \infty$ and $f_{\omega}(x) = e^{2i\pi\omega(|x|)}x$; here S^2 is identified with $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\omega: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function which is constant in a neighborhood of 0 and outside some compact set. Let a(r) be the spherical area (with the normalization $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{C}) = 1$) of the disc in \mathbb{C} with radius r centered at 0. Set u = 1 - 2a(r) and let $\tilde{\omega}(u) = \omega(r)$. In [23, Lemma 5.3] Gambaudo and Ghys showed that for each $n \ge 2$,

(4)
$$\overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_{2n}(f_{\omega}) = \frac{n}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (u^{2n-1} - u) \widetilde{\omega}(u) \, du.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.7 Let $H_{\omega}: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function supported away from the $\{\infty\}$ point and $f_{t,\omega}$ be a Hamiltonian flow generated by H_{ω} , such that $f_{1,\omega} = f_{\omega}$. Since $f_{t,\omega}$ is an autonomous flow, by (4) we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_{2n}(f_{t,\omega}) = t \, \frac{n}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (u^{2n-1} - u) \widetilde{\omega}(u) \, du$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (4) that it is straightforward to construct a family of functions $\omega_i \colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\{H_{\omega_i}\}_{i=1}^d$ supported away from the $\{\infty\}$ point such that:

- Each Hamiltonian flow f_{t,ω_i} is generated by H_{ω_i} and $f_{1,\omega_i} = f_{\omega_i}$.
- The functions $\{H_{\omega_i}\}_{i=1}^d$ have disjoint support and hence the diffeomorphisms f_{t,ω_i} and f_{s,ω_i} commute for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le i, j \le n$.
- The $(d \times d)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_4(f_{1,\omega_1}) & \cdots & \overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_4(f_{1,\omega_d}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_{2d+2}(f_{1,\omega_1}) & \cdots & \overline{\operatorname{Sign}}_{2d+2}(f_{1,\omega_d}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is nonsingular.

It follows that there exists a family $\{\overline{\Phi}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ of homogeneous quasimorphisms on $\text{Diff}_0(S^2, \sigma)$ such that $\overline{\Phi}_i$ is a linear combination of $\overline{\text{Sign}}_4, \ldots, \overline{\text{Sign}}_{2d+2}$ and

(5)
$$\overline{\Phi}_i(f_{t,\omega_j}) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let $I: \mathbb{R}^d \to \operatorname{Diff}_0(S^2, \sigma)$ be a map such that

$$I(v) := f_{v_1,\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{v_d,\omega_d}$$

for $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$. It follows from the construction of $\{f_{v_i,\omega_i}\}_{i=1}^d$ that I is a monomorphism. Let $A'_p := \max_i l_p(\{f_{t,\omega_i}\}_{0 \le t \le 1})$. Then

$$\|f_{v_1,\omega_1}\circ\cdots\circ f_{v_d,\omega_d}\|_p\leq A'_p\|v\|,$$

where $||v|| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |v_i|$ and $||\cdot||_p = d_p(\cdot, 1)$ denotes the L^p -norm.

The diffeomorphisms $f_{v_1,\omega_1}, \ldots, f_{v_d,\omega_d}$ pairwise commute. Hence, for each $1 \le i \le d$, by Corollary 1.5 and Equation (5) we have

$$\|f_{v_1,\omega_1}\circ\cdots\circ f_{v_d,\omega_d}\|_p \ge A_p^{-1}|\overline{\Phi}_i(f_{v_1,\omega_1}\circ\cdots\circ f_{v_d,\omega_d})| = A_p^{-1}\cdot|v_i||\overline{\Phi}_i(f_{1,\omega_i})|,$$

where A_p is the maximum over the Lipschitz constants (in Corollary 1.5) of the functions

$$\overline{\Phi}_i$$
: Diff_0(S^2, σ) $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

It follows that

$$\|f_{v_1,\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{v_d,\omega_d}\|_p \ge \left((d \cdot A_p)^{-1} \min_i |\bar{\Phi}_i(f_{1,\omega_i})| \right) \|v\| = (d \cdot A_p)^{-1} \|v\|,$$

and the proof is complete.

Appendix: The case of the torus

The proof of [20, Theorem A.1] or [11, Theorem 1.2] applied to $\operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$, combined with the fact that $\operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ is simply connected as a topological space (see [35, Chapter 7.2.B]) shows that the diameter of $(\operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy), d_{L^1})$ is infinite. Hence by Remark 1.11, the following statement implies that the diameter of $(\operatorname{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy), d_{L^p})$ is infinite for all $p \ge 1$.

Proposition A.1 The inclusion $(\text{Ham}(T^2, dx \land dy), d_{L^1}) \hookrightarrow (\text{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \land dy), d_{L^1})$ is a quasi-isometry.

Proof of Proposition A.1 We equip the torus T^2 with the standard flat Riemannian metric. We use the following instance of the flux exact sequence (see [4; 33]):

 $1 \to \operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy) \xrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Flux}} T^2 \to 1.$

It has the property that the monomorphism $\tau: T^2 \to \text{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ given by $\tau(a, b): (x, y) \mapsto (x + b, y - a)$ satisfies $\text{Flux} \circ \tau = \mathbf{1}_{T^2}$. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy) = \operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy) \cdot \tau(T^2).$$

However, $d_{L^1}(\tau(a, b), \mathbf{1}) \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ for all $(a, b) \in T^2$, as is verified in an elementary manner. In particular, ι : Ham $(T^2, dx \wedge dy) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ has coarsely dense image.

We proceed to prove that ι is a bi-Lipschitz group monomorphism. First, $\iota^* d_{L^1} \leq d_{L^1}$ is immediate by definition of the L^1 -distance. We claim that $c \cdot d_{L^1} \leq \iota^* d_{L^1}$ for some 0 < c < 1. By right-invariance, it is sufficient to show that $c \cdot d_{L^1}(h, 1) \leq d_{L^1}(\iota(h), 1)$ for all $h \in \text{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$. Consider a smooth path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$ such that $t \mapsto g_t$ with $g_0 = 1$ and $g_1 = \iota(h)$. Look at the path

$$[0,1] \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy), \quad t \mapsto \tau_t = \tau \circ \operatorname{Flux}(g_t).$$

Notice that in fact it is a loop based at $1 \in \text{Diff}_0(T^2, dx \wedge dy)$. We shall prove the following estimate of L^1 -lengths.

Claim 1 We have $l_1(\{\tau_t^{-1}\}) \le c_0 \cdot l_1(\{g_t\})$ for some $c_0 > 0$.

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

The L^p -diameter of the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of S^2

We defer the proof of this claim to the end of the section. Define the path

$$[0,1] \to \operatorname{Ham}(T^2, dx \wedge dy), \quad t \mapsto h_t = \iota^{-1}(\tau_t^{-1}g_t),$$

with $h_0 = 1$ and $h_1 = h$. Then, since τ_t are isometries, we see that

$$l_1(\{h_t\}) \le l_1(\{\tau_t^{-1}\}) + l_1(\{g_t\}) \le (1 + c_0) \cdot l_1(\{g_t\}),$$

by Claim 1. This finishes the proof, with $c = (1 + c_0)^{-1}$.

Proof of Claim 1 First of all, since τ_t are isometries, $l_1(\{\tau_t^{-1}\}) = l_1(\{\tau_t\})$. Let $Y_t = a_t(x, y) \partial_x + b_t(x, y) \partial_y$ be the time-dependent symplectic vector field generating $\{g_t\}$. For $f \in C^{\infty}(T^2, \mathbb{R})$, denote its average by $\langle f \rangle = \int_{T^2} f \, dx \wedge dy$ (our area form has total area 1). We record that

$$(6) \qquad |\langle f \rangle| \le |f|_{L^1}.$$

It follows quickly from the definition of Flux (and an explicit characterization of exact 1-forms on T^2) that the vector field $Z_t = \langle a_t \rangle \partial_x + \langle b_t \rangle \partial_y$ generates τ_t . Hence by (6), for each $0 \le t \le 1$ we have

$$|Z_t|_{L^1} \le \sqrt{2} \cdot |Y_t|_{L^1}.$$

Hence $l_1({\tau_t}) \le \sqrt{2} \cdot l_1({g_t})$, finishing the proof with $c_0 = \sqrt{2}$.

References

- V Arnol'd, Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16 (1966) 319–361 MR
- [2] VI Arnol'd, *The cohomology ring of the colored braid group*, Mat. Zametki 5 (1969) 227–231 MR In Russian; translated in Math. Notes 5 (1969) 138–140
- [3] VI Arnol'd, BA Khesin, Topological methods in hydrodynamics, Applied Mathematical Sciences 125, Springer (1998) MR
- [4] A Banyaga, The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups, Mathematics and its Applications 400, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1997) MR
- [5] M Benaim, J-M Gambaudo, Metric properties of the group of area preserving diffeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) 4661–4672 MR
- [6] M Bestvina, K Fujiwara, Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups, Geom. Topol. 6 (2002) 69–89 MR
- [7] JS Birman, On braid groups, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1969) 41–72 MR

П

- [8] M Brandenbursky, On quasi-morphisms from knot and braid invariants, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 (2011) 1397–1417 MR
- [9] M Brandenbursky, Quasi-morphisms and L^p-metrics on groups of volumepreserving diffeomorphisms, J. Topol. Anal. 4 (2012) 255–270 MR
- [10] M Brandenbursky, J Kędra, On the autonomous metric on the group of areapreserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disc, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013) 795–816 MR
- [11] M Brandenbursky, J Kędra, Quasi-isometric embeddings into diffeomorphism groups, Groups Geom. Dyn. 7 (2013) 523–534 MR
- [12] M Brandenbursky, E Shelukhin, On the large-scale geometry of the L^p-metric on the symplectomorphism group of the two-sphere, preprint, IHES (2015) Available at http://preprints.ihes.fr/2015/M/M-15-09.pdf
- [13] M Brandenbursky, E Shelukhin, On the L^p-geometry of autonomous Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of surfaces, Math. Res. Lett. 22 (2015) 1275–1294 MR
- [14] E Calabi, On the group of automorphisms of a symplectic manifold, from "Problems in analysis" (R C Gunning, editor), Princeton Univ. Press (1970) 1–26 MR
- [15] D Calegari, scl, MSJ Memoirs 20, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo (2009) MR
- [16] J Crisp, B Wiest, Quasi-isometrically embedded subgroups of braid and diffeomorphism groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007) 5485–5503 MR
- [17] DG Ebin, Geodesics on the symplectomorphism group, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012) 202–212 MR
- [18] D G Ebin, J Marsden, Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid, Ann. of Math. 92 (1970) 102–163 MR
- [19] DG Ebin, G Misiołek, SC Preston, Singularities of the exponential map on the volume-preserving diffeomorphism group, Geom. Funct. Anal. 16 (2006) 850–868 MR
- [20] Y Eliashberg, T Ratiu, The diameter of the symplectomorphism group is infinite, Invent. Math. 103 (1991) 327–340 MR
- [21] A Fathi, Transformations et homéomorphismes préservant la mesure: systèmes dynamiques minimaux, PhD thesis, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay (1980)
- [22] J-M Gambaudo, E Ghys, Enlacements asymptotiques, Topology 36 (1997) 1355–1379 MR
- [23] J-M Gambaudo, E Ghys, Commutators and diffeomorphisms of surfaces, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 24 (2004) 1591–1617 MR
- [24] J-M Gambaudo, M Lagrange, *Topological lower bounds on the distance between area preserving diffeomorphisms*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. 31 (2000) 9–27 MR
- [25] P de la Harpe, Topics in geometric group theory, Univ. of Chicago Press (2000) MR

- [26] **T Ishida**, *Quasi-morphisms on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the* 2–*disk via braid groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B 1 (2014) 43–51 MR
- [27] M Kapovich, RAAGs in Ham, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012) 733–755 MR
- [28] C Kassel, V Turaev, Braid groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 247, Springer (2008) MR
- [29] B Khesin, R Wendt, The geometry of infinite-dimensional groups, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 51, Springer (2009) MR
- [30] S-h Kim, T Koberda, Anti-trees and right-angled Artin subgroups of braid groups, Geom. Topol. 19 (2015) 3289–3306 MR
- [31] A N Kolmogorov, S V Fomīn, Introductory real analysis, Dover, New York (1975) MR corrected reprinting
- [32] SG Krantz, HR Parks, Geometric integration theory, Birkhäuser, Boston (2008) MR
- [33] D McDuff, D Salamon, Introduction to symplectic topology, 2nd edition, Oxford Univ. Press (1998) MR
- [34] L Polterovich, Hofer's diameter and Lagrangian intersections, Int. Math. Res. Not. 1998 (1998) 217–223 MR
- [35] L Polterovich, *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*, Birkhäuser, Basel (2001) MR
- [36] L Polterovich, Floer homology, dynamics and groups, from "Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology" (P Biran, O Cornea, F Lalonde, editors), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. 217, Springer, Dordrecht (2006) 417– 438 MR
- [37] P Py, Quasi-morphismes de Calabi et graphe de Reeb sur le tore, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006) 323–328 MR
- [38] P Py, Quasi-morphismes et invariant de Calabi, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 39 (2006) 177–195 MR
- [39] E Shelukhin, "Enlacements asymptotiques" revisited, Ann. Math. Qué. 39 (2015) 205–208 MR
- [40] A I Shnirel'man, The geometry of the group of diffeomorphisms and the dynamics of an ideal incompressible fluid, Mat. Sb. 128(170) (1985) 82–109, 144 MR In Russian; translated in Math. USSR-Sb. 56 (1987) 79–105
- [41] A I Shnirel'man, Generalized fluid flows, their approximation and applications, Geom. Funct. Anal. 4 (1994) 586–620 MR
- [42] A Shnirelman, Microglobal analysis of the Euler equations, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 7 (2005) S387–S396 MR
- [43] S Smale, Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959) 621–626 MR

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University Beer-Sheva, Israel Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Montréal Montréal, Canada brandens@math.bgu.ac.il, shelukhin@dms.umontreal.ca

Proposed:Yasha EliashbergReceived:8 July 2016Seconded:Danny Calegari, Leonid PolterovichAccepted:28 January 2017

