

Geometry & Topology

Volume 27 (2023)

Tautological classes of definite 4-manifolds

DAVID BARAGLIA





Geometry & Topology 27:2 (2023) 641–698 DOI: 10.2140/gt.2023.27.641 Published: 16 May 2023

Tautological classes of definite 4-manifolds

DAVID BARAGLIA

We prove a diagonalisation theorem for the tautological, or generalised Miller–Morita– Mumford, classes of compact, smooth, simply connected, definite 4–manifolds. Our result can be thought of as a families version of Donaldson's diagonalisation theorem. We prove our result using a families version of the Bauer–Furuta cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg–Witten theory. We use our main result to deduce various results concerning the tautological classes of such 4–manifolds. In particular, we completely determine the tautological rings of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$. We also derive a series of linear relations in the tautological ring which are universal in the sense that they hold for all compact, smooth, simply connected definite 4–manifolds.

53C07, 57K41, 57R22

| 1. | Introduction | 642 |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| 2. | Families of definite 4-manifolds | 649 |
| 3. | Families Bauer–Furuta theory | 654 |
| 4. | Cohomology rings of families | 660 |
| 5. | Tautological classes | 670 |
| 6. | \mathbb{CP}^2 | 689 |
| 7. | $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ | 693 |
| 8. | Linear relations in the tautological ring | 695 |
| List of symbols | | 696 |
| References | | 697 |

^{© 2023} MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

1 Introduction

1.1 Tautological classes

Let *X* be a compact, simply connected smooth 4–manifold with positive-definite intersection form. Assume that $b_2(X) > 0$. Then, by the work of Donaldson [5] and Freedman [8], *X* is homeomorphic to the connected sum $\#^n \mathbb{CP}^2$ of $n \ge 1$ copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 , where $n = b_2(X)$.

Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a compact, smooth family with fibres diffeomorphic to *X*. By this we mean that *E* and *B* are compact, smooth manifolds, π is a proper submersion and each fibre of π with its induced smooth structure is diffeomorphic to *X*. Note that *E* has a fibrewise orientation which is uniquely determined by the requirement that the fibres of *E* are positive-definite 4-manifolds. In this paper, we will use parametrised Seiberg-Witten theory to study the *tautological classes*, or *generalised Miller-Morita-Mumford classes*, of such families. These are defined as follows. Let $T(E/B) = \text{Ker}(\pi_*: TE \to TB)$ denote the vertical tangent bundle. Then, for each rational characteristic class $c \in H^*(BSO(4); \mathbb{Q})$, we define the associated tautological class as

$$\kappa_c(E) = \int_{E/B} c(T(E/B)) \in H^{*-4}(B; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\int_{E/B}$ denotes integration over the fibres. Let Diff(X) denote the group of diffeomorphisms of X with the C^{∞} -topology (note that all diffeomorphisms of X are orientation-preserving since X is positive-definite) and BDiff(X) the classifying space. The tautological classes can be constructed for the universal bundle $U_X = EDiff(X) \times_{Diff(X)} X$, giving classes

$$\kappa_c = \kappa_c(U_X) \in H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(X);\mathbb{Q}).$$

The *tautological ring* of *X*,

$$R^*(X) \subseteq H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(X);\mathbb{Q}),$$

is defined as the subring of $H^*(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q})$ generated by tautological classes κ_c for $c \in H^*(BSO(4); \mathbb{Q})$. Since $H^*(BSO(4); \mathbb{Q})$ is generated over \mathbb{Q} by p_1 and e, it follows that $R^*(X)$ is generated by the classes $\{\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}\}_{a,b\geq 0}$. Similarly, for any family $E \to B$, we can define the tautological ring of E,

$$R^*(E) \subseteq H^*(B;\mathbb{Q}),$$

to be the subring generated by the tautological classes $\kappa_c(E)$ for $c \in H^*(BSO(4); \mathbb{Q})$.

Tautological rings have been studied extensively for families of oriented surfaces, eg by Mumford [18], Miller [15], Looijenga [14], Faber [7] and Morita [16], and there is a growing literature on tautological classes in higher dimensions due to Galatius, Grigoriev, Hebestreit, Land, Lück and Randal-Williams [10; 11; 9; 19; 13] and Bustamante, Farrell and Jiang [4]. However, as far as we are aware, our paper is the first to use gauge theory to obtain results on the tautological classes of 4–manifolds.

Let *B* be a compact smooth manifold. A topological fibre bundle $E \to B$ with transition functions valued in Diff(*X*) may be obtained by pullback of the universal family $U_X \to B\text{Diff}(X)$ with respect to a continuous map $B \to B\text{Diff}(X)$. As explained by Baraglia and Konno [2, Section 4.2], it follows from a result of Müller and Wockel [17] that such a family $E \to B$ admits a smooth structure for which π is a submersion and the fibres of *E* with their induced smooth structure are diffeomorphic to *X*. Since *E* is smooth, we may use parametrised gauge theory to study the tautological classes $\kappa_c(E) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$. If a relation amongst tautological classes holds in $R^*(E)$ for all compact, smooth families $\pi: E \to B$ with fibres diffeomorphic to *X*, then it must also hold in $R^*(X)$. This is because rational cohomology classes of BDiff(X) are detected by continuous maps from compact, smooth manifolds into BDiff(X). The upshot of this is that we can use gauge theory to indirectly study the tautological ring of *X*.

1.2 Main results

In our first main result we determine the tautological rings of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$.

Theorem 1.1 The tautological rings of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ are given by:

- (1) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^4}].$
- (2) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^3}].$

Variants $R^*(X, *)$ and $R^*(X, D^4)$ of the tautological ring are defined in [11; 9]. Their definition is recalled in Section 6. We determine these rings for \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Theorem 1.2 We have ring isomorphisms:

- (1) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \cong \mathbb{Q}[p_1, e].$
- (2) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$

The rings $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$, $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ and $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$ were investigated in [19], but their structure was not fully determined. By computing these rings we have settled some open problems posed in [19].

For each pair of nonnegative integers *a* and *b*, we define a two-variable polynomial $\phi_{a,b}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ as follows: Let

$$p(z) = z^3 - xz - y, \quad p'(z) = 3z^2 - x.$$

Then we define

$$\phi_{a,b}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{(p'(z) + 3x)^a (p'(z))^b}{p(z)} dz$$

where the contour encloses all zeros of p(z). From this definition, it follows that $\phi_{a,b}$ satisfies the recursive formulas

$$\phi_{a+1,b}(x, y) = \phi_{a,b+1}(x, y) + 3x\phi_{a,b}(x, y),$$

$$\phi_{a,b+3}(x, y) = 3x\phi_{a,b+2}(x, y) + (27y^2 - 4x^3)\phi_{a,b}(x, y),$$

which, together with the initial conditions

$$\phi_{0,0}(x, y) = 0, \quad \phi_{0,1}(x, y) = 3, \quad \phi_{0,2}(x, y) = 3x,$$

can be used to compute $\phi_{a,b}$ for all values of *a* and *b*. We will make use of the polynomials $\phi_{a,b}$ in the computation of tautological classes of families of definite 4-manifolds. We first state the n = 1 case.

Theorem 1.3 Let $E \to B$ be a smooth family with fibres diffeomorphic to *X*, where *X* is a smooth, compact, simply connected, positive-definite 4–manifold with $b_2(X) = 1$. Suppose that the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. Then there exist classes $B \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q}), C \in H^6(B; \mathbb{Q})$ such that:

(i) There is an isomorphism of $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ -algebras

$$H^*(E;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(B;\mathbb{Q})[x]/(x^3 - Bx - C).$$

(ii) The Euler class and first Pontryagin class of T(E/B) are given by

$$e = 3x^2 - B$$
, $p_1 = 3x^2 + 2B$.

(iii) For all $a, b \ge 0$,

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E) = \phi_{a,b}(B,C).$$

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.3 is that the rational cohomology class p_1 depends only on the underlying topological structure of the family because p_1 is completely determined by x and B and in turn these classes can be uniquely characterised in terms of the pushforward map $\pi_*: H^*(E; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{*-4}(B; \mathbb{Q})$. In fact, a similar

result is true more generally for families of definite 4–manifolds. See Remark 5.12. It follows that the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E)$ depend only on the underlying topological structure of the family. This could also be deduced from the fact that the tautological classes are also defined for topological bundles; see Ebert and Randal-Williams [6, Theorem B].

Remark 1.4 If $E = \mathbb{P}(V)$ is the \mathbb{CP}^2 -bundle associated to a complex rank 3 vector bundle $V \to B$ with trivial determinant, then $B = -c_2(V)$ and $C = -c_3(V)$, and so $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E) = \phi_{a,b}(-c_2(V), -c_3(V))$, which gives the tautological classes as polynomials in $c_2(V)$ and $c_3(V)$.

To state our next result, we need a few definitions. Let Λ_n denote a free abelian group of rank *n* and let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a basis. Equip Λ_n with the standard Euclidean inner product $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let W_n denote the isometry group of Λ_n . Then W_n is isomorphic to a semidirect product

$$W_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n$$

where the symmetric group S_n acts by permutation $-\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$ — and the normal subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2^n is generated by $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$, where θ_i is the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to e_i . Let X denote a smooth, compact, simply connected 4–manifold with positive-definite intersection form and $n = b_2(X) \ge 1$. In Section 2 we construct a principal W_n -bundle

$$p: BDiff(X) \to BDiff(X)$$

over BDiff(X). Since p is a finite covering, the pullback map $p^*: H^*(B\text{Diff}(X); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ is injective and the image is precisely the W_n -invariant part of $H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$. In particular, we may think of the tautological ring $R^*(X)$ as a subring of $H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1.5 Let X be a smooth, compact, simply connected, positive-definite 4–manifold with $b_2(X) = n \ge 2$. Then there exists classes

$$D_{ij} \in H^2(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q}), \quad 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$$

with the following properties:

(i) Let $D^*(X) \subseteq H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ be the subring generated by $\{D_{i,j}\}_{i \neq j}$. The group W_n acts on the subring $D^*(X)$ according to

$$\sigma(D_{ij}) = D_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in S_n \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_k(D_{ij}) = \begin{cases} D_{ij} & \text{if } k \neq j, \\ -D_{ij} & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let $I^*(X)$ denote the W_n -invariant subring of $D^*(X)$. Then

$$I^*(X) \subseteq H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(X);\mathbb{Q}).$$

(iii) The tautological ring $R^*(X)$ of X is a subring of $I^*(X)$. That is, all tautological classes of X can be expressed as W_n -invariant polynomials of the D_{ij} .

Theorem 1.5 says that the tautological classes can be written as W_n -invariant polynomials of the D_{ij} . The next theorem addresses the question of how to compute these invariant polynomials. First we set

$$I_1 = \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}} D_{ij}^2, \quad I_2 = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} D_{ik} D_{jk}.$$

Then, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define

$$B_{i} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2} - \frac{n-5}{2n(n-1)} I_{1} - \frac{1}{n-1} I_{2}, \quad C_{i} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (D_{ji}^{3} - B_{i} D_{ji}).$$

Theorem 1.6 Let X be a smooth, compact, simply connected, positive-definite 4–manifold with $b_2(X) = n \ge 2$. Then:

(1) The tautological classes κ_c with $c = p_1^a e^{2b}$ are given by

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{a,2b}(B_i, C_i).$$

(2) The tautological classes κ_c with $c = p_1^a e^{2b+1}$ are given by

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{a,2b+1}(B_i, C_i) - 2\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 + 2B_j)^a (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^{2b}.$$

Theorem 1.6 gives a completely explicit expression for the tautological classes κ_c as polynomials in $\{D_{ij}\}_{i \neq j}$ once the polynomials $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ are known. As an application of Theorem 1.6, we prove the existence of many linear relations amongst tautological classes.

Theorem 1.7 Let X be a smooth, compact, simply connected, definite 4-manifold and let $d \ge 1$ be given. Then, amongst all tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ with a + b = d and b even, there are at least

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \right\rfloor$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

linear relations. More precisely, if $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \in \mathbb{Q}$ are such that

(1-1)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \phi_{d-2j,2j}(x,y) = 0,$$

then we also have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \kappa_{p_1^{d-2j} e^{2j}} = 0$$

and the space of $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor})$ satisfying (1-1) has dimension at least

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \right\rfloor.$$

As explained in Section 8, for each $d \ge 2$, the families signature theorem gives one linear relation amongst the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ with a + b = d and b even. Theorem 1.7 implies that there are further linear relations whenever $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \rfloor > 1$. This is the case if d = 6 or $d \ge 8$. The first few such relations (up to d = 12) are

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 4\kappa_{p_1^4e^2} - 41\kappa_{p_1^2e^4} + 100\kappa_{e^6}, \\ 0 &= 36\kappa_{p_1^6e^2} - 461\kappa_{p_1^4e^4} + 1843\kappa_{p_1^2e^6} - 2300\kappa_{e^8}, \\ 0 &= 24\kappa_{p_1^7e^2} - 322\kappa_{p_1^5e^4} + 1379\kappa_{p_1^3e^6} - 1900\kappa_{p_1e^8}, \\ 0 &= 108\kappa_{p_1^8e^2} - 1579\kappa_{p_1^6e^4} + 7902\kappa_{p_1^4e^6} - 15\,531\kappa_{p_1^2e^8} + 9100\kappa_{e^{10}}, \\ 0 &= 360\kappa_{p_1^9e^2} - 5606\kappa_{p_1^7e^4} + 30\,923\kappa_{p_1^5e^6} - 71\,311\kappa_{p_1^3e^8} + 57\,100\kappa_{p_1e^{10}}, \\ 0 &= 144\kappa_{p_1^8e^4} - 2552\kappa_{p_1^6e^6} + 16\,629\kappa_{p_1^4e^8} - 47\,400\kappa_{p_1^2e^{10}} + 50\,000\kappa_{e^{12}}, \\ 0 &= 6000\kappa_{p_1^{10}e^2} - 98\,012\kappa_{p_1^8e^4} + 577\,796\kappa_{p_1^6e^6} - 1\,461\,667\kappa_{p_1^4e^8} + 1\,338\,700\kappa_{p_1^2e^{10}}. \end{split}$$

1.3 Idea behind main results

The inspiration for our main results comes from considering Donaldson theory for a family of definite 4-manifolds. Let X be a compact, simply connected smooth 4-manifold with positive-definite intersection form. Recall the proof of Donaldson's diagonalisation theorem uses the moduli space \mathcal{M} of selfdual instantons on an SU(2)bundle $E \to X$ with $c_2(E) = -1$. Then \mathcal{M} is a 5-dimensional oriented manifold with singularities. The singularities correspond to reducible instantons, which correspond to elements in $\xi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\xi^2 = 1$, considered modulo $\xi \mapsto -\xi$. Each singularity of \mathcal{M} takes the form of a cone over \mathbb{CP}^2 . The moduli space \mathcal{M} is noncompact, but it admits a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ whose boundary is diffeomorphic to X. Removing from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ a neighbourhood of each singularity, we obtain a cobordism \mathcal{M}' from X to a disjoint union of copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 . Cobordism-invariance of the signature implies that there are $n = b_2(X)$ copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 and, hence, there are *n* distinct pairs of elements $\pm \xi_1, \ldots, \pm \xi_n \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\xi_i^2 = 1$. This implies that $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is diagonalisable.

Now suppose that $E \rightarrow B$ is a smooth family with fibres diffeomorphic to X and suppose for simplicity that the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. Considering the moduli space of selfdual instantons with $c_2(E) = -1$ on each fibre of E, we obtain a families moduli space $\mathcal{M}_E \to B$. Note that \mathcal{M}_E is typically not a fibre bundle since the topology of the fibres of \mathcal{M}_E can vary as we move in B. We would expect that, for a sufficiently generic family of metrics on E, we can arrange that \mathcal{M}_E is smooth away from reducible solutions and that the structure of \mathcal{M}_E around the reducibles is given by taking fibrewise cones on $n \mathbb{CP}^2$ -bundles E_1, \ldots, E_n over B. We would further expect that \mathcal{M}_E can be compactified by adding a boundary which is diffeomorphic to the family E. Removing a neighbourhood of the reducible solutions, we would expect to obtain a cobordism $\pi' \colon \mathcal{M}'_E \to B$ relative *B*, between $E \to B$ and the disjoint union of \mathbb{CP}^2 -bundles E_1, \ldots, E_n . Consider the virtual vector bundle $V = T\mathcal{M}'_E - (\pi')^*(TB)$. Clearly $V|_E = T(E/B)$ and $V|_{E_i} = T(E_i/B)$ for each *i*; hence, the Pontryagin classes of V restrict to the Pontryagin classes of E and E_i on the boundary. Applying Stokes' theorem, we expect to obtain a kind of "diagonalisation theorem" for the tautological classes:

(1-2)
$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}}(E_i).$$

Note that we need to take even powers of *e* because *e* is unstable whereas $e^2 = p_2$ is stable.

There are some technical challenges for carrying out this argument rigorously. Most notably, it seems difficult to arrange unobstructedness of the families moduli space around the reducible solutions. It is well known that this can be done for a single moduli space by choosing a sufficiently generic metric, but extending this to families appears challenging. Nevertheless, the intuition provided by Donaldson theory turns out to be essentially correct. Theorem 1.6 provides a rigorous version of (1-2), where $\phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i)$ plays the role of $\kappa_{p_1^a, e^b}(E_i)$. Note, by Remark 1.4, that, if $-B_i$ and $-C_i$ are the Chern classes of a rank 3 vector bundle $V_i \rightarrow B$ with trivial determinant, then $\phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i) = \kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E_i)$, where E_i is the \mathbb{CP}^2 -bundle $E_i = \mathbb{P}(V_i)$. The natural candidate for V_i is the families index of the instanton deformation complex around the corresponding reducible, except that we only know this exists as a *virtual* vector bundle.

We will prove the main results using families Seiberg–Witten theory, or, more precisely, the Bauer–Furuta cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg–Witten theory. The main advantage of this approach is that it allows us to avoid various transversality issues that typically arise in the construction of moduli spaces. It is quite surprising that Seiberg–Witten theory works here. The issue is that the families Seiberg–Witten moduli space is compact and there is no obvious relation between the families moduli space and the family E. What happens instead is that Seiberg–Witten theory gives constraints on the topology of the families index associated to families of Dirac operators on E. We use this to indirectly obtain a series of constraints on the cohomology ring $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ of the family E and in turn this gives constraints on the tautological classes.

Outline of the paper

In Section 2, we establish some basic results concerning families of definite 4-manifolds. In Section 3, we consider the Bauer-Furuta refinement of Seiberg-Witten theory for a family of definite 4-manifolds. The main result is Theorem 3.1. The rest of the section is concerned with understanding some of the implications of this theorem. In Section 4, we study in great detail the structure of the cohomology rings $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ of families of definite 4-manifolds and in Section 5 we prove our main results concerning the tautological classes of such families. Sections 6 and 7 are concerned with the special cases of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ and finally, in Section 8, we study linear relations in the tautological rings of definite 4-manifolds.

Acknowledgements We thank Oscar Randal-Williams for helpful comments on the paper and for suggesting a simpler proof of Lemma 3.2. The author was financially supported by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP170101054.

2 Families of definite 4–manifolds

Throughout, X denotes a smooth, compact, simply connected 4–manifold with positivedefinite intersection form and $n = b_2(X) \ge 1$. The intersection pairing \langle , \rangle on $H^2(X)$ is a symmetric, unimodular bilinear form. By Donaldson's diagonalisation theorem [5], the intersection form on $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is diagonal and so there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ for $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. An orthonormal basis for $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ will be called a *framing* of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Let Λ_n denote the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^n of rank *n* and let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis. Equip Λ_n with the standard Euclidean inner product. Then a framing $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ determines an isometry $\phi \colon \Lambda_n \to H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ given by $\phi(e_i) = \xi_i$. Let $W_n = \operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda_n)$ denote the symmetry group of Λ_n equipped with its intersection form. Since the only classes of norm 1 are $\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_n$, it is easy to see that W_n is isomorphic to a semidirect product

$$W_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n$$

where the symmetric group S_n acts by permutation — $\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$ — and the normal subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2^n is generated by $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$, where θ_i is the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to e_i :

$$\theta_i(e_j) = \begin{cases} e_j & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ -e_j & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

 W_n is also the Weyl group of the root systems B_n and C_n . Clearly, W_n is a subgroup of the isometry group of Λ_n . For the reverse inclusion, note that any isometry must permute the vectors of unit length, which are $\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \ldots, \pm e_n$. Hence, any isometry of Λ_n is given by a permutation of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, followed by some sign changes $e_i \mapsto -e_i$.

Let Diff(X) denote the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of X with the C^{∞} -topology and Diff₀(X) the subgroup acting trivially on $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Equivalently, Diff₀(X) is the subgroup of Diff(X) preserving a framing of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. By definition, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(X) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow 1$$
,

where K(X) is the image of the map $\text{Diff}(X) \to \text{Aut}(H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}))$ which sends a diffeomorphism $f: X \to X$ to the induced map $(f^{-1})^*: H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$. Note that, if $X = \#^n \mathbb{CP}^2$, then $K(X) = \text{Aut}(H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}))$. One sees this as follows: There is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of \mathbb{CP}^2 which acts as -1 on $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2;\mathbb{Z})$, namely complex conjugation. Such a diffeomorphism can be isotoped so as to act as the identity on a disc in \mathbb{CP}^2 , and hence can be extended to the connected sum $\#^n \mathbb{CP}^2$. Since we can do this for each summand, we see that $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in K(X)$. To see that $S_n \subset K(X)$, regard X as S^4 with \mathbb{CP}^2 attached at *n* points. Since these *n* points can be permuted by diffeomorphisms of S^4 , it follows that $S_n \subset K(X)$.

Fixing a framing ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we can identify K(X) with a subgroup of W_n . Since W_n is finite, so is K(X). Taking classifying spaces, we see that $BDiff_0(X)$ has the structure of a principal K(X)-bundle over BDiff(X). We now define

$$\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X) = B\mathrm{Diff}_0(X) \times_{K(X)} W_n.$$

So $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X)$ is a principal W_n -bundle over BDiff(X). Let $p: \overline{B\text{Diff}}(X) \to B\text{Diff}(X)$ be the covering map. Since p is a finite covering, it follows that the pullback map $p^*: H^*(B\text{Diff}(X); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ is injective and that the image is precisely the W_n -invariant part of $H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore, we may identify the tautological ring $R^*(X)$ with a subring of $H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$:

$$R^*(X) \subseteq H^*(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$$

Remark 2.1 Since $\overline{BDiff}(X) = BDiff_0(X) \times_{K(X)} W_n$, we have a fibration

$$BDiff_0(X) \to \overline{BDiff}(X) \to W_n/K(X).$$

But $W_n/K(X)$ is a finite discrete set, so $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X)$ is just the disjoint union of $|W_n/K(X)|$ copies of $B\text{Diff}_0(X)$. For this reason it makes little difference whether we work with $B\text{Diff}_0(X)$ or $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X)$. We prefer to use $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X)$ because the whole isometry group W_n acts on this space. Note also that, for $X = \#^n \mathbb{CP}^2$, we have $K(X) = W_n$ and so $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X) = B\text{Diff}_0(X)$ in this case.

Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with fibres diffeomorphic to X. Then E admits a reduction of structure to $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ if and only if the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B)$ on H^2 of the fibres is trivial. In such a case, if we choose a framing $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ of a single fibre and parallel translate, we obtain a framing $\{\xi_1(b), \ldots, \xi_n(b)\}$ of $H^2(X_b; \mathbb{Z})$ for each $b \in B$ such that the framing varies continuously with b. Henceforth we will restrict attention to families $\pi: E \to B$ equipped with a reduction of structure group to $\text{Diff}_0(X)$. We assume further that a framing has been chosen.

Proposition 2.2 Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$. Then the Leray–Serre spectral sequence for $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ degenerates at E_2 .

Proof It suffices to prove the result when *B* is connected. Let $e \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the Euler class of the vertical tangent bundle. For each $b \in B$, we have that $e|_{X_b}$ is 2 + n times a generator of $H^4(X_b; \mathbb{Q})$. It follows that all the differentials of the form $d_r: E_r^{0,4} \to E_r^{r,5-r}$ are zero. Moreover, the differentials for *r* odd are all zero because $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero only in even degrees. Next, note that $E_2^{0,2} \cong H^2(X; \mathbb{Q})$ (since *B* is connected). Thus, we can identify ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n with classes in $E_2^{0,2}$. Now $\xi_j^2 \in E_2^{0,4}$, so

$$0 = d_3(\xi_j^2) = 2\xi_j d_3(\xi_j) \in E_3^{3,2} \cong H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^3(B; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Hence, $d_3(\xi_j) = 0$. It follows that there exist classes $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $x_j|_{X_b} = \xi_j(b)$. Now the result follows by the Leray–Hirsch theorem.

As seen in the proof of Proposition 2.2, there exist classes $x_1, \ldots x_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $x_j|_{X_b} = \xi_j(b)$ (note that in general the classes x_j can't be taken to lie in $H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$). The x_i are not unique because, if $a \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$, then $x_j + \pi^*(a)$ also restricts to $\xi_j(b)$ on X_b . From the Leray–Serre spectral sequence it is clear that the x_j are unique up to such shifts.

Let

$$\int_{E/B} : H^k(E; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{k-4}(B; \mathbb{Q})$$

denote fibre integration. We clearly have

$$\int_{E/B} x_j = 0, \quad \int_{E/B} x_j^2 = 1, \quad \int_{E/B} x_i x_j = 0$$

for all *i* and *j* with $j \neq i$. Let $e \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the Euler class and $p_j \in H^{4j}(E; \mathbb{Q})$ the Pontryagin classes of the vertical tangent bundle. Since the fibres are 4–dimensional, we have $p_j = 0$ for j > 2 and $p_2 = e^2$. So all rational characteristic classes of the vertical tangent bundle can be expressed in terms of p_1 and *e*. From the Gauss–Bonnet and signature theorems, we have

$$\int_{E/B} e = \chi(X) = n + 2, \quad \int_{E/B} p_1 = 3\sigma(X) = 3n.$$

Proposition 2.3 Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ and framing ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n . Then there exist uniquely determined classes $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\nu \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$ such that:

- (1) $x_j|_{X_b} = \xi_j(b)$ for j = 1, ... n.
- (2) $\int_{E/B} x_i^3 = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots n$.
- (3) $\int_{E/B} v = 1.$
- (4) $\int_{E/R} x_j v = 0$ for j = 1, ... n.
- (5) $\int_{E/R} v^2 = 0.$

Proof We already saw that there exist classes $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $y_i|_{X_h} = \xi_i(b)$. Now set

$$x_j = y_j - \frac{1}{3}\pi^* \left(\int_{E/B} y_j^3 \right).$$

Then it is straightforward that the x_j satisfy (1) and (2). Now let $\nu_0 = x_1^2 \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$. This satisfies (3). Now set

$$v_1 = v_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \pi^* \left(\int_{E/B} x_j v_0 \right) x_j.$$

Then ν_1 clearly satisfies (3) and (4). Moreover, any other class satisfying (3) and (4) must be of the form $\nu_1 + \pi^*(a)$ for some $a \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Set

$$\nu = \nu_1 - \frac{1}{2}\pi^* \left(\int_{E/B} \nu_1^2 \right).$$

Then ν satisfies (3)–(5). Uniqueness of ν and the x_i is straightforward.

In summary, $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ is a free $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ -module with a uniquely determined basis $1, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \nu$ satisfying:

- (1) $\int_{E/B} 1 = 0.$ (2) $\int_{E/B} x_j = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n.(3) $\int_{E/B} x_j^2 = 1$ for j = 1, ..., n.(4) $\int_{E/B} x_i x_j = 0$ for i, j = 1, ..., n with $i \neq j.$ (5) $\int_{E/B} x_j^3 = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n.(6) $\int_{E/B} v = 1.$ (7) $\int_{E/B} x_j v = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n.
- (8) $\int_{E/B} v^2 = 0.$

The cup product on $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ will be completely determined by the products

 $x_i x_j$ for $i \neq j$, x_i^2 , $x_i v$, v^2 .

By (1)–(8) above, these products must have the form

$$x_i x_j = \sum_k D_{ij}^k x_k + E_{ij}, \quad x_i^2 = \nu + \sum_j F_{ij} x_j + G_i,$$
$$x_i \nu = \sum_j I_{ij} x_j + J_i, \qquad \nu^2 = \sum_j K_j x_j + \omega$$

for some classes D_{ij}^k , $F_{ij} \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$, E_{ij} , G_i , $I_{ij} \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$, J_i , $K_i \in H^6(B; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\omega \in H^8(B; \mathbb{Q})$. We can assume also that D_{ij}^k is symmetric in *i* and *j*. Note that

the classes D_{ij}^k, \ldots, K_i are uniquely determined because $\{1, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \nu\}$ is a basis for $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ as an $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ -module.

Proposition 2.4 We have the identities

$$F_{ij} = D_{ij}^{l} \text{ for } i \neq j, \qquad I_{ij} = E_{ij} \text{ for } i \neq j,$$

$$F_{ii} = 0, \qquad I_{ii} = G_i, \qquad K_i = J_i$$

Proof We have

$$\int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j = \int_{E/B} x_i \left(\sum_k D_{ij}^k x_k + E_{ij} \right) = D_{ij}^i.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j = \int_{E/B} x_j \left(\nu + \sum_k F_{ik} x_k + G_i \right) = F_{ij}.$$

Equating these gives $F_{ij} = D_{ij}^i$ for $i \neq j$. Similarly, from $\int_{E/B} x_i^3 = 0$, we get $F_{ii} = 0$. Evaluating $\int_{E/B} x_i x_j v$ two different ways gives $I_{ij} = E_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$, evaluating $\int_{E/B} x_i^2 v$ in two different ways gives $I_{ii} = G_i$, and evaluating $\int_{E/B} x_i v^2$ in two different ways gives $K_i = J_i$.

After making the simplifications given by Proposition 2.4, we have

(2-1)
$$x_i x_j = \sum_k D_{ij}^k x_k + E_{ij},$$

(2-2)
$$x_i^2 = \nu + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^i x_j + G_i,$$

(2-3)
$$x_i v = G_i x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} E_{ij} x_j + J_i,$$

(2-4)
$$v^2 = \sum_j J_j x_j + \omega.$$

3 Families Bauer–Furuta theory

Let X be a compact, oriented, smooth 4-manifold with $b_1(X) = 0$. Let \mathfrak{s} be a spin^c-structure on X with characteristic $c = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $d = \frac{1}{8}(c^2 - \sigma(X))$ be the index of the associated spin^c Dirac operator.

Let S^1 act on \mathbb{C} by scalar multiplication and trivially on \mathbb{R} . As shown by Bauer and Furuta [3], one can take a finite-dimensional approximation of the Seiberg–Witten equations for (X, \mathfrak{s}) to obtain an S^1 –equivariant map

$$f: (\mathbb{C}^a \oplus \mathbb{R}^b)^+ \to (\mathbb{C}^{a'} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b'})^+$$

for some $a, b, a', b' \ge 0$, where a - a' = d and $b' - b = b_+(X)$. Here T^+ denotes the one-point compactification of T. By construction, f sends the point at infinity in $(\mathbb{C}^a \oplus \mathbb{R}^b)^+$ to the point at infinity in $(\mathbb{C}^{a'} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b'})^+$. Additionally, f can be chosen so that its restriction $f|_{(\mathbb{R}^b)^+} : (\mathbb{R}^b)^+ \to (\mathbb{R}^{b'})^+$ is the map induced by an inclusion of vector spaces $\mathbb{R}^b \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{b'}$. For the purposes of this paper, it is more convenient to look at the Seiberg–Witten equations on X with the opposite orientation. Once again we obtain an S^1 –equivariant map of the form

(3-1)
$$f: (\mathbb{C}^a \oplus \mathbb{R}^b)^+ \to (\mathbb{C}^{a'} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b'})^+,$$

but now a, a', b and b' satisfy a' - a = d and $b' - b = b_{-}(X)$.

The process of taking a finite-dimensional approximation of the Seiberg–Witten equations can be carried out in families [20; 2]. Let *B* be a compact, smooth manifold. Consider a smooth family $\pi: E \to B$ with fibres diffeomorphic to *X* and suppose that there is a spin^c-structure $\mathfrak{s}_{E/B}$ on T(E/B) which restricts to \mathfrak{s} on the fibres of *E*. Taking a finite-dimensional approximation of the Seiberg–Witten equations for the family *E* (with the opposite orientation on *X*), we obtain a family of maps of the form (3-1). More precisely, we obtain complex vector bundles *V* and *V'* over *B* of ranks *a* and *a'*, real vector bundles *U* and *U'* over *B* of ranks *b* and *b'*, and an S^1 -equivariant map of sphere bundles

$$f: S_{V,U} \to S_{V',U'}$$

covering the identity on *B*. Here $S_{V,U}$ and $S_{V',U'}$ denote the fibrewise one-point compactifications of $V \oplus U$ and $V' \oplus U'$. The group S^1 acts on *V* and *V'* by scalar multiplication and trivially on *U* and *U'*. The action of S^1 on the direct sums $V \oplus U$ and $V' \oplus U'$ extends continuously to the fibrewise one-point compactifications $S_{V,U}$ and $S_{V',U'}$. Moreover, we have, in $K^0(B)$ and $KO^0(B)$, respectively,

$$V' - V = D, \quad U' - U = H^{-}(X),$$

where $D \in K^0(B)$ is the families index of the family of spin^c Dirac operators on E determined by $\mathfrak{s}_{E/B}$ and $H^-(X)$ is the vector bundle on B whose fibre over $b \in B$ is the space of harmonic antiselfdual 2-forms on the fibre of E over b (with respect to some

smoothly varying fibrewise metric on E). By stabilising the map f, we can assume that V and U are trivial vector bundles. As shown in [2], the map f may be constructed so as to satisfy two further properties. First, we may assume that $U' \cong U \oplus H^-(X)$ and that the restriction $f|_{S_U}: S_U \to S_{U'}$ is the map induced by the inclusion $U \to U'$. Second, we may assume that f sends the point at infinity in each fibre of $S_{V,U}$ to the point at infinity of the corresponding fibre of $S_{V',U'}$. Let $B_{V,U} \subseteq S_{V,U}$ denote the section at infinity and similarly define $B_{V',U'} \subseteq S_{V',U'}$. Then f sends $B_{V,U}$ to $B_{V',U'}$. Hence, f defines an S^1 -equivariant map of pairs

$$f:(S_{V,U}, B_{V,U}) \to (S_{V',U'}, B_{V',U'}).$$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $\pi: E \to B$ is a smooth family of simply connected, positive-definite 4-manifolds over a compact base *B* and that T(E/B) admits a spin^c – structure $\mathfrak{s}_{E/B}$. Let $D \in K^0(B)$ denote the index of the family of spin^c –Dirac operators associated to $\mathfrak{s}_{E/B}$. Then $c_j(D) = 0$ for j > d, where *d* is the virtual rank of *D*.

Proof This result is a variant of [1, Theorem 1.1]. We give a streamlined proof. As explained above, taking a finite-dimensional approximation of the Seiberg–Witten equations for the family E (with opposite orientation on X), we obtain an S^1 –equivariant monopole map $f: S_{V,U} \to S_{V',U'}$. Since X is positive-definite, $H^-(X) = 0$ and U' = U. So f takes the form

$$f: S_{V,U} \to S_{V',U},$$

with the property that $f|_{S_U}$ is the identity $S_U \to S_U$. We also have that V'-V = D. Let $\tau_{V,U}$ and $\tau_{V',U}$ denote the S^1 -equivariant Thom classes of $S_{V,U}$ and $S_{V',U}$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$(S_{V,U}, B_{V,U}) \xrightarrow{f} (S_{V',U}, B_{V,U})$$

$$j \uparrow \qquad j' \uparrow \qquad j' \uparrow \qquad (S_U, B_U) \xrightarrow{id} (S_U, B_U)$$

By the Thom isomorphism in equivariant cohomology, we must have

$$f^*(\tau_{V',U}) = \beta \tau_{V,U}$$

for some $\beta \in H^{2d}_{S^1}(B; \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, $j^*(\tau_{V,U}) = e_{S^1}(V)\tau_U$ and $(j')^*(\tau_{V',U}) = e_{S^1}(V')\tau_U$, where $e_{S^1}(V)$ and $e_{S^1}(V')$ denote the S^1 -equivariant Euler classes of V and V' and τ_U is the S^1 -equivariant Thom class of U. Therefore,

$$\beta e_{S^1}(V)\tau_U = j^*(\beta \tau_{V,U}) = j^*f^*(\tau_{V',U}) = (j')^*(\tau_{V',U}) = e_{S^1}(V')\tau_U.$$

Hence,

(3-2)
$$e_{S^1}(V') = \beta e_{S^1}(V)$$

for some $\beta \in H^{2d}_{S^1}(B; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that, since S^1 acts trivially on B, we have $H^*_{S^1}(B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(B; \mathbb{Z})[x]$, where $H^*_{S^1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Using a splitting principle argument, it is easy to see that, if S^1 acts on a complex rank m vector bundle W by scalar multiplication, then

$$e_{S^1}(W) = x^m + x^{m-1}c_1(W) + \dots + c_m(W).$$

Now, by stabilisation, we may assume that V is a trivial bundle, $V \cong \mathbb{C}^a$. Then V' has the same Chern classes as D. So

$$e_{S^1}(V') = x^{a'} + x^{a'-1}c_1(D) + \dots + c_{a'}(D), \quad e_{S^1}(V) = x^a.$$

Then, writing

$$\beta = \beta_0 x^d + \beta_1 x^{d-1} + \dots + \beta_d,$$

equation (3-2) becomes

$$x^{a'} + x^{a'-1}c_1(D) + \dots + c_{a'}(D) = \beta_0 x^{d+a} + \beta_1 x^{d+a-1} + \dots + \beta_d x^a.$$

Then, since d + a = a', it follows that $\beta_j = c_j(D)$ for $0 \le j \le d$ and that $c_j(D) = 0$ for j > d.

Lemma 3.2 Let $P \to B$ be a principal PU(m)-bundle and $\pi: E \to B$ the associated \mathbb{CP}^{m-1} -bundle. Then the pullback $\pi^*(P)$ of P to the total space of E admits a lift of structure group to U(m).

Proof Let $G \subset PU(m)$ be the subgroup of PU(m) fixing a point in \mathbb{CP}^{m-1} . Then clearly $\pi^*(P)$ admits a reduction of structure to G. On the other hand it is easy to see that $G \simeq U(m-1)$ and that the inclusion $G \to PU(m)$ factors through the projection $U(m) \to PU(m)$. Therefore, $\pi^*(P)$ admits a lift of the structure group to U(m). \Box

Lemma 3.3 There exists a fibre bundle $\rho: F \to B$ such that:

- (1) $\rho^*: H^*(B; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective.
- (2) For i = 1, ..., n, there exist classes $\zeta_i \in H^2(\rho^*(E); \mathbb{Z})$ such that ζ_i restricted to the fibres of $\rho^*(E)$ equals ξ_i .

The point of this lemma is that the ζ_i are *integral* cohomology classes whereas the x_i defined earlier are only rational.

Proof Consider the Leray–Serre spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ for $\pi: E \to B$. Note that $E_2^{p,q} = E_3^{p,q}$. We have seen that $d_3(\xi_j)$ is zero rationally, but it need not be zero over \mathbb{Z} . Therefore, $g_j = d_3(\xi_j) \in E_3^{3,0} = H^3(B; \mathbb{Z})$ for j = 1, ..., n are all torsion classes. By a result of Serre [12], every torsion class in $H^3(B; \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by the lifting obstruction for some principal PU(*m*)–bundle, where the rank *m* is allowed to vary. Thus, for i = 1, ..., n, we can find an m_i and a principal PU(m_i)–bundle $P_i \to B$ such that g_i is the lifting obstruction for P_i . Let $\pi_i: E_i \to B$ be the associated \mathbb{CP}^{m_i-1} –bundle. By Lemma 3.2, the pullback of g_i to E_i must vanish.

Let $F = E_1 \times_B E_2 \times_B \cdots \times_B E_n$ and let $\rho: F \to B$ be the projection. By induction on n, it is straightforward to see that $\rho^*: H^*(B; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Moreover, $\rho^*(g_i) = 0$ for all i. Hence, the Leray–Serre spectral sequence for $\rho^*(E) \to B$ degenerates over \mathbb{Z} at E_2 . Hence, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exist classes $\zeta_i \in H^2(\rho^*(E); \mathbb{Z})$ such that ζ_i restricted to the fibres of $\rho^*(E)$ equals ξ_i .

Theorem 3.4 Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$. Then

$$\int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n)/2} \hat{A}(T(E/B)) = 0$$

for all $\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n \in \{1, -1\}$.

Proof Let $\rho: F \to B$ be as in the statement of Lemma 3.3. Since $\rho^*: H^*(B; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective, to show that

$$\int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n)/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B))$$

is zero, it suffices to show that it pulls back to zero under ρ . Therefore, we may restrict to families with the property that there exists classes $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ such that ζ_i restricted to the fibres of *E* equals ξ_i . Let

$$c = \epsilon_1 \zeta_1 + \epsilon_1 \zeta_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n \zeta_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then c is a characteristic for T(E/B) in the sense that the mod 2 reduction of c is $w_2(T(E/B))$. Therefore, the third integral Stiefel–Whitney class of T(E/B) vanishes and so T(E/B) admits some spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s}' . Let $c' = c_1(\mathfrak{s}') \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$. Then, since c' is a characteristic for T(E/B), we must have

$$c' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \zeta_i + \pi^*(\eta)$$

for some odd integers k_1, \ldots, k_n and some $\eta \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Z})$. For each *i*, let $L_i \to E$ be the line bundle with $c_1(L_i) = \zeta_i$. The set of spin^{*c*}-structures for T(E/B) is a torsor

over the group of line bundles on E. So we may consider the spin^c-structure

$$\mathfrak{s} = L_1^{a_1} \otimes L_2^{a_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n^{a_n} \otimes \mathfrak{s}',$$

where $a_i = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - k_i)$. It follows that $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = c + \pi^*(\eta)$. Now we apply Theorem 3.1 to the family $E \to B$ equipped with the spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s} . Let $D \in K^0(B)$ be the families index of this spin^c-structure. Since

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s})|_X = (c + \pi^*(\eta))|_X = \epsilon_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \xi_n,$$

we find (by the Atiyah–Singer index theorem) that the virtual rank of D is given by

$$d = \frac{1}{8}((\epsilon_1\xi_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\xi_n)^2 - n) = \frac{1}{8}(n - n) = 0.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 says that $c_j(D) = 0 \in H^{2j}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ for all j > 0. So Ch(D) = 0. Now, by the families index theorem,

Ch(D) = 0 =
$$\int_{E/B} e^{c_1(\mathfrak{s})/2} \hat{A}(T(E/B)) = 0.$$

To finish, we observe that, since $x_i | X = \xi_i = \zeta_i | X$, it follows that $\zeta_i = x_i + \pi^*(\eta_i)$ for some $\eta_i \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore,

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = \epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n + \pi^* (\eta + \epsilon_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \eta_n)$$

and hence

$$Ch(D) = 0 = \int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n + \pi^* (\eta + \epsilon_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \eta_n))/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B))$$
$$= e^{\pi^* (\eta + \epsilon_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \eta_n)/2} \int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n)/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)).$$

Multiplying through by $e^{-\pi^*(\eta+\epsilon_1\eta_1+\cdots+\epsilon_n\eta_n)/2}$, we obtain the theorem.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$. Fix $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and, for each $j \neq i$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $\epsilon_j \in \{1, -1\}$ be given. Set

$$c = 3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j.$$

Then

$$\int_{E/B} e^{c/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = e^u,$$

where $u \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$u = \int_{E/B} \frac{1}{48} c^3 - \frac{1}{48} p_1 c.$$

Proof As in the proof of Theorem 3.4, it suffices to prove the result for families with the property that there exist classes $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ such that ζ_i restricted to the fibres of *E* equals ξ_i . Let

$$c = 3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, there exists a spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s} such that $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = c + \pi^*(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$. We apply Theorem 3.1 to the family $E \to B$ equipped with the spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s} . Let $D \in K^0(B)$ be the families index of this spin^c-structure. Since

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s})|_X = 3\xi_1 + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j \xi_j,$$

we find that the virtual rank of D is given by

$$d = \frac{1}{8}((3\xi_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j \xi_j)^2 - n) = \frac{1}{8}(9 + (n-1) - n) = \frac{8}{8} = 1.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 says that $c_j(D) = 0 \in H^{2j}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ for all j > 1. Using the Newton identities and the fact that *D* has virtual rank 1, we find that

$$\operatorname{Ch}(D) = e^{c_1(D)}$$

Now, by the families index theorem,

$$Ch(D) = e^{c_1(D)} = \int_{E/B} e^{c_1(\mathfrak{s})/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = \int_{E/B} e^{(c+\pi^*(\eta))/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)).$$

Therefore,

(3-3)
$$\int_{E/B} e^{c/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = e^u,$$

where $u = c_1(D) - \frac{1}{2}\pi^*(\eta)$. Equating degree 2 components in (3-3), we find that

$$u = \int_{E/B} \frac{1}{48}c^3 - \frac{1}{48}p_1c.$$

4 Cohomology rings of families

In this section we apply Theorems 3.4 3.5 to obtain constrains on the structure of the cohomology ring $H^*(E;\mathbb{Q})$ and on the characteristic classes p_1 and e. These

constrains will then be used in Section 5 to deduce various properties of the tautological classes of *E*.

Proposition 4.1 Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a family with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$. The following identities hold:

$$(4-1) \quad 0 = \int_{E/B} x_i x_j x_i \qquad (\text{for distinct } i, j, k).$$

$$(4-2) \quad 0 = \int_{E/B} \left(x_i^3 + 3 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} x_i x_j^2 - p_1 x_i \right) \qquad (\text{for each } i),$$

$$(4-3) \quad 0 = \int_{E/B} \left(x_i x_j^3 + x_i^3 x_j + 3 \sum_{\substack{k \\ k \neq i, j}} x_i x_j x_k^2 - p_1 x_i x_j \right) \qquad (\text{for distinct } i, j),$$

$$(4-4) \quad 0 = \int_{E/B} \left(\sum_i x_i^4 + 6 \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} x_i^2 x_j^2 + 3e^2 - 2p_1 \sum_i x_i^2 \right).$$

Proof Theorem 3.4 gives

r

$$\int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n)/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = 0.$$

Expanding the exponential and integrating, we see that the degree 2m component of the left-hand side has the form

$$\sum_{|I| \le m+2} \epsilon_I \alpha_{m,I}$$

for some cohomology classes $\alpha_{m,I} \in H^{2m}(B; \mathbb{Q})$, where the sum is over subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size $\leq m + 2$ and

$$\epsilon_I = \prod_{i \in I} \epsilon_i.$$

Each ϵ_I can be thought of as a function $\epsilon_I : \{1, -1\}^n \to \mathbb{Q}$. Thought of this way, the $\{\epsilon_I\}_I$ are linearly independent. Indeed they are the characters of \mathbb{Z}_2^n ; namely, ϵ_I is the character of the 1-dimensional representation in which the *i*th generator of \mathbb{Z}_2^n acts as -1 if $i \in I$ and as +1 if $i \notin I$. By linear independence of the ϵ_I , it follows that, if

$$\int_{E/B} e^{(\epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n)/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = 0$$

for all $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \in \{1, -1\}$, then each class $\alpha_{m,I}$ must be zero. In degree 2m = 2, we get

$$0 = \int_{E/B} x_i x_j x_i \quad \text{(for distinct } i, j, k),$$

which comes from $\alpha_{1,\{i,j,k\}} = 0$ and

$$0 = \int_{E/B} \left(x_i^3 + 3 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} x_i x_j^2 - p_1 x_i \right) \quad \text{(for each } i\text{)},$$

which comes from $\alpha_{1,\{i\}} = 0$. Notice that $\alpha_{1,\emptyset} = 0$ and $\alpha_{1,\{i,j\}} = 0$ hold automatically. In general it is clear that $\alpha_{m,I} = 0$ holds automatically whenever $|I| \neq m \mod 2$. In degree 2m = 4, we get

$$0 = \int_{E/B} \left(x_i x_j^3 + x_i^3 x_j + 3 \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} x_i x_j x_k^2 - p_1 x_i x_j \right) \quad \text{(for distinct } i, j\text{)},$$
$$0 = \int_{E/B} \left(\sum_i x_i^4 + 6 \sum_{\substack{i, j \\ i < j}} x_i^2 x_j^2 + 3e^2 - 2p_1 \sum_i x_i^2 \right),$$

which come from $\alpha_{2,\{i,j\}} = 0$ and $\alpha_{2,\emptyset} = 0$, respectively.

There is also an equation corresponding to $\alpha_{2,\{i,j,k,l\}} = 0$, but it turns out that this follows from (4-1), so doesn't give any further constraints.

Recall that, by Proposition 2.4, the cohomology ring of E is given by (2-1)–(2-4). We now use Proposition 4.1 to deduce further simplifications.

Proposition 4.2 We have that

$$D_{ii}^k = 0$$
 whenever $k \neq i$ or j.

Henceforth, we shall denote D_{ij}^i by D_{ij} (note that $D_{ij}^j = D_{ji}^j = D_{ji}$). Moreover,

$$E_{ij} = -D_{ij}D_{ji}$$
 for all $i \neq j$.

Hence, the cup product on $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ has the form

(4-5)
$$x_i x_j = D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j - D_{ij} D_{ji},$$

(4-6)
$$x_i^2 = \nu + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} x_j + G_i,$$

Tautological classes of definite 4-manifolds

(4-7)
$$x_i v = G_i x_i - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} D_{ji} x_j + J_i$$

(4-8)
$$\nu^2 = \sum_j J_j x_j + \omega.$$

Proof Since $x_i x_j = \sum_k D_{ij}^k x_k + E_{ij}$, equation (4-1) implies that

$$D_{ij}^k = 0$$
 whenever $k \neq i$ or j .

As stated in the proposition, we will henceforth denote D_{ij}^i simply by D_{ij} . Next consider $p_1 \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$. Since $\int_{E/B} p_1 = 3n$, we may write p_1 in the form

$$(4-9) p_1 = 3n\nu + \sum_i \lambda_i x_i + \tau$$

for some $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\tau \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$. From (4-2), one finds

(4-10)
$$\lambda_i = 3 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ji}.$$

Next, we note that (by (2-1))

$$\int_{E/B} x_i^3 x_j = \int_{E/B} x_i^2 (D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j + E_{ij}) = D_{ij} D_{ji} + E_{ij},$$

$$\int_{E/B} x_i x_j x_k^2 = \int_{E/B} (D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j + E_{ij}) x_k^2 = D_{ij} D_{ki} + D_{ji} D_{kj} + E_{ij}$$

for i, j and k distinct, and

$$\int_{E/B} p_1 x_i x_j = \int_{E/B} (3n\nu + \sum_k \lambda_k x_k + \tau) x_i x_j \qquad (by (4-9))$$

$$= 3nE_{ij} + D_{ij}\lambda_i + D_{ji}\lambda_j \qquad (by (2-1))$$

$$= 3nE_{ij} + 6D_{ij}D_{ji} + 3\sum_{\substack{k \\ k \neq i,j}} (D_{ij}D_{ki} + D_{ji}D_{kj}) \quad (by (4-10)).$$

Hence, (4-3) gives

$$2(D_{ij}D_{ji} + E_{ij}) + 3\sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} (D_{ij}D_{ki} + D_{ji}D_{kj} + E_{ij}) - 3nE_{ij} - 6D_{ij}D_{ji} - 3\sum_{\substack{k \neq i,j}} (D_{ij}D_{ki} + D_{ji}D_{kj}) = 0,$$

which simplifies to

$$2(D_{ij}D_{ji} + E_{ij}) + 3(n-2)E_{ij} - 3nE_{ij} - 6D_{ij}D_{ji} = 0,$$

or

 $-4(D_{ij}D_{ji} + E_{ij}) = 0.$

So we have

$$E_{ij} = -D_{ij}D_{ji}.$$

A surprising consequence of Proposition 4.2 is that the equation for $x_i x_j$,

$$x_i x_j = D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j - D_{ij} D_{ji},$$

can be written more compactly as

$$(x_i - D_{ji})(x_j - D_{ij}) = 0.$$

From Proposition 4.2, the cup product on $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ is determined by classes D_{ij} , G_i , J_i and ω . However there are certain constraints that these classes must satisfy arising from associativity of the cup product.

Proposition 4.3 The classes D_{ij} , G_i , J_i and ω satisfy

(4-11)
$$(D_{ij} - D_{kj})(D_{ik} - D_{jk}) = 0$$
 (for distinct *i*, *j*, *k*),

(4-12)
$$G_i + G_j + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} D_{ik} D_{jk} = D_{ij}^2 + D_{ji}^2 \qquad (\text{for distinct } i, j),$$

(4-13)
$$J_j + D_{ij}G_j - \sum_{\substack{k \neq i, j \\ k \neq i, j}} D_{ik}D_{jk}D_{kj} = D_{ij}G_i - D_{ij}D_{ji}^2$$
 (for distinct *i*, *j*),

(4-14)
$$\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} J_j D_{ij} + \omega = G_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2 \quad (\text{for all } i).$$

Proof Recall the cup product on $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$ is given in Proposition 4.2. Associativity of this product gives constraints on D_{ij}, G_i, J_i, ω . Let i, j and k be distinct. From

$$(x_i x_j) x_k = x_i (x_j x_k)$$

we obtain (4-11). From

$$(x_i^2)x_j = x_i(x_ix_j)$$

we obtain (4-12). From

$$(x_i^2)\nu = x_i(x_i\nu)$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

we obtain (4-13), and from

Conversely, it can be checked that (4-11)–(4-14) imply associativity of the product given in Proposition 4.2, so there are no further equations that can be obtained from associativity alone.

 $x_i(v^2) = (x_i v)v$

Proposition 4.4 For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$x_i^3 = B_i x_i + C_i,$$

where

(4-15)
$$B_i = 2G_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2,$$

(4-16)
$$C_{i} = J_{i} - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}.$$

Proof We have

$$x_i^2 = \nu + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} x_j + G_i.$$

Multiplying both sides by x_i and using $x_i v = G_i x_i - \sum_{j|j \neq i} D_{ij} D_{ji} x_j + J_i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i}^{3} &= x_{i}v + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}(x_{i}x_{j}) + G_{i}x_{i} \\ &= 2G_{i}x_{i} - \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}D_{ji}x_{j} + J_{i} + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}(D_{ij}x_{i} + D_{ji}x_{j} - D_{ij}D_{ji}) \\ &= (2G_{i} + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2})x_{i} + J_{i} - \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2}D_{ji} \\ &= B_{i}x_{i} + C_{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.5 For distinct *i* and *j*,

$$D_{ji}^3 = B_i D_{ji} + C_i.$$

In other words, D_{ji} satisfies the same cubic equation as x_i .

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

Proof Recall that $x_i x_j = D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j - D_{ij} D_{ji}$. Therefore,

$$x_i(x_j - D_{ij}) = D_{ji}(x_j - D_{ij}).$$

By repeated application of this identity, we see that $x_i^k(x_j - D_{ij}) = D_{ji}^k(x_j - D_{ij})$ for any $k \ge 0$. Using this and Proposition 4.4, we have

$$D_{ji}^{3}(x_{j} - D_{ij}) = x_{ij}^{3}(x_{j} - D_{ij}) = (B_{i}x_{i} + C_{i})(x_{j} - D_{ij}) = (B_{i}D_{ji} + C_{i})(x_{j} - D_{ij}).$$

Multiplying both sides by x_j and integrating over the fibres gives

$$D_{ji}^3 = B_i D_{ji} + C_i.$$

In order to compute tautological classes E, we need to determine p_1 and e as elements of $H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$. This is carried out in the next few propositions. First we consider p_1 .

Proposition 4.6 There exists a class $\mu \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$p_1 = 3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu.$$

Proof By the signature theorem, $\int_{E/B} p_1 = 3n$. Therefore,

$$p_1 = 3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i + \mu$$

for some $d_i \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$ and some $\mu \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Then, using (4-2), we find that $d_i = 0$ for all *i* and hence

$$p_1 = 3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu.$$

Proposition 4.7 For each *i*,

$$-2B_i + 3\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + \mu = 0.$$

Proof We use Theorem 3.5. For $j \neq i$, let $\epsilon_j \in \{1, -1\}$ and set

$$c = 3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j.$$

Then

(4-17)
$$\int_{E/B} e^{c/2} \hat{A}(T(E/B)) = e^{u},$$

where

$$u = \int_{E/B} \frac{1}{48}c^3 - \frac{1}{48}p_1c.$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

Since u is cubic in c, it can be expanded in the form

$$u = u_0 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j u_j + \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} \epsilon_j \epsilon_k u_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{j,k,l \\ i,j,k,l \text{ distinct}}} \epsilon_j \epsilon_k \epsilon_l u_{jkl}$$

for some $u_0, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{jkl} \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$. From Proposition 4.6, we find that

$$u = \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} \left((3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j)^3 - (3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j) (3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu) \right).$$

Expanding this, we find

$$\begin{split} u_0 &= \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} \left(27x_i^3 + 9x_i \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} x_j^2 - 9x_i^3 - 9x_i \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} x_j^2 \right) = \frac{3}{8} \int_{E/B} x_i^3 = 0, \\ u_j &= \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} \left(27x_i^2 x_j + x_j^3 + 3x_j \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} x_k^2 - 3x_j^3 - 3x_j \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq j}} x_k^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} \left(27x_i^2 x_j + 3x_j \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} x_k^2 - 3x_j \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} x_k^2 - 3x_j x_i^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} 24x_i^2 x_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j = \frac{1}{2} D_{ij}. \end{split}$$

We also have

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} 18x_i x_j x_k = 0$$
 and $u_{ijk} = \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} 6x_i x_j x_k = 0.$

So

$$u = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j D_{ij}.$$

It follows that

(4-18)
$$\frac{1}{2}u^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} \epsilon_j \epsilon_k D_{ij} D_{ik} \right).$$

Equating degree 4 components of (4-17), we get

(4-19)
$$\frac{1}{2}u^2 = \int_{E/B} \left(\frac{1}{384} c^4 - \frac{1}{192} c^2 p_1 + \frac{1}{5760} (7p_1^2 - 4e^2) \right).$$

Observe that

$$c - 2x_i = x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.4 gives

$$\int_{E/B} e^{(c-2x_i)/2} \widehat{A}(T(E/B)) = 0$$

Extracting the degree 4 terms, we find

(4-20)
$$\int_{E/B} \left(\frac{1}{384} (c - 2x_i)^4 - \frac{1}{192} (c - 2x_i)^2 p_1 + \frac{1}{5760} (7p_1^2 - 4e^2) \right) = 0.$$

Combining (4-19) and (4-20) gives

$$\frac{1}{2}u^2 = \int_{E/B} \left(\frac{1}{384} [c^4 - (c - 2x_i)^4] - \frac{1}{192} [c^2 - (c - 2x_i)^2] p_1 \right)$$

The right-hand side can be expanded in the form $\sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} v_{I}$ for some $v_{I} \in H^{4}(B; \mathbb{Q})$. We will be interested in the constant term v_{\emptyset} (here "constant" means independent of the ϵ_{j}). Comparing with (4-18), we have

$$v_{\varnothing} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2.$$

Using Proposition 4.6, we find

$$-\frac{1}{192} \int_{E/B} [c^2 - (c - 2x_i)^2] p_1$$

= $-\frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} \left(\left(3x_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \epsilon_j x_j \right) x_i - x_i^2 \right) (3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu).$

The constant term in this expression is

$$(4-21) \quad -\frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} (3x_i^2 - x_i^2) (3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu) = -\frac{1}{24} \int_{E/B} \left(3x_i^4 + 3x_i^2 \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} x_j^2 + \mu \right) = -\frac{1}{8} B_i - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 - \frac{1}{24} \mu.$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

Also,

$$\frac{1}{384} \int_{E/B} (c^4 - (c - 2x_i)^4) = \frac{1}{48} \int_{E/B} (c^3 x_i - 3c^2 x_i^2 + 4cx_i^3 - 2x_i^4)$$

The constant term in this expression is

$$(4-22) \quad \frac{1}{48} \left(-2B_i + 12B_i - 27B_i - 3\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 + 27B_i + 9\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{48} \left(10B_i + 6\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 \right)$$
$$= \frac{5}{24} B_i + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2.$$

Combining the constant terms from (4-21) and (4-22) and equating this to v_{\emptyset} , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 = -\frac{1}{8} B_i - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 - \frac{1}{24} \mu + \frac{5}{24} B_i + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{12} B_i - \frac{1}{24} \mu.$$

Hence,

$$3\sum_{\substack{j\\j\neq i}} D_{ij}^2 = 2B_i - \mu.$$

We now consider the Euler class e. To determine e we will make use of the following result [19, Lemma 2.3]:

Lemma 4.8 For any $\alpha \in H^{ev}(E; \mathbb{Q})$,

$$\int_{E/B} \alpha e = \operatorname{Trace}(\alpha \colon H^{\operatorname{ev}}(E; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\operatorname{ev}}(E; \mathbb{Q})),$$

where we view $H^{ev}(E; \mathbb{Q})$ as a finite-dimensional free module over $H^{ev}(B; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proposition 4.9 $e = 2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$.

Proof From Lemma 4.8,

$$\int_{E/B} ex_i = \operatorname{Trace}(x_i \colon H^{\operatorname{ev}}(E; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\operatorname{ev}}(E; \mathbb{Q})).$$

We compute the trace of x_i using the basis $\{1, x_1, ..., x_n, \nu\}$. From (4-5), the coefficient of x_j in $x_i x_j$ for $i \neq j$ is D_{ji} . From (4-6), the coefficient of x_i in x_i^2 is zero and, from (4-7), the coefficient of ν in $x_i \nu$ is zero. Hence,

$$\int_{E/B} ex_i = \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ji}.$$

Similarly, we compute the trace of ν using the basis $\{1, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \nu\}$. From (4-7) the coefficient of x_i in νx_i is G_i and, from (4-8), the coefficient of ν in ν^2 is zero. Hence,

$$\int_{E/B} e\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i$$

From $\int_{E/B} e = \chi(X) = 2 + n$, it follows that

$$e = 2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i + w$$

for some $v_i \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Q})$ and some $w \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$. By direct computation, one finds that

$$\int_{E/B} ex_i = v_i + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E/B} ev = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i + w.$$

Therefore, $v_i = 0$ for all *i* and w = 0, so that

$$e = 2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2.$$

5 Tautological classes

In this section we use the results from Section 4 on the structure of the cohomology ring of E in order to compute tautological classes. The computation involves many complicated fibre integrals and hence we find it useful to first prove a general result about such integrals. The following result may be thought of as a kind of diagonalisation theorem for fibre integrals:

Proposition 5.1 (integration formula) Let $f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be a polynomial in t_1, \ldots, t_n with coefficients in $H^{ev}(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Then

(5-1)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j)$$

where

$$f_j(x_j) = f(D_{j1}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_j, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jn})$$

Proof We prove this by induction. Let $m \le n$. Suppose we have shown that (5-1) holds whenever f is a polynomial in m or fewer of the variables t_1, \ldots, t_m . The base case m = 1 is trivially true. Suppose the result holds for $1 \le m < n$. To prove the m + 1 case in general, it is enough to prove it for polynomials of the form $t_j^a f(t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_m})$ for some j, i_1, \ldots, i_m and some $a \ge 1$. Without loss of generality, it is enough to prove it for polynomials of the form $t_{m+1}^a f(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$, since we can get the result for $t_j^a f(t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_m})$ from this by reordering the indices. Note that, from $x_{m+1}x_1 = D_{(m+1)1}x_{m+1}D_{1(m+1)}x_1 - D_{(m+1)1}D_{1(m+1)}$, we get that

$$x_{m+1}(x_1 - D_{(m+1)1}) = D_{1(m+1)}(x_1 - D_{(m+1)1}).$$

Next, by the division algorithm, we can write

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(D_{(m+1)1}, x_2, \dots, x_m) + (x_1 - D_{(m+1)1})g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

for some polynomial $g(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. Then

$$\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

= $\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a (f(D_{(m+1)1}, x_2, \dots, x_m) + (x_1 - D_{(m+1)1})g(x_1, \dots, x_m))$
= $\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, x_2, \dots, x_m)) + D_{1(m+1)}^a (x_1 - D_{(m+1)1})g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$

Both terms involve at most m variables, so by induction we get

$$\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

= $\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, D_{(m+1)2}, \dots, D_{(m+1)m})$
+ $\int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^m D_{j(m+1)}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, D_{j2}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_j, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm})$

and

$$\int_{E/B} D_{1(m+1)}^{a} (x_{1} - D_{(m+1)1}) g(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m})$$

$$= \int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^{m} D_{1(m+1)}^{a} (D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) g(D_{j1}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_{j}, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm})$$

$$= \int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^{m} D_{j(m+1)}^{a} (D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) g(D_{j1}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_{j}, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm}).$$

To get the last line we used the special case of (4-11),

$$(D_{1(m+1)} - D_{j(m+1)})(D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) = 0,$$

to deduce that

$$D_{1(m+1)}(D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) = D_{j(m+1)}(D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1})$$

and hence, by repeated application of this identity, that

$$D_{1(m+1)}^{a}(D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) = D_{j(m+1)}^{a}(D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1})$$

Adding these two equalities, we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, D_{(m+1)2}, \dots, D_{(m+1)m}) \\ &+ \int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^m D_{j(m+1)}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, D_{j2}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_j, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm}) \\ &+ \int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^m D_{jm+1}^a (D_{j1} - D_{(m+1)1}) g(D_{j1}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_j, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm}) \\ &= \int_{E/B} x_{m+1}^a f(D_{(m+1)1}, D_{(m+1)2}, \dots, D_{(m+1)m}) \\ &+ \int_{E/B} \sum_{j=1}^m D_{j(m+1)}^a f(D_{j1}, \dots, D_{j(j-1)}, x_j, D_{j(j+1)}, \dots, D_{jm}). \end{split}$$

This proves the inductive step and so we are done.

The computation of the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ is best handled by considering separately the cases where *b* is even or odd. Because of this, we wish to have an

expression for e^2 in terms of x_1, \ldots, x_n in which there are no cross terms $x_i x_j$ with $i \neq j$. The following proposition gives such an expression:

Proposition 5.2 We have

$$e^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (3x_{i}^{2} - B_{i})^{2} + \lambda,$$
$$\lambda = -\sum (3D_{ij}^{2} - B_{j})^{2}$$

where λ satisfies

 $\sum_{\substack{j\\j\neq i}}$

for all i.

Proof Throughout this proof we will make repeated use of (4-5)–(4-8) to compute cup products. We will also use Proposition 4.4 to express third and higher powers of x_i in terms of x_i and x_i^2 . In particular,

$$x_i^4 = B_i x_i^2 + C_i x_i.$$

We will also use (4-15) and (4-16) to rewrite any instances of G_i or J_i that appear in the calculation in terms of B_i , C_i , D_{ij} and D_{ji} .

From Proposition 4.9, we have

$$e = 2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2.$$

Squaring both sides and simplifying, we find

$$e^{2} = 3\sum_{i} B_{i}x_{i}^{2} + 9\sum_{i} C_{i}x_{i} + 3\sum_{\substack{i,j\\i\neq j}} D_{ij}^{2}D_{ji}^{2} + 4\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (3x_{i}^{2} - B_{i})^{2} + \lambda,$$

where

$$\lambda = 3\sum_{\substack{i,j\\i\neq j}} D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2 + 4\omega - \sum_i B_i^2.$$

It remains to show that $\lambda = -\sum_{j|j \neq i} (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^2$. To show this, we will calculate $\int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2$ in two different ways. First, a direct computation gives

$$\int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2 = \int_{E/B} (2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2 x_i^2$$

=
$$\int_{E/B} 4\nu^2 x_i^2 + 4 \int_{E/B} \nu (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) x_i^2 + \int_{E/B} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2 x_i^2.$$

Now

$$\int_{E/B} v^2 x_i^2 = \int_{E/B} (vx_i)^2 = \int_{E/B} \left(G_i x_i - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} D_{ji} x_j + J_i \right)^2 = G_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{E/B} v(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) x_i^2 \\ &= \int_{E/B} v x_i^4 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} v x_j^2 x_i^2 \\ &= \int_{E/B} v(B_i x_i^2 + C_i x_i) + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} v(D_{ij} x_i + D_{ji} x_j - D_{ij} D_{ji})^2 \\ &= B_i G_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} (D_{ij}^2 G_i + D_{ji}^2 G_j - D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2). \end{split}$$

Also, from Proposition 5.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{E/B} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2 x_i^2 \\ &= \int_{E/B} x_i^2 \left(x_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ji}^2 \int_{E/B} \left(x_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq j}} D_{jk}^2 \right)^2 \\ &= B_i^2 + 2B_i \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + \left(\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ji}^2 \left(B_j + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq j}} D_{jk}^2 \right), \end{split}$$

where we used $\int_{E/B} x_i^4 = B_i$ and $\int_{E/B} x_i^6 = B_i^2$ to get the last line. Putting these together, we find

$$\begin{split} &\int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2 \\ &= \int_{E/B} 4v^2 x_i^2 + 4 \int_{E/B} v(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) x_i^2 + \int_{E/B} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2 x_i^2 \\ &= 4G_i^2 + 4 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2 + 4B_i G_i + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} (4D_{ij}^2 G_i + 4D_{ji}^2 G_j - 4D_{ij}^2 D_{ji}^2) + B_i^2 \\ &+ 2B_i \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + \left(\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2\right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ji}^2 B_j + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k \ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} D_{jk}^2 D_{jk}^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ji}^4 D_{ji}^4 \\ \end{split}$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

$$\begin{split} &= \left(B_{i} - \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2} + 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2B_{i}^{2} - 2B_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} B_{i} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ik}^{2}\right) + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j} - 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2}\right) - 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} \\ &+ B_{i}^{2} + 2B_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} + \left(\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2} + \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j}^{2} \\ &= \left(B_{i} - \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2} + 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 3B_{i}^{2} - 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ik}^{2}\right) + 3\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j}^{2} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2}\right) - 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2B_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} + \left(\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2} \\ &+ 2\sum_{j,k} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} \\ &+ 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2}\right) - 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} - 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ik}^{2}\right) \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2}\right) - 4\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij}^{4} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{4} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{4} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{4} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} B_{j} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{4} \\ &- 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{j \neq i} D_{ji}^{4} \\ &- 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} B_{ji} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{ji}^{2} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{ji}^{2} D_{jk}^{2} + 2\sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} D_{$$

So we have shown

(5-2)
$$\int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2 = 4B_i^2 + 3\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ji}^2 B_j.$$

David Baraglia

Next, take the equality

$$e^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (3x_{j}^{2} - B_{j})^{2} + \lambda = 3\sum_{j} B_{j}x_{j}^{2} + 9\sum_{j} C_{j}x_{j} + \sum_{j} B_{j}^{2} + \lambda,$$

multiply both sides by x_i^2 and integrate to obtain

$$\int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2 = 3 \int_{E/B} B_i x_i^4 + 3 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} B_j x_i^2 x_j^2 + 9 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} C_j D_{ij} + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} B_j^2 + B_i^2 + \lambda$$
$$= 4B_i^2 + 3 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} B_j \int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 + 9 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} C_j D_{ij} + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} B_j^2 + \lambda.$$

From Proposition 5.1, we find

$$\int_{E/B} x_i^2 x_j^2 = D_{ij}^2 + D_{ji}^2,$$

so

$$\begin{split} \int_{E/B} e^2 x_i^2 &= 4B_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3B_j D_{ij}^2 + 3B_j D_{ji}^2 + 9C_j D_{ij} + B_j^2) + \lambda \\ &= 4B_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3B_j D_{ij}^2 + 3B_j D_{ji}^2 + 9D_{ij}^4 - 9D_{ij}^2 B_j + B_j^2) + \lambda \\ &= 4B_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (9D_{ij}^4 - 6D_{ij}^2 B_j + B_j^2 + 3B_j D_{ji}^2) + \lambda \\ &= 4B_i^2 + 3\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} B_j D_{ji}^2 + \left(\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^2 + \lambda\right), \end{split}$$

where we made use of Proposition 4.5 to replace C_j by $D_{ij}^3 - D_{ij}B_j$. Comparing with (5-2), it follows that

$$\lambda = -\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^2.$$

The next result says that the tautological classes with even powers of e can be written as a sum of n terms, where the ith term only involves x_i .

Proposition 5.3 For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we set

$$p_1(i) = 3x_i^2 + 2B_i, \quad e(i) = 3x_i^2 - B_i.$$

Then, for all $a, b \ge 0$,

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}} = \int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b} = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b}.$$

Proof Consider the polynomials

 $P_1(t_1,...,t_n) = 3(t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2) + \mu$ and $P_2(t_1,...,t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (3t_i^2 - B_i)^2 + \lambda$.

Then

$$p_1 = P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

by Proposition 4.6, and

$$e^2 = P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

by Proposition 5.2. Therefore,

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}} = \int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b} = \int_{E/B} P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^a P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)^b.$$

Next we find that

$$(P_1)_i(x_i) = P_1(D_{i1}, \dots, D_{i(i-1)}, x_i, D_{i(i+1)}, \dots, D_{in})$$

= $3x_i^2 + 3\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + \mu = 3x_i^2 + 2B_i = p_1(i),$

where the second-to-last equality was obtained using Proposition 4.7.

Also,

$$(P_2)_i(x_i) = P_1(D_{i1}, \dots, D_{i(i-1)}, x_i, D_{i(i+1)}, \dots, D_{in})$$

= $(3x_i^2 - B_i)^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^2 + \lambda = (3x_i^2 - B_i)^2 = e(i)^2,$

where we used Proposition 5.2. Combining these results with the integration formula (Proposition 5.1), we get

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}} = \int_{E/B} P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^a P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)^b$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} (P_1)_j^a(x_i)(P_2)_j^b(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b}.$$

Next we would like to consider tautological classes with an odd power of e. Since Proposition 5.2 lets us write e^2 in terms of the x_i , we just need to consider integrands of the form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)e$, where f is a polynomial. The next proposition gives a formula for the evaluation of such integrals.

Proposition 5.4 (second integration formula) Let $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ be a polynomial in $t_1, ..., t_n$ with coefficients in $H^{ev}(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Then, for each $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$,

(5-3)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) e(j) - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} f_j(D_{ij}).$$

Proof Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then, from

$$x_i^2 = \nu + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} x_j + G_i,$$

we get

$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)v = \int_{E/B} f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \bigg(x_i^2 - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij} x_j - G_i \bigg).$$

The integrand on the right-hand side is a polynomial in x_1, \ldots, x_n with coefficients in $H^{\text{ev}}(B; \mathbb{Q})$, so, from Proposition 5.1, we have

(5-4)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) v = \int_{E/B} f_i(x_i) \left(x_i^2 - \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 - G_i \right) + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} f_j(x_j) \left(D_{ji}^2 - D_{ij}x_j - \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} D_{ik}D_{jk} - G_i \right).$$

Using Proposition 5.1 again, we also have

(5-5)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = \int_{E/B} f_i(x_i) \left(x_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) \left(x_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \neq j}} D_{jk}^2 \right).$$

Now, from Proposition 4.9, we have $e = 2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. Together with (5-4) and (5-5), this implies

(5-6)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{j} = \int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) (2\nu + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = \int_{E/B} f_i(x_i) \left(3x_i^2 - 2G_i - \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) \left(x_j^2 + 3D_{ji}^2 - 2G_i - 2\sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} D_{ik} D_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i, j}} D_{jk}^2 - 2D_{ij} x_j \right).$$

Note that

(5-7)
$$3x_i^2 - 2G_i - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 = 3x_i^2 - B_i = e(i).$$

Also,

(5-8)
$$x_{j}^{2} + 3D_{ji}^{2} - 2G_{i} - 2\sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} D_{ik}D_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} D_{jk}^{2} - 2D_{ij}x_{j}$$
$$= x_{j}^{2} - 2D_{ij}x_{j} + 3D_{ji}^{2} - B_{i} + D_{ij}^{2} + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} (D_{ik}^{2} - 2D_{ik}D_{jk} + D_{jk}^{2}).$$

Combining (4-12) with $B_i = 2G_i + \sum_{j \mid j \neq i} D_{ij}^2$, we have

$$B_i + B_j = 3D_{ij}^2 + 3D_{ji}^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \neq i, j}} (D_{ik}^2 - 2D_{ik}D_{jk} + D_{jk}^2).$$

Substituting this into (5-8), we get

(5-9)
$$x_j^2 + 3D_{ji}^2 - 2G_i - 2\sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} D_{ik}D_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{k \ k \neq i,j}} D_{jk}^2 - 2D_{ij}x_j$$

$$= x_j^2 - 2D_{ij}x_j - 2D_{ij}^2 + B_j$$
$$= e(j) - 2(x_j^2 + D_{ij}x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j).$$

Substituting (5-7) and (5-9) into (5-6), we get

(5-10)
$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{j} = \int_{E/B} f_i(x_i) e(i) + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) (e(j) - 2(x_j^2 + D_{ij}x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) e(j) - 2\sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) (x_j^2 + D_{ij}x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j).$$

Now we claim that, for any integer $m \ge 0$,

$$\int_{E/B} x_j^m (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j) = D_{ij}^m$$

We prove this by induction on m. For m = 0, 1, this is obvious. For m = 2, we have

$$\int_{E/B} x_j^2 (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j) = \left(\int_{E/B} x_j^4 \right) + D_{ij}^2 - B_j = B_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j = D_{ij}^2.$$

Now suppose $m \ge 3$ and that the result holds for all $m' \le m$. Then, since $x_j^3 = B_j x_j + C_j$ and $D_{ij}^3 = B_j D_{ij} + C_j$, we find

$$\begin{split} &\int_{E/B} x_j^m (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij} - B_j) \\ &= \int_{E/B} x_j^{m-3} (B_j x_j + C_j) (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij} - B_j) \\ &= B_j \int_{E/B} x_j^{m-2} (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij} - B_j) + C_j \int_{E/B} x_j^{m-3} (x_j^2 + D_{ij} x_j + D_{ij} - B_j) \\ &= B_j D_{ij}^{m-2} + C_j D_{ij}^{m-3} \\ &= (B_j D_{ij} + C_j) D_{ij}^{m-3} \\ &= D_{ij}^m, \end{split}$$

which completes the induction. As a consequence, it follows that

$$\int_{E/B} f_j(x_j)(x_j^2 + D_{ij}x_j + D_{ij}^2 - B_j) = f_j(D_{ij}).$$

Applying this to (5-10), we get

$$\int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} f_j(x_j) e(j) - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} f_j(D_{ij}).$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

The next result is the counterpart of Proposition 5.3 for odd powers of e.

Proposition 5.5 For all *a* and *b*,

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b+1}} = \int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b+1}$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b} - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 + 2B_j)^a (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^{2b}$

Proof As in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we write

$$p_1 = P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
 and $e^2 = P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

where

$$P_1(t_1,...,t_n) = 3(t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2) + \mu$$
 and $P_2(t_1,...,t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (3t_i^2 - B_i)^2 + \lambda$.

Then we apply Proposition 5.4 to $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = P_1(x_1, ..., x_n)^a P_2(x_1, ..., x_n)^b$ to obtain

$$\int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b+1} = \int_{E/B} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(j)^a e(j)^{2b+1} - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} p_j(D_{ij})^a e_j(D_{ij})^{2b}$
= $\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(j)^a e(j)^{2b+1} - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 + 2B_j)^a (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^{2b}.$

In the next result, we show that μ can be written in terms of tautological classes.

Proposition 5.6 We have

$$\kappa_{e^2} = 3 \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_j$$
 and $\kappa_{p_1 e} = \frac{8}{3} \kappa_{e^2} + 2\mu$.

Therefore,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{p_1e} - \frac{4}{3}\kappa_{e^2}.$$

Proof From Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\kappa_{e^2} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} (3x_j^2 - B_j)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} (9x_j^4 - 6B_j x_j^2 + B_j^2)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n (9B_j - 6B_j) = 3\sum_{j=1}^n B_j.$$

From Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{p_1e} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} (3x_j^2 + 2B_j)(3x_j^2 - B_j) - 2\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 + 2B_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E/B} (9x_j^4 + 3B_j x_j^2 - 2B_j^2) - 6\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 - 4\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}}^n B_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n 12B_j - 4\sum_{j=1}^n B_j + 4B_i - 4B_i + 2\mu \\ &= 8\sum_{i=1}^n B_j + 2\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last equality follows from Proposition 4.7. Therefore,

$$\kappa_{p_1 e} = 8 \sum_{j=1}^n B_j + 2\mu = \frac{8}{3} \kappa_{e^2} + 2\mu.$$

Let $U_X \to BDiff(X)$ denote the universal family $U_X = EDiff(X) \times_{Diff(X)} X$ over BDiff(X) and let $\overline{U}_X = p^*(U_X)$ be the pullback of the universal family to $\overline{BDiff}(X)$. Then, as in Section 2, the rational cohomology ring $H^*(\overline{U}_X; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated over $H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ by classes $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in H^2(\overline{U}_X; \mathbb{Q})$. By the universal coefficient theorem, rational cohomology classes of $\overline{BDiff}(X)$ are detected by their evaluation on integral homology classes. By a result of Thom [21], for any integral homology class $x \in H_k(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Z})$, there is a nonzero integer N such that Nx is the pushforward of the fundamental class of a compact, oriented smooth manifold M of dimension k under a continuous map $f: M \to \overline{BDiff}(X)$. Hence, rational cohomology classes of $\overline{BDiff}(X)$. From this it follows that all of the results in Sections 4 and 5 for smooth,

683

compact families carry over to $\overline{U}_X \to \overline{BDiff}(X)$. In particular, there are classes

$$D_{ij} \in H^{2}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}), \quad J_{i} \in H^{6}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$G_{i} \in H^{4}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}), \quad \omega \in H^{8}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i}x_{j} &= D_{ij}x_{i} + D_{ji}x_{j} - D_{ij}D_{ji}, \quad x_{i}v = G_{i}x_{i} - \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}D_{ji}x_{j} + J_{i}, \\ x_{i}^{2} &= v + \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}x_{j} + G_{i}, \qquad v^{2} = \sum_{j} J_{j}x_{j} + \omega. \end{aligned}$$

We also define $\mu \in H^4(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ to be given by $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{p_1e} - \frac{4}{3}\kappa_{e^2}$. We also have

$$x_i^3 = B_i x_i + C_i$$

where

$$B_{i} = 2G_{i} + \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2} \in H^{2}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$C_{i} = J_{i} - \sum_{\substack{j \ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2} D_{ji} \in H^{3}(\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X); \mathbb{Q}).$$

The classes x_1, \ldots, x_n (and therefore also the classes D_{ij} , G_i , J_i , ω , B_i and C_i) depend on a choice of framing $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ for the family \overline{U}_X . Recall that the group

$$W_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n$$

acts on the set of framings by permutations and sign changes. The group W_n acts on $\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X)$ and \overline{U}_X on the right, inducing left actions on $H^*(\overline{B\text{Diff}}(X);\mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\overline{U}_X;\mathbb{Q})$. This action corresponds to a change of framing; in particular, it follows that

$$\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in S_n \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ -x_j & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

It also follows that W_n acts on all of the associated classes D_{ij} , G_i , J_i , ω , B_i and C_i . Noting that

$$D_{ij} = \int_{E_X/B} x_i^2 x_j,$$

one finds that the action of W_n on the D_{ij} is given by

$$\sigma(D_{ij}) = D_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} \text{ for } \sigma \in S_n \text{ and } \theta_k(D_{ij}) = \begin{cases} D_{ij} & \text{if } k \neq j, \\ -D_{ij} & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.7 Let X be a smooth, compact, simply connected, positive-definite 4– manifold with $b_2(X) = n \ge 1$. We denote by $D^*(X)$ the subring of $H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the D_{ij} . Note that W_n acts on $D^*(X)$ by ring automorphisms. We let $I^*(X) \subseteq D^*(X)$ denote the W_n -invariant subring of $D^*(X)$.

Remark 5.8 Recall (see the comment preceding Remark 2.1) that the W_n -invariant subring of $H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ is $H^*(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore, $I^*(X)$ may be identified with a subring of $H^*(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q})$:

$$I^*(X) \subseteq H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(X);\mathbb{Q}).$$

Lemma 5.9 $I^k(X)$ is nonzero only if k is a multiple of 4. Moreover, $I^4(X)$ is spanned by I_1 and I_2 , where

$$I_1 = \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}} D_{ij}^2, \quad I_2 = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} D_{ik} D_{jk}.$$

Proof Since the D_{ij} have degree 2, $I^*(X)$ is concentrated in even degrees. Suppose k = 2m. Any element in $I^{2m}(X)$ is a linear combination of monomials

$$D_{i_1j_1}D_{i_2j_2}\cdots D_{i_mj_m}.$$

The subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \subset W_n$ sends each such monomial to plus or minus itself. Therefore, any element of $D^{2m}(X)$ must be a linear combination consisting only of monomials that are \mathbb{Z}_2^n -invariant. However, it is clear that

$$\theta_k(D_{i_1j_1}D_{i_2j_2}\cdots D_{i_mj_m}) = (-1)^{\epsilon_k} D_{i_1j_1}D_{i_2j_2}\cdots D_{i_mj_m},$$

where ϵ_k is the number of $a \in \{1, ..., m\}$ for which $j_a = k$. Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k = m.$$

This means that the product $\theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_m$ acts on $D^{2m}(X)$ by $(-1)^m$. Hence, $I^{2m}(X) = 0$ for *m* odd and so $I^*(X)$ is concentrated in degrees divisible by 4.

Any element of $D^4(X)$ is a quadratic polynomial in the D_{ij} . Any invariant element of $D^4(X)$ must be a linear combination of monomials $D_{i_1j_1}D_{i_2j_2}$ that are \mathbb{Z}_2^n -invariant. Such a monomial $D_{i_1j_1}D_{i_2j_2}$ is \mathbb{Z}_2^n -invariant if and only if $j_1 = j_2$. Thus, any element of $I^4(X)$ is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$D_{ij}^2$$
 $(i \neq j)$ or $D_{ik}D_{jk}$ $(i, j, k \text{ distinct}).$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

The symmetric group S_n acts on such monomials with precisely two orbits. It follows that $I^4(X)$ is spanned by

$$I_1 = \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}} D_{ij}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} D_{ik} D_{jk}. \qquad \Box$$

Lemma 5.10 For $n \ge 2$,

$$\mu = -\frac{n-5}{n(n-1)}I_1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}I_2.$$

Proof From Proposition 4.7, we have

$$-2B_i + 3\sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 + \mu = 0.$$

Summing over all i and using Proposition 5.6, we have

(5-11)
$$-\frac{2}{3}\kappa_{e^2} + 3I_1 + n\mu = 0.$$

Next, recall that $B_i = 2G_i + \sum_{j \mid j \neq i} D_{ij}^2$. Summing over *i*, we get

(5-12)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i = \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{e^2} - \frac{1}{2} I_1.$$

Summing (4-12) over all $i \neq j$, we get

(5-13)
$$2(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}G_{i} + I_{2} = 2I_{1}.$$

Combining (5-12) and (5-13), we get

$$\frac{1}{3}\kappa_{e^2} = \frac{n+1}{n-1}I_1 - \frac{1}{n-1}I_2.$$

Note that we can divide by n - 1 because of the assumption that $n \ge 2$. Substituting this into (5-11), we get

$$n\mu = -\frac{n-5}{n-1}I_1 - \frac{2}{n-1}I_2.$$

Proposition 5.11 For $n \ge 2$,

$$B_{i} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^{2} - \frac{n-5}{2n(n-1)} I_{1} - \frac{1}{n-1} I_{2}, \quad C_{i} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (D_{ji}^{3} - B_{i} D_{ji}).$$

Moreover, $B_i, C_i, G_i \in D^*(X)$ and $\omega \in I^8(X)$.

Proof Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 5.10 together imply that

$$B_i = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} D_{ij}^2 - \frac{n-5}{2n(n-1)} I_1 - \frac{1}{n-1} I_2 \in D^4(X).$$

Proposition 4.5 gives $C_i = D_{ji}^3 - B_i D_{ji}$ for all $j \neq i$. Averaging over j for $j \neq i$ gives

$$C_{i} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (D_{ji}^{3} - B_{i} D_{ji}) \in D^{6}(X).$$

(It is not necessary to average over j in order to show $C_i \in D^6(X)$, but this makes the expression for C_i symmetric). Now, from (4-15) and (4-16), it follows that $G_i, J_i \in D^*(X)$. Lastly, if we take (4-14) and average over i, we obtain $\omega \in I^8(X)$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.5 We have already constructed the classes

$$D_{ij} \in H^2(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q}), \quad 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j,$$

and the group W_n acts on the D_{ij} as specified in part (i) of the theorem. Part (ii) was explained in Remark 5.8. For part (iii), first note that the tautological classes are W_n -invariant because they lie in $H^*(BDiff(X); \mathbb{Q})$, which is the W_n -invariant part of $H^*(\overline{BDiff}(X); \mathbb{Q})$. Thus, it suffices to show that each tautological class $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ belongs to $D^*(X)$. From Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, it follows that each tautological class $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ can be written as a polynomial in D_{ij} , B_i and C_i . But, from Proposition 5.11, we have $B_i, C_i \in D^*(X)$, and of course $D_{ij} \in D^*(X)$. Hence, $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b} \in D^*(X)$.

For each pair of nonnegative integers *a* and *b*, we define a two-variable polynomial $\phi_{a,b}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ as follows: Let

$$p(z) = z^3 - xz - y, \quad p'(z) = 3z^2 - x.$$

Then we define

$$\phi_{a,b}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{(p'(z) + 3x)^a (p'(z))^b}{p(z)} \, dz$$

where the contour encloses all zeros of p(z). Since $(p'(z) + 3x)^{a+1}(p'(z))^b = (p'(z) + 3x)^a p'(z)^{b+1} + 3x(p'(z))^a (p'(z))^b$,

(5-14)
$$\phi_{a+1,b}(x,y) = \phi_{a,b+1}(x,y) + 3x\phi_{a,b}(x,y).$$

By a direct computation, one finds that

$$(p'(z))^3 = 3x(p'(z))^2 + (27y^2 - 4x^3) + 27(p(z))^2 + 54yp(z).$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

Multiplying both sides by $(p'(z) + 3x)^a (p'(z))^b$ and taking contour integrals, we see that

$$\phi_{a,b+3}(x,y) = 3x\phi_{a,b+2}(x,y) + (27y^2 - 4x^3)\phi_{a,b}(x,y) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (p'(z) + 3x)^a (p'(z))^b (27p(z) + 54y) dz.$$

But the integrand is holomorphic, so the integral is zero, giving the recursive formula

(5-15)
$$\phi_{a,b+3}(x,y) = 3x\phi_{a,b+2}(x,y) + (27y^2 - 4x^3)\phi_{a,b}(x,y)$$

The recursive relations (5-14)–(5-15) can be used to compute $\phi_{a,b}$ recursively from $\phi_{0,0}$, $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{0,2}$, which we now compute. Since $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ is a polynomial in x and y, it suffices to compute the value of $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ as a function of (x, y) on an open subset of \mathbb{C}^2 . Assume that the discriminant $4x^3 - 27y^2$ is nonzero, so that p(z) has distinct roots λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 . Then $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)(z - \lambda_3)$, where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$, $\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 = -x$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = y$. Then, by the residue theorem,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{0,0}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{p'(\lambda_1)} + \frac{1}{p'(\lambda_2)} + \frac{1}{p'(\lambda_3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)} + \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)} + \frac{1}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)} ((\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

By the argument principle,

$$\phi_{0,1}(x,y) = 3,$$

and, by the residue theorem again,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{0,2}(x,y) &= p'(\lambda_1) + p'(\lambda_2) + p'(\lambda_3) \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) \\ &= \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_2 - \lambda_1\lambda_3 - \lambda_2\lambda_3 \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)^2 - 3(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3) \\ &= 3x. \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6 From Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, we have

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b}} = \int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b} = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{p_1^a e^{2b+1}} &= \int_{E/B} p_1^a e^{2b+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b} - 2 \sum_{\substack{j \\ j \neq i}} (3D_{ij}^2 + 2B_j)^a (3D_{ij}^2 - B_j)^{2b}. \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to show that

(5-16)
$$\int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b} = \phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i).$$

To prove (5-16) for all $a, b \ge 0$, it suffices to show that both sides of the equation satisfy the same recursion relations and same initial conditions. For convenience, let us set

$$\kappa_{a,b,i} = \int_{E/B} p_1(i)^a e(i)^{2b} = \int_{E/B} (3x_i^2 + 2B_i)^a (3x_i^2 - B_i)^b.$$

Then we need to show that $\kappa_{a,b,i} = \phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i)$ for all $a, b \ge 0$ and all *i*. Clearly,

$$\kappa_{0,0,i} = 0 = \phi_{0,0}(B_i, C_i), \quad \kappa_{0,1,i} = 3 = \phi_{0,1}(B_i, C_i)$$

and

$$\kappa_{0,2,i} = \int_{E/B} (3x_i^2 - B)^2 = \int_{E/B} (9x_i^4 - 6Bx_i^2 + B_i^2) = 3B_i = \phi_{0,2}(B_i, C_i).$$

So $\kappa_{a,b,i} = \phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i)$ for (a, b) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2). Next, from $(p_1)_i = e_i + 3B_i$, we see that

$$\kappa_{a+1,b,i} = \kappa_{a,b+1,i} + 3B_i \kappa_{a,b,i}$$

Lastly, a short calculation shows that

$$e(i)^3 = 3B_i e(i)^2 + 27C_i^2 - 4B_i^3,$$

so that

$$\kappa_{a,b+3,i} = 3B_i \kappa_{a,b+2,i} + (27C_i^2 - 4B_i^3)\kappa_{a,b,i}$$

Hence, $\kappa_{a,b,i}$ satisfies the same recursive relations and initial conditions as $\phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i)$, so $\kappa_{a,b,i} = \phi_{a,b}(B_i, C_i)$ for all $a, b \ge 0$ and all i.

Remark 5.12 From Lemma 5.10 and Proposition 4.6, it follows that p_1 can be completely expressed in terms of the classes x_1, \ldots, x_n and $\{D_{ij}\}$. Therefore, p_1 depends only on the underlying topological structure of the family $\pi: E \to B$, because the classes x_1, \ldots, x_n and $\{D_{ij}\}$ are uniquely characterised in terms of the pushforward map $\pi_*: H^*(E; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{*-4}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ (the classes x_1, \ldots, x_n and $\{D_{ij}\}$ also depend on

a choice of framing, but p_1 is clearly W_n -invariant, so does not depend on this choice). It is also clear that *e* depends only on the underlying topological structure of the family. Therefore, the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E)$ depend only on the topological structure of the family. As mentioned in the introduction, this also follows from the fact that the tautological classes are also defined for topological bundles [6, Theorem B].

6 \mathbb{CP}^2

In this section we specialise to the case n = 1. Amongst other results, we completely determine the tautological ring of \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Theorem 6.1 Let $E \to B$ be a smooth family with fibres diffeomorphic to X, where X is a smooth, compact, simply connected, positive-definite 4–manifold with $b_2(X) = 1$. Suppose that the family has structure group $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ and let $\xi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be a framing. Let $x \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ be the unique class such that $x|_X = \xi$ and $\int_{E/B} x^3 = 0$. Then there exist classes $B \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$, $C \in H^6(B; \mathbb{Q})$ such that:

(i) There is an isomorphism of $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ -algebras

 $H^*(E;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(B;\mathbb{Q})[x]/(x^3 - Bx - C).$

(ii) The Euler class and first Pontryagin classes of T(E/B) are given by

$$e = 3x^2 - B, \quad p_1 = 3x^2 + 2B.$$

(iii) For all $a, b \ge 0$,

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E) = \phi_{a,b}(B,C)$$

Proof (i) is immediate from Proposition 4.4. From Proposition 4.7, we have $\mu = 2B$. Then Proposition 4.6 gives

$$p_1 = 3x^2 + \mu = 3x^2 + 2B.$$

From (4-15) and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$x^2 = v + G,$$

where $G = \frac{1}{2}B$. Then, from Proposition 4.9, we have

$$e = 2v + x^2 = (2x^2 - B) + x^2 = 3x^2 - B.$$

This proves (ii). From (ii), it follows that

$$\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E) = \int_{E/B} (3x^2 + 2B)^a (3x^2 - B)^b.$$

Using the exact same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\kappa_{p_a^a e^b}(E) = \phi_{a,b}(B,C).$$

Note in particular that

$$\kappa_{p_1^2}(E) = 21B, \quad \kappa_{p_1^4}(E) = 81C^2 + 609B^3.$$

Theorem 6.2 The tautological ring of \mathbb{CP}^2 is isomorphic to a polynomial ring generated by $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^4}$:

$$R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^4}].$$

Proof As explained in the introduction, if a relation amongst tautological classes holds in $R^*(E)$ for all smooth compact \mathbb{CP}^2 families $E \to B$, then it must also hold in $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$. Furthermore, since the map $H^*(BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(BDiff_0(\mathbb{CP}^2); \mathbb{Q})$ is injective, we can further restrict to families with structure group $Diff_0(\mathbb{CP}^2)$. From Theorem 6.1, we see that every tautological class $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E)$ is a polynomial in B and C. In fact, by comparing degrees, we see that only even powers of C can occur and hence $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E)$ is a polynomial in B and C^2 . Next, since

 $\kappa_{p_1^2}(E) = 21B, \quad \kappa_{p_1^4}(E) = 81C^2 + 609B^3,$

we see that B and C^2 can be expressed as

$$B = \frac{1}{21} \kappa_{p_1^2}(E), \quad C^2 = \frac{1}{81} \kappa_{p_1^4}(E) - \frac{203}{27} \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{p_1^2}(E) 1\right)^3.$$

Hence, every tautological class can be written as a polynomial in $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^4}$. To complete the proof it remains to check that there are no relations between $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^4}$. To show this, consider families of the form $E = \mathbb{P}(V)$, the bundle of projective spaces underlying a complex rank 3 vector bundle of the form $V = L \oplus M \oplus (L^*M^*)$ for two line bundles $L, M \to B$. If $c_1(L) = l$ and $c_1(M) = m$, then one finds

$$B = l^2 + m^2 + lm, \quad C = lm(l+m)$$

So -B and -C are the second and third elementary symmetric polynomials in l, m and -l - m. It follows that there can be no relation between B and C that holds for all line bundles L and M on all B and hence there can be no relation between $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^4}$.

Geometry & Topology, Volume 27 (2023)

Following [11; 9], we consider variants $R^*(X, *)$ and $R^*(X, D^4)$ of the tautological ring, which are defined as follows. Let Diff(X, *) be the subgroup of Diff(X) fixing a point and $\text{Diff}(X, D^4)$ the subgroup which acts as the identity on an open disc $D^4 \subset X$. There are obvious inclusions

(6-1)
$$\operatorname{Diff}(X, D^4) \to \operatorname{Diff}(X, *) \to \operatorname{Diff}(X)$$

and a homomorphism $s: \operatorname{Diff}(X, *) \to \operatorname{GL}_+(4, \mathbb{R})$ which sends a diffeomorphism of X to its derivative at the marked point. For each $c \in H^*(B\operatorname{GL}_+(4, \mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong$ $H^*(B\operatorname{SO}(4); \mathbb{Q})$, we can take its pullback $s^*(c) \in H^*(B\operatorname{Diff}(X, *); \mathbb{Q})$. We define $R^*(X, *)$ to be the subring of $H^*(B\operatorname{Diff}(X, *); \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the $s^*(c)$ together with the pullback to $B\operatorname{Diff}(X, *)$ of all tautological classes κ_c . We similarly define $R^*(X, D^4)$ to be the subring of $H^*(B\operatorname{Diff}(X, D^4); \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the pullback to $B\operatorname{Diff}(X, D^4)$ of all tautological classes κ_c . The inclusions (6-1) give ring homomorphisms

$$R^*(X) \xrightarrow{f} R^*(X, *) \xrightarrow{g} R^*(X, D^4)$$

whose composition is surjective.

Theorem 6.3 We have ring isomorphisms:

- (1) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \cong \mathbb{Q}[p_1, e].$
- (2) $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$

Proof First note that we can identify $BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ with the universal bundle $U_X \to BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ because $Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ is a closed subgroup of $Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2)$, and so

$$BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) = EDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2) / Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$$

= $EDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times_{Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2)} (Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2) / Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *))$
= $EDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times_{Diff(\mathbb{CP}^2)} \mathbb{CP}^2 = U_X.$

So we can think of $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ as the subring of $H^*(U_X; \mathbb{Q})$ generated by p_1, e and the pullback of all tautological classes. Note that the pullback

$$\pi^*: H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{CP}^2); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(U_X; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective because

$$\int_{U_X/B\text{Diff}(\mathbb{CP}^2)} \pi^*(w)e = \chi(\mathbb{CP}^2)w = 3w$$

for any $w \in H^*(BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2); \mathbb{Q})$. So the tautological classes pulled back to U_X generate a ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[u, v]$, where $u = \kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $v = \kappa_{p_1^4}$, by Theorem 6.2. From Theorem 6.1, we have that $e = p_1 - 3B = p_1 - \frac{1}{7}\kappa_{p_1^2}$. Therefore, $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ is generated by $\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^4}$ and p_1 . Next, one can check directly from Theorem 6.1 that

(6-2)
$$p_1^3 = \frac{4}{7}\kappa_{p_1^2}p_1^2 - \frac{5}{49}\kappa_{p_1^2}p_1 - \frac{17}{1029}(\kappa_{p_1^2})^3 + \frac{1}{3}\kappa_{p_1^4}.$$

We claim that $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ is a free $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ -module with basis $\{1, p_1, p_1^2\}$. The fact that $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ is generated by $\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^4}$ and p_1 together with (6-2) implies that $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *)$ is generated as an $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ -module by 1, p_1 and p_2 . We need to check linear independence. Suppose that

(6-3)
$$a(u,v) + b(u,v)p_1 + c(u,v)p_1^2 = 0$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}[u, v]$. Note that

$$\kappa_{p_1^2} = u, \quad \kappa_{p_1^3} = \frac{13}{49}u^2, \quad \kappa_{p_1^4} = v.$$

Integrating over the fibres, we get 3b + uc = 0, so $b = -\frac{1}{3}uc$. Multiplying (6-3) by p_1 and integrating, we get $3a - \frac{1}{3}u^2c + \frac{13}{49}u^2c = 0$, and hence $a = \frac{10}{441}u^2c$. Multiplying (6-3) by p_1^2 and integrating, we get

$$0 = \frac{10}{441}u^3c - \frac{13}{147}u^3c + vc = \left(v - \frac{29}{441}u^3\right)c.$$

Hence, c = 0, which also implies a = b = 0, proving the claim that 1, p_1 and p_1^2 are linearly independent over $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$. Thus,

$$R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2,*) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2},\kappa_{p_1^4},p_1]/(p_1^3 - \frac{4}{7}\kappa_{p_1^2}p_1^2 + \frac{5}{49}\kappa_{p_1^2}p_1 + \frac{17}{1029}(\kappa_{p_1^2})^3 - \frac{1}{3}\kappa_{p_1^4}).$$

Using the relation (6-2), we can solve for $\kappa_{p_1^4}$ in terms of $\kappa_{p_1^2}$; hence, $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, p_1]$. Then, using $e = p_1 - \frac{1}{7}\kappa_{p_1^2}$, we have that $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, p_1] \cong \mathbb{Q}[p_1, e]$.

Consider the ring $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$. Since the composition $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2) \to R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$ is surjective, to show $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4) = \mathbb{Q}$ it suffices to show that the image of $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$ is \mathbb{Q} . Recall that $g: R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$ is the homomorphism induced by $BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to BDiff(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$. It follows that $g(p_1) = g(e) = 0$ because the composition

$$\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4) \to \operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to \operatorname{SO}(4)$$

is a constant map. But we have just shown that $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \cong \mathbb{Q}[p_1, e]$; hence, the image of $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, *) \to R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2, D^4)$ is \mathbb{Q} , as claimed. \Box

7 $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$

In this section, we specialise to the case that $n = b_2(X) = 2$ and set $D_1 = D_{12}$ and $D_2 = D_{21}$.

Lemma 7.1 Each class $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ is a symmetric polynomial in D_1^2 and D_2^2 .

Proof From Proposition 4.4, we have

$$x_1^3 = B_1 x_1 + C_1, \quad x_2^3 = B_2 x_2 + C_2,$$

where

$$B_1 = 2G_1 + D_1^2$$
, $B_2 = 2G_2 + D_2^2$, $C_1 = J_1 - D_1^2 D_2$, $C_2 = J_2 - D_2^2 D_1$.

Equation (4-12) gives $G_1 + G_2 = D_1^2 + D_2^2$ and hence

(7-1)
$$B_1 + B_2 = 3D_1^2 + 3D_2^2.$$

Proposition 4.7 gives

$$2B_1 - 3D_1^2 = \mu = 2B_2 - 3D_2^2$$

and hence

(7-2)
$$2(B_1 - B_2) = 3D_1^2 - 3D_2^2.$$

Equations (7-1) and (7-2) give

$$B_1 = \frac{9}{4}D_1^2 + \frac{3}{4}D_2^2, \quad B_2 = \frac{9}{4}D_2^2 + \frac{3}{4}D_1^2.$$

From Proposition 4.5, we get

$$C_1 = D_2^3 - D_2 B_1 = D_2^3 - \frac{9}{4} D_1^2 D_2 - \frac{3}{4} D_2^3 = \frac{1}{4} D_2 (D_2^2 - 9D_1^2).$$

Similarly,

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{4}D_1(D_1^2 - 9D_2^2).$$

Therefore,

$$C_1^2 = \frac{1}{16}D_2^2(D_2^2 - 9D_1^2)^2, \quad C_2^2 = \frac{1}{16}D_1^2(D_1^2 - 9D_2^2)^2$$

Notice that B_1 , B_2 , C_1^2 and C_2^2 are all polynomials in D_1^2 and D_2^2 . Then, from Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, it follows that each tautological class $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ is a polynomial in D_1^2 and D_2^2 . By averaging if necessary, we have that $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ is given by a symmetric polynomial in D_1^2 and D_2^2 .

From Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\kappa_{p_1^2} = 21(B_1 + B_2) = 63(D_1^2 + D_2^2).$$

and

$$\kappa_{p_1^3} = 117(B_1^2 + B_2^2) = \frac{1053}{8}(5(D_1^2 + D_2^2) - 4D_1^2D_2^2).$$

It follows that the tautological ring contains all symmetric polynomials in D_1^2 and D_2^2 and is generated by $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^3}$.

Theorem 7.2 The tautological ring of $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring generated by $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^3}$:

$$R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{p_1^2}, \kappa_{p_1^3}].$$

Proof As in the proof of Theorem 6.2, if a relation amongst tautological classes holds in $R^*(E)$ for all smooth compact \mathbb{CP}^2 families $E \to B$ with structure group $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2)$, then it holds in the tautological ring $R^*(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2)$. We have already seen that the tautological ring is generated by κ_{p^2} and $\kappa_{p_1^3}$, or equivalently by $D_1^2 + D_2^2$ and $D_1^2 D_2^2$. So it remains to show that there are no relations between D_1 and D_2 .

Consider first a \mathbb{CP}^2 family of the form $E_1 = \mathbb{P}(V_1)$, where V_1 is a complex rank 3 vector bundle of the form $V_1 = L \oplus M \oplus \mathbb{C}$ for two line bundles $L, M \to B$. This family has an obvious section $s_1 : B \to E_1$ corresponding to the \mathbb{C} summand of V_1 . The normal bundle of s_1 is $L \oplus M$. Similarly, let $E_2 = \mathbb{P}(V_2)$, where $V_2 = L \oplus M^* \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Then E_2 has an obvious section $s_2 : B \to E_2$ corresponding to the \mathbb{C} summand of V_2 . The normal bundle of s_2 is $L \oplus M^*$. Note that the underlying real vector bundles of $L \oplus M$ and $L \oplus M^*$ are isomorphic, but inherit opposite orientations from the complex structures on L and M. Therefore, we can remove tubular neighbourhoods of s_1 and s_2 from E_1 and E_2 and identify the boundaries of the resulting spaces by an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism to obtain the families connected sum $E = E_1 \#_B E_2$. This is a smooth compact family with fibres diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $l = c_1(L)$ and $m = c_1(M)$. A straightforward calculation shows that D_1 and D_2 for the family E are given by

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{3}(l-m), \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{3}(l+m).$$

It follows that there can be no relation between D_1 and D_2 that holds for all line bundles *L* and *M* and hence there can be no relation between $\kappa_{p_1^2}$ and $\kappa_{p_1^3}$.

8 Linear relations in the tautological ring

Proof of Theorem 1.7 We first note that each of the polynomials $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ involves only even powers of y. This is clear from the recursive relations and initial conditions satisfied by the $\phi_{a,b}$. Note also that, if we set deg(x) = 2 and deg(y) = 3, then $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2(a + b - 1). The space of homogeneous polynomials in x and y^2 of degree 2(d - 1) has dimension $1 + \lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d - 1) \rfloor$. On the other hand, there are $1 + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$ pairs (a, b) with a + b = d and b even. Hence, there are at least

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \right\rfloor$$

linear relations amongst the polynomials $\phi_{a,b}(x, y)$ with a + b = d and b even. Any such linear relation may be written in the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \phi_{d-2j,2j}(x, y) = 0$$

for some $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now, from Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \kappa_{p_1^{d-2j} e^{2j}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{d-2j,2j}(B_i, C_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} c_j \phi_{d-2j,2j}(B_i, C_i) = 0.$$

Hence, we have at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \rfloor$ linear relations amongst the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ with *b* even and a + b = d. \Box

Remark 8.1 Since X is positive-definite, $H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^+(X)$ is a trivial bundle and $H^-(X) = 0$. So the families signature theorem implies that each component of $\int_{E/B} \mathcal{L}(T(E/B))$ of positive degree must vanish, where \mathcal{L} denotes the *L*-polynomial. Each component of $\mathcal{L}(T(E/B))$ is a polynomial in p_1 and $p_2 = e^2$, and so each component of $\int_{E/B} \mathcal{L}(T(E/B))$ is a tautological class. Equating these to zero gives linear relations in the tautological ring. From [19, page 3864], the first few such relations (up to d = 9) are

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \kappa_{p_1^2} - 7\kappa_{e^2}, \\ 0 &= 2\kappa_{p_1^3} - 13\kappa_{p_1e^2}, \\ 0 &= 3\kappa_{p_1^4} - 22\kappa_{p_1^2e^2} + 19\kappa_{e^4}, \\ 0 &= 10\kappa_{p_1^5} - 83\kappa_{p_1^3e^2} + 127\kappa_{p_1e^4} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 1382 \kappa_{p_1^6} - 12\,842 \kappa_{p_1^4 e^2} + 27\,635 \kappa_{p_1^2 e^4} - 8718 \kappa_{e^6}, \\ 0 &= 420 \kappa_{p_1^7} - 4322 \kappa_{p_1^5 e^2} + 11\,880 \kappa_{p_1^3 e^4} - 7978 \kappa_{p_1 e^6}, \\ 0 &= 10\,851 \kappa_{p_1^8} - 122\,508 \kappa_{p_1^6 e^2} + 407\,726 \kappa_{p_1^4 e^4} - 423\,040 \kappa_{p_1^2 e^6} + 68\,435 \kappa_{e^8}, \\ 0 &= 438\,670 \kappa_{p_1^9} - 5\,391\,213 \kappa_{p_1^7 e^2} + 20\,996\,751 \kappa_{p_1^5 e^4} - 29\,509\,334 \kappa_{p_1^3 e^6} + 11\,098\,737 \kappa_{p_1 e^8}. \end{split}$$

In general, for each $d \ge 2$, we obtain one linear relation amongst the tautological classes $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}$ with a + b = d and b even. Theorem 1.7 implies that there are further linear relations whenever $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{3}(d-1) \rfloor > 1$. This is the case if d = 6 or $d \ge 8$. By a direct computation, we find the first few such relations (up to d = 12) are

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 4\kappa_{p_1^4e^2} - 41\kappa_{p_1^2e^4} + 100\kappa_{e^6}, \\ 0 &= 36\kappa_{p_1^6e^2} - 461\kappa_{p_1^4e^4} + 1843\kappa_{p_1^2e^6} - 2300\kappa_{e^8}, \\ 0 &= 24\kappa_{p_1^7e^2} - 322\kappa_{p_1^5e^4} + 1379\kappa_{p_1^3e^6} - 1900\kappa_{p_1e^8}, \\ 0 &= 108\kappa_{p_1^8e^2} - 1579\kappa_{p_1^6e^4} + 7902\kappa_{p_1^4e^6} - 15\,531\kappa_{p_1^2e^8} + 9100\kappa_{e^{10}}, \\ 0 &= 360\kappa_{p_1^9e^2} - 5606\kappa_{p_1^7e^4} + 30\,923\kappa_{p_1^5e^6} - 71\,311\kappa_{p_1^3e^8} + 57\,100\kappa_{p_1e^{10}}, \\ 0 &= 144\kappa_{p_1^8e^4} - 2552\kappa_{p_1^6e^6} + 16\,629\kappa_{p_1^4e^8} - 47\,400\kappa_{p_1^2e^{10}} + 50\,000\kappa_{e^{12}}, \\ 0 &= 6000\kappa_{p_1^{10}e^2} - 98\,012\kappa_{p_1^8e^4} + 577\,796\kappa_{p_1^6e^6} - 1\,461\,667\kappa_{p_1^4e^8} + 1\,338\,700\kappa_{p_1^2e^{10}}. \end{split}$$

List of symbols

| X | Smooth, compact, simply connected, definite | Section 2 |
|--|--|-----------|
| | 4–manifold. | |
| п | $n = b_2(X)$, the second Betti number of X. | Section 2 |
| Λ_n | The lattice \mathbb{Z}^n with the Euclidean inner product. | Section 2 |
| e_1,\ldots,e_n | The standard basis of Λ_n . | Section 2 |
| W_n | The isometry group of Λ_n , $W_n \cong S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. | Section 2 |
| ξ_1,\ldots,ξ_n | A framing of $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$. | Section 2 |
| $\pi: E \to B$ | Smooth family with fibres diffeomorphic to X. | Section 2 |
| $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$ | Diffeomorphism group of X. | Section 2 |
| $\operatorname{Diff}_0(X)$ | Diffeomorphisms of X acting trivially on $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. | Section 2 |
| K(X) | The image of $\text{Diff}(X)$ in $\text{Aut}(H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}))$. | Section 2 |
| $BDiff(X), BDiff_0(X)$ | The classifying spaces of $Diff(X)$ and $Diff_0(X)$. | Section 2 |
| $\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X)$ | $\overline{B\mathrm{Diff}}(X) = B\mathrm{Diff}_0(X) \times_{K(X)} W_n.$ | Section 2 |
| $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b} \in H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(X);\mathbb{Z})$ | Tautological classes of X. | Section 1 |
| $R^*(X)$ | Tautological ring of X. | Section 1 |

| $\kappa_{p_1^a e^b}(E) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ | Tautological classes of X evaluated on E . | Section 1 |
|---|--|-----------|
| $p_1, e \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$ | First Pontryagin class and Euler class of the vertical tangent bundle of $E \rightarrow B$. | Section 2 |
| $x_i \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$ | Degree 2 classes determined by Proposition 2.3. | Section 2 |
| $v \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Q})$ | Degree 4 class determined by Proposition 2.3. | Section 2 |
| $D_{ij}^{k} \in H^{2}(B; \mathbb{Q}),$ $E_{ij}, G_{i} \in H^{4}(B; \mathbb{Q}),$ $J_{i} \in H^{6}(B; \mathbb{Q}),$ $\omega \in H^{8}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ | Cohomology classes in $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ appearing as structure constants for the cup product on $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$; see (2-1)–(2-4). | Section 2 |
| D_{ij} | $D_{ij} = D_{ij}^i$; see Proposition 4.2. | Section 4 |
| $B_i \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$ | Class defined in Proposition 4.4. | Section 4 |
| $C_i \in H^6(B; \mathbb{Q})$ | Class defined in Proposition 4.4. | Section 4 |
| $\mu \in H^4(B; \mathbb{Q})$ | $p_1 = 3(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) + \mu$; see Proposition 4.6. | Section 4 |
| | | |

References

- [1] **D Baraglia**, Constraints on families of smooth 4–manifolds from Bauer–Furuta invariants, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 21 (2021) 317–349 MR Zbl
- [2] D Baraglia, H Konno, On the Bauer–Furuta and Seiberg–Witten invariants of families of 4–manifolds, J. Topol. 15 (2022) 505–586 MR Zbl
- [3] **S Bauer**, **M Furuta**, *A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg–Witten invariants*, *I*, Invent. Math. 155 (2004) 1–19 MR Zbl
- [4] M Bustamante, F T Farrell, Y Jiang, Rigidity and characteristic classes of smooth bundles with nonpositively curved fibers, J. Topol. 9 (2016) 934–956 MR Zbl
- [5] S K Donaldson, An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology, J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983) 279–315 MR Zbl
- [6] J Ebert, O Randal-Williams, Generalised Miller–Morita–Mumford classes for block bundles and topological bundles, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014) 1181–1204 MR Zbl
- [7] C Faber, A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves, from "Moduli of curves and abelian varieties" (C Faber, E Looijenga, editors), Aspects Math. E33, Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig (1999) 109–129 MR Zbl
- [8] M H Freedman, The topology of four-dimensional manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 17 (1982) 357–453 MR Zbl
- S Galatius, I Grigoriev, O Randal-Williams, Tautological rings for high-dimensional manifolds, Compos. Math. 153 (2017) 851–866 MR Zbl
- [10] S Galatius, O Randal-Williams, Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional manifolds, Acta Math. 212 (2014) 257–377 MR Zbl

- [11] I Grigoriev, Relations among characteristic classes of manifold bundles, Geom. Topol. 21 (2017) 2015–2048 MR Zbl
- [12] A Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer, II: Théorie cohomologique, from "Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas", Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1968) 67–87 MR Zbl
- [13] F Hebestreit, M Land, W Lück, O Randal-Williams, A vanishing theorem for tautological classes of aspherical manifolds, Geom. Topol. 25 (2021) 47–110 MR Zbl
- [14] **E Looijenga**, On the tautological ring of M_g , Invent. Math. 121 (1995) 411–419 MR Zbl
- [15] E Y Miller, *The homology of the mapping class group*, J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986)
 1–14 MR Zbl
- [16] S Morita, Generators for the tautological algebra of the moduli space of curves, Topology 42 (2003) 787–819 MR Zbl
- [17] C Müller, C Wockel, Equivalences of smooth and continuous principal bundles with infinite-dimensional structure group, Adv. Geom. 9 (2009) 605–626 MR Zbl
- [18] D Mumford, Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves, from "Arithmetic and geometry, II" (M Artin, J Tate, editors), Progr. Math. 36, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (1983) 271–328 MR Zbl
- [19] O Randal-Williams, Some phenomena in tautological rings of manifolds, Selecta Math. 24 (2018) 3835–3873 MR Zbl
- [20] M Szymik, Characteristic cohomotopy classes for families of 4-manifolds, Forum Math. 22 (2010) 509–523 MR Zbl
- [21] R Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954) 17–86 MR Zbl

School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Adelaide Adelaide SA, Australia

david.baraglia@adelaide.edu.au

Proposed: Ciprian Manolescu Seconded: András I Stipsicz, Nathalie Wahl Received: 17 August 2020 Revised: 2 September 2021

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITOR

András I. Stipsicz Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics stipsicz@renyi.hu

BOARD OF EDITORS

| Dan Abramovich | Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu | Mark Gross | University of Cambridge mgross@dpmms.cam.ac.uk |
|----------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Ian Agol | University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu | Rob Kirby | University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu |
| Mark Behrens | Massachusetts Institute of Technology mbehrens@math.mit.edu | Frances Kirwan | University of Oxford frances.kirwan@balliol.oxford.ac.uk |
| Mladen Bestvina | Imperial College, London bestvina@math.utah.edu | Bruce Kleiner | NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu |
| Martin R. Bridson | Imperial College, London m.bridson@ic.ac.uk | Urs Lang | ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch |
| Jim Bryan | University of British Columbia jbryan@math.ubc.ca | Marc Levine | Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de |
| Dmitri Burago | Pennsylvania State University burago@math.psu.edu | John Lott | University of California, Berkeley lott@math.berkeley.edu |
| Ralph Cohen | Stanford University ralph@math.stanford.edu | Ciprian Manolescu | University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu |
| Tobias H. Colding | Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu | Haynes Miller | Massachusetts Institute of Technology hrm@math.mit.edu |
| Simon Donaldson | Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk | Tom Mrowka | Massachusetts Institute of Technology mrowka@math.mit.edu |
| Yasha Eliashberg | Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu | Walter Neumann | Columbia University neumann@math.columbia.edu |
| Benson Farb | University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu | Jean-Pierre Otal | Université d'Orleans jean-pierre.otal@univ-orleans.fr |
| Steve Ferry | Rutgers University sferry@math.rutgers.edu | Peter Ozsváth | Columbia University ozsvath@math.columbia.edu |
| Ron Fintushel | Michigan State University ronfint@math.msu.edu | Leonid Polterovich | Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il |
| David M. Fisher | Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu | Colin Rourke | University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk |
| Mike Freedman | Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com | Stefan Schwede | Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de |
| David Gabai | Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu | Peter Teichner | University of California, Berkeley teichner@math.berkeley.edu |
| Stavros Garoufalidis | Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de | Richard P. Thomas | Imperial College, London richard.thomas@imperial.ac.uk |
| Cameron Gordon | University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu | Gang Tian | Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu |
| Lothar Göttsche | Abdus Salam Int. Centre for Th. Physics gottsche@ictp.trieste.it | Ulrike Tillmann | Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk |
| Jesper Grodal | University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk | Nathalie Wahl | University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk |
| Misha Gromov | IHÉS and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr | Anna Wienhard | Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de |

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$740/year for the electronic version, and \$1030/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY



© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

| Volume 27 Issue 2 (pages 417-821) 2023 | |
|--|-----|
| Convex cocompact actions of relatively hyperbolic groups | 417 |
| MITUL ISLAM and ANDREW ZIMMER | |
| Anosov groups: local mixing, counting and equidistribution | 513 |
| SAMUEL EDWARDS, MINJU LEE and HEE OH | |
| On cubulated relatively hyperbolic groups | 575 |
| Eduardo Reyes | |
| Tautological classes of definite 4-manifolds | 641 |
| DAVID BARAGLIA | |
| Prime-localized Weinstein subdomains | 699 |
| OLEG LAZAREV and ZACHARY SYLVAN | |
| Embedded surfaces with infinite cyclic knot group | 739 |
| ANTHONY CONWAY and MARK POWELL | |