

# Geometry & Topology

Volume 28 (2024)

Zariski dense surface groups in  $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{Z})$ 

D DARREN LONG

MORWEN B THISTLETHWAITE



DOI: 10.2140/gt.2024.28.1153

Published: 10 May 2024

## Zariski dense surface groups in $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{Z})$

## D DARREN LONG MORWEN B THISTLETHWAITE

We show that for all k,  $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{Z})$  contains surface groups which are Zariski dense in  $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{R})$ .

22E40; 20C15, 20H10

## 1 Introduction

Let G be a semisimple Lie group, and  $\Gamma < G$  a lattice. Following Sarnak [11], a subgroup  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  is called *thin* if  $\Delta$  has infinite index in  $\Gamma$  and is Zariski dense. There has been an enormous amount of interest in the nature of thin subgroups of lattices, motivated in part by work on expanders, and in particular the so-called "affine sieve" of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [3].

Since it is quite standard to exhibit Zariski dense subgroups of lattices that are free products, the case of most interest is when the (finitely generated) thin group  $\Delta$  does not decompose as a free product. Despite their importance and interest, nonfree thin subgroups in higher rank are extremely difficult to exhibit since the Zariski dense condition makes any given subgroup hard to distinguish from a lattice and freely indecomposable isomorphism types in the higher-rank situation are poorly understood. Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** For every  $k \ge 1$ , the group  $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{Z})$  contains a faithful representation of a surface group which is Zariski dense in  $SL(2k + 1, \mathbb{R})$ .

To the authors' knowledge, this is the first result of this type concerning a freely indecomposable isomorphism type with Zariski closure  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  for infinitely many n.

In fact our argument shows that there are infinitely many nonconjugate such representations. We note that previous work of the authors (see Long, Reid and Thistlethwaite [7] and Long and Thistlethwaite [8]) using a totally different approach proved this to be true for 2k + 1 = 3, 5 (and also in fact for  $k = \frac{3}{2}$ ). However, that method seems to have no hope of generalizing for infinitely many n.

Here is an outline of our argument, with careful definitions deferred to the sections below. The starting point is a certain discrete faithful representation of the triangle group  $\phi_n : \Delta(3, 4, 4) \to PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , obtained

<sup>© 2024</sup> MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

by composing a discrete faithful representation coming from the hyperbolic structure with the irreducible representation

$$\tau_n : \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R})$$

obtained by the action on homogeneous polynomials of degree n = 2k + 1 in two variables. Such representations lie on the so-called *Hitchin component* (see Labourie [6] and its generalization to orbifolds coming from Alessandrini, Lee and Schaffhauser [1]), with the key fact being that all representations on the Hitchin component are discrete and faithful [1, Theorem 1.1].

Since n is odd, we can show (Theorem 2.1) that this representation can be conjugated to be integral. Of course, this representation cannot be Zariski dense since it lies inside an algebraic group isomorphic to  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, standard theory shows that it has image inside  $SO(J, \mathbb{R})$ , where J is a certain quadratic form of signature (k + 1, k).

It is this representation we seek to ameliorate using the well-known bending construction, however the triangle group does not lend itself to that, so we pass to a subgroup of index four which is the fundamental group of the orbifold  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$ . The bending construction is described in detail in Section 3, but briefly: One takes an integral element  $\delta \in PSL(n,\mathbb{R})$  which centralizes the image of an essential simple closed curve  $\gamma$ ; this curve splits the surface into two subsurfaces L and R (in the initial case, each is a disc with two orbifold points) and defines a new representation by conjugating  $\phi_n$  by  $\delta$  on the R surface. This new representation is obviously still inside  $SL(2k+1,\mathbb{Z})$  and it continues to be faithful since we take care to arrange that it lies on the Hitchin component.

If the bent group is not Zariski dense, we conclude that it lies inside SO(J), and we appeal to a result of Guichard (see Theorem 3.1 and Guichard [5]) to prove that it must have Zariski closure all of SO(J).

The strategy now is to perform a suitable second bend. This is much more subtle, for example one needs at least to be sure that one can find an element for which all the integral centralizing elements do not lie in SO(J). However, we can use the extra information that the Zariski closure of the bent group is all of SO(J) to appeal to the Weisfeiler–Nori version of the strong approximation theorem; see the discussion of Section 3.2. From this follows that for all but finitely many primes p, if one reduces the bent group modulo p it surjects  $\Omega(J,p)$ , the commutator subgroup of  $SO(J;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ . (It is classical that  $[SO(J;\mathbb{Z}/p):\Omega(J,p)]=2$  for odd p.) Since we can show that group  $\Omega(J,p)$  contains elements whose characteristic polynomials are of the form (Q-1)f(Q) where f(Q) is irreducible modulo p, it follows that the original group contains an element p mapping onto such an element, in particular its characteristic polynomial has the form (Q-1)F(Q) where F(Q) is  $\mathbb Z$  irreducible.

Of course the element  $\eta$  may not be a simple loop, but we show in Section 4 one can ascend a carefully constructed tower of regular coverings so that  $\eta$  lifts to each step of this tower and ultimately becomes a (power of an) essential simple loop on some orbifold surface  $S^2(3, 3, ..., 3)$ , which must still surject  $\Omega(J, p)$ .

One can then show, using rank considerations, that the characteristic polynomial condition implies that there is an integral element in the centralizer of  $\eta$  inside  $SL(2k+1,\mathbb{Z})$  which does not power into SO(J) and from this, it is not hard to see (appealing again to the classification result of Guichard) that bending the group using that element makes the resulting orbifold group Zariski dense. The resulting representation is faithful since it continues to be on the Hitchin component.

We note that the methods of this paper can be used to show that in any dimension, if the Hitchin component contains an integral representation, then it contains an integral Zariski dense representation; see Zshornack [14]. This is because although the finite group situation for n even is slightly more involved, there is no real difficulty extending the observations of Section 3.2 to the symplectic case. However, finding such an integral representation in even dimensions seems to pose significant difficulties.

For the rest of this article, we restrict to the case  $n \ge 9$ . This is not for any truly essential reason, as the argument present here works if n > 3. However, as mentioned above, the cases n = 3, 5 are already in the literature and while the case n = 5 can be dealt with by the method described here, the case n = 7 involves a technical detour which is hardly worth the number of words it would take. This case is resolved explicitly in our computation [9].

**Acknowledgements** Long was partially supported by the NSF.

## 2 Integrality of certain representations of the 344-triangle group

This section analyses certain representations of the triangle group

$$\Delta(3,4,4) = \langle a,b,c \mid a^3 = b^4 = c^4 = abc = 1 \rangle,$$

which is the group of orientation-preserving symmetries of the tiling of the hyperbolic plane by triangles with angles  $\left\{\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right\}$ .

Let  $\phi_2: \Delta(3,4,4) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$  be the faithful representation of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  into Isom<sup>+</sup>( $\mathbb{H}^2$ ). Hyperbolic triangle groups are rigid and so  $\phi_2$  is uniquely determined up to conjugacy.

Let  $\tau_n \colon \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R})$  for  $n \geq 3$  denote the irreducible representation obtained from the standard action on homogeneous polynomials in two variables. We denote the composite representation  $\tau_n \circ \phi_2 \colon \Delta(3,4,4) \to \mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R})$  by  $\phi_n$ .

**Remark** For odd n (the situation which primarily concerns us here) the representation  $\phi_n$  lifts to a representation of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  into  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ , but for even n we have to be content with the situation we already see for n=2, namely that we have a representation of a certain pullback group  $U_{344}$  into  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ , the pullback being that determined by the representation  $\phi_2$  together with the natural projection  $SL(n,\mathbb{R}) \to PSL(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

For ease of notation we denote elements of  $PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$  by representative matrices in  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ; also, given a subring A of  $\mathbb{C}$  we say that a representation into  $PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$  can be written over A if the corresponding lifted representation (of  $\Delta(3, 4, 4)$  or  $U_{344}$ ) can be so written.

Since  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  is the fundamental group of a compact orbifold, specifically  $S^2$  with cone points of orders 3, 3, 4, it follows that  $\phi_2(\Delta(3,4,4))$  contains no parabolic. Therefore all matrices in  $\phi_2(\Delta(3,4,4))$  are diagonalizable, and application of  $\tau_n$  to a diagonal matrix shows that if  $A \in \phi_2(\Delta(3,4,4))$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $1/\lambda$ , then the eigenvalues of  $\tau_n(A)$  are

$$\lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^{n-3}, \ldots, \lambda^{-(n-3)}, \lambda^{-(n-1)}.$$

In this section, we prove the following.

**Theorem 2.1** For odd n, the representation  $\phi_n$  be written over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

For even n, the representation  $\phi_n$  can be written over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  but not over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We choose the following faithful representation  $\phi_2$  of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  into the group PSL $(2,\mathbb{R})$  of orientation-preserving isometries of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , obtained by placing the isosceles  $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4})$ -triangle symmetrically about the *y*-axis in the upper half-plane and then putting the matrix for the generator a in rational canonical form:

$$\phi_2(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_2(b) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} \\ -1 + \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_2(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \\ -1 + \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the representation  $\phi_2$  of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  can be written over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , and since application of  $\tau_n$  to a  $2 \times 2$  matrix A produces an  $n \times n$  matrix whose entries are integer polynomial expressions of those of A, we see that  $\phi_n$  for  $n \geq 3$  can also be written over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . We shall show that for odd n,  $\phi_n$  can actually be written over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and for even n this is not possible.

Our next basic ingredient is the fact that the representation  $\phi_3$  can be written over the integers. Here is an example of an integral representation  $\phi_3'$  of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$ , conjugate to  $\phi_3$ :

$$\phi_3'(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_3'(b) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_3'(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 \\ -4 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.2** The representation  $\phi_n$  of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  has integral character if and only if n is odd. For even n the character of  $\phi_n$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

**Proof** Let A be any matrix in  $\phi_2(\Delta(3,4,4))$ , and let the eigenvalues of A be  $\lambda$  and  $1/\lambda$ . Since  $\phi_3$  can be written over the integers, we see that  $\lambda^2 + 1 + \lambda^{-2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence also  $\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For odd n,  $\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{-(n-1)} = f(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})$  for a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so we deduce inductively that the trace of  $\tau_n(A)$  is an integer. On the other hand, if n is even, then

$$\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{n-3} + \dots + \lambda^{-(n-3)} + \lambda^{-(n-1)} = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})(\lambda^{n-2} + \lambda^{n-6} + \dots + \lambda^{-(n-6)} + \lambda^{-(n-2)}).$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 28 (2024)

A similar argument shows that the second factor is an integer, whereas the first factor might not be, eg the trace of  $\phi_2(a^{-1}b)$  is  $2\sqrt{2}$ . We deduce that for even n, the trace of  $\phi_n(a^{-1}b)$  is not an integer; however, as  $\phi_n$  can be written over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , its character takes values in that ring.

**Lemma 2.3** For odd n, the representation  $\phi_n$  of  $\Delta(3,4,4)$  can be written over the rational numbers.

**Proof** We have established that for odd n,  $\phi_n$  has integral character and can be written over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Thus  $\phi_n$  is realizable over a field of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that  $\phi_n$  is not realizable over a field of smaller degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; then the Schur index of the irreducible representation  $\phi_n$  is  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}):\mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . However the Schur index divides the degree n of the representation [2], contradicting the fact that n is odd.

The conclusion of Theorem 2.1 has already been established for even n; for odd n it follows directly from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 together with the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [7].

## 3 The bending construction

Our construction is reliant upon an orbifold which has somewhat more geometric flexibility than the triangle group  $\Delta(3,4,4)$ . To this end we note that there is a homomorphism  $\Delta(3,4,4) \to \mathbb{Z}/4$  which sends the two elements of order 4 to 1 and -1. This defines an orbifold covering  $S^2(3,3,3,3) \to \Delta(3,4,4)$ . We may restrict the representation  $\phi_n$  to the subgroup defined by the covering to yield a discrete and faithful representation corresponding to a hyperbolic structure

$$\phi_n : \pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3)) \to PSL(n,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The orbifold  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$  has an obvious flexibility (often called *bending* in the setting of SO(n,1) representations) coming from the following construction: Let  $d_1 \cdot d_2 = \gamma$  be the simple closed curve on the two-sphere which separates two of the orbifold points from the other two; denote the two sides by L and R. Each contains two cone points of order three. Let  $\delta$  be any element of  $PSL(n,\mathbb{R})$  which centralizes  $\phi_n(\gamma)$ . Then we may form a bent representation of  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$  by matching  $\phi_n(\pi_1(L))$  with  $\delta \cdot \phi_n(\pi_1(R)) \cdot \delta^{-1}$ ; these representations agree on  $\phi_n(\gamma)$  by the choice of  $\delta$ . We denote this bent representation by  $\rho^{\delta}$  (even though this is a bit of an abuse).

With a view to questions concerning faithfulness, we will invariably use bending elements which are in the image of the exponential map (for example they will be diagonalizable and with positive real eigenvalues) that if, for example,  $\delta = \exp(v)$ , then all the elements  $\exp(tv)$  centralize  $\phi_n(\gamma)$ . Then there is a path of bendings from  $\rho$  to  $\rho^{\delta}$  given by  $\rho^{\exp(tv)}$ . It follows that if  $\rho$  lies on the Hitchin component, then so does  $\rho^{\delta}$  and in particular, this implies that the latter is a faithful representation.

An important ingredient of our approach which gives the requisite control hangs upon the following theorem of Guichard.

**Theorem 3.1** (Guichard [5]; see also [1]) Suppose that  $\rho: \pi_1(S) \to SL(m, \mathbb{R})$  is a representation on the Hitchin component and G is the Zariski closure of  $\rho(\pi_1(S))$ . Then:

- If m = 2n is even, then G is conjugate to one of  $\tau_m(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ ,  $Sp(2m,\mathbb{R})$  or  $SL(m,\mathbb{R})$ .
- If  $m = 2n + 1 \neq 7$  is odd, then G is conjugate to one of  $\tau_m(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ , SO(n+1,n) or  $SL(m,\mathbb{R})$ .
- If m = 7, then G is conjugate to one of  $\tau_m(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ , SO(4,3),  $G_2$  or  $SL(7,\mathbb{R})$ .

The relevance of this theorem is that if one can show that a given representation of a surface group leaves no form invariant, then the image is Zariski dense.<sup>1</sup>

#### 3.1 The first bend

The first step is to bend  $\phi_n: \pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3)) \to \mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . There is a good deal of flexibility in this part of our construction.

To this end, fix any simple closed curve  $\gamma$  which separates two of the orbifold points from the other two and we claim (recall that we are assuming that  $n \ge 5$ ) that there is an element  $\delta$  in the PSL $(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -centralizer of  $\gamma$  which does not lie in the image  $\phi_n(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ . We argue this as follows. As in Lemma 2.2, all the hyperbolic elements in the image  $\phi_n(\pi_1(S^2(3, 3, 3, 3)))$  have integral character and with eigenvalues 1 and (n-1)/2 pairs of the form  $\mu^{2j}$  and  $\mu^{-2j}$ . Since  $\phi_n(A)$  is integral, it follows that it can be conjugated over the rationals into the block form consisting of a single 1 and (n-1)/2 block matrices

$$\exp(j\,\boldsymbol{w}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & K \end{pmatrix}^{j} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le \frac{1}{2}(n-1).$$

This latter matrix has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -centralizer isomorphic to  $(\pm 1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)/2}$ .

The following is well known.

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$  be such that  $\det(M) = \pm 1$  and the characteristic polynomial of M has integer coefficients. Then some power of M is integral.

Since the conjugation matrix is rational, it follows from this proposition that the centralizer of  $\phi_n(A)$  in  $PSL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)/2}$ . Since  $n \geq 5$  and the centralizer in  $\phi_n(PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  our claim follows. In fact, with a view to our argument it is important to note a little more is true, namely that this argument shows that we may choose these centralizing elements to be in the image of the exponential map, since we may choose hyperbolic elements with distinct positive eigenvalues.

Accordingly, we may fix some element  $\delta$  lying in the  $PSL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ —centralizer of  $\gamma$  which does not lie in the image  $\phi_n(PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ ; in the interests of being specific, we choose  $\delta$  as the relevant conjugate of a power of  $1 \oplus Id_2 \oplus Id_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \exp(w)$ . Notice that if we write  $\delta = \exp(v)$ , then the entire path  $\exp(tv)$  centralizes  $\gamma$ .

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{{}^{1}\text{Note that }G_{2}}$  < SO(4, 3).

**Theorem 3.3** The bent representation  $\phi_n^{\delta}$  (which henceforth we denote by  $\rho$ ) is a representation of  $\pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3))$  into  $PSL(n,\mathbb{Z})$  lying on the Hitchin component. In particular,  $\rho$  is discrete and faithful. Moreover, the Zariski closure of the image of  $\rho$  is one of

- PSL $(n, \mathbb{R})$ , or
- SO(J) for the form J of signature (k+1,k) left invariant by  $\phi_n(\mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R}))$ .

**Proof** If  $\delta = \exp(v)$ , then  $\rho$  is the endpoint of the path of representations  $\phi_n^{\exp(tv)}$  which has one endpoint on the Hitchin component. Since this is a path component of  $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3)),\operatorname{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R}))$ , it follows that  $\rho$  is on the Hitchin component and is therefore discrete (which was obvious anyway) and faithful.

The second claim is our first application of Theorem 3.1. The argument is the following. Notice (in the notation of Section 3) that  $\pi_1(L)$  (and of course  $\pi_1(R)$ ) is Zariski dense in PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$ , since this Lie group has no interesting algebraic subgroups. It follows that the algebraic group  $\phi_n(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$  is determined by the image  $\phi_n(\pi_1(L))$ . Referring to the list of Theorem 3.1, we see that the image of the bent representation  $\rho$  must be larger than  $\phi_n(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$  unless  $\delta$  normalizes  $\phi_n(\pi_1(R))$  and hence  $\phi_n(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ .

We claim that this is impossible. For if conjugacy by  $\delta$  preserved  $\phi_n(\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  it would act as an automorphism which commuted with the action by conjugacy of the hyperbolic element  $\gamma$ . However it is well known that  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))) \cong \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$  and we deduce that there would be some nontrivial word  $\delta^a \gamma^b$  which centralized the absolutely irreducible representation  $\phi_n(\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  and would therefore be trivial, which is impossible by the choice of  $\delta$ .

Thus we have proved that the Zariski closure of  $\rho(\pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3)))$  is strictly larger than  $\phi_n(PSL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ . One now consults the list provided by Theorem 3.1 and we see that (since in particular n > 7) either we are done or the Zariski closure of  $\rho(S^2(3,3,3,3))$  is SO of some form of signature (k+1,k). We claim that this form is necessarily SO(J), where J is the form mentioned in the introduction. The reason is that on  $\pi_1(L)$ , the representation  $\phi_n$  and  $\rho$  agree and are absolutely irreducible. We now appeal to:

**Lemma 3.4** Suppose that  $\rho: G \to SO(J) \leq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is an absolutely irreducible representation. Then J is unique up to a real scaling.

**Proof** If  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are two such forms, then the equations  $A^T$ .  $J_i$ .  $A = J_i$  for i = 1, 2 and any A in the image of  $\rho$  imply that  $J_2^{-1}$ .  $J_1$  centralizes the image of  $\rho$ , whence by Schur's lemma is a scalar matrix.  $\square$ 

Returning to the proof of Theorem 3.3, since the group  $\phi_n(\pi_1(L)) < SO(J)$ , the lemma implies that the Zariski closure of  $\rho(S^2(3,3,3,3))$  must be SO(J), as required.

**Remark** In fact, it's useful to note that if  $\rho$  is a Hitchin representation, one can weaken the hypothesis in Lemma 3.4 to ask only that  $\rho$  be *real* irreducible. The point is that for a Hitchin representation, the infinite-order elements have the property that they have distinct real eigenvalues. Fix such an element and

suppose that we have diagonalized it over the reals. Then in the proof above,  $J_2^{-1}$ .  $J_1$  is real and commutes with a diagonal matrix with distinct real eigenvalues and is therefore diagonal with real eigenvalues. The usual Schur argument using real irreducibility now implies that  $J_2^{-1}$ .  $J_1 = r$ . Id for some real r.

**Remark** Questions concerning *integral* centralizers can be quite delicate because of the rational conjugacy; the need to appeal to Proposition 3.2 results in the loss of any real control.

## 3.2 The second bend: finding $\eta$

To perform the second bend requires a more careful choice of bending curve and this necessitates a discussion of some notation and results from the theory of finite simple groups, in particular from the theory of (special) finite orthogonal groups. This has two steps. We will appeal to a theorem that follows from work of Weisfeiler [13] or Nori [10] to construct an essential curve  $\eta$  on  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$  for which we can use purely algebraic considerations to show that  $\rho(\eta)$  has a large centralizer in PSL $(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . We cannot use  $\eta$  directly to bend, since it may not be simple on  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$ , however in Section 4 we show how to improve this situation.

Here is a summary of the algebraic facts that we require. Let J be an m-dimensional quadratic form over the finite field  $GF(p^n)$  of cardinality  $q = p^n$ . It simplifies the discussion (and this is no loss of generality for us) to assume p is odd. We are interested only in the case that m is also odd; we assume this in the following without further comment. (The situation is slightly more complicated for m even.)

In this case, there is a unique orthogonal group up to isomorphism O(J,q) = O(2k+1,q) which is independent of J; see [12, page 377, Theorem 5.8]. Let SO(m,q) denote the special orthogonal group and set  $\Omega(m,q) = [O(m,q),O(m,q)]$ , where [G,G] denotes the commutator subgroup of a group G. We summarize the important fact for us in the following theorem; see [12, pages 383–384] for a discussion.

**Theorem 3.5** When m is odd,  $\Omega(J,q)$  is a simple subgroup of O(J,q) of index 4.

Recall the first bending provided a representation  $\rho = \phi_n^{\delta}$ :  $\pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3)) \to \operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  lying on the Hitchin component and whose image is Zariski dense in  $\operatorname{SO}(J,\mathbb{R})$ . Given a rational prime p, we may compose with the obvious reduction map modulo p,  $\operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}/p)$ . The following comes from the strong approximation theorem; cf [10; 13].

**Theorem 3.6** In the notation above, when m is odd, for all but a finite number of rational primes p, we have  $\Omega(J, p) = \pi_p(\rho(S^2(3, 3, 3, 3)))$ .

**Proof** It follows from strong approximation (cf [10; 13]) that except for finitely many primes we have

$$\Omega(J,p) \le \pi_p \left( \rho(S^2(3,3,3,3)) \right) \le \mathrm{SO}(J;p).$$

However, Theorem 3.5, together with the fact that  $\pi_1(S^2(3,3,3,3))$  has no subgroup of index 2 implies the result.

To apply this, we also require the following fact:

**Theorem 3.7** There is an element  $\eta \in S^2(3,3,3,3)$  with the property that the integer matrix  $\rho(\eta)$  has characteristic polynomial of the form (Q-1)F(Q), where F(Q) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The main ingredient in the proof is the following:

**Proposition 3.8** For every prime p, there is a matrix in  $\Omega(J, p)$  with the property that its characteristic polynomial has the form (Q-1) f(Q), where f(Q) is irreducible modulo p.

This proposition now implies Theorem 3.7. For, by strong approximation, we may choose a prime p so that  $\pi_p \rho$  maps  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$  onto  $\Omega(J,p)$ . Pick an element  $A(p) \in \Omega(J,p)$  whose characteristic polynomial has the form (Q-1)f(Q), where f(Q) is irreducible modulo p, and choose  $\eta$  so that  $\pi_p \rho(\eta) = A(p)$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(\eta)$  has the form (Q-1)F(Q), and F(Q) is necessarily  $\mathbb Z$  irreducible, since it is irreducible modulo p.

**Proof of Proposition 3.8** We have a fixed n = 2k + 1 and a prime p. We claim that there is an element  $\tau$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  which has degree n-1=2k over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  with the property that its minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ , which we denote by a(Q), is symmetric. Further,  $\tau^2$  also has degree n-1 with (therefore irreducible) symmetric minimal polynomial, which we denote by  $a_2(Q)$ .

Deferring this claim temporarily, we proceed as follows. Let g be any  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  matrix whose characteristic polynomial is (Q-1)a(Q); for example, use the rational canonical form. Let K be a splitting field for a(Q) over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ , so that there is a K-matrix m for which m. g.  $m^{-1}$  is diagonal, with the eigenvalues arranged  $1,\lambda_1,\lambda_1^{-1},\ldots,\lambda_n,\lambda_n^{-1}$ . This matrix is an isometry of the form  $\Sigma$ , whose (1,1) entry is any element of K and then has (n-1)/2 blocks which are K multiples of  $\binom{0}{1} \binom{1}{0}$ . This gives a (n+1)/2-dimensional family of solutions and using the obvious ordered basis, the determinant of such a form  $\Sigma$  is  $\pm a_0 \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{(n-1)/2}$  and is therefore nondegenerate as long as no  $a_j$  is zero. Therefore the original matrix g has a K-family of nondegenerate solutions, namely  $m^T$ .  $\Sigma$ . m. Now, when we regard the entries of a symmetric matrix  $\sigma$  as indeterminates, the question of whether a form  $\sigma$  satisfies  $g^T$ .  $\sigma$ .  $g = \sigma$  is a family of homogeneous linear equations with  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  coefficients, and we have just shown that there are nondegenerate solutions over K. It follows that there are nondegenerate  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  solutions and such a solution  $\sigma$  gives  $g \in \mathrm{SO}(\sigma,p)$  with characteristic polynomial (Q-1)a(Q). As noted above, since n is odd there is only one such orthogonal group up to change of basis, so this characteristic polynomial also occurs in  $\mathrm{SO}(J,p)$ . After squaring if necessary, one finds the promised element in  $\Omega(J,p)$ .

It remains to find the polynomials promised in the first paragraph; we sketch an argument. Denote the finite field of degree r over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  by  $\mathrm{GF}(p^r)$ ; it's known there is exactly one such field up to isomorphism for every r. Take an element  $x \in \mathrm{GF}(p^k)$  with the property that the polynomial  $T^2 - x \cdot T + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathrm{GF}(p^k)$ . Let  $\tau$  be a root of this polynomial so that  $\mathrm{GF}(p^k)(\tau) = \mathrm{GF}(p^{2k})$ . By construction, the polynomial for  $\tau$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  has degree 2k = n - 1 and is symmetric and irreducible. Moreover, considering the equation  $\tau^2 - x \cdot \tau + 1 = 0$ , we see that  $\tau^2$  cannot lie in  $\mathrm{GF}(p^k)$ . Therefore the polynomial for  $\tau^2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  also has the required properties.

## 4 Improving $\eta$

At this stage we have a representation  $\rho: S^2(3,3,3,3) \to PSL(2k+1,\mathbb{Z})$  so that with finitely many exceptions, the reduction modulo p yields a surjection

$$\pi_p: \rho(S^2(3,3,3,3)) \to \Omega(J,p).$$

This was used to find an element  $\eta$  with the property that the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(\eta)$  is of the form (Q-1)F(Q), where it follows from the construction that F(Q) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This section is devoted to a proof of the following:

**Theorem 4.1** Denote the orbifold surface which is an  $S^2$  with k-cone points all of order 3 by F(k), where  $k \ge 4$ . Then given  $\eta$  as above, there is a tower of 3-fold regular coverings

$$F(4) \leftarrow F(u_1) \leftarrow F(u_2) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow F(u_k)$$

with the property that  $\eta$  lifts to each covering and in the covering  $F(u_k)$ ,  $\eta$  is (a power of) a simple loop which encloses at least two cone points on each side.

**Proof** The construction here is based upon the following simple observation. Fix some surface F(k) and fix two of the cone points,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , enclosing them with a simple closed curve C. Then C splits the surface into two pieces, one of which is a disc with two cone points of order 3. There is a 3-fold covering of F(k) given by the homomorphism  $c_1 \to 1$ ,  $c_2 \to -1$ , and all other cone points mapping to zero. It's easy to see that the resulting covering is planar: the disc with two cone points becomes an  $S^2$  with three discs removed, each of which corresponds to a lift of C. The other side of C lifts to three copies, each a trivial covering which is attached to one of these C-lifts. Notice that the number of cone points in the covering strictly increases since it has 3(k-2) cone points and this is strictly larger than k for  $k \ge 4$ .

The coverings being used are all abelian, and we indicate homology class by [\*]. We begin by observing that it is easy to make coverings where  $\eta$  lifts: for F(4), for example, if  $[\eta]$  is not zero, it represents a generator of  $H_1(F(4)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3)^3$ , we can choose two other cone points x and y so that  $H_1(F(4)) = \langle \eta, x, y \rangle$  and we form a covering as above with  $c_1 = x$  and  $c_2 = y$ . Clearly  $\eta$  lifts to this covering. If  $[\eta]$  is zero in  $H_1(F(4))$ , we may choose any pair of the cone points. Since the number of cone points only goes up, this procedure can be iterated (increasing the number of supplementary generators if need be).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is accomplished by showing one can find a tower of coverings where  $\eta$  lifts and has a strictly decreasing number of self-intersections up to the point that we obtain the conclusion of the theorem. For future reference we note that the shape of the characteristic polynomial implies that  $\eta$  has infinite order in the fundamental group of the orbifold so that it cannot encircle just one cone point on either side. In particular, it is a hyperbolic element on the hyperbolic orbifolds in question, so we may make  $\eta$  geodesic.

We construct a planar subsurface X of F(k) as follows. Take a thin regular neighborhood of  $\eta$  and attach discs to all the boundary components which bound discs in the complement of  $\eta$ . By construction, the boundary of the subsurface X consists of simple closed curves all of which are essential, and therefore bound discs which have on them at least one cone point.

Suppose that X has at least three boundary components. Then at least two of these boundary components,  $\partial_1 X$  and  $\partial_2 X$ , say, contain cone points  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively, with the property that

$$\langle c_1, c_2, \eta \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3)^3 < H_1(F(k)).$$

Define a covering of F(k) as above, mapping  $c_1 \to 1$ ,  $c_2 \to -1$  and  $\eta \to 0$ , and extend to  $H_1(F(k))$ . This arranges  $\partial_1 X \to 1$ ,  $\partial_2 X \to -1$  and  $[\eta] \to 0$ . The preimage of X in this covering is connected, so that the three preimages  $\widetilde{\eta}_i$  for  $1 \le i \le 3$  of  $\eta$  form a connected graph. A point where  $\widetilde{\eta}_1$  meets  $\widetilde{\eta}_2$  corresponds to a self-intersection of  $\eta$  in F(k) which has now disappeared from  $\widetilde{\eta}_1$ . Thus the number of self-intersections of  $\widetilde{\eta}_1$  is strictly less than the number of self-intersections of  $\eta$ .

We can repeat this process as long as the planar neighborhood X is not an annulus. However in this case,  $\eta$  must be a power of a simple loop, namely the core of the annulus.

**Remark** In the latter case, the annulus cannot contain just one cone point on either side, since in this case the loop  $\eta$  would have order dividing 3.

#### 5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We may now complete the argument of Theorem 1.1.

At the top of the tower provided by Theorem 4.1, either we have a simple loop corresponding to  $\eta$  on a planar surface for which the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(\eta)$  is (Q-1)F(Q), where F(Q) is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -irreducible, or we have that  $\eta = (\eta')^r$ , where  $\eta'$  is a simple loop. In this latter case, the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(\eta')$  is also of the form (Q-1)G(Q), where G(Q) is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -irreducible, since the  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of the roots of G(Q) give the roots of F(Q), and these all have maximal degree over the rationals. We economize on notation by replacing  $\eta'$  by  $\eta$  and G(Q) by F(Q).

Notice that since  $\rho$  was on the Hitchin component for  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$ , F(Q) is totally real [6, Theorem 1.5]. The simple curve  $\eta$  cannot encircle just one cone point, else it would have order 3 so  $\eta$  splits the surface into two pieces each of which must have at least two cone points in it. By applying the construction of Theorem 4.1 if necessary, we may assume that we have constructed a planar orbifold surface (denote it by  $\Sigma$ ) in which  $\eta$  is simple and each of the two sides of  $\eta$  contains a large number of cone points.

We have already observed that the initial representation  $\rho$  lies on the Hitchin component for  $S^2(3,3,3,3)$  and it follows that  $\rho$  restricted to  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  lies on the Hitchin component for  $\Sigma$ ; for example, one can use the bending used to construct  $\rho$  restricted to the corresponding subgroup of finite index. Moreover, each side of  $\eta$  is a hyperbolic orbifold with totally geodesic boundary, so that the restriction of the given

representation to either side gives an element of the Hitchin component of that side in the sense of [1, Theorem 2.28]. In particular, each side is represented irreducibly into  $SO(J; \mathbb{R}) < SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

The main claim now is that there is a path of elements  $\delta_t = \exp(tv)$  all centralizing  $\eta$  and with  $\delta = \delta_1$  in the centralizer of  $\eta$  in  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the element  $\delta$  does not preserve J (even up to scaling).

Once this is established, Theorem 1.1 is proved with mild variations of the arguments we have already used in the first bending: by choice, we have a 1-parameter family of bendings  $\rho^{\exp(tv)}$  connecting  $\rho^{\delta}$  to  $\rho$ . The latter representation lies on the Hitchin component and therefore  $\rho^{\delta}$  lies in the Hitchin component. It is therefore faithful.

Moreover, after the  $\delta$ -bending, one side of the orbifold surface  $\Sigma$  represents into  $SO(J; \mathbb{R})$  and the other in  $SO(\delta^T J \delta; \mathbb{R})$ ; by absolute irreducibility and the property of  $\delta$  we claimed above, it follows that there is no form left invariant by the whole orbifold surface group.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now completed by the following theorem applied to  $\xi = \rho^{\delta}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** Suppose that  $\xi$  is any representation on the Hitchin component of a hyperbolic orbifold group  $\Gamma$  which leaves no form invariant. Then  $\xi$  restricted to any surface subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof** It is shown in [6, Theorem 1.5] that for any representation on the Hitchin component, the nonidentity elements are *loxodromic*, that is to say that their eigenvalues are distinct real numbers and moreover, since n is odd, these eigenvalues are all positive. In particular, it follows from [4, Theorem 2] that all the infinite-order elements of  $\xi(\Gamma)$  are in a unique one-parameter subgroup of the exponential map  $\exp: \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

Suppose then that some surface subgroup H of finite index in  $\Gamma$  lies inside a proper algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ ; by Guichard's result (Theorem 3.1), it must be contained in  $\mathrm{SO}(J)$  for some form J of signature (k+1,k). Take any loxodromic element  $\exp(v)=\gamma\in\xi(\Gamma)$  and choose r so that  $\exp(rv)=\gamma^r\in H$ . The condition that  $\gamma^r\in\mathrm{SO}(J)$  is equivalent to  $JvJ^{-1}=-v^{tr}$ , so the entire one-parameter subgroup  $\exp(tv)$  lies in  $\mathrm{SO}(J)$  and in particular, therefore,  $\gamma\in\mathrm{SO}(J)$ . However, it is clear that  $\Gamma$  is generated by its loxodromic elements and we would deduce that  $\Gamma<\mathrm{SO}(J)$ , a contradiction. It follows that H must have Zariski closure  $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

In particular, since any subgroup of finite index in  $\xi(\Gamma)$  contains a surface group, it shows that the Zariski closure of any subgroup of finite index (in particular, index = 1) is all of  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### 5.1 The existence of $\delta$

Recall that the characteristic polynomial of the integer matrix  $\rho(\eta)$  is (Q-1)F(Q), where F(Q) is symmetric  $\mathbb{Z}$ -irreducible and with (distinct) real roots, since  $\rho$  is on the Hitchin component. One can

see (for example by diagonalizing the element  $\rho(\eta)$  and considering the possible forms it could leave invariant) that the centralizer of  $\rho(\eta)$  in  $SO(J; \mathbb{R})$  has rank (n-1)/2. On the other hand, the totally real number field K defined by a root of f(Q) = 0 has degree n-1, so that the unit group of its ring of integers has rank n-2, which is > (n-1)/2 for  $n \ge 5$ .

Make a rational change of basis so that  $M^{-1}\rho(\eta)$ .  $M=(1)\oplus A$ , where A is an integer matrix in rational canonical form. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[A]$  is a matrix representation of the ring of integers  $\mathbb{O}_K$ , which therefore contains a multiplicative subring of units of rank n-2, ie matrices which have determinant  $\pm 1$ . Since all elements of the form M.  $((1)\oplus\sum r_jA^j)$ .  $M^{-1}$  clearly commute with  $\rho(\eta)$ , it follows from the rank considerations described above that we may find a rational matrix with determinant = 1 and integer characteristic polynomial in the  $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ -centralizer of  $\rho(\eta)$  which does not power into  $\mathrm{SO}(J;\mathbb{R})$ . By Proposition 3.2 there is some power of this matrix which is integral; this is a choice for  $\delta$  with the required properties. As observed above,  $\delta$  commutes with an element which has distinct positive real eigenvalues, so that it is diagonalizable, and by squaring if need be, we arrange that  $\delta$  has positive eigenvalues. Therefore, it is in the image of the exponential map, so that  $\mathrm{exp}(v) = \delta$ . From this it follows that the entire path  $\mathrm{exp}(tv)$  centralizes  $\rho(\eta)$ , so that the bent representation lies on the Hitchin component.

### References

- [1] **D Alessandrini**, **G-S Lee**, **F Schaffhauser**, *Hitchin components for orbifolds*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 25 (2023) 1285–1347 MR Zbl
- [2] H Bass, Groups of integral representation type, Pacific J. Math. 86 (1980) 15–51 MR Zbl
- [3] **J Bourgain**, **A Gamburd**, **P Sarnak**, *Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product*, Invent. Math. 179 (2010) 559–644 MR Zbl
- [4] **W J Culver**, *On the existence and uniqueness of the real logarithm of a matrix*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 1146–1151 MR Zbl
- [5] O Guichard, Zariski closure of positive and maximal representations, in preparation
- [6] F Labourie, Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space, Invent. Math. 165 (2006) 51–114 MR Zbl
- [7] **DD Long**, **A W Reid**, **M Thistlethwaite**, *Zariski dense surface subgroups in* SL(3, ℤ), Geom. Topol. 15 (2011) 1–9 MR Zbl
- [8] **DD Long**, **MB Thistlethwaite**, *Zariski dense surface subgroups in* SL(4, ℤ), Exp. Math. 27 (2018) 82–92 MR Zbl
- [9] **DD Long**, **M Thistlethwaite**, *Zariski dense surface subgroups in* SL(7,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), computational output (2024) Available at http://tinyurl.com/computational-output
- [10] **M V Nori**, On subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , Invent. Math. 88 (1987) 257–275 MR Zbl
- [11] **P Sarnak**, *Notes on thin matrix groups*, from "Thin groups and superstrong approximation" (E Breuillard, H Oh, editors), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 61, Cambridge Univ. Press (2014) 343–362 MR Zbl
- [12] M Suzuki, Group theory, I, Grundl. Math. Wissen. 247, Springer (1982) MR Zbl

- [13] **B Weisfeiler**, *Strong approximation for Zariski-dense subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. 120 (1984) 271–315 MR Zbl
- [14] M Zshornack, Integral Zariski dense surface groups in SL(n, ℝ), preprint (2022) arXiv 2211.08616

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA, United States

Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN, United States

long@math.ucsb.edu, morwenthistlethwaite@gmail.com

Proposed: Anna Wienhard Received: 21 July 2021 Seconded: Martin R Bridson, Mladen Bestvina Accepted: 17 August 2022

## **GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY**

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITOR

András I Stipsicz Alfréd Rénvi Institute of Mathematics

stipsicz@renyi.hu

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Mohammed Abouzaid	Stanford University abouzaid@stanford.edu	Mark Gross	University of Cambridge mgross@dpmms.cam.ac.uk
Dan Abramovich	Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu	Rob Kirby	University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu
Ian Agol	University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu	Bruce Kleiner	NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu
Arend Bayer	University of Edinburgh arend.bayer@ed.ac.uk	Sándor Kovács	University of Washington skovacs@uw.edu
Mark Behrens	University of Notre Dame mbehren1@nd.edu	Urs Lang	ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch
Mladen Bestvina	University of Utah bestvina@math.utah.edu	Marc Levine	Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de
Martin R Bridson	University of Oxford bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk	Ciprian Manolescu	University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu
Jim Bryan	University of British Columbia jbryan@math.ubc.ca	Haynes Miller	Massachusetts Institute of Technology hrm@math.mit.edu
Dmitri Burago	Pennsylvania State University burago@math.psu.edu	Tomasz Mrowka	Massachusetts Institute of Technology mrowka@math.mit.edu
Tobias H Colding	Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu	Aaron Naber	Northwestern University anaber@math.northwestern.edu
Simon Donaldson	Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk	Peter Ozsváth	Princeton University petero@math.princeton.edu
Yasha Eliashberg	Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu	Leonid Polterovich	Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il
Benson Farb	University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu	Colin Rourke	University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk
David M Fisher	Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu	Roman Sauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology roman.sauer@kit.edu
Mike Freedman	Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com	Stefan Schwede	Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de
David Gabai	Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu	Natasa Sesum	Rutgers University natasas@math.rutgers.edu
Stavros Garoufalidis	Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de	Gang Tian	Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu
Cameron Gordon	University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu	Ulrike Tillmann	Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk
Jesper Grodal	University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Misha Gromov	IHÉS and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr	Anna Wienhard	Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$805/year for the electronic version, and \$1135/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from MSP.





http://msp.org/

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

Volume 28 Issue 3 (pages 1005–1499) 2024

Homological mirror symmetry for hypertoric varieties, I: Conic equivariant sheaves	1005	
MICHAEL MCBREEN and BEN WEBSTER		
Moduli spaces of Ricci positive metrics in dimension five	1065	
McFeely Jackson Goodman		
Riemannian manifolds with entire Grauert tube are rationally elliptic	1099	
XIAOYANG CHEN		
On certain quantifications of Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem	1113	
KEVIN SACKEL, ANTOINE SONG, UMUT VAROLGUNES and JONATHAN J ZHU		
Zariski dense surface groups in $SL(2k+1,\mathbb{Z})$	1153	
D DARREN LONG and MORWEN B THISTLETHWAITE		
Scalar and mean curvature comparison via the Dirac operator	1167	
SIMONE CECCHINI and RUDOLF ZEIDLER		
Symplectic capacities, unperturbed curves and convex toric domains		
DUSA MCDUFF and KYLER SIEGEL		
Quadric bundles and hyperbolic equivalence		
ALEXANDER KUZNETSOV		
Categorical wall-crossing formula for Donaldson–Thomas theory on the resolved conifold		
YUKINOBU TODA		
Nonnegative Ricci curvature, metric cones and virtual abelianness	1409	
JIAYIN PAN		
The homology of the Temperley–Lieb algebras	1437	
RACHAEL BOYD and RICHARD HEPWORTH		