



Geometry & Topology

Volume 29 (2025)

On the logarithmic slice filtration

FEDERICO BINDA
DOOSUNG PARK
PAUL ARNE ØSTVÆR

On the logarithmic slice filtration

FEDERICO BINDA
DOOSUNG PARK
PAUL ARNE ØSTVÆR

We consider slice filtrations in logarithmic motivic homotopy theory. Our main results establish conjectured compatibilities with the Beilinson, BMS, and HKR filtrations on (topological, log) Hochschild homology and related invariants. In the case of perfect fields admitting resolution of singularities, we show that the slice filtration realizes the BMS filtration on the p -completed topological cyclic homology. Furthermore, the motivic trace map is compatible with the slice and BMS filtrations, yielding a natural morphism from the motivic slice spectral sequence to the BMS spectral sequence. Finally, we consider the Kummer étale hypersheafification of logarithmic K -theory and show that its very effective slices compute Lichtenbaum étale motivic cohomology.

14A21, 14F30, 14F42; 19E20

1. Introduction	2653
2. Slices for logarithmic motives	2660
3. Slice filtration on K -theory	2664
4. HKR filtration on logarithmic Hochschild homology	2666
5. BMS filtration on logarithmic topological Hochschild homology	2672
6. The characteristic- p case	2680
7. Very effective slices of Kummer étale K -theory	2685
References	2691

1 Introduction

Logarithmic motives provide a framework for studying non- \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant cohomology theories in arithmetic geometry; see Binda, Lundemo, Merici and Park [11], Binda, Lundemo, Park and Østvær [12; 13], Binda and Merici [14], Binda, Park and Østvær [15; 16; 17], Merici [39] and Saito [48]. This paper introduces logarithmic analogs of slice filtrations in motivic homotopy theory defined by Voevodsky [50] and Spitzweck and Østvær [49]. The slice perspective produces explicit calculations, see Isaksen and Østvær [30] for a survey, and remains a powerful tool in homotopy theory following the solution of the Kervaire invariant one problem by Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel [27]. Examples of applications of motivic slices include a proof of the Milnor conjecture on quadratic forms by Röndigs and Østvær [44] and

calculations of universal motivic invariants by Röndigs, Spitzweck and Østvær [46; 47]. One of the main purposes of this paper is to compare the logarithmic slice filtration with the Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze [9] filtration on topological Hochschild homology and refinements.

Assuming that k is a perfect field of characteristic p and A is a smooth k -algebra, Bhatt, Morrow and Scholze [9, Section 1.4] expected that their filtrations on topological Hochschild homology $\mathrm{THH}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (and the related theories $\mathrm{TC}^-(A; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathrm{TP}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $\mathrm{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p)$) afford a precise relation with filtrations on algebraic K -theory $\mathrm{K}(A)$ (see Friedlander and Suslin [24] and Levine [33]) via the trace maps

$$\mathrm{K}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{TC}^-(A; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{TP}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

In the literature, these filtrations are often called “motivic filtrations”. Mathew [37, page 4] verified this expectation by showing that the filtrations are compatible using Postnikov towers in (pro-)Nisnevich and (pro-)étale topologies. Furthermore, Mathew raised a deeper question regarding the existence of a unified construction that could realize both filtrations.

This paper aims to explore the motivic properties of these filtrations using logarithmic motivic homotopy theory developed in [17] and provide a first positive answer in this direction. A brief recapitulation of Voevodsky’s slice filtration in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory will help clarify our approach. In algebraic topology, a standard method to understand a spectrum E is to study its Postnikov tower, ie how it is built out of topological Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra. Sending E to its $(n-1)$ -connected cover $E^{(n)}$ defines a functor from SH to the full subcategory $\Sigma^n \mathrm{SH}_{\geq 0}$ of $(n-1)$ -connected spectra, which is right adjoint to the obvious inclusion. Replacing the category $\Sigma^n \mathrm{SH}_{\geq 0}$ with the category $\Sigma^{2n, n} \mathrm{SH}(S)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ measuring \mathbb{G}_m -effectivity, Voevodsky defined a motivic analog of the Postnikov tower, known as the slice tower

$$f_{n+1} E \rightarrow f_n E \rightarrow f_{n-1} E \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E$$

for any motivic spectrum E . When $E = \mathbf{KGL}$ is the spectrum representing algebraic K -theory, the induced spectral sequence is the motivic Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence of Levine [33] and Voevodsky [51].

Since (topological) Hochschild homology and its variants are not \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant (in fact, the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization of THH is trivial, see eg Elmanto [21]), there is no motivic spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ representing them and thus Voevodsky’s slice machinery is inapplicable. In [17], we developed an extension of $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ using the language of log schemes and proved that there are indeed \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra representing THH and variants. The HKR filtration, the Beilinson filtration, and the Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze filtration on \mathbf{HH} , \mathbf{HC}^- , \mathbf{THH} , \mathbf{TC}^- and so on are all compatible with the bounding maps defining the motivic spectra \mathbf{HH} , \mathbf{HC}^- , \mathbf{THH} , \mathbf{TC}^- and relatives, giving rise to filtered \mathbb{P}^1 -motivic spectra. See Propositions 4.9 and 5.8. In [17, Definition 6.5.6] we also constructed a \mathbb{P}^1 -motivic spectrum \mathbf{KGL} (denoted by \mathbf{logKGL} in [17]), representing algebraic K -theory, equipped with a motivic lift of the (logarithmic) cyclotomic trace map when $S = k$ is a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. See [17, Theorem 8.6.3, Remark 8.6.4].

In this work, we propose an analog of Voevodsky’s slice filtration within the context of $\mathrm{logSH}(S)$ and its linearized variant $\mathrm{logDA}(S)$. We define the effective category $\mathrm{logSH}(S)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ as the localizing subcategory

of $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$ generated by \mathbb{P}^1 -suspensions of $(X, \partial X) \in \mathrm{Sm}/S$, where $X \in \mathrm{Sm}/S$ and ∂X is a strict normal crossing divisor on X . Similarly, we define the coeffective category $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)^{\mathrm{coeff}}$ as its right orthogonal in the obvious sense. Through (de)suspension, we obtain the n -effective and the m -coeffective categories for every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following Spitzweck and Østvær [49], we will consider the very effective version of the slice filtration in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$. Rather than measuring \mathbb{G}_m -effectivity, the very effective slice filtration measures \mathbb{P}^1 -effectivity and has strong convergence properties. Our main results are the following. Below, when $\mathrm{Fil}_\bullet \mathcal{F}$ is a filtration on \mathcal{F} , we set $\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathcal{F} := \mathrm{cofib}(\mathrm{Fil}_{n+1} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 4.14) *Let R be any ring, and let $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth R -scheme. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complexes*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{HKR}}^n \mathbf{HH}(-/R), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^n \mathbf{HC}^(-/R), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^n \mathbf{HP}(-/R)$$

are n -co-very effective, that is, they belong to the subcategory $\log\mathrm{DA}(S)_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{veff}}$ of Construction 2.4. In particular, there are canonical morphisms

$$\tilde{f}_n \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_n^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R),$$

and similarly for \mathbf{HC}^- and \mathbf{HP} , where \tilde{f}_n denotes the n^{th} very effective slice functor in $\log\mathrm{DA}(S)$.

Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 5.17) *Let S be the spectrum of a p -adic quasisyntomic ring R . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the filtered spectra*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}^(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. In particular, there are canonical morphisms

$$\tilde{f}_n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_n^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}^-$, $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}$.

Assume now that $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is a field of positive characteristic p , admitting resolution of singularities. Since topological cyclic homology and variants are, in any case, étale sheaves, we can consider an étale variant of the very effective slice filtration in the subcategory spanned by p -completed objects in $\log\mathrm{SH}(k)$. Our result is then the following.

Theorem 1.3 (see Theorem 7.5) *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. Then, the induced morphism*

$$\tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{két}} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an equivalence in the ∞ -category of p -complete Kummer étale motives $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge$ for every integer i . Here, we denote by $\tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{két}}$ the i^{th} very effective cover in $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge$.

In other words, despite having very different origins, we can identify the slice and the BMS filtration. We see this as an answer to Mathew’s question for $\mathrm{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, ie the slice filtrations realize the two

“motivic filtrations” on \mathbf{K} and $\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We remark that the proof of the above theorem crucially relies on Geisser and Levine [26], together with a motivic version of the prismatic–crystalline comparison by Binda, Lundemo, Merici and Park [11].

It is a natural question to compare the slice towers in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$ and $\mathrm{SH}(S)$. When the base S is the spectrum of a field that admits resolution of singularities, we can combine the above results with the trace methods and obtain the following.

Theorem 1.4 *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ admitting resolution of singularities.*

(1) *The log motivic trace maps in $\log\mathrm{SH}(k)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{KGL} &\rightarrow \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), & \mathbf{KGL} &\rightarrow \mathbf{TC}^-(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \mathbf{KGL} &\rightarrow \mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), & \mathbf{KGL} &\rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with the slice filtrations on the left-hand sides and the BMS filtrations on the right-hand sides.

(2) *The natural maps*

$$\mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}^-(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

on the graded pieces give rise to the natural maps

$$\mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i] \rightarrow L_{\mathrm{sp\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{W}\Omega_{\log}^i[i] \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_i^N \mathbf{W}\Omega[2i] \rightarrow \mathbf{W}\Omega[2i], \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega[2i].$$

(3) *The graded pieces of the log trace maps yield natural morphisms for every $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$*

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{s}}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\acute{\mathrm{t}}}(X, \tau^{\leq i} \Omega), \\ R\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{s}}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\acute{\mathrm{t}}}(X, \mathrm{Fil}_i^N W\Omega), \\ R\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{s}}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\acute{\mathrm{t}}}(X, W\Omega), \\ R\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{sp\acute{e}t}}(X, W\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]), \end{aligned}$$

where the left-hand side denotes Voevodsky–Suslin–Friedlander motivic cohomology [53] with integral coefficients.

In light of Levine’s comparison [33, Theorem 9.0.3] between the homotopy coniveau tower and the slice tower, we obtain in particular that for $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$, the log trace maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{THH}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p), & \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{TC}^-(X; \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{TP}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p), & \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with the homotopy coniveau filtrations on the left-hand sides and the BMS filtrations on the right-hand sides. As an immediate corollary, we obtain a natural morphism from the motivic Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence [33, Section 11.3]

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathrm{mot}}^{i-j}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(-j)) \Rightarrow \pi_{-i-j} \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X)$$

to the log version of the BMS spectral sequence [9, Theorem 1.12(5)] (see [13, Theorem 1.3] for the log case)

$$E_2^{ij} = H_{\text{syn}}^{i-j}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(-j)) \Rightarrow \pi_{-i-j} \text{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

for $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$ and in particular for $X \in \text{Sm}/k$. In particular, we get directly that the morphism

$$H_{\text{mot}}^i(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn}}^i(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

considered in [9, Corollary 8.21] and [5, Theorem 6.15] (using Geisser–Levine to identify p -adic motivic cohomology) factors canonically through the log syntomic cohomology $H_{\text{syn}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$. Note that the input of [26] is not necessary to obtain the map: it is just a consequence of the compatibility between the slice filtration and the BMS filtration, together with [33, Theorem 6.4.2]. For p -adic motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Q}_p -coefficients, a similar refinement has been considered by Ertl and Niziol in [23]; see Remark 7.11. The comparison between motivic cohomology and syntomic cohomology (the non-log case) is also considered in the recent work of Elmanto and Morrow [22].

The nontopological counterpart (for classical cyclic, periodic and Hochschild homology) also holds.

Theorem 1.5 *Let k be a perfect field of admitting resolution of singularities (eg char $k = 0$).*

(1) *The log motivic trace maps in $\text{logSH}(k)$*

$$\mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{HH}(-/k), \quad \mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{HC}^(-/k), \quad \mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{HP}(-/k)$$

are compatible with the slice filtrations on the left-hand sides, the HKR filtration on \mathbf{HH} , and the Beilinson filtrations on \mathbf{HC}^- and \mathbf{HP} .

(2) *The graded pieces of the log motivic trace maps are identified with*

$$\mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i] \rightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}^i[i], \quad \mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i] \rightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}^{\geq i}[2i], \quad \mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i] \rightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}[2i].$$

(3) *The graded pieces of the log trace maps yield natural morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{sNis}}(X, \mathbf{\Omega}^i)[-i], \\ R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{sNis}}(X, \mathbf{\Omega}^{\geq i}), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{sNis}}(X, \mathbf{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the compatibility between the slice filtration and the BMS filtration along the trace map has been established using completely different methods; see again [22] and the upcoming work of Bachmann, Elmanto and Morrow. Our results refine such statements (at least over a field), since the trace map further factors through the log invariants, in a way that is compatible with the motivic filtrations on the source and target.

Finally, in the last section of the paper, we consider the slice filtration in the (hypercomplete) Kummer étale version $\text{logSH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)$ of $\text{logSH}(k)$.

Theorem 1.6 (see [Theorem 7.7](#)) *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities, and assume that the étale cohomological dimension of k is finite.*

There are natural equivalences

$$S_i^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \tilde{S}_i^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq L_{\text{két}} S_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq L_{\text{két}} \tilde{S}_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \Sigma^{2i,i} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}$$

in $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)$. Moreover, $L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}$ represents Lichtenbaum étale motivic cohomology (see Mazza, Voevodsky and Weibel [38, Lecture 10]) when restricted to Sm/k .

For $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$ note moreover that there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)}(\Sigma^{\infty} X_+, L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL}) \simeq L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{K}(X),$$

where the right-hand side is the Kummer étale hypersheafification of the functor $X \mapsto \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X)$ for $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$. In particular, for $X \in \text{Sm}/k$ it is just étale K -theory.

Remark 1.7 [Theorem 1.4](#) provides in particular a natural map for every $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$

$$R\Gamma(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{sp\acute{e}t}}(X, W\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]).$$

As pointed out by a referee, one could also consider the composite morphism

$$R\Gamma(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X - \partial X, \tau_{\geq i} \mathbb{Z}(i)) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X - \partial X, \mathcal{K}_i^M[-i]) \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} R\Gamma_{\text{sp\acute{e}t}}(X, W\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]),$$

where \mathcal{K}_i^M is the sheaf of Milnor K -theory, and the dlog map can be constructed using for instance the argument in Jannsen, Saito and Zhao [31, Section 1]. It is reasonable to expect that the maps agree. However, this does not follow directly from our results since one would have to explicitly check the shapes of the cyclotomic trace and the resulting map after applying the slice filtration. We leave this problem to the interested reader.

Remark 1.8 (on resolution of singularities) For the K -theoretic applications we have assumed to be working over a field k admitting resolution of singularities. This is used in the explicit computation of the right adjoint ω^* from Voevodsky’s motives to log motives, that on a smooth k -scheme X satisfies $\omega^*(X) = (\bar{X}, \partial X)$, where \bar{X} is a smooth proper compactification of X , with normal crossing boundary ∂X . This simple formula allows us, for example, to identify $\omega^* \mathbf{KGL}$ as well as $\omega^* \mathbf{MZ}$, and to show that ω^* commutes with taking (very effective) slices. Using the above, we can directly invoke the known results in $\text{SH}(k)$. One may ask about compatibility between ω^* and f_n without assuming resolution of singularities. We expect that the analog of Levine’s computation holds for the log K -theory spectrum \mathbf{logKGL} defined in [17, Section 6.5]. We plan to address this question in future work.

1.9 Outline of the proofs Let us discuss the main idea of the proof of [Theorems 1.1 and 1.2](#). In [17], we constructed motivic spectra in $\log\text{SH}(S)$ representing logarithmic Hochschild, periodic, and cyclic homology. Such spectra are Bott periodic spectra, in the sense that the strict Nisnevich sheaf $\text{HH}(-)$ of spectra on lSch/S satisfies $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \text{HH} \simeq \text{HH}$ (and similarly for $\text{HP}(-)$ and $\text{HC}^-(-)$). The compatibility of

the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle formula with the HKR and the Beilinson filtration allows us to show (see Proposition 4.11) that we can promote the construction and obtain filtered motivic \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra, satisfying the equivalence

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathrm{HH}(-/R)(1)[2] \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathrm{HH}(-/R),$$

and similarly for HP and HC^- with the Beilinson filtration, for any ring R . At this point, the coeffectivity of $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{HKR}}^n \mathrm{HH}$ with respect to the t -structure given by the very effective slice tower is a direct consequence of the vanishing of the sheaf cohomology $H^{2j}(X, \Omega_{X/R}^j)$ for negative j .

The topological counterpart, that is, for THH and variants, is proven by a conceptually similar method. More precisely, one shows using the \mathbb{P}^1 bundle formula that the BMS filtration on THH gives an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)(1)[2] \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and similarly for TP, TC^- and TC; see Proposition 5.11. The coeffectivity statement can then be deduced by passing to the associated graded, reducing to vanishing in (log) prismatic cohomology. This is shown by log quasisyntomic descent. Overall, the coeffectivity results immediately imply the existence of the natural morphisms between the very effective slice tower and the BMS, HKR and Beilinson filtrations.

Let us now consider the natural functor $\omega^*: \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{logSH}(S)$, right adjoint to the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor from $\mathrm{logSH}(S)$ to $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ for S a scheme. When $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is a perfect field that admits resolution of singularities, it is easy to show that ω^* commutes with the (very effective) slice filtration on both sides. Using the results in [17, Section 7.7] and Levine [33, Theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.2], we can then identify the very effective slices of $\omega^* \mathbf{KGL}$ with motivic cohomology in $\mathrm{logSH}(k)$. Combining this with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we obtain Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Finally, in Section 7, we consider the very effective slices of Kummer étale K -theory. This allows us to consider a spectrum representing Lichtenbaum étale motivic cohomology in $\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{két}}(k)$: if k admits resolution of singularities, this is computed by the zeroth very effective slice of the Kummer étale sheafification of \mathbf{KGL} . See Theorem 7.7. Using Geisser–Levine as input, together with the identification $\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i) \simeq L_{\mathrm{sproét}} \mathbf{W}\Omega_{\mathrm{log}}^i[-i]$ as motivic spectra from [11], we can further show that in the Kummer étale (or in the strict étale) p -complete category, we have $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \in (\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. Since we have already proved in general that $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is also i -co-very effective, we conclude.

Acknowledgements We thank Marc Levine and Alberto Merici for inspiring discussions, and Matthew Morrow for helpful comments on a preliminary version of the paper. We are also grateful to the referee for their report, which significantly helped improve the exposition. Binda is partially supported by PRIN 2022 “*The arithmetic of motives and L-functions*” at MUR (Italy). Park is partially supported by the research training group GRK 2240 “*Algebra-geometric methods in algebra, arithmetic and topology*”. Østvær was partially supported by the European Commission – Horizon-MSCA-PF-2022 “*Motivic integral p-adic cohomologies*”, the RCN Project 312472 “*Equations in motivic homotopy theory*”, and a Guest Professorship awarded by the Radboud Excellence Initiative.

2 Slices for logarithmic motives

Throughout this section, let S be a quasicompact and quasiseparated scheme, and let τ be any topology on the category ISch/S generated by a family of morphisms in ISm/S . Here, ISch/S denotes the category of fs log schemes of finite type over S , and ISm/S denotes the category of log smooth fs log schemes of finite type over S . Recall that the category SmlSm/S is the full subcategory of ISm/S consisting of $X \in \mathrm{ISm}/S$ such that $\underline{X} \in \mathrm{Sm}/S$. By [17, Remark 2.2.19], [16, Lemma A.5.10], it can be equivalently described as the category of pairs $X = (\underline{X}, \partial X)$ such that $\underline{X} \in \mathrm{Sm}/S$, $X - \partial X$ is an open subscheme of \underline{X} , and the closed complement ∂X is a strict normal crossing divisor on \underline{X} . We see $(\underline{X}, \partial X)$ as a log scheme with the compactifying log structure given by the embedding $X - \partial X \subset \underline{X}$.

We work with the Nisnevich sheaf of stable presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda}^{\mathrm{L}}), \quad S \mapsto \log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^\otimes,$$

given by

$$\log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^\otimes := \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathrm{Sh}_\tau(\mathrm{SmlSm}/S, \mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda)[(\mathbb{P}^\bullet, \mathbb{P}^{\bullet-1})^{-1}])^\otimes$$

introduced in [17, Section 3.4], where Λ is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring, and Mod_Λ denotes the ∞ -category of Λ -module objects in Sp . Note that this ∞ -category is equivalent to the model obtained by looking at (\mathbb{P}^1, ∞) -local dividing Nisnevich sheaves on ISm/S by [17, Corollary 3.4.7]. It admits a symmetric monoidal structure and is presentable and stable by [36, Theorems 4.5.2.1(1) and 7.1.2.1, and Corollary 7.1.1.5]. To simplify the notation, we will often omit the superscript \otimes . If Λ is the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} , then we omit Λ in $\log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$. If Λ is the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum HR for a commutative ring R , then we set

$$\log\mathrm{DA}_\tau(S) := \log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, H\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \log\mathrm{DA}_\tau(S, R) := \log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, HR).$$

If τ is the strict Nisnevich topology, we omit the subscript τ .

We will be using the following conventions for filtrations. Let \mathcal{F} be an object of an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . The ∞ -category of filtered objects of \mathcal{C} is $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C})$, where \mathbb{Z}^{op} is the category consisting of the integers such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{op}}}(i, j)$ is $*$ if $i \geq j$ and \emptyset if $i < j$. A filtered object $\mathcal{F}(-)$ of \mathcal{C} is *complete* if $\lim_i \mathcal{F}(i) \simeq 0$. The *underlying object* of $\mathcal{F}(-)$ is $\mathcal{F}(-\infty) := \mathrm{colim}_i \mathcal{F}(i)$. The filtration $\mathcal{F}(-)$ on $\mathcal{F}(-\infty)$ is *exhaustive*. We write

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(i), \quad \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F} := \mathrm{cofib}(\mathrm{Fil}_{i+1} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}), \quad \mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{F} := \mathrm{cofib}(\mathrm{Fil}_{i+1} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_i \mathcal{F}).$$

Observe that we have $\mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} \mathcal{F})$.

The slice filtration on $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ is due to Voevodsky [50, Section 2]. We have its direct analog on $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$ as follows.

Construction 2.1 Let $\log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ be the category of *effective spectra*, that is, the full stable ∞ -subcategory of $\log\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ generated under colimits by $\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$; here $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$ (denoted by $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$ in [17]) is the \mathbb{P}^1 -suspension spectrum of $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/S$ equipped with an extra basepoint, n is an integer, and $\Sigma^{p,q}$ tensoring with the log motivic sphere $S^{p-q} \otimes (\mathbb{A}^1/(\mathbb{A}^1, 0))^{\otimes q}$

(equivalently, it is the localizing subcategory as a triangulated generated by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$ for varying X , which means the smallest full triangulated subcategory containing those objects and closed under direct sums). See also [15, Section 4] for a quick recollection.

For every integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}} \subset \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ be the full subcategory of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ generated under colimits by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i E$ for $E \in \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}}$. These categories form an exhaustive filtration of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ by subcategories

$$\dots \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{i+1} \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}} \subset \dots \subset \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda).$$

Definition 2.2 We will write $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$ for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}}$ and call it the ∞ -category of i -effective spectra.

Similarly, let $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$ be the ∞ -category of i -coeffective spectra, that is, the full subcategory of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ spanned by those objects \mathcal{G} such that $\text{Hom}_{\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq 0$ for every $\mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i+1}^{\text{eff}}$.

The inclusion $\iota_i : \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}} \subset \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ preserves colimits and so admits a right adjoint r_i . Let $f_i^\tau \rightarrow \text{id}$ be the counit of this adjunction pair, and let $f_i^{\tau-1}$ be the cofiber of this natural transformation. Observe that the essential image of f_i^τ is in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}}$. We set $s_i^\tau := \text{cofib}(f_{i+1}^\tau \rightarrow f_i^\tau)$. We omit τ in $f_i^\tau, f_i^{\tau-1}$ and s_i^τ when $\tau = \text{sNis}$.

We also remark that the inclusion ι_i preserves compact objects. Thus, its right adjoint r_i preserves filtered colimits [35, Proposition 5.5.7.2], and hence the composite $f_i = \iota_i r_i$ preserves filtered colimits too.

Remark 2.3 The category $\log\text{SH}(S)^{\text{eff}}$ is a stable symmetric monoidal ∞ -subcategory of $\log\text{SH}(S)$.

We also consider the log version of the very effective slice filtration following Section 5 of Spitzweck and Østvær [49].

Construction 2.4 Let $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$ be the smallest full subcategory of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ containing $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$, closed under colimits and closed under extensions in the sense that if $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ is a cofiber sequence in $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ with X and Z in $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$, then also $Y \in \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$. We note that $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -subcategory of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$, but unlike $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}}$ it is not stable.

We can consider the colimit-preserving inclusions (we omit τ and Λ for simplicity)

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{i+1} \log\text{SH}(S)^{\text{veff}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}(S)^{\text{veff}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{i-1} \log\text{SH}(S)^{\text{veff}} \subset \dots \subset \log\text{SH}(S),$$

giving rise via their right adjoints to functorial filtrations

$$\tilde{f}_{i+1}^\tau E \rightarrow \tilde{f}_i^\tau E \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i-1}^\tau E \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E,$$

where each \tilde{f}_i^τ is the right adjoint to the inclusion $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}} \subset \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$. The very effective slice functors $\tilde{s}_i^\tau : \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda) \rightarrow \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ are then defined by the cofiber sequence

$$\tilde{f}_{i+1}^\tau \rightarrow \tilde{f}_i^\tau \rightarrow \tilde{s}_i^\tau.$$

Note that one can effectively “compute” the i^{th} slice as $\tilde{s}_i^\tau E \simeq \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \tilde{s}_0^\tau E$ for every motivic spectrum E that satisfies Bott periodicity $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1} E \simeq E$. As before, we omit τ in f_i^τ and f_τ^i when $\tau = \text{sNis}$.

Definition 2.5 We will write $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{veff}}$ for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$. We define for every integer i the category $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\leq 0}^{\text{veff}}$ to be the category of *co-very effective* spectra, that is the full subcategory of $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ that is spanned by those objects \mathcal{G} such that $\text{Hom}_{\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq 0$ for every $\mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq 1}^{\text{veff}}$. We similarly write $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{veff}}$ for the right orthogonal subcategory to $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i+1}^{\text{veff}}$.

Remark 2.6 For every integer i , observe that we have the obvious inclusions

$$\log\text{SH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\text{veff}} \subset \log\text{SH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}} \quad \text{and} \quad \log\text{SH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}} \subset \log\text{SH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{veff}}.$$

Remark 2.7 Assume that $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ is a field and that $\tau = \text{dNis}$. As in \mathbb{A}^1 -motivic homotopy theory, $\log\text{SH}_\tau(k, \Lambda)^{\text{veff}}$ is the connective part of t -structures on $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)$ and on $\log\text{SH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)^{\text{eff}}$ by [36, Proposition 1.4.4.11]. Note that the analog of Morel’s connectivity theorem in the log setting [14, Theorem 3.2], together with semipurity [14, Theorem 4.4], gives that this is exactly the nonnegative part of the standard homotopy t -structure; see [40, Theorem 5.2.3].

Remark 2.8 A similar construction can be performed in other motivic settings. For example, one could consider, in the category of \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra MS_S introduced by Annala and Iwasa [2], the subcategory $\text{MS}_S^{\text{veff}}$ generated by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$ for $X \in \text{Sm}/S$ and closed under colimits and extensions. Again by [36, Proposition 1.4.4.11], this is the connective part of a t -structure on MS_S (that we can call the homotopy t -structure, if the expected connection to homotopy sheaves holds). We can consider the colimit-preserving inclusions

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{i+1} \text{MS}_S^{\text{veff}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i \text{MS}_S^{\text{veff}} \subset \cdots \subset \text{MS}_S,$$

giving rise via their right adjoints to functorial filtrations

$$\tilde{f}_{i+1} E \rightarrow \tilde{f}_i E \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E$$

for any spectrum E .

Recall from [17, Construction 4.0.19] that there is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\lambda_\# : \text{MS}_S \rightarrow \log\text{SH}(S)$$

induced by the functor $\lambda : \text{Sm}/S \rightarrow \text{SmSm}/S$ given by $\lambda(X) := X$ (seen as log scheme with trivial log structure). The following is immediate from the definitions.

Proposition 2.9 *Let S be any quasicompact quasiseparated scheme. Then for every integer n we have the inclusion $\lambda_\# \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n \text{MS}_S^{\text{veff}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n \log\text{SH}(S)^{\text{veff}}$.*

We record some elementary properties of the slice filtration.

Lemma 2.10 *Let τ' be a topology on SmlSm/S finer than τ . Then for every integer i , the τ' -localization functor*

$$L_{\tau'} : \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda) \rightarrow \log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda)$$

sends $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$ into $\log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$, and its right adjoint

$$\iota : \log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda) \hookrightarrow \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)$$

sends $\log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$ into $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$. A similar result holds for the very effective version, too.

Proof We only give proof for the effective version. The statement about the left adjoint is a consequence of the fact that $L_{\tau'}$ preserves colimits and sends $\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+$ to $\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+$ for every integer n . Use the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)}(\mathcal{F}, \iota\mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda)}(L_{\tau'}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$$

for $\mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i+1}^{\text{eff}}$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau'}(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$ to deduce the statement about the right adjoint. \square

Lemma 2.11 *Let $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ be a map of \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings. Then the base-change functor*

$$-\otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda' : \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda) \rightarrow \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda')$$

sends $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$ into $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda')_{\geq i}^{\text{eff}}$, and the restriction functor

$$\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda') \rightarrow \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)$$

sends $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda')_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$ to $\log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$. A similar result holds for the very effective version, too.

Proof This is a consequence of the fact that $-\otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda'$ preserves colimits and sends $\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+$ to $\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma^{\infty}X_+$ for every $X \in \text{SmlSm}/S$ and integer n . \square

In particular, the restriction functor for the morphism $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}$

$$\log\text{DA}(S) = \log\text{SH}(S, H\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \log\text{SH}(S)$$

sends $\log\text{DA}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$ to $\log\text{SH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$.

The following easy lemma will be useful for the main theorem later in the text.

Lemma 2.12 *If $\mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)$ admits a filtration such that $\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$ for every integer i , then there exists a unique natural morphism of filtered objects*

$$f_{\bullet}^{\tau} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_{\bullet} \mathcal{F}$$

whose morphism of underlying objects is $\text{id} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)$ admits a filtration such that $\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{F} \in \log\text{SH}_{\tau}(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\text{veff}}$ for every i , then there exists a unique natural morphism of filtered objects

$$\tilde{f}_{\bullet}^{\tau} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_{\bullet} \mathcal{F}$$

whose morphism of underlying objects is $\text{id} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Proof We only give proof for the effective version. Consider the naturally induced maps

$$f_\tau^i \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_\tau^i(\mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F},$$

where the first map is obtained by functoriality and the second map is the inverse of the counit of the adjunction, which is an equivalence since $\mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\leq i}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ for every integer i . By taking $\mathrm{fib}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (-))$, we get the desired morphism $f_\bullet^\tau \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_\bullet \mathcal{F}$. For its uniqueness, consider the induced exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(\Sigma^{1,0} f_{i+1}^\tau \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(f_\tau^i \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)}(f_{i+1}^\tau \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

The first and fourth terms are 0 since $\Sigma^{1,0}$ sends $\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i+1}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ into itself (note that this works verbatim for $\mathrm{logSH}_\tau(S, \Lambda)_{\geq i+1}^{\mathrm{veff}}$ as well, since it is closed under colimits, hence in particular under positive suspensions). This establishes the uniqueness of $f^\bullet \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_\bullet \mathcal{F}$ and hence the uniqueness of $f_\bullet^\tau \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_\bullet \mathcal{F}$. □

3 Slice filtration on K-theory

One of the fundamental results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory is the equivalence $s_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \Sigma^{2i,i} \mathbf{MZ}$ in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$, where k is a perfect field, due to Voevodsky [52] in characteristic zero and Levine [33] in general (this is now known more generally, eg over Dedekind schemes by [7] and [46, Theorem 2.19]). The effective and the very effective slices of \mathbf{KGL} coincide, see [1, Lemma 2.4], so that, in particular, the motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum \mathbf{MZ} is very effective.

In this section, we promote this to $\mathrm{logSH}(k)$, assuming resolution of singularities.

Proposition 3.1 *Let S be a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme. Then, for every integer i , we have the inclusion*

$$\omega^* \mathrm{SH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \mathrm{logSH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\mathrm{eff}}.$$

A similar result holds for the very effective version, too.

Proof By adjunction, it suffices to show $\omega_\# \mathrm{logSH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \mathrm{SH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ and $\omega_\# \mathrm{logSH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}} \subset \mathrm{SH}(S)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. This holds since $\omega_\#$ preserves colimits and $\omega_\# \Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \simeq \Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma^\infty (Y - \partial Y)_+$ for $Y \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/S$ and integer n . □

Proposition 3.2 *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. Then, for every integer i , we have the inclusion*

$$\omega^* \mathrm{SH}(k)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \mathrm{logSH}(k)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{eff}}.$$

A similar result holds for the very effective version, too.

Proof For $X \in \text{Sm}/k$, there exists proper $Y \in \text{SmlSm}/k$ such that $Y - \partial Y \cong X$ by resolution of singularities. Due to [43, Theorem 1.1(2)], we have an equivalence $\omega^* \Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma^\infty X_+ \simeq \Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma^\infty Y_+$ for every integer n . To conclude, observe that ω^* preserves colimits by [43, Theorem 1.1(4)]. \square

Proposition 3.3 *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. For $\mathcal{F} \in \text{SH}(k)$ and integer i , there is a natural equivalence*

$$\omega^* f_i \overline{\mathcal{F}} \simeq f_i \omega^* \overline{\mathcal{F}}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(k)$. A similar result holds for the very effective version, too.

Proof We have the natural fiber sequence

$$f_i \omega^* f_i \overline{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow f_i \omega^* \overline{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow f_i \omega^* \Gamma^{i-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(k)$. Proposition 3.2 implies $f_i \omega^* f_i \overline{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \omega^* f_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, and Proposition 3.1 implies $f_i \omega^* \Gamma^{i-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \simeq 0$. From these, we obtain the desired equivalence. \square

Let now \mathbf{KGL} denote the motivic \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum representing algebraic K -theory in $\log\text{SH}(k)$ constructed in [17, Definition 6.5.6] (denoted by \mathbf{logKGL} in *loc. cit.*), and let $\mathbf{MZ} \in \log\text{SH}(k)$ denote the motivic \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum representing integral motivic cohomology constructed in [17, Definition 7.7.5], denoted by \mathbf{logMZ} in [*loc. cit.*]). Since \mathbf{KGL} and \mathbf{MZ} satisfy the property that $\mathbf{MZ} \simeq \omega^* \mathbf{MZ}$ when k admits resolution of singularities, and $\mathbf{KGL} \simeq \omega^* \mathbf{KGL}$ in general, we may unambiguously drop the prefix \mathbf{log} from the notation.

Theorem 3.4 *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. Then the slice filtration and the very effective slice filtration on $\mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}(k)$ agree and are complete and exhaustive. Furthermore, there are natural equivalences*

$$s_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \widetilde{s}_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i]$$

in $\log\text{SH}(k)$ for every integer i , where $\mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i]$ denotes the suspension $\Sigma^{2i,i} \mathbf{MZ}$.

Proof We have equivalences

$$s_i \mathbf{KGL} \stackrel{(1)}{\simeq} s_i \omega^* \mathbf{KGL} \stackrel{(2)}{\simeq} \omega^* s_i \mathbf{KGL} \stackrel{(3)}{\simeq} \omega^* \Sigma^{2i,i} \mathbf{MZ} \stackrel{(4)}{\simeq} \Sigma^{2i,i} \mathbf{MZ}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(k)$, where (1) is due to [17, Definition 6.5.6], (2) is due to Proposition 3.3, (3) is due to [33, Theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.3], and (4) is due to [17, Proposition 7.7.6].

We also have $f_i \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \omega^* f_i \mathbf{KGL}$. To show that the slice filtration on $\mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}(k)$ is complete and exhaustive, it suffices to show that the slice filtration on $\mathbf{KGL} \in \text{SH}(k)$ is complete and exhaustive since ω^* preserves colimits and limits. The fact that \mathbf{KGL} is slice complete can be found, for instance, in [45, Lemma 3.11].

For the very effective slice of $\mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}(k)$, use [1, Lemma 2.4] and argue similarly as above. \square

4 HKR filtration on logarithmic Hochschild homology

Throughout this section, we fix (R, P) a pre-log ring, and S a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme over $\text{Spec}(R)$. In practice, the reader can ignore P in most statements and assume that R is always considered with a trivial log structure.

Our goal is to construct the HKR filtration on $\mathbf{HH}(-/R)$ and Beilinson filtrations on $\mathbf{HC}^-(-/R)$ and $\mathbf{HP}(-/R)$ in $\text{logDA}(S)$, which are the \mathbb{P}^1 -stabilized versions of the corresponding filtrations on $\mathbf{HH}(-/R)$, $\mathbf{HC}^-(-/R)$ and $\mathbf{HP}(-/R)$, built, in the log setting, in our previous works [12] and [13]. We also explore their fundamental properties.

Definition 4.1 For a map of pre-log rings $(R, P) \rightarrow (A, M)$ and integer i , we set

$$\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^i := \wedge_A^i \mathbb{L}_{(A,M)/(R,P)},$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{(A,M)/(R,P)}$ is Gabber’s logarithmic cotangent complex [42, Section 8], and $\wedge_A^i(-)$ is the i^{th} derived exterior power. The *logarithmic derived de Rham cohomology* of $(R, P) \rightarrow (A, M)$ is defined as the total complex

$$\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)} := \text{Tot}(\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^1 \rightarrow \dots).$$

This admits the Hodge filtration given by

$$\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^{\geq i} := \text{Tot}(0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots),$$

where $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^i$ is in cohomological degree i for $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}^{\geq i}$ for $i < 0$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{(A,M)/(R,P)}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(A,M)/(R,P)}$ with respect to the Hodge filtration, and let $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq n}$ be the n^{th} term of the induced Hodge filtration of $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{(A,M)/(R,P)}$.

Remark 4.2 By Zariski descent, we can also define the sheaf of complexes $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^i$, $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}$, $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq i}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq i}$ on X_{Zar} for every log scheme X over (R, P) .

We now list some facts that we will use in the paper.

- (1) For any map of pre-log rings $(R, P) \rightarrow (A, M)$, the logarithmic Hochschild homology

$$\mathbf{HH}((A, M)/(R, P))$$

of [12, Definition 5.3] admits a separated, descending filtration with graded pieces

$$\wedge_A^i \mathbb{L}_{(A,M)/(R,P)}[i].$$

This is obtained by Kan extension of the Postnikov filtration in the polynomial case. We shall refer to this as the log HKR filtration; see [12, Theorem 1.1].

(2) The negative cyclic homology and the periodic homology

$$\mathrm{HC}^-((A, M)/(R, P)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HP}((A, M)/(R, P))$$

admit the complete exhaustive *Beilinson filtrations*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\geq \bullet}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{HC}^-((A, M)/(R, P)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\geq \bullet}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{HP}((A, M)/(R, P))$$

with graded pieces $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{(A, M)/(R, P)}^{\geq n}[2n]$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{(A, M)/(R, P)}[2n]$. The non-log case is due to Antieau [4, Theorem 1.1], while the log case is [13, Theorem 1.1]. If $(A, M) \in \mathrm{Poly}(R, P)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{HC}^-((A, M)/(R, P)) &:= \tau_{\geq 2i}^{\mathrm{B}} \tau_{\geq \bullet} \mathrm{HC}^-((A, M)/(R, P)), \\ \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{HP}((A, M)/(R, P)) &:= \tau_{\geq 2i}^{\mathrm{B}} \tau_{\geq \bullet} \mathrm{HP}((A, M)/(R, P)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{B}}$ denotes the truncation functor for the Beilinson t -structure on the filtered derived category $\mathrm{DF}(A)$; see [4, Definition 2.2]. We obtain the filtrations for general (A, M) by left Kan extension and regarding HC^- and HP as bicomplete bifiltered complexes; see [4, Remark 4.4] or [13, Section 2.2].

Construction 4.3 Let X be a log scheme. The inclusion functor from the category of line bundles over \underline{X} to the category of vector bundles over \underline{X} yields the canonical morphism

$$c_1^K: R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\underline{X}).$$

The *first Chern class for TC* is the composite morphism

$$c_1^{\mathrm{TC}}: R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \xrightarrow{c_1^K} \mathrm{K}(\underline{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} \mathrm{TC}(\underline{X}) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathrm{TC}(X),$$

where $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is the morphism removing the log structure. We similarly obtain the morphisms c_1^{THH} , $c_1^{\mathrm{TC}^-}$, c_1^{TP} , c_1^{HH} , $c_1^{\mathrm{HC}^-}$ and c_1^{HP} to $\mathrm{THH}(X)$, $\mathrm{TC}^-(X)$, $\mathrm{TP}(X)$, $\mathrm{HH}(X)$, $\mathrm{HC}^-(X)$ and $\mathrm{HP}(X)$.

Remark 4.4 We will set the following notation for convenience when dealing with projective bundle formulas below: For a morphism of commutative ring spectra $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $c \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})$, we will often write fc (or simply c if f is clear from the context) for the composite morphism of spectra

$$(4-1) \quad fc: \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{f \otimes c} \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{G},$$

where μ is the multiplication. We also consider the unit $1 \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})$.

Recall the projective bundle formula for $\mathrm{K}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$: The morphism of spectra

$$(1, c_1^K(\mathbb{O}(1))): \mathrm{K}(\underline{X}) \oplus \mathrm{K}(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

is an equivalence, where $\mathbb{O}(1) \in \mathrm{Pic}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong \pi_0(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1])$ and $c_1^K(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in \pi_0(\mathrm{K}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1))$ (implicitly we are writing 1 for the pullback map along $\pi: \underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \underline{X}$). Due to [19, Theorem 1.5], we similarly have the projective bundle formula for $\mathrm{TC}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$: The morphism of spectra

$$(4-2) \quad (1, c_1^{\mathrm{TC}}(\mathbb{O}(1))): \mathrm{TC}(\underline{X}) \oplus \mathrm{TC}(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(\underline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

is an equivalence. Analogous results hold for THH, TC^- , TP, HH, HC^- and HP.

Proposition 4.5 *There is an S^1 -equivariant equivalence of filtered complexes*

$$(4-3) \quad \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[1])\{-1\} \simeq \mathrm{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z}),$$

where the filtrations on \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[1]$ are the Postnikov filtrations. Here, the notation $(\mathbb{Z}[1])\{-1\}$ means

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i((\mathbb{Z}[1])\{-1\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof Every S^1 -action on $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial, so it suffices to show the claim after forgetting the S^1 -actions. We have the equivalences of filtered complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[x] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x]dx[1] &\simeq \mathrm{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x]), \\ \mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}]d(x^{-1})[1] &\simeq \mathrm{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}]), \\ \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]dx[1] &\simeq \mathrm{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]), \end{aligned}$$

where the filtrations are the Postnikov filtrations on both sides. Consider the standard cover on \mathbb{P}^1 , and use the equivalences of complexes

$$\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mathbb{Z}[x] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[-1] \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mathbb{Z}[x]dx \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}]d(x^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]dx)$$

to obtain the desired equivalence. □

Proposition 4.6 *Let X be a log scheme. Then the morphism of spectra*

$$(4-4) \quad (1, c_1^{\mathrm{TC}}(\mathcal{O}(1))) : \mathrm{TC}(X) \oplus \mathrm{TC}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

is an equivalence, and this is obtained by applying $\mathrm{TC}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$ to (4-3). We have similar results for THH, TC^- , TP, HH, HC^- and HP.

Proof We focus on TC since the proofs are similar. By base-change along $\mathrm{TC}(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X)$ from (4-2) we get (4-4). Hence we reduce to the case when X has a trivial log structure.

By [19, Theorem 9.1 and page 1102], we have the commutative diagram of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{K}(X)[1] & \xrightarrow{\tau_K} & \mathrm{K}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{K}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)[1] \\ \mathrm{Tr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Tr} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Tr} \\ \mathrm{TC}(X)[1] & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathrm{TC}}} & \mathrm{TC}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{TC}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)[1] \end{array}$$

where τ_K and τ_{TC} are obtained by the Bass functor structures on K and TC , and the boundary morphisms ∂ are obtained by the standard cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . The composite $\partial \circ \tau_K$ sends the element $1 \in \pi_0 K(X)$ to

$c_1^K(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in \pi_0 K(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, so the composite $\partial \circ \tau_{TC}$ sends $1 \in \pi_0 TC(X)$ to $c_1^{TC}(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in \pi_0 TC(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. It follows that the morphism

$$(1, \partial \circ \tau_{TC}): TC(X) \oplus TC(X) \rightarrow TC(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

agrees with $(1, c_1^{TC}(\mathbb{O}(1)))$.

By [19, pages 1102–1103], we see that $\tau_{T\text{HH}}: T\text{HH}(X)[1] \rightarrow T\text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ is obtained by applying $T\text{HH}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} - \rightarrow \tau_{\text{HH}}: \text{HH}(\mathbb{Z})[1] \rightarrow \text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$ that sends $1 \in \pi_0 \text{HH}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $dx/x \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]/\mathbb{Z}} \cong \pi_1 \text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$. Furthermore, τ_{TC} is obtained by applying TC to $\tau_{T\text{HH}}$. Compare this with (4-3) to conclude. \square

Proposition 4.7 *Let (R, P) be a pre-log ring. For a quasicompact quasiseparated log scheme X over (R, P) and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, the projective bundle formula for $\text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P))$ restricts to natural equivalences of complexes*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fil}_i^{\text{HKR}} \text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{Fil}_i^{\text{HKR}} \text{HH}(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{HKR}} \text{HH}(X/(R, P)), \\ \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}} \text{HC}^-(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}} \text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{B}} \text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)), \\ \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}} \text{HP}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}} \text{HP}(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{B}} \text{HP}(X/(R, P)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof We refer to [13, Section 2.2] for the category $\text{Poly}_{(R, P)}$ of polynomial (R, P) -algebras. By Zariski descent and left Kan extension, we reduce to the case when $X := \text{Spec}(A, M)$ with $(A, M) \in \text{Poly}_{(R, P)}$. In this case, the HKR filtration on $\text{HH}(X/(R, P))$ is the Postnikov filtration. Hence we have the S^1 -equivariant equivalence of filtered complexes

$$\text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) \simeq \text{HH}(X/(R, P)) \otimes \text{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Together with Proposition 4.5, we obtain the S^1 -equivariant decomposition of filtered complexes

$$(4-5) \quad \text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) \simeq \text{HH}(X/(R, P)) \oplus (\text{HH}(X/(R, P))[1])\{-1\},$$

where the filtrations on $\text{HH}(X/(R, P))$ and $\text{HH}(X/(R, P))[1]$ are the Postnikov filtrations. This implies the claim for HH.

By applying $(-)^{hS^1}$ on both sides of (4-5), we obtain an equivalence of complexes induced by the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence:

$$\text{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\text{HKR}} \text{HC}^-(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)) \simeq \text{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\text{HKR}} \text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{Fil}_{\bullet-1}^{\text{HKR}} \text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)).$$

Take $\tau_{\geq 2i}^{\text{B}}$ on both sides to show the claim for HC^- . The claim for HP can be proven similarly. \square

Construction 4.8 The local-to-global spectral sequence $E_2^{st} = H^s(X, \Omega_X^t) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s} \text{HH}(X)$ for $X = \mathbb{P}^1$, together with the decomposition of $\text{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z})$ of Proposition 4.5, induces by restriction of $c_1^{\text{HH}}(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in \pi_0 \text{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ a class

$$c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in H_{\text{Zar}}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z}}^1),$$

which agrees with the classical first Chern class in Hodge cohomology. After pulling back, we obtain

$$c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{O}(1)) \in H_{\text{Zar}}^1(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^1)$$

for a log scheme X over a pre-log ring (R, P) . By descent and reduction to the polynomial case, we have the following \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle formulas: the natural morphisms of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^i) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^{i-1})[-1] &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}^i), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq i}) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq i-1})[-1] &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}^{\geq i}), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)})[-1] &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}), \end{aligned}$$

induced by 1 and $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{O}(1))$ are equivalences; see proof of [11, Proposition 2.20].

If X is quasicompact and quasiseparated, these morphisms are identified with the natural morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(X/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)), \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{B}}\text{HC}^-(X/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HC}^-(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)), \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HP}(X/(R, P)) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{B}}\text{HP}(X/(R, P)) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HP}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R, P)), \end{aligned}$$

obtained by Proposition 4.7. Indeed, this can be shown for HH by comparing (4-1) with the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^{i-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[-1] &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}^{i-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}^1) \\ &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/(R,P)}^i). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument proves the claim for HC^- and HP .

Proposition 4.9 *Let (R, P) be a pre-log ring. Then the presheaves of complexes*

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(-/(R, P)), \quad \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HC}^-(-/(R, P)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fil}_i^{\text{B}}\text{HP}(-/(R, P))$$

on log schemes over (R, P) are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant for $n > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$. Moreover, the presheaves of complexes $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{/(R,P)}^i, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/(R,P)}^{\geq i}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/(R,P)}$ on the category of log schemes over (R, P) given by

$$X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{L}\Omega_{X/(R,P)}^i), \quad R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)}^{\geq i}) \quad \text{and} \quad R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{X/(R,P)})$$

are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant for $n > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof This is basically discussed in [12, Section 7, 8], forgetting the filtrations. Let X be a log scheme over (R, P) . Using the completeness and exhaustiveness of the filtrations, it suffices to show that the natural map of complexes

$$\text{gr}_i^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(X/(R, P)) \rightarrow \text{gr}_i^{\text{HKR}}\text{HH}(X \times (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})/(R, P))$$

and the similar maps for $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{HC}^-$ and $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{HP}$ are equivalences. Furthermore, we reduce to the case when $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A, M)$ with $(A, M) \in \mathrm{Poly}_{(R, \mathcal{P})}$ by left Kan extension. Then the claim is a consequence of the $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariance of Hodge cohomology; see eg the proof of [16, Proposition 9.2.1]. \square

Definition 4.10 For $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/S$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, Proposition 4.7 yields a natural equivalence of complexes

$$(4-6) \quad \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(X/R) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(X/R).$$

Using this as bonding maps, Proposition 4.9 enables us to define the complete exhaustive filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R) := (\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R), \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R), \dots)$$

on $\mathbf{HH}(-/R) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{-\infty}^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \in \log\mathrm{DA}(S)$, where $\mathbf{HH}(-/R)$ is the \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum representing (log) Hochschild homology constructed in [12, Section 8]. We similarly define the complete exhaustive filtrations

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HP}(-/R).$$

Proposition 4.11 For $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, we have a natural equivalence of \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R)(1)[2] \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R).$$

We have similar equivalences for $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HC}^-$ and $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HP}$.

Proof For $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}$, this is a direct consequence of (4-6). The proofs for the other cases are similar. \square

Definition 4.12 For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, Proposition 4.9 enables us to define the \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}\Omega^i_{/R} &:= (\mathbb{L}\Omega^i_{/R}, \mathbb{L}\Omega^{i+1}_{/R}[1], \dots), \\ \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}^{\geq i}_{/R} &:= (\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}^{\geq i}_{/R}, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}^{\geq i+1}_{/R}[2], \dots), \\ \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/R} &:= (\widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/R}, \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/R}[2], \dots) \end{aligned}$$

in $\log\mathrm{DA}(S)$, whose bonding maps are given by the projective bundle formulas in Construction 4.8. If $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is smooth, we omit \mathbb{L} in $\mathbb{L}\Omega$.

Proposition 4.13 For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have natural equivalences

$$\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega^i_{/R}[i], \quad \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}^{\geq i}_{/R}[2i] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{HP}(-/R) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{L}\Omega}_{/R}[2i]$$

in $\log\mathrm{DA}(S)$.

Proof As observed in Construction 4.8, the bonding maps defining the \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra on both sides are compatible. \square

We are ready to prove our main result on HH and variants.

Theorem 4.14 *Assume that $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is smooth. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(4-7) \quad \text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^i \mathbf{HH}(-/R), \quad \text{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^i \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R), \quad \text{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^i \mathbf{HP}(-/R) \in \log\text{DA}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}.$$

In particular, there are canonical morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_n \mathbf{HH}(-/R) &\simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \rightarrow \text{Fil}_n^{\text{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R), \\ \tilde{f}_n \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R) &\simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R) \rightarrow \text{Fil}_n^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R), \\ \tilde{f}_n \mathbf{HP}(-/R) &\simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{HP}(-/R) \rightarrow \text{Fil}_n^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{HP}(-/R). \end{aligned}$$

Proof We only need to show the first claim, namely, the statement of (4-7), since the remaining claims are a direct consequence of Lemma 2.12. By Proposition 4.11, we reduce to the case when $i = -1$. Since $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is compact in $\log\text{DA}(S)$, it suffices to show the vanishing

$$\text{Hom}_{\log\text{DA}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, \text{gr}_{-j}^{\text{HKR}} \mathbf{HH}(-/R)) \simeq 0$$

for every integer $j > 0$, and similar vanishings for $\text{gr}_{-j}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{HC}^-$ and $\text{gr}_{-j}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{HP}$. By the smoothness assumption and Proposition 4.13, it suffices to show the vanishings

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^{-j}(X, \Omega_{X/R}^{-j}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{Zar}}^{-2j}(X, \Omega_{X/R}^{\geq 0}) = 0$$

for $j > 0$. This is clear. □

Remark 4.15 Since $H_{\text{Zar}}^{2j}(X, \Omega_{X/R}^{\geq 0})$ does not vanish for $j \geq 0$ and nontrivial X , the proof of Theorem 4.14 shows that $\text{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^i \mathbf{HC}^-(-/R)$ and $\text{Fil}_{\mathbf{B}}^i \mathbf{HP}(-/R)$ are not in $\log\text{DA}(S)_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}$. On the other hand, we have $\text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^i \mathbf{HH}(-/R) \in \log\text{DA}_{\leq i}^{\text{eff}}(S)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (1) is a consequence of Lemma 2.12 and Theorems 3.4 and 4.14. (2) is a consequence of (1) and Proposition 4.13, and (3) is a consequence of (2). □

Question 4.16 Despite having a very different origin, Theorem 4.14 exhibits a connection between the (very effective) slice filtration and the HKR filtration (and the Beilinson filtrations). We leave it as an open question whether the (very effective) slice and HKR filtrations agree on $\mathbf{HH}(-/(R, P))$, and whether the slice and Beilinson filtrations agree on $\mathbf{HC}^-(/(R, P))$ and $\mathbf{HP}(-/(R, P))$.

5 BMS filtration on logarithmic topological Hochschild homology

Let us fix a prime p . We refer the reader to [13] for the definitions and the main properties of the category IQSyn of log quasisyntomic rings, the category IQRSPerfd of log quasiregular semiperfectoid rings, and the log quasisyntomic topology on IQSyn.

Definition 5.1 Let \mathfrak{X} be a quasicohherent bounded p -adic formal log scheme. We say that \mathfrak{X} is *log quasisyntomic* if strict étale locally it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spf}(A, M)^a$ for $(A, M) \in \mathrm{IQSyn}$. Let FIQSyn denote the category of quasicompact quasiseparated log quasisyntomic formal log schemes, as defined in [11, Definition 3.1]: this is a log variant of the category of qcqs quasisyntomic p -adic formal schemes of [8] (ie qcqs p -adic formal schemes which are locally of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ for a p -adic quasisyntomic ring R).

Our goal is to define the BMS filtrations on the motivic \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra representing (log) THH, TC^- , TP and TC, defined in [17, Section 8], and explore their fundamental properties.

Proposition 5.2 *The presheaves*

$$\mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)_{hS^1}, \quad \mathrm{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

on $\mathrm{IQSyn}^{\mathrm{op}}$ are log quasisyntomic sheaves.

Proof Argue as in [37, Theorem 5.5], but use the p -completed version of [13, Theorem 2.3] as in [9, Remark 4.9]. See [13, Proposition 3.10] for the non- p -completed version. □

In particular, for every $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{FIQSyn}$, we can consider $\mathrm{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and its cousins.

Remark 5.3 Given any p -complete ring R , we can consider the canonical p -completion functor $\mathrm{ISm}/R \rightarrow \mathrm{FISm}/R$ from log smooth log schemes over R to formal log smooth fs log schemes over $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ as defined in [11, Definition 2.2]. Since for any commutative ring A we have an equivalence $\mathrm{THH}(A_p^\wedge; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, the functor $\mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ commutes with the p -completion functor. For this reason, we will implicitly extend the \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum **THH** in $\mathrm{logSH}(R)$ of [17, Section 8] (denoted by **logTHH** in [loc. cit.]) to a \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum, indicated with the same letters, in $\mathrm{logFSH}(R)$, where $\mathrm{logFSH}(R)$ is the category of log formal log motives, constructed as in [11, Definition 2.6], using the strict Nisnevich topology and sheaves of spectra. For the same reason, we will implicitly consider the sheaf $\mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and variants as defined on (log) schemes or on p -adic formal (log) schemes, without explicitly mentioning it.

Let us quickly review how we can extend the BMS filtrations in [9] to pre-log rings; see [13]. For $(A, M) \in \mathrm{IQRSPerfd}$, the *BMS filtrations* on

$$\mathrm{THH}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{TC}^-((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{TP}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{TC}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are the double-speed Postnikov filtrations, that is, $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^i := \tau_{\geq 2i}$. Equivalently, we have $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} := \tau_{\geq 2i-1}$. By log quasisyntomic descent, we obtain the *BMS filtrations* for $(A, M) \in \mathrm{IQSyn}$ too. Observe that we have a natural equivalence of spectra

$$(5-1) \quad \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{TC}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{TC}^-((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\varphi\text{-can}} \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{TP}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)).$$

For $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{FIQSyn}$, we also obtain the BMS filtrations on

$$\text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \text{TC}^-(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \text{TP}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

by Zariski descent. The graded pieces satisfy quasisyntomic descent as discussed in [11, Section 3].

For a p -adic formal scheme \mathfrak{X} over $\text{Spf}(R)$ for a quasisyntomic ring R , let $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^n$ denote the p -adic formal scheme $\mathfrak{X} \times (\mathbb{P}_{\text{Spf}(R)}^n)_p^\wedge$, to simplify notation.

Proposition 5.4 *The presheaves*

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}^-(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TP}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

on FIQSyn are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$.

Proof Using the completeness and exhaustiveness of the filtrations, it suffices to show that the natural map of spectra

$$\text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}); \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and the similar maps for TC^- , TP and TC are equivalences. This follows from [13, Theorem 1.3(3),(4)] and [11, Corollary 3.11]. □

Proposition 5.5 *For $(A, M) \in \text{IQSyn}$ and integer $i < 0$, we have the vanishing*

$$\text{Fil}_{\text{BMS}}^i \text{TC}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq 0.$$

Proof By (log) quasisyntomic descent, we reduce to the case $(A, M) \in \text{IQRSPerfd}$. To conclude, observe that we have $\pi_i \text{TC}((A, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq 0$ for $i < -1$, using [41, Theorem II.4.10] as in the proof of [9, Proposition 7.16]. □

Construction 5.6 Let A be a quasisyntomic ring. Apply the étale sheafification and p -completion functors to the first Chern class $c_1^{\text{TC}}: R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \text{TC}(A)$ to obtain the natural morphism

$$\hat{c}_1^{\text{TC}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)_p^\wedge[1] \rightarrow \text{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Apply $\pi_2(-)[2]$ to this to obtain the morphism

$$T_p(A^\times)[2] \rightarrow (\pi_2 \text{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p))[2],$$

where $T_p(A^\times)$ is the p -adic Tate module of the abelian group A^\times . The étale sheafification of the left-hand side is $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)_p^\wedge[1]$, and the quasisyntomic sheafification of the right-hand side is $R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(A, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))[2]$ by [9, Proposition 7.17]. Hence we obtain the induced natural morphism

$$\hat{c}_1^{\text{syn}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)_p^\wedge[1] \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(A, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))[2]$$

for every quasisyntomic ring A . This agrees with \hat{c}_1^{syn} in [8, Theorem 7.5.6] up to shift for quasiregular semiperfectoid $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cycl}} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{p^\infty}]_p^\wedge$ -algebra by comparing [3, Theorem 6.7] and [8, Notation 7.5.1], for

quasiregular semiperfectoid ring by quasisyntomic descent for the cover $A \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cycl}}$, and for quasisyntomic ring by quasisyntomic descent again.

For a quasiregular semiperfectoid ring A such that A is w -local in the sense of [10, Definition 1.6] (in particular any Zariski cover of $\text{Spec } A$ is split) and A^\times is p -divisible (such rings form a base for the quasisyntomic topology in light of the proof of [9, Proposition 7.17]), we see that $T_p(A^\times)[2] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)_p^\wedge[1]$ is concentrated in degree 2. Hence for such a ring A , we have a natural equivalence $\tau_{[1,2]}\widehat{c}_1^{\text{TC}} \simeq \widehat{c}_1^{\text{syn}}$. In particular, we obtain the natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T_p(A^\times)[2] & & \\ & \swarrow \widehat{c}_1^{\text{syn}} & \downarrow & \searrow \widehat{c}_1^{\text{TC}} & \\ \tau_{[1,2]}\text{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longleftarrow & \tau_{\geq 1}\text{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{TC}(A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array}$$

For $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{FIQSyn}$, we obtain the natural commutative diagram

(5-2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)_p^\wedge[1] & & \\ & \swarrow \widehat{c}_1^{\text{syn}} & \downarrow & \searrow \widehat{c}_1^{\text{TC}} & \\ R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))[2] & \longleftarrow & \text{Fil}_1^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array}$$

by quasisyntomic descent.

For any p -adically complete ring A , write $A\langle x \rangle$ for the p -adic completion of the polynomial ring $A[x]$.

Lemma 5.7 *For $(A, M) \in \text{IQRSPerfd}$, the BMS filtrations on*

$$\text{THH}((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{THH}((A\langle x, x^{-1} \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are the double-speed Postnikov filtrations. Similar results hold for TC^- and TP too.

Proof We focus on the case of $(A\langle x \rangle, M)$, since the proofs are similar. By definition of quasiregular semiperfectoid ring, there exists a perfectoid ring R with a map $R \rightarrow A$. By base-change, we have equivalences of complexes

$$\mathbb{L}_{(A\langle x \rangle, M)/R} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{R[x]/R} \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} A \oplus \mathbb{L}_{(A, M)/R} \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R[x] \simeq A[x] \oplus \mathbb{L}_{(A, M)/R} \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R[x].$$

After taking p -completions, we get an equivalence of complexes

$$\mathbb{L}_{(A\langle x \rangle, M)/R} \simeq A\langle x \rangle \oplus \mathbb{L}_{(A, M)/R} \widehat{\otimes}_R^{\mathbb{L}} R\langle x \rangle.$$

Since $\mathbb{L}_{(A, M)/R}$ has p -complete Tor amplitude in degree -1 by for instance [13, Lemma 4.15], and $\wedge_{A\langle x \rangle}^j A\langle x \rangle \simeq 0$ for $j \geq 2$, $\wedge_{A\langle x \rangle}^i \mathbb{L}_{(A\langle x \rangle, M)/R}$ has p -complete Tor amplitude in $[-i, -i + 1]$ for $i \geq 0$. By [13, Proposition 7.3] for THH and [9, Theorem 7.2(3),(4), Proposition 7.8] for TC^- and TP , we see that the i^{th} graded pieces of $\text{THH}((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\text{TC}^-((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\text{TP}((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are in $D^{[-2i, -2i+1]}(A\langle x \rangle)$. Using this, we deduce the claim. \square

The following proposition is crucial for us.

Proposition 5.8 For $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{FIQSyn}_R$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, the projective bundle formula for $\text{THH}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

We have similar equivalences for TC^- , TP and TC too.

Proof By log quasisyntomic descent, we reduce to the case when $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spf}(A, M)$ and $(A, M) \in \text{IQRSPerfd}$. By [Remark 5.3](#) and [Lemma 5.7](#), the standard cover on \mathbb{P}^1 yields the cartesian square of S^1 -equivariant filtered spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{THH}((\mathbb{P}_{\text{Spf}(A,M)}^1)^\wedge; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{THH}((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{THH}((A\langle x^{-1} \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{THH}((A\langle x, x^{-1} \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array}$$

such that the filtrations on the three corners other than $\text{THH}((\mathbb{P}_{\text{Spf}(A,M)}^1)^\wedge; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are the double-speed Postnikov filtrations. Next, we note that we have an S^1 -equivariant equivalence

$$(5-3) \quad \text{THH}((A\langle x \rangle, M); \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{THH}(A, M; \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x]),$$

and similarly for $A\langle x^{-1} \rangle$ and $A\langle x, x^{-1} \rangle$ (note that the right-hand side is already p -complete). Hence, the descriptions of $\text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x])$, $\text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}])$ and $\text{HH}(\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$ in [Proposition 4.5](#) tell us that the double-speed Postnikov filtrations on these agree with the usual Postnikov filtrations: in other words, the equivalence (5-3) is an equivalence of S^1 -equivariant filtered spectra. Hence we obtain the S^1 -equivariant equivalence of filtered spectra

$$\text{THH}((\mathbb{P}_{\text{Spf}(A,M)}^1)^\wedge; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{THH}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1),$$

where the filtration on $\text{HH}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is given by [Proposition 4.5](#). We obtain the S^1 -equivariant decomposition

$$(5-4) \quad \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus (\text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p)[1])\{-1\},$$

where the filtration on $\text{THH}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the double-speed Postnikov filtration, and

$$\text{Fil}_i((\text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p)[1])\{-1\}) := \text{Fil}_{i-1} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

From this, we obtain the desired equivalence for THH . To obtain the desired equivalences for TC^- and TP , apply $(-)^{hS^1}$ and $(-)^{tS^1}$ to (5-4), and use [Lemma 5.7](#). For TC , use (5-1). □

Construction 5.9 For $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{FIQSyn}_R$, [Proposition 5.8](#) yields the natural equivalences of spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{THH}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}^-(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}^-(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}^-(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TP}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{BMS}} \text{TP}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TP}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \text{TC}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Z}_p). \end{aligned}$$

As in [Construction 4.8](#), these are identified with the projective bundle formulas for $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_i^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i\} \oplus \mathrm{gr}_{i-1}^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i-1\}[-2] &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{gr}_i^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)\{i\}, \\ \mathrm{Fil}_i^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i\} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}_{i-1}^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i-1\}[-2] &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Fil}_i^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)\{i\}, \\ R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i\} \oplus R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X})\{i-1\}[-2] &\xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)\{i\}, \\ R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \oplus R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}_p(i-1))[-2] &\xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)), \end{aligned}$$

induced by $(1, \widehat{c}_1^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathcal{O}(1)))$. These are special cases of [\[8, Lemma 9.1.4\(4\)–\(6\)\]](#) when \mathfrak{X} has trivial log structure, since the two constructions of $\widehat{c}_1^{\mathrm{syn}}$ discussed in [Construction 5.6](#) agree.

We now fix the spectrum S of a quasisyntomic ring R .

Definition 5.10 For $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/S$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, [Proposition 5.8](#) yields a natural equivalence of spectra

$$(5-5) \quad \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Here, we are implicitly composing with the p -completion functor. Note that every $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/S$ automatically satisfies the property that $X_p^\wedge \in \mathrm{FIQSyn}$. Using (5-5) as bonding maps, [Proposition 5.4](#) enables us to define the complete exhaustive filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) := (\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \dots)$$

on the \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum $\mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{-\infty}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \in \mathrm{logSH}(S)$. We similarly define the complete exhaustive filtrations

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}^-(; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proposition 5.11 For $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, we have a natural equivalence in $\mathrm{logSH}(S)$

$$\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)(1)[2] \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

We have similar equivalences for \mathbf{TC}^- , \mathbf{TP} and \mathbf{TC} too.

Proof For \mathbf{THH} , this is a direct consequence of (5-5). The proofs of the other cases are similar. □

We recall now from [\[13, Theorem 1.3 and Section 7.4\]](#) (see also [\[11, Section 3\]](#)) that the Nygaard-completed absolute log prismatic cohomology $\widehat{\Delta} := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{lqsyn}}(-, \pi_0 \mathrm{TP}(-)_p^\wedge)$ equipped with the Nygaard filtration define functors

$$\widehat{\Delta} \in \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{IQSyn}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fil}_i^N \widehat{\Delta} \in \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{IQSyn}, \widehat{\mathcal{DF}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$$

analogously to [\[9, Section 7\]](#), where $\mathrm{Fil}_i^N \widehat{\Delta}$ denotes the filtered piece $\geq i$ with respect to the Nygaard filtration. By [\[13, Theorem 2.9\]](#), these functors are in fact quasisyntomic sheaves. Define the Breuil–Kisin twists

$$\widehat{\Delta}\{1\} := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{lqsyn}}(-, \pi_2 \mathrm{TP}(-)_p^\wedge)[-2] \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Delta}\{-1\} := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{lqsyn}}(-, \pi_{-2} \mathrm{TP}(-)_p^\wedge)[2],$$

with the Nygaard filtrations given by unfolding the double-speed Postnikov filtration. We get the twisted prismatic cohomology $\widehat{\Delta}\{i\}$ as in [9, Theorem 1.12(3)] by taking tensor powers in $\text{Fun}(\text{IQSyn}, \widehat{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$, with the induced filtration. In light of [11, Theorems 3.15, 3.16], we can make the following definition:

Definition 5.12 For integers d and i , we define \mathbb{P}^1 -spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\} &:= (\text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}, \text{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d+1\}[2], \dots), \\ \widehat{\Delta}\{d\} &:= (\widehat{\Delta}\{d\}, \widehat{\Delta}\{d+1\}[2], \dots), \\ \mathbf{MZ}_p^{\text{syn}}(d) &:= \text{fib}(\varphi_p - \text{can}: \text{Fil}_d^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\} \rightarrow \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}), \end{aligned}$$

where the bonding maps for $\text{Fil}_n^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}$, $\widehat{\Delta}\{d\}$ and $\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\text{syn}}(d)$ are obtained by the projective bundle formulas in Construction 5.9, and the morphisms φ_p and can are the pointwise cyclotomic trace and canonical morphisms. We will omit $\{d\}$ and (d) if $d = 0$.

Note that in [11, Theorems 3.15, 3.16], (formal variants of) $\widehat{\Delta}$, $\text{Fil}_0^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}$ and $\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\text{syn}}$ were denoted by $\mathbf{E}^{\widehat{\Delta}}$, $\mathbf{E}^{\text{Fil } \widehat{\Delta}}$ and \mathbf{E}^{Fsyn} .

Proposition 5.13 For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{gr}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{i\}[2i], & \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}^-(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{i\}[2i], \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \widehat{\Delta}\{i\}[2i], & \text{gr}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \mathbf{MZ}_p^{\text{syn}}(i)[2i], \end{aligned}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(S)$.

Proof By [13, Theorem 1.3(3),(4)], it remains to show that the bonding maps for the left-hand sides can be identified with the bonding maps for the right-hand sides. This is a consequence of Construction 5.9. \square

Remark 5.14 The right-hand side of the displayed equivalences in Proposition 5.13 are naturally constructed as objects of $\log\text{DA}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, while the filtered spectra $\text{Fil}_{i+1}^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and variants are in $\log\text{SH}(S, \mathbb{S}_p)$, where \mathbb{S}_p denotes the p -completed sphere spectrum. In particular, we obtain that each graded piece gr_i^{BMS} is in $\log\text{DA}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The Tate twist is related to the Breuil–Kisin twist in the following sense:

Proposition 5.15 For $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, we have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}(d) \simeq \text{Fil}_{i+d}^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(S)$.

Proof We have

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta} := (\text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}, \text{Fil}_{i+1}^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{1\}[2], \dots).$$

Tensoring with $(d)[2d]$ in $\log\text{SH}(S)$ means shifting d terms, so we have

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}(d)[2d] \simeq (\text{Fil}_{i+d}^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}[2d], \text{Fil}_{i+d+1}^{\mathbb{N}} \widehat{\Delta}\{d+1\}[2d+1], \dots).$$

We obtain the desired equivalence after applying $[-2d]$. \square

If $i = -\infty$, observe that [Proposition 5.15](#) yields an equivalence

$$\widehat{\Delta}(d) \simeq \widehat{\Delta}\{d\}$$

in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The notation $\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i)$ is compatible with the Tate twist in the following sense:

Proposition 5.16 *For $d, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a natural equivalence*

$$(\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i))(d) \simeq \mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i + d)$$

in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$.

Proof This is a direct consequence of [Proposition 5.15](#). □

Theorem 5.17 *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^i \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^i \mathbf{TC}^-(; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^i \mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^i \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)_{\leq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. In particular, there are canonical morphisms

$$\widetilde{f}_n \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \Sigma^{2n, n} \widetilde{f}_0 \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_n^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and similarly for \mathbf{TC}^- , \mathbf{TP} and \mathbf{TC} .

Proof By [Proposition 5.11](#), we reduce to the case when $i = -1$. It suffices to show the vanishing

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\log\mathrm{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{-1} \mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)) = 0,$$

and similar vanishings for \mathbf{TC}^- , \mathbf{TP} and \mathbf{TC} . Since $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{-1} \mathrm{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ by construction and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{-1} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ by [Proposition 5.5](#), the claim holds for \mathbf{THH} and \mathbf{TC} . Using the compactness of $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ in $\log\mathrm{SH}(S)$, it suffices to show the vanishing

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\log\mathrm{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, \mathrm{gr}_{-j}^{\mathrm{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}^-(; \mathbb{Z}_p)) = 0$$

for every integer $j > 0$, and similar vanishing for \mathbf{TP} . By [Proposition 5.13](#), it suffices to show the vanishings

$$H_{\mathrm{sNis}}^{-2j}(X, \widehat{\Delta}\{-j\}) = 0.$$

This follows from $\widehat{\Delta}_{(A, M)}\{-j\} \in \mathrm{D}^{\geq 0}(A)$ for $(A, M) \in \mathrm{IQSyn}$, which can be deduced from the case of $(A, M) \in \mathrm{IQRSPerfd}$ by log quasisyntomic descent. □

Question 5.18 As for the HKR filtration, we leave it as an open question whether the slice and BMS filtrations agree on $\mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbf{TC}^-(; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since T is the analog of S^2 , the double-speed convergence of the very effective slice filtration on \mathbf{KGL} gives at least an analogy with the double-speed Postnikov filtration defining the BMS filtration.

6 The characteristic- p case

Throughout this section, k is a perfect field of characteristic p . Our goal is to identify the graded pieces of the filtered spectra $\mathbf{THH}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbf{TC}^-(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbf{TP}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ in this case. We will use [9] for the identifications at the level of S^1 -spectra, and then we will use the projective bundle formulas in [8] to identify the bonding maps.

Construction 6.1 For a log smooth saturated pre-log k -algebra (A, M) , recall from [11, Section 4.3] that the chain complex $W\Omega_{(A,M)/k}$ comes equipped with the Nygaard filtration $\text{Fil}_N^i W\Omega_{(A,M)/k}$ given by the subcomplex

$$p^{i-1}VW(A) \rightarrow p^{i-2}VW\Omega_{(A,M)/k}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow pVW\Omega_{(A,M)/k}^{i-2} \rightarrow VW\Omega_{(A,M)/k}^{i-1} \rightarrow W\Omega_{(A,M)/k}^i \rightarrow W\Omega_{(A,M)/k}^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots .$$

For $X \in \text{ISm}/k$, the log crystalline cohomology $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) := R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k})$ is then equipped with the Nygaard filtration $\text{Fil}_i^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}$ by Zariski descent. Furthermore, we obtain a natural equivalence of complexes

$$(6-1) \quad \text{gr}_i^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \tau^{\leq i}\Omega_{X/k})$$

from [11, (4.22.1) and (4.22.2)].

By [11, Theorem 4.30], we have a natural equivalence of filtered \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings

$$R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X).$$

Construction 6.2 For $X \in \text{ISm}/k$, recall from [8, Construction 7.3.1] the morphism of complexes

$$c_1^{\text{crys}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2].$$

Compose this with the canonical morphism

$$\text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(\underline{X})[2] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2]$$

to obtain

$$c_1^{\text{crys}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2].$$

As a consequence of [8, Proposition 7.5.5], we see that the triangle

$$(6-2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] & \\ c_1^{\widehat{\Delta}} \swarrow & & \searrow c_1^{\text{crys}} \\ \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\widehat{\Delta}}(X)[2] & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Construction 6.3 For $X \in \text{ISm}/k$, recall from [32, Theorem 4.12] that we have the inverse Cartier operator, which is a natural isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C}_X -algebras

$$C_{X/k}^{-1} : \Omega_{X/k}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}^*(\Omega_{X/k})$$

sending $d \log x$ to $d \log x$ for every local section x of \mathcal{M}_X . Using this, we have the composite morphism

$$c_1^{\text{Hod}} : R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \xrightarrow{c_1^{\text{Hod}}} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)[1] \xrightarrow{C_{X/k}^{-1}} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega_{X/k}))[1],$$

and we have the projective bundle formulas for \mathbb{P}^1

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{H}^i(\Omega_{X/k})) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{i-1}(\Omega_{X/k}))[-1] &\xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{H}^i(\Omega_{X/k})), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \tau^{\leq i} \Omega_{X/k}) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \tau^{\leq i-1} \Omega_{X/k})[-1] &\xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \tau^{\leq i} \Omega_{X/k}), \end{aligned}$$

induced by $(1, c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{C}(1)))$. Using (6-1), the last equivalence can be identified with

$$\text{gr}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[-1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{gr}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1).$$

Proposition 6.4 For every $X \in \text{ISm}/k$ and integer i , the natural square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fil}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \oplus \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[-1] & \xrightarrow{(1, c_1^{\text{crys}}(\mathbb{C}(1)))} & \text{Fil}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{gr}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \oplus \text{gr}_{i-1}^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[-1] & \xrightarrow{(1, c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{C}(1)))} & \text{gr}_i^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof It suffices to show that the image of

$$c_1^{\text{crys}}(\mathbb{C}(1)) \in H^2(\text{Fil}_1^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1))$$

in $H^2(\text{gr}_1^{\text{N}} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1))$ agrees with $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(\mathbb{C}(1))$ since these induce the projective bundle formulas for \mathbb{P}^1 . For this, we reduce to the case when $X = \text{Spec}(k)$, since the general case can be shown by considering the pullbacks of the first Chern classes.

We set $A := k[x, x^{-1}]$ for simplicity of notation. Since $\mathbb{C}(1) \in R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the image of $x^{-1} \in A^*$ under the boundary map $A^* \cong R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbb{G}_m)$, it suffices to show that the image of $c_1^{\text{crys}}(x^{-1}) \in H^1(\text{Fil}_1^{\text{N}} W\Omega_{A/k})$ in $H^1(\text{gr}_1^{\text{N}} W\Omega_{A/k})$ agrees with $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(x^{-1})$.

Consider the canonical morphism $W\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, which induces $\text{Fil}_1^{\text{N}} W\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^{\text{Hod}} \Omega_{A/k}$ and hence $\gamma^{\text{dR}} : \text{gr}_1^{\text{N}} W\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \text{gr}_1^{\text{Hod}} \Omega_{A/k}$. The equivalence $\text{gr}_1^{\text{N}} W\Omega_{A/k} \simeq \tau^{\leq 1} \Omega_{A/k}$ is explicitly given by the isomorphism of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} VW(A)/pVW(A) & \xrightarrow{F/p} & A \\ d \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ W\Omega_{A/k}^1/VW\Omega_{A/k}^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & Z\Omega_{A/k}^1 \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is due to [29, (I.3.11.3)]. Together with [29, Proposition I.3.3], we see that γ^{dR} is given by the composite morphism

$$\tau^{\leq 1} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{A/k})[-1] \xrightarrow{C_{X/k}} \Omega_{A/k}^1.$$

In particular, $H^1(\gamma^{\text{dR}})$ is an isomorphism. So to compare $c_1^{\text{crys}}(x^{-1})$ and $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(x^{-1})$ in $H^1(\text{gr}_1^{\text{N}} W \Omega_{A/k})$, it suffices to compare their images in $H^1(\text{gr}_1^{\text{Hod}} \Omega_{A/k})$.

By [8, Example 5.2.4, Theorem 7.6.2], the image of $c_1^{\text{crys}}(x^{-1})$ in $H^1(\text{gr}_1^{\text{Hod}} \Omega_{A/k})$ is identified with $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(x^{-1}) = d \log x \in \Omega_{A/k}^1$. To conclude, observe that $H^1(\gamma^{\text{dR}}) = C_{X/k}: H^1(\Omega_{A/k}) \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$ sends $c_1^{\text{Hod}}(x^{-1}) = d \log x$ to $d \log x$. □

Definition 6.5 The *strict pro-étale topology* on the category of log schemes is the topology generated by the families $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\{\underline{X}_i \rightarrow \underline{X}\}_{i \in I}$ is a pro-étale covering. Let proét be the shorthand for this topology. We denote by $L_{\text{Sproét}}(-)$ the strict pro-étale sheafification functor.

Recall the following construction from [34].

Definition 6.6 Let X be a fine log scheme over k and let $d \log: \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1$ be the natural map. For every integer $r \geq 1$, write $d \log[\cdot]: (\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}})^{\otimes i} \rightarrow W_r \Omega_{X/k}^i$ for the map of strict étale sheaves locally given by $m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_i \rightarrow d \log[m_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log[m_i]$, where $[m]$ denotes the lift of m as in [34, page 256]. We denote by $W_r \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i \subseteq W_r \Omega_{X/k}^i$ the strict étale subsheaf generated by the image of $d \log[\cdot]$, and by $W \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i$ the limit $\lim_r W_r \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i$ as a strict pro-étale sheaf.

Proposition 6.7 For $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$ and integer i , the sequence of chain complexes of strict pro-étale sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow W \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i[-i] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\text{N}} W \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi/p^i - 1} W \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in each degree. Furthermore, we have a natural equivalence of complexes of strict pro-étale sheaves $W \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i \simeq R \lim_m W_m \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i$ (that is, $W \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i$ is also the derived inverse limit).

Proof This is formally identical to [9, Proposition 8.4], using [34, Corollary 2.14] in the place of [29, Theorem I.5.7.2]. □

Remark 6.8 Recall the following fact. For any fs log scheme X and any quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \underline{X} , we have $R\Gamma_{\text{sét}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X, \mathcal{F})$ (this is due to Kato, see eg [16, Proposition 9.2.3]), and this agrees with $R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $R\Gamma_{\text{sNis}}(X, \mathcal{F})$ by [16, (9.1.1)]. Moreover, we have an equivalence

$$R\Gamma(X, L_{\text{ét}} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{colim}_{Y \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{div}}} R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(Y, \mathcal{F})$$

by [16, Theorem 5.1.2]. Hence if \mathcal{G} is a complex of bounded below $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant coherent sheaves on SmlSm/k , then \mathcal{G} is a complex of log étale hypersheaves.

For $X \in \text{ISm}/k$ and integer $i \geq 0$, we set

$$B\Omega_{X/k}^i := \text{im}(\Omega_{X/k}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X/k}^i) \quad \text{and} \quad Z\Omega_{X/k}^i := \ker(\Omega_{X/k}^i \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X/k}^{i+1}).$$

The following result is a consequence of [39, Theorem 4.2] and Remark 6.8 above, using, for example, the fact that the above presheaves restricted to smooth k -schemes are reciprocity sheaves; see [18, Section 11]. We also provide another direct proof.

Proposition 6.9 *The presheaves of complexes*

$$\Omega^i, \quad B\Omega^i, \quad Z\Omega^i, \quad \tau^{\leq i}\Omega, \quad W_m\Omega, \quad W\Omega$$

on SmlSm/k given by

$$X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i), R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, B\Omega_{X/k}^i), R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, Z\Omega_{X/k}^i), R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, \tau^{\leq i}\Omega_{X/k}), \\ R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, W_m\Omega_{X/k}), R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k}),$$

are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and log étale hypersheaves for all integers $m, n > 0$ and i .

Proof By [16, Corollary 9.2.2, Proposition 9.2.6], Ω^i is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf. We proceed by induction to show that $B\Omega^i$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf. The claim is clear if $i = 0$ since $B\Omega_{X/k}^0 = 0$ for $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$. If the claim holds for i , then use the obvious exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B\Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow Z\Omega_{X/k}^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B\Omega_{X/k}^{i+1} \rightarrow Z\Omega_{X/k}^{i+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$$

obtained by [28, (2.12.2)] to show the claim for $i + 1$. We also deduce that $Z\Omega^i$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf. It follows that $\tau^{\leq i}\Omega$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf.

The claim for Ω^i for all i implies the claim for Ω . By induction on m , we deduce the claim for $W_m\Omega$. After taking limits over m , we deduce the claim for $W\Omega$. \square

We note that $R\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k})$ represents Hyodo–Kato cohomology with the trivial log structure on k ; see Lorenzon [34, Corollary 1.23].

Proposition 6.10 *The presheaves of complexes*

$$L_{\text{sét}}W_m\Omega_{\log}^i, \quad L_{\text{sproét}}W\Omega_{\log}^i$$

on SmlSm/k given by

$$X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{sét}}(X, W_m\Omega_{X/k, \log}^i), R\Gamma_{\text{sproét}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k, \log}^i)$$

are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and log étale hypersheaves for all integers $m, n > 0$ and i .

Proof Consider the exact sequence of strict étale sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k, \log}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^i / B\Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow 0$$

obtained by [34, Proposition 2.13]. By [34, (2.12)], Remark 6.8 and induction on m , we see that $L_{\text{sét}} W_m \Omega_{\log}^i$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf. Together with Proposition 6.7, we deduce that $L_{\text{sproét}} W \Omega_{\log}^i$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf. \square

Construction 6.11 For every $X \in \text{ISm}/k$ and integer i , we have a natural equivalence of complexes

$$(6-3) \quad R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{sproét}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k, \log}^i)$$

by [11, Theorem 4.30] and Proposition 6.7, and see [11, Theorem 4.27] for the φ -compatibility. Together with [8, Theorem 7.3.5], we see that $c_1^{\text{crys}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2]$ naturally factors through a morphism of complexes

$$c_1^{W\Omega_{\log}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{sproét}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k, \log}^1)[1].$$

As a consequence of [8, Proposition 7.5.5], we see that the triangle

$$(6-4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] & \\ c_1^{\text{syn}} \swarrow & & \searrow c_1^{W\Omega_{\log}} \\ R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X)[2] & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & R\Gamma_{\text{sproét}}(X, W\Omega_{X/k, \log}^1)[2] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Construction 6.12 For $X \in \text{ISm}/k$, recall from [8, Construction 7.3.1] the morphism of complexes

$$c_1^{\text{syn}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(\underline{X})[2].$$

Compose this with the canonical morphism $\text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(\underline{X})[2] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2]$ to obtain

$$c_1^{\text{crys}}: R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\underline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)[1] \rightarrow \text{Fil}_1^N R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X)[2].$$

Definition 6.13 By Proposition 6.9, we can define the objects

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\text{conj}} \Omega := (\tau_{\geq i} \Omega, (\tau_{\geq i+1} \Omega)[1], \dots),$$

$$\text{Fil}_i^N W\Omega := (\text{Fil}_i^N W\Omega, (\text{Fil}_{i+1}^N W\Omega)[1], \dots),$$

$$L_{\text{sproét}} W\Omega_{\log}^i := (L_{\text{sproét}} W\Omega_{\log}^i, L_{\text{sproét}} W\Omega_{\log}^{i+1}[1], \dots)$$

in $\text{logSH}(k)$, where the bonding maps are given by the composite morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\geq i} \Omega &\xrightarrow{c_1^{\text{Hod}}} \tau_{\geq i}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \Omega[1]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\tau_{\geq i+1} \Omega)[1], \\ \text{Fil}_i^N W\Omega &\xrightarrow{c_1^{\text{crys}}} \text{Fil}_i^N(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} W\Omega[1]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\text{Fil}_{i+1}^N W\Omega)[1], \\ L_{\text{sproét}} W\Omega_{\log}^i &\xrightarrow{c_1^{W\Omega_{\log}}} L_{\text{sproét}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} W\Omega_{\log}^{i+1}[1] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} L_{\text{sproét}} W\Omega_{\log}^{i+1}[1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.14 For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have natural equivalences

$$\mathrm{gr}_i^N \widehat{\Delta} \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega, \quad \mathrm{Fil}_i^N \widehat{\Delta} \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_i^N \mathbf{W}\Omega, \quad \widehat{\Delta} \simeq \mathbf{W}\Omega, \quad \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i) \simeq L_{\mathrm{sp\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{W}\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]$$

in $\mathrm{logSH}(k)$.

Proof By [11, Theorem 4.30, Proposition 5.1] and (6-3), it suffices to show that the bonding maps on both sides are compatible. This follows from Proposition 6.4 and the commutativity of (6-2) and (6-4). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (1) is a consequence of Lemma 2.12 and Theorems 3.4 and 5.17. (2) is a consequence of (1) and Propositions 5.13 and 6.14, and (3) is a consequence of (2). \square

7 Very effective slices of Kummer étale K-theory

Let k be a perfect field. In this section, we construct a morphism in $\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)$ (the hypercomplete version of $\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}(k)$) representing a morphism from the Lichtenbaum étale cohomology to the syntomic cohomology induced by the cyclotomic trace. We also discuss the very effective slices of Kummer étale K -theory.

By Proposition 6.10, the functors $L_{\mathrm{sp\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{W}\Omega_{\log}^i$ are log étale hypersheaves, and represent p -adic log syntomic cohomology. Hence we obtain the morphism $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}$ in $\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)$ by adjunction from the morphism $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}$ in $\mathrm{logSH}(k)$ induced by the motivic cyclotomic trace map. We will show that $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}$ represents the Lichtenbaum étale motivic cohomology.

For every integer i and a commutative ring R , let $R(i)$ be the Nisnevich sheaf of complexes on Sm/k given by the motivic cohomology

$$X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, R(i)).$$

Consider again the functor

$$\omega^* : \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\mathrm{Sm}/S, \mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{sNis}}(\mathrm{SmlSm}/S, \mathrm{Sp})$$

such that $\omega^* \mathcal{F}(X) := \mathcal{F}(X - \partial X)$ for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\mathrm{Sm}/k, \mathrm{Sp})$ and $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$.

Proposition 7.1 The hypersheaf of complexes $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \omega^* \mathbb{Z}(i)$ on SmlSm/k is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant for every integer $n > 0$ and i .

Proof For every complex \mathcal{F} , we have the arithmetic fracture square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\ell} \mathcal{F}_{\ell}^{\wedge} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\ell} (\mathcal{F}_{\ell}^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

that is cartesian, where ℓ runs over primes, $(-)^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ is the ℓ -adic completion, and $(-)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the rationalization.

Use this square for

$$\mathcal{F} := \text{fib}(L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i)(X) \rightarrow L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i)(X \times (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})))$$

to reduce to showing that the presheaves of complexes $L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $(L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i))_\ell^\wedge$ are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant.

If $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ is a Kummer étale hypercover, then $X_\bullet - \partial X_\bullet \rightarrow X - \partial X$ is an étale hypercover. By [38, Theorem 14.30], we have an equivalence $\text{colim}_{i \in \Delta} M(X_i - \partial X_i) \simeq M(X - \partial X)$ in the ∞ -category of Voevodsky’s motives $\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q})$. Apply $\text{map}_{\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q})}(-, \mathbb{Q}(i)[2i])$ to this equivalence to deduce that $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ is a Kummer étale hypersheaf. It follows that we have an equivalence of presheaves of complexes with rational coefficients $L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Q}(i) \simeq L_{\text{sNis}}\omega^*\mathbb{Q}(i)$. The latter is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant by [16, Proposition 8.1.12]. Hence it remains to show that $(L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i))_\ell^\wedge$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant. Since $(L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i))_\ell^\wedge \simeq \lim_d L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^d(i)$, it suffices to show that $L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^d(i)$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant. By induction and using the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we reduce to showing that $L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/\ell(i)$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant.

Assume $\ell \neq p$. We have an equivalence

$$L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/\ell(i) \simeq L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mu_\ell^{\otimes i}$$

by [38, Theorem 10.2], since the Kummer étale topology is finer than the strict étale topology. Hence $L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/\ell(i)(X)$ is equivalent to the Kummer étale cohomology $R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(i))$. This is \square -invariant and a log étale hypersheaf by [17, Theorem 9.1.5 and Proposition 9.1.6], so this is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant by [17, Section 3.4].

Assume $\ell = p$. Then we have an equivalence of Nisnevich sheaves $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i) \simeq W_n\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]$ on Sm/k by Geisser and Levine [26, Theorem 8.5]. Hence we have an equivalence of Kummer étale sheaves

$$(7-1) \quad L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i) \simeq L_{\text{két}}W_n\Omega_{\log}^i[-i]$$

on SmlSm/k , since $\omega^*W_n\Omega_{\log}^i \simeq W_n\Omega_{\log}^i$ by the definition of logarithmic forms. This is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant by Proposition 6.10. \square

Definition 7.2 For $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$, the Kummer étale motivic cohomology $R\Gamma_L(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$ is the Kummer étale hypersheafification of $X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) := R\Gamma_{\text{mot}}(X - \partial X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$, ie

$$R\Gamma_L(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) := (L_{\text{két}}\omega^*\mathbb{Z}(i))(X).$$

For every integer i , Proposition 7.1 yields a natural equivalence

$$(7-2) \quad \text{map}_{\text{logSH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{0,i}L_{\text{két}}\mathbf{MZ}) \simeq R\Gamma_L(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)),$$

where $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)$ is the Kummer étale hyperlocalization of $\log\mathrm{SH}(k)$, and $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} : \log\mathrm{SH}(k) \rightarrow \log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)$ is the localization functor. The *Kummer étale K-theory* $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{K}(X)$ is the Kummer étale hypersheafification of $X \mapsto \mathbf{K}(X) := \mathbf{K}(X - \partial X)$.

Assume that X has a trivial log structure. Then the small Kummer étale site $X_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}$ and small étale site $X_{\acute{e}t}$ agree. Hence $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$ agrees with the Lichtenbaum étale motivic cohomology, and $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{K}(X)$ agrees with the étale K -theory of X .

We also consider the localization functor $L_{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}} : \log\mathrm{SH}(k) \rightarrow \log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)$.

Definition 7.3 For a topology τ on SmlSm/k , let $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ be the full subcategory of $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)$ spanned by p -complete objects, and let $(\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)_p^{\wedge})^{\mathrm{eff}}$ be the full stable ∞ -subcategory of $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ generated under colimits by $(\Sigma^{n,0}\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty}X_+)_p^{\wedge}$ for $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the slice filtration on $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ as we did on $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(k)$.

Proposition 7.4 For every integer i , there are equivalences in $\log\mathrm{SH}(k)_p^{\wedge}$

$$(L_{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^{\wedge} \simeq (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^{\wedge} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i).$$

Proof We have an equivalence in $\log\mathrm{SH}(k)$

$$\mathbf{MZ}_p^{\mathrm{syn}}(i) \simeq \lim_m (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{W}_m\mathbf{\Omega}_{\log}^i[-i])$$

by Proposition 6.7. The right-hand side is equivalent to $(L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^{\wedge}$ by (7-1). To show $(L_{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^{\wedge} \simeq (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^{\wedge}$, use Proposition 6.10 and (7-1). \square

Theorem 7.5 Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. Then the induced morphism

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an equivalence in $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ for every integer i . Here, we denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}$ the i^{th} very effective cover in $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge}$. We have a similar result for $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}}$ and $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{s\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ too.

Proof We focus on the proof for the Kummer étale case since the proof for the strict étale case proceeds verbatim. By Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 3.4, we have $L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}\mathbf{MZ}(i)[2i] \in \log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. Since the p -completion functor $\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k) \rightarrow \log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge}$ is a left adjoint, we can show that this preserves (very) effectiveness arguing as in Lemma 2.10. Hence we have $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \in (\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge})_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$ using Propositions 5.13 and 7.4. For $j \geq i$, we have

$$\mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Fil}_j^{\mathrm{BMS}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{i-1}^{\mathrm{BMS}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)) \in (\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge})_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$$

by induction on j . Take colimits on j to have $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathrm{BMS}}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \in (\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge})_{\geq i}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. On the other hand, Theorem 5.17 implies $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{i-1}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \in (\log\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p^{\wedge})_{\leq i-1}^{\mathrm{veff}}$. It follows that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^{i-1}\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{i-1}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^{i-1}\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and hence $\tilde{f}_i \text{Fil}_{\text{BMS}}^{i-1} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq 0$. Now after applying \tilde{f}_i to the fiber sequence

$$\text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \text{Fil}_{\text{BMS}}^{i-1} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

we obtain an equivalence $\text{Fil}_i^{\text{BMS}} \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \tilde{f}_i \mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. □

- Remark 7.6** (1) In the proof of [Theorem 7.5](#), we have used in particular the fact that $(L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^\wedge$ is very effective in the category $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge$. Since the inclusion $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge \subset \log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)$ does not obviously preserve the very effective subcategory, we are not claiming that the same holds when we see $(L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}(i))_p^\wedge$ as object in $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)$.
- (2) One could reasonably ask if the canonical morphism constructed in [Theorem 5.17](#) becomes an equivalence for k a field (say, assuming resolution of singularities) after applying the canonical functor from $\log\text{SH}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ to $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge$, and if this equivalence coincides with the one provided by [Theorem 7.5](#). Equivalently, one could ask if the p -completion of the Kummer étale very effective slice filtration for $\mathbf{TC}(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ coincides with the Kummer étale very effective slice filtration computed in $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge$. We expect this to be the case.

Theorem 7.7 *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities, and assume that the étale cohomological dimension of k is finite.*

- (1) *The filtrations*

$$f_{\bullet}^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL}, \quad \tilde{f}_{\bullet}^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_{\bullet} \mathbf{KGL}$$

on $L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)$ agree, and are complete and exhaustive.

- (2) *There are natural equivalences*

$$s_i^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \tilde{s}_i^{\text{két}} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq L_{\text{két} s_i} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq L_{\text{két} \tilde{s}_i} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \Sigma^{2i, i} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}$$

in $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)$.

- (3) *For $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL}) \simeq L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{K}(X).$$

- (4) *For $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$, there are natural equivalences*

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k, \mathbb{S}/p^n)}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{S}/p^n) \simeq L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{K}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{S}/p^n,$$

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^\wedge(k)_p^\wedge}(\Sigma^\infty X_+, (L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL})_p^\wedge) \simeq (L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{K}(X))_p^\wedge,$$

without the assumption on the étale cohomological dimension.

Proof As pointed out by Spitzweck and Østvær [[49](#), Proposition 5.11], the connectivity of $\tilde{f}_n E$ of any motivic spectrum increases with n . Hence the very effective slice filtration is automatically complete.

By Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)}(\Sigma^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{0,i} L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{MZ}) \simeq 0$$

for $X \in \text{SmlSm}/k$ and $i < 0$. By [16, Corollary 5.1.7] and [17, Proposition 2.6.8], $\Sigma^{\infty} X_+$ is compact. Since $L_{\text{két}}$ preserve colimits, Theorem 3.4 implies that the filtration $L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_{\bullet} \mathbf{KGL}$ is exhaustive. It follows that we have

$$\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)}(\Sigma^{\infty} X_+, L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}^{-1} \mathbf{KGL}) \simeq 0.$$

This implies $L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}^{-1} \mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)_{\leq -1}^{\text{eff}}$. On the other hand, $L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)_{\geq 0}^{\text{veff}}$ follows from Lemma 2.10. From these, we have natural equivalences

$$f_0 L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq \tilde{f}_0 L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \simeq L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_0 \mathbf{KGL}.$$

Apply $\Sigma^{2i,i}$ to this and use Theorem 3.4 to finish the proof of (1).

(2) is an immediate consequence of (1).

Consider the localization functor

$$L_{\text{két}} : \text{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\text{Sh}_{\text{Sms}}(\text{SmlSm}/k, \text{Sp})) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\text{Sh}_{\text{két}}(\text{SmlSm}/k, \text{Sp}))$$

without the further $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -localizations. To show (3), we only need to show that $L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL}$ is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant for every integer n . The above argument shows that the filtration $L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_{\geq \bullet} \mathbf{KGL}$ on $L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL}$ is complete and exhaustive since this argument does not require $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -localizations. Hence it suffices to show that the graded pieces $L_{\text{két}} \tilde{f}_i \mathbf{KGL}$ are $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ -invariant. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 7.1.

Argue similarly as above and use \lim_n for (4), but note that the étale \mathbb{Z}/p^n -cohomological dimension (=étale \mathbb{S}/p^n -cohomological dimension) of k is always finite by [6, Théorème X.5.1]. □

Remark 7.8 Even though $\mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}(k)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, $L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \in \log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant in the above case of k with $\text{char } k > 0$ since $R\Gamma_L$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, as observed in [38, Lecture 10].

Construction 7.9 Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. By Proposition 6.10 and (6-3), log syntomic cohomology on SmlSm/k is a Kummer étale hypersheaf. It follows that the log motivic cyclotomic trace map

$$\text{Tr} : \mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}$$

in $\log\text{SH}(k)$ yields the map

$$L_{\text{két}} \text{Tr} : L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{KGL} \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}$$

in $\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)$.

If we apply $\text{map}_{\log\text{SH}_{\text{két}}^{\wedge}(k)}(\Sigma^{\infty} X_+, -)$ to $L_{\text{két}} \text{Tr}$, then we get

$$L_{\text{két}} \mathbf{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(X)$$

by [Theorem 7.7\(3\)](#) when the étale cohomological dimension of k is finite, which is the Kummer étale hypersheafification of the log cyclotomic trace map $\mathbf{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(X)$. Similarly, if we apply $\mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{logSH}_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}^{\wedge}(k)_p}(\Sigma^{\infty} X_+, -)$ to $(L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathrm{Tr})_p^{\wedge}$, then we get

$$(L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{K}(X))_p^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbf{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

by [Theorem 7.7\(4\)](#).

As an application of Kummer étale K -theory, we show the following:

Proposition 7.10 *Let k be a perfect field admitting resolution of singularities. For $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$(L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{K}(X))_p^{\wedge} \simeq \mathbf{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proof Assume first that $X \in \mathrm{Sm}/k$. Then the equivalence $(L_{\acute{e}t} \mathbf{K}(X))_p^{\wedge} \simeq \mathbf{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is due to Geisser and Hesselholt [[25](#), Theorem 4.2.6]. In general, the desired equivalence for $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$ follows from the Gysin sequence [[17](#), Theorem 3.2.19] by induction on the number of smooth irreducible components r of ∂X . More precisely, if Z is a smooth divisor on proper $X \in \mathrm{Sm}/k$, then we have the fiber sequence in $\mathrm{logSH}(k)$

$$(7-3) \quad \Sigma^{\infty}(X, Z)_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^{\infty} X_+ \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(\mathrm{N}_Z X),$$

where $\mathrm{Th}(\mathrm{N}_Z X)$ is the motivic Thom space defined in [[17](#), Definition 3.2.4]. Note that by applying the natural functor $\omega_{\sharp} : \mathrm{logSH}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)$, left adjoint to ω^* , the above sequence reduces to the standard localization sequence in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$. In particular, applying the log K -theory spectrum \mathbf{KGL} , we obtain the localization sequence in algebraic K -theory in light of [[17](#), Theorem 6.5.7]. On the other hand, if we apply the log \mathbf{TC} spectrum \mathbf{TC} to (7-3) we obtain by definition the Gysin or residue sequence in logarithmic topological cyclic homology. By [Construction 7.9](#), we obtain a commutative diagram of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{K}(Z))_p^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{K}(X))_p^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & (L_{\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}} \mathbf{K}(X, Z))_p^{\wedge} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{TC}(Z; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{TC}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{TC}((X, Z); \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array}$$

whose horizontal sequences are fiber sequences. The general case follows similarly by induction on r . \square

Remark 7.11 Assume that the boundary ∂X of $X \in \mathrm{SmlSm}/k$ has r irreducible components. For integers $m \geq 1$ and $n \leq d - r$, the induced natural map

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^m(d))) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^m(d)))$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, if $r = 0$, then this is a consequence of [[26](#), Theorem 8.5]. If $r > 0$, then proceed by induction on r , and use the Gysin sequence [[17](#), Theorem 3.2.19] and the five lemma. See [[23](#), Corollary 1.1] for a related result with \mathbb{Q}_p -coefficients and the inequality $n \leq d$.

Remark 7.12 The fact that p -adic étale K -theory is identified with topological cyclic homology holds in larger generality (namely, without smoothness assumption) in light of [20, Theorem C]. However, this does not immediately imply a generalization of Proposition 7.10.

References

- [1] **A Ananyevskiy, O Röndigs, P A Østvær**, *On very effective hermitian K -theory*, Math. Z. 294 (2020) 1021–1034 [MR](#)
- [2] **T Annala, R Iwasa**, *Motivic spectra and universality of K -theory*, preprint (2022) [arXiv 2204.03434](#)
- [3] **J Anschütz, A-C Le Bras**, *The p -completed cyclotomic trace in degree 2*, Ann. K-Theory 5 (2020) 539–580 [MR](#)
- [4] **B Antieau**, *Periodic cyclic homology and derived de Rham cohomology*, Ann. K-Theory 4 (2019) 505–519 [MR](#)
- [5] **B Antieau, A Mathew, M Morrow, T Nikolaus**, *On the Beilinson fiber square*, Duke Math. J. 171 (2022) 3707–3806 [MR](#)
- [6] **M Artin, A Grothendieck, J L Verdier**, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3: Exposés IX–XIX (SGA 4₃)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 305, Springer (1973) [MR](#)
- [7] **T Bachmann**, *The very effective covers of KO and KGL over Dedekind schemes*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (online publication April 2024)
- [8] **B Bhatt, J Lurie**, *Absolute prismatic cohomology*, preprint (2022) [arXiv 2201.06120](#)
- [9] **B Bhatt, M Morrow, P Scholze**, *Topological Hochschild homology and integral p -adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 129 (2019) 199–310 [MR](#)
- [10] **B Bhatt, P Scholze**, *The pro-étale topology for schemes*, from “De la géométrie algébrique aux formes automorphes, I” (J-B Bost, P Boyer, A Genestier, L Lafforgue, S Lysenko, S Morel, B C Ngo, editors), Astérisque 369, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2015) 99–201 [MR](#)
- [11] **F Binda, T Lundemo, A Merici, D Park**, *Logarithmic prismatic cohomology, motivic sheaves, and comparison theorems*, preprint (2023) [arXiv 2312.13129](#)
- [12] **F Binda, T Lundemo, D Park, P A Østvær**, *A Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem and residue sequences for logarithmic Hochschild homology*, Adv. Math. 435 (2023) art. id. 109354 [MR](#)
- [13] **F Binda, T Lundemo, D Park, P A Østvær**, *Logarithmic prismatic cohomology via logarithmic THH*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2023 (2023) 19641–19696 [MR](#)
- [14] **F Binda, A Merici**, *Connectivity and purity for logarithmic motives*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 22 (2023) 335–381 [MR](#)
- [15] **F Binda, D Park, P A Østvær**, *Motives and homotopy theory in logarithmic geometry*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 360 (2022) 717–727 [MR](#)
- [16] **F Binda, D Park, P A Østvær**, *Triangulated categories of logarithmic motives over a field*, Astérisque 433, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2022) [MR](#)
- [17] **F Binda, D Park, P A Østvær**, *Logarithmic motivic homotopy theory*, preprint (2023) [arXiv 2303.02729](#)
To appear under Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.

- [18] **F Binda, K Rülling, S Saito**, *On the cohomology of reciprocity sheaves*, Forum Math. Sigma 10 (2022) art. id. e72 [MR](#)
- [19] **A J Blumberg, M A Mandell**, *Localization theorems in topological Hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology*, Geom. Topol. 16 (2012) 1053–1120 [MR](#)
- [20] **D Clausen, A Mathew, M Morrow**, *K-theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (2021) 411–473 [MR](#)
- [21] **E Elmanto**, *THH and TC are (very) far from being homotopy functors*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 225 (2021) art., id. 106640 [MR](#)
- [22] **E Elmanto, M Morrow**, *Motivic cohomology of equicharacteristic schemes*, preprint (2023) [arXiv 2309.08463v1](#)
- [23] **V Ertl, W a Nizioł**, *Syntomic cohomology and p-adic motivic cohomology*, Algebr. Geom. 6 (2019) 100–131 [MR](#)
- [24] **E M Friedlander, A Suslin**, *The spectral sequence relating algebraic K-theory to motivic cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 35 (2002) 773–875 [MR](#)
- [25] **T Geisser, L Hesselholt**, *Topological cyclic homology of schemes*, from “Algebraic K-theory” (W Raskind, C Weibel, editors), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999) 41–87 [MR](#)
- [26] **T Geisser, M Levine**, *The K-theory of fields in characteristic p*, Invent. Math. 139 (2000) 459–493 [MR](#)
- [27] **MA Hill, M J Hopkins, D C Ravenel**, *On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one*, Ann. of Math. 184 (2016) 1–262 [MR](#)
- [28] **O Hyodo, K Kato**, *Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles*, from “Périodes p-adiques”, Astérisque 223 (1994) 221–268 [MR](#)
- [29] **L Illusie**, *Complexe de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 12 (1979) 501–661 [MR](#)
- [30] **D C Isaksen, P A Østvær**, *Motivic stable homotopy groups*, from “Handbook of homotopy theory” (H Miller, editor), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2020) 757–791 [MR](#)
- [31] **U Jannsen, S Saito, Y Zhao**, *Duality for relative logarithmic de Rham–Witt sheaves and wildly ramified class field theory over finite fields*, Compos. Math. 154 (2018) 1306–1331 [MR](#)
- [32] **K Kato**, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine–Illusie*, from “Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory” (J-I Igusa, editor), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD (1989) 191–224 [MR](#)
- [33] **M Levine**, *The homotopy coniveau tower*, J. Topol. 1 (2008) 217–267 [MR](#)
- [34] **P Lorenzon**, *Logarithmic Hodge–Witt forms and Hyodo–Kato cohomology*, J. Algebra 249 (2002) 247–265 [MR](#)
- [35] **J Lurie**, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 170, Princeton Univ. Press (2009) [MR](#)
- [36] **J Lurie**, *Higher algebra*, preprint (2017) Available at <https://url.msp.org/Lurie-HA>
- [37] **A Mathew**, *Some recent advances in topological Hochschild homology*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 54 (2022) 1–44 [MR](#)
- [38] **C Mazza, V Voevodsky, C Weibel**, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006) [MR](#)
- [39] **A Merici**, *A motivic integral p-adic cohomology*, preprint (2022) [arXiv 2211.14303](#)

- [40] **F Morel**, *An introduction to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory*, from “Contemporary developments in algebraic K -theory” (M Karoubi, A O Kuku, C Pedrini, editors), ICTP Lect. Notes 15, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste (2004) 357–441 [MR](#)
- [41] **T Nikolaus, P Scholze**, *On topological cyclic homology*, Acta Math. 221 (2018) 203–409 [MR](#)
- [42] **M C Olsson**, *The logarithmic cotangent complex*, Math. Ann. 333 (2005) 859–931 [MR](#)
- [43] **D Park**, *On the log motivic stable homotopy groups*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 27 (2025) 1–15 [MR](#)
- [44] **O Röndigs, P A Østvær**, *Slices of hermitian K -theory and Milnor’s conjecture on quadratic forms*, Geom. Topol. 20 (2016) 1157–1212 [MR](#)
- [45] **O Röndigs, M Spitzweck, P A Østvær**, *The motivic Hopf map solves the homotopy limit problem for K -theory*, Doc. Math. 23 (2018) 1405–1424 [MR](#)
- [46] **O Röndigs, M Spitzweck, P A Østvær**, *The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres*, Ann. of Math. 189 (2019) 1–74 [MR](#)
- [47] **O Röndigs, M Spitzweck, P A Østvær**, *The second stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres*, Duke Math. J. 173 (2024) 1017–1084 [MR](#)
- [48] **S Saito**, *Reciprocity sheaves and logarithmic motives*, Compos. Math. 159 (2023) 355–379 [MR](#)
- [49] **M Spitzweck, P A Østvær**, *Motivic twisted K -theory*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 12 (2012) 565–599 [MR](#)
- [50] **V Voevodsky**, *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory, I*, from “Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, I” (F Bogomolov, L Katzarkov, editors), Int. Press Lect. Ser. 3, I, International, Somerville, MA (2002) 3–34 [MR](#)
- [51] **V Voevodsky**, *A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K -theory*, from “Recent progress in homotopy theory” (D M Davis, J Morava, G Nishida, W S Wilson, N Yagita, editors), Contemp. Math. 293, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2002) 371–379 [MR](#)
- [52] **V Voevodsky**, *On the zero slice of the sphere spectrum*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 246 (2004) 106–115 [MR](#)
- [53] **V Voevodsky, A Suslin, E M Friedlander**, *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 143, Princeton Univ. Press (2000) [MR](#)

FB, PAØ: Dipartimento di Matematica “Federigo Enriques”, Università degli Studi di Milano
Milan, Italy

DP: Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Wuppertal
Wuppertal, Germany

PAØ: Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo
Oslo, Norway

federico.binda@unimi.it, dpark@uni-wuppertal.de, paul.oestvaer@unimi.it

Proposed: Arend Bayer

Seconded: Stefan Schwede, Marc Levine

Received: 7 April 2024

Revised: 30 October 2024

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITORS

Robert Lipshitz University of Oregon
lipshitz@uoregon.edu
András I Stipsicz Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
stipsicz@renyi.hu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Mohammed Abouzaid	Stanford University abouzaid@stanford.edu	Mark Gross	University of Cambridge mgross@dpms.cam.ac.uk
Dan Abramovich	Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu	Rob Kirby	University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu
Ian Agol	University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu	Bruce Kleiner	NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu
Arend Bayer	University of Edinburgh arend.bayer@ed.ac.uk	Sándor Kovács	University of Washington skovacs@uw.edu
Mark Behrens	University of Notre Dame mbehren1@nd.edu	Urs Lang	ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch
Mladen Bestvina	University of Utah bestvina@math.utah.edu	Marc Levine	Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de
Martin R Bridson	University of Oxford bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk	Ciprian Manolescu	University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu
Jim Bryan	University of British Columbia jbryan@math.ubc.ca	Haynes Miller	Massachusetts Institute of Technology hmr@math.mit.edu
Dmitri Burago	Pennsylvania State University burago@math.psu.edu	Tomasz Mrowka	Massachusetts Institute of Technology mrowka@math.mit.edu
Tobias H Colding	Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu	Aaron Naber	Northwestern University anaber@math.northwestern.edu
Simon Donaldson	Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk	Peter Ozsváth	Princeton University petero@math.princeton.edu
Yasha Eliashberg	Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu	Leonid Polterovich	Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il
Benson Farb	University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu	Colin Rourke	University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk
David M Fisher	Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu	Roman Sauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology roman.sauer@kit.edu
Mike Freedman	Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com	Stefan Schwede	Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de
David Gabai	Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu	Natasa Sesum	Rutgers University natasas@math.rutgers.edu
Stavros Garoufalidis	Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de	Gang Tian	Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu
Cameron Gordon	University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu	Ulrike Tillmann	Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk
Jesper Grodal	University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Misha Gromov	IHÉS and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr	Anna Wienhard	Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$865/year for the electronic version, and \$1210/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

Volume 29 Issue 5 (pages 2251–2782) 2025

Quantitative Thomas–Yau uniqueness	2251
YANG LI	
Knot surgery formulae for instanton Floer homology, I: The main theorem	2269
ZHENKUN LI and FAN YE	
Morse actions of discrete groups on symmetric spaces: local-to-global principle	2343
MICHAEL KAPOVICH, BERNHARD LEEB and JOAN PORTI	
Nearly geodesic immersions and domains of discontinuity	2391
COLIN DAVALO	
Graded character sheaves, HOMFLY-PT homology, and Hilbert schemes of points on \mathbb{C}^2	2463
QUOC P HO and PENGHUI LI	
Asymptotically Calabi metrics and weak Fano manifolds	2547
HANS-JOACHIM HEIN, SONG SUN, JEFFREY VIACLOVSKY and RUOBING ZHANG	
The distribution of critical graphs of Jenkins–Strebel differentials	2571
FRANCISCO ARANA-HERRERA and AARON CALDERON	
Tian’s stabilization problem for toric Fanos	2609
CHENZI JIN and YANIR A RUBINSTEIN	
On the logarithmic slice filtration	2653
FEDERICO BINDA, DOOSUNG PARK and PAUL ARNE ØSTVÆR	
Joyce structures on spaces of quadratic differentials	2695
TOM BRIDGELAND	
Big monodromy for higher Prym representations	2733
AARON LANDESMAN, DANIEL LITT and WILL SAWIN	