



Geometry & Topology

Volume 29 (2025)

Quantum Steenrod operations of symplectic resolutions

JAE HEE LEE

Quantum Steenrod operations of symplectic resolutions

JAE HEE LEE

We study the mod p equivariant quantum cohomology of conical symplectic resolutions. We conjecture that the quantum Steenrod operations on divisor classes agree with the p -curvature of the mod p equivariant quantum connection, and verify this in the case of the Springer resolution. The key ingredient is a new compatibility relation between the quantum Steenrod operations and the shift operators.

53D45

1. Background and results	4341
2. Quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators	4347
3. Regularity of moduli spaces	4365
4. p -curvature of equivariant quantum connections	4377
5. p -curvature and quantum Steenrod operations	4381
References	4385

1 Background and results

1.1 A brief overview of context

The quantum connection of a symplectic manifold (X, ω) is a flat connection acting on singular cohomology $H^*(X)$, defined out of 3-pointed genus-0 Gromov–Witten invariants. A remarkable program from geometric representation theory, initiated by Braverman, Maulik and Okounkov [Braverman et al. 2011], reveals that many flat connections arising from representation theory can be realized as equivariant versions of quantum connections of (*conical*) *symplectic resolutions*; see also [Maulik and Okounkov 2019; Danilenko 2020].

The quantum connection of symplectic resolutions satisfy special properties, among which is that in a suitable basis of cohomology, they could be defined over rings admitting mod p reduction. That is, one can consider the quantum connection defined over \mathbb{F}_p . Connections in positive characteristic behave very differently from their characteristic zero counterparts. For example, there is a fundamental invariant called the p -curvature which obstructs formal solvability, which is the subject of the famous Grothendieck–Katz conjecture; see [Katz 1970; 1972].

Recently in representation theory, there has been important progress in understanding the mod p (and p -adic) flat connections and their p -curvature [Schechtman and Varchenko 2019; Smirnov and Varchenko 2025; Varchenko 2021; Etingof and Varchenko 2024a]. Our goal is to apply techniques from symplectic Gromov–Witten theory to study these connections from the viewpoint of enumerative geometry.

Namely, we study the class of equivariant operations defined on mod p quantum cohomology known as the *quantum Steenrod operations* [Fukaya 1997; Wilkins 2020]. An important property of these operations is that they are covariantly constant with respect to the mod p quantum connection [Seidel and Wilkins 2022]. Despite many successful applications [Shelukhin 2021; Çineli et al. 2022; Seidel 2023], computations and more structural results concerning the quantum Steenrod operations beyond covariant constancy remained elusive.

In this paper, we prove an unexpected identification of the quantum Steenrod operations with the p -curvature of the quantum connections of many symplectic resolutions. The result follows from a new compatibility relation between the quantum Steenrod operations and *shift operators* [Okounkov and Pandharipande 2010; Iritani 2017; Liebenschutz-Jones 2021]. Our result in particular expands the scope of available computations of quantum Steenrod operations to include the classical case of all Springer resolutions.

1.2 Main results

Fix an odd prime $p > 2$, and take a cohomology class $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The quantum Steenrod operations [Fukaya 1997; Wilkins 2020] are defined from \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant counts of (perturbed) holomorphic curves $u: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ from \mathbb{P}^1 with p marked points along the roots of unity, all incident to (the Poincaré dual of) b . Following Lee [2025], we generalize the quantum Steenrod operations to a setting where the target symplectic manifold (X, ω) admits Hamiltonian torus actions by $T = (S^1)^r$. For a fixed (equivariant) cohomology class $b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ we define a T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operation (Definition 2.17), which is an endomorphism

$$(1-1) \quad \Sigma_b^T: H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$$

of the underlying module of the equivariant quantum cohomology; here Λ is the Novikov ring and t, θ represent the \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant parameters $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[t, \theta]]$ of degrees $|t| = 2$ and $|\theta| = 1$ (since $p > 2$, note that $\theta^2 = 0$).

In the presence of a torus T acting on X , there is another set of operations on equivariant quantum cohomology known as the *shift operators* (Definition 2.27) for each choice of a cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$,

$$(1-2) \quad \mathbb{S}_\beta: H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]].$$

The key property of the shift operator is that it does not give a linear map of $H_T^*(\text{pt})[[t, \theta]]$ -modules, but rather satisfies a “twisted-linear” condition (2-53). Following Iritani [2017] and Liebenschutz-Jones [2020], we define the shift operator as a composition of a linear map S_β (the “bare” shift operators) and a reparametrization map accounting for the failure of linearity (and hence the shift); see Definition 2.27.

Our first main result is the following new compatibility relation of the (equivariant) quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b^T with the shift operators S_β .

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.31) *The quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b^T and the shift operators S_β commute. Equivalently, the bare shift operators S_β commute with Σ_b^T up to a shift in the equivariant parameters:*

$$(1-3) \quad \Sigma_b^T|_{\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \beta t} \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b^T.$$

Theorem 1.1 is a new constraint for the quantum Steenrod operations. A surprising consequence of this compatibility relation is that it constrains the quantum Steenrod operation enough so that it has to agree with the p -curvature of the mod p quantum connection in many cases.

The p -curvature is a fundamental invariant of any connection in positive characteristic, and for the mod p quantum connection it takes the following form (Definition 4.4) for a choice of $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$:

$$(1-4) \quad F_b = \nabla_b^p - t^{p-1} \nabla_b : H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]].$$

Remarkably, it can be shown that the p -curvature of the equivariant quantum connections for conical symplectic resolutions X satisfy the same properties as the quantum Steenrod operations observed in [Lee 2025], including covariant constancy (Theorem 2.20), compatibility with shift operators (Theorem 2.31), and annihilation of arithmetic flat sections of [Varchenko 2022] (see [Lee 2025, Section 7]).

Our main result is that in a large number of examples, the quantum Steenrod operations (on cohomology classes of degree 2) are indeed the same as p -curvature. For the following result, let $X = T^*(G/B)$ be the cotangent bundle of the complete flag variety of a complex semisimple Lie group G . We consider X with the action of $T = T \times S^1$, where T is the natural action of the maximal (compact) torus and S^1 is the rotation of cotangent fibers, which scales the canonical holomorphic Liouville form. The cotangent bundle $X = T^*(G/B)$ with its projection to the nilpotent cone, the Springer resolution, is the most classical example of a conical symplectic resolution.

Under the hypothesis (Assumption 3.23) that our analytically defined shift operators agree with the shift operators defined in the algebraic geometry literature, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2) *Consider the action of $T = T \times S^1$ on $T^*(G/B)$, as described above. For almost all primes p , the p -curvature F_b and the quantum Steenrod operation Σ_b^T for $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ agree.*

Remark 1.3 The p -curvature endomorphism F_b is only defined for classes $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ of degree 2, but the quantum Steenrod operation Σ_b^T can be defined for classes of arbitrary cohomological degree. When the quantum cohomology algebra is generated by classes in H^2 , Theorem 1.2 characterizes the quantum Steenrod operation for any class in terms of iterations of the p -curvature via the quantum Cartan relation $\Sigma_b^T \circ \Sigma_{b'}^T = \pm \Sigma_{b * b'}^T$, where $*$ denotes the quantum product.

Remark 1.4 For the sake of concreteness, we have opted to highlight the example of the Springer resolution $T^*(G/B)$, for which all constructions are classical and can be made concrete. Nevertheless, we explain how to adapt the proof for a more general class of symplectic resolutions in the main body of the paper.

In particular, the same result with the same proof holds, for instance, for generalized Springer resolutions $T^*(G/P)$ for parabolic $P \leq G$, Nakajima quiver varieties of type A , hypertoric varieties, and the Hilbert scheme of points in \mathbb{C}^2 ; in general it would hold under the assumptions that

- (i) X^T is discrete, and
- (ii) the quantum multiplication $b * \cdot$ has simple spectrum.

Theorem 1.2 can be read in two ways. From the perspective of symplectic topology, the result provides *full* computation of quantum Steenrod operations in all degrees for the class of symplectic resolutions we consider. The previously known computations of quantum Steenrod operations relied on covariant constancy [Seidel and Wilkins 2022], which alone cannot determine the operation completely in positive characteristic except in a few examples where additional degree arguments are available. In the case of $X = T^*\mathbb{P}^1$, we computed in [Lee 2025] the operation using direct geometric arguments, relying on the specific geometry of $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$ and previous results by Voisin [1996] on the Aspinwall–Morrison multiple cover formula for Gromov–Witten invariants. **Theorem 1.2** is a more systematic result on the computation, which also reveals a relationship of the operation with the p -curvature. From the perspective of representation theory, the result provides a direct enumerative geometry definition of the p -curvature. The p -curvature also plays a role in “large center” phenomena in positive characteristic; see [Section 1.4](#) for more discussion.

1.3 Methods

We give a brief discussion of the strategy of the proofs of [Theorems 1.1](#) and [1.2](#).

Theorem 1.1 follows a “TQFT argument” that identifies the composition of operators on both sides of the equation

$$(1-5) \quad \Sigma_b^T |_{\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \beta t} \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b^T$$

by expressing their structure constants, given by counts of certain 0-dimensional moduli spaces, as counts of boundary points of a certain 1-dimensional moduli space. The relevant moduli space counts the configuration of (perturbed) holomorphic sections $u: C \rightarrow E_\beta$ of a Hamiltonian fibration $E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with fiber X obtained by gluing two copies of $D^2 \times X$ along the boundary using the cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$. The source curve C has $(p+2)$ -marked points at $0, \infty$, and a p -tuple of marked points depending on a parameter $0 < r < \infty$ at $r\zeta, \dots, r\zeta^p = r$ for $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. The limits as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ recover the two compositions.

From [Theorem 1.1](#), we deduce [Theorem 1.2](#). The key observations are the following ([Proposition 2.18](#), [Lemma 4.6](#)):

- (i) Both F_b and Σ_b , under specialization of \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant parameters $(t, \theta) \mapsto 0$, recover the p -fold power of $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ in quantum cohomology.
- (ii) Both F_b and Σ_b , under specialization of Novikov (quantum) parameters $q^A \mapsto 0$, recover the classical Steenrod operation $\text{St}(b) = b^p - t^{p-1}b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)[[t, \theta]]$.
- (iii) Both F_b and Σ_b commute with the shift operators.

As we expand the matrices of F_b and Σ_b as polynomials in the equivariant parameter h for the S^1 -action rotating the holomorphic symplectic form, (i) and (ii) imply that the leading asymptotics and the constant terms in these polynomials agree. This observation bounds the degrees of h in the difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$. The key property (iii), for the cocharacter in the direction of the S^1 -action scaling the holomorphic symplectic form, is then used to deduce that all eigenvalues of the difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$ must in fact vanish.

To show that such an expansion of F_b and Σ_b as polynomials of h is well-defined, we work in a basis of equivariant cohomology provided by Maulik and Okounkov's theory [\[2019\]](#) of *cohomological stable envelopes*. For the case of $X = T^*(G/B)$, the stable envelopes are given by certain linear combinations of the classes represented by the conormals of Schubert varieties in G/B ; see [\[Su and Zhong 2020\]](#). The main technical input is to show the compactness and transversality of the moduli spaces used to define the quantum Steenrod operations ([Proposition 3.16](#)) and shift operators ([Proposition 3.16](#)), when the input and output incidence constraints are given by the stable envelope classes. (See [Remark 3.12](#) that discusses the recent work of Ritter and Živanović [\[2023\]](#), which establishes a relevant maximum principle in more generality.)

To conclude $F_b = \Sigma_b$, it remains to note that the regular semisimplicity of the quantum multiplication $b *$ implies generic regular semisimplicity of the p -curvature F_b . Since $[F_b, \Sigma_b] = 0$ by covariant constancy [\[Seidel and Wilkins 2022\]](#), together with [Theorem 5.1](#) we conclude the result.

1.4 Related work

The presented result develops the theme introduced in [\[Lee 2025\]](#), and is a part of a more general program of studying the symplectic topology and Floer theory of symplectic resolutions; see also recent foundational work by Ritter and Živanović [\[2023\]](#). The case of conical symplectic resolutions provides a very rich class of examples to study from the symplectic point of view, which (i) are amenable to computation due to the presence of extra structures (such as the shift operators), and (ii) naturally generalize constructions from geometric representation theory. Indeed our result [Theorem 1.2](#) provides an enumerative geometric interpretation of the p -curvature of the flat connections associated to the symplectic resolutions.

The key tool to our result are shift operators. Okounkov and Pandharipande [\[2010\]](#) introduced the shift operators to define a set of operators compatible with the quantum connection. In the context of equivariant

mirror symmetry [Braverman et al. 2011; Maulik and Okounkov 2019], the shift operators collectively form a compatible *difference connection*; see also [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021]. The shift operators play an important role in the study of the monodromy of the equivariant quantum connection [Braverman et al. 2011] and toric mirror symmetry [Iritani 2017]. A symplectic-geometric definition was provided in the thesis of Liebenschutz-Jones [2020; 2021], and versions for actions of nonabelian Lie groups were defined and used in [González et al. 2023].

The main result of [Seidel and Wilkins 2022] was covariant constancy, which establishes the compatibility of the quantum Steenrod operation Σ_b with the quantum *differential* connection. In light of the above discussion, our main result [Theorem 1.1](#) can be understood as a companion theorem to [loc. cit.], as it establishes the compatibility of Σ_b with the *difference* connection. There are very few operations which are compatible with both the quantum differential connection and the difference connection from the shift operators, and this constrains the quantum Steenrod operations enough to be equivalent to p -curvature.

The p -curvature is a fundamental invariant of a connection in positive characteristic, and is an object of classical interest, notably featuring in the Grothendieck–Katz p -curvature conjecture [Katz 1972]. In the recent important work of Etingof and Varchenko [2024b; 2024a], they develop the theory of *periodic pencils of flat connections* and prove systematic results about the spectrum of p -curvature endomorphisms. Our equivariant quantum connections of conical symplectic resolutions are examples of periodic pencils of flat connections, where periodicity arises from the compatibility with the shift operators. For the main result of this paper, the precise results from [Etingof and Varchenko 2024b; 2024a] can actually be bypassed, but we expect their theory to be a key ingredient for the further study of equivariant quantum connections in positive characteristic.

Finally, we speculate a potential role of the quantum Steenrod operations in the context of 3D mirror symmetry, through the *quantum Hikita conjecture* [Kamnitzer et al. 2021]. 3D mirror symmetry posits that conical symplectic resolutions come in pairs of *Coulomb branches* and *Higgs branches*, and the quantum Hikita proposal identifies the action of the quantum connection of the Higgs branch with the D -module of twisted traces for the Coulomb branch. In positive characteristic, the Coulomb branch admits a power operation (a Frobenius-constant quantization) structure [Lonergan 2021], whose image in the D -module of twisted traces is preserved by the action of Steenrod operators. We expect that the corresponding image in the quantum D -module is spanned by the quantum Steenrod powers $\text{QSt}(b) = \Sigma_b(1)$, preserved by the action of p -curvature. Such “large center” versions of the quantum Hikita conjecture have K -theoretic analogues, in which power operations in quantum K -theory [Okounkov 2017; Abouzaid et al. 2024] analogous to quantum Steenrod operations should play a role.

1.5 Organization of the paper

In [Section 2](#) we introduce quantum Steenrod operations, their torus-equivariant generalizations, and the shift operators. The key result in this section is [Theorem 2.31](#), the compatibility of the quantum Steenrod operations with the shift operators.

In [Section 3](#) we focus on the example of the Springer resolution $X = T^*(G/B)$ and show how the necessary compactness and transversality properties for the moduli spaces relevant for the definition of quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators can be established.

In [Section 4](#) we review the specific properties of the equivariant quantum connections of symplectic resolutions and their p -curvature. We also briefly introduce the theory of periodic pencils of flat connections of Etingof and Varchenko [[2024b](#)], in particular their structural result about the eigenvalues of the p -curvature for such connections.

In [Section 5](#) we prove the main theorem, which establishes the equivalence of the p -curvature of (equivariant) quantum connections with the quantum Steenrod operations on divisor classes, verified for $X = T^*(G/B)$. We explain the key properties we use for $T^*(G/B)$ and how the method would apply to a larger class of examples.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Paul Seidel for his continued encouragement. We thank Shaoyun Bai, Zihong Chen, Hunter Dinkins, Pavel Etingof, Vasily Krylov, Davesh Maulik, Dan Pomerleano, Ben Webster, Alexander Varchenko and Nicholas Wilkins for stimulating discussions at various stages. We especially thank Pavel Etingof for explaining his ongoing work with Alexander Varchenko and suggesting its crucial relevance with the current project, leading to the main result. Finally, we thank the referees for extremely helpful comments and suggestions that improved the exposition.

This work was partially supported by the MIT Landis fellowship, by the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-1904997, and by the Simons Foundation through grants 6552299 (the *Simons collaboration on homological mirror symmetry*) and 256290 (Simons Investigator).

2 Quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators

In this section, we review the definitions and properties of the endomorphisms of equivariant quantum cohomology considered in the paper: the quantum Steenrod operations and the shift operators. The main result proved in the section is [Theorem 2.31](#), which establishes a compatibility relation between the quantum Steenrod operations and the shift operators.

2.1 Assumptions and notation

2.1.1 Target geometry and Novikov rings In this article we consider a connected symplectic manifold (X, ω) with a compatible almost complex structure J , satisfying the spherical nonnegativity assumption:

Assumption 2.1 There exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that for all $A \in \text{im}(\pi_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}))$,

$$(2-1) \quad c_1(A) := \langle c_1(TX, J), A \rangle = \lambda \cdot \langle [\omega], A \rangle.$$

In practice, our examples will be Kähler manifolds which are weakly Calabi–Yau in the sense that $c_1(X) = 0$.

Associated to (X, ω) is the Novikov ring Λ , which is a graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebra generated by exponential symbols of form q^A . The symbols q^A are indexed over $A \in \text{im}(\pi_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ in the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism such that $A = 0$ or $\int_A \omega > 0$. For a fixed ring R we define the Novikov ring to be

$$(2-2) \quad \Lambda := \Lambda_R = \left\{ \sum_A c_A q^A : c_A \in R \right\}, \quad \text{where } |q^A| = 2c_1(A),$$

and where, given a bound on $\int_A \omega$, only finitely many coefficients c_A can be nonzero for A satisfying the bound. Hence an element in Λ can involve an infinite sum of q^A , and will do so for most examples considered in the current article. We also assume $R = \mathbb{F}_p$.

2.1.2 Source curve Denote $C = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ to be a curve with distinguished marked points at

$$(2-3) \quad z_0 = 0, \quad z_1 = \zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}, \quad \dots, \quad z_p = \zeta^p = 1, \quad z_\infty = \infty.$$

The curve C carries its standard almost complex structure j_C , and will be taken as the source of the (perturbed) J -holomorphic maps. Let τ be the rotation of the curve C which cyclically permutes the marked points as

$$(2-4) \quad \tau : (C, z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p, z_\infty) \mapsto (C, z_0, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_1, z_\infty).$$

The rotation τ defines an action of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}/p on C . We choose and fix an isomorphism \mathbb{Z}/p with the multiplicative group of p^{th} roots of unity where the generator is sent to $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. For $\eta = \zeta^j \in \mathbb{Z}/p$, we denote by $\tau(\eta) = \tau^j$ the corresponding rotation of C .

2.1.3 Equivariant cohomology Let G be a compact Lie group, which is taken to be \mathbb{Z}/p or a torus $T = (S^1)^r$ in the current article. Define equivariant cohomology $H_G^*(X) := H^*(EG \times_G X)$ via the Borel construction. For chain-level constructions, we fix a choice for the contractible space EG with a free (right) G -action and its quotient, the classifying space BG .

Constructions of quantum Steenrod operations involve the rotational symmetry group \mathbb{Z}/p of the source curve C . The model for the classifying space $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ is as follows; see [Lee 2025, Section 2.1] for a more detailed description.

Fix a prime $p > 2$ and the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}/p . Take

$$(2-5) \quad S^\infty = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^\infty = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{C} : \|w\|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

again as the model of $E\mathbb{Z}/p$, where $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ acts freely by multiplication of $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Denote this action of \mathbb{Z}/p by σ . The space $E\mathbb{Z}/p = S^\infty$ can be equipped with a \mathbb{Z}/p -CW complex structure with exactly p many i -cells $\Delta^i, \sigma\Delta^i, \dots, \sigma^{p-1}\Delta^i$ in each dimension $i \geq 0$. The i -cell Δ^i has a natural compactification with stratified boundary, whose top strata in the boundary are given by the union $\bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma^j \Delta^{i-1}$ of $(i-1)$ -cells for i even, and $\sigma\Delta^{i-1} - \Delta^{i-1}$ for i odd. The images of Δ^i under the quotient $E\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/p$

induce a CW-structure on $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ with unique i -cell for every $i \geq 0$. For \mathbb{F}_p -coefficients, the images of (the compactification of) Δ^i in $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ become cycles, additively generating $H_*^{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) = H_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Fix generators $\theta \in H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $t \in H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{F}_p)$ such that

$$(2-6) \quad \langle \theta, \Delta^1 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle t, \Delta^2 \rangle = 1.$$

Then t, θ generate $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(\text{pt}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[t, \theta]]$. Our notation $\mathbb{F}_p[[t, \theta]]$ is for graded (or degreewise) completion of the graded algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[t, \theta]$ by the ideal generated by t, θ ; in particular, $\mathbb{F}_p[[t, \theta]]$ is isomorphic as a graded algebra to $\mathbb{F}_p[t, \theta]$.

Additively, the \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant cohomology of a point has one generator in each degree $i \geq 0$, which we will denote by

$$(2-7) \quad (t, \theta)^i = \begin{cases} t^{i/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ t^{(i-1)/2}\theta & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this article, we consider target symplectic manifolds X with a Hamiltonian action of a torus $T = (S^1)^r$. Operations we consider will be defined on the Borel T -equivariant cohomology of X .

We again take S^∞ with the multiplication action of $S^1 \leq \mathbb{C}^*$ as a model of ES^1 , so that $BS^1 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ has one i -cell for each $i \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Correspondingly, $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda_1]]$ for a choice of the generator $\lambda_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_p)$, given by the first Chern class of the universal line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty}(1)$. For a higher-dimensional torus $T = (S^1)^r$, take the product $ET = (S^\infty)^r$ with the product action so that $H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r]]$. When the torus T is fixed, we will denote this as $H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) := \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda]]$, where the variable λ is understood as a shorthand for the tuple of parameters $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$.

Inverting the equivariant parameters λ_i gives rise to the localized equivariant cohomology,

$$(2-8) \quad H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)_{\text{loc}} := H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Frac}(H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)).$$

Note that locally finite cycles in X represent cohomology classes in $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ by Poincaré duality. The T -invariant locally finite cycles in X give rise to a locally finite cycle in the Borel construction $X \times_T ET \rightarrow BT$ that is fiberwise (ie in X) the given locally finite cycle, and correspondingly represent cohomology classes in $H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. For the running example of $X = T^*(G/B)$, it is classical [Brion 2005] that its cohomology over \mathbb{Z} is additively generated by locally finite cycles given by the unions of conormals to Schubert subvarieties of G/B ; see Section 3.

2.1.4 Equivariant quantum cohomology For closed X , the ordinary cohomology of X admits the nondegenerate Poincaré pairing $(\cdot, \cdot) : H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ given by $(b, b') = \int_X b \cup b'$. After fixing a basis of $H^*(X)$, for a fixed basis element $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, we denote its linear dual under the Poincaré pairing by $b^\vee \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. If X admits an action of T , the Poincaré pairing can be extended to the equivariant cohomology ring $(\cdot, \cdot)_T : H_T^*(X) \otimes H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(\text{pt})$ using the pushforward under the proper map $X \times_T ET \rightarrow BT$.

If X is noncompact, the Poincaré pairing becomes a pairing between cohomology and compactly supported cohomology, $(\cdot, \cdot): H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_c^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$; see [Brion 2000, Section 1]. If X is noncompact but X^T is compact, the Poincaré pairing can still be defined on the localized equivariant cohomology ring $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ from (2-8) via localization without introducing compactly supported cohomology, see [Allday and Puppe 1993, 5.2].

We place the following assumption about the topology of the target manifold X , for simplicity; it is indeed satisfied for the examples considered in this paper.

Assumption 2.2 The singular cohomology $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is concentrated in even degrees, and the nontrivial cohomology classes $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ can be represented as Poincaré duals of (locally finite) cycles in X given by unions of embedded submanifolds. Moreover, assume that X^T is nonempty and compact.

Remark 2.3 By the Serre spectral sequence attached to the Borel construction $X \times_T ET \rightarrow BT$, the assumption here implies *equivariant formality*, namely that $H_T^*(X)$ is freely generated by the nonequivariant cohomology $H^*(X)$ as a $H_T^*(\text{pt}) = H^*(BT)$ -module. In particular, $H_T^*(\text{pt})$ -linear operations on $H_T^*(X)$ may be defined on $H^*(X)$ and extended linearly.

Let X be a symplectic manifold for which its three-pointed (T -equivariant) genus-0 Gromov–Witten invariants are defined over \mathbb{Z} ; this includes the class of closed semipositive symplectic manifolds [McDuff and Salamon 2012, Section 6.4] and the noncompact examples we will consider in this article [Braverman et al. 2011; Maulik and Okounkov 2019].

We choose a basis of $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and denote it by $\{b \in H^*(X)\}$, which also generates $H_T^*(X)$ as a $H_T^*(\text{pt})$ -module by Assumption 2.2.

Definition 2.4 The *equivariant quantum product* $* = *_T$ is defined by means of the Poincaré pairing for $b_1, b_2 \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as

$$(2-9) \quad b_1 *_T b_2 = \sum_b \sum_A \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r \geq 0} \langle b_1, b_2, b \rangle_A^i \lambda^i q^A b^\vee \in H_T^*(X; \Lambda),$$

where $\langle b_1, b_2, b \rangle_A^i \lambda^i = \langle b_1, b_2, b \rangle_A^i \lambda_1^{i_1} \cdots \lambda_r^{i_r} \in H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ denotes the (mod p reduction of) three-pointed T -equivariant genus-0 Gromov–Witten invariants of degree A , with incidence constraints given by Poincaré dual cycles of $b_1, b_2, b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The first sum is over the chosen basis elements of $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The total sum is well-defined as an element of $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)$ by Gromov compactness. Extending the product linearly for the Novikov parameters $q^A \in \Lambda$, we obtain a product on $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)$ which is associative and commutative.

By extending linearly for the equivariant parameters $t, \theta \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)$, one can also extend the product to $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$.

2.2 Quantum Steenrod operations

In this subsection, we review the definition of quantum Steenrod operations from [Fukaya 1997] and [Wilkins 2020], in the form introduced in [Seidel and Wilkins 2022] and [Lee 2025].

In the presence of a Hamiltonian action of a torus $T \cong (S^1)^r$ on the target symplectic manifold, we will later define and consider the T -equivariant generalizations of these operations.

The structure constants of the quantum Steenrod operations are given by counting solutions $u: C \rightarrow X$ to perturbed Cauchy–Riemann equations with incidence constraints for the distinguished source curve from Section 2.1.

Following [Lee 2025], we implement the incidence constraints via genuine cycles. We again fix a basis of $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ whose elements are denoted by b_\bullet for some subscript \bullet . Fix a basis cohomology class $b = \text{PD}[Y] \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ that is (a mod p reduction of the) Poincaré dual to a locally finite homology cycle $[Y]$ represented by a union $Y = \bigcup Y_{(i)}$ of embedded submanifolds $Y_{(i)} \subseteq X$ (using Assumption 2.2). Denote the map $Y \rightarrow X$ determined by the inclusions $Y_{(i)} \subseteq X$ as $Y \subseteq X$. Also fix $b_0 \in H^*(X)$ and $b_\infty \in H_c^*(X)$, Poincaré dual to a locally finite cycle $[Y_0]$ and (compact) cycle $[Y_\infty]$, respectively. Note that $b_\infty^\vee \in H^*(X)$ from the Poincaré pairing. Let $Y_1 = \dots = Y_p = Y$ be copies of the inclusion $Y \rightarrow X$.

Denote by σ_X the action of \mathbb{Z}/p which cyclically permutes the product X^p :

$$(2-10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_X: \mathbb{Z}/p \times X \times X^p \times X &\rightarrow X \times X^p \times X, \\ [\eta; (x_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p; x_\infty)] &\mapsto (x_0; x_{1-\eta}, x_{2-\eta}, \dots, x_{p-\eta}; x_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5 An incidence cycle is the distinguished map $\mathfrak{Y} = Y_0 \times (Y \times \dots \times Y) \times Y_\infty \subseteq X \times X^p \times X$ or its image. An equivariant incidence cycle corresponding to \mathfrak{Y} is a smooth map

$$(2-11) \quad \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}: E\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow X \times (E\mathbb{Z}/p \times X^p) \times X$$

such that:

- (i) For each $w \in E\mathbb{Z}/p$, the restriction $\mathfrak{Y}_w := \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}|_{\{w\} \times \mathfrak{Y}}$ maps into $X \times (\{w\} \times X^p) \times X$, hence can be considered as a map $\mathfrak{Y}_w: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow X \times X^p \times X$.
- (ii) For each $w \in E\mathbb{Z}/p$, \mathfrak{Y}_w is a product of maps $Y_j \rightarrow X$ representing a cycle homologous to the distinguished inclusion $Y_j \subseteq X$; denote the image of this product map also by \mathfrak{Y}_w .
- (iii) Understood as maps from \mathfrak{Y} , we have $\mathfrak{Y}_{\eta \cdot w} = \sigma_X(\eta)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{Y}_w$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}/p$.

Given an incidence cycle \mathfrak{Y} , a corresponding equivariant incidence cycle \mathfrak{Y}^{eq} can be constructed inductively (in i) over the finite-dimensional cells $\Delta^i \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p$: we choose an arbitrary extension of the data defined over the $(i-1)$ -skeleton of $E\mathbb{Z}/p$ to $\Delta^i \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p$ so that condition (ii) is satisfied, and equivariance condition (iii) fixes the data over all other i -cells in $E\mathbb{Z}/p$. Here we used $Y_1 = \dots = Y_p = Y$. In particular, \mathfrak{Y}^{eq} is only unique up to $(\mathbb{Z}/p$ -equivariant) homotopy.

For the distinguished i -cell $\Delta^i \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p$, denote by $\mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq};i} := \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}}|_{\Delta^i \times \mathfrak{y}}$ the restriction of the equivariant incidence cycle to $\Delta^i \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p$.

For X , fix a compatible almost complex structure J . Over $C \times X$, there are complex vector bundles TC and TX from pullback. An equivariant inhomogeneous term is a choice of a J -complex antilinear bundle homomorphism

$$(2-12) \quad \nu^{\text{eq}} \in C^\infty(E\mathbb{Z}/p \times C \times X; \text{Hom}^{0,1}(TC, TX))$$

that satisfies the equivariance condition

$$(2-13) \quad \nu_{\eta \cdot w, z, x} = \nu_{w, \tau(\eta)(z), x} \circ D(\tau)_z : TC_z \rightarrow TX_x.$$

Assume that ν^{eq} is supported away from the neighborhoods of distinguished marked points on C .

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}$ the moduli space of solutions to the parametrized problem

$$(2-14) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} := \{(u : C \rightarrow X, w \in E\mathbb{Z}/p) : u_*[C] = A, (\bar{\partial}_J u)_z = \nu_{w, z, u(z)}\}.$$

The moduli space carries an evaluation map

$$(2-15) \quad \text{ev}^{\text{eq}} : \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow X \times (E\mathbb{Z}/p \times X^p) \times X, \quad (u, w) \mapsto (u(z_0); (w, u(z_1), \dots, u(z_p)); u(z_\infty)).$$

Provided the boundedness of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}$, by Gromov compactness the evaluation map is covered by parametrized versions of simple stable maps [McDuff and Salamon 2012, Chapter 6], where the parametrization is by $E\mathbb{Z}/p$.

Through the evaluation map, one can further cut down the moduli space using the incidence cycles:

$$(2-16) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}} := \{(u, w) \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} : \text{ev}^{\text{eq}}(u, w) \in \mathfrak{y}_w\}.$$

Assumption 2.6 We fix J , ν^{eq} and a choice of \mathfrak{y}^{eq} such that the following requirements hold:

- (i) The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}}$ is regular.
- (ii) The evaluation map from moduli space of simple stable maps (with at least one bubble) is transverse to \mathfrak{y}^{eq} . In particular, when the counts $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}}$ are rigid, the simple stable maps only intersect \mathfrak{y}^{eq} along $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}$.

When the target symplectic manifold X is compact, these requirements are satisfied for a generic choice of J , ν^{eq} and \mathfrak{y}^{eq} by standard arguments; see eg [McDuff and Salamon 2012, Section 6.7] and [Lee 2025, Section 2.3]. We will address the regularity issues for moduli spaces in the setting of the noncompact targets relevant for our computations in Section 3.

By restricting to $w \in \Delta^i \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p$, we obtain the i^{th} equivariant moduli space

$$(2-17) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i} \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq};i} := \{(u, w) \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}} : w \in \Delta^i\}$$

of expected dimension $i + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X + 2c_1(A) - |b_0| - p|b| - |b_{\infty}|$. These moduli spaces are compactified by the parametrized stable map constructions, and also by allowing the parameter $w \in \Delta^i$ to approach $\partial\Delta^i$; see [Seidel and Wilkins 2022, Section 4] and [Lee 2025, Section 2] for more details.

Lemma 2.7 [Lee 2025, Lemma 2.15] *Suppose Assumption 2.6 holds. Fix the unique $i \geq 0$ such that $\dim \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i} = 0$. Then the mod p count of this 0-dimensional moduli space is independent of the choices of J, ν^{eq} and \mathcal{Y}^{eq} .*

Remark 2.8 We do not reproduce the full argument here, but the key observation for showing that the counts are only well-defined in \mathbb{F}_p is as follows. In a 1-parameter family of moduli spaces corresponding to a homotopy of defining data, there are contributions arising from the compactifying strata where $w \in \partial\Delta^i$, so the 1-parameter family fails to yield a cobordism between the moduli at the two endpoints. Nevertheless, by \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariance, such contributions always occur in multiples of p and the count of the moduli space is still well-defined modulo p .

One can now define the quantum Steenrod operations using the counts from the i^{th} equivariant moduli spaces as the structure constants. Recall that $(t, \theta)^i$ denotes the monomial of degree i generating $H^i_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)$, given by $t^{i/2}$ or $t^{i/2}\theta$ depending on the parity of i .

Definition 2.9 Fix $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The quantum Steenrod operations for b is a map

$$(2-18) \quad \Sigma_b : H^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]],$$

defined for $b_0 \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as the sum

$$(2-19) \quad \Sigma_b(b_0) = \sum_{b_{\infty}} \sum_A \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{\star} (\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i}) q^A (t, \theta)^i b_{\infty}^{\vee} \in H^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$$

and extended q, t, θ -linearly. The first sum is over a chosen basis of $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as a vector space, and b_{∞}^{\vee} denotes the dual of b_{∞} under the Poincaré pairing.

Here the sign is given by

$$(2-20) \quad \star = \begin{cases} |b||b_0| & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ |b||b_0| + |b| + |b_0| & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and in particular can be ignored if the odd cohomology of X vanishes. The counts of moduli spaces are defined to be zero if the moduli space is not discrete, ie

$$(2-21) \quad \#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i} := \begin{cases} \#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i} \in \mathbb{F}_p & \text{if } i + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X + 2c_1(A) - |b_0| - p|b| - |b_{\infty}| = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This dimension constraint implies that Σ_b is a map of degree $p|b|$.

The key properties of quantum Steenrod operations are proved in [Wilkins 2020] and [Seidel and Wilkins 2022], which we record here. Recall that $\text{St}(b) \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket$ is the total Steenrod power of $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ in singular cohomology; it is defined by the composition

$$(2-22) \quad H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(X^p; \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket, \quad b \mapsto b^{\otimes p} \mapsto \text{St}(b),$$

where \mathbb{Z}/p acts by cyclic permutation on X^p and $\Delta: X \rightarrow X^p$ is the (\mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant) diagonal embedding.

Proposition 2.10 For $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the operation Σ_b satisfies the following properties.

- (i) $\Sigma_1 = 1 \cup = \text{id}$ for $1 \in H^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the unit class.
- (ii) $\Sigma_b(b_0)|_{(t,\theta)=0} = \overbrace{b * \cdots * b}^p * b_0$, hence Σ_b deforms the p^{th} power in quantum cohomology in equivariant parameters.
- (iii) $\Sigma_b(b_0)|_{q^A=0} = \text{St}(b) \cup b_0$, hence Σ_b deforms the classical Steenrod operations in quantum parameters.

Remark 2.11 The classical Steenrod operations in fact arise from the action of the symmetric group S_p acting on X^p , not its subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/p \leq S_p$ of cyclic permutations. Since

$$H_{S_p}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p\llbracket t^{p-1}, \theta \rrbracket \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket,$$

many coefficients of the expansion of $\text{St}(b)$ from (2-22) in the equivariant parameters would in fact vanish. However, Σ_b in general involves all monomials in t, θ that satisfy the dimension constraints (2-21).

Another key property of the quantum Steenrod operation is its compatibility with the (small) quantum connection, proven in [Seidel and Wilkins 2022].

Fix an element $a \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Define a Novikov differentiation operator $\partial_a: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, which acts on symbols q^A by $\partial_a q^A = (a \cdot A)q^A$. Extend this linearly in the equivariant parameters to define $\partial_a: \Lambda\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket \rightarrow \Lambda\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket$.

Definition 2.12 The quantum connection of X is the collection of linear maps

$$(2-23) \quad \nabla_a: H^*(X; \Lambda)\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket \rightarrow H^*(X; \Lambda)\llbracket t, \theta \rrbracket$$

for each $a \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, defined by

$$(2-24) \quad \nabla_a \beta = t \partial_a \beta + a * \beta,$$

where $* = *_T|_{\lambda=0}$ is the (non- T -equivariant) quantum product.

A key property of the quantum connection is that it is a flat connection, ie different operators ∇_a and $\nabla_{a'}$ commute. The flatness of the connection is equivalent to the commutativity and associativity of the quantum product.

Theorem 2.13 [Seidel and Wilkins 2022, Theorem 1.4] For any $b \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the map Σ_b is covariantly constant for the quantum connection, that is, it satisfies

$$(2-25) \quad [\nabla_a, \Sigma_b] = 0$$

for any $a \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

This result and the observation that covariant constancy admits a T -equivariant generalization was the starting point of [Lee 2025].

2.3 T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations

Let X be a symplectic manifold equipped with an action of a Hamiltonian torus $T \cong (S^1)^r$, denoted by $\rho: T \rightarrow \text{Ham}(X, \omega)$. Denote the action by $\theta \cdot x = \rho(\theta)(x)$ for $\theta \in T$. In this subsection we introduce the generalizations of the quantum Steenrod operations in the T -equivariant situation. The special case of these generalizations for $T = S^1$ was considered in [Lee 2025].

To define the structure constants of the T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations, we will follow a popular approach in symplectic topology (see eg [Seidel and Smith 2010] and [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021]), to use the ‘‘Borel model’’ to define the relevant moduli spaces. Namely, we allow the almost complex structure and inhomogeneous terms to vary over the parameter space $BT \cong (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^r$; see Section 2.1.3 for our choice of the model of ET and BT .

Definition 2.14 A T -equivariant collection of almost complex structures is the set $J^{\text{eq}} := \{J_{w'}\}_{w' \in ET}$, where each $J_{w'} \in \text{End}(TX)$ is a ω -compatible almost complex structure smoothly depending on $w' \in ET = (S^\infty)^r$, satisfying the equivariance condition

$$(2-26) \quad D\rho \circ J_{\theta \cdot w', x} = J_{w', \rho(\theta)(x)} \circ D\rho: TX_x \rightarrow TX_{\theta \cdot x}.$$

Since the space of ω -compatible almost complex structures is contractible, one can inductively choose (for the finite-dimensional approximations of ET) perturbations of a fixed J to show the existence of T -equivariant collection of almost complex structures.

Definition 2.15 A $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant inhomogeneous term is the data of

$$(2-27) \quad v^{\text{eq}} = \{v_{w, w', z, x} \in \text{Hom}^{0,1}(TC, TX)\} \in C^\infty((E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET) \times C \times X; \text{Hom}^{0,1}(TC, TX))$$

satisfying the equivariance condition

$$(2-28) \quad v_{\eta \cdot w, \theta \cdot w', z, x} = D\rho(\theta)^{-1} \circ v_{w, w', \tau(\eta)(z), \rho(\theta)(x)} \circ D\tau(\eta): TC_z \rightarrow TX_x.$$

One can consider a $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant inhomogeneous term as a BT -parametrized version of the previous construction of a (\mathbb{Z}/p) -equivariant inhomogeneous term, now valued in $J_{w'}$ -complex antilinear homomorphisms. We use the same notation v^{eq} as all discussion below will involve $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant inhomogeneous terms.

Similarly, one can define the following analogue of the incidence cycles from Definition 2.5. Fix a cohomology class $b = \text{PD}[Y] \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ that is Poincaré dual to a T -invariant locally finite homology cycle $[Y]$. Also fix $b_0 \in H_T^*(X)$, $b_\infty \in H_{c,T}^*(X)$ Poincaré dual to a T -invariant locally finite cycle $[Y_0]$ and T -invariant cycle $[Y_\infty]$, respectively. Again fix the distinguished map $\mathfrak{Y} = Y_0 \times (Y \times \cdots \times Y) \times Y_\infty \subseteq X \times X^p \times X$; note that the image is $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ -invariant.

Definition 2.16 An $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant incidence cycle corresponding to \mathfrak{Y} is a smooth map

$$(2-29) \quad \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}: E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET \times \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow ET \times (X \times (E\mathbb{Z}/p \times X^p) \times X)$$

such that:

- (i) For each $(w, w') \in E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET$, the restriction $\mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'} := \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}|_{(w,w') \times \mathfrak{Y}}$ maps into $\{w'\} \times X \times (\{w\} \times X^p) \times X$, hence can be considered as a map $\mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow X \times X^p \times X$.
- (ii) For each $(w, w') \in E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET$, $\mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}$ is a product of maps $Y_j \rightarrow X$ representing a cycle homologous to the distinguished inclusion $Y_j \subseteq X$.
- (iii) Understood as maps from \mathfrak{Y} , we have $\mathfrak{Y}_{\eta \cdot w, \theta \cdot w'} = \rho(\theta)^{-1} \circ \sigma_X(\eta)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}$, where $(\eta, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z}/p \times T$, and ρ acts diagonally on all factors of X .

As the initial choice of \mathfrak{Y} is $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -invariant, we can construct the equivariant incidence cycle as a family of perturbations of \mathfrak{Y} parametrized over $E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET$; see [Lee 2025, Lemma 5.5].

Using the $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant almost complex structures, inhomogeneous terms and incidence cycles, one can now define the equivariant moduli spaces as

$$(2-30) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} := \{(u: C \rightarrow X, (w, w') \in E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET) : u_*[C] = A, (\bar{\partial}_{J_w} u)_z = v_{w,w',z,u(z)}\},$$

which carries an evaluation map

$$(2-31) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ev}^{\text{eq}}: \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} &\rightarrow ET \times (X \times (E\mathbb{Z}/p \times X^p) \times X), \\ (u, w, w') &\mapsto (w'; u(z_0); (w, u(z_1), \dots, u(z_p)); u(z_\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the evaluation map one can also define

$$(2-32) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}} := \{(u, w, w') \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} : \text{ev}^{\text{eq}}(u, w, w') \in \mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}\}.$$

Note that $(\eta, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ acts on $u: C \rightarrow X$ by

$$(2-33) \quad (\eta, \theta) \cdot u = \rho(\theta) \circ u \circ \tau(\eta)^{-1},$$

and hence $(u, \eta \cdot w, \theta \cdot w') \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}$ if and only if $((\eta, \theta) \cdot u, w, w') \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}$ by the equivariance condition on the inhomogeneous terms. The same holds for the version with incidence constraints, $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}$.

One can restrict to $\Delta^i \times \Delta^{2j_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{2j_r} \subseteq E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET$, where Δ^{2j_k} denotes the preimage of the unique $2j_k$ -cell in the k^{th} factor $BS^1 \subseteq BT$, to define the moduli spaces

$$(2-34) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j} := \{(u, w, w') \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}} \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}} : (w, w') \in \Delta^i \times \Delta^{2j_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{2j_r}\}.$$

The expected dimension of this moduli space is $i + \sum_{k=1}^r 2j_k + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X + 2c_1(A) - |b_0| - p|b| - |b_\infty|$. As before, this moduli space are compactified using stable maps and degeneration of $(w, w') \in \Delta^i \times \prod \Delta^{2j_k}$ into their boundaries. Assume the data $(J^{\text{eq}}, \nu^{\text{eq}}, \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq}})$ are all chosen so the counts $\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq};i;2j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ are well-defined.

Let $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)$ denote the graded completed tensor product $H_T^*(X; \Lambda) := H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda$.

Definition 2.17 Fix $b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations for b is a map

$$(2-35) \quad \Sigma_b^T : H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$$

defined for $b_0 \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as the sum

$$(2-36) \quad \Sigma_b^T(b_0) = \sum_{b_\infty} \sum_A \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r} (-1)^\star (\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}) q^A(t, \theta)^i \lambda^j b_\infty^\vee \in H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$$

and extended q, t, θ, λ -linearly. The first sum is over a chosen basis of $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as a vector space, which also generate $H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as a $H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda]]$ -module by Assumption 2.2, which implies equivariant formality (Remark 2.3).

The sign \star is as in (2-20), but can be ignored under Assumption 2.2. We drop the superscript T and abbreviate $\Sigma_b := \Sigma_b^T$ in the following discussions when the group T in concern is clear.

The following properties hold for the T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations, which generalize the properties of the usual quantum Steenrod operations. Proof in the case $T = S^1$ is given in [Lee 2025, Proposition 5.12] and the higher-rank case $T = (S^1)^r$ is identical.

Proposition 2.18 For $b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the operation Σ_b^T satisfies the following properties.

- (i) $\Sigma_1^T = \text{id}$ for $1 \in H_T^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the unit class.
- (ii) $\Sigma_b^T(b_0)|_{(t,\theta)=0} = b *_T \cdots *_T b *_T b_0$, where the $b *_T \cdots *_T b$ piece has p terms.
- (iii) $\Sigma_b^T(b_0)|_{\lambda=0} = \Sigma_b(b_0)$.
- (iv) $\Sigma_b^T(b_0)|_{q^A=0} = \text{St}^T(b) \cup_T b_0$, where $\text{St}^T(b) \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)[[t, \theta]]$ is the class obtained by the power operation construction as in (2-22) in T -equivariant cohomology.

Similarly, covariant constancy for the quantum connection generalizes to the T -equivariant setting.

Definition 2.19 The equivariant quantum connection of X is the collection of linear maps

$$(2-37) \quad \nabla_a^T : H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$$

for each $a \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$(2-38) \quad \nabla_a^T \beta = t \partial_a \beta - a *_T \beta,$$

where $*_T$ is the T -equivariant quantum product; see Definition 2.4. Here ∂_a is the operator on Λ which acts by $\partial_a q^A = \langle \bar{a}, A \rangle q^A$, where $\bar{a} \in H^2(X)$ is the image of a under $H_T^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(X)$.

Theorem 2.20 [Lee 2025, Theorem 5.14] For any $b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the map Σ_b^T is covariantly constant for the equivariant quantum connection, that is, it satisfies

$$(2-39) \quad [\nabla_a^T, \Sigma_b^T] = 0$$

for any $a \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

2.4 Shift operators

In this section we define the shift operators associated to cocharacters $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$. The shift operators are extra operators defined in the presence of Hamiltonian T -action, acting on the underlying module of T -equivariant quantum cohomology $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t]]$.

Following Iritani [2017] and Liebenschutz-Jones [2021], we define it as a composition of two homomorphisms: one geometrically obtained by section counting in a fibration associated to β , and one algebraically obtained by reparametrizing the group action.

2.4.1 Seidel fibration Fix a cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$, whose restriction to $\mathbb{Z}/p \leq S^1$ we also denote by β . Associated to β is a Hamiltonian fibration E_β over \mathbb{P}^1 with fibers symplectomorphic to (X, ω) , defined as follows. Take $S^3 = \{(w_0, w_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |w_0|^2 + |w_1|^2 = 1\}$, the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 with the diagonal action of $\theta \in S^1 \leq \mathbb{C}^*$ by multiplication.

Definition 2.21 The Seidel fibration associated to $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ is the space

$$(2-40) \quad E_\beta = (X \times S^3) / (x, (w_0, w_1)) \sim ((\rho \circ \beta)(\theta)x, (\theta w_0, \theta w_1))$$

with its projection $\pi_\beta: E_\beta \rightarrow S^3/S^1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

The etymology is based on a more general construction of such fibrations associated to a loop in Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, which was introduced in [Seidel 1997].

The key geometric property of E_β is that it can be given an action of $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ which restricts to different actions on the fibers over the two fixed points of the rotation action on the base. For a choice of $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$, which we restrict to define $\beta: \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow T$, consider following two actions of $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ on X .

Let $\rho_0, \rho_\beta: \mathbb{Z}/p \times T \rightarrow \text{Ham}(X, \omega)$ act so that

$$(2-41) \quad \rho_0(\eta, \theta)(x) := \rho(\theta)(x) = \theta \cdot x,$$

$$(2-42) \quad \rho_\beta(\eta, \theta)(x) := \rho(\theta - \beta(\eta))(x) = (\theta - \beta(\eta)) \cdot x.$$

Here we have used additive notation, by fixing an identification $T \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^r$.

Consider the following $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -action on E_β , where T acts fiberwise and \mathbb{Z}/p acts by rotation of the base:

$$(2-43) \quad \rho_E(\eta, \theta): E_\beta \rightarrow E_\beta, \quad [x, (a_0, a_1)] \mapsto [\rho(\theta)x, (\eta a_0, a_1)].$$

With respect to this action, the inclusions of $0 = [0 : 1]$ -fiber $\iota_0 : X \rightarrow E_\beta$ and $\infty = [1 : 0]$ -fiber $\iota_\infty : X \rightarrow E_\beta$ respectively become equivariant maps $\iota_0 : (X, \rho_0) \rightarrow (E_\beta, \rho_E)$ and $\iota_\infty : (X, \rho_\beta) \rightarrow (E_\beta, \rho_E)$. Denote by X_0, X_∞ the embedded images of X in E_β under ι_0, ι_∞ .

Note that the fibers of $\pi_\beta : E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are symplectomorphic to (X, ω) . The differential of π_β defines the vertical tangent bundle $\ker(d\pi_\beta) =: T^{\text{vert}}E_\beta \hookrightarrow TE_\beta$. A vertical almost complex structure \tilde{J} for the fibration E_β is an automorphism of $T^{\text{vert}}E_\beta$ such that $(\tilde{J})^2 = -\text{id}$, compatible with fiberwise symplectic forms. See [McDuff and Salamon 2012, Section 8.2] for the theory of \tilde{J} -holomorphic section counting in Hamiltonian fibrations.

2.4.2 Equivariant maps and shift operators The unnormalized shift operators will be defined by counting \tilde{J} -holomorphic sections of E_β . Fix an almost complex structure \tilde{J} for the fibration E_β , coming from a vertical family of almost complex structures. An inhomogeneous term for E_β is a section (supported away from the neighborhood of $0, \infty \in C$):

$$(2-44) \quad \nu_E \in C^\infty(C \times E_\beta; \text{Hom}^{0,1}(TC, T^{\text{vert}}E_\beta)).$$

Using the action ρ_E , one can define the equivariant perturbation data \tilde{J}^{eq} and ν_E^{eq} as a equivariant family of almost complex structures and inhomogeneous terms satisfying the equivariance conditions as in Definitions 2.14 and 2.15.

Definition 2.22 The *equivariant moduli space of sections* is the space of maps

$$(2-45) \quad \mathcal{M}^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) = \{u : C \rightarrow E_\beta, (w, w') \in E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET : \pi_\beta(u(z)) = z, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{J}_{w'}} u = \nu_{E,w,w'}^{\text{eq}}\}.$$

For each homology class $\tilde{A} \in H_2(E_\beta; \mathbb{Z})$ of a *section* (that is, $(\pi_\beta)_*[\tilde{A}] = [\mathbb{P}^1]$) there is a corresponding component of the moduli space where the degree of the map is prescribed by $u_*[C] = \tilde{A}$. For the fixed cocharacter β , one can fix the *minimal section* s_{\min} given by a fixed point $x_{\min} \in X^T \subseteq X^\beta$ where the moment map for $\rho \circ \beta$ attains a minimum over X^T (which we assume to be compact).

One can correspondingly define for $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ the moduli space

$$(2-46) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) = \{(u, w, w') \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) : u_*[C] = s_{\min} + (\iota_0)_*A\}.$$

The moduli space is equipped with an evaluation map

$$(2-47) \quad \text{ev}_{0,\infty} : \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times X_0 \times X_\infty,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ that acts on $E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times X_0 \times X_\infty$ by $(\eta, \theta) \cdot (w, w'; x_0, x_\infty) = (\eta w, \theta w'; \rho_0^{-1}x_0, \rho_\beta^{-1}x_\infty)$.

Denote the $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant cohomology of X with actions ρ_0 and ρ_β by $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_0)$ and $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_\beta)$, respectively. Note that by the Künneth formula $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_0) \cong H_T^*(X|\rho)[[t, \theta]]$ since \mathbb{Z}/p acts trivially on X under ρ_0 . Fix a cohomology class $b_0 = \text{PD}[Y_0] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_0)$ represented

by a T -invariant locally finite cycle, and similarly fix $b_\infty = \text{PD}[Y_\infty] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_\beta)$ represented by a T -invariant compact cycle. From the inclusion $\mathfrak{Y}(E_\beta) = Y_0 \times Y_\infty \subseteq X_0 \times X_\infty$ we construct an equivariant incidence cycle $\mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta)$; see Definitions 2.5 and 2.16.

Correspondingly there is a moduli space

$$(2-48) \quad \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) = \{(u, w, w') \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) : \text{ev}_{0,\infty}(u, w, w') \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta)\},$$

and its restrictions $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta) \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta)$; see (2-34).

Assumption 2.23 The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta) \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta)$ is regular of dimension

$$i + \sum_{k=1}^r 2j_k + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X + 2c_1^{\text{vert}}(s_{\min} + A) - |b_0| - |b_\infty|,$$

and can be compactified by stable maps and degeneration of equivariant parameters. In particular, when the dimension is 0, it gives a well-defined count in \mathbb{F}_p ; see Lemma 2.7.

Remark 2.24 Assumption 2.23 is a claim about compactness of the moduli spaces with incidence constraints, and will only hold for special choices of cocharacters $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ allowing a maximum principle; see [Ritter 2014, Section 1.12] and [Iritani 2017, Remark 3.10] for a discussion. For the example we are interested in computing, we will verify this compactness assumption in Section 3 for β satisfying certain conditions on weights; see Definition 3.19. In practice, Assumption 2.23 means that the shift operators can be defined without localization only for special choices of β . In general, defining the shift operators require passing to $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ for its definition.

Define the graded completed tensor product $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda|\rho) := H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda$.

Definition 2.25 Fix a cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ satisfying Assumption 2.23. The bare shift operator associated to β is a map

$$(2-49) \quad S_\beta: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda|\rho_0) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda|\rho_\beta)$$

defined for $b_0 \in H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as the sum

$$(2-50) \quad S_\beta(b_0) = \sum_{b_\infty} \sum_A \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r} (\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta) \pitchfork \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta)) q^A(t, \theta)^i \lambda^j b_\infty^\vee$$

in $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda|\rho_\beta)$ and extended q, t, λ -linearly. The sum A is over $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, where the sections are counted in degree $s_{\min} + (\iota_0)_* A$; see (2-46).

The bare shift operators are $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(\text{pt})$ -linear by definition. The bare shift operators were referred to as equivariant quantum Seidel maps by Liebenschutz-Jones [2020; 2021].

Consider the group homomorphism $\phi_\beta: \mathbb{Z}/p \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ defined by $\phi_\beta(\eta, \theta) = (\eta, \theta + \beta(\eta))$. The identity map $\text{id}_X: (X, \rho_0) \rightarrow (X, \rho_\beta)$ is equivariant with respect to ϕ_β so that there exists a map from functoriality of equivariant cohomology:

Definition 2.26 The *equivariant re-parametrization map* associated to β is the map

$$(2-51) \quad \Phi_\beta := (\text{id}_X, \phi_\beta)^*: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_0).$$

Definition 2.27 The *shift operator* associated to β is the composition

$$(2-52) \quad \mathbb{S}_\beta := \Phi_\beta \circ S_\beta: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_0) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_0).$$

The shift operator \mathbb{S}_β is a (β) -twisted-linear homomorphism in the sense that

$$(2-53) \quad \mathbb{S}_\beta(f(t, \lambda)\alpha) = f(t, \lambda + \beta t) \mathbb{S}_\beta(\alpha)$$

for any polynomial f in the variables t, λ . In particular, it is *not* $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(\text{pt})$ -linear.

The following is the main theorem of [Liebenschutz-Jones 2020], reproved in [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021]. In the algebraic geometry context, the proof is originally due to Okounkov and Pandharipande [2010] for Hilbert schemes of points in \mathbb{C}^2 , see [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Section 8] for a systematic discussion.

Theorem 2.28 [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021, Theroem 3.6] *The shift operators \mathbb{S}_β commute with the (equivariant) quantum connection ∇_a . Equivalently, the bare shift operators S_β commute with ∇_a up to a shift in the equivariant parameters:*

$$(2-54) \quad \nabla_a|_{\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \beta t} \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \nabla_a.$$

2.5 Compatibility of quantum Steenrod and shift operators

In this section we prove that the quantum Steenrod operators Σ_b and the shift operators \mathbb{S}_β commute. The proof follows a familiar strategy in symplectic topology, by considering 1-dimensional moduli spaces corresponding to a degeneration of solutions, which allows one to identify the counts from the 0-dimensional moduli spaces arising as the boundary.

2.5.1 Quantum Steenrod operations for the ∞ -fiber We define the twisted versions of the T -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations

$$(2-55) \quad \Sigma_b^\beta: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta)$$

obtained as endomorphisms of $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X)$ where X is equipped with the twisted action $\rho_\beta(\eta, \theta)(x) = \rho_0(\eta, \theta - \beta(\eta))(x)$. The structure constants for Σ_b^β are obtained by counting the moduli spaces

$$(2-56) \quad \mathcal{M}_\beta^{\text{eq}} := \{(u: C \rightarrow X; (w, w') \in E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)) : \bar{\partial}_{J_w'} u = v_{w, w'}^{\text{eq}, \beta}\}$$

with incidence constraints under evaluation maps $\mathcal{M}_\beta^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times (X \times X^p \times X)$. Consider the action (see (2-10), where σ_X is defined as the cyclic permutation action on X^p)

$$(2-57) \quad \sigma_X^\beta: (\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times X \times X^p \times X \rightarrow X \times X^p \times X, \\ [(\eta, \theta); (x_0; x_1, \dots, x_p; x_\infty)] \mapsto \rho_\beta(\eta, \theta) \cdot (x_0; x_{1-\eta}, \dots, x_{p-\eta}; x_\infty),$$

where ρ_β acts diagonally. The action σ_X^β is defined so that

$$(\text{id}, \phi_\beta): (X \times X^p \times X; \rho \circ \sigma_X) \rightarrow (X \times X^p \times X; \sigma_X^\beta)$$

is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant map. Using the action σ_X^β , the evaluation map becomes equivariant and one can define the moduli spaces with incidence constraints to define the structure constants of Σ_b^β . The following observation shows that the twisted quantum Steenrod operations are nothing but conjugation of the ordinary quantum Steenrod operations by the twisted-linear isomorphism Φ_β .

Lemma 2.29 *The following equality holds for two maps $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X_0; \Lambda | \rho_0) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X_0; \Lambda | \rho_0)$:*

$$(2-58) \quad \Phi_\beta \circ \Sigma_b^\beta \circ \Phi_\beta^{-1} = \Sigma_b.$$

That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_b^\beta} & H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta) \\ \downarrow \Phi_\beta & & \downarrow \Phi_\beta \\ H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_0) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_b} & H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_0) \end{array}$$

Proof For a cohomology class $b_0, b, b_\infty \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X | \rho_0)$ fix an equivariant incidence cycle $\mathfrak{Y}^{\text{eq}} = \{\mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}\}$ that represents $b_0 \otimes b^{\otimes p} \otimes b_\infty$ via Poincaré duality; see Definition 2.16. The equivariant incidence cycle satisfies the ordinary equivariance condition $\mathfrak{Y}_{\eta \cdot w, \theta \cdot w'} = \rho_0(\eta, \theta)^{-1} \sigma_X(\eta)^{-1} \mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}$ from Definition 2.16.

Consider a copy of $E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) = S^\infty \times (S^\infty)^r$, where the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ is multiplication by $(\eta, \theta - \beta(\eta))$. This twisted multiplication still defines a free action, so $E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ quotiented by this action gives a model for the classifying space of $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$. To distinguish the actions, denote this model by $E^\beta(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ and the original model (where $\mathbb{Z}/p \times T$ acts by usual multiplication) by $E^0(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$.

Now let $\mathfrak{X}_{w,w'} := \mathfrak{Y}_{w,w'}$ so that tautologically

$$(2-59) \quad \mathfrak{X}_{\eta \cdot w, (\theta - \beta\eta) \cdot w'} = \rho_0(\eta, \theta - \beta\eta)^{-1} \sigma_X(\eta)^{-1} \mathfrak{X}_{w,w'} = \sigma_X^\beta(\eta, \theta)^{-1} \mathfrak{X}_{w,w'}.$$

Hence \mathfrak{X}^{eq} defines a $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$ -equivariant incidence cycle for the model $E^\beta(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$, representing the cohomology class $\Phi_\beta^{-1}(b_0 \otimes b^{\otimes p} \otimes b_\infty) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X \times X^p \times X | \sigma_X^\beta)$.

In the same way, one can choose an equivariant inhomogeneous term (Definition 2.15) for (X, ρ_0) with the model $E^0(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$, which can tautologically be interpreted as an equivariant inhomogeneous term

for (X, ρ_β) with the model $E^\beta(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T)$. In particular, one can use this inhomogeneous term and the equivariant incidence cycle to define the twisted quantum Steenrod operations, so that

$$(2-60) \quad \Sigma_b^\beta(\Phi_\beta^{-1}b_0) = \sum \Phi_\beta^{-1}(b_\infty)^\vee (\#\mathcal{M}_{\beta,A}^{\text{eq};i;2j} \frown \mathcal{X}^{\text{eq};i;2j}) q^A (t, \theta)^i \lambda_\beta^j \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta),$$

where $\lambda_\beta \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(\text{pt})$ is such that $\Phi_\beta(\lambda_\beta) = \lambda$, ie $\lambda_\beta = \lambda - \beta t$. Compare this with

$$(2-61) \quad \Phi_\beta^{-1}(\Sigma_b(b_0)) = \sum \Phi_\beta^{-1}(b_\infty)^\vee (\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}) q^A (t, \theta)^i (\Phi_\beta^{-1}\lambda)^j \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda | \rho_\beta).$$

Since $\mathcal{X}_{w,w'} = \mathcal{Y}_{w,w'}$, we tautologically have

$$(2-62) \quad (\#\mathcal{M}_{\beta,A}^{\text{eq};i;2j} \frown \mathcal{X}^{\text{eq};i;2j}) = (\#\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \frown \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}),$$

and the coefficients agree. Since Φ_β preserves the Poincaré pairing, we have $\Phi_\beta^{-1}(b_\infty)^\vee = \Phi_\beta^{-1}(b_\infty)^\vee$. Finally, the shifting property $\Phi_\beta^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda - \beta t$ implies that the two operations agree, as desired. \square

2.5.2 A TQFT argument It is convenient to introduce the following family of curves. Fix $S = \mathbb{P}^1$, and take the trivial family of curves $C \times S \rightarrow S$ where C is our distinguished parametrized copy of \mathbb{P}^1 , which is the domain of the maps we are counting. Let \mathcal{C} be the blowup of $C \times S$ at two points $(0, 0)$ and (∞, ∞) . The resulting family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ of nodal genus-0 curves over S has two nodal fibers at $0, \infty \in S$ and smooth fibers at all other values of $v \in S$. Let $z_*: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a section of this family defined as the proper transform of the diagonal section. Also fix the sections $z_0, z_\infty: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined as the proper transform of the constant sections $\{0\} \times S, \{\infty\} \times S$. Let $L \subseteq S$ be the positive real line compactified by $0, \infty \in S$, oriented from 0 to ∞ .

Fix the identification $\mathcal{C}_v \cong C$ for $v \neq 0, \infty$. For $v = 0$ and $v = \infty$, the fiber \mathcal{C}_v is a nodal genus-0 curve with two components $\mathcal{C}_{v,+} \cong C$ and $\mathcal{C}_{v,-}$. We moreover fix the biholomorphism of the exceptional curve $\mathcal{C}_{0,-} \cong C$ so that $0, 1, \infty \in C$ corresponds to $z_0(0), z_*(0)$ and the nodal point, respectively. Similarly, fix the biholomorphism $\mathcal{C}_{\infty,-} \cong C$ so that $0, 1, \infty \in C$ correspond to the nodal point, $z_*(\infty)$ and $z_\infty(\infty)$, respectively. For $z \in \mathcal{C}$, denote by \hat{z} the image under projection $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow C \times S \rightarrow C$; if $z \in \mathcal{C}_{v,-}$ for $v = 0$ or $v = \infty$, then $\hat{z} = v$, but otherwise $\hat{z} = z$ under the identification $C \cong \mathcal{C}_v$. Denote the complement in \mathcal{C} of the two nodal points by \mathcal{C}^{reg} .

There is a natural action $\tau_\mathcal{C}$ of \mathbb{Z}/p on \mathcal{C} induced from τ_C acting on C . It acts by fiberwise rotation for the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$. The nodal fibers at 0 and ∞ have two components, which are simultaneously rotated by the action of $\tau_\mathcal{C}$.

Take the equivariant inhomogeneous term

$$(2-63) \quad \nu_\mathcal{C}^{\text{eq}} \in C^\infty(E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times \mathcal{C} \times E_\beta; \text{Hom}^{0,1}(T^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{reg}}}/S, T^{\text{vert}}E_\beta)),$$

supported away from the nodes, also satisfying the equivariance condition

$$(2-64) \quad D\rho_E^{-1} \circ (\nu_\mathcal{C}^{\text{eq}})_{w,w',\tau_\mathcal{C}(z),\rho_E(x)} \circ D\tau_\mathcal{C} = (\nu_\mathcal{C}^{\text{eq}})_{(\eta,\theta)\cdot(w,w'),z,x}.$$

Lemma 2.30 *The following equality holds for two maps $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X_0; \Lambda | \rho_0) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X_\infty; \Lambda | \rho_\beta)$:*

$$(2-65) \quad \Sigma_b^\beta \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b.$$

Proof Consider the moduli space

$$(2-66) \quad \mathcal{N}_L^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) = \{v \in L, u: \mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow E_\beta, (w, w') \in E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) : \pi_\beta(u(z)) = \hat{z}, \bar{\partial} \mathcal{J}u = v_{\mathcal{C}_v, w, w'}^{\text{eq}}\}$$

together with evaluation maps

$$(2-67) \quad \text{ev}_L(E) : \mathcal{N}_L^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Z}/p \times T) \times X_0 \times E_\beta^p \times X_\infty,$$

$$(v, u, w, w') \mapsto ((w, w'); u(z_0(v)); u(\tau_{\mathcal{C}_v} z_*(v)), \dots, u(\tau_{\mathcal{C}_v}^p z_*(v)); u(z_\infty(v))).$$

By Mayer–Vietoris (see [Iritani 2017, Lemma 3.7] or [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021, Lemma A.2]), there exists a cohomology class $\hat{b} \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(E_\beta | \rho_E)$ such that $\iota_0^* \hat{b} = b$, $\iota_\infty^* \hat{b} = \Phi_\beta^{-1} b$. Fix an equivariant incidence cycle \hat{y}^{eq} (see Definition 2.16) in $X_0 \times E_\beta^p \times X_\infty$ corresponding to p copies of $\hat{b} \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(E_\beta | \rho_E)$ together with $b_0 \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X_0 | \rho_0)$, $b_\infty \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X | \rho_\beta)$.

The incidence cycles define a moduli space $\mathcal{N}_L^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) \frown \hat{y}^{\text{eq}}$ with the incidence constraints at the distinguished marked points $z_0(v)$, $\tau_{\mathcal{C}_v}^j z_*(v)$, $z_\infty(v) \in \mathcal{C}_v$ of the domain curve. We may choose generically the equivariant homogeneous term $v_{\mathcal{C}_v}^{\text{eq}}$ to make all equivariant moduli spaces regular.

Consider the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_L^{\text{eq}}(E_\beta) \frown \hat{y}^{\text{eq}}$ and restrict to equivariant cells $(w, w') \in \Delta^i \times \Delta^{2j_1} \times \dots \times \Delta^{2j_r}$ so that the corresponding moduli space is 1-dimensional. Then the only way this moduli space fails to yield a cobordism in the usual sense between the 0-dimensional moduli spaces with $v \in L$ specialized to be $v = 0$ and $v = \infty$, for $\partial L = \infty - 0$, is by the parameter $w \in \Delta^i$ approaching $\partial \Delta^i$. By \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariance, the counts arising from such strata yield counts divisible by p . Therefore, the counts of the 0-dimensional moduli spaces with $v = 0, \infty$ are equal mod p .

The solutions with $v = 0$ correspond to pair of solutions $u_{0,-} : \mathcal{C}_{0,-} \rightarrow X_0$, $u_{0,+} : \mathcal{C}_{0,+} \rightarrow E_\beta$ where

- (i) $u_{0,-} : \mathcal{C}_{0,-} \rightarrow X_0$ satisfies incidence constraints at the p marked points $\tau_{\mathcal{C}_v}^j z_*(v)$ given by the Poincaré dual of $\iota_0^* \hat{b} = b$, and
- (ii) $u_{0,+} : C \rightarrow E_\beta$ is a section of $E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with incidence constraints at two marked points.

Each of these counts corresponds to (i) quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b for X_0 , and (ii) shift operators, respectively. Hence, the moduli space with $v = 0$ gives the structure constants $(b_\infty, S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b(b_0))$ of the composition $S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b$. Similarly, by Lemma 2.29, the solutions with $v = \infty$ count the structure constants $(b_\infty, \Sigma_b^\beta \circ S_\beta(b_0))$. The cobordism then implies that these structure constants agree, which is the desired result. □

Theorem 2.31 *The quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b and the shift operators S_β commute. Equivalently, the bare shift operators S_β commute with Σ_b up to a shift in the equivariant parameters:*

$$(2-68) \quad \Sigma_b |_{\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \beta t} \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b.$$

Proof Recall that the shift operators are defined as the composition $\mathbb{S}_\beta = \Phi_\beta \circ S_\beta$, so the claim of the commutativity amounts to

$$(2-69) \quad \Sigma_b \circ \Phi_\beta \circ S_\beta = \Phi_\beta \circ S_\beta \circ \Sigma_b.$$

This is a consequence of Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30. □

3 Regularity of moduli spaces

In this section, we establish the necessary transversality and compactness properties for the moduli spaces of (perturbed) J -holomorphic curves considered for the definition of quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators, focusing on the example of the cotangent bundle of the complete flag variety $X = T^*(G/B)$. We show that the compactness properties can be verified for moduli spaces when the incidence constraints are given by certain locally finite *relative* cycles intersecting on a compact subset. These relative cycles will be obtained in the case of $X = T^*(G/B)$ by the conormals to Schubert varieties.

3.1 Springer resolution

In this section, we gather the facts about the geometry and topology of the Springer resolution $T^*(G/B)$ necessary for showing the regularity of the moduli spaces in the definition of (T -equivariant) quantum Steenrod operations and the shift operators. In particular, we review the (i) projection to the nilpotent cone, which allows the use of maximum principle, and (ii) the conormals to Schubert varieties, which provide a natural geometric basis in cohomology.

3.1.1 Geometry and topology The facts introduced here are classical; see eg [Brion 2005] and [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997] for references.

Let G be a complex semisimple connected Lie group and B be a Borel subgroup, and $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the maximal torus in B . Correspondingly consider the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{h} . Using the Killing form, we will identify $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$ interchangeably. Note that G acts transitively on the set of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} by conjugation, with B being the stabilizer of \mathfrak{b} . Therefore the set of Borel subalgebras is a homogeneous space G/B , the *flag variety*. The flag variety is a smooth Fano variety, hence a monotone symplectic manifold by the anticanonical polarization. The group G , and the maximal torus subgroup $T_{\mathbb{C}}$, naturally acts on G/B .

The holomorphic cotangent bundle $X = T^*(G/B)$ of the flag variety is a holomorphic symplectic manifold equipped with the standard holomorphic symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$. By restriction from the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(T^*G/B \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G/B$, the cotangent bundle $T^*(G/B)$ also admits a Kähler structure ω compatible with its integrable complex structure. The Kähler form ω is indeed nonexact, with the zero section G/B being a symplectic submanifold, and $T^*(G/B)$ with its integrable complex structure does admit many holomorphic curves. This is in contrast with the symplectic structure such as $\text{Re}(\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$, for which the zero section G/B is a Lagrangian submanifold.

Remark 3.1 There is also a complete hyper-Kähler metric on X such that the metric restricts to the homogeneous metric on the zero section G/B and is compatible with the standard holomorphic symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$; see [Nakajima 1994] and [Biquard 1996].

For the basepoint $e = B/B \in G/B$, note that the cotangent fiber satisfies $T_e^*(G/B) \cong (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^* \cong \mathfrak{b}^\perp \cong \mathfrak{n}$, where \mathfrak{n} is the nilpotent subalgebra in the decomposition of the Borel $\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. One can then identify the cotangent bundle with the vector bundle obtained from the principal B -bundle $G \rightarrow G/B$ with fibers isomorphic to \mathfrak{n} , that is, $T^*(G/B) \cong G \times^B \mathfrak{n}$; see [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997, Lemma 3.2.2]. Denote by $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ the cone of nilpotent elements. The description

$$(3-1) \quad T^*(G/B) \cong G \times^B \mathfrak{n} := \{(gB, x) : g^{-1}xg \in \mathfrak{n}\} \subseteq G/B \times \mathcal{N}$$

implies that there is a projection $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ which is proper and birational. Birationality follows from the fact that there is a unique Borel subalgebra containing a regular nilpotent element, which forms a Zariski dense subset of \mathcal{N} .

Definition 3.2 The *Springer resolution* is the holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*(G/B)$ considered as a resolution of singularities by the map $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

The G -action on G/B induces a complex Hamiltonian G -action on $X = T^*(G/B)$ with a complex moment map $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. The Springer resolution $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ can also be identified with this complex moment map; see [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997, Proposition 1.4.10].

There is also a \mathbb{C}^* -action which acts by dilating the cotangent fibers. The S^1 subgroup rotating the fibers acts in a Hamiltonian way for the Kähler structure ω . Together with the action of the compact part T of the maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}$, consider the $T = T \times S^1$ -action on $X = T^*(G/B)$. Denote the ground ring of equivariant cohomology as

$$(3-2) \quad H_T^*(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda, h]] := \mathbb{F}_p[[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, h]],$$

where λ is a shorthand for the collection of all equivariant parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ for the T -action, and h denotes the equivariant parameter for the rotating S^1 -action.

Remark 3.3 It is essential to work equivariantly with respect to the S^1 -action rotating the fibers for Gromov–Witten theory to be nontrivial for X . The ordinary Gromov–Witten theory for X is trivial because X admits a deformation to an affine variety, by Grothendieck’s simultaneous resolution. Such a deformation cannot be chosen in a way preserving the S^1 -symmetry, and accordingly the (reduced) Gromov–Witten theory may be nontrivial; see [Braverman et al. 2011, Section 4.2].

Example 3.4 For $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we may take the subgroup B of upper-triangular matrices, with $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ the diagonal matrices. The homogeneous space G/B is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . On the level of Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is the Lie algebra of traceless matrices, and the nilpotent cone \mathcal{N} can be identified with matrices with vanishing determinant $a^2 + bc = 0$.

In particular, the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N} \cong \{a^2 + bc = 0\}$ is a copy of a singular quadric cone in ambient dimension 3. Its resolution of singularity is given by the blowup of the singular point, which is identified with $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$; the zero section \mathbb{P}^1 corresponds to the exceptional divisor of the blowup.

There is a $T = S^1 \times S^1$ -action on the resolution $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$; the first factor $T = S^1$ acts on the base by rotating the \mathbb{P}^1 along a fixed axis extended to an action on the cotangent bundle, and the latter factor S^1 acts on $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$ by rotating the cotangent fibers.

The (equivariant) quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators are defined on the underlying module of equivariant quantum cohomology. Hence we consider the equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ of $X = T^*(G/B)$. The deformation retract onto the zero section G/B is T -equivariant, so it suffices to understand $H_T^*(G/B)$.

The flag variety G/B admits a complex cell decomposition [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997, Corollary 3.1.12] into Schubert cells $\mathring{X}_w = BwB/B$ indexed by elements of the Weyl group $w \in W = N_G(T)/T$. The cells \mathring{X}_w are affine spaces of complex dimension $\ell(w)$ for the length function $\ell: W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The closures $X_w = \overline{\mathring{X}_w}$ are the Schubert varieties which are themselves union of Schubert cells, $X_w = \bigsqcup_{v \leq w} \mathring{X}_v$ for $v \leq w$ in a partial order on W known as the Bruhat order. The fundamental classes $[X_w]$ yield an additive basis of cohomology $H^*(G/B)$. In particular, the cohomology $H^*(G/B; \mathbb{Z})$ is concentrated in even degrees, is torsion-free, and the classes are represented by genuine cycles (cf Assumption 2.2).

The Schubert cells can also be understood as the Morse cell decomposition from a Morse function obtained by the moment map for a generic cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ in the dominant Weyl chamber. The critical points are the T -fixed points wB/B , whose stable manifold is exactly the Schubert cell \mathring{X}_w . The Schubert varieties are manifestly T -invariant and their fundamental classes generate $H_T^*(G/B) \cong H_T^*(X)$ as a $H_T^*(\text{pt})$ -module.

There are also opposite Schubert cells obtained by reflection by the longest Weyl element,

$$\mathring{X}^w := w_0 \mathring{X}_{w_0 w} = B^- w B / B$$

for the opposite Borel B^- . Their closures are the opposite Schubert varieties. Morse theoretically, the opposite Schubert cells arise from negating the moment map. The following special case of a general intersection behavior between Schubert cells and the opposite Schubert cells is useful to note.

Lemma 3.5 [Deodhar 1985] *The Schubert variety X_w and the opposite Schubert variety X^w intersect transversally at a single point. Indeed, the opposite Schubert varieties are intersection duals of the Schubert varieties for the Poincaré pairing, $[X_w]^\vee = [X^w]$. If $w < v$, the intersection of X_w and X^v is empty.*

Example 3.6 In the case of $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$, the Weyl group W is identified with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ with $1 \in W$ and the nontrivial element $s \in W$. The Bruhat order is given by $1 < s$. The Weyl group elements are represented in $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by permutation matrices.

Correspondingly there are two fixed points of the $T = S^1$ -action on G/B , given by the cosets B/B and sB/B . Under the isomorphism $G/B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, these correspond to the two fixed points of a rotation S^1 -action (the north and south poles). Fixing a dominant cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T = S^1$ (in this case, this just means that the degree of β is positive, the corresponding Schubert cells are given by $\overset{\circ}{X}_1 =$ the north pole (a 0-cell), and $\overset{\circ}{X}_s =$ the complement of the north pole (a 2-cell). The corresponding Schubert varieties are $X_1 = \overset{\circ}{X}_1 =$ the north pole, and $X_s = \overset{\circ}{X}_s \cup \overset{\circ}{X}_1 =$ the whole \mathbb{P}^1 .

Opposite Schubert stratification is given by reversing the cocharacter β , so we have $\overset{\circ}{X}^1 =$ the complement of the south pole, $\overset{\circ}{X}^s =$ the south pole. Indeed, since the two poles are disjoint, $X_1 \cap X^s = \emptyset$.

3.1.2 Cohomological stable envelopes Consider the conormals $N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_w \subset T^*(G/B)$, and their union $Y_w = \bigsqcup_{v \leq w} N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_v \subseteq T^*(G/B)$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will refer to Y_w as the conormals of the Schubert varieties X_w ; clearly Y_w retracts to X_w for the retraction of $T^*(G/B)$ to the zero section.

The (locally finite) cycles Y_w are holomorphic Lagrangian (locally finite) cycles of $X = T^*(G/B)$, which are manifestly T -invariant hence representing equivariant cohomology classes in $H_T^*(X)$. Denote by $\mathbb{Y} := \bigcup_{w \in W} Y_w$ the union of all conormals.

Note that one can also start from the antidominant cocharacter and correspondingly define the opposite Schubert cells $\overset{\circ}{X}^w$, the opposite conormals Y^w , and the union of opposite conormals $\mathbb{Y}^- = \bigcup_{w \in W} Y^w$. Lemma 3.5 implies that the intersection pairing between $H^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y})$ and $H^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y}^-)$ is upper-triangular in the basis of (Poincaré duals of) conormals Y_w and Y^w , with diagonal entries given by ± 1 .

Proposition 3.7 *The conormal cycles $[Y_w]$ span the Poincaré dual of middle-dimensional relative cohomology $H^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y})$ of rank $|W|$.*

Proof The conormal cycles $[Y_w]$ represent classes in the Borel–Moore homology of $\mathbb{Y} := \bigcup_{w \in W} Y_w$, ie classes in $H_*^{\text{BM}}(\mathbb{Y}) \cong H^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y})$ by Poincaré duality.

Choose a filtration of \mathbb{Y} into locally closed subsets $\emptyset = Y_0 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_{|W|} = \mathbb{Y}$ so that every $Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}$ is a conormal of a Schubert cell $N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_w$. Note that the Borel–Moore homology $H_*^{\text{BM}}(Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1})$ is of rank 1 and concentrated in degree $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, spanned by the class of $[N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_w]$. Iterative application of the long exact sequence in Borel–Moore homology (see eg [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997, (2.6.10)]) implies that $H_*^{\text{BM}}(\mathbb{Y}) \cong H^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y})$ is concentrated in degree $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ and is of rank $|W|$. Since for each $w \in W$, $Y_w = N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_w \cup \bigsqcup_{v < w} N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_v$, it follows that the Poincaré duals of $[Y_w]$ are linearly independent in $H^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y})$, as desired. □

The equivariant cohomology classes in $H_T^*(X)$ represented by the cycles Y_w now factor through the map

$$(3-3) \quad H_T^*(X, X \setminus \mathbb{Y}) \rightarrow H_T^*(X)$$

in the long exact sequence of pairs.

In equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$, there is a distinguished basis constructed in [Maulik and Okounkov 2019] called the *stable envelopes*, which satisfy remarkable properties. The underlying idea has a Morse-theoretic flavor, which already features in [McDuff and Tolman 2006, Lemma 1.12].

To define the stable bases, note that the cotangent fiber at any T -fixed point $wB/B \in G/B$ is a T -representation with (T -equivariant) Euler class $e_w = e^T(T_{wB/B}^*G/B)$. For $N_w = T_{(wB/B,0)}(X)$ the tangent space of $(wB/B, 0)$ in $X = T^*(G/B)$, consider the T -invariant decomposition $N_w = N_{w,+} \oplus N_{w,-}$ into T -weights that pair positively and negatively for our choice of dominant $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$, respectively. (There are no 0-weights, as β is chosen generically.) By the duality from the holomorphic symplectic form, one concludes that $N_{w,+} \cong N_{w,-}^\vee$ up to a twist of a character of the S^1 -action rotating the holomorphic symplectic form.

Therefore, up to a sign, the T -equivariant Euler class of N_w given by $e(N_w) = e(N_{w,-})^2$ is a perfect square; from the polarization $T_{(wB/B,0)}(X) = T_{wB/B}G/B \oplus T_{wB/B}^*G/B$, we also have $e(N_w) = e_w^2$. Now fix the sign $\pm e(N_{w,-})$ so that

$$(3-4) \quad \pm e(N_{w,-})|_{H_T^*(\text{pt})} = e_w.$$

Below, we consider the isolated fixed point $(wB/B, 0) \in X^T$ corresponding to a Weyl group element $w \in W$, and denote by $[w] \in H^0(X^T)$ the corresponding fundamental class of the isolated point. For a class $\alpha \in H_T^*(X)$, we define $\alpha|_w$ as the image of α under $H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T) \cong \bigoplus H_T^*(\text{pt}) \cdot [w] \rightarrow H_T^*(\text{pt}) \cdot [w]$, ie restriction to the fixed locus followed by the projection to the summand generated (as a $H_T^*(\text{pt})$ -module) by $[w]$.

Definition 3.8 [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Definition 3.3.4], [Su and Zhong 2020, Definition 2.1]

There exists a unique map of $H_T^*(\text{pt})$ -modules

$$(3-5) \quad \text{Stab}_+ : H_T^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_T^*(X)$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\text{Stab}_+(w)|_{H_T^*(X \setminus \mathbb{Y})} = 0$.
- (ii) $\text{Stab}_+(w)|_w = \pm e(N_{w,-})$, where the sign is determined by (3-4).
- (iii) $\text{Stab}_+(w)|_v$ is divisible by h for $v < w$ in the Bruhat order.

Remark 3.9 Property (i) with Proposition 3.7 implies that the stable envelope classes $\text{Stab}_+(w)$ for $w \in W$ are linear combinations of the conormal cycles Y_w in $H_T^*(X)$. Property (ii) implies that the stable envelope classes form a basis in $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ after localization; note that $e_w \neq 0$, as we are considering the Euler class of the normal bundle of the fixed locus (more precisely, its half).

Moreover, the stable envelopes are defined via Lagrangian correspondences [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Proposition 3.5.1], and hence take middle degree to middle degree. As $w \in W$ has a corresponding class $[w] := [(wB/B, 0)] \in H_T^0(X^T)$, the stable envelope class $\text{Stab}_+(w) \in H_T^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}(X)$ lies in middle dimension (which is also evident from property (ii)).

There is also a map $\text{Stab}_-: H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$ of the same kind such that the classes $\text{Stab}_-(w)$ are linear combinations of the opposite conormals Y^w . The following is the key property we will use:

Proposition 3.10 [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Theorem 4.4.1] *The stable envelope classes and their opposites $\text{Stab}_{\pm}(w)$ are intersection duals for the Poincaré pairing in $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$, that is,*

$$(3-6) \quad (\text{Stab}_+(w), \text{Stab}_-(v)) = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G/B)} \delta_{v,w}.$$

In particular, to define the quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators on $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$, it suffices to define them on the submodule of $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$, before localization, spanned by the stable envelope classes (equivalently the conormal cycles) and extend $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ -linearly.

Example 3.11 In the case of $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$, the support of Stab_+ are given by the (union of) Lagrangians given by (i) the cotangent fiber at the north pole for $1 \in W$, and (ii) the union of the cotangent fiber at the north pole with the zero section \mathbb{P}^1 for $s \in W$.

3.2 Regularity of moduli spaces for quantum Steenrod operations

In this section, we show that the quantum Steenrod operations can be defined on $X = T^*(G/B)$ despite its nonexact and noncompact geometry. We define the quantum Steenrod operations by (i) first defining them on a submodule of $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$, generated by the stable envelopes which are preserved under quantum Steenrod operations, and (ii) showing that these relative cycles form a basis after passing to $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$, and hence extending the definition by linearity.

Remark 3.12 The example of the Springer resolution $T^*(G/B)$ falls in the general class of manifolds considered in [Ritter and Živanović 2023], there dubbed *symplectic \mathbb{C}^* -manifolds*. In [loc. cit.], a general maximum principle for such manifolds is established, which we expect to be adaptable to justify the constructions of quantum Steenrod and shift operators given in Section 2 for a general class of conical symplectic resolutions. We have opted to establish the result directly for completeness, but the key idea of using the projection to the nilpotent cone $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the same as in the general approach of [loc. cit.].

3.2.1 Compactly intersecting incidence constraints Following the remark after Proposition 3.10, we will show that the quantum Steenrod operations can be defined on the submodule of $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$ spanned by the image of Poincaré duals of conormal cycles $[Y_w]$. We must show the compactness and transversality of the moduli spaces with incidence constraints on the conormal cycles which define the structure constants.

The difference from the previous general setup is that on the submodule of $H_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X)$ spanned by the conormal cycles, we have control of noncompactness of the incidence cycles at $0 \in C$, as the conormal cycles approach infinity at prescribed directions (the conormal directions to the stable manifolds \mathring{X}_w).

Consequently, we are not restricted to considering only the compact cycles as incidence constraints at $\infty \in C$, but we can consider locally finite cycles as long as they properly intersect the conormal cycles. The following is the key lemma for establishing this.

Lemma 3.13 *Consider the conormal cycles $Y_w \subseteq T^*(G/B)$ and the opposite conormal cycles Y^v . Their images under the projection $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ intersect in a compact subset.*

Proof Recall that \mathbb{Y} is defined as the union of the conormal cycles $Y_w = \bigsqcup_{v \leq w} N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_v$. The Schubert cells $\overset{\circ}{X}_v$ are defined as stable manifolds for the Morse function induced by the (real) moment map $G/B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced by the choice of the dominant cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$. If one considers the corresponding dominant $\mathbb{C}^* \leq T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -action acting on $T^*(G/B)$, the conormal bundle $N^* \overset{\circ}{X}_w$ can therefore be identified with all points in $T^*(G/B)$ that converges to the fixed point w under the dominant \mathbb{C}^* -action (as $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ approaches $z \rightarrow \infty$).

Consider the complex moment map $\mu: T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, which is identified with the Springer resolution, [Definition 3.2](#), via the embedding of the image $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$. Note that \mathfrak{g}^* is a T -representation and the moment map μ is T -equivariant. Decompose $\mathfrak{g}^* = V_+ \oplus V_0 \oplus V_-$ for β -positive, β -null and β -negative T -weight spaces V_+ , V_0 and V_- . By equivariance, the union of ideal boundaries of \mathbb{Y} is projected under μ to the ideal boundary of V_+ . Similarly, the opposite conormals \mathbb{Y}^- at infinity project to the ideal boundary of V_- . Since the ideal boundaries of V_+ and V_- are disjoint, the intersection of the images of Y_w and Y^v under μ must intersect in a compact subset. □

3.2.2 Maximum principle Fix a cohomology class $b \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ Poincaré dual to a T -invariant locally finite homology cycle $[Y]$, and take $b_0 = \text{PD}[Y_w] \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $b_\infty = \text{PD}[Y^v] \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $v, w \in W$. Take the product $\mathcal{Y} = Y_w \times Y^{\times p} \times Y^v \subseteq X \times X^p \times X$ and the corresponding incidence cycle \mathcal{Y}^{eq} .

Remark 3.14 To consider the conormal cycles Y_w, Y^v as incidence cycles, note that the smooth loci of conormal cycles are unions of embedded submanifolds (the conormal bundles of the Schubert cells), whose image under inclusion can be compactified by strata of real codimension ≥ 2 .

We first consider genuine holomorphic maps from C , the parametrized copy of \mathbb{P}^1 (see [Section 2.1.2](#)) with incidence constraints on $Y_w \times Y^{\times p} \times Y^v$.

Lemma 3.15 *For the integrable complex structure J on $T^*(G/B)$, consider the moduli space of holomorphic genus-0 curves with incidence constraints*

$$(3-7) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}, A} = \{u: C \rightarrow X : \bar{\partial}_J u = 0, u_*[C] = A, u(0) \in Y_w, u(\infty) \in Y^v, u(z_1), \dots, u(z_p) \in Y\}$$

for fixed degree $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. There is a compact subset of X that contains the image of all maps in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}, A}$ and its stable map compactification.

Proof Note that the maximum principle applies to the affine variety $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$, and the holomorphic curves in \mathcal{N} must be constant. The moment map $\mu: T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is holomorphic, so the holomorphic curves in $T^*(G/B)$ can only occur in the fiber of μ . Hence the curves with incidence constraints on Y_w and Y^v lie in the preimage of $\mu(Y_w) \cap \mu(Y^v) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. By Lemma 3.13 this subset is contained in a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, and the result follows for the subset $\mu^{-1}(K)$ from the properness of μ . The bubbles must also lie in the fibers of μ , and their image is connected to the image of the principal component, hence the bubbles are also contained in the same compact subset $\mu^{-1}(K)$. \square

Hence without any introduction of perturbation of the almost complex structure or inhomogeneous terms, the moduli space is indeed compact. Using compactness of the genuine holomorphic curves, the regularity of the moduli space of solutions to the perturbed Cauchy–Riemann equation can be shown as follows. As usual in Floer theory, we prove regularity of the moduli spaces for generic choices of perturbation data; in our case, the equivariant perturbation data are chosen from

$$(3-8) \quad \begin{aligned} J^{\text{eq}} &= \{J_{w'}\}_{w' \in ET} \in C^\infty(ET \times X; \text{End}(TX)), \\ \nu^{\text{eq}} &= \{\nu_{w,w'}\} \in C^\infty(E\mathbb{Z}/p \times ET \times C \times X; \text{Hom}^{0,1}(TC; TX)). \end{aligned}$$

Fix the integrable perturbation data $(J_0^{\text{eq}}, \nu_0^{\text{eq}}) = (J, 0)$, where J is the (constant over ET) integrable complex structure, and consider its neighborhood $\mathcal{S} \ni (J_0^{\text{eq}}, \nu_0^{\text{eq}})$ in the above spaces (in the C^∞ topology).

Proposition 3.16 *There exists a comeager subset $\mathcal{S}^{\text{reg}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ of equivariant perturbation data $(J^{\text{eq}}, \nu^{\text{eq}})$ near $(J_0^{\text{eq}}, \nu_0^{\text{eq}})$ such that for any $(J^{\text{eq}}, \nu^{\text{eq}}) \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{reg}}$, the moduli space with incidence constraints $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \pitchfork^{\text{y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}}$ (see (2-34)) is regular. Moreover, the images of solutions are contained in a compact subset of $X = T^*(G/B)$ (and hence Gromov compactness applies).*

Proof The equivariant moduli spaces are just parametrized versions of the nonequivariant construction, and indeed by the contractibility of the space of compatible almost complex structures J and inhomogeneous terms ν , there are no obstructions for inductively constructing equivariant perturbation data. It therefore suffices to show that the nonequivariant moduli spaces can be made regular and all solutions lie in a compact subset of X .

From Lemma 3.15, there exists a compact subset $K_0 \subseteq X$ such that all holomorphic curves satisfying the incidence constraints lie in K_0 . Fix a bounded open subset $U \supseteq K_0$ and consider only the perturbation data (J, ν) supported in U . For applying standard transversality and compactness results, it suffices to show that there is a compact subset $K_1 \supseteq U$ only depending on U such that any solution for the perturbation data (J, ν) such that $\text{supp}(J, \nu) \subseteq U$ must be contained in K_1 .

Let $u: C \rightarrow X$ be a solution of $\bar{\partial}_J u = \nu$ satisfying the incidence constraints, and consider the projection $\mu \circ u: C \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. We show that there exists a compact subset in \mathcal{N} which contains the image of $\mu \circ u$ for all solutions u . Suppose otherwise, and take a curve u such that $\mu \circ u$ has a nonzero intersection with the bounded subset $\mu(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. By the support condition on (J, ν) , the curve $\mu \circ u$ outside $\mu(U)$ is an

unperturbed holomorphic curve. By the maximum principle this implies that $\mu \circ u$ is a constant curve outside $\mu(U)$, and u is contained in the fiber of μ outside $\mu(U)$. This contradicts Lemma 3.15, since by assumption u must be contained in the fiber of μ over $\mu(K_0) \subseteq \mu(U)$. Hence a priori there is a compact subset $\mathcal{N} \supseteq K' \supseteq \mu(U)$ such that all solutions u of the perturbed equation satisfy $\mu(\text{im}(u)) \subseteq K'$. Setting $K_1 = \mu^{-1}(K')$, which is compact by properness of μ , yields the desired compact subset of X .

Standard transversality results now apply: that for generic (J, ν) the moduli space is regular, and moreover Gromov compactness applies. Since $c_1(X) = 0$, the compactifying strata in the stable map compactification all have real codimension ≥ 2 for generic (J, ν) , and the counts $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j} \cap \mathcal{Y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}$ are well-defined. □

Remark 3.17 Generic transversality statements do not directly apply to spaces of C^∞ -sections; rather, one first passes to a suitable Banach completion (such as Floer’s C_ε^∞ -spaces) to which one applies the generic transversality results, and then uses eg the Taubes trick (see [Wendl 2010, Section 4.4.2]) to pass to genericity in the C^∞ -topology.

Corollary 3.18 For $X = T^*(G/B)$ and any $b \in H_T^*(X)$, the (equivariant) quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b^T can be defined on the submodule of $H_T^*(X)$ generated by the Poincaré duals of the conormal cycles $[Y_w]$, and extended to a map

$$(3-9) \quad \Sigma_b^T: H_T^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}[[t, \theta]]$$

after localization.

Proof By Definition 3.8, Proposition 3.10 and the remarks following them, to define Σ_b^T on the localized equivariant cohomology it suffices to define it on the stable envelope classes, which are in turn linear combinations of the classes represented by the conormal cycles. When the incidence constraints at $0, \infty \in C$ are given by conormal cycles, Proposition 3.16 shows that the corresponding moduli spaces required to define the quantum Steenrod operations on the submodule generated by the conormal cycles are indeed regular, hence the operation is well-defined. □

3.3 Regularity of moduli spaces for shift operators

We now show that the shift operators can also be defined on $X = T^*(G/B)$; see Assumption 2.23. For necessary compactness properties of the moduli spaces to hold, we must assume a condition for the cocharacter; see Definition 3.19 below. To lift this assumption on cocharacter for the definition of shift operators, one must pass to localized equivariant cohomology and use the definition of shift operators via localization from the algebrogeometric construction.

Definition 3.19 Consider the action of $T = T \times S^1$ on X and on \mathfrak{g}^* such that the moment map projection $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is T -invariant. Consider the weights of the T -action on \mathfrak{g}^* . The cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ is nonnegative if it pairs nonnegatively with all the weights.

As in the case of quantum Steenrod operations, fix $v, w \in W$ and consider conormal cycle Y_w and opposite conormal cycle Y^v . Both are T -invariant and therefore may represent $b_0 = \text{PD}[Y_w] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_0)$ and $b_\infty = \text{PD}[Y^v] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X|\rho_\beta)$ to be incidence constraints for the bare shift operators S_β (Definition 2.25). For a fixed degree $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, consider the section class $\tilde{A} = s_{\min} + (\iota_0)_*(A) \in H_2(E_\beta; \mathbb{Z})$; see Definition 2.22.

Lemma 3.20 Fix a nonnegative cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$. For the distinguished integrable complex structure on E_β , consider the moduli space of holomorphic sections with incidence constraints

$$(3-10) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}, \tilde{A}}(E_\beta) = \{u: C \rightarrow E_\beta : \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{J}} u = 0, u_*[C] = \tilde{A}, u(0) \in Y_w, u(\infty) \in Y^v\}$$

for fixed degree $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. There is a compact subset of E_β that contains the image of all maps in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}, A}(E_\beta)$ and its stable map compactification.

Proof Denote the weights of $T = S^1 \times T = S^1 \times (S^1)^r$ by $h, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$. Decompose \mathfrak{g}^* into its T -weight spaces,

$$(3-11) \quad \mathfrak{g}^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}^*} \mathbb{C}[f_i], \quad \text{where } f_i := n_{i0}h + \sum_{j=1}^r n_{ij}\lambda_j \in (\text{Lie } T)^*, n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denoting the components of β by $\langle \beta, \lambda_j \rangle = \beta_j$, Definition 3.19 translates to the condition that $\langle \beta, f_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^r n_{ij}\beta_j \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider the associated bundle $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \otimes_{\beta} \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with fibers isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}^* , defined as

$$(3-12) \quad \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \otimes_{\beta} \mathfrak{g}^* := \{([z], y) \in \mathbb{O}(-1) \times \mathfrak{g}\} / \{([z], y) \sim ([z] \cdot \theta, \beta(\theta^{-1}) \cdot y) \text{ for } \theta \in S^1\}$$

using the cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$. Then the complex moment map $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ applied fiberwise over \mathbb{P}^1 yields a holomorphic map

$$(3-13) \quad \tilde{\mu}: E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{O}(-1) \otimes_{\beta} \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}^*} \mathbb{O}(-\langle \beta, f_i \rangle),$$

where the vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus \mathbb{O}(-\langle \beta, f_i \rangle)$ is a direct sum of line bundles of nonpositive degrees. Under $\tilde{\mu}$ any holomorphic section $u: C \rightarrow E_\beta$ of $E_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ maps to a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If u satisfies the incidence constraints given by Y_w and Y^v at 0 and ∞ , then $\tilde{\mu} \circ u$ will satisfy incidence constraints given by $\mu(Y_w)$ and $\mu(Y^v)$ at 0 and ∞ .

It suffices to show that there is a compact subset of \mathcal{V} which contains all holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with incidence constraints given by $\mu(Y_w)$ and $\mu(Y^v)$. The only nonzero holomorphic sections can arise for the subbundle spanned by summands such that $\langle \beta, f_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^r n_{ij}\beta_j = 0$, which forms a trivial vector bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 . Considering a nonzero section of this subbundle as a graph of a constant map $p: C \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, note that for the section to satisfy the incidence constraints the image of p must lie in the intersection $\mu(Y_w) \cap \mu(Y^v)$, which is compact by Lemma 3.13. The result follows from the properness of μ (it is the Springer resolution; see Definition 3.2). □

Below, as in the proof of Proposition 3.16, we consider equivariant perturbation data $(\tilde{J}^{\text{eq}}, \nu_E^{\text{eq}})$ in the neighborhood \mathcal{S} (in the C^∞ -topology) of the reference perturbation data $(\tilde{J}_0^{\text{eq}}, \nu_{E,0}^{\text{eq}}) = (\tilde{J}, 0)$. A *generic choice* is interpreted as a choice in a comeager subset of such neighborhood.

Proposition 3.21 (cf Assumption 2.23) *Fix a cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ satisfying Definition 3.19. For a generic choice of $(\tilde{J}^{\text{eq}}, \nu_E^{\text{eq}})$, the moduli space with incidence constraints $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta) \pitchfork \mathfrak{y}^{\text{eq};i;2j}(E_\beta)$ is regular. Moreover, the image of solutions are contained in a compact subset of E_β .*

Proof sketch The proof is essentially the same as in Proposition 3.16. Via Lemma 3.20, one can choose a compact subset of E_β containing all holomorphic curves satisfying the incidence constraints, and consider perturbation data (\tilde{J}, ν_E) supported in that bounded region. One applies the fiberwise moment map $\tilde{\mu}: E_\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}(-1) \otimes_\beta \mathfrak{g}^*$ (see the notation from Lemma 3.20), and apply the maximum principle in \mathcal{V} to obtain an a priori bound for the image of perturbed solutions under $\tilde{\mu}$. By properness of $\tilde{\mu}$, the preimage gives the desired compact subset of E_β containing all perturbed solutions. Then standard transversality techniques and Gromov compactness apply. □

Corollary 3.22 (cf Corollary 3.18) *For $X = T^*(G/B)$ and any $b \in H_T^*(X)$, the shift operators \mathbb{S}_β for nonnegative cocharacters $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ can be defined on the submodule of $H_T^*(X)$ generated by the Poincaré duals of the conormal cycles $[Y_w]$, and extended to a map*

$$(3-14) \quad \mathbb{S}_\beta: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}$$

after localization.

Proof Proposition 3.21 shows that the structure constants for incidence constraints given by the conormal cycles are well-defined. □

For later purposes, it is important to consider the inverses of the shift operators \mathbb{S}_β , which may be defined as the reverse shift operators $\mathbb{S}_{-\beta}$; however, the cocharacters $-\beta$ would not satisfy the nonnegativity condition, Definition 3.19. Indeed, the structure constants of the inverse would involve poles in the equivariant parameters due to the noncompactness of the relevant moduli spaces. To bypass the need to discuss invertibility separately we will impose the following assumption in our discussion. The following assumption is essentially a comparison of algebrogeometric and symplectogeometric definitions of Gromov–Witten invariants. For semipositive targets such as X , it is expected to be true.

Assumption 3.23 For nonnegative cocharacters $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$, the shift operators \mathbb{S}_β for X agree with the shift operators as in [Braverman et al. 2011] and [Iritani 2017], defined for smooth complex varieties with algebraic torus actions.

The main advantage of the algebrogeometric definition is that it allows the definition of Gromov–Witten invariants even when the moduli space is not compact, as long as the torus fixed points of the moduli space is compact, via equivariant localization.

Corollary 3.24 *The shift operators $\mathbb{S}_\beta: H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda)$ are invertible after localization, ie passing to $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda) \otimes \text{Frac}(H_T^*(\text{pt}))$. Hence the same is true for the bare shift operators S_β .*

Proof The invertibility follows from the relation $\mathbb{S}_{\beta_1} \circ \mathbb{S}_{\beta_2} = \mathbb{S}_{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$, as in [Iritani 2017]; one can take $\mathbb{S}_{-\beta}$ as the inverse of \mathbb{S}_β . Although $-\beta$ would not be nonnegative if β is nonnegative, the corresponding shift operator can be defined on $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ by equivariant localization; see [Iritani 2017, Remark 3.10] and [Braverman et al. 2011, Section 6]. \square

3.4 Beyond Springer resolutions

The key to regularity properties of the moduli spaces involved in the definition of quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators are the (i) existence of compactly intersecting incidence cycles, provided by the conormal cycles or equivalently the stable envelope classes, and (ii) existence of projection to an affine base satisfying the maximum principle. In this subsection, we briefly sketch how to extend our arguments for regularity to a wider generality of target varieties satisfying these properties.

Definition 3.25 *A symplectic resolution is a smooth complex variety X with a holomorphic symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the affinization map $X \rightarrow X_0 = \text{Spec } H^0(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a resolution of singularities (birational and proper). A symplectic resolution is *conical* if it is equipped with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action scaling the holomorphic symplectic form with positive weight.*

The Springer resolution $X = T^*(G/B) \rightarrow X_0 = \mathcal{N}$ is the classical example. For a more thorough treatment, see the excellent [Kaledin 2009]. To discuss quantum Steenrod operations and shift operators, we further require the following.

Assumption 3.26 *The conical symplectic resolution X is equipped with an action of a torus T preserving the holomorphic symplectic form, commuting with the \mathbb{C}^\times -action, such that the torus fixed points X^T are discrete. The proper map $X \rightarrow X_0$ must be $T = T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant, and X_0^T must be a single point.*

Examples which satisfy [Assumption 3.26](#) include the Springer resolution $X = T^*(G/B)$ and its parabolic generalizations $T^*(G/P)$, and quiver varieties of type A .

The stable envelopes, satisfying the properties in [Definition 3.8](#) and [Remark 3.9](#), exist in a much wider generality of conical symplectic resolutions; see [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Section 3] and [Su and Zhong 2020]. In particular, for a choice of a generic cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ (and a choice of a polarization data [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Section 3.3.2]) one obtains the corresponding stable envelope map

$$(3-15) \quad \text{Stab}_\beta: H_T^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_T^*(X).$$

Under [Assumption 3.26](#), [Remark 3.9](#) applies and the stable envelope classes $\text{Stab}_\beta(x) \in H_T^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}(X)$ for isolated fixed points $x \in X^T$ form a basis in $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$. Moreover, the dual basis is given by the opposite stable envelopes $\text{Stab}_{-\beta}$.

Lemma 3.27 [Maulik and Okounkov 2019, Theorem 4.4.1] *The intersection of cycles representing stable envelope classes $\text{Stab}_\beta(x)$ and opposite stable classes $\text{Stab}_{-\beta}(x')$ for $x, x' \in X^T$ is compact, and the image of the intersection under $X \rightarrow X_0$ lies in the T -invariant locus of X_0 .*

Proof sketch See [loc. cit.] for the proof; we only record the key idea. For the fixed cocharacter $\beta: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T$ and $x \in X^T$, consider the attracting set $\text{Attr}_\beta(x) = \{y \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \beta(t) \cdot y = x \in X^T\}$. Take the union of attracting sets $\text{Attr}_\beta = \bigcup_{x \in X^T} \text{Attr}_\beta(x)$ over all T -fixed points. The definition and construction of the stable envelopes (see [loc. cit., Section 3.5]) show that the stable envelope cycles in X are supported in Attr_β . Fixing a T -equivariant embedding $X_0 \rightarrow V$ into a T -representation (compare $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for $X = T^*(G/B)$), Attr_β projects to nonnegative weight spaces of V . Similarly, the support of opposite stable envelope cycles projects to nonpositive weight spaces of V . Hence the projected image of the intersection of stable envelope classes must be contained in the T -invariant locus of X_0 ; compactness follows from properness of $X \rightarrow X_0$. \square

Corollary 3.28 (cf Corollaries 3.18 and 3.22) *Let X be a conical symplectic resolution satisfying Assumption 3.26. Then the equivariant quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b^T and \mathbb{S}_β for nonnegative $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ may be defined on $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$.*

Proof sketch As in the case of Lemma 3.13, Lemma 3.27 is sufficient to show that the curves in moduli space of holomorphic curves with incidence constraints on stable envelope cycles and their duals are contained in a compact subset of X . Using the proper, holomorphic projection to the affine base $X \rightarrow X_0$, one can proceed as in the proof of Propositions 3.16 and 3.21 to show the regularity of the moduli spaces of perturbed solutions. The nonnegativity condition on cocharacter from Definition 3.19 modifies so that the cocharacter pairs nonnegatively with T -weights of the T -representation $H^0(X; \mathbb{C})$. \square

4 p -curvature of equivariant quantum connections

In this section, we review the equivariant quantum connections of conical symplectic resolutions from [Braverman et al. 2011] and [Maulik and Okounkov 2019]. The equivariant quantum connections of symplectic resolutions are special in that

- (i) they admit lifts to the integers \mathbb{Z} (or with bounded denominators) in a suitable basis,
- (ii) dependence on Novikov parameters is a rational function (a priori, it is only a power series), and
- (iii) they admit a compatible collection of intertwining operators given by the shift operators.

Such connections fall into the general class of flat connections studied by Etingof and Varchenko [2024b; 2024a], in which structural results about their p -curvature is proven.

4.1 Equivariant quantum connections

We are interested in the equivariant quantum connections of conical symplectic resolutions, considered in [Braverman et al. 2011] and [Maulik and Okounkov 2019]. For the running example of $X = T^*(G/B)$, the formula for the connection in purely representation-theoretic terms was explicitly worked out in [Braverman et al. 2011].

Recall that λ and h represent the equivariant parameters for the action of torus $T \leq \mathbf{T}$ on X (preserving the holomorphic symplectic form), and the action of $S^1 \leq \mathbf{T}$ on X (scaling the holomorphic symplectic form), respectively. We consider $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ as a $H_T^*(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ -module with basis given by the stable envelope classes $\text{Stab}_+(w)$ indexed by Weyl group elements $w \in W$; see Definition 3.8. This basis of (localized) equivariant cohomology of X is referred to as the *stable basis*.

Theorem 4.1 [Braverman et al. 2011, Theorem 1.1] *Consider the equivariant quantum connection operators $\nabla_b : H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda)$, indexed by $b \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, of the Springer resolution $X = T^*(G/B)$. The connection satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *The structure constants of the quantum multiplication in the stable basis are integers.*
- (ii) *The dependence on the Novikov parameters is a rational function.*
- (iii) *The quantum connection commutes with the shift operators $\mathbb{S}_\beta : H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(X; \Lambda)$ for every choice of β .*

Proof By linearity of quantum product with respect to equivariant parameters, it suffices to consider $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be identified with some weight of the maximal torus T . Denote by R, R^\vee the set of roots and coroots and identify positive coroots (after a choice of a dominant chamber) $\alpha^\vee \in R_+^\vee \subseteq H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with curve degree classes. The formula from [Braverman et al. 2011, Theorem 1.1] characterizes the quantum multiplication operator as

$$(4-1) \quad b *_T = b \cup_T + h \sum_{\alpha^\vee \in R_+^\vee} (b, \alpha^\vee) \frac{q^{\alpha^\vee}}{1 - q^{\alpha^\vee}} ([s_\alpha] - 1),$$

where $[s_\alpha]$ denotes the Steinberg action on $H_T^*(X)$ by the simple reflection $s_\alpha \in W$ corresponding to the root α .

Property (ii) is evident from (4-1). Property (i) follows from the computation of the action of $[s_\alpha]$ in the stable basis [Su 2017, Lemma 3.2], which we reproduce:

$$(4-2) \quad [s_\alpha] \cdot \text{Stab}_+(w) = -\text{Stab}_+(w) - \text{Stab}_+(ws_\alpha) \quad \text{for } w \in W.$$

Property (iii) is an application of Theorem 2.28; see also [Braverman et al. 2011, Section 6]. □

Example 4.2 For $X = T^*\mathbb{P}^1$, the nonequivariant cohomology $H^*(X)$ is of rank 2; the equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ has two stable basis elements of cohomological degree 2 given by $\text{Stab}_+(1)$ and $\text{Stab}_+(s)$ indexed by the Weyl group $W = \{1, s\}$. The action of the Weyl group is given as in equation (4-2).

The precise form of the connection (in S^1 -equivariant cohomology, where we forget the $T = S^1$ -action) in the stable basis is given in [Lee 2025, Lemma 7.1].

The form of the quantum multiplication (4-1) is summarized by the following.

Lemma 4.3 *In the stable basis, the quantum multiplication operator $b *_{\mathcal{T}}$ can be written as a sum of three matrices*

$$(4-3) \quad b *_{\mathcal{T}} = (hB_{\text{cl}}^{(0)} + B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}) + hB_q^{(0)},$$

where $B_{\text{cl}}^{(0)}$, $B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}$ and $B_q^{(0)}$ are matrices with entries in $H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \Lambda$, which are homogeneous in cohomological degree with degrees 0, 2 and 0, respectively. Moreover, $B_q^{(0)}|_{q^A=0} = 0$.

Proof Writing the matrix of $b *_{\mathcal{T}}$ in the stable basis as a sum of the matrices of the classical (equivariant) cup product operator and the quantum part gives

$$(4-4) \quad b *_{\mathcal{T}} = b \cup_{\mathcal{T}} + b *_{\text{quantum}, \mathcal{T}}.$$

Since all basis elements of the stable basis lie in the same cohomological degree $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ (see Remark 3.9), and the quantum product $b *_{\mathcal{T}}$ is an operator of degree 2, all entries of the matrix of $b *_{\mathcal{T}}$ must be expressions of cohomological degree 2. These expressions depend polynomially on the equivariant parameters h, λ of the T -action of X ; the regularity of moduli spaces ensure that the equivariant parameters appear with nonnegative powers, and the Novikov parameter q^A has degree zero (as $c_1 = 0$). Expanding in h , one can write in the stable basis $b \cup_{\mathcal{T}} = hB_{\text{cl}}^{(0)} + B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}$ and $b *_{\text{quantum}, \mathcal{T}} = hB_q^{(0)}$. Note that all entries of the purely quantum part are divisible by h , which is evident from (4-1) and is a consequence of the geometric fact that non- S^1 -equivariant Gromov–Witten invariants of X vanish. \square

4.2 p -curvature

In particular, the equivariant quantum connection of the Springer resolution admits a mod p reduction. The mod p equivariant quantum connection was the subject of study in [Lee 2025]. To any algebraic connection in characteristic p , classically one associates the p -curvature endomorphism.

For the quantum connection, the p -curvature endomorphism takes the following specific form.

Definition 4.4 Fix $b \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ a mod p reduction of an integral class, and consider the corresponding equivariant quantum connection $\nabla_b = t\partial_b + b *_{\mathcal{T}}: H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]] \rightarrow H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$; see Definition 2.19. The p -curvature in the direction of b is the degree- $2p$ endomorphism of $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)[[t, \theta]]$ defined as

$$(4-5) \quad F_b = \nabla_b^p - t^{p-1}\nabla_b.$$

Remark 4.5 The usual notion of the p -curvature for a connection measures the failure of the map $\partial \mapsto \nabla_{\partial}$ from derivations (on the base of connections) to bundle endomorphisms to be a map of *restricted* Lie algebras in positive characteristic, $F_{\partial} := \nabla_{\partial}^p - \nabla_{\partial^p}$; compare this with the usual notion of the curvature $F_{\partial, \partial'} = [\nabla_{\partial}, \nabla_{\partial'}] - \nabla_{[\partial, \partial']}$, which measures the failure of the ∇ to be a map of Lie algebras. For our example, we consider the p -curvature for the Novikov differentiation $t\partial_b$ whose p^{th} power is $t^{p-1}(t\partial_b)$.

Since the p -curvature is only defined in terms of the quantum connection, many of its properties immediately follow from that of the quantum multiplication.

Lemma 4.6 For $b \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, the p -curvature F_b satisfies the following properties.

- (i) $F_b(b_0)|_{(t, \theta)=0} = b *_T \cdots *_T b *_T b_0$, where the $b *_T \cdots *_T b$ piece has p terms.
- (ii) $F_b(b_0)|_{q^A=0} = \text{St}^T(b) \cup_T b_0$, where $\text{St}^T(b) \in H_T^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)[[t, \theta]]$ is the class obtained by the power operation construction as in (2-22) in T -equivariant cohomology.
- (iii) F_b commutes with the shift operators S_{β} , and equivalently satisfies

$$(4-6) \quad F_b|_{\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \beta t} \circ S_{\beta} = S_{\beta} \circ F_b.$$

Proof Property (i) follows from the fact that $\nabla_b|_{(t, \theta)=0} = b *_T$. Property (ii) follows from the definition of the p -curvature $F_b = \nabla_b^p - t^{p-1}\nabla_b$ together with the following two observations: First, the computation of the classical Steenrod operations (denoted by P^0 and P^1) gives

$$(4-7) \quad \text{St}^T(b) = P^1(b) - t^{p-1}P^0(b) = b \cup_T^p - t^{p-1}b$$

for degree 2 classes applied to $b \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ (the Bockstein vanishes as we assume that $H^*(X)$ is torsion-free). The notation $b \cup_T^p$ is the p -fold cup power of b in T -equivariant cohomology. Second, the connection satisfies $\nabla_b|_{q^A=0} = t\partial_b + b \cup_T$ and ∂_b commutes with the classical multiplication $b \cup_T$, hence $\nabla_b^p|_{q^A=0} = t^p\partial_b + b \cup_T^p$. Property (iii) follows from the fact that ∇_b commutes with the shift operators, [Theorem 2.28](#). \square

In [Section 5](#), we will be interested in the eigenvalues of F_b . The understanding of the spectrum of F_b fits in the framework of the recent work of Etingof and Varchenko [\[2024a\]](#), where various structural properties are established for the (mod p reductions of) a special class of flat connections arising from a *periodic pencil of flat connections*. The input from the theory of periodic pencils can be bypassed for the running example $X = T^*(G/B)$, but we believe their precise description of the spectrum of p -curvature to be the key ingredient in the further study of equivariant quantum connections of symplectic resolutions in positive characteristic.

Exploiting the controlled rational function dependence on the Novikov parameters (see [Theorem 4.1\(ii\)](#)), let us define the smaller Novikov ring of the form $\Lambda_{\text{poly}} := k[q_i := q^{A_i}; A_i \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})]$ for a fixed algebraically closed field k containing $R = \mathbb{F}_p$, and let $H = \text{Spec}(\Lambda_{\text{poly}})$. The connection is defined over a Zariski open subset of H , away from the locus where the poles appear.

Definition 4.7 Suppose that ∇ is a flat connection (possibly with poles) defined over a sublocus $H_{\text{reg}} := H \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha} \{q^{\alpha} = 1\}$ on a trivial bundle $V \times H_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow H_{\text{reg}}$, which depends on a parameter $\hbar \in k$ linearly, ie

$$(4-8) \quad \nabla_i = \nabla_i(\hbar) := q_i \partial_{q_i} + \hbar B_i(q).$$

The connections $\nabla(\hbar)$ form a *periodic pencil of flat connections* if there exists a gauge equivalence $S(\hbar) \in \Lambda_{\text{poly}}(\hbar)[(q^{\alpha} - 1)^{-1}] \otimes \text{End}(V)$ of connections with rational function dependence on q_i, \hbar such that

$$(4-9) \quad \nabla(\hbar - 1) \circ S(\hbar) = S(\hbar) \circ \nabla(\hbar).$$

Example 4.8 The (S^1) -equivariant quantum connection of the Springer resolution after the identification of parameters $h/t = \hbar$ forms a periodic pencil of flat connections, considered as a trigonometric connection, by the results of [Braverman et al. 2011]. Namely, the quantum product has only a single power of h ; we divide by t as the quantum connection is only a connection in the usual convention after dividing by t . The bare shift operators S_{β} provide the required gauge equivalences; see [Braverman et al. 2011, Proposition 6.1] and Theorem 2.28.

Let ∇ be a periodic pencil of flat connections whose structure constants are defined over the integers \mathbb{Z} so that it admits a mod p reduction; more generally one can allow bounded denominators in the structure constants. Etingof and Varchenko [2024a] prove the following statement. We will not directly use this result so we only mention it in the following form; see [loc. cit.] for details.

Theorem 4.9 [Etingof and Varchenko 2024a, Theorem 3.3] *Let the spectrum of the connection matrix of the connection ∇_i in a periodic pencil be given by $\Lambda_{ij}(q)$ for $j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(V)$. Then the spectrum of the p -curvature F_i is explicitly given by*

$$(4-10) \quad (\hbar - \hbar^p) \Lambda_{ij}(q)^p.$$

In particular, if the connection matrix of ∇_i has simple spectrum (distinct eigenvalues of multiplicity one), then so does the p -curvature F_i .

In [Lee 2025, Section 7], we have observed that for the quantum Steenrod operation of $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$, the specialization of equivariant parameters $\Sigma_b|_{h/t=\hbar}$ for $\hbar \in \mathbb{F}_p$ annihilates Varchenko’s mod p flat sections of the quantum connection. Theorem 4.9 is consonant with this observation; specializing $\hbar \in \mathbb{F}_p$ annihilates all eigenvalues.

5 p -curvature and quantum Steenrod operations

In this section, we prove our main theorem identifying the p -curvature of the equivariant quantum connection of symplectic resolutions with quantum Steenrod operations, for the case of the Springer resolution. The key ingredient is the compatibility of quantum Steenrod operations with the shift operators.

operator	$q \mapsto 0$	$(t, \theta) \mapsto 0$	$h \mapsto 0$	commutativity with ∇_a	linear over
Σ_b^T	$\text{St}^T(b) \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$	$b^{*T^p} *_{\mathcal{T}}$	$\text{St}^T(b) \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$	$[\Sigma_b^T, \nabla_a] = 0$	q, t, θ, h, λ
F_b	$\text{St}^T(b) \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$	$b^{*T^p} *_{\mathcal{T}}$	$\text{St}^T(b) \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$	$[F_b, \nabla_a] = 0$	q, t, θ, h, λ
$\mathbb{S}_\beta = \Phi_\beta \circ \mathbb{S}_\beta$	twist	Seidel map		$[\mathbb{S}_\beta, \nabla_a] = 0$	q, t, θ

Table 1

5.1 A table

For the proof of the main theorem below, we use several properties of the (equivariant) quantum Steenrod operations, the p -curvature of the (equivariant) quantum connection, and the shift operators. In Table 1 we record, for the convenience of the reader, their linearity properties and respective limits under different specializations of equivariant parameters. We denote by q^A the Novikov parameters, (t, θ) the \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant parameters, (λ, h) the $\mathbf{T} = T \times S^1$ parameters. For the first and the second row, the corresponding claims can be found in Sections 2.3 and 4.2.

The limit $h \mapsto 0$ for Σ_b^T and F_b being $\text{St}^T(b) \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$ is specific to the target being a holomorphic symplectic manifold. A priori, the limit $h \mapsto 0$ of each operator corresponds to the T -equivariant (as opposed to \mathbf{T} -equivariant) quantum Steenrod operation Σ_b^T , and the p -curvature of the T -equivariant quantum connection $(t\partial_b + b*_T)^p - t^{p-1}(t\partial_b + b*_T)$ (as opposed to $F_b = (t\partial_b + b*_T)^p - t^{p-1}(t\partial_b + b*_T)$), respectively. If we work nonequivariantly with respect to the dilating S^1 -action, the Gromov–Witten theory is trivial (see [Braverman et al. 2011, Section 1.3]) for our target X , hence setting $h = 0$ has the effect of eliminating all $q \neq 0$ terms in the respective operators, reducing the limit to the first column $q \mapsto 0$.

For the last row, “twist” refers to the reparametrization morphism $(t, \theta, \lambda, h) \mapsto (t, \theta, \lambda + \beta_\lambda t, h + \beta_h t)$ for the cocharacter $\beta = (\beta_\lambda, \beta_h) \in \text{Lie}(T) \times \text{Lie}(S^1) = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$. The shift operator is only defined for X with a torus action, hence the corresponding entry is empty. When we work only \mathbf{T} -equivariantly (as opposed to $(\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbf{T})$ -equivariantly) in its construction, the shift operators reduce to the Seidel representation [Liebenschutz-Jones 2020; Iritani 2017; Seidel 1997].

5.2 Equivalence of p -curvature and quantum Steenrod operations

Take $X = T^*(G/B)$ with its action of $\mathbf{T} = T \times S^1$ from the maximal torus T and the rotation S^1 of cotangent fibers. Fix $b \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$. We consider the \mathbf{T} -equivariant quantum connection ∇_b and the \mathbf{T} -equivariant quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b^T . Denote by $F_b = \nabla_b^p - t^{p-1}\nabla_b$ the p -curvature in the direction of b .

For this section, with the \mathbf{T} -equivariance understood, we will simply denote Σ_b^T by Σ_b .

Recall that t, λ, h represent the equivariant parameters for \mathbb{Z}/p -action on the source curve C (acting trivially on X), the action of torus $T \leq \mathbf{T}$ on X (preserving the holomorphic symplectic form), and the action of $S^1 \leq \mathbf{T}$ on X (rotating the holomorphic symplectic form), respectively.

Given the properties of the quantum Steenrod operations Σ_b established previously, the following proof follows the structure of the proof of [Theorem 4.9](#) due to Etingof and Varchenko [2024a].

Theorem 5.1 *The difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$ is a nilpotent operator.*

Proof Consider both operators F_b and Σ_b as endomorphisms of $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}[[t, \theta]]$ of degree $2p$. Fix the basis of $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}[[t, \theta]]$ (as a $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(\text{pt}; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}} = \Lambda((\lambda, h))[[t, \theta]]$ -module) given by the stable envelopes; see [Definition 3.8](#). Since the torus fixed locus X^T are isolated points, the stable basis is homogeneous in cohomological degrees; that is, $\text{Stab}_+(w) \in H_T^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}(X)$ for all $w \in W$.

Therefore the structure constants of both operators in the stable basis are given by expressions of cohomological degree $2p$, which are polynomials in the equivariant parameters t, λ, h and power series in the Novikov parameters q^A . Polynomiality follows from the fact that $|q^A| = 0$ from $c_1 = 0$, and moreover that the regularity of the relevant moduli spaces ensure that the equivariant parameters only appear with nonnegative powers.

Hence one can expand the two matrices in h , yielding the sums

$$(5-1) \quad F_b = h^p F_b^{(0)} + h^{p-1} F_b^{(1)} + \dots + h F_b^{(p-1)} + F_b^{(p)},$$

$$(5-2) \quad \Sigma_b = h^p \Sigma_b^{(0)} + h^{p-1} \Sigma_b^{(1)} + \dots + h \Sigma_b^{(p-1)} + \Sigma_b^{(p)},$$

where $F_b^{(i)}$ and $\Sigma_b^{(i)}$ are matrices with entries given by expressions of cohomological degree $2i$ that are polynomials in the equivariant parameters t, λ and power series in Novikov parameters q^A .

Write the quantum connection as $\nabla_b = t\partial_b + b *_T$, and in the stable basis expand as ([Lemma 4.3](#))

$$(5-3) \quad b *_T = b \cup_T + b *_{\text{quantum}, T} = (h B_{\text{cl}}^{(0)} + B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}) + h B_q^{(0)},$$

where $B_{\text{cl}}^{(0)}, B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}$ and $B_q^{(0)}$ have entries of cohomological degrees 0, 2 and 0 respectively. Note that $B_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}$ is simply the matrix of $b \cup_T$ in the equivariant cohomology for the smaller torus $T \leq \mathbf{T}$.

First observe that $F_b^{(p)} = \Sigma_b^{(p)}$ from [Proposition 2.18](#) and [Lemma 4.6](#), as both matrices are equal to the matrix of the operator $\text{St}^T(b) \cup_T$. This is due to the fact that $h = 0$ limit corresponds to considering counts nonequivariantly with respect to the dilating S^1 -action, for which the Gromov–Witten theory is trivial; see [\[Braverman et al. 2011, Section 1.3\]](#).

Also note that $F_b^{(0)} = \Sigma_b^{(0)}$, as both matrices are equal to the matrix of the h^p -coefficient of the operator

$$\overbrace{b *_T \cdots *_T b *_T}^p$$

for the following degree reasons. For Σ_b , note that $\Sigma_b = \overbrace{b *_T \cdots *_T b *_T}^p + O(t)$, so the only terms divisible by h^p must occur from the p -fold quantum multiplication. For $F_b = \nabla_b^p - t^{p-1} \nabla_b$, that the same is true can be seen from the expression $\nabla_b = t\partial_b + b *_T$.

Hence the difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$ is of the form

$$(5-4) \quad F_b - \Sigma_b = h^{p-1} (F_b^{(1)} - \Sigma_b^{(1)}) + \dots + h (F_b^{(p-1)} - \Sigma_b^{(p-1)}).$$

The characteristic polynomial of this matrix

$$(5-5) \quad \chi(F_b - \Sigma_b) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^i(F_b - \Sigma_b)) x^{n-i},$$

where Λ^i denotes the i^{th} exterior product, satisfies the following properties: for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

- (i) the coefficient $\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^i(F_b - \Sigma_b))$ is divisible by h^i ,
- (ii) as a polynomial in h , the degree of the coefficient $\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^i(F_b - \Sigma_b))$ is bounded above by $(p-1)i$.

Since F_b and Σ_b both commute with the shift operators from [Lemma 4.6](#) and [Theorem 2.31](#), so is the difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$. Consider the shift operator S_β for the cocharacter $\beta: S^1 \rightarrow T$ that vanishes on all T -weights and is weight 1 for the S^1 -action rotating the holomorphic symplectic form. By the invertibility of shift operators [Corollary 3.24](#), we can conjugate $F_b - \Sigma_b$ by the shift operator, which shows the invariance of the characteristic polynomial $\chi(F_b - \Sigma_b)$ under shift of equivariant parameters $h \mapsto h - t$.

In particular, property (i) above implies that the coefficient $\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^i(F_b - \Sigma_b))$ is divisible by

$$(5-6) \quad h^i (h-t)^i \cdots (h-(p-1)t)^i = (h^p - t^{p-1}h)^i,$$

which is a polynomial in h of degree $pi > (p-1)i$. Hence by property (ii) above, the coefficient $\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^i(F_b - \Sigma_b))$ must vanish for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This is the desired result. \square

Corollary 5.2 *For almost all p , the p -curvature and the quantum Steenrod operation for $b \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ agree.*

Proof From [Theorem 5.1](#), we know that the difference $F_b - \Sigma_b$ is a nilpotent operator acting on $H_T^*(X; \Lambda)_{\text{loc}}[[t, \theta]]$ as a $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times T}^*(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ -module. In particular, it suffices to show that Σ_b and F_b are simultaneously diagonalizable. From [Theorem 2.20](#), Σ_b commutes with ∇_a and hence with $F_a = \nabla_a^p - t^{p-1}\nabla_a$ for any $a \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and also F_b and F_a commute by the flatness of ∇ . In particular, it suffices to show that there exists $a \in H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ for which F_a has simple spectrum (ie diagonalizable with distinct eigenvalues) to show that $F_a = \Sigma_a$.

To check that the eigenvalues of F_a are all distinct for some a , we consider the discriminant of F_a , which we denote by $D(F_a)$. Since the equivariant parameter $t \in H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^2(\text{pt})$ is not inverted, one can consider the specialization $D(F_a)|_{t=0} = D(a^*T^p *_T)$; if the operator $a^*T^p *_T$ has simple spectrum, then its discriminant would be nonzero, and $D(F_a)$ would also be nonzero. Therefore it suffices to check that $a^*T^p *_T$ has simple spectrum; this follows if $a *_T$ has simple spectrum.

Choose $a \in \operatorname{Lie}(T)^* \cong H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq H_T^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, which corresponds to a character $a: T \rightarrow S^1$. Consider the eigenvalues of $a *_T|_{q^A=0} = a \cup T$; these are the weights of the (Borel–Weil) line bundle corresponding to a , restricted to T -fixed points of X . This line bundle is given by (the pullback under $T^*(G/B) \rightarrow G/B$ of the) associated line bundle $G \times^B \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/B$, where we use the character $a: T \rightarrow S^1$ (and its unique extension to B) to make \mathbb{C} into a B -representation.

At the point $B/B \in X^T$, this weight is tautologically given by a itself, and at the other fixed points $wB/B \in X^T$, the weights are given by the Weyl group orbits $w \cdot a$ of a . When we choose a generically (eg in the dominant Weyl chamber), these weights are indeed distinct in $H_T^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $X = T^*(G/B)$ for all but finitely many primes p , as they lie in different Weyl chambers.

Therefore $a \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$ has simple spectrum, which implies that $a *_{\mathcal{T}}$ has simple spectrum. Hence F_a has simple spectrum, and both F_b and Σ_b which commute with F_a can be simultaneously diagonalized into the eigenbasis of F_a , so the desired result follows. \square

Remark 5.3 Proceeding as in Section 3.4, this result applies to further examples including $X = T^*(G/P)$ (the generalized Springer resolutions), quiver varieties of type A , or hypertoric varieties, which have

- (i) isolated X^T and hence a stable basis of homogeneous cohomological degree, and
- (ii) (jointly) simple spectrum of the classical (hence also quantum) multiplication operator.

The Springer resolution $T^*(G/B)$ in type A are in the intersection of the first two classes of examples.

There are examples such as $X = \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ with X^T discrete, with semisimple quantum multiplication operator [Okounkov and Pandharipande 2010, Section 4.1], such that the classical multiplication operator is not semisimple. For all but finitely many primes p , the result holds for these examples as well.

References

- [Abouzaid et al. 2024] **M Abouzaid, M McLean, I Smith**, *Gromov–Witten invariants in complex and Morava-local K -theories*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 34 (2024) 1647–1733 [MR](#)
- [Allday and Puppe 1993] **C Allday, V Puppe**, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 32, Cambridge Univ. Press (1993) [MR](#)
- [Biquard 1996] **O Biquard**, *Sur les équations de Nahm et la structure de Poisson des algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes*, *Math. Ann.* 304 (1996) 253–276 [MR](#)
- [Braverman et al. 2011] **A Braverman, D Maulik, A Okounkov**, *Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution*, *Adv. Math.* 227 (2011) 421–458 [MR](#)
- [Brion 2000] **M Brion**, *Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology*, *Michigan Math. J.* 48 (2000) 77–92 [MR](#)
- [Brion 2005] **M Brion**, *Lectures on the geometry of flag varieties*, from “Topics in cohomological studies of algebraic varieties” (P Pragacz, editor), Birkhäuser, Basel (2005) 33–85 [MR](#)
- [Çineli et al. 2022] **E Çineli, VL Ginzburg, BZ Gürel**, *From pseudo-rotations to holomorphic curves via quantum Steenrod squares*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2022 (2022) 2274–2297 [MR](#)
- [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997] **N Chriss, V Ginzburg**, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1997) [MR](#)
- [Danilenko 2020] **I Danilenko**, *Quantum cohomology of slices of the affine Grassmannian*, PhD thesis, Columbia University (2020) [MR](#) Available at <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2395527285>

- [Deodhar 1985] **V V Deodhar**, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings, I: A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells*, *Invent. Math.* 79 (1985) 499–511 [MR](#)
- [Etingof and Varchenko 2024a] **P Etingof**, **A Varchenko**, *p-curvature of periodic pencils of flat connections*, preprint (2024) [arXiv 2401.05652](#)
- [Etingof and Varchenko 2024b] **P Etingof**, **A Varchenko**, *Periodic and quasi-motivic pencils of flat connections*, preprint (2024) [arXiv 2401.00636](#)
- [Fukaya 1997] **K Fukaya**, *Morse homotopy and its quantization*, from “Geometric topology” (W H Kazez, editor), *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.* 2.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997) 409–440 [MR](#)
- [González et al. 2023] **E González**, **C Y Mak**, **D Pomerleano**, *Affine nil-Hecke algebras and quantum cohomology*, *Adv. Math.* 415 (2023) art. id. 108867 [MR](#)
- [Iritani 2017] **H Iritani**, *Shift operators and toric mirror theorem*, *Geom. Topol.* 21 (2017) 315–343 [MR](#)
- [Kaledin 2009] **D Kaledin**, *Geometry and topology of symplectic resolutions*, from “Algebraic geometry, II” (D Abramovich, A Bertram, L Katzarkov, R Pandharipande, M Thaddeus, editors), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2009) 595–628 [MR](#)
- [Kamnitzer et al. 2021] **J Kamnitzer**, **M McBreen**, **N Proudfoot**, *The quantum Hikita conjecture*, *Adv. Math.* 390 (2021) art. id. 107947 [MR](#)
- [Katz 1970] **N M Katz**, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 39 (1970) 175–232 [MR](#)
- [Katz 1972] **N M Katz**, *Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p-curvature and the Hodge filtration)*, *Invent. Math.* 18 (1972) 1–118 [MR](#)
- [Lee 2025] **J H Lee**, *Flat endomorphisms for mod p equivariant quantum connections from quantum Steenrod operations*, *Selecta Math.* 31 (2025) art. id. 15 [MR](#)
- [Liebenschutz-Jones 2020] **T Liebenschutz-Jones**, *An intertwining relation for equivariant Seidel maps*, preprint (2020) [arXiv 2010.03342](#)
- [Liebenschutz-Jones 2021] **T Liebenschutz-Jones**, *Shift operators and connections on equivariant symplectic cohomology*, preprint (2021) [arXiv 2104.01891](#)
- [Lonergan 2021] **G Lonergan**, *Steenrod operators, the Coulomb branch and the Frobenius twist*, *Compos. Math.* 157 (2021) 2494–2552 [MR](#)
- [Maulik and Okounkov 2019] **D Maulik**, **A Okounkov**, *Quantum groups and quantum cohomology*, *Astérisque* 408, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2019) [MR](#)
- [McDuff and Salamon 2012] **D McDuff**, **D Salamon**, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, 2nd edition, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2012) [MR](#)
- [McDuff and Tolman 2006] **D McDuff**, **S Tolman**, *Topological properties of Hamiltonian circle actions*, *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* (2006) 72826, 1–77 [MR](#)
- [Nakajima 1994] **H Nakajima**, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac–Moody algebras*, *Duke Math. J.* 76 (1994) 365–416 [MR](#)
- [Okounkov 2017] **A Okounkov**, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, from “Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory” (R Bezrukavnikov, A Braverman, Z Yun, editors), *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.* 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2017) 251–380 [MR](#)

- [Okounkov and Pandharipande 2010] **A Okounkov, R Pandharipande**, *Quantum cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*, Invent. Math. 179 (2010) 523–557 [MR](#)
- [Ritter 2014] **A F Ritter**, *Floer theory for negative line bundles via Gromov–Witten invariants*, Adv. Math. 262 (2014) 1035–1106 [MR](#)
- [Ritter and Živanović 2023] **A F Ritter, F Živanović**, *Filtrations on quantum cohomology from the Floer theory of \mathbb{C}^* -actions*, preprint (2023) [arXiv 2304.13026](#)
- [Schechtman and Varchenko 2019] **V Schechtman, A Varchenko**, *Solutions of KZ differential equations modulo p* , Ramanujan J. 48 (2019) 655–683 [MR](#)
- [Seidel 1997] **P Seidel**, *π_1 of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7 (1997) 1046–1095 [MR](#)
- [Seidel 2023] **P Seidel**, *Formal groups and quantum cohomology*, Geom. Topol. 27 (2023) 2937–3060 [MR](#)
- [Seidel and Smith 2010] **P Seidel, I Smith**, *Localization for involutions in Floer cohomology*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 20 (2010) 1464–1501 [MR](#)
- [Seidel and Wilkins 2022] **P Seidel, N Wilkins**, *Covariant constancy of quantum Steenrod operations*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 24 (2022) art. id. 52 [MR](#)
- [Shelukhin 2021] **E Shelukhin**, *Pseudo-rotations and Steenrod squares revisited*, Math. Res. Lett. 28 (2021) 1255–1261 [MR](#)
- [Smirnov and Varchenko 2025] **A Smirnov, A Varchenko**, *The p -adic approximations of vertex functions via 3D mirror symmetry*, Lett. Math. Phys. 115 (2025) art. id. 56 [MR](#)
- [Su 2017] **C Su**, *Restriction formula for stable basis of the Springer resolution*, Selecta Math. 23 (2017) 497–518 [MR](#)
- [Su and Zhong 2020] **C Su, C Zhong**, *Stable bases of the Springer resolution and representation theory*, from “Schubert calculus and its applications in combinatorics and representation theory” (J Hu, C Li, L C Mihalea, editors), Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 332, Springer (2020) 195–221 [MR](#)
- [Varchenko 2021] **A Varchenko**, *An invariant subbundle of the KZ connection mod p and reducibility of \widehat{sl}_2 Verma modules mod p* , Math. Notes 109 (2021) 386–397 [MR](#)
- [Varchenko 2022] **A Varchenko**, *Dynamical and q KZ equations modulo p^s : an example*, Math. Notes 112 (2022) 1003–1016 [MR](#)
- [Voisin 1996] **C Voisin**, *A mathematical proof of a formula of Aspinwall and Morrison*, Compositio Math. 104 (1996) 135–151 [MR](#)
- [Wendl 2010] **C Wendl**, *Lectures on holomorphic curves in symplectic and contact geometry*, preprint (2010) [arXiv 1011.1690](#)
- [Wilkins 2020] **N Wilkins**, *A construction of the quantum Steenrod squares and their algebraic relations*, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 885–970 [MR](#)

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University
Stanford, CA, United States

jaeheelee.math@gmail.com

Proposed: Leonid Polterovich
Seconded: Ciprian Manolescu, Jesper Grodal

Received: 10 March 2024
Revised: 15 March 2025

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITORS

Robert Lipshitz University of Oregon
lipshitz@uoregon.edu
András I Stipsicz Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
stipsicz@renyi.hu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Mohammed Abouzaid	Stanford University abouzaid@stanford.edu	Rob Kirby	University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu
Dan Abramovich	Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu	Bruce Kleiner	NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu
Ian Agol	University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu	Sándor Kovács	University of Washington skovacs@uw.edu
Arend Bayer	University of Edinburgh arend.bayer@ed.ac.uk	Urs Lang	ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch
Agnès Beaudry	University of Colorado Boulder agnes.beaudry@colorado.edu	Marc Levine	Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de
Mark Behrens	University of Notre Dame mbehren1@nd.edu	Jianfeng Lin	Tsinghua University linjian5477@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
Mladen Bestvina	University of Utah bestvina@math.utah.edu	Ciprian Manolescu	University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu
Martin R Bridson	University of Oxford bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk	Haynes Miller	Massachusetts Institute of Technology hmm@math.mit.edu
Tobias H Colding	Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu	Aaron Naber	Institute for Advanced Studies anaber@ias.edu
Simon Donaldson	Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk	Peter Ozsváth	Princeton University petero@math.princeton.edu
Yasha Eliashberg	Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu	Leonid Polterovich	Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il
Benson Farb	University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu	Colin Rourke	University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk
David M Fisher	Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu	Roman Sauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology roman.sauer@kit.edu
Mike Freedman	Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com	Stefan Schwede	Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de
David Gabai	Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu	Natasa Sesum	Rutgers University natasas@math.rutgers.edu
Stavros Garoufalidis	Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de	Gang Tian	Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu
Cameron Gordon	University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Jesper Grodal	University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk	Kirsten Wickelgren	Duke University kirsten.wickelgren@duke.edu
Misha Gromov	IHES and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr	Anna Wienhard	Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de
Mark Gross	University of Cambridge mgross@dpms.cam.ac.uk		

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$865/year for the electronic version, and \$1210/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

Volume 29 Issue 8 (pages 3921–4476) 2025

- Homological mirror symmetry for hypertoric varieties, II 3921
BENJAMIN GAMMAGE, MICHAEL MCBREEN and BEN WEBSTER
- Quantitative marked length spectrum rigidity 3995
KAREN BUTT
- A unified Casson–Lin invariant for the real forms of $SL(2)$ 4055
NATHAN M DUNFIELD and JACOB RASMUSSEN
- Instantons, special cycles and knot concordance 4189
ALIAKBAR DAEMI, HAYATO IMORI, KOUKI SATO, CHRISTOPHER SCADUTO and MASAKI TANIGUCHI
- Genus-one singularities in mean curvature flow 4299
ADRIAN CHUN-PONG CHU and AO SUN
- Quantum Steenrod operations of symplectic resolutions 4341
JAE HEE LEE
- The wheel classes in the locally finite homology of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, canonical integrals and zeta values 4389
FRANCIS BROWN and OLIVER SCHNETZ
- The chromatic colocalization of the spherical units is of height 1 4449
SHACHAR CARMELI
- Behrend’s function is not constant on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ 4469
JOACHIM JELISIEJEW, MARTIJN KOOL and REINIER F SCHMIERMANN