



Geometry & Topology

Volume 29 (2025)

Behrend's function is not constant on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$

JOACHIM JELISIEJEW

MARTIJN KOOL

REINIER F SCHMIERMANN

Behrend’s function is not constant on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$

JOACHIM JELISIEJEW

MARTIJN KOOL

REINIER F SCHMIERMANN

We prove that Behrend’s function is not constant on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ for $n \geq 24$.

14C05, 14N35; 14L30

1 Introduction

The Behrend function ν_X of a scheme X of finite type over \mathbb{C} is a constructible function introduced in the seminal paper [2]. It plays a central role in Donaldson–Thomas theory: if X is proper and admits a symmetric perfect obstruction theory, then the degree of the virtual cycle $[X]^{\text{vir}}$ equals the ν_X -weighted Euler characteristic of X . It has been conjectured that $\nu_{\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)}$ is constant, equal to $(-1)^n$ (see Ricolfi [15, Conjecture A] and Jelisiejew [10, Problem XXVI]), although the conjecture is older than these references. A reason for this expectation is the fact that the value of the Behrend function is indeed $(-1)^n$ on all smooth points of the smoothable component and on all monomial ideals of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$; see Maulik, Nekrasov, Okounkov and Pandharipande [11]. Constancy would imply that $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ is generically reduced, as explained in [15], which would solve a major open problem; see Coskun [4] and Fogarty [6]. The Behrend function is notoriously difficult to compute. For X 0-dimensional, an algebraic method was developed by Graffeo and Ricolfi [9]. In general, a powerful localization method was introduced by Behrend and Fantechi:

Theorem 1 [3, Theorem 3.4] *Let X be an affine \mathbb{C}^* -scheme with an isolated fixed point P . Assume that X admits an equivariant symmetric obstruction theory. Then $\nu_X(P) = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_X|_P}$.*

The assumption that X is affine can be removed by using (a very special case of) a theorem of Alper, Hall and Rydh [1, Theorem 4.4]. In our applications X embeds into a smooth variety, so one can use work of Sumihiro [18] instead.

For more on symmetric equivariant obstruction theories, we refer to Behrend [2], Behrend and Fantechi [3] and Ricolfi [14]. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ admits such an obstruction theory provided that \mathbb{C}^* lies inside the Calabi–Yau torus $\{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \mid t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1\}$. For any \mathbb{C}^* (in the usual 3-dimensional torus) acting on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ such that the fixed points are isolated, the fixed points correspond to monomial

ideals. For these, the value of the Behrend function is known to be $(-1)^n$, by combining [Theorem 1](#) with the virtual localization calculation of Maulik, Nekrasov, Okounkov and Pandharipande [[11](#)]. For a smooth point P of the smoothable component of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$, we have $\nu_{\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)}(P) = (-1)^n$. The tools above are enough to prove that $\nu_{\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)}$ is constant for $n \leq 4$, where $n = 4$ is the first interesting case.¹ Other than that, little is known. Here we provide a first example where $\nu_{\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)}$ is *not* constant.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 6) *For $n \geq 24$, there exist points of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ on which the Behrend function is equal to $-(-1)^n$. In particular, the Behrend function is not constant. For $n = 24$ one such point is $[I]$, where $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ is the ideal*

$$I = ((x^2) + (y, z)^2)^2 + (y^3 - x^3 z).$$

Although the point P of the theorem is a fixed point of a suitable \mathbb{C}^* -action, it is *nonisolated*, so we cannot apply [Theorem 1](#) directly. We circumvent this by taking a quotient by another transverse free \mathbb{C}^* -action such that P becomes an isolated fixed point on the quotient. Since this transverse \mathbb{C}^* is not in the Calabi–Yau torus, the usual symmetric obstruction theory is not equivariant and it is not clear whether it descends to the quotient. We construct another superpotential on the quotient, using an “average” of the usual superpotential, and apply [Theorem 1](#) to the symmetric obstruction theory obtained from this other superpotential.

Our argument is quite general and does not use much about the Hilbert scheme, only some equivariance properties of the superpotential; see [Section 3](#).

1.1 Parity of the tangent space

The constancy of the Behrend function is related to another conjecture disproved earlier this year. The conjecture predicted that for every point $P \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$,

$$(1-1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)}|_P \equiv n \pmod{2}.$$

The relation between the two conjectures is [Theorem 1](#). Equation (1-1) was established for monomial ideals in [[11](#)] and recently for homogeneous ideals (even with respect to some nonstandard gradings) by Ramkumar and Sammartano [[13](#)]. However, a counterexample to (1-1) was given by F Giovenzana, L Giovenzana, Graffeo and Lella [[8](#)].

Although their counterexample does not directly fit the hypotheses in [Section 3](#), our example in [Theorem 2](#) is very closely related and the present paper would not exist without their example.

1.2 Open questions

It is unknown whether $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ is irreducible² for $n = 12$; see also Jelisiejew [[10](#), Problem V]. Proving irreducibility for $n = 24$ seems hopeless, however in [[7](#)], F Giovenzana, L Giovenzana, Graffeo and Lella

¹As explained by Andrea Ricolfi in a private conversation.

²There is an ongoing project by Sema Gunturkun to prove this.

show that the point described in [Theorem 2](#) lies in the smoothable component. Due to the connection with reducedness (see Ricolfi [\[15\]](#)) it is natural to ask: *is* $\text{Hilb}^{24}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ *reduced at* $[I]$? We do not know the answer. In general, it is not known whether $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ is reduced; see [\[10, Problem I\]](#). See also [\[7\]](#) for results and questions for the Quot scheme.

Acknowledgements We thank Gavril Farkas and Rahul Pandharipande for organizing the workshop *Hilbert schemes of points* on September 28th, 2023 at Humboldt University, which prompted this work. In particular, Pandharipande asked whether the Giovenzana–Giovenzana–Graffeo–Lella counterexample also provides a counterexample to constancy of the Behrend function. We warmly thank Alessio Sammartano for the breakfast discussion between him, Jelisiejew and Kool, which laid the foundations for the main idea of this paper. We also warmly thank Michele Graffeo, in particular for the lunch discussion he had with Jelisiejew in Warsaw. We thank Jim Bryan, Andrea T Ricolfi, Balázs Szendrői and Richard P Thomas for comments on earlier versions of this article or related discussions. We thank the referees for valuable comments.

Jelisiejew is supported by National Science Centre grants 2020/39/D/ST1/00132 and 2023/50/E/ST1/00336. This work is partially supported by the Thematic Research Programme *Tensors: geometry, complexity and quantum entanglement*, University of Warsaw, Excellence initiative-research university, and the Simons Foundation award 663281 granted to the Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences for the years 2021–2023. Kool and Schmiermann are supported by NWO grant VI.Vidi.192.012 and Kool is also supported by ERC Consolidator Grant FourSurf 101087365.

2 Behrend function in the equivariant setup

All schemes we consider are of finite type over \mathbb{C} . Let P be a fixed point for an action of an algebraic group G on a scheme X . We say that P is *isolated* if P is equal as a scheme to a connected component of the fixed locus X^G . If P is smooth and G is linearly reductive, then P is isolated if and only if $\{P\}$ is a connected component of the topological space X^G . However, even on a normal variety X , it may happen that a singular point P is isolated topologically, but X^G is nonreduced at P .

For a scheme X over \mathbb{C} , the Behrend function [\[2\]](#) is a constructible function $\nu_X : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which gives rise to an “Euler characteristic description” of Donaldson–Thomas invariants when X is a moduli space of stable sheaves on a smooth projective Calabi–Yau 3-fold. The value $\nu_X(x)$ depends only on (any) étale neighbourhood of $x \in X$ [\[2, page 1309\]](#), and for a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ smooth at $x \in X$ we have $\nu_X(x) = (-1)^{\dim f^{-1}(f(x))} \nu_Y(f(x))$; see [\[2, page 1309; 17, Tag 039P\]](#). It is generally very hard to determine the value of the Behrend function at a given point; see [\[9\]](#) for results in the case when X is 0-dimensional.

2.1 Critical loci

For generalities on critical loci and symmetric obstruction theories, we refer to [\[3; 14\]](#). We recall some basics for completeness. For a smooth variety X and a global 1-form $\omega \in H^0(\Omega_X)$, we have a vanishing

scheme $Z(\omega)$. Over an open subset U on which we have a trivialization $\Omega_X|_U \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim X} \mathbb{C}_U dz_i$ with $\omega = \sum f_i dz_i$, the vanishing scheme $Z(\omega) \cap U = Z(\omega|_U)$ is given by $f_1 = \dots = f_{\dim X} = 0$. For a smooth morphism $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ and the form df , for some global regular function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(2-1) \quad \varphi^{-1}(Z(df)) = Z(d(f \circ \varphi)).$$

The following notation will be useful to us.

Definition 3 Let G be an algebraic group, X a G -variety and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a morphism. Let $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character. We say that f is a G -semi-invariant with weight χ if $f(g \cdot P) = \chi(g)f(P)$ for all $g \in G$ and $P \in X$.

Proposition 4 (critical locus of a semi-invariant) *Let G be an algebraic group and $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character that is nontrivial on the connected component³ of $1_G \in G$. Let B be a smooth variety and $f: G \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a G -semi-invariant with weight χ , where G acts trivially on B . Take $\bar{f} := f(1_G, -): B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$Z(df) = \text{pr}_B^{-1}(Z(d\bar{f}) \cap Z(\bar{f})),$$

where $\text{pr}_B: G \times B \rightarrow B$ is the projection.

We observe that if χ above is trivial, then $Z(df) = \text{pr}_B^{-1}(Z(d\bar{f}))$, so the claim fails.

Proof By equivariance of f , we have $f(g, b) = \chi(g)f(1, b) = \chi(g)\bar{f}(b)$. Therefore

$$(2-2) \quad df = \chi d\bar{f} + \bar{f}d\chi.$$

The character $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a surjection of algebraic groups, and hence it is a smooth morphism onto a curve, so its differential is nowhere vanishing and $Z(\bar{f}d\chi) = Z(\bar{f})$. The function χ is also nowhere vanishing, so $Z(\chi d\bar{f}) = Z(d\bar{f})$. The two summands in (2-2) are elements of distinct summands of the direct sum $\text{pr}_G^* \Omega_G \oplus \text{pr}_B^* \Omega_B \simeq \Omega_{G \times B}$, and thus

$$Z(df) = Z(\chi d\bar{f}) \cap Z(\bar{f}d\chi) = \text{pr}_B^{-1}(Z(d\bar{f}) \cap Z(\bar{f})),$$

as claimed. □

For examples of functions f such that $Z(df)$ does not contain $Z(f)$ and for related pathologies, see for example [12, Appendix A; 16].

2.2 General setup

Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a regular function on a smooth variety A . Let $M := Z(df)$ be the critical locus of f and $P \in M$ a closed point. We are interested in the value $\nu_M(P)$ of the Behrend function.

For any $d \geq 0$, let G be a d -dimensional affine algebraic group acting regularly on A . Let $T_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ be an algebraic torus acting regularly on A , and commuting with G , such that:

³In particular, the connected component of $1_G \in G$ is not 0-dimensional.

- f is T_0 -invariant and f is a G -semi-invariant (Definition 3).
- $\text{Stab}_G(P) = \{1\}$ and P lies in the T_0 -fixed locus.
- The T_0 -fixed part of the tangent space at P , $T_M|_P^{T_0} \subset T_M|_P$, is d -dimensional.

Suppose further that there is another linearly reductive group H acting regularly on A , and commuting with G and T_0 , such that P lies in the H -fixed locus and f is an H -semi-invariant with character $\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ which is *nontrivial* on the connected component of $1_H \in H$.

Theorem 5 *In the setup above, we have $v_M(P) = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_M|_P}$.*

Proof First we reduce to the case of A being a product $G \times B$. By assumption, the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{G \times H \times T_0}(P)$ is equal to $H \times T_0$, and hence is linearly reductive. A strong version of Luna's étale slice theorem [1, Theorem 4.5] provides an affine scheme B with a $(H \times T_0)$ -action, a $(H \times T_0)$ -fixed point $Q \in B$ and a $(G \times H \times T_0)$ -equivariant étale morphism $\mu: G \times B \rightarrow A$ mapping $P' := (1_G, Q)$ to P . Since A is smooth, it follows that B is a smooth variety. Let $f' := f \circ \mu: G \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mu^{-1}(Z(df)) = Z(df')$, we obtain an étale morphism $\mu: M' := Z(df') \rightarrow M$ and $v_{M'}(P') = v_M(P)$. We note that f' is T_0 -invariant, and is a G - and H -semi-invariant with the same characters as before. Moreover $\text{Stab}_G(P') = \{1\}$, P' lies in the T_0 - and H -fixed loci, and $T_{M'}|_{P'}^{T_0}$ is d -dimensional.

Let $\psi = f'(1_G, -): B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We claim that

$$(2-3) \quad \text{pr}_B^{-1}(Z(d\psi)) = Z(df').$$

Then $N := Z(d\psi) \subset B$ has a T_0 -equivariant symmetric perfect obstruction theory by [3, Example 1.19]. Moreover, since $T_{M'}|_{P'}^{T_0}$ is d -dimensional, it follows that $T_N|_Q^{T_0}$ is 0-dimensional and $Q \in N$ is an isolated T_0 -fixed point, so we may apply Theorem 1. Therefore, assuming (2-3), we get that $Z(df') \simeq G \times N$ and so we obtain

$$v_M(P) = v_{M'}(P') = (-1)^d v_N(Q) = (-1)^{d + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_N|_Q} = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{M'}|_{P'}} = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_M|_P},$$

which concludes the proof.

It remains to prove (2-3). Let $\sigma_H: H \times B \rightarrow B$ be the action map, so $\sigma_H(h, b) = h \cdot b$. The action map is smooth, since it is a composition of the isomorphism $(h, b) \mapsto (h, h \cdot b)$ and a projection $\text{pr}_B: H \times B \rightarrow B$ where H is smooth. Let $\sigma: G \times H \times B \rightarrow G \times B$ be the induced smooth map, defined by $\sigma(g, h, b) = (g, h \cdot b)$. We have the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G \times H \times B & & & & \\
 \downarrow \sigma & \nearrow f' & & & \\
 G \times B & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\
 \downarrow \text{pr}_B & & & & \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & & & \mathbb{C}
 \end{array}$$

Consider the functions $f'' = f' \circ \sigma$ and $\psi'' = \psi \circ \text{pr}_B \circ \sigma$. They are both $(G \times H)$ -semi-invariants with characters that are nontrivial on the connected component of $(1_G, 1_H) \in G \times H$. Moreover, the functions $f''(1_G, 1_H, -) = \psi''(1_G, 1_H, -)$ are both equal to ψ . Applying Proposition 4 to each of them, we obtain

$$Z(df'') = p^{-1}(Z(d\psi) \cap Z(\psi)) = Z(d\psi''),$$

where $p: G \times H \times B \rightarrow B$ is the projection to B . The maps σ and pr_B are smooth, so

$$\sigma^{-1}(Z(df')) = Z(df'') = Z(d\psi'') = \sigma^{-1}(Z(d(\psi \circ \text{pr}_B))) = \sigma^{-1} \text{pr}_B^{-1} Z(d\psi).$$

So the preimages of both sides of (2-3) under a smooth surjective map σ are equal. Hence both sides of (2-3) are equal. The map σ has a natural section, so the equality also follows by restricting to this section. \square

3 Applications to Hilbert schemes

Let $M := \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ be the Hilbert scheme of n points on \mathbb{A}^3 . We recall the well-known fact [5; 14; 19] that there exists a smooth variety A with regular function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $M = Z(df)$. Let V be an n -dimensional complex vector space and consider the quiver in Figure 1.

We consider representations of this quiver with dimension vector $(1, n)$, ie we put the vector space \mathbb{C} at the node ∞ and V at the node 0 . Representations with this dimension vector correspond to elements of $W = \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \text{End}(V) \oplus V$. The group $\text{GL}(V)$ acts on W by

$$g \cdot (X, Y, Z, v) := (gXg^{-1}, gYg^{-1}, gZg^{-1}, gv).$$

Let $\tilde{A} \subset W$ be the open subset consisting of representations satisfying

$$\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y, Z \rangle \cdot \langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = V.$$

Then $\text{GL}(V)$ acts freely on \tilde{A} , and the noncommutative Hilbert scheme is defined as the smooth variety

$$A := \text{ncHilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3) = \tilde{A}/\text{GL}(V).$$

The regular function $\tilde{f}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tilde{f}(X, Y, Z, v) = \text{tr}(X[Y, Z])$ is invariant under the action of $\text{GL}(V)$ and descends to a regular function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $M = Z(df)$. Furthermore, we have a regular action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ on \tilde{A} defined by

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot (X, Y, Z, v) = (t_1 X, t_2 Y, t_3 Z, v),$$

which descends to an action on A . Clearly

$$f((t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot P) = t_1 t_2 t_3 f(P) \quad \text{for all } (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \text{ and } P \in A.$$




Figure 1: Quiver for the noncommutative Hilbert scheme.

Theorem 6 For $n \geq 24$, there exist points of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ on which the Behrend function is equal to $-(-1)^n$. In particular, the Behrend function is not constant. For $n = 24$ one such point is $[I]$, where I is the following ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$:

$$I = ((x^2) + (y, z)^2) + (y^3 - x^3z).$$

Proof We write $M = \text{Hilb}^{24}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and $P = [I]$. By hand or using Macaulay2, one shows that $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/I$ is 24-dimensional and $T_M|_P = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]}(I, \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/I)$ is 99-dimensional; see the [appendix](#). In particular, $(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_M|_P} \neq (-1)^{24}$.

We want to apply [Theorem 5](#) with $P = [I]$, $M = \text{Hilb}^{24}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and A, f as above. For this, we define the tori

$$T_0 := \{(t^2, t, t^{-3}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3\}, \quad G := \{(1, 1, t) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3\}, \quad H := \{(t, t, 1) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3\}.$$

Clearly f is T_0 -invariant and is a G -semi-invariant with weight 1. Moreover, f is an H -semi-invariant with weight 2. We also note that $\text{Stab}_G(P) = \{1\}$ and P is T_0 -fixed and H -fixed. Again by hand or Macaulay2, we check that $T_M|_P^{T_0}$ is 1-dimensional in the [appendix](#). The monomial x^3z maps to a socle element in the quotient $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/I$ and $y^3 - x^3z$ is a minimal generator of I , so the transformation that sends $y^3 - x^3z$ to x^3z and other minimal generators to zero extends to a nonzero tangent vector in $T_M|_P$. This vector spans the 1-dimensional space $T_M|_P^{T_0}$.

Therefore, by [Theorem 5](#), $\nu_M(P) = -1$. Clearly any $Q \in M$ corresponding to a reduced scheme is a smooth point with $(3 \cdot 24)$ -dimensional tangent space, so it satisfies $\nu_M(Q) = 1$. Thus the Behrend function is not constant on M . To obtain the claim for $n \geq 24$, add a tuple of disjoint points in \mathbb{A}^3 to $[I]$: étale locally the neighbourhood of the scheme obtained in this way is a product of the neighbourhood of a disjoint tuple of points and the neighbourhood of $[I]$. By the properties of the Behrend function on étale neighbourhoods and smooth maps, we conclude the result. \square

Appendix Macaulay2 code

```
kk = QQ;
S = kk[x, y, z, Degrees=>{{1, 2}, {2, 1}, {3, -3}}];
I = ideal mingens((ideal(x^2) + ideal(y^2, y*z, z^2))^2 + ideal(y^3 - x^3*z));
tgI = Hom(I, S^1/I);
assert(rank source basis(S^1/I) == 24); -- Hilbert scheme of 24 points
assert(rank source basis(tgI) == 99); -- 99-dimensional tangent space
for i in -1000..1000 do (
  ress := rank source basis({i, 0}, tgI);
  if ress != 0 then
    print((i,0), ress);
);
```

Macaulay2 technical note One must work with an auxiliary grading, since $(2, 1, -3)$ raises a no left vector error. Alternatively, one can work with the purely nonnegative degrees $\{\{1, 0\}, \{1, 1\}, \{0, 3\}\}$.

References

- [1] **J Alper, J Hall, D Rydh**, *A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks*, Ann. of Math. 191 (2020) 675–738 [MR](#)
- [2] **K Behrend**, *Donaldson–Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry*, Ann. of Math. 170 (2009) 1307–1338 [MR](#)
- [3] **K Behrend, B Fantechi**, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, Algebra Number Theory 2 (2008) 313–345 [MR](#)
- [4] **I Coskun**, *Components of Hilbert schemes*, problem list, American Institute of Mathematics (2010) Available at <https://aimath.org/WWN/hilbertschemes/>
- [5] **A Dimca, B Szendrői**, *The Milnor fibre of the Pfaffian and the Hilbert scheme of four points on \mathbb{C}^3* , Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009) 1037–1055 [MR](#)
- [6] **J Fogarty**, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968) 511–521 [MR](#)
- [7] **F Giovenzana, L Giovenzana, M Graffeo, P Lella**, *Unexpected but recurrent phenomena for quot and Hilbert schemes of points*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 82 (2024) 145–170 [MR](#)
- [8] **F Giovenzana, L Giovenzana, M Graffeo, P Lella**, *A counterexample to the parity conjecture*, Algebr. Geom. 12 (2025) 173–188 [MR](#)
- [9] **M Graffeo, A T Ricolfi**, *On the Behrend function and the blowup of some fat points*, Adv. Math. 415 (2023) art. id. 108896 [MR](#)
- [10] **J Jelisiejew**, *Open problems in deformations of Artinian algebras, Hilbert schemes and around*, from “Deformation of Artinian algebras and Jordan type” (A Iarrobino, P Macias Marques, M E Rossi, J Vallès, editors), Contemp. Math. 805, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2024) 3–25 [MR](#)
- [11] **D Maulik, N Nekrasov, A Okounkov, R Pandharipande**, *Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory, I*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006) 1263–1285 [MR](#)
- [12] **D Maulik, R Pandharipande, R P Thomas**, *Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms*, J. Topol. 3 (2010) 937–996 [MR](#)
- [13] **R Ramkumar, A Sammartano**, *On the parity conjecture for Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, preprint (2023) [arXiv 2302.02204](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.02204)
- [14] **A T Ricolfi**, *An invitation to modern enumerative geometry*, SISSA Springer Series 3, Springer (2022) [MR](#)
- [15] **A T Ricolfi**, *A sign that used to annoy me, and still does*, J. Geom. Phys. 195 (2024) art. id. 105032 [MR](#)
- [16] **K Saito**, *Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Invent. Math. 14 (1971) 123–142 [MR](#)
- [17] *The Stacks project*, electronic reference (2005–) Available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>
- [18] **H Sumihiro**, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14 (1974) 1–28 [MR](#)
- [19] **B Szendrői**, *Non-commutative Donaldson–Thomas invariants and the conifold*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 1171–1202 [MR](#)

JJ: Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics, University of Warsaw
Warsaw, Poland

MK, RFS: Mathematical Institute, Utrecht University
Utrecht, Netherlands

j.jelisiejew@uw.edu.pl, m.kool1@uu.nl, r.f.schmiermann@uu.nl

Proposed: Jim Bryan

Seconded: Marc Levine, Mark Gross

Received: 21 May 2024

Revised: 5 November 2024

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITORS

Robert Lipshitz University of Oregon
lipshitz@uoregon.edu
András I Stipsicz Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
stipsicz@renyi.hu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Mohammed Abouzaid	Stanford University abouzaid@stanford.edu	Rob Kirby	University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu
Dan Abramovich	Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu	Bruce Kleiner	NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu
Ian Agol	University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu	Sándor Kovács	University of Washington skovacs@uw.edu
Arend Bayer	University of Edinburgh arend.bayer@ed.ac.uk	Urs Lang	ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch
Agnès Beaudry	University of Colorado Boulder agnes.beaudry@colorado.edu	Marc Levine	Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de
Mark Behrens	University of Notre Dame mbehren1@nd.edu	Jianfeng Lin	Tsinghua University linjian5477@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
Mladen Bestvina	University of Utah bestvina@math.utah.edu	Ciprian Manolescu	University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu
Martin R Bridson	University of Oxford bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk	Haynes Miller	Massachusetts Institute of Technology hbm@math.mit.edu
Tobias H Colding	Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu	Aaron Naber	Institute for Advanced Studies anaber@ias.edu
Simon Donaldson	Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk	Peter Ozsváth	Princeton University petero@math.princeton.edu
Yasha Eliashberg	Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu	Leonid Polterovich	Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il
Benson Farb	University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu	Colin Rourke	University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk
David M Fisher	Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu	Roman Sauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology roman.sauer@kit.edu
Mike Freedman	Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com	Stefan Schwede	Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de
David Gabai	Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu	Natasa Sesum	Rutgers University natasas@math.rutgers.edu
Stavros Garoufalidis	Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de	Gang Tian	Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu
Cameron Gordon	University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Jesper Grodal	University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk	Kirsten Wickelgren	Duke University kirsten.wickelgren@duke.edu
Misha Gromov	IHES and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr	Anna Wienhard	Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de
Mark Gross	University of Cambridge mgross@dpms.cam.ac.uk		

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$865/year for the electronic version, and \$1210/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

Volume 29 Issue 8 (pages 3921–4476) 2025

- Homological mirror symmetry for hypertoric varieties, II 3921
BENJAMIN GAMMAGE, MICHAEL MCBREEN and BEN WEBSTER
- Quantitative marked length spectrum rigidity 3995
KAREN BUTT
- A unified Casson–Lin invariant for the real forms of $SL(2)$ 4055
NATHAN M DUNFIELD and JACOB RASMUSSEN
- Instantons, special cycles and knot concordance 4189
ALIAKBAR DAEMI, HAYATO IMORI, KOUKI SATO, CHRISTOPHER SCADUTO and MASAKI TANIGUCHI
- Genus-one singularities in mean curvature flow 4299
ADRIAN CHUN-PONG CHU and AO SUN
- Quantum Steenrod operations of symplectic resolutions 4341
JAE HEE LEE
- The wheel classes in the locally finite homology of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, canonical integrals and zeta values 4389
FRANCIS BROWN and OLIVER SCHNETZ
- The chromatic colocalization of the spherical units is of height 1 4449
SHACHAR CARMELI
- Behrend’s function is not constant on $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^3)$ 4469
JOACHIM JELISIEJEW, MARTIJN KOOL and REINIER F SCHMIERMANN