On the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence
for the mod 3 cohomology
of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8

Masaki Kameko

Mamoru Mimura

We show that the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence converging to the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8 does not collapse at the E_2–level.

1 Introduction

One of the most powerful tools in the study of the mod p cohomology of classifying spaces of connected compact Lie groups is the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence. For a connected compact Lie group G, there is a strongly convergent first quadrant spectral sequence of graded $\mathbb{F}_p$–algebras

$$\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\colon E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}\}$$

such that $E_2^{p,q} = \operatorname{Cotor}_{H^*G}^{p,q}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $E_\infty = \operatorname{gr} H^*BG$. The Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence, sometimes mentioned as the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence, has been successful in computing the mod p cohomology of classifying spaces of connected compact Lie groups. In all known cases, for an odd prime p, the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence converging to the mod p cohomology of the classifying space of a connected compact Lie group collapses at the E_2–level. So, one might expect that this collapse should always occur. In this paper, however, we show that this is not the case for the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8.

Theorem 1.1 *The Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence converging to the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8 does not collapse at the E_2–level.*

We prove Theorem 1.1 by computing the ring of invariants of the mod 3 cohomology
of a nontoral elementary abelian 3–subgroup of E_8. According to Andersen, Grodal,
Møller and Viruel [1], up to conjugates, there are exactly two maximal nontoral
elementary abelian 3–subgroups, which they call $E_{E_8}^{5a}$ and $E_{E_8}^{5b}$. They described the
action of Weyl groups on these nontoral elementary abelian 3–subgroups explicitly.
In this paper, we compute the ring of invariants of the polynomial part of the mod 3
cohomology of $BE_{E_8}^{5a}$. By comparing degrees of algebra generators of the above ring of
invariants with those of algebra generators of the cotorsion product $\mathrm{Cotor}_{H^*E_8}(\mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_3)$
computed by Mimura and Sambe [2], we prove Theorem 1.1.

In Section 2, we set up a tool, Theorem 2.5, for the computation of certain rings of
invariants. In Section 3, we recall some facts on maximal nontoral elementary abelian
p–subgroups of simply connected compact simple Lie groups and their Weyl groups.
Then using Theorem 2.5, we compute some of rings of invariants of the above Weyl
groups. In Section 4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Invariant theory

In this section, we consider the invariant theory over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements
where $q = p^k$ with $k \geq 1$ and p is a prime number. For a finite set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$,
we denote by $\mathbb{F}_q\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ the n–dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ spanned by
$\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$.

Let us write $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for the set of invertible $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are in $\mathbb{F}_q$.
We also write $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for the set of $m \times n$ matrices whose entries are in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let
G be a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The group G acts on the n–dimensional vector space
$V = \mathbb{F}_q\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ as follows: for g in G,

$$g v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j,i}(g) v_j,$$

where $a_{i,j}(g)$ is the (i, j)–entry of the matrix g. We denote by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ the dual
basis of $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and write V^* for the dual of V, that is,

$$V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V, \mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{F}_q\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}.$$

We denote by $$\mathbb{F}_q[V] = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Then the group G acts on both V^* and $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$ as follows: for g in G,

$$(gx)(v) = x(g^{-1}v) \qquad \text{for } x \text{ in } V^*, v \text{ in } V;$$
$$g(y \cdot z) = (gy) \cdot (gz) \qquad \text{for } y, z \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_q[V].$$

Using entries of a matrix $g \in G$, we may describe the action of g as follows:

Proposition 2.1

$$gx_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(g^{-1})x_j.$$

Proof

$$(gx_i)(v_j) = x_i(g^{-1}v_j) = x_i\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,j}(g^{-1})v_k \right) = a_{i,j}(g^{-1}). \qquad \square$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.5 below, we recall a strategy of Wilkerson [4, Section 3]. It can be stated in the following form.

Theorem 2.2 *Let G be a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acting on V as above. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$. We have*

$$\mathbb{F}_q[V]^G = \mathbb{F}_q[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$$

if and only if the following three conditions hold:

(1) $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ *are G–invariant;*

(2) $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$ *is integral over the subalgebra R generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_n$;*

(3) $\deg f_1 \cdots \deg f_n = |G|$.

In the statement of Theorem 2.2, $\deg f$ is the homogeneous degree of f, that is, we define the degree $\deg x_i$ of indeterminate x_i to be 1. For the proof of this theorem, we refer the reader to Corollaries 2.3.2 and 5.5.4 and Proposition 5.5.5 in Smith's book [3] and Wilkerson's paper [4, Section 3].

To state Theorem 2.5, we need to set up the notation. Let $G_1 \subset GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $G_2 \subset GL_{n-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let $V_1 = \mathbb{F}_q\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ and $V_2 = \mathbb{F}_q\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$. Let G_1 and G_2 act on V_1 and V_2 by

$$g_1 v_i = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k,i}(g_1)v_k \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 v_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_{k,j-m}(g_2)v_{m+k},$$

respectively, where $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = m+1, \ldots, n$. The following proposition is immediate from the definition and Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.3 *The following hold:*

(1) *If $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[V_1]$ is G_1–invariant, then for all $g_1 \in G_1$, we have*

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{1,k}(g_1^{-1})x_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{m,k}(g_1^{-1})x_k\right) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_m);$$

(2) *If $f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q[V_2]$ is G_2–invariant, then for all $g_2 \in G_2$, we have*

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_{1,k}(g_2^{-1})x_{m+k}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_{n-m,k}(g_2^{-1})x_{m+k}\right) = f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n).$$

Suppose that G consists of the matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array}\right),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1 \subset GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $g_2 \in G_2 \subset GL_{n-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $m_0 \in M_{m,n-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We denote respectively by $\bar{G}_0$, $\bar{G}_1$, $\bar{G}_2$ the subgroups of G consisting of matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1_m & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & 1_{n-m} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1_{n-m} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1_m & 0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array}\right),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1$, $g_2 \in G_2$, $m_0 \in M_{m,n-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and 1_k is the identity matrix in $M_{k,k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We denote by $\bar{g}_1$, $\bar{g}_2$ the elements in $\bar{G}_1$, $\bar{G}_2$ corresponding to g_1, g_2, respectively.

Considering V_2^* as a subspace of V^*, let us define $\mathcal{O}X$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[V][X]$ by

$$\mathcal{O}X = \prod_{x \in V_2^*} (X + x).$$

The following proposition is well-known (see Wilkerson [4, Section 1]).

Proposition 2.4 *There are $c_{n-m,k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[V_2]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{O}X = \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{n-m-k} c_{n-m,k} X^{q^k},$$

where $c_{n-m,n-m} = 1$.

Now, we state our main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.5 *With the above assumption on G, suppose that rings of invariants $\mathbb{F}_q[V_1]^{G_1}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[V_2]^{G_2}$ are polynomial algebras*

$$\mathbb{F}_q[f_1, \ldots, f_m] \quad and \quad \mathbb{F}_q[f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n],$$

respectively, where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are homogeneous polynomials in m variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and $f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n$ are homogeneous polynomials in $(n-m)$ variables $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n$. Then the ring of invariants $\mathbb{F}_q[V]^G$ is also a polynomial algebra

$$\mathbb{F}_q[\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_m, f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n],$$

where for $i = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\overline{f}_i = f_i(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m).$$

To prove Theorem 2.5, we verify that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 2.2 hold for the polynomials $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_m, f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n$ in Theorem 2.5.

Step 1 To prove that $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_m, f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n$ are G–invariant, it suffices to prove the following propositions.

Proposition 2.6 *Suppose $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[V_1]^{G_1}$. Then $f(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m)$ is G–invariant in $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$.*

Proposition 2.7 *Suppose $f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q[V_2]^{G_2}$. Then $f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ is G–invariant in $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$.*

To simplify the argument, we use the following.

Lemma 2.8 *$f \in \mathbb{F}_q[V]$ is G–invariant if f is $\overline{G}_0$–invariant, $\overline{G}_1$–invariant and $\overline{G}_2$–invariant.*

Proof We may express each g in G as a product of elements $\overline{g}_0, \overline{g}_1, \overline{g}_2$ in $\overline{G}_0, \overline{G}_1, \overline{G}_2$ respectively, say $g = \overline{g}_0\overline{g}_1\overline{g}_2$ as follows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1_m & m_0 g_2^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & 1_{n-m} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1_{n-m} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1_m & 0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array} \right). \qquad \square$$

Firstly, we prove Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 2.9 *$\mathcal{O}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear homomorphism from V^* to $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$.*

Proof For α, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and for x, $y \in V^*$, we have $(\alpha x + \beta y)^{q^k} = \alpha x^{q^k} + \beta y^{q^k}$. By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(\alpha x + \beta y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{n-m-k} c_{n-m,k} (\alpha x + \beta y)^{q^k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{n-m-k} c_{n-m,k} (\alpha x^{q^k} + \beta y^{q^k}) \\
&= \alpha \mathcal{O} x + \beta \mathcal{O} y. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.10 *The following hold for $k = 1, \ldots, m$:*

(1) $\bar{g}_0 \mathcal{O} x_k = \mathcal{O} x_k$;

(2) $\bar{g}_1 \mathcal{O} x_k = \displaystyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} a_{k,\ell}(g_1^{-1}) \mathcal{O} x_\ell$;

(3) $\bar{g}_2 \mathcal{O} x_k = \mathcal{O} x_k$.

Proof (1) We have $\bar{g}_0 x_k = x_k + y$ for some y in V_2^*, and $\bar{g}_0 x = x$ for any x in V_2^*. Then $y + x$ ranges over V_2^* as x ranges over V_2^*. Hence, we have

$$
\bar{g}_0 \mathcal{O} x_k = \prod_{x \in V_2^*} (x_k + y + x) = \mathcal{O} x_k.
$$

(2) We have $\bar{g}_1 x_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} a_{k,\ell}(g_1^{-1}) x_\ell$, and $\bar{g}_1 x = x$ for any x in V_2^*. Hence, by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_1 \mathcal{O} x_k &= \prod_{x \in V_2^*} \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} a_{k,\ell}(g_1^{-1}) x_\ell + x \Big) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} a_{k,\ell}(g_1^{-1}) x_\ell \Big) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} a_{k,\ell}(g_1^{-1}) \mathcal{O} x_\ell.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) We have $\bar{g}_2 x_k = x_k$. Then $\bar{g}_2 x$ ranges over V_2^* as x ranges over V_2^*. Hence,

$$
\bar{g}_2 \mathcal{O} x_k = \prod_{x \in V_2^*} (x_k + \bar{g}_2 x) = \mathcal{O} x_k. \qquad \square
$$

Proof of Proposition 2.6 By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to show that

$$f(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m)$$

is $\bar{G}_i$–invariant for $i = 0, 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.10 (1) and (3), it is clear that the above element is invariant with respect to the action of $\bar{G}_i$ for $i = 0, 2$. By Lemma 2.10 (2) and by Proposition 2.3 (1), we have

$$\bar{g}_1 f(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m) = f\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{1,k}(g_1^{-1})\mathcal{O}x_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{m,k}(g_1^{-1})\mathcal{O}x_k \Big)$$
$$= f(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m). \qquad \square$$

Secondly, we prove Proposition 2.7.

Lemma 2.11 *The following hold for* $k = m + 1, \ldots, n$:

(1) $\bar{g}_0 x_k = x_k$;

(2) $\bar{g}_1 x_k = x_k$;

(3) $\bar{g}_2 x_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-m} a_{k-m,\ell}(g_2^{-1})x_{m+\ell}$.

Proof (1) and (2) are immediate from the definitions of $\bar{g}_0$ and $\bar{g}_1$. (3) follows immediately from the fact that

$$a_{k,\ell}(\bar{g}_2^{-1}) = a_{k-m,\ell-m}(g_2^{-1})$$

for $\ell \geq m + 1$ and that $a_{k,\ell}(\bar{g}_2^{-1}) = 0$ for $\ell \leq m$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Proposition 2.7 As in the proof of Proposition 2.6, it suffices to show that

$$f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n)$$

is $\bar{G}_i$–invariant for $i = 0, 1, 2$. It is clear from Lemma 2.11 (1)–(2) that the above element is $\bar{G}_i$–invariant for $i = 0, 1$. By Lemma 2.11 (3) and by Proposition 2.3 (2),

$$\bar{g}_2 f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n) = f\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_{1,k}(g_2^{-1})x_{m+k}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_{n-m,k}(g_2^{-1})x_{m+k} \Big)$$
$$= f(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n). \qquad \square$$

Step 2 We prove that the inclusion $R \to \mathbb{F}_q[V]$ is an integral extension, for R the subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$ generated by $\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_m, f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n$. Let S be the subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$ generated by $\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_m, c_{n-m,0}, \ldots, c_{n-m,n-m-1}$. Since $G_2 \subset GL_{n-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we see that $c_{n-m,k} \in R$. So, S is a subalgebra of R. Therefore, it suffices to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12 *For $k = 1, \ldots, n$, the element x_k is integral over S.*

Proof Firstly, we prove that x_k is integral over S for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. By Theorem 2.2, x_k is integral over $\mathbb{F}_q[V_1]^{G_1}$. Hence, there exists a monic polynomial $F(X)$ and polynomials φ_j's over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in m variables for $j = 0, \ldots, r-1$ such that

$$F(X) = X^r + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varphi_j(f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_m), \ldots, f_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m))X^j$$

and that $F(x_k) = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$.

Replacing x_i in the equality $F(x_k) = 0$ above by $\mathcal{O}x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, we have the following equality in $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$:

$$(\mathcal{O}x_k)^r + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varphi_j(f_1(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m), \ldots, f_m(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m))(\mathcal{O}x_k)^j = 0.$$

Let
$$F'(X) = (\mathcal{O}X)^r + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varphi_j(\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_m)(\mathcal{O}X)^j.$$

By Proposition 2.4, $F'(X)$ is a monic polynomial in $S[X]$. Since, by definition, $\bar{f}_i = f_i(\mathcal{O}x_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}x_m)$, it is clear that $F'(x_k) = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[V]$. Hence x_k is integral over S.

Secondly, we verify that x_k is integral over S for $k = m+1, \ldots, n$. By Proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{O}X$ is a monic polynomial in $S[X]$. It is immediate from the definition that $\mathcal{O}x = 0$ for $x \in V_2^*$. Therefore, x_k is integral over S. $\square$

Step 3 Finally, we compute the product of degrees of $\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_m, f_{m+1}, \ldots, f_n$. Since $\deg \mathcal{O}x$ is of degree q^{n-m} for $x \in V^*$, we have

$$\deg \bar{f}_i = \deg f_i \cdot q^{n-m}.$$

By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\deg f_1 \cdots \deg f_m = |G_1|$$

and
$$\deg f_{m+1} \cdots \deg f_n = |G_2|.$$

Therefore
$$\deg \bar{f}_1 \cdots \deg \bar{f}_m \cdot \deg f_{m+1} \cdots \deg f_n = \deg f_1 \cdots \deg f_n \cdot q^{m(n-m)}$$
$$= |G_1| \cdot |G_2| \cdot q^{m(n-m)}$$
$$= |G|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

3   Rings of invariants of Weyl groups

Let p be an odd prime. Let G be a compact Lie group. We write $H_* BG$ and $H^* BG$ for the mod p homology and cohomology of the classifying space BG of G. We write A for an elementary abelian p–subgroup of the compact Lie group G. Let

$$\Gamma H^* BG = H^* BG / \sqrt{0},$$

where $\sqrt{0}$ is the ideal of nilpotent elements in $H^* BG$. It is clear that $\Gamma H^* BA$ is a polynomial algebra

$$\Gamma H^* BA = \mathbb{F}_p[t_1, \dots, t_n],$$

where the cohomological degree of each t_i is 2 and n is the rank of A. We called it the polynomial part of $H^* BA$ in Section 1.

Choosing a basis for A, we may consider the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on A. We recall the relation between the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on A and the one on $\Gamma H^* BA$. For the sake of notational simplicity, let $V = H_1 BA$. On the one hand, V is identified with A as a $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–module, where $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on V as the induced homomorphism Bg_*. As an $\mathbb{F}_p$–algebra, $\Gamma H^* BA$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[V]$. As in the previous section, we may consider the $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–module structure on $\mathbb{F}_p[V]$. On the other hand, $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on $H^* BA$ by $gx = B(g^{-1})^* x$, where $x \in H^* BA$ and $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The relation between these actions is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1   *As a $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–module, $\Gamma H^* BA = \mathbb{F}_p[V]$.*

Proof   The Bockstein homomorphism induces an isomorphism of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–modules

$$\beta \colon H^1 BA \to \Gamma H^2 BA.$$

Since, for $x \in V^* = H^1 BA$, $v \in V = H_1 BA$, we have

$$(gx)(v) = (x)(g^{-1}v) = (x)(B(g^{-1})_* v) = (B(g^{-1})^* x)(v),$$

we see that $\Gamma H^2 BA = H^1 BA = V^*$ as $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–modules. Hence, we may conclude that $\Gamma H^* BA = \mathbb{F}_p[V]$ as $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$–modules. $\square$

The Weyl group $W(A) = N_G(A)/C_G(A)$ acts on A as inner automorphisms. So, we have the action of $W(A)$ on $\Gamma H^* BA$. Choosing a basis for A, we consider the Weyl group $W(A)$ as a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

In this section, we compute rings of invariants of Weyl groups of the polynomial part of the mod p cohomology of the classifying spaces of maximal nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups of simply connected compact simple Lie groups.

It is well-known that for an odd prime p, a simply connected compact simple Lie group G does not have nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups except for the cases $p = 5$, $G = E_8$, and $p = 3$, $G = F_4$, E_6, E_7, E_8. Andersen, Grodal, Møller and Viruel [1] described Weyl groups of maximal nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups and their action on the underlying elementary abelian p–subgroup explicitly for $p = 3$, $G = E_6$, E_7, E_8. Up to conjugate, there are only 6 maximal nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups of simply connected compact simple Lie groups. For $p = 5$, $G = E_8$ and for $p = 3$, $G = F_4$, E_6, E_7, there is one maximal nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroup for each G. We call them $E^3_{E_8}$, $E^3_{F_4}$, $E^4_{3E_6}$, $E^4_{2E_7}$, following the notation in [1]. For $p = 3$, $G = E_8$, there are two maximal nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups, say $E^{5a}_{E_8}$ and $E^{5b}_{E_8}$, where the superscript indicates the rank of elementary abelian p–subgroup. For a detailed account on nontoral elementary abelian p–subgroups, we refer the reader to [1, Section 8] and its references.

In this section, we compute

$$(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)}$$

for $A = E^4_{3E_6}$, $E^4_{2E_7}$, $E^{5a}_{E_8}$ using Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 3.2 *We have the following isomorphisms of graded $\mathbb{F}_p$–algebras:*

(1) *For $p = 5$, $G = E_8$, $A = E^3_{E_8}$, $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_5[x_{62}, x_{200}, x_{240}]$;*

(2) *For $p = 3$, $G = F_4$, $A = E^3_{F_4}$, $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}]$;*

(3) *For $p = 3$, $G = E_6$, $A = E^4_{3E_6}$, $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{54}]$;*

(4) *For $p = 3$, $G = E_7$, $A = E^4_{2E_7}$, $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{108}]$;*

(5) *For $p = 3$, $G = E_8$, $A = E^{5a}_{E_8}$, $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{324}]$,*

where the subscript of x indicates its cohomological degree.

Proof We use Theorem 2.5 for (3), (4) and (5). In these cases, we described G_1, G_2, V_1^*, V_2^*, $\mathbb{F}_p[V_1]^{G_1}$, $\mathbb{F}_p[V_2]^{G_2}$ in Theorem 2.5.

(1) The case $p = 5$, $G = E_8$. $A = E^3_{E_8}$. The Weyl group $W(A)$ is the special linear group $SL_3(\mathbb{F}_5)$. The ring of invariants of the special linear group is well-known as Dickson invariants. Then we have

$$\mathbb{F}_5[t_1, t_2, t_3]^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_5[x_{62}, x_{200}, x_{240}],$$

where $x^4_{62} = c_{3,0}$, $x_{200} = c_{3,2}$, $x_{240} = c_{3,1}$ and $c_{3,k}$'s are Dickson invariants described in Proposition 2.4.

(2) The case $p = 3$, $G = F_4$, $A = E_{F_4}^3$. The Weyl group $W(A)$ is the special linear group $SL_3(\mathbb{F}_3)$. The ring of invariants are known as Dickson invariants as before:

$$\mathbb{F}_3[t_1, t_2, t_3]^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}],$$

where $x_{26}^2 = c_{3,0}$, $x_{36} = c_{3,2}$, $x_{48} = c_{3,1}$ as above.

(3) The case $p = 3$, $G = E_6$, $A = E_{3E_6}^4$. The Weyl group $W(A)$ is the subgroup of $GL_4(\mathbb{F}_3)$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array} \right),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1 = \{1\}$, $g_2 \in G_2 = SL_3(\mathbb{F}_3)$, $m_0 \in M_{1,3}(\mathbb{F}_3)$. Consider $V_1^* = \mathbb{F}_3\{t_1\}$ and $V_2^* = \mathbb{F}_3\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$. Then we have $\mathbb{F}_3[V_1]^{G_1} = \mathbb{F}_3[t_1]$ and $\mathbb{F}_3[V_2]^{G_2} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}]$, where $x_{26}^2 = c_{3,0}$, $x_{36} = c_{3,2}$, $x_{48} = c_{3,1}$ and $c_{3,k}$'s are Dickson invariants in $\mathbb{F}_3[V_2]$. By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\mathbb{F}_3[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{54}],$$

where $x_{54} = \prod_{t \in V_2^*} (t_1 + t)$.

(4) The case $p = 3$, $G = E_7$, $A = E_{2E_7}^4$. The Weyl group $W(A)$ is a subgroup of $GL_4(\mathbb{F}_3)$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array} \right),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1 = GL_1(\mathbb{F}_3)$, $g_2 \in G_2 = SL_3(\mathbb{F}_3)$ and $m_0 \in M_{1,3}(\mathbb{F}_3)$. Consider $V_1^* = \mathbb{F}_3\{t_1\}$ and $V_2^* = \mathbb{F}\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$. Then we have $\mathbb{F}_3[V_1]^{G_1} = \mathbb{F}_3[t_1^2]$ and $\mathbb{F}_3[V_2]^{G_2} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}]$ as in (3). By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\mathbb{F}_3[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{108}],$$

where $x_{108} = \prod_{t \in V_2^*} (t_1 + t)^2$.

(5) The case $p = 3$, $G = E_8$, $A = E_{E_8}^{5a}$. The Weyl group $W(A)$ is a subgroup of $GL_5(\mathbb{F}_3)$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_1 & m_0 \\ \hline 0 & g_2 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} g_1 & m_0' & m_o'' \\ \hline 0 & g_2' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{array} \right),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1 = GL_1(\mathbb{F}_3)$, $g_2 = (g'_2, \epsilon) \in G_2 = SL_3(\mathbb{F}_3) \times GL_1(\mathbb{F}_3) \subset GL_4(\mathbb{F}_3)$ and $m_0 = (m'_0, m''_0) \in M_{1,4}(\mathbb{F}_3) = M_{1,3}(\mathbb{F}_3) \times M_{1,1}(\mathbb{F}_3)$. Consider $V_1^* = \mathbb{F}_3\{t_1\}$ and $V_2^* = \mathbb{F}_3\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}$. Then we have $\mathbb{F}_3[V_1]^{G_1} = \mathbb{F}_3[t_1^2]$ and

$$\mathbb{F}_3[V_2]^{G_2} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, t_5^2],$$

where x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48} are Dickson invariants in $\mathbb{F}_3[t_2, t_3, t_4]$ as in (3). By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\mathbb{F}_3[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5]^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{324}],$$

where $x_4 = t_5^2$ and $x_{324} = \prod_{t \in V_2^*}(t_1 + t)^2$. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let p be a prime, including $p = 2$. As in the previous section, let G be a compact Lie group and A an elementary abelian p–subgroup of G. We denote by $i_{A,G} \colon A \to G$ the inclusion. Then the induced homomorphism

$$\Gamma B i_{A,G}^* \colon \Gamma H^* BG \to \Gamma H^* BA$$

factors through the ring of invariants of the Weyl group $W(A)$.

Proposition 4.1 *The inclusion of the image*

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\Gamma B i_{A,G}^* \to (\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)}$$

is an integral extension.

Proof By the Peter–Weyl theorem, for a sufficiently large n, there exists an embedding of a compact Lie group G into a unitary group $U(n)$, say

$$i_{G,U(n)} \colon G \to U(n).$$

Through the induced homomorphism $Bi_{G,U(n)}^* \colon H^* BU(n) \to H^* BG$, the mod p cohomology $H^* BG$ is an $H^* BU(n)$–module. Recall here that

$$H^* BU(n) = \mathbb{F}_p[c_1, \dots, c_n],$$

where each c_i is a Chern class and $\deg c_i = 2i$. So, $H^* BU(n)$ is a Noetherian ring. It is well-known that $H^* BG$ is a finitely generated $H^* BU(n)$–module, so that $H^* BG$ is a Noetherian $H^* BU(n)$–module. We defined $\Gamma H^* BG$ as a quotient module of $H^* BG$. Therefore, $\Gamma H^* BG$ is also a Noetherian $H^* BU(n)$–module. Considering the case $G = A$, we may conclude that $\Gamma H^* BA$ is also a Noetherian $H^* BU(n)$–module. Since the ring of invariants $(\Gamma H^* BA)^{W(A)}$ is an $H^* BU(n)$–submodule of a

Noetherian $H^*BU(n)$–module ΓH^*BA, it is also a Noetherian $H^*BU(n)$–module. Hence, the ring of invariants $(\Gamma H^*BA)^{W(A)}$ is a finitely generated $H^*BU(n)$–module. Thus, the inclusion

$$\operatorname{Im}\Gamma Bi^*_{A,G} \to (\Gamma H^*BA)^{W(A)}$$

is an integral extension. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\square$

In the case $p = 3$, $G = E_8$, $A = E_{E_8}^{5a}$, the ring of invariants of the Weyl group $W(A)$ is computed in the previous section and it is

$$\mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{324}]$$

as a graded $\mathbb{F}_3$–algebra.

Now, we recall the computation of the cotorsion product

$$\operatorname{Cotor}_{H^*E_8}(\mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_3)$$

due to Mimura and Sambe in [2]. From this, we need just an upper bound for the degree of algebra generators of the cotorsion product. Namely, the following result which is immediate from the computation of Mimura and Sambe suffices to prove the noncollapsing of the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence.

Proposition 4.2 *As a graded $\mathbb{F}_3$–algebra, the cotorsion product*

$$\operatorname{Cotor}_{H^*E_8}(\mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_3)$$

is generated by elements of degree less than or equal to 168.

As a consequence of Proposition 4.2, if the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence collapsed at the E_2–level, then H^*BE_8 and ΓH^*BE_8 would be generated by elements of degree less than or equal to 168 as graded $\mathbb{F}_3$–algebras. The image of the induced homomorphism $\Gamma Bi^*_{A,E_8}$ would also be generated by elements of degree less than or equal to 168. Therefore, $\operatorname{Im}\Gamma Bi^*_{A,E_8}$ would be a subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}].$$

It is clear that x_{324} is not integral over $\mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}]$, and so the inclusion

$$\operatorname{Im}\Gamma Bi^*_{A,E_8} \to (\Gamma H^*BA)^{W(A)} = \mathbb{F}_3[x_4, x_{26}, x_{36}, x_{48}, x_{324}]$$

would not be an integral extension. This contradicts Proposition 4.1. Hence, the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence does not collapse at the E_2–level.

References

[1] **K Andersen**, **J Grodal**, **J Møller**, **A Viruel**, *The classification of p–compact groups for p odd* arXiv:math.AT/0302346

[2] **M Mimura**, **Y Sambe**, *On the cohomology mod p of the classifying spaces of the exceptional Lie groups II, III*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980) 327–379 MR582171

[3] **L Smith**, *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*, Research Notes in Mathematics 6, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA (1995) MR1328644

[4] **C Wilkerson**, *A primer on the Dickson invariants*, from: "Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982)", Contemp. Math. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1983) 421–434 MR711066

Toyama University of International Studies
Toyama 930-1292, Japan

Department of Mathematics, Okayama University
Okayama 700-8530, Japan

kameko@tuins.ac.jp, mimura@math.okayama-u.ac.jp