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We define secondary theories and characteristic classes for simplicial smooth manifolds generalizing Karoubi’s multiplicative $K$–theory and multiplicative cohomology groups for smooth manifolds.

As a special case we get versions of the groups of differential characters of Cheeger and Simons for simplicial smooth manifolds.

Special examples include classifying spaces of Lie groups and Lie groupoids.
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Introduction

We introduce and analyze secondary theories and characteristic classes for bundles with connections on simplicial smooth manifolds.

Classical Cheeger–Simons differential characters for simplicial smooth manifolds with respect to Deligne’s ‘filtration bête’ [4] of the associated de Rham complex were first introduced by Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7] in order to study the relation between the Cheeger–Chern–Simons invariants of vector bundles with connections on smooth algebraic varieties and the corresponding characteristic classes in Deligne–Beilinson cohomology.

In the case of a smooth manifold Dupont, Hain and Zucker showed that the group of Cheeger–Simons differential characters is isomorphic to the cohomology group of the cone of the natural map from Deligne’s ‘filtration bête’ on the de Rham complex of the manifold to the complex of smooth singular cochains.

In a series of fundamental papers Karoubi [14; 15] introduced multiplicative $K$–theory and multiplicative cohomology groups, defined for any filtration of the de Rham complex of a smooth manifold. By taking the filtration to be the ‘filtration bête’ it follows that Karoubi’s multiplicative cohomology groups are generalizations of the classical Cheeger–Simons differential characters in appropriate degrees.
The first author in [11] studied the relationship between differential characters and multiplicative cohomology further. He gave a definition of differential characters associated to an arbitrary filtration of the de Rham complex, which in the case of the ‘filtration bête’ reduces again to the classical case of Cheeger–Simons. The advantage is that this more general definition allows for the definition of an explicit map at the levels of cocycles between Karoubi’s multiplicative cohomology groups and Cheeger–Simons differential characters. It turns out that Karoubi’s multiplicative cohomology groups are the natural gadgets for systematically constructing and studying secondary characteristic classes.

Following a similar route in this article we generalize Karoubi’s multiplicative cohomology groups and the groups of Cheeger–Simons differential characters even further to simplicial smooth manifolds and arbitrary filtrations of the associated simplicial de Rham complex and study their relations. This allows for a wider range of applications, for example to classifying spaces of Lie groups and Lie groupoids.

The outline of the paper is as follows: After introducing the main background of simplicial de Rham and Chern–Weil theory, mainly following Dupont [5; 6] we introduce multiplicative cohomology groups and groups of differential characters for arbitrary filtrations of the simplicial de Rham complex. We discuss briefly some examples like classifying spaces of Lie groups and Lie groupoids. After introducing the concept of multiplicative bundles and multiplicative K–theory on smooth simplicial manifolds, we construct characteristic classes of elements in the multiplicative K–theory with values in multiplicative cohomology and in the groups of differential characters.

In a sequel to this paper we will use this approach to construct and study in a unifying way secondary theories and characteristic classes for smooth manifolds, foliations, orbifolds, differentiable stacks etc. basically for everything to which one can associate a groupoid whose nerve gives rise to a simplicial smooth manifold. Differential characters for orbifolds were already introduced by Lupercio and Uribe using closely the approach of Hopkins and Singer [13]. Chern–Weil theory for general etale groupoids was systematically analyzed by Crainic and Moerdijk [3] using a very elegant approach to Čech–de Rham theory, which especially applies well to leaf spaces of foliated manifolds. Working instead in the algebraic geometrical context using de Rham theory for simplicial schemes a similar machinery allows for defining secondary characteristic classes for Deligne–Mumford stacks, most prominently for the moduli stack of families of algebraic curves. Especially multiplicative cohomology with respect to the Hodge or Hodge–Deligne filtration will be of special interest here. Algebraic Cheeger–Simons differential characters for algebraic bundles with connections on smooth algebraic varieties were already studied systematically by Esnault [9; 10].
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1 Elements of simplicial de Rham and Chern–Weil theory

We recall the ingredients of simplicial de Rham and Chern–Weil theory as can be found in Dupont [5; 6] or Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7].

A simplicial smooth manifold $X_\bullet$ is a simplicial object in the category of $C^\infty$–manifolds. In other words a simplicial smooth manifold is a functor

$$X_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \to (C^\infty \text{– manifolds}).$$

We can think of $X_\bullet$ as a collection $X_\bullet = \{X_n\}$ of smooth manifolds $X_n$ for $n \geq 0$ together with smooth face and degeneracy maps

$$\varepsilon_i: X_n \to X_{n-1}, \quad \eta_i: X_n \to X_{n+1}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that the usual simplicial identities hold. These maps are functorially associated to the inclusion and projection maps

$$\varepsilon^j: \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n, \quad \eta^j: \Delta^{n+1} \to \Delta^n.$$  

For the differential geometric constructions on $X_\bullet$ as introduced below, the degeneracy maps play no role and everything can be defined for so-called strict simplicial or $\Delta$–manifolds [7].

The fat realization of a simplicial space $X_\bullet$ is the quotient space

$$\|X_\bullet\| = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} (\Delta^n \times X_n)/\sim,$$

where the equivalence relation is generated by

$$(\varepsilon^j \times \text{id})(t, x) \sim (\text{id} \times \varepsilon_i)(t, x)$$

for any $(t, x) \in \Delta^{n-1} \times X_n$. 

There are two versions of the de Rham complex on a simplicial manifold $X_\bullet$ (see Dupont [5; 6]).

The de Rham complex of compatible forms A simplicial smooth complex $k$–form $\omega$ on $X_\bullet$ is a sequence $\{\omega^{(n)}\}$ of smooth complex $k$–forms $\omega^{(n)} \in \Omega^k_{dR}(\Delta^n \times X_n)$ satisfying the compatibility condition

$$(\epsilon^i \times \text{id})^* \omega^{(n)} = (\text{id} \times \epsilon_i)^* \omega^{(n-1)}$$

in $\Omega^k_{dR}(\Delta^{n-1} \times X_n)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and all $n \geq 1$. Let $\Omega^k_{dR}(X_\bullet)$ be the set of all simplicial smooth complex $k$–forms on $X_\bullet$. The exterior differential on $\Omega^k_{dR}(X_\bullet)$ induces an exterior differential $d$ on $\Omega^k_{dR}(X_\bullet)$. We denote by $(\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet), d)$ the de Rham complex of compatible forms.

Note that $(\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet), d)$ is the total complex of a double complex $(\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet), d', d'')$ with

$$\Omega^k_{dR}(X_\bullet) = \bigoplus_{r+s=k} \Omega_{dR}^{r,s}(X_\bullet)$$

and $d = d' + d''$, where $\Omega_{dR}^{r,s}(X_\bullet)$ is the vector space of $(r+s)$–forms, which when restricted to $\Delta^n \times X_n$ are locally of the form

$$\omega|_{\Delta^n \times X_n} = \sum dt_{i_1} \ldots t_{i_r} j_1 \ldots j_s dx_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_{i_r} \wedge dx_{j_1} \wedge dx_{j_s},$$

where $(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ are barycentric coordinates of $\Delta^n$ and the $\{x_j\}$ are local coordinates of $X_n$. Furthermore the differentials $d'$ and $d''$ are the exterior differentials on $\Delta^n$ and $X_n$ respectively.

We remark that $\omega = \{\omega^{(n)}\}$ defines a smooth $k$–form on

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} (\Delta^n \times X_n)$$

and the compatible condition is the necessary and sufficient condition to define a form on the fat realization $\|X_\bullet\|$ of $X_\bullet$ in view of the generating equivalence relation for defining the quotient space $\|X_\bullet\|$.

The simplicial de Rham complex The de Rham complex $(A^*_dR(X_\bullet), \delta)$ of $X_\bullet$ is given as the total complex of a double complex $(A^{r,s}_dR(X_\bullet), \delta', \delta'')$ with

$$A^k_{dR}(X_\bullet) = \bigoplus_{r+s=k} A^{r,s}_{dR}(X_\bullet)$$
and $\delta = \delta' + \delta''$, where $A^r,s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet) = \Omega^s_{\partial R}(X_r)$ is the set of smooth complex $s$–forms on the smooth manifold $X_r$. Furthermore the differential

$$
\delta'': A^r,s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet) \to A^{r,s+1}_{\partial R}(X_\bullet)
$$

is the exterior differential on $\Omega^s_{\partial R}(X_r)$ and the differential

$$
\delta': A^r,s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet) \to A^{r+1,s}_{\partial R}(X_\bullet)
$$

is defined as the alternating sum

$$
\delta' = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \varepsilon^*_i.
$$

**The singular cochain complex** Given a commutative ring $R$ and a simplicial smooth manifold $X_\bullet$ we can also associate a singular cochain complex $(S^*(X_\bullet; R), \partial)$. It is defined as a double complex $(S^*(X_\bullet; R), \partial', \partial'')$ with

$$
S^k(X_\bullet; R) = \bigoplus_{r+s=k} S^{r,s}(X_\bullet; R)
$$

and $\partial = \partial' + \partial''$, where

$$
S^{r,s}(X_\bullet; R) = S^s(X_r; R)
$$

is the set of singular cochains of degree $s$ on the smooth manifold $X_r$.

There is an integration map

$$
\mathcal{I}: A^r,s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet) \to S^{r,s}(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C})
$$

which gives a morphism of double complexes and Dupont’s general version of the de Rham theorem (see [6, Proposition 6.1] for details) shows that this integration map induces natural isomorphisms

$$
H(A^s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet, \delta)) \cong H(S^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}), \partial) \cong H^*(||X_\bullet||; \mathbb{C}).
$$

Stoke’s theorem gives that there is also a morphism of complexes

$$
\mathcal{J}: (\Omega^s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet), \delta) \to (A^s_{\partial R}(X_\bullet), \delta)
$$

defined on $\Omega^s_{\partial R}(\Delta^n \times X_n)$ by integration over the simplex $\Delta^n$

$$
\omega^{(n)} \in \Omega^s_{\partial R}(\Delta^n \times X_n) \mapsto \int_{\Delta^n} \omega^{(n)}.
$$
A result of Dupont [5, Theorem 2.3 with Corollary 2.8] gives that this morphism is in fact a quasi-isomorphism, that is,
\[ H(\Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet), d) \cong H^*(A^*_dR(X_\bullet), \delta) \cong H^*(\|X_\bullet\|, C). \]

**The singular cochain complex of compatible cochains** Let \( R \) be a commutative ring. A compatible singular cochain \( c \) on \( X_\bullet \) is a sequence \( \{c(n)\} \) of cochains \( c^{(n)} \in S^k(\Delta^n \times X_n; R) \) satisfying the compatibility condition
\[ (\varepsilon^i \times \text{id})^* c^{(n)} = (\text{id} \times \varepsilon_i)^* c^{(n-1)} \]
in \( S^k(\Delta^{n-1} \times X_n) \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) and all \( n \geq 1 \). Let \( C^k(X_\bullet; R) \) be the set of all compatible singular cochains on \( X_\bullet \) and \( (C^*(X_\bullet; R), d) \) be the singular cochain complex of compatible cochains.

It follows that the natural inclusion of cochain complexes
\[ (C^*(X_\bullet; R), d) \rightarrow (S^*(X_\bullet; R), \partial) \]
is a quasi-isomorphism (see Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7]).

Integrating forms preserves the compatibility conditions and we therefore get an induced map of complexes [7]
\[ T': \Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet) \rightarrow C^*(X_\bullet; C) \]
fitting into a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{T'} & C^*(X_\bullet; C) \\
\downarrow{J} & & \downarrow{J} \\
A^*_dR(X_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{T} & S^*(X_\bullet; C)
\end{array}
\]
and which is again a quasi-isomorphism, that is, we have
\[ H^*(\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet), d) \cong H^*(C^*(X_\bullet; C), \partial). \]

We will use these compatible de Rham and cochain complexes for the definition of multiplicative cohomology and differential characters of \( X_\bullet \) in Section 2.

We recall finally the basic aspects of Chern–Weil theory in the simplicial context as developed by Dupont [6], and by Dupont, Hain and Zucker [7].
Principal bundles

Let $G$ be a Lie group. A principal $G$–bundle over a simplicial
smooth manifold $X_\bullet$ is given by a simplicial smooth manifold $P_\bullet$ and a morphism
$\pi_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ of simplicial smooth manifolds, such that

(i) for each $n$ the map $\pi_p: P_n \rightarrow X_n$ is a principal $G$-bundle over $X_n$

(ii) for each morphism $f: \Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^n$ of the simplex category $\Delta$ the induced map
$f^*: P_n \rightarrow P_m$ is a morphism of $G$–bundles, that is, we have a commutative
diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
P_n & \xrightarrow{f^*} & P_m \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_n & \xrightarrow{f^*} & X_m
\end{array}
$$

It follows, that if $\pi_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ is a principal $G$–bundle over $X_\bullet$, then $|\pi_\bullet|: |P_\bullet| \rightarrow |X_\bullet|$ is a principal $G$–bundle with $G$–action induced by

$$
\Delta^n \times P_n \times G \rightarrow \Delta^n \times P_n, \ (t, x, g) \mapsto (t, xg).
$$

Connections and curvature on principal bundles

A connection $\theta$ on a principal $G$–bundle $\pi_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ over a simplicial manifold $X_\bullet$ is a $G$–invariant 1–form (in the de Rham complex of compatible forms)

$$
\theta \in \Omega^1_{dR}(P_\bullet; g)
$$

taking values in the Lie algebra $g$ of $G$, on which $G$ acts via the adjoint representation, such that for each $n$ the restriction

$$
\theta^{(n)} = \theta|_{\Delta^n \times P_n},
$$

is a connection on the bundle $\pi_n: \Delta^n \times P_n \rightarrow \Delta^n \times X_n$. So $\theta = \{\theta^{(n)}\}$ can as well be interpreted as a sequence of $g$–valued compatible 1–forms.

The curvature $\Omega$ of the connection form $\theta$ is the differential form

$$
\Omega = d\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] \in \Omega^2_{dR}(X_\bullet; g).
$$

We have the following general theorem concerning the Chern–Weil map of a simplicial smooth manifold.

**Theorem 1.1** (Dupont [5, Proposition 3.7]) Let $\Phi$ be an invariant polynomial. The differential form $\Phi(\theta) \in \Omega^*_{dR}(P_\bullet)$ is a closed form and descends to a closed form in

$\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet)$ and its cohomology class represents the image of the class $\Phi \in H^*(BG; \mathbb{C})$ under the Chern–Weil map

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \to H^*(\|X_\bullet\|; \mathbb{C})$$

associated to the principal bundle $\pi_\bullet \colon P_\bullet \to X_\bullet$.

With an abuse of notation, in the sequel we will denote also by $\hat{\cdot} / \cdot$ the form in $\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet)$.

In order to classify differential geometric invariants on simplicial smooth manifolds it is useful to extend the constructions outlined above to the category of bisimplicial smooth manifolds. This is straightforward and we will only briefly describe the constructions (compare also Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7] and Dupont–Just [8]).

A bisimplicial smooth manifold $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ is a simplicial object in the category of simplicial smooth manifolds. In other words a bisimplicial smooth manifold is a functor

$$X_{\bullet\bullet} : \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op} \to (C^\infty - \text{manifolds}).$$

We can think of $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ as a collection $X_{\bullet\bullet} = \{X_{m,n}\}$ of smooth manifolds $X_{m,n}$ for $m, n \geq 0$ together with smooth horizontal and vertical face and degeneracy maps

$$\epsilon^i : X_{m,n} \to X_{m-1,n}, \quad \epsilon^j : X_{m,n} \to X_{m,n-1}$$

$$\eta^i : X_{m,n} \to X_{m+1,n}, \quad \eta^j : X_{m,n} \to X_{m,n+1}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, where the horizontal and vertical maps commute and the usual simplicial identities hold horizontally and vertically.

The fat realization of a bisimplicial space $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ is the quotient space

$$\|X_{\bullet\bullet}\| = \coprod_{m,n \geq 0} (\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n})/ \sim$$

where the equivalence relation is generated by

$$(\epsilon^i \times \text{id} \times \text{id})(t, s, x) \sim (\text{id} \times \text{id} \times \epsilon^i)(t, s, x)$$

for any $(t, s, x) \in \Delta^{m-1} \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n}$ and

$$(\text{id} \times \epsilon^i \times \text{id})(t, s, x) \sim (\text{id} \times \text{id} \times \epsilon^i)(t, s, x)$$

for any $(t, s, x) \in \Delta^m \times \Delta^{n-1} \times X_{m,n}$.

In a similar manner as for simplicial smooth manifolds, we can associate two de Rham complexes and a singular cochain complex for bisimplicial smooth manifolds.
The de Rham complex of compatible forms  A bisimplicial smooth $k$–form $\omega$ on $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ is a sequence $\{\omega^{(m,n)}\}$ of smooth complex $k$–forms
\[
\omega^{(m,n)} \in \Omega^k_d(\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n})
\]
satisfying the compatibility conditions
\[
(\varepsilon^i \times \text{id} \times \text{id})^* \omega^{(m,n)} = (\text{id} \times \text{id} \times \varepsilon^i)^* \omega^{(m-1,n)}
\]
in $\Omega^k_d(\Delta^{m-1} \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$, $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ as well as the compatibility conditions
\[
(\text{id} \times \varepsilon^j \times \text{id})^* \omega^{(m,n)} = (\text{id} \times \varepsilon^j)^* \omega^{(m-1,n)}
\]
in $\Omega^k_d(\Delta^m \times \Delta^{n-1} \times X_{m,n})$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$.

We denote the set of bisimplicial smooth $k$–forms by $\Omega^k_d(X_{\bullet\bullet})$. The exterior differential on $\Omega^*_d(\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n})$ induces an exterior differential $d$ on $\Omega^*_d(X_{\bullet\bullet})$ and we get a complex $(\Omega^*_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}), d)$, the de Rham complex of compatible forms on $X_{\bullet\bullet}$.

We note also that we can view the complex $(\Omega^*_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}), d)$ as a triple complex

\[
(\Omega^*_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}), d'_\Delta, d''_\Delta, d_X)
\]
with

\[
\Omega^k_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{r+s+t=k} \Omega^{r,s,t}_d(X_{\bullet\bullet})
\]
and $d = d'_\Delta + d''_\Delta + d_X$ where $\Omega^{r,s,t}_d(X_{\bullet\bullet})$ is the complex vector space of $(r+s+t)$–forms, which when restricted to $\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n}$ are locally of the form

\[
al|\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \times X_{m,n} = \sum a_{i_1 \ldots i_r j_1 \ldots j_s k_1 \ldots k_t} dt_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_{i_r} \wedge ds_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge ds_{j_s} \wedge dx_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_{k_t}
\]
with $(t_0, \ldots, t_m)$ and $(s_0, \ldots, s_n)$ the barycentric coordinates of $\Delta^m$ and $\Delta^n$ respectively and the $\{x_k\}$ are local coordinates of $X_{m,n}$.

The simplicial de Rham complex  Again we also have the simplicial de Rham complex $(\mathcal{A}^*(X_{\bullet\bullet}), \delta)$ of $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ given as the total complex of the triple complex

\[
(\mathcal{A}^{*,*,*}_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}), \delta', \delta'', \delta''')
\]
with

\[
\mathcal{A}^k_d(X_{\bullet\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{r+s+t=k} \mathcal{A}^{r,s,t}_d(X_{\bullet\bullet})
\]
with 

\[ A^{r,s,t}_{dR}(X_{\bullet\bullet}) = \Omega^t_{dR}(X_{r,s}) \]

and \( \delta = \delta' + \delta'' + \delta''' \).

**The singular cochain complex** For a commutative ring \( R \), we similarly define the singular cochain complex \( (S^*(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R), \partial) \) of \( X_{\bullet\bullet} \) given as the total complex of the triple complex \( (S^*, S^*, S^*(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R), \partial', \partial'', \partial''') \) with

\[ S^k(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R) = \bigoplus_{r+s+t=k} S^{r,s,t}(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R) \]

with

\[ S^{r,s,t}(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R) = S^t(X_{r,s}; R) \]

and \( \partial = \partial' + \partial'' + \partial''' \).

Using iteratively the arguments as in the case for simplicial smooth manifolds, we can finally also derive a de Rham theorem relating the cohomology of all the complexes defined with the cohomology of the realization of \( X_{\bullet\bullet} \), that is, we have natural isomorphisms

\[ H^*(\Omega^s_{dR}(X_{\bullet\bullet}), d) \cong H^*(A^s_{dR}(X_{\bullet\bullet}), \delta) \cong H^*(\|X_{\bullet\bullet}\|, \mathbb{C}). \]

We remark that we can also define again the singular cochain complex of compatible forms \( C^*(X_{\bullet\bullet}; R) \) in a same way as for \( X_{\bullet} \) using two compatibility conditions instead. Again we have quasi-isomorphisms as in the simplicial case between the various complexes.

Finally we can extend the elements of simplicial Chern–Weil theory to bisimplicial smooth manifolds, especially we remark that we can define principal \( G \)–bundles

\[ \pi_{\bullet\bullet} : P_{\bullet\bullet} \to X_{\bullet\bullet} \]

for the action of a Lie group \( G \) and a connection \( \theta \) on \( \pi_{\bullet\bullet} \) which is again a 1–form

\[ \theta \in \Omega^1_{dR}(P_{\bullet\bullet}; \mathfrak{g}). \]

The curvature \( \Omega \) of the connection form \( \nabla \) is again the differential form

\[ \Omega = d\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] \in \Omega^2_{dR}(X_{\bullet\bullet}; \mathfrak{g}). \]

Again a version of Dupont’s theorem (Theorem 1.1) holds in the context of bisimplicial manifolds. When defining characteristic classes we will need that given any connection on a principal bundle, we can construct a connection on (a model of) the universal bundle that pulls back to the given one. For the convenience of the reader, we recall the
theorem stating this fact and outline its proof, which for $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$–principal bundles is [7, Proposition 6.15].

**Theorem 1.2** Let $G$ be a Lie group, $X_\bullet$ a simplicial smooth manifold and $\pi_\bullet\colon P_\bullet \to X_\bullet$ a principal $G$–bundle with connection

$$\theta \in \Omega^1_{dR}(P_\bullet; \mathfrak{g}).$$

Then there exists a bisimplicial smooth manifold $B_{\bullet\bullet}$ of the homotopy type of the classifying space $BG$ and a $G$–principal bundle $U_{\bullet\bullet} \to B_{\bullet\bullet}$ with a connection $\theta_{U_{\bullet\bullet}} \in \Omega^1_{dR}(U_{\bullet\bullet}; \mathfrak{g})$ and a morphism $(\Psi, \psi)$ of $G$–bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & U_{\bullet\bullet} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_\bullet & \to & B_{\bullet\bullet}
\end{array}$$

such that $\Psi^* (\theta_{U_{\bullet\bullet}}) = \theta$.

**Proof** We define the bisimplicial manifold $U_{\bullet\bullet}$ as follows:

$$U_{\bullet\bullet} = (P_\bullet)^{m+1}$$

with face maps

$$d_i\colon U_{\bullet\bullet} \to U_{\bullet\bullet-1}$$

$$(u_0, \ldots, u_m) \mapsto (u_0, \ldots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i \leq m$$

and degeneracy maps

$$s_i\colon U_{\bullet\bullet} \to U_{\bullet\bullet+1}$$

$$(u_0, \ldots, u_m) \mapsto (u_0, \ldots, u_{i-1}, u_i, u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i \leq m.$$

The fat realization $\|U_{\bullet\bullet}\|$ of this simplicial manifold is contractible, that is, homotopy equivalent to a point (see Segal [19]). Now the free $G$–action on $P_\bullet$ induces a free $G$–action on $U_{\bullet\bullet}$. We define the classifying bisimplicial smooth manifold as the quotient

$$B_{\bullet\bullet} = U_{\bullet\bullet}/G.$$  

We get a principal $G$–bundle $U_{\bullet\bullet} \to B_{\bullet\bullet}$, the universal principle $G$–bundle and $\|B_{\bullet\bullet}\|$ is homotopy equivalent to the classifying space $BG$ of $G$.  
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We define now the connection \( \theta_{U_\bullet} \in \Omega^1_{dR}(U_\bullet; g) \) on the universal principal \( G \)–bundle by the compatible sequence \( \{\theta^{(p)}_{U_\bullet}\} \) defined as

\[
\theta^{(p)}_{U_\bullet} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} t_j p r_j^* (\theta) \in \Omega^1_{dR}(\Delta^p \times U \circ p; g)
\]

where \((t_0, \ldots, t_p)\) are the barycentric coordinates of \( \Delta^p \) and \( p r_j : U \circ p \to U \circ 0 \) the canonical projections.

The canonical isomorphism of simplicial manifolds \( P_\bullet \to U_\circ 0 \) gives a \( G \)–equivariant map

\[
\Psi : P_\bullet \to U_\circ
\]

and induces a map

\[
\psi : X_\bullet = P_\bullet / G \to B_\bullet = U_\circ / G.
\]

such that \((\Psi, \psi)\) pulls back the principal \( G \)–bundle \( P_\bullet \) over \( X_\bullet \) and the connection \( \theta \) as stated in the theorem.

\( \square \)

## 2 Multiplicative cohomology and differential characters

We will now define general versions of Karoubi’s multiplicative cohomology and Cheeger–Simons differential characters for smooth simplicial manifolds with respect to any given filtration of the simplicial de Rham complex. As a special case with respect to the ‘filtration bête’ we will recover the group of Cheeger–Simons differential characters for smooth simplicial manifolds as introduced by Dupont, Hain and Zucker [7].

In general, for a given complex \( C^* \) let \( \sigma_{\geq p} C^* \) denote the filtration via truncation in degrees below \( p \) and similarly \( \sigma_{< p} C^* \) denotes truncation of \( C^* \) in degrees greater or equal \( p \). Let us first consider the special case of Deligne’s ‘filtration bête’ [4] for the simplicial de Rham complex \( \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet) \) of a simplicial manifold \( X_\bullet \). The ‘filtration bête’ \( \sigma = \{ \sigma_{\geq p} \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet) \} \) is given as truncation in degrees below \( p \)

\[
\sigma_{\geq p} \Omega^j_{dR}(X_\bullet) = \begin{cases} 
0 & j < p, \\
\Omega^j_{dR}(X_\bullet) & j \geq p
\end{cases}
\]

We define the group of Cheeger–Simons differential characters as follows:

**Definition 2.1** (See Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7].) Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold and \( \Lambda \) be a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \). The group of \((\text{mod } \Lambda)\) differential characters of degree \( k \) of \( X_\bullet \) is given by

\[
\hat{H}^{k-1}(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda) = H^k(\text{cone}(\sigma_{\geq k} \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet) \to C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda))).
\]
Now let \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \} \) be any given filtration of the simplicial de Rham complex. We define the multiplicative cohomology groups of \( X_\bullet \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \) as follows:

**Definition 2.2** Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold, \( \Lambda \) be a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \} \) be a filtration of \( \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \). The multiplicative cohomology groups of \( X_\bullet \) associated to the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) are given by

\[
MH^r_n(X_\bullet; \Lambda; \mathcal{F}) = H^{2r-n}(\text{cone}(C^*(X_\bullet; \Lambda) \oplus F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \to C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}))).
\]

In order to be able to introduce secondary characteristic classes for connections whose curvature and characteristic forms lie in a filtration of the simplicial de Rham complex we introduce a more general version of differential characters associated to any given filtration. For smooth manifolds these invariants were studied systematically by the first author in [11].

**Definition 2.3** Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold, \( \Lambda \) be a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \} \) be a filtration of \( \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \). The groups of differential characters (mod \( \Lambda \)) of degree \( k \) of \( X_\bullet \) associated to the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) are given by

\[
\tilde{H}^k_r(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda; \mathcal{F}) = H^k(\text{cone}(\sigma_{\geq k} F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \to C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda))).
\]

The truncation in degrees below \( k \) of a complex which is already truncated in degrees below \( k \) leaves it unchanged, hence if \( \mathcal{F} \) is Deligne’s ‘filtration bête’ of \( \Omega^*(X_\bullet) \), we recover the ordinary groups of differential characters of \( X_\bullet \) as in Definition 2.1.

We have the following main theorem generalizing [11, Theorem 2.3].

**Theorem 2.4** Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold, \( \Lambda \) be a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \} \) be a filtration of \( \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \). There exists a surjective map

\[
\Xi : \tilde{H}^{2r-n-1}_r(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda; \mathcal{F}) \to MH^2_r(X_\bullet; \Lambda; \mathcal{F})
\]

whose kernel is the group of forms in \( F^r \Omega^{2r-n-1}_d(R)(X_\bullet) \) modulo those forms that are closed and whose complex cohomology class is the image of a class in \( H^*(X_\bullet; \Lambda) \).

**Proof** Let \( \mathcal{A}(F^r) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(F^r) \) denote the cone complexes used in the definition of the groups of differential characters and multiplicative cohomology associated to the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \), that is,

\[
\mathcal{A}(F^r) = \text{cone}(\sigma_{\geq k} F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \to C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda))
\]

\[
\mathcal{B}(F^r) = \text{cone}(C^*(X_\bullet; \Lambda) \oplus F^r \Omega^*_d(R)(X_\bullet) \to C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C})).
\]
There is a quasi-isomorphism between the cone complexes
\[ \text{cone}(\sigma_{\geq k} F^r \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda) \]
\[ \text{cone}(C^*(X_\bullet; \Lambda) \oplus \sigma_{\geq k} F^r \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C})) \]
and we get a short exact sequence of complexes
\[ 0 \rightarrow A(F^r) \rightarrow B(F^r) \rightarrow \sigma_{<k} F^r \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet) \rightarrow 0 \]
where \( \sigma_{<k} \) denotes truncation in degrees greater or equal to \( k \). The statement follows now from the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to this short exact sequence of complexes, because for \( k = 2r - n \) the cohomology group
\[ H^{2r-n}(\sigma_{<2r-n} F^r \Omega^*_{dR}(X_\bullet)) \]
is trivial. \( \square \)

We can identify the classical Cheeger–Simons differential characters with multiplicative cohomology groups as follows

**Corollary 2.5** Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold and \( \Lambda \) be a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \). There is an isomorphism
\[ \tilde{H}^{r-1}(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C}/\Lambda) \cong M H^r_2(X_\bullet; \Lambda; \sigma) \]

**Proof** This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.4 in the case when \( n = r \) and the filtration \( F \) is Deligne’s ‘filtration bête’ using the definitions and the quasi-isomorphism of complexes mentioned in the proof of Theorem 2.4. above \( \square \)

In Karoubi’s original approach [15; 16] towards multiplicative cohomology and differential characters for a smooth manifold \( M \) the complex of modified singular cochains
\[ \tilde{C}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{cone}(\Omega^*_d(M) \times S^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow S^*(M; \mathbb{C})) \]
is used instead. However this complex is chain homotopy equivalent to the usual complex of (smooth) singular cochains \( S^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) of \( M \). Again, also in the more general case of a simplicial smooth manifold \( X_\bullet \) we can define the complex of modified compatible cochains \( \tilde{C}^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{Z}) \) as
\[ \tilde{C}^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{cone}(\Omega^*_d(X_\bullet) \times C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{C})) \]
and proceed as in [16] or [11] for the definition of multiplicative cohomology. But we can then show that the resulting complex using modified cochains is quasi-isomorphic to the compatible cochain complex \( C^*(X_\bullet; \mathbb{Z}) \) used here and the resulting cohomology groups are isomorphic to the ones defined above.
As in the manifold case, it can be shown that the multiplicative cohomology groups fit in the following long exact sequence (compare [16]):

\[ \cdots \to H^{2r-n-1}(|X_\bullet|; \Lambda) \to H^{2r-n-1}(\Omega^*_d R^r(X_\bullet/F^r \Omega^*_d R(X_\bullet))) \]

\[ \to \text{MH}_n^{2r}(X_\bullet; \Lambda; F) \to \cdots \]

The groups of differential characters fit also in short exact sequences analogous to the ones in Cheeger–Simons [2], which are again a special case of the one above.

**Remark 2.6** There are several equivalent conventions for the cone of a map of complexes \( f^*: A^* \to B^* \). Throughout this paper we will use the following: \( \text{cone}(f^*)^n = A^n \oplus B^{n-1} \) with differential given by \( d(a, b) = (d_A a, (-1)^{n+1} f^n(a) + d_B b) \), where \( a \in A^n, b \in B^{n-1} \) and \( d_A, d_B \) are the differentials in the complexes \( A^*, B^* \) respectively.

We will discuss some applications to specific examples of simplicial smooth manifolds. In order to deal with them in a unified way, we briefly recall the notion of a nerve for a topological category (see Segal [19] or Dupont [5; 6]).

Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a topological category, that is, a small category such that the set of objects \( \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \) and the set of morphisms \( \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \) are both topological spaces such that

(i) the source and target maps

\[ s, t: \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \]

are continuous maps.

(ii) composition of arrows is continuous, that is, if

\[ \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})^\circ \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \]

is the set of pairs \( (f, f') \) with \( s(f) = t(f') \), the composition map \( \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})^\circ \to \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \) is a continuous map.

Associated to a topological category is a simplicial space \( \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_\bullet = \{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_n\} \), the nerve of the category \( \mathcal{C} \). We have

\[ \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_0 = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_1 = \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_2 = \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})^\circ \]

and in general

\[ \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})_n \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \times \cdots \times \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (n \text{ times}) \]

is the subset of composable strings of morphisms

\[ \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \bullet \leftarrow \cdots \]
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that is, an $n$–tuple $(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) \in N(C)_n$ if and only if $s(f_i) = t(f_{i+1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

The face maps $\varepsilon_i: N(C)_n \rightarrow N(C)_{n-1}$ are given as

$$
\varepsilon_i(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) = \begin{cases}
(f_2, \ldots, f_n) & i = 0, \\
(f_1, \ldots, f_i \circ f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_n) & 0 < i < n, \\
(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}) & i = n.
\end{cases}
$$

The degeneracy maps $\eta_i: N(C)_n \rightarrow N(C)_{n-1}$ are given as

$$
\eta_i(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = (f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}, \text{id}, f_i, \ldots, f_n), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.
$$

The nerve $N$ is a functor from the category of topological categories and continuous functors to the category of simplicial spaces.

**Classifying spaces of Lie groups** Let $G$ be a Lie group viewed as a topological category with one object, that is,

$$
\text{Ob}(G) = \ast, \quad \text{Mor}(G) = G.
$$

Furthermore let $\tilde{G}$ be the topological category defined as

$$
\text{Ob}(\tilde{G}) = G, \quad \text{Mor}(\tilde{G}) = G \times G.
$$

There is an obvious functor

$$
\gamma: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma(g_0, g_1) = g_0 g_1^{-1}
$$

inducing a map

$$
\gamma: N(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow N(G), \quad \gamma(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (g_0 g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_{n-1} g_n^{-1})
$$

between simplicial smooth manifolds and applying the fat realization functor gives the universal principal $G$–bundle

$$
\gamma_G: EG \rightarrow BG.
$$

Using the simplicial smooth manifold $N(G)$ we can now define

**Definition 2.7** Let $G$ be a Lie group, $\Lambda$ a subgroup of $C$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \{F \ast \Omega^*_{dR}(N(G)\ast)\}$ be a filtration of $\Omega^*_{dR}(N(G)\ast)$. The multiplicative cohomology groups of $BG$ associated to the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ are defined as

$$
MH_n^{2r}(BG, \Lambda, \mathcal{F}) = MH_n^{2r}(N(G)\ast, \Lambda, \mathcal{F})
$$
and the group of differential characters as
\[ \hat{H}^{k-1}_r(BG, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}) = \hat{H}^{k-1}_r(N(G)_\bullet, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}). \]

As in the general case we get the identification from Corollary 2.5 in the case of the ‘filtration bête’ \( \sigma \)
\[ \hat{H}^{r-1}_r(BG, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda) \cong MH^{2r}_n(BG, \Lambda, \sigma). \]

for the classical Cheeger–Simons differential characters. These invariants were studied in the case \( G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \) already by Dupont, Hain and Zucker [7].

We can generalize this situation much further in the following way.

Classifying spaces of Lie groupoids  Let \( G: X_1 \to X_0 \) be a Lie groupoid, that is, both the set of objects \( X_0 \) and the set of morphisms \( X_1 \) are \( C^\infty \)-manifolds and all structure maps are smooth and the source and target maps are both smooth submersions.

As in the example above we can apply the nerve functor to the category \( G \) and we get again a simplicial smooth manifold \( X_\bullet = \mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet \), where
\[ X_n = X_1 \times_{X_0} X_1 \times \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1 \quad (n \text{ factors}). \]

Let \( BG \) be the classifying space of \( G \), that is, the fat realization of the nerve \( BG = \|\mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet\| \). We define

**Definition 2.8**  Let \( G: X_1 \to X_0 \) be a Lie groupoid, \( \Lambda \) a subgroup of \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F^a \Omega^a_{dR}(\mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet) \} \) be a filtration of \( \Omega^a_{dR}(\mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet) \). The multiplicative cohomology groups of \( BG \) associated to the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) are defined as
\[ MH_n^{2r}(BG, \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{F}) = MH_n^{2r}(\mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet, \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{F}) \]
and the group of differential characters as
\[ \hat{H}^{k-1}_r(BG, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}) = \hat{H}^{k-1}_r(\mathcal{N}(G)_\bullet, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}). \]

Actions of Lie groups on smooth manifolds  Let \( X \) be a \( C^\infty \)-manifold and \( G \) a Lie group which acts smoothly from the left on \( X \). We have a Lie groupoid
\[ G: G \times X \to X \]
with source map \( s: G \times X \to X, s(g, x) = x \), target map \( t: G \times X \to X, t(g, x) = gx \) and composition map
\[ m: (G \times X) \times X (G \times X) \to G \times X, (g, hx)(h, x) = (gh, x). \]
This Lie groupoid was studied in detail by Getzler [12] in order to define an equivariant version of the classical Chern character. Applying the nerve functor again gives a simplicial manifold, the homotopy quotient, which allows us to define equivariant versions of the multiplicative cohomology invariants

**Definition 2.9** Let $G$ be a Lie group, acting smoothly on a smooth manifold $X$, $\Lambda$ a subgroup of $C$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_dR(\mathcal{N}(G \times X \to X)) \}$ be a filtration of $\Omega^*_dR(\mathcal{N}(G \times X \to X))$. The equivariant multiplicative cohomology groups of $X$ associated to the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ are defined as

$$MH^2n(X, \Lambda, \mathcal{F}) = MH^2n(\mathcal{N}(G \times X \to X), \Lambda, \mathcal{F})$$

and the group of equivariant differential characters as

$$\hat{H}^{k-1,r}_G(X, C/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}) = \hat{H}^{k-1}_r(\mathcal{N}(G \times X \to X), C/\Lambda, \mathcal{F}).$$

We will study secondary theories for classifying spaces of Lie groupoids and Lie groups in more detail in further papers in view of applications to foliations, differentiable orbifolds and differentiable stacks. Equivariant differential characters for orbifolds of type $[M/G]$ for a smooth manifold $M$ with smooth action of a Lie group $G$ with finite stabilizers were constructed and studied systematically by Lupercio and Uribe [18]. Their approach follows closely the modified definition of Cheeger–Simons cohomology due to Hopkins and Singer [13]. It would be interesting to study the relation of these invariants with the ones defined here, especially for different filtrations of the de Rham complex. Chern–Weil theory for principal $G$–bundles over a Lie groupoid was systematically analyzed by Laurent-Gengoux, Tu and Xu [17]. This framework can be applied to differentiable stacks using the general de Rham cohomology of differentiable stacks as developed by Behrend [1]. The framework developed here allows the definition of multiplicative cohomology groups and groups of differential characters for arbitrary filtrations of the de Rham complex of a differentiable stack, which will be the topic of a sequel to this paper.

### 3 Multiplicative bundles and multiplicative $K$–theory

Let $G$ be a Lie group and $\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_q$ be connections on the principal $G$–bundle $\pi_\bullet: P_\bullet \to X_\bullet$, that is,

$$\theta_j \in \Omega^1_dR(P_\bullet; \mathfrak{g})$$

such that for all $p$ and all $0 \leq j \leq q$

$$\Theta_{(p)}^j \in \Omega^1_dR(\Delta^p \times P_p; \mathfrak{g})$$
that is, the restrictions $\theta^{(p)}_j$ are connections on the bundle

$$\Delta^p \times P_p \rightarrow \Delta^p \times X_p.$$  

Fix $q$ and let $\Delta^q$ be the standard simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ parameterized by coordinates $(s_0, \ldots, s_q)$.

**Lemma 3.1** The form $\sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j s_j$ defines a (partial) connection on the pullback bundle $\pi^* P_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet \times \Delta^q$ where $\pi: X_\bullet \times \Delta^q \rightarrow X_\bullet$ is the projection.

**Proof** For each $m$ the sum $(\sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j s_j)^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j^{(m)} s_j$ is a connection on the bundle

$$\Delta^m \times P_m \times \Delta^q \rightarrow \Delta^m \times X_m \times \Delta^q.$$  

We have to verify that the compatibility conditions hold. The strict simplicial structure on $X_\bullet \times \Delta^q$ is given by the maps $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon_i \times \text{id}_{\Delta^q}$ for all $i$, where $\varepsilon_i$ is the map given by the strict simplicial structure on $X_\bullet$. We have

$$(\varepsilon^i \times \text{id}_{X_m \times \Delta^q})^* \left( \sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j^{(m)} s_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^q (\varepsilon^i \times \text{id}_{X_m})^* \theta_j^{(m)} s_j$$  

since the forms $\theta_j^{(m)} s_j$ are in

$$\Omega^1_{dR}(\Delta^m \times P_m; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^0_{dR}(\Delta^q) \subset \Omega^1_{dR}(\Delta^m \times P_m \times \Delta^q).$$  

Now, since the $\theta_j$ satisfy the compatibility conditions we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^q (\varepsilon^i \times \text{id}_{X_m})^* \theta_j^{(m)} s_j = \sum_{j=0}^q (\text{id}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \times \varepsilon_i)^* \theta_j^{(m-1)} s_j.$$  

As before we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^q (\text{id}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \times \varepsilon_i)^* \theta_j^{(m-1)} s_j = (\text{id}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \times \varepsilon'_i)^* \left( \sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j^{(m-1)} s_j \right),$$  

which proves the lemma. \qed

Given an invariant polynomial $\Phi$ of degree $k$, we denote by

$$\tilde{\Theta}_q(\Phi; \theta_0, \ldots, \theta_q) \in \Omega^k_{dR}(X_\bullet \times \Delta^q)$$
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the characteristic form (on \(X_\bullet\)) associated to \(\Phi\) for the (curvature of the) connection \(\sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j s_j\). When \(\Phi\) is understood, we will omit it from the notation for the above form. The closed form \(\tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q)\) is a family of compatible closed forms

\[
\tilde{\Theta}_q(m)(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) \in \Omega_{dR}^{2k}(\Delta^m \times X_m \times \Delta^q).
\]

We define a form \(\Theta_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) \in \Omega_{dR}^{2k-q}(X_\bullet)\) by

\[
\Theta_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) = \int_{\Delta^q} \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q).
\]

that is, \(\Theta_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q)\) is the family of forms

\[
\Theta_q(m)(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) = \int_{\Delta^q} \tilde{\Theta}_q(m)(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q).
\]

These forms satisfy the compatibility conditions since the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^*(\Delta^m \times X_m \times \Delta^q) & \xrightarrow{\int_{\Delta^q}} & \Omega^*(\Delta^m \times X_m) \\
\downarrow \left(\varepsilon' \times \text{id}_{X_m \times \Delta^q}\right)^* & & \downarrow \left(\varepsilon' \times \text{id}_{X_m}\right)^* \\
\Omega^*(\Delta^{m-1} \times X_m \times \Delta^q) & \xrightarrow{\int_{\Delta^q}} & \Omega^*(\Delta^{m-1} \times X_m) \\
\downarrow \left(\text{id}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \times \varepsilon'\right)^* & & \downarrow \left(\text{id}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \times \varepsilon\right)^* \\
\Omega^*(\Delta^{m-1} \times X_{m-1} \times \Delta^q) & \xrightarrow{\int_{\Delta^q}} & \Omega^*(\Delta^{m-1} \times X_{m-1})
\end{array}
\]

commutes and the forms \(\tilde{\Theta}_q(m)(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) \in \Omega_{dR}^{2k}(\Delta^m \times X_m \times \Delta^q)\) are compatible.

If we denote by \(t\) the variables on the simplices \(\Delta^p\), by \(x\) the variables on the manifolds \(X_p\) and by \(s\) the variables on the simplex \(\Delta^q\), then we can write with an obvious notation the differential of the complex \(\Omega_{dR}^*(\Delta^q \times X_\bullet)\) as \(d = d_t + d_{t,x}\), where \(d_{t,x}\) is the differential of the complex \(\Omega_{dR}^*(X_\bullet)\). Since \(\tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q)\) is closed, we have

\[
d_{t,x} \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) = -d_s \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q).
\]

Then we have

\[
d_{t,x} \Theta_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) = d_{t,x} \int_{\Delta^q} \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) \\
= \int_{\Delta^q} d_{t,x} \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q) = -\int_{\Delta^q} d_s \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q).
\]

By Stokes theorem the last integral is equal to \(-\int_{\Delta^q} \tilde{\Theta}_q(\theta_0,\ldots,\theta_q)\), so we have proven the analogue of [15, Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 3.2 In the complex $\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet)$ we have

$$d\Theta_q(\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_q) = -\sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i \Theta_{q-i}(\theta_0, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_i, \ldots, \theta_q).$$

In particular, for $q = 1$ we have that given any two connections on $P_\bullet$, $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$, and an invariant polynomial $\Phi$, we can write in a canonical way

$$\Phi(\theta_1) - \Phi(\theta_0) = d\Theta_1(\Phi; \theta_0, \theta_1).$$

In the sequel it will be convenient to consider formal series of invariant polynomials (like the total Chern class for example), which will then give under the Chern–Weil construction formal sums of differential forms. We now describe a notation (the same as in Karoubi [15; 16]) to write formulae in this setting in a compact way. We can write a formal series of invariant polynomials $\Phi$ as a sum $\sum_r \Phi_r$ with $\Phi_r$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet) \}$ be a filtration of the de Rham complex of $X_\bullet$ and

$$\omega = \sum_r \omega_r, \quad \eta = \sum_r \eta_r$$

be formal sums of forms in $\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet)$ (note that we do not require that $\omega_r$ is of degree $r$, actually most of the times this will not be the case). We will write $\omega = \eta \mod \mathcal{F}$ if and only if for each $r$ we have

(1) \[ \omega_r - \eta_r \in F^r \Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet). \]

We will also write $\omega = \eta \mod \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ when for each $r$ the above equation is satisfied modulo exact forms.

With this notation, all the constructions and proofs in the sequel will be formally the same both for the case of an invariant polynomial, where we will be dealing with forms, and for a formal series of invariant polynomials, in which case we will work with formal sums of forms homogeneous degree by homogeneous degree. Hence we will not distinguish between the two cases in what follows, writing just $\Phi$ and $\omega$ also for formal sums.

Definition 3.3 Let $\Phi$ be an invariant polynomial (or a formal series) and $\mathcal{F} = \{ F^r \Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet) \}$ a filtration of the de Rham complex of $X_\bullet$. An $(\mathcal{F}, \Phi)$–multiplicative bundle (or just a multiplicative bundle when $\mathcal{F}$ and $\Phi$ are understood) over $X_\bullet$ is a triple $(P_\bullet, \theta, \omega)$ where $P_\bullet$ is a principal $G$–bundle over $X_\bullet$, $\theta$ is a connection on $P_\bullet$ and $\omega$ is a (formal series of) form(s) in $\Omega^*_dR(X_\bullet)$ such that

$$\Phi(\theta) = d\omega \mod \mathcal{F}.$$
An isomorphism \( f : (P_\bullet, \theta, \omega) \rightarrow (P_\bullet', \theta', \omega') \) between two multiplicative bundles is an isomorphism \( f \) of the underlying bundles \( P_\bullet, P_\bullet' \) such that
\[
\omega' - \omega = \Theta_1(\theta, f^*\theta') \mod \mathcal{F}.
\]
As in Karoubi [15], using Proposition 3.2 to prove transitivity, it follows that isomorphism is an equivalence relation on multiplicative bundles, so we can make the following definition.

**Definition 3.4** We denote by \( MK^\Phi(X_\bullet; \mathcal{F}) \) the set of isomorphism classes of multiplicative bundles, the multiplicative K–theory of \( X_\bullet \) with respect to \( (\mathcal{F}, \Phi) \).

As usual we will omit \( \Phi \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) from the notation when there is no risk of ambiguity.

### 4 Characteristic classes for secondary theories

Let \( G \) be a Lie group. Given a principal \( G \)–bundle on a simplicial smooth manifold \( X_\bullet \) with a connection \( \theta \), and an invariant polynomial \( \Phi \) of homogeneous degree \( k \) (for the case of a formal series of invariant polynomials one has just to work degree by degree as in Section 3), we will associate characteristic classes with values in multiplicative cohomology groups and in groups of differential characters of \( X_\bullet \) associated to any filtration of the simplicial de Rham complex \( \Omega^*_d(X_\bullet) \). This will generalize the secondary characteristic classes introduced by Karoubi in the case of smooth manifolds [15].

Let \( X_\bullet \) be a simplicial smooth manifold, \( \mathcal{F} = \{ F' \Omega^*_d(X_\bullet) \} \) a filtration of the de Rham complex, \( G \) a Lie group and \( \Gamma = (P_\bullet, \theta, \eta) \) a \( (\mathcal{F}, \Phi) \)--multiplicative bundle.

The connection \( \theta \) on the principal \( G \)--bundle \( \pi_\bullet \) is given as 1–form
\[
\theta \in \Omega^1_d(P_\bullet; g)
\]
as in Section 1. The characteristic form of Theorem 1.1
\[
\Phi(\theta) \in \Omega^{2k}_d(X_\bullet)
\]
can also as usual be seen as a family of forms
\[
\Phi(\theta^{(n)}) \in \Omega^{2k}_d(\Delta^n \times X_n'; g)
\]
satisfying the compatibility conditions.

Since \( \Gamma \) is a multiplicative bundle we have
\[
(2) \quad \Phi(\theta) = d\eta + \omega,
\]
where the forms $\eta$ and $\omega$ are also compatible sequences $\eta = \{\eta^{(n)}\}$ and $\omega = \{\omega^{(n)}\}$ of differential forms with $\omega \in F^r \Omega^2_{dR}(X_*)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^1_{dR}(X_*)$.

The connection $\theta$ is the pullback of a connection $\theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}}$ on $U_{\bullet \bullet}$ by a map $\Psi$ as in Theorem 1.2. Let $\Lambda$ be again a subring of the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, and assume that $\Phi$ corresponds under the Chern–Weil map to a $\Lambda$–valued cohomology class.

For every $n$ the inclusion $i_n: B_{n_\bullet} \to B_{\bullet \bullet}$ induces isomorphisms in cohomology since $\|B_{n_*}\|$ is homotopy equivalent to the classifying space of $G$. For every $n$ we also have that $i^*_n \theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}} = \theta_{U_{n_\bullet}}$. Since the form $\Phi(\theta_{U_{n_\bullet}})$ represents the class of $\Phi$ by Theorem 1.1, and $i^*_n \Phi(\theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}}) = \Phi(\theta_{U_{n_\bullet}})$, we have that the form $\Phi(\theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}}) \in \Omega^*_{dR}(B_{\bullet \bullet})$ represents the class of $\Phi$. Then it follows that there exist a compatible cocycle $c \in C^{2k}(B_{\bullet \bullet}; \Lambda)$ and a compatible cochain $v \in C^{2k-1}(B_{\bullet \bullet}; \mathbb{C})$ such that we have

$$\delta v = \omega - \Phi(\theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}}),$$

(3)

(where for simplicity we omit from the notation the maps from $\Lambda$–cochains to complex cochains and the quasi-isomorphism with the de Rham complex).

Since $\Psi^*$ maps compatible cochains (in the bisimplicial sense) to compatible chains (in the simplicial sense), the triple $(\xi(\Gamma) = (\Psi^*(c), \omega, \Psi^*(v) + \eta)$ defines a cocycle in the cone complex

$$\text{cone}(C^*(X_*; \Lambda) \oplus F^r \Omega^2_{dR}(X_*) \to C^*(X_*; \mathbb{C}))$$

and since $\omega$ is a form of degree $2k$ also a cocycle in the cone complex

$$\text{cone}(C^*(X_*; \Lambda) \oplus \sigma_{\geq 2k} F^r \Omega^2_{dR}(X_*) \to C^*(X_*; \mathbb{C})).$$

The triple $(\xi(\Gamma)$ is a cocycle, because we have $\delta \Psi^* c = \Psi^* \delta c = 0$ since $c$ is a cocycle, by (2) we have $d\omega = d\Phi(\theta) + d^2 \eta = 0$, and also

$$\delta \Psi^* v = \Psi^* \delta v = \Psi^*(c - \Phi(\theta_{U_{\bullet \bullet}})) = \Psi^* c - \Phi(\theta) = \Psi^* c - (\omega + d\eta).$$

The class of $(\xi(\Gamma)$ is independent of the choices of $c$ and $v$: If $c'$ and $v'$ are other choices satisfying (3) then we must have $c - c' = \delta u$ and $\delta u = \delta(v - v')$. Then, since $H^{2k-1}(\|B_{n_*}\|; \mathbb{C})$ is trivial, there exists a compatible cochain $w$ such that $\delta w = u + (v - v')$. If $\xi'(\Gamma)$ is the cocycle obtained from the different choice, then $(\xi(\Gamma) - \xi'(\Gamma) = (\Psi^* \delta u, 0, \Psi^*(v - v')) = d(\Psi^* u, 0, \Psi^* w)$.

Hence for $2r - m = 2k$ we can define the class of the multiplicative bundle $(P_*, \theta, \eta)$ in the multiplicative cohomology group $MH^*_{2r}(X_*, \Lambda, \mathcal{F})$ to be the class of $(\xi(\Gamma)$.

Similarly the class of $(P_*, \theta, \eta)$ in $\tilde{H}^{2k-1}_{r}(X_*, \mathbb{C}/\Lambda; \mathcal{F})$ is the class of the triple $(\xi(\Gamma)$.
Proposition 4.1  The classes constructed above are characteristic classes of elements of $M K^F(X_\ast, F)$.

Proof  The naturality follows from the construction. We show that for two isomorphic multiplicative bundles $\Gamma = (P_\ast, \theta, \eta)$ and $\Gamma' = (P'_\ast, \theta', \eta')$ the cocycles $\xi(\Gamma)$ and $\xi(\Gamma')$ are cohomologous. We can assume $P_\ast = P'_\ast$, and write $\Phi(\theta) = \omega + d\eta$ and $\Phi(\theta') = \omega' + d\eta'$ with $\omega, \omega' \in F^r \Omega^{2k}(X_\ast)$. Since the two multiplicative bundles are isomorphic we have

$$\eta' - \eta = \Theta_1(\Phi; \theta, \theta') + \sigma + d\rho$$

with $\sigma \in F^r \Omega^{2k-1}(X_\ast)$. It follows that

$$\omega' - \omega = d(\Theta_1(\Phi; \theta, \theta') - (\eta' - \eta)) = -d(\sigma + d\rho).$$

Let $\Psi'$ be the map pulling back $\Gamma'$ given by Theorem 1.2, let $c', v'$ be the cochains used in the construction for the characteristic cycle $\xi(\Gamma').$ Then

$$\xi(\Gamma') - \xi(\Gamma) = (\Psi'^* c' - \Psi^* c, \omega' - \omega, \Psi'^* v' - \Psi^* v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \theta, \theta') + \sigma + d\rho)$$

is cohomologous to the triple $\zeta = (\Psi'^* c' - \Psi^* c, 0, \Psi'^* v' - \Psi^* v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \theta, \theta'))$ since the two differ by the coboundary of $(0, -\sigma, \rho)$. We can choose $c' = c$ and $v' = v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta_U^{\ast \ast})$ (where $\theta_U^{\ast \ast}$ is the connection pulling back to $\theta'$ under $\Psi'^*$ given by Theorem 1.2) satisfying (3), hence we have, using also the naturality of the first transgression form,

$$\zeta = (\Psi'^* c - \Psi^* c, 0, \Psi'^* v - \Psi^* v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta') + \Theta_1(\Phi; \theta, \theta')).$$

Using Proposition 3.2, we have that

$$\Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}) + d\Theta_2(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta') =$$

$$\Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta') + \Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta').$$

Since $\Psi'$ and $\Psi$ are homotopic, there is a chain homotopy $H$ between the induced cochain maps; using $H$ we can write $\zeta$ as

$$(\delta H c, 0, \delta H v + H \delta v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}) + d\Theta_2(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \theta')).$$

Then $\zeta$ is cohomologous to $(\delta H c, 0, + H \delta v + \Theta_1(\Phi; \Psi^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}, \Psi'^* \theta_U^{\ast \ast}))$, and since the transgression forms $\Theta_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ are compatible with chain homotopies (see Dupont–Hain–Zucker [7, Appendix A]), the former cocycle is cohomologous to $$(\delta H c, 0, H \delta v + H \Phi(\theta_U^{\ast \ast})) = d(H c, 0, 0)$$ because $H c = H(\delta v + \Phi(\theta_U^{\ast \ast}))$ by (3).  \qed
Remark 4.2  The above characteristic classes can be slightly generalized in the following way. Suppose $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$ are formal sums of invariant polynomials such that every $(\mathcal{F}, \Phi)$--multiplicative bundle is also a $(\mathcal{F}, \Phi')$--multiplicative bundle (the main example we have in mind is the Chern character $ch$ and the total Chern class $c$). Then by the same procedure we can construct the classes associated to $\Phi'$ of elements of $MK^\Phi(X_*; \mathcal{F})$ with values in the multiplicative cohomology groups and in the groups of differential characters associated to $\mathcal{F}$.
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