The action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on the modular invariants
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We compute the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on generators of algebras of invariants of subgroups of general linear group $GL_n = GL(n, \mathbb{F}_p)$ in the polynomial algebra with $p$ an odd prime number.
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1 Introduction

Let $p$ be an odd prime number. Denote by $GL_n = GL(n, \mathbb{F}_p)$ the general linear group over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $T_n$ the Sylow $p$–subgroup of $GL_n$ consisting of all upper triangular matrices with 1 on the main diagonal. For any integer $d$, $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$, we set

$$SL_n^d = \{ \omega \in GL_n; (\det \omega)^d = 1 \}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $SL_n^d$ is a subgroup of $GL_n$ and $SL_n^{p-1} = GL_n$. Each subgroup of $GL_n$ acts on $P_n = E(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in the usual manner. Here and in what follows, $E(\ldots)$ and $\mathbb{F}_p(\ldots)$ are the exterior and polynomial algebras over $\mathbb{F}_p$ generated by the indicated variables. We grade $P_n$ by assigning $\dim x_i = 1$ and $\dim y_i = 2$.

Dickson [1] showed that the invariant algebra $\mathbb{F}_p(y_1, \ldots, y_n)^{GL_n}$ is a polynomial algebra generated by the Dickson invariants $Q_{n,s}$, $0 \leq s < n$. Huỳnh Mùi [6; 7] computed the invariant algebras $P_n^{T_n}$ and $P_n^{SL_n^d}$ for $d = 1, p - 1, (p - 1)/2$. He proved that $P_n^{T_n}$ is generated by $V_m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, $M_{m,s_1,\ldots,s_k}$, $0 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_k < m \leq n$ and that $P_n^{SL_n^d}$ is generated by $L_n^d$, $Q_{n,s}$, $1 \leq s < n$, $M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)}$, $0 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_k < n$. Here $V_m$, $M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}$ are Mùi invariants and $L_n^d$, $Q_{n,s}$ are Dickson invariants (see Section 2). Note that $M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(1)} = M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}$. Let $A(p)$ be the mod $p$ Steenrod algebra and let $\tau_s, \xi_i$ be the Milnor elements of dimensions $2p^s - 1$, $2p^i - 2$ respectively in the dual algebra $A(p)^*$ of $A(p)$. In [5], Milnor showed that as an algebra,

$$A(p)^* = E(\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots).$$
Then $\mathcal{A}(p)^*$ has a basis consisting of all monomials

$$\tau S^R = \tau s_1 \ldots \tau s_k s_1^{r_1} \ldots s_m^{r_m},$$

with $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_k), 0 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_k, R = (r_1, \ldots, r_m), r_i \geq 0$. Let $S^R, R \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the dual of $\tau S^R$ with respect to that basis. Then $\mathcal{A}(p)$ has a basis consisting of all operations $St^S, R$. For $S = \emptyset, R = (r), St^{\emptyset}(r)$ is nothing but the Steenrod operation $P^r$. So, we call $St^S, R$ the Steenrod–Milnor operation of type $(S, R)$.

We have the Cartan formula

$$St^S, R(uv) = \sum_{S_1 \cup S_2 = S, R_1 + R_2 = R} (-1)^{\dim u + \ell(S_1)} \ell(S_2) (S : S_1, S_2) St^{S_1, R_1}(u) St^{S_2, R_2}(v),$$

where $R_1 = (r_1), R_2 = (r_2), R_1 + R_2 = (r_1 + r_2), S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset, u, v \in P_n, \ell(S_i)$ is the length of $S_i$ and

$$(S : S_1, S_2) = \text{sign} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \ldots & s_h & s_{h+1} & \ldots & s_k \\ s_{11} & \ldots & s_{1h} & s_{21} & \ldots & s_{2r} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $S_1 = (s_{11}, \ldots, s_{1h}), s_{11} < \ldots < s_{1h}, S_2 = (s_{21}, \ldots, s_{2r}), s_{21} < \ldots < s_{2r}$ (see Mui [7]).

We denote $St_u = St^{(u), (0)}, St_{\Delta_i} = St^{\emptyset, \Delta_i}$, where $\Delta_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 at the i–th place. In [7], Huỳnh Mùi proved that as a coalgebra,

$$\mathcal{A}(p) = \Lambda(St_0, St_1, \ldots) \otimes \Gamma(St^{\Delta_1}, St^{\Delta_1}, \ldots).$$

Here, $\Lambda(St_0, St_1, \ldots)$ (resp. $\Gamma(St^{\Delta_1}, St^{\Delta_1}, \ldots)$) denotes the exterior (resp. polynomial) Hopf algebra with divided powers generated by the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations $St_0, St_1, \ldots$ (resp. $St^{\Delta_1}, St^{\Delta_2}, \ldots$).

The Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$ acts on $P_n$ by means of the Cartan formula together with the relations

(i) $St^S, R x_k = \begin{cases} x_k, & S = \emptyset, R = (0), \\ y_k^p, & S = (u), R = (0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$

(ii) $St^S, R y_k = \begin{cases} y_k, & S = \emptyset, R = (0), \\ y_k^p, & S = \emptyset, R = \Delta_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (see Steenrod and Epstein [10] and Sum [13]). Since this action commutes with the action of $GL_n$, it induces actions of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ on $P_n^{Fr}$ and $P_n^{SL_n^d}$. 
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The action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on the modular invariants of subgroups of general linear group has been studied by many authors. This action for $S = \emptyset$, $R = (r)$ was explicitly determined by Hưng and Minh [2], Kechagias [3], Madsen and Milgram [4] and Sum [13]. Smith and Switzer [9], Wilkerson [14] and Neusel [8] have studied the action of $\text{St}^S$ on the Dickson invariants.

The purpose of the paper is to compute the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on generators of $P_n^T$ and $P_n^{SL_n}$. The rest of the paper contains three sections. In Section 2, we recall some needed information on the invariant theory and compute the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on the determinant invariants. In Section 3, we compute the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on Dickson and Mùi invariants. Finally, we give in Section 4 some formulae from which we can describe our results in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants.
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2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Let $(e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_m)$. $0 \leq k < m \leq n$, be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Following Dickson [1] and Mùi [6], we define

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_m] = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_m \\ y_1^{p^{e_{k+1}}} & \cdots & y_m^{p^{e_{k+1}}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{p^{e_m}} & \cdots & y_m^{p^{e_m}} \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

The precise meaning of the right hand side is given in [6]. For $k = 0$, we write

$$[0; e_1, \ldots, e_m] = [e_1, \ldots, e_m] = \det(y_1^{p^{ej}}).$$

In particular, we set

$$L_{m,s} = [0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, m], 0 \leq s \leq m \leq n,$$

$$L_m = L_{m,m} = [0, 1, \ldots, m-1],$$

$$M_{m,s_1, \ldots, s_k} = [k; 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, m-1].$$
for $0 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_k < m \leq n$. Each $[k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_m]$ is an invariant of $SL_n^1$ and $[e_1, \ldots, e_m]$ is divisible by $L_m$. Then, Dickson invariants $Q_{n,s}$ and Mùi invariants $M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)}$ and $V_m$ are defined by

$$Q_{n,s} = L_{n,s}/L_n, \quad M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} = M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}L_n^{d-1}, \quad V_m = L_m/L_{m-1}.$$  

Here, by convention, $L_0 = [\emptyset] = 1$.

Now we prepare some data in order to prove our main results.

**Lemma 2.2** Suppose $e_{\ell} \neq e_j$ for $\ell \neq j$, $u \geq 0$. Then we have

$$St_u[k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-1}[k-1; u, e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n], & k > 0, \\ 0, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof** Let $I$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $I'$ be its complement in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Writing $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ and $I' = \{i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}, \ldots, i_n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k$ and $i_{k+1} < i_{k+2} < \ldots < i_n$. We set

$$x_I = x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k},$$

$$[e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = [e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n](y_{i_{k+1}}, y_{i_{k+2}}, \ldots, y_{i_n}),$$

$$\sigma_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma_n,$$

where $\Sigma_n$ is the symmetric group on $n$ letters. Using the Laplace development, we have

$$[k; e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = \sum_I \text{sign} \sigma_I x_I[e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n].$$

From the relation (ii), we see that $St_u[e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = 0$. Then, using the Cartan formula, we get

$$(1) \quad St_u[k; e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = \sum_I \text{sign} \sigma_I St_u(x_I)[e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n].$$

In [7, 5.2], Mùi showed that

$$St_u(x_I) = (-1)^{k-1}[k-1; u](x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_k}, y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, \ldots, y_{i_k}).$$

Hence, using (1) and the Laplace development we obtain the lemma. □

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose $e_{\ell} \neq e_j$ for $\ell \neq j$, $e_{k+1} < e_j$ for $j > k + 1$. Then we have

$$St^\Delta[i; k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n] = \begin{cases} [k; i, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n], & e_{k+1} = 0, \\ 0, & e_{k+1} > 0. \end{cases}$$
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Proof Suppose $e_{k+1} > 0$. From the relations (i) and (ii) and the Cartan formula, we easily obtain

$$\text{St}^\Delta_i x_\ell = 0, \quad \text{St}^\Delta_i y_\ell^{p_{\ell j}} = p_{\ell j} y_\ell^{p_{\ell j} + p_{\ell} - 1} = 0,$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j = k + 1, k + 2, \ldots, n$. From this, we get

$$\text{St}^\Delta_i [k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n] = 0.$$

If $e_{k+1} = 0$ then $\text{St}^\Delta_i y_\ell^{p_{\ell j}} = 0$, for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j = k + 2, \ldots, n$, and

$$\text{St}^\Delta_i y_\ell^{p_{\ell k+1}} = \text{St}^\Delta_i y_\ell = y_\ell^{p_{\ell}^j}.$$

Hence, using the Laplace development and the Cartan formula, we obtain

$$\text{St}^\Delta_i [k; e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = [k; i, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n].$$

To make the paper self-contained, we give here a proof for the following theorem, which will be needed in the next section.

**Theorem 2.4** (Sum [12]) Let $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers and $0 \leq k < n$. We have

$$[e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1]$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p_{e_n}} + [e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p_{e_n}},$$

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p_{e_n}}.$$

Proof We recall Mùi’s formula in [6],

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n] = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_k} (-1)^{s_1 + \ldots + s_k} M_{n,s_1, \ldots, s_k} [s_1, \ldots, s_k, e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n] / L_n.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove the theorem for $k = 0$.

The proof of the theorem proceeds by induction on $n$. It is easy to see that the theorem holds for $n = 1$. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and the theorem holds for $n - 1$. 
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\[
[e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+t} [e_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_t, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] y_n^{p^{e_n + n - 1}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n + n - 1}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+t} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_t, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p^{e_n}} y_n^{p^{e_t}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n + n - 1}} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_t, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] y_n^{p^{e_t}} Q_n^{p^{e_n}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n + n - 1}} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p^{e_n}} y_n^{p^{e_n + s}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s} Q_n^{p^{e_n}} y_n^{p^{e_n + s}}.
\]

Since \( V_n = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} Q_{n-1,s} y_n^{p^{s}} \), the relation (2) holds for \( n \).

Now we prove the relation (3) for \( n \). By a direct calculation using (2) and the relation \( Q_{n,s} = Q_{n-1,s-1}^{p} + Q_{n-1,s} y_n^{p-1} \), we get

\[
[e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p^{e_n + 1}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p^{e_n + 1}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p^{e_n}} - [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] V_n^{(p-1)p^{e_n}}
\]

\[
+ \left( \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_n^{p^{e_n}} + [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p^{e_n}} \right) V_n^{(p-1)p^{e_n}}.
\]
Combining this equality and the relation (2) we obtain
\[ [e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n,s}^{p^{en}} \]
\[ -(-1)^{n} [e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_n] Q_{n-1,0}^{p^{en}} V^{(p-1)p^{en}}. \]

Since \( Q_{n,0} = Q_{n-1,0} V_n^{p^{-1}} \), the relation (3) holds for \( n \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

\[ \square \]

3 Main results

Observe that using the Cartan formula and the relations (i) and (ii), we obtain \( Stx = 0 \) for either \( x = Q_{n,s}^{(d)} \) or \( x = V_n \). So, in this section we only compute \( St^\Delta_i x \) for \( x = Q_{n,s}, V_n, M_{n,s_1, \ldots, s_k} \) and \( Stu M_{n,s_1, \ldots, s_k} \).

**Theorem 3.1** For any integers \( i, n, s \) with \( 0 \leq s < n \) and \( i \geq 1 \), we have
\[ St^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = (-1)^n [0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, i] L_n^{p^{-2}}. \]

**Proof** Since \( L_n,s = L_n Q_{n,s} \), using the Cartan formula, we get
\[ (4) \quad St^\Delta_i L_n,s = L_n St^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} + Q_{n,s} St^\Delta_i L_n. \]

According to Lemma 2.3, we have
\[ St^\Delta_i L_n,s = \begin{cases} [i, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n], & s > 0, \\ 0, & s = 0. \end{cases} \]

In particular, \( St^\Delta_i L_n = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1] \).

If \( s = 0 \) then \( St^\Delta_i L_n,s = 0 \) and
\[ St^\Delta_i L_n = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1] \]
\[ = (-1)^{n-1}[1, 2, \ldots, n-1, i]. \]

Combining (4) and the above equalities, we get
\[ St^\Delta_i Q_{n,0} = -(St^\Delta_i L_n) Q_{n,0}/L_n \]
\[ = (-1)^{n}[1, 2, \ldots, n-1, i] Q_{n,0}/L_n. \]

Since \( Q_{n,0} = L_n^{p^{-1}} \), the theorem holds.
If \( s > 0 \) then \( \text{St}^\Delta_i L_n = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1] \) and \( \text{St}^\Delta_i L_{n,s} = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n] \). Hence, using Theorem 2.4, we get

\[
\text{St}^\Delta_i L_{n,s} = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+t}[i, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, t]Q_{n,t}
\]
\[= (-1)^{n-1}[i, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, 0]Q_{n,0} \]
\[+ (-1)^{n-1+s}[i, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, s]Q_{n,s} \]
\[= [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1]Q_{n,s} - [i, 0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1]Q_{n,0}. \]

Combining (4), the above equalities and the relation \( Q_{n,0} = L_n^{p-1} \), we get

\[
\text{St}^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = (\text{St}^\Delta_i L_{n,s} - Q_{n,s} \text{St}^\Delta_i L_n)/L_n
\]
\[= [-i, 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1]Q_{n,0}/L_n
\]
\[= (-1)^n[0, 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, 1]L_n^{p-2}. \]

The following was proved in Smith and Switzer [9] by another method.

**Corollary 3.2** (Smith–Switzer [9]) For any integers \( i, n, s \) with \( 0 \leq s < n \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have

\[
\text{St}^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s-1}Q_{n,0}, & i = s > 0, \\ (-1)^nQ_{n,0}Q_{n,s}, & i = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof** Suppose \( i = s \). According to Theorem 3.1, we have

\[
\text{St}^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = (-1)^n[0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, s]L_n^{p-2}
\]
\[= (-1)^{s-1}[0, 1, \ldots, n-1]L_n^{p-2}
\]
\[= (-1)^{s-1}L_n^{p-1} = (-1)^{s-1}Q_{n,0}. \]

If \( i < n \) and \( i \neq s \) then \([0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, i] = 0\). Hence, \( \text{St}^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = 0 \).

If \( i = n \) then

\[
\text{St}^\Delta_i Q_{n,s} = (-1)^n[0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, n]L_n^{p-2}
\]
\[= (-1)^n L_{n,s}L_n^{p-2}
\]
\[= (-1)^n L_n^{p-1}Q_{n,s}
\]
\[= (-1)^n Q_{n,0}Q_{n,s}. \]

The corollary follows. \( \square \)
Now, we show that our formula in Theorem 3.1 implies Wilkerson’s formula in [14]. To do this, we need the following.

**Proposition 3.3** (Sum [12]) Let \((e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n)\) be a sequence of nonnegative integers with \(0 \leq k < n\) and \(e_\ell \neq e_j\) for \(\ell \neq j\). Then

\[
P^i [k; e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n] = \begin{cases} \left[k; e_{k+1} + e_{k+1}, e_{k+2} + e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_n + e_n\right], & \text{if } r = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} e_j p^{\epsilon_j} \text{ with } \epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

This proposition can easily be proved by using the Laplace development, the Cartan formula and the relations (i) and (ii).

From the formula in Theorem 3.1, one gets Wilkerson’s formula as follows.

**Theorem 3.4** (Wilkerson [14]) For any integers \(0 \leq s < n \leq i\), we have

\[\text{St}^{\Delta^i+1} Q_{n,s} = P^p^i \text{St}^{\Delta^i} Q_{n,s}.\]

**Proof** Applying Theorem 3.1, the Cartan formula and Proposition 3.3, we get

\[
P^p^i \text{St}^{\Delta^i} Q_{n,s} = (-1)^n P^p^i ([0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, i] L_n^{P-2})
= (-1)^n \sum_r P^r ([0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n-1, i]) P^{p^i-r} (L_n^{P-2}),
\]

where the sum runs over all

\[
r = e_0 p^0 + e_1 p^1 + \ldots + e_{s-1} p^{r-1} + e_{s+1} p^{r+1} + \ldots + e_{n-1} p^{n-1} + e_i p^i
\]

with \(\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}\) for any \(j\) and \(r \leq p^i\).

If \(e_i = 0\) then \(r < p^0 + p^1 + \ldots + p^{n-1}\) and

\[2(p^i - r) > 2(p^i - (p^0 + p^1 + \ldots + p^{n-1}))
= 2(p^i - p^n + 1 + (p - 2)(p^0 + p^1 + \ldots + p^{n-1}))
> 2(p - 2)(p^0 + p^1 + \ldots + p^{n-1}) = \dim L_n^{P-2}.
\]

This implies \(P^{p^i-r} (L_n^{P-2}) = 0\).
Since \( r \leq p^i \), if \( \varepsilon_i = 1 \) then \( \varepsilon_j = 0 \) for \( j \neq i \) and \( r = p^i \). Hence, using the above equalities and Proposition 3.3, we obtain
\[
PP^i \ St^{\Delta^i} Q_{n,s} = (-1)^n P P^i ([0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n - 1, \hat{i}, \ldots, n + 1]) L_n^{p-2} \\
= (-1)^n[0, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}, \ldots, n - 1, i + 1] L_n^{p-2} \\
= St^{\Delta^i+1} Q_{n,s}.
\]

Next, we compute the action of \( St^{\Delta^i} \) on M"{u}i invariants.

**Theorem 3.5** For any positive integers \( i, n \), we have
\[
St^{\Delta^i} V_n = (-1)^{n-1}[0, 1, \ldots, n-2, i] L_n^{p-2}.
\]

**Proof** Since \( L_n = L_{n-1} V_n \), applying the Cartan formula, we get
\[
(5) \quad St^{\Delta^i} L_n = L_{n-1} St^{\Delta^i} V_n + V_n St^{\Delta^i} L_{n-1}.
\]
Using Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we have
\[
St^{\Delta^i} L_{n-1} = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2], \\
St^{\Delta^i} L_n = [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, n-1] \\
= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s}[i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, s] Q_{n-1,s} + [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2] V_n \\
= (-1)^n[i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, 0] Q_{n-1,0} + [i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2] V_n.
\]
Combining (5), the above equalities and the relation \( Q_{n-1,0} = L_{n-1}^{p-1} \), we get
\[
St^{\Delta^i} V_n = (St^{\Delta^i} L_n - V_n St^{\Delta^i} L_{n-1}) / L_{n-1} \\
= (-1)^n[i, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, 0] Q_{n-1,0} / L_{n-1} \\
= (-1)^{n-1}[0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, i] L_n^{p-2}.
\]

**Corollary 3.6** For any integers \( 0 < i \leq n \), we have
\[
St^{\Delta^i} V_n = \begin{cases} 
0, & i < n - 1, \\
(-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1,0} V_n, & i = n - 1, \\
(-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1,0} (Q_{n-1,n-2} V_n + V_n^p), & i = n.
\end{cases}
\]
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**Proof** If \( i < n - 1 \) then \([0, 1, \ldots, n - 2, i] = 0\). Hence, \( \text{St}^{\Delta_i} V_n = 0 \).

For \( i = n - 1 \), we have \([0, 1, \ldots, n - 2, n - 1] = L_n = L_{n-1} V_n \). Hence, from Theorem 3.5, we get

\[
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n-1}} V_n = (-1)^{n-1} L_{n-1}^{p-1} V_n = (-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1,0} V_n.
\]

Let \( i = n \). A direct computation shows

\[
[0, 1, \ldots, n - 2, n] = L_n, \quad L_n \quad \text{for } i = n, s_1 = 0.
\]

From the above equalities, Theorem 3.5 and the relation \( L_{n-1}^{p-1} = Q_{n-1,0} \), we obtain

\[
\text{St}^{\Delta_n} V_n = (-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1,0}(Q_{n-1,0} V_n + V_n^p).
\]

The corollary follows.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.7** Set \( s_0 = 0 \). Then \( \text{St}^{\Delta_i} M^{(d)}_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} \) equals

\[
\begin{cases}
(-1)^{s_t-t} M^{(d)}_{n,s_0,\ldots,s_t,\ldots,s_k}, & s_1 > 0, i = s_t, 1 \leq t \leq k, \\
(-1)^{n-1}(d-1)M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}[1, 2, \ldots, n-1, i]L_n^{d-2}, & i \geq n, s_1 = 0, \\
(-1)^{n-1}(-1)^k [k; 1, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n-1, i]L_n^{d-1} \\
\quad + (d-1)M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}[1, 2, \ldots, n-1, i]L_n^{d-2}, & i \geq n, s_1 > 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof** Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
\text{St}^{\Delta_i} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} = \begin{cases}
[k; i, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n-1], & s_1 > 0, \\
0, & s_1 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

If \( i = s_t \) then \([k; i, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n-1] = (-1)^{s_t-t} M_{n,s_0,\ldots,s_t,\ldots,s_k}\).

If \( i \geq n \) then

\([k; i, 1, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n-1] = (-1)^{n-k-1}[k; 1, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n-1, i]\).

Thus the theorem is proved for \( d = 1 \).

For \( d > 1 \), using Lemma 2.2 and the Cartan formula, we have

\[
\text{St}^{\Delta_i} L_n^{d-1} = (d-1)L_n^{d-2} \text{St}^{\Delta_i} L_n, \\
\text{St}^{\Delta_i} L_n = (-1)^{n-1}[1, 2, \ldots, n-1, i], \\
\text{St}^{\Delta_i} M^{(d)}_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} = \text{St}^{\Delta_i} (M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}) L_n^{d-1} + (d-1)M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} L_n^{d-2} \text{St}^{\Delta_i} L_n.
\]
Combining the above equalities we obtain the theorem.

**Theorem 3.8**  For $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$, we have

$$
\text{St}_u M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{k+s_1-t} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,t,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)}, & u = s_t, \\
(-1)^{n-1}[k - 1; 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1, u]L_n^{d-1}, & u \geq n,
\end{cases}
$$

otherwise.

**Proof**  Since $M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} = [k; 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1]$, applying Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\text{St}_u M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k} = (-1)^{k-1}[k - 1; u, 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1].
$$

If $0 \leq u \leq n - 1$ then

$$
[k - 1; u, 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1] = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{s_t-t+1} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,t,\ldots,s_k}, & u = s_t, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
$$

otherwise.

If $u > n - 1$ then we have

$$
[k - 1; u, 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1] = (-1)^{n-k}[k - 1; 0, \ldots, \hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_k, \ldots, n - 1, u].
$$

The theorem is proved for $d = 1$.

Since $\text{St}_u L_n = 0$, using the Cartan formula, we get

$$
\text{St}_u (M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)}) = \text{St}_u (M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}) L_n^{d-1}.
$$

The theorem now follows from the above equalities.

By the analogous argument as given in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can show that the Wilkerson formula also holds for Müi invariants.

**Theorem 3.9**  For any integers $i$, $u \geq n$, we have

$$
\text{St}^{\Delta_i} V_n = P^{p_i-1} \text{St}^{\Delta_{i-1}} V_n,
$$

$$
\text{St}^{\Delta_{i+1}} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} = P^{p_i} \text{St}^{\Delta_i} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)},
$$

$$
\text{St}_{u+1} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} = P^{p_n} \text{St}_{u} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)}.
$$
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**Remark 3.10** Using Theorem 2.4 and the above results, we can compute the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on the modular invariants in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants for \( i, u \geq n \). For example, by a direct calculation, we easily obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n+1}} Q_{n,s} &= (-1)^n Q_{n,0}(Q_{n,n-1}^p Q_{n,s} - Q_{n,s-1}^p), \\
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n+2}} Q_{n,s} &= (-1)^n Q_{n,0}(Q_{n,n-1}^{p^2} Q_{n,s} - Q_{n,s-2}^{p^2} + Q_{n,s-1}^{p^2} - Q_{n,s-1}^p Q_{n,n-1}^{p^2}).
\end{align*}
\]

Here, by convention, \( Q_{n,t} = 0 \) for \( t < 0 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n+1}} V_n &= (-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1,0}((Q_{n-1,n-2}^{p^2} - Q_{n-1,n-3}^{p^2}) V_n + Q_{n-1,n-2} V_n^p + V_n^{p^2}), \\
\text{St}_n M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} &= \sum_{t=1}^{k} (-1)^{n-1+k-t} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} Q_{n,s_t}, \\
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n}} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} &= (-1)^{n-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{k} (-1)^t M_{n,s_0,\ldots,s_t,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} Q_{n,s_t} + d M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} Q_{n,0} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( s_0 = 0 \) and \( s_1 > 0 \). If \( s_1 = 0 \) then

\[
\text{St}^{\Delta_{n}} M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} = (-1)^{n-1}(d - 1) M_{n,s_1,\ldots,s_k}^{(d)} Q_{n,0}.
\]

Furthermore, the computation of the action of the primitive Steenrod–Milnor operations on the modular invariants in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants by the use of our results in this section is more convenient than that by using Wilkerson’s formula. For example, to compute \( \text{St}^{\Delta_{n+2}} Q_{n,s} \) by using Wilkerson’s formula, we need to compute \( p^{p^{n+1}}(Q_{n,0}(Q_{n,n-1}^p Q_{n,s} - Q_{n,s-1}^p)) \) in terms of Dickson invariants. But computing \( p^{p^{n+1}}(Q_{n,0}(Q_{n,n-1}^p Q_{n,s} - Q_{n,s-1}^p)) \) is more difficult than that of \([0,1,\ldots,\widehat{s},\ldots,n-1,n+2]\).

### 4 On the description of the determinant invariants in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants

In this section, we study the problem of description of the determinant invariants in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants. The explicit formulae for the determinant invariants in terms of Dickson and Mùi invariants are useful tools for computing the action of the cohomology operations on the modular invariants.

In general, it is difficult to give explicit formulae for this problem. In particular, for \( n = 2,3 \), we can explicitly compute \([u,v],[u,v,w]\) in terms of Mùi invariants.
and \([u, v], [u, v, v + 1]\) in terms of Dickson invariants, where \(u, v, w\) are nonnegative integers.

Note that the problem of description of \([u, v, w]\) in terms of Dickson invariants is complicated. It is still open.

**Proposition 4.1** For \(0 \leq u < v < w\), we have

\[
[u, v] = \sum_{s=\mu}^{v-1} V_1^{p^{v-s}+1} + p^{u} V_2^{p^s},
\]

\[
[u, v, w] = \sum_{s=\mu}^{v-1} [u, s+1][v, w]L_2^{p^{s+1}} V_3^{p^{s+1}} + \sum_{s=\nu}^{w-1} [u, v][s+1, w]L_2^{p^{s+1}} V_3^{p^{s+1}}.
\]

**Proof** The relation (6) is proved by induction on \(v\). We prove (7) by induction on \(v, w\). Applying Theorem 2.4, we can easily prove the following by induction on \(v\)

\[
[u, v, v + 1] = \sum_{s=\mu}^{v-1} [u, s+1]L_2^{p^{v-s}+1} V_3^{p^{s}}.
\]

Since \(L_2^{p^v} = [v, v + 1]\), the relation (7) holds for \(w = v + 1\).

Let \(w = v + 2\). By a direct computation using Theorem 2.4 and (8), we have

\[
[u, v, v + 2] = [u, v, v + 1]Q_{2,1}^p + [u, v]V_3^p
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=\mu}^{v-1} [u, s+1]L_2^{p^{v-s}+1} V_3^{p^{s}} Q_{2,1}^p + [u, v]V_3^{p^v}.
\]

We observe that \((L_2 Q_{2,1})^p = [v, v + 2], L_2^{p^{v+1}} = [v + 1, v + 2]\). Hence, the relation (7) holds for \(w = v + 2\). Suppose that (7) holds for \(w\) and \(w + 1\). It is easy to see that

\[
[w + 1, v]Q_{2,0}^p = -L_2^{p^{w+1}}.
\]

Hence, using Theorem 2.4 and the inductive hypothesis, we get

\[
[u, v, w + 2] = [u, v, w + 1]Q_{2,1}^p - [u, v, w]Q_{2,0}^p + [u, v]V_3^p
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{s=\mu}^{v-1} [u, s+1][v, w + 1]L_2^{p^{v-s}+1} V_3^{p^{s}}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{s=\nu}^{w} [u, v][s+1, w + 1]L_2^{p^{s+1}} V_3^{p^{s}} \right) Q_{2,1}^p
\]
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\[
- \left( \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} [u, s+1][v, w] L_2^{-p^{s+1}} V_3^p \right) + \sum_{s=0}^{w-1} [u, v][s+1, w] L_2^{-p^{s+1}} V_3^p \Omega_{2,0}^{p^w} + \Omega_{2,0}^{p^w} V_3^p
\]

This equality and Theorem 2.4 imply the relation (7) for \( w + 2 \), completing the proof.

Now, we compute \([u, v]\) in terms of \( L_2 \) and \( \Omega_{2,1} \).

Let \( \alpha_i(a) \) denote the \( i \)-th coefficient in \( p \)-adic expansion of a nonnegative integer \( a \). That means

\[
a = \alpha_0(a) p^0 + \alpha_1(a) p^1 + \alpha_2(a) p^2 + \ldots,
\]

for \( 0 \leq \alpha_i(a) < p, i \geq 0 \). We set \( \alpha_i(a) = 0 \) for \( i < 0 \).

Denote by \( I(u, v) \) the set of all integers \( a \) satisfying

\[
\alpha_i(a) + \alpha_{i+1}(a) \leq 1, \quad \text{for any } i,
\]

\[
\alpha_i(a) = 0, \quad \text{for either } i < u \text{ or } i \geq v - 2.
\]

The following was proved in Sum [11] for \( p = 2 \).

**Proposition 4.2** Under the above notation, we have

\[
[u, v] = \sum_{a \in I(u, v)} (-1)^a L_2^{p^u + p(p-1)a} \Omega_{2,1}^{p^{v-1} - p^u - (p+1)a}.
\]

**Proof** The proof is by induction on \( v \). Obviously, \( I(u, u + 1) = I(u, u + 2) = \{0\} \) and \( [u, u+1] = L_2^{p^u}, [u, u+2] = L_2^{p^u} \Omega_{2,1}^{p^u} \). Hence, the proposition follows with \( v = u + 1 \) and \( v = u + 2 \). From the definition of the set \( I(u, v) \), we obtain

\[
I(u, v + 2) = I(u, v + 1) \cup (p^{v-1} + I(u, v)),
\]

where \( p^{v-1} + I(u, v) = \{p^{v-1} + a : a \in I(u, v)\} \).
Combining Theorem 2.4, the inductive hypothesis and the relation $Q_{2,0} = L_2^{p-1}$, we get

$$[u, v + 2] = [u, v + 1]Q_{2,1}^{p^u} - [u, v]Q_{2,0}^{p^u}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{a \in I(u,v+1)} (-1)^a L_2^{p^u+p(p-1)a} Q_{2,1}^{p^u-(p+1)a} \right) Q_{2,1}^{p^u}$$

$$- \left( \sum_{a \in I(u,v)} (-1)^a L_2^{p^u+p(p-1)a} Q_{2,1}^{p^u-(p+1)a} \right) Q_{2,0}^{p^u}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in I(u,v+1)} (-1)^a L_2^{p^u+p(p-1)a} Q_{2,1}^{p^u-(p+1)a}$$

$$+ \sum_{a \in I(u,v)} (-1)^{p^u+p(p-1)(p^u+a)} Q_{2,1}^{p^u-(p+1)(p^u+a)}.$$ 

From this equality and (9), we see that the proposition is true for $v + 2$, so the proof is completed. 

Now, we compute $[u, v, v + 1]$ in terms of $L_3, Q_{3,1}, Q_{3,2}$.

Denote by $J(u, v)$ the set of all integers $a$ satisfying

$a_i(a) \leq 1$ and $a_i(a) + a_i+1(a) + a_i+2(a) \leq 2$, for any $i$.

$a_i(a) = 0$, for either $i < u$ or $i \geq v - 2$.

It is easy to see that for any $a \in J(u, v)$, there exists uniquely an expansion

$$a = a_0 + p^{i_1} + p^{i_1+1} + a_1 + \ldots + p^{i_k} + p^{i_k+1} + a_k,$$

with $i_0 = u - 3 < i_1 < \ldots < i_k < i_k+1 = v - 1, i_{j+1} - i_j \geq 3$ and $a_j \in I(i_j + 3, i_j + 1)$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$.

We define the functions $b_{u,v}, c_{u,v} : J(u, v) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by setting

$$b_{u,v}(a) = \frac{p^{v-1} - p^u}{p-1} - (p+1)a + p(p^{i_1} + \ldots + p^{i_k}),$$

$$c_{u,v}(a) = a_0 + a_1 + \ldots + a_k.$$

**Proposition 4.3** Under the above notation, we have

$$[u, v, v + 1] = \sum_{a \in J(u,v)} (-1)^a L_3^{p^u+p(p-1)a} Q_{3,1}^{b_{u,v}(a)} Q_{3,2}^{c_{u,v}(a)}.$$
The proof of the proposition is based on some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4** For $0 \leq u < v$,

$$J(u, v + 3) = J(u, v + 2) \cup (p^v + J(u, v + 1)) \cup (p^v + p^{v-1} + J(u, v)).$$

Here, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we write $x + A = \{ x + a : a \in A \}$.

$$b_{u,v+3}(a) = p^{v+1} + b_{u,v+2}(a),$$
$$c_{u,v+3}(a) = c_{u,v+2}(a), \quad \text{for } a \in J(u, v + 2),$$
$$b_{u,v+3}(p^v + a) = b_{u,v+1}(a),$$
$$c_{u,v+3}(p^v + a) = p^v + c_{u,v+1}(a), \quad \text{for } a \in J(u, v + 1),$$
$$b_{u,v+3}(p^v + p^{v-1} + a) = b_{u,v}(a),$$
$$c_{u,v+3}(p^v + p^{v-1} + a) = c_{u,v}(a), \quad \text{for } a \in J(u, v).$$

This lemma can easily be proved by computing directly from the definitions of $J(u, v)$, $b_{u,v}$ and $c_{u,v}$.

**Lemma 4.5** For any $0 \leq u < v$, we have

$$[u, v + 3, v + 4] = [u, v + 2, v + 3]Q_{3,1}^{p^{v+1}}$$
$$Q_{3,2}^{p^v} + [u, v + 1]Q_{3,0}^{p^{v+1}} + p^v.$$

**Proof** A direct calculation using Theorem 2.4 gives

$$[u, v + 3, v + 4] = [u, v + 2, v + 3]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+2}} + [u, v + 3]V_3^{p^{v+2}}$$
$$= [u, v + 2, v + 3](Q_{3,1}^{p^{v+1}} - Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{(p-1)p^{v+1}})$$
$$+ ([u, v + 2]Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} - [u, v + 1]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}}) V_3^{p^{v+2}}$$
$$+ [u, v + 2]Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{p^{v+2}} - [u, v + 1]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{p^{v+2}}$$
$$= [u, v + 2, v + 3]Q_{3,1}^{p^{v+1}}$$
$$- ([u, v + 1, v + 2]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}} + [u, v + 2]V_3^{p^{v+2}})Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{(p-1)p^{v+1}}$$
$$+ [u, v + 2]Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{p^{v+2}} - [u, v + 1]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{p^{v+2}}$$
$$= [u, v + 2, v + 3]Q_{3,1}^{p^{v+1}}$$
$$- ([u, v + 1, v + 2]Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{(p-1)p^{v+1}} (Q_{2,1}^{p^{v+1}} + V_3^{(p-1)p^v})$$
$$+ ([u, v + 1, v + 2] - [u, v + 1]V_3^{p^v})Q_{2,0}^{p^{v+1}} V_3^{(p-1)(p^{v+1} + p^v)}.$$
Using Theorem 2.4 and the relations $Q_{3,2} = Q_{2,1}^p + V_{3}^{p-1}$, $Q_{3,0} = Q_{2,0} V_{3}^{p-1}$, we obtain the lemma.

**Proof of Proposition 4.3** The proof is by induction on $v$. For $v = u + 1, u + 2, u + 3$ the proposition is obvious. Suppose that it is true for $v, v + 1, v + 2$. Using Lemma 4.5, the inductive hypothesis and the relation $Q_{3,0} = L_3^{p-1}$, we get

\[ [u, v + 3, v + 4] = \sum_{a \in J(u,v+2)} (-1)^a L_3^{p^u+p(p-1)a} Q_{3,1}^{p^{u+1}+b_{u,v+2}(a)} Q_{3,2}^{c_{u,v+2}(a)} \]

\[ + \sum_{a \in J(u,v+1)} (-1)^{p^u+a} L_3^{p^u+p(p-1)(p^{u}+a)} Q_{3,1}^{b_{u,v+1}(a)} Q_{3,2}^{p^{u}+c_{u,v+1}(a)} \]

\[ + \sum_{a \in J(u,v)} (-1)^{p^{u+1}+a} L_3^{p^u+p(p-1)(p^{u}+p^{u-1}+a)} Q_{3,1}^{b_{u,v}(a)} Q_{3,2}^{c_{u,v}(a)}. \]

Combining this equality and Lemma 4.4, we see that the proposition holds for $v + 3$. Hence, the proposition is proved.
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