

# Some numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators

Mohsen Erfanian Omidvar,  
 Mohammad Sal Moslehian and Assadollah Niknam

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We present several numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. More precisely, we prove that if  $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  then  $\max(w(A), w(D)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2})$  and  $\max((w(BC))^{1/2}, (w(CB))^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2})$ . We also show that if  $A \in B(H)$  is positive, then

$$w(AX - XA) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2}).$$

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $B(H)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For  $A \in B(H)$  let

$$\begin{aligned} w(A) &= \sup\{|\langle x, Ax \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}, \\ \|A\| &= \sup\{\|Ax\| : \|x\| = 1\}, \\ |A| &= (A^*A)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

denote the numerical radius, the usual operator norm of  $A$  and the absolute value of  $A$ . It is well known that  $w(\cdot)$  is a norm on  $B(H)$ , and that for all  $A \in B(H)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|. \quad (1-1)$$

Here are some basic properties of the numerical radius:

$$w(|A|) = \|A\|, \quad (1-2)$$

$$w(A^*A) = w(AA^*), \quad (1-3)$$

$$w(UAU^*) = w(A), \quad (1-4)$$

$$w(A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n) = \max\{w(A_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (1-5)$$

*MSC2000:* primary 47A62; secondary 46C15, 47A30, 15A24.

*Keywords:* bounded linear operator, Hilbert space, norm inequality, numerical radius, positive operator.

for all operators  $A, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in B(H)$  and all unitary operators  $U \in B(H)$ .

Suppose  $H = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $A \in B(H)$ . Then we can write  $A$  as a block matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I_1^* A I_1 & I_1^* A I_2 \\ I_2^* A I_1 & I_2^* A I_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1-6)$$

where  $I_i \in B(M_i, H)$  such that  $I_i(x) = x$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). If  $A$  and  $B$  are operators in  $B(H)$  we write the direct sum  $A \oplus B$  for the  $2 \times 2$  operator matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ , regarded as an operator on  $H \oplus H$ . Thus

$$\|A \oplus B\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \max(\|A\|, \|B\|). \quad (1-7)$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{A} = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ , where  $A_i \in B(H)$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in H$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_n \end{bmatrix},$$

which we also write  $\mathcal{A} = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [x_1, \dots, x_n]^T, \mathcal{A}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^T \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, A_i(x_i) \rangle, \\ w(\mathcal{A}) &= \sup \left\{ \left| \langle [x_1, \dots, x_n]^T, \mathcal{A}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^T \rangle \right| : \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For additional properties of the numerical radius, see [Bhatia 1997; Halmos 1982] and references therein.

Consider  $A = [A_{ij}]$ , where  $A_{ij} \in B(H)$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We define  $C(A) = A_{11} \oplus A_{22} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{nn}$ , called the  $n$ -pinching of  $A$ . We set  $z = e^{2\pi i/n}$  and  $U := \text{diag}(I, zI, \dots, z^{n-1}I)$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator in  $B(H)$ . Using the identity  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k = 0$ , one can see that  $C(A) = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^*{}^k A U^k$  (see also [Bhatia 2000; 1997]).

It is shown in [Kittaneh 2005] that if  $A, B, C, D, S, T \in B(H)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} w(ATB + CSD) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A^* + B^*|T|^{2\alpha}B + C|S^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}C^* + D^*|S|^{2\alpha}D\|), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . In particular, if  $A, U, P \in B(H)$  such that  $U$  is unitary

and  $P$  is projection, we have

$$w(AU \pm U^*A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |A| + |A^*| + U^*(|A| + |A^*|)U \| \leq \|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}, \tag{1-8}$$

$$w(AP - PA) \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |A| + |A^*| + P(|A| + |A^*|)P \| \leq \|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}, \tag{1-9}$$

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}). \tag{1-10}$$

The last inequality refines the second inequality in (1-1); see also [Kittaneh 2003]. In [Kittaneh 2007; Bhatia and Kittaneh 2008] it is shown that if  $A, B, X \in B(H)$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive, then

$$\| \|AX - XB\| \| \leq \max(\|A\|, \|B\|) \|X\|,$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  is a unitarily invariant norm.

In particular,

$$\|AX - XA\| \leq \|A\| \|X\|. \tag{1-11}$$

In this paper we establish some inequalities sharper than inequalities (1-9) and (1-11) to the numerical radius and we give a new proof of inequality (1-10). Some applications of these inequalities are considered as well.

### 2. Main results

In [Bhatia 1997] it is shown that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A+B & 0 \\ 0 & A+B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} |A|+|B| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \right\|,$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  is a unitarily invariant norm. In this paper we extend this inequality to the numerical radius. We begin by establishing an interesting property of the numerical radius.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A \in B(H)$ . Then*

$$w(C(A)) \leq w(A). \tag{2-1}$$

*Proof.* Since  $C(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^*kAU^k$ , we have

$$w(C(A)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(U^*kAU^k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(A) = w(A),$$

where the inequality follows from property (1-4). □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in B(H)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} w \left( \text{diag} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \right) \leq w(\mathcal{A}) \leq w \left( \text{diag} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |A_i|, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* For the first inequality, we have, using (1-5),

$$w\left(\text{diag}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right)\right) = w\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w(A_i) \leq n \max\{w(A_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = nw(\mathcal{A}).$$

For the second inequality first we suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  to be positive, so

$$\begin{aligned} w\left(\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{1/2} & A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_n^{1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_2^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_n^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_2^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_n^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{1/2} & A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_n^{1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_1^{1/2} A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_1^{1/2} A_n^{1/2} \\ A_2^{1/2} A_1^{1/2} & A_2 & \dots & A_2^{1/2} A_n^{1/2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_n^{1/2} A_1^{1/2} & A_n^{1/2} A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_n \end{bmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (1-3). Using the inequality (2-1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_n \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_1^{1/2} A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_1^{1/2} A_n^{1/2} \\ A_2^{1/2} A_1^{1/2} & A_2 & \dots & A_2^{1/2} A_n^{1/2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_n^{1/2} A_1^{1/2} & A_n^{1/2} A_2^{1/2} & \dots & A_n \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= w\left(\text{diag}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i, 0, \dots, 0\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be arbitrary. Then

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} |A_1| & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & |A_2| & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & |A_n| \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq w\left(\text{diag}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |A_i|, 0, \dots, 0\right)\right).$$

Since

$$w \left( \begin{bmatrix} |A_1| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & |A_2| & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & |A_n| \end{bmatrix} \right) = w(|\mathcal{A}|) \geq w(\mathcal{A}),$$

we have  $w(\mathcal{A}) \leq w(\text{diag}(\sum_{i=1}^n |A_i|, 0, \dots, 0))$ . □

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $A \in B(H)$ . Then  $\frac{1}{2}w((A + A^*) \oplus (A + A^*)) \leq w(A \oplus A^*)$ .*

[Kittaneh \[2006\]](#) showed that if  $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$  and if  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$\max(r(A), r(D)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}), \quad (r(BC))^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}).$$

We show similar inequalities for the numerical radius. To achieve this, we need the following lemma [\[Kittaneh 2005\]](#).

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $A, B \in B(H)$  and  $AB = BA$ , then  $w(AB) \leq 2w(A)w(B)$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , then*

$$\max(w(A), w(D)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}), \tag{2-2}$$

and

$$\max((w(BC))^{1/2}, (w(CB))^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}). \tag{2-3}$$

*Proof.*

By (1-5), we have  $\max(w(A), w(D)) = w(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix})$ . Since  $D$  is arbitrary,

$$\max(w(A), w(D)) = w \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -D \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Consider the unitary operator  $U = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$  on  $H \oplus H$ . Then  $2\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -D \end{bmatrix} = TU + UT$ . Thus

$$\max(w(A), w(D)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}),$$

by inequality (1-8). This proves the inequality (2-2).

To prove the inequality (2-3), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \max(w(BC), w(CB)) &= w \left( \begin{bmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (\text{by (1-5)}) \\ &= w \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2w \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\max(w(BC), w(CB)) \leq 2w \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^2.$$

We observe that  $2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = TU - UT$ , so

$$\max((w(BC))^{1/2}, (w(CB))^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2})$$

by inequality (1-8). □

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $A \in B(H)$ , then*

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}) \leq \|A\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(A) &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2}) \quad (\text{by (2-2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}) \quad (\text{by (1-7)}) \\ &\leq \|A\|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $A \in B(H)$ , then  $\|A + A^*\| \leq \|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2} \leq 2\|A\|$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A + A^*$  is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|A + A^*\| &= \frac{1}{2}w((A + A^*) \oplus (A + A^*)) \quad (\text{by (1-2) and (1-5)}) \\ &\leq w(A \oplus A^*) \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.3}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A \oplus A^*\| + \|(A \oplus A^*)^2\|^{1/2}) \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.6}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}) \quad (\text{by (1-7)}) \\ &\leq \|A\|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We use some similar strategies as in [Kittaneh 2007] to prove the next two results.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A, P \in B(H)$  such that  $P$  is a projection. Then*

$$w(AP - PA) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}). \quad (2-4)$$

*Proof.* Using the decomposition  $H = \text{ran}P \oplus \text{ker}P$  and equality (1-6), we represent  $P$  as the form  $P = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $I_1$  is the identity operator on  $\text{ran}P$ . With respect to this decomposition,  $A$  can be written as  $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$PA - AP = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $I_2$  is the identity operator on  $\ker P$  and if  $U = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $U$  is unitary and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}(UA - AU)$ . Therefore

$$w(AP - PA) = w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2}w(AU - U^*A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{1/2}),$$

where the inequality follows from (1-8). □

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose that  $A \in B(H)$  is positive. Then*

$$w(AX - XA) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2}). \tag{2-5}$$

*Proof.* First we prove that if  $A$  is positive and a contraction, then

$$w(AX - XA) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2}).$$

If  $R = \sqrt{A - A^2}$ , the operator

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} A & R \\ R & I - A \end{bmatrix}$$

is a projection on  $H \oplus H$ , because  $A\sqrt{A - A^2} = \sqrt{A - A^2}A$ . If  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $PY - YP = \begin{bmatrix} AX - XA & -XR \\ RX & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . By the inequality (2-4), we have

$$w(YP - PY) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|Y\| + \|Y^2\|^{1/2}).$$

Now if  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} AX - XA & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q(PY - YP)Q^*$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} w\left(\begin{bmatrix} AX - XA & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= w(YP - PY) && \text{(by (1-4))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|Y\| + \|Y^2\|^{1/2}) && \text{(by (2-4))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2}) && \text{(by (1-7)),} \end{aligned}$$

whence  $w(AX - XA) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2})$ . Let  $A$  be a positive operator. It follows from the inequality

$$w\left(\frac{A}{\|A\|}X - X\frac{A}{\|A\|}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2})$$

that  $w(AX - XA) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|(\|X\| + \|X^2\|^{1/2})$ . □

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $A, B \in B(H)$  such that  $A$  is positive and  $B$  is self-adjoint, then*

$$\|AB - BA\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|. \tag{2-6}$$

*Proof.* The inequality (2-6) follows from (2-5) by letting  $X = B$ . □

**Corollary 2.11.** *Suppose that  $T \in B(H)$  has the cartesian decomposition  $T = A + iB$  such that  $A$  is positive and  $B$  is self-adjoint. Then*

$$\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq \|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2.$$

*Proof.* By (2-6) and the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\|T^*T - TT^*\| = 2\|AB - BA\| \leq 2\|A\|\|B\| \leq \|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2. \quad \square$$

## References

- [Bhatia 1997] R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math. **169**, Springer, New York, 1997. [MR 98i:15003](#) [Zbl 0863.15001](#)
- [Bhatia 2000] R. Bhatia, “Pinching, trimming, truncating, and averaging of matrices”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **107**:7 (2000), 602–608. [MR 2001h:15020](#) [Zbl 0984.15024](#)
- [Bhatia and Kittaneh 2008] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, “Commutators, pinchings, and spectral variation”, *Oper. Matrices* **2**:1 (2008), 143–151. [MR 2392772](#) [Zbl 1147.15019](#)
- [Halmos 1982] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. **19**, Springer, New York, 1982. [MR 84e:47001](#) [Zbl 0496.47001](#)
- [Kittaneh 2003] F. Kittaneh, “A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix”, *Studia Math.* **158**:1 (2003), 11–17. [MR 2004i:15022](#) [Zbl 1113.15302](#)
- [Kittaneh 2005] F. Kittaneh, “Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators”, *Studia Math.* **168**:1 (2005), 73–80. [MR 2005m:47009](#) [Zbl 1072.47004](#)
- [Kittaneh 2006] F. Kittaneh, “Spectral radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134**:2 (2006), 385–390. [MR 2006d:47008](#) [Zbl 1081.47010](#)
- [Kittaneh 2007] F. Kittaneh, “Inequalities for commutators of positive operators”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **250**:1 (2007), 132–143. [MR 2008j:47031](#) [Zbl 1131.47009](#)

Received: 2009-05-05

Accepted: 2009-07-01

[erfanian@mshdiau.ac.ir](mailto:erfanian@mshdiau.ac.ir)

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University-Mashhad Branch, Mashhad 91722, Iran*

[moslehan@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir](mailto:moslehan@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir)

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran*  
<http://www.um.ac.ir/~moslehan>

[dassamankin@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:dassamankin@yahoo.co.uk)

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran*