

# involve

a journal of mathematics

Congruence properties of  $S$ -partition functions

Andrew Gruet, Linzhi Wang, Katherine Yu and Jiangang Zeng

 mathematical sciences publishers

# Congruence properties of $S$ -partition functions

Andrew Gruet, Linzhi Wang, Katherine Yu and Jiangang Zeng

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

We study the function  $p(S; n)$  that counts the number of partitions of  $n$  with elements in  $S$ , where  $S$  is a set of integers. Generalizing previous work of Kronholm, we find that given a positive integer  $m$ , the coefficients of the generating function of  $p(S; n)$  are periodic modulo  $m$ , and we use this periodicity to obtain families of  $S$ -partition congruences. In particular, we obtain families of congruences between partition functions  $p(S_1; n)$  and  $p(S_2; n)$ .

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

The *partition function*  $p(n)$  is the number of nonincreasing sequences of positive integers that sum to  $n$ . Ramanujan proved the following congruences for  $p(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p(5n + 4) &\equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\ p(7n + 5) &\equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \\ p(11n + 6) &\equiv 0 \pmod{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S$  be a finite set of positive integers. An  $S$ -*partition* of an integer  $n$  is any nonincreasing sequence of integers in  $S$  that sums to  $n$ . The  $S$ -partition function  $p(S; n)$  counts the number of  $S$ -partitions of  $n$ . The generating function for  $p(S; n)$  is

$$G(S; q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(S; n)q^n = \frac{1}{\prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]. \quad (1-1)$$

Kronholm [2005; 2007] found elegant ‘‘Ramanujan-type’’ congruences for the partition function

$$p(n, m) = p(\{1, \dots, m\}; n - m).$$

In this paper we reinterpret his idea of periodicity and we generalize it in the context of sets of positive integers. We first show that the coefficients of the generating function above are periodic modulo  $m$ .

*MSC2010:* 11P83.

*Keywords:* Brandt Kronholm, Ramanujan-type congruences,  $S$ -partition functions.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a finite set of positive integers  $S$  and a positive integer  $m$ , there exists a positive integer  $\gamma_m(S)$  such that for every integer  $n$  and all nonnegative  $k$ , we have*

$$p(S; n) \equiv p(S; n + k\gamma_m(S)) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-2}$$

**Example.** This theorem immediately implies many Ramanujan-type congruences. For example, if  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ , one easily verifies that  $\gamma_7(S) = 210$ . Therefore, the fact that  $p(S; 20) = 91 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  gives the Ramanujan congruence

$$p(S; 210n + 20) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}.$$

**Example.** This theorem is analogous to Theorem 2 of [Kronholm 2007]. As Kronholm states, let  $d$  be a multiple of  $\text{lcm}\{1, \dots, t\}$  and for the odd prime  $m$ , let  $m^\alpha$  be a primary factor of  $d$ . Kronholm shows that if we let  $\gamma_m(\{1, \dots, t\}) := d$ , the congruences (1-2) hold. In particular, he proves that if

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} m^\delta \left( \left\lfloor \frac{t}{m^\delta} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{m^{\delta+1}} \right\rfloor \right) \leq m^\alpha, \tag{1-3}$$

then for  $n \geq d - \sum_{j=2}^{t-1} j$ , we have

$$p(\{1, \dots, t\}; n - t) \equiv p(\{1, \dots, t\}; n - t - d) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-4}$$

**Example.** Theorem 1.1 extends Theorem 2 of [Kronholm 2007] in that  $m$  does not have to be an odd prime. Let  $S := \{2, 3, 11\}$  and let  $m := 12$ . Given this choice of  $S$ , it is clear that  $p(S; 1) = 0$ . We find that  $\gamma_{12}(S) = 792$ . By our theorem, for all positive  $k$ ,  $p(S; 1 + 792k) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

For convenience, for sets  $S$  we let

$$\Phi_S(q) := \prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s). \tag{1-5}$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be finite sets of positive integers and let  $m$  be a positive integer. If  $\Phi_{S_1}(q)$  divides  $\Phi_{S_2}(q)$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[q]$ , then for any nonnegative integer  $d$ , let*

$$X(q) := q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)} \frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)},$$

where

$$q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)} \frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i q^i \pmod{m}.$$

For  $n \geq c$  and for any nonnegative  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-6}$$

Note that [Corollary 1.2](#) applies for all  $m$  when  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ .

**Example.** Let  $S_1 := \{1, 17\}$  and  $S_2 := \{17, 289\}$  and let  $m := 17$ . Let  $X(q) := \Phi_{S_2}(q)/\Phi_{S_1}(q)$ . Then clearly  $X(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{288} q^i$ . For all nonnegative  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  and for all  $n \geq 288$ ,

$$p(S_1; n + 289k_1) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{288} p(S_2; n - i + 4913k_2) \pmod{17}.$$

### 2. Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#)

For convenience, we let  $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t\}$  and let  $d_S = \sum_{i=1}^t s_i$ . Let

$$\Phi_S(q) = \prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s) := \sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)q^n.$$

From the identity

$$1 = \Phi_S(q)G(S; q) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(S; n)q^n \right),$$

we have

$$1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; 0)p(S; i)q^i + \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; 1)p(S; i)q^{i+1} + \dots + \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; d_S)p(S; i)q^{i+d_S}.$$

Looking at coefficients of  $q^N$  for  $N \geq 1$ , we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)p(S; N - n) = 0. \tag{2-1}$$

This defines a linear recurrence relation. Noting that  $b(S; 0) = 1$ , we have

$$p(S; N) = - \sum_{n=1}^{d_S} b(S; n)p(S; N - n).$$

We consider consecutive  $d_S$ -tuples of consecutive partition values. Arranging these tuples in order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(p(S; 0), p(S; 1), \dots, p(S; d_S - 1)), \\ &(p(S; d_S), p(S; d_S + 1), \dots, p(S; 2d_S - 1)), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. By reducing modulo  $m$ , we will find a first pair of  $d_S$ -tuples that agrees modulo  $m$ . Indeed, the maximal possible number of different tuples is  $m^{d_S}$ . Suppose that the first tuple of this pair starts at  $p(S; n_0)$ , and the second tuple starts

at  $p(S; n_1)$ . Since by the linear recurrence relation, each tuple determines the next tuple, we have inductively that for all nonnegative  $i$ ,

$$p(S; n_0 + i) \equiv p(S; n_1 + i) \pmod{m}.$$

We will first show that the residue classes of each tuple after the first determines the preceding tuple's residue classes. For any  $a_0 = vd_S$ , with  $v \geq 1$ , we consider the tuple

$$(p(S; a_0), \dots, p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1)).$$

By (2-1), and noting that  $b(S; d_S) = (-1)^t$ , we have

$$(-1)^{t+1} p(S; N - d_S) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_S-1} b(S; n) p(S; N - n).$$

It follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - 1) &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{d_S-1} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1 - i) \pmod{m}, \\ (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - 2) &\equiv \left( \sum_{i=0}^{d_S-2} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 + d_S - 2 - i) \right) \\ &\quad + b(S; d_S - 1) p(S; a_0 - 1) \pmod{m}, \\ &\vdots \\ (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - d_S) &\equiv b(S; 0) p(S; a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{d_S-1} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 - i) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the residue classes of  $(p(S; a_0), \dots, p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1))$  reduced modulo  $m$  uniquely determine the residue classes of  $(p(S; a_0 - d_S), \dots, p(S; a_0 - 1))$  reduced modulo  $m$ .

To complete the proof, we must show that  $n_0 = 0$ . By hypothesis,

$$(p(S; n_0), \dots, p(S; d_S - 1)) \equiv (p(S; n_1), \dots, p(S; n_1 + d_S - 1)) \pmod{m}.$$

Suppose  $n_0 = vd_S$  where  $v \geq 1$ , (i.e.,  $n_0 \neq 0$ ). Then, by the argument above, we have

$$(p(S; n_0 - d_S), \dots, p(S; n_0 - 1)) \equiv (p(S; n_1 - d_S), \dots, p(S; n_1 - 1)) \pmod{m}.$$

This result contradicts our hypothesis that the first-repeated tuple started for the first time at  $p(S; n_0)$ . Therefore, we can conclude that  $n_0 = 0$ , and so we let  $\gamma_m(S) := n_1$ . In particular, for any nonnegative  $k$ , we have (1-2).

### 3. Proof of Corollary 1.2

By Theorem 1.1, for any nonnegative  $k_1$ , we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv p(S_1; n) \pmod{m}.$$

Clearly, for any nonnegative  $k_2$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \pmod{m}.$$

Thus, subtracting two congruences, we have (1-6):

$$\begin{aligned} p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \\ \equiv p(S_1; n) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-1)$$

Since  $\frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)} G(S_2; q) = G(S_1; q)$ , we know

$$G(S_1; q) - X(q)G(S_2; q) \equiv G(S_1; q) - q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)} G(S_1; q) \pmod{m}. \quad (3-2)$$

By comparing coefficients in (3-2), we have, for  $n \geq d\gamma_m(S_1)$ ,

$$p(S_1; n) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (3-3)$$

Thus by (3-1), if  $n \geq c$ , then for any nonnegative  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , since  $c \geq d\gamma_m(S_1)$ , we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \pmod{m}.$$

### 4. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ken Ono, Marie Jameson and Zach Kent for running the research course at Emory University in which this paper was written.

### References

[Kronholm 2005] B. Kronholm, "On congruence properties of  $p(n, m)$ ", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133**:10 (2005), 2891–2895. MR 2006e:11161 Zbl 1065.05014

[Kronholm 2007] B. Kronholm, "On congruence properties of consecutive values of  $p(n, m)$ ", *Integers* **7**:1 (2007), #A16. MR 2008c:11138 Zbl 05139414

Received: 2011-04-28

Accepted: 2011-06-17

[agruet@emory.edu](mailto:agruet@emory.edu)*PO Box 2054, Acton, MA 01720, United States*[lwang75@emory.edu](mailto:lwang75@emory.edu)*No. 6 Building 14, Fuhehuayuan, Shuliang County,  
Chihingdu, Sichuan, China*[yu.katherin@gmail.com](mailto:yu.katherin@gmail.com)*51 Clearfield Dr., San Francisco, CA 94132, United States*[jzeng6@emory.edu](mailto:jzeng6@emory.edu)*1503 North Decatur Rd, No. 4, Atlanta 30327, United States*

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, [berenhks@wfu.edu](mailto:berenhks@wfu.edu)

### BOARD OF EDITORS

John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA <a href="mailto:baxley@wfu.edu">baxley@wfu.edu</a>	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:ckli@math.wm.edu">ckli@math.wm.edu</a>
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA <a href="mailto:benjamin@hmc.edu">benjamin@hmc.edu</a>	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:lund@clemson.edu">lund@clemson.edu</a>
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA <a href="mailto:bohner@mst.edu">bohner@mst.edu</a>	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand <a href="mailto:g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz">g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz</a>
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA <a href="mailto:boston@math.wisc.edu">boston@math.wisc.edu</a>	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA <a href="mailto:meyer@stat.colostate.edu">meyer@stat.colostate.edu</a>
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:budhiraj@email.unc.edu">budhiraj@email.unc.edu</a>	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria <a href="mailto:eminchev@hotmail.com">eminchev@hotmail.com</a>
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au">pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au</a>	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:frank.morgan@williams.edu">frank.morgan@williams.edu</a>
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA <a href="mailto:scott.chapman@shsu.edu">scott.chapman@shsu.edu</a>	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran <a href="mailto:moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir">moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir</a>
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA <a href="mailto:corcoran@colorado.edu">corcoran@colorado.edu</a>	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA <a href="mailto:znashed@mail.ucf.edu">znashed@mail.ucf.edu</a>
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA <a href="mailto:tdiagana@howard.edu">tdiagana@howard.edu</a>	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:ono@mathcs.emory.edu">ono@mathcs.emory.edu</a>
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA <a href="mailto:mdorff@math.byu.edu">mdorff@math.byu.edu</a>	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:tobrie1@luc.edu">tobrie1@luc.edu</a>
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:sever@matilda.vu.edu.au">sever@matilda.vu.edu.au</a>	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA <a href="mailto:orourke@cs.smith.edu">orourke@cs.smith.edu</a>
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE <a href="mailto:bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae">bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae</a>	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA <a href="mailto:peres@microsoft.com">peres@microsoft.com</a>
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:fulp@wfu.edu">fulp@wfu.edu</a>	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland <a href="mailto:petermann@math.unige.ch">petermann@math.unige.ch</a>
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA <a href="mailto:kgallian@d.umn.edu">kgallian@d.umn.edu</a>	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:rjplemmons@wfu.edu">rjplemmons@wfu.edu</a>
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA <a href="mailto:stephan.garcia@pomona.edu">stephan.garcia@pomona.edu</a>	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA <a href="mailto:carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu">carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu</a>
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:rg@mathcs.emory.edu">rg@mathcs.emory.edu</a>	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA <a href="mailto:vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu">vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu</a>
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada <a href="mailto:andrew@dms.umontreal.ca">andrew@dms.umontreal.ca</a>	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:poonen@math.berkeley.edu">poonen@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:griggs@math.sc.edu">griggs@math.sc.edu</a>	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA <a href="mailto:jpropp@cs.uml.edu">jpropp@cs.uml.edu</a>
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:rg@mathcs.emory.edu">rg@mathcs.emory.edu</a>	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA <a href="mailto:przytyck@gwu.edu">przytyck@gwu.edu</a>
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:sgupta@uncg.edu">sgupta@uncg.edu</a>	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA <a href="mailto:rrebarbe@math.unl.edu">rrebarbe@math.unl.edu</a>
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA <a href="mailto:jhaglund@math.upenn.edu">jhaglund@math.upenn.edu</a>	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA <a href="mailto:rwr@cs.uga.edu">rwr@cs.uga.edu</a>
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA <a href="mailto:johnny_henderson@baylor.edu">johnny_henderson@baylor.edu</a>	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:f_saidak@uncg.edu">f_saidak@uncg.edu</a>
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:nahritonenko@pvamu.edu">nahritonenko@pvamu.edu</a>	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA <a href="mailto:sellersj@math.psu.edu">sellersj@math.psu.edu</a>
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:crjohnso@math.wm.edu">crjohnso@math.wm.edu</a>	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor <a href="mailto:andy@ajsterge.com">andy@ajsterge.com</a>
Karen Kafadar	University of Colorado, USA <a href="mailto:karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu">karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu</a>	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA <a href="mailto:atrenk@wellesley.edu">atrenk@wellesley.edu</a>
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:kk@ces.clemson.edu">kk@ces.clemson.edu</a>	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:vakil@math.stanford.edu">vakil@math.stanford.edu</a>
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA <a href="mailto:gladas@math.uri.edu">gladas@math.uri.edu</a>	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA <a href="mailto:verma99@msn.com">verma99@msn.com</a>
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:larson@math.tamu.edu">larson@math.tamu.edu</a>	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:wierman@jhu.edu">wierman@jhu.edu</a>
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA <a href="mailto:lenhart@math.utk.edu">lenhart@math.utk.edu</a>	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA <a href="mailto:zieve@umich.edu">zieve@umich.edu</a>

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or <http://msp.berkeley.edu/involve> for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$100/year for the electronic version, and \$130/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



PUBLISHED BY  
**mathematical sciences publishers**  
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers



# involve

2011

vol. 4

no. 4

<a href="#">Maximality of the Bernstein polynomials</a>	307
CHRISTOPHER FRAYER AND CHRISTOPHER SHAFHAUSER	
<a href="#">The family of ternary cyclotomic polynomials with one free prime</a>	317
YVES GALLOT, PIETER MOREE AND ROBERT WILMS	
<a href="#">Preimages of quadratic dynamical systems</a>	343
BENJAMIN HUTZ, TREVOR HYDE AND BENJAMIN KRAUSE	
<a href="#">The Steiner problem on the regular tetrahedron</a>	365
KYRA MOON, GINA SHERO AND DENISE HALVERSON	
<a href="#">Constructions of potentially eventually positive sign patterns with reducible positive part</a>	405
MARIE ARCHER, MINERVA CATRAL, CRAIG ERICKSON, RANA HABER, LESLIE HOGBEN, XAVIER MARTINEZ-RIVERA AND ANTONIO OCHOA	
<a href="#">Congruence properties of <math>S</math>-partition functions</a>	411
ANDREW GRUET, LINZHI WANG, KATHERINE YU AND JIANGANG ZENG	