

# involve

a journal of mathematics

Knots in the canonical book representation  
of complete graphs

Dana Rowland and Andrea Politano



# Knots in the canonical book representation of complete graphs

Dana Rowland and Andrea Politano

(Communicated by Joel Foisy)

We describe which knots can be obtained as cycles in the canonical book representation of the complete graph  $K_n$ , and we conjecture that the canonical book representation of  $K_n$  attains the least possible number of knotted cycles for any embedding of  $K_n$ . The canonical book representation of  $K_n$  contains a Hamiltonian cycle that is a composite knot if and only if  $n \geq 12$ . When  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, the  $(p, q)$  torus knot is a Hamiltonian cycle in the canonical book representation of  $K_{2p+q}$ . For each knotted Hamiltonian cycle  $\alpha$  in the canonical book representation of  $K_n$ , there are at least  $2^k \binom{n+k}{k}$  Hamiltonian cycles that are ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$  in the canonical book representation of  $K_{n+k}$ . Finally, we list the number and type of all nontrivial knots that occur as cycles in the canonical book representation of  $K_n$  for  $n \leq 11$ .

## 1. Introduction

In  $K_n$ , the *complete graph* on  $n$  vertices, every pair of distinct vertices is joined by an edge. An embedding or spatial representation of  $K_n$  is a particular way of joining the  $n$  vertices in three-dimensional space. Conway and Gordon [1983] proved that every spatial representation of  $K_6$  contains at least one pair of linked triangles and every spatial representation of  $K_7$  contains at least one knotted Hamiltonian cycle. They included examples of embeddings of  $K_6$  and  $K_7$  that were minimally linked or knotted—their embedding of  $K_6$  contained exactly one pair of linked triangles and their embedding of  $K_7$  contained exactly one knotted Hamiltonian cycle.

Otsuki [1996] introduced a family of spatial representations of  $K_n$  that generalized these examples of Conway and Gordon. Otsuki's spatial representation of  $K_n$  is an example of a book representation. Projections of book representations prevent complicated interactions between edges. In particular,

---

*MSC2010:* 05C10, 57M15, 57M25.

*Keywords:* spatial graph, intrinsically knotted, canonical book.

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding for this project received from the Merrimack College Paul E. Murray Fellowship.

- no edge crosses itself;
- a pair of edges cross at most once;
- if edge  $e_1$  crosses over edge  $e_2$  and edge  $e_2$  crosses over edge  $e_3$ , then edge  $e_1$  crosses over edge  $e_3$ .

Because book representations minimize the entanglement among the edges in a graph, they are good candidates for minimizing the linking and knotting in an embedding of a graph.

Otsuki called his family of embeddings the *canonical book representations* of  $K_n$ , which in this paper we denote by  $\tilde{K}_n$ . He showed that any subcollection of  $m$  vertices of  $\tilde{K}_n$  induces a subgraph that is ambient isotopic to  $\tilde{K}_m$ . Note this implies that, for  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains exactly  $\binom{n}{6}$  linked triangles, all of which are ambient isotopic to the Hopf link, and for  $n \geq 7$ ,  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains exactly  $\binom{n}{7}$  knotted 7-cycles, all of which are trefoil knots. Since Conway and Gordon's theorem implies that any spatial embedding of  $K_n$  contains at least  $\binom{n}{6}$  linked triangles and at least  $\binom{n}{7}$  nontrivially knotted 7-cycles, a canonical book representation is minimally linked and knotted in this sense.

In addition, Fleming and Mellor [2009] proved that a canonical book representation of  $K_n$  attains  $14\binom{n}{7}$  triangle-square links, and showed this is the minimum possible for any embedding of  $K_n$ . They also conjectured that for any graph  $G$  there is some book representation that realizes the minimal number of nontrivial links possible in an embedding of  $G$ .

Similarly, the canonical book representation  $\tilde{K}_n$  is a candidate for the minimal number of knotted cycles in an embedding.

In this paper, we focus on which knots arise as knotted Hamiltonian cycles in the canonical book representation for  $n > 7$ . In [Section 2](#) we review the definitions of book representations and Otsuki's canonical book representation, and show how knotted cycles in  $\tilde{K}_n$  are related to knotted cycles in  $\tilde{K}_{n+1}$ . In [Section 3](#) we show that  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains a  $(p, q)$  torus knot (or link) when  $n \geq q + 2p$ . In [Section 4](#) we examine composite knots in the canonical book representation, and in [Section 5](#) we give a listing of all the knots that appear as cycles in  $\tilde{K}_n$  for  $8 \leq n \leq 11$  and we conjecture about the ways in which  $\tilde{K}_n$  may achieve the minimal possible knotting complexity.

## 2. The canonical book representation of $K_n$

In this section, we review the right canonical book representation, as defined in [\[Otsuki 1996\]](#). (In the right canonical book representation, the knotted 7-cycles are right-handed trefoil knots. The left canonical book representation is the mirror image of the one presented here.)

**Definition 1.** A  $k$ -book is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consisting of a line  $L$  and distinct half-planes  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  with boundary  $L$ . The line  $L$  forms the spine of the book and the half-planes  $S_i$  form the pages, or sheets. We denote a  $k$ -book by  $B_k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $f : G \rightarrow B_k \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a tame embedding of  $G$ . We say that the spatial representation  $f(G)$  is a  $k$ -book representation of  $G$  if:

- (1) each vertex of  $f(G)$  is on the line  $L$ ;
- (2) each edge of  $f(G)$  is contained in exactly one sheet  $S_i$ .


If  $\tilde{G}$  is a  $k$ -book representation of  $G$ , then  $\tilde{G}$  can be deformed by an ambient isotopy so that the vertices lie on a circle  $C$  and the edges are chords on  $k$  internally disjoint topological disks, all of which have  $C$  as their boundary. For the remainder of this paper, we will treat the sheets  $S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  as topological disks. In a projection of the embedding onto the plane containing  $C$ , we assume that the sheets are labeled so that sheet  $S_i$  is “above” sheet  $S_j$  if  $i < j$ . A  $k$ -book embedding is determined, up to ambient isotopy, by specifying which edges are in which sheet.

The *sheet-number* of a graph  $G$  is the smallest possible  $k$  for which  $G$  has a  $k$ -book representation.


For  $K_n$ , the sheet-number is  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ , the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $n/2$ ; see [Bernhart and Kainen 1979] or [Kobayashi 1992] for proofs. Otsuki’s right canonical book representation,  $\tilde{K}_n$ , provides an example of a minimal-sheet book embedding of  $K_n$  [Otsuki 1996].

To describe  $\tilde{K}_n$ , it suffices to list which sheet contains each edge. Label the  $n$  vertices with the integers 1 through  $n$ .

**Case 1: The number of vertices is even.** When  $n = 2m$ , there are  $m$  sheets and each of the sheets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  contains  $2m - 1$  edges. Sheet  $S_i$  contains the edges joining vertex  $i$  to vertex  $i + j$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and the edges joining vertex  $i + m$  to  $(i + m + j) \bmod 2m$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . See Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Sheet  $S_i$  in the canonical book representation of  $K_{2m}$ .



**Figure 2.** Sheets  $S_i$  ( $i \leq m$ ) and  $S_{m+1}$  in the canonical book representation of  $K_{2m+1}$ .

Alternatively, if we are given an edge joining vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ , we can determine which sheet the edge is in:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $n = 2m$  and let  $(i, j)$ , with  $i < j$ , be the edge joining vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in the projection of  $\tilde{K}_n$ . Then we can determine which sheet contains  $(i, j)$ :*

- *If  $i \leq m$  and  $j - i \leq m$ , then  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_i$ .*
- *If  $i \leq m$  and  $j - i \geq m + 1$ , then  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{j-m}$ .*
- *If  $i \geq m + 1$ , then  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{i-m}$ .*

Furthermore, suppose the edge  $(i, j)$  crosses the edge  $(k, l)$  in the projection. We may assume without loss of generality that  $1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq 2m$ . Then edge  $(k, l)$  is on top of edge  $(i, j)$  if and only if  $i \leq m$  and  $k \geq m + 1$ .

**Case 2: The number of vertices is odd.** When  $n = 2m + 1$ , the sheets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  each contain  $2m$  edges and sheet  $S_{m+1}$  is a “half-sheet” containing  $m$  edges. For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , sheet  $S_i$  contains the edges joining vertex  $i$  to vertex  $i + j$ , and the edges joining vertex  $i + m + 1$  to  $(i + m + j + 1) \bmod (2m + 1)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Sheet  $S_{m+1}$  contains the edges joining vertex  $m + 1$  to vertex  $m + 1 + j$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . See Figure 2.

If we are given an edge joining vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ , we can determine which sheet the edge is in:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $n = 2m + 1$ , and let  $(i, j)$ , with  $i < j$ , be the edge joining vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in the projection of  $\tilde{K}_n$ . Then we can determine which sheet contains  $(i, j)$ .*

- *If  $i \leq m + 1$  and  $j - i \leq m + 1$ , then the edge is in  $S_i$ .*
- *If  $i \leq m + 1$  and  $j - i \geq m + 2$ , then the edge is in  $S_{j-m-1}$ .*
- *If  $i \geq m + 2$ , then the edge is in  $S_{i-m-1}$ .*

Furthermore, suppose the edge  $(i, j)$  crosses the edge  $(k, l)$  in the projection. We may assume without loss of generality that  $1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq 2m+1$ . Then edge  $(k, l)$  is on top of edge  $(i, j)$  if and only if  $i \leq m+1$  and  $k \geq m+2$ .

Otsuki [1996] proved that the canonical book representation has the property that any subgraph induced by a subcollection of vertices is ambient isotopic to a canonical book representation. In particular, we have the following:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be an  $n$ -cycle through the vertices  $1, \dots, n$  in  $\tilde{K}_N$ . Then the  $n$ -cycle  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  through the vertices  $1, \dots, n$  in  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$  is ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(i, j)$  and  $(k, l)$  be edges of the cycle  $\alpha$  in  $\tilde{K}_N$ , labeled so that  $i < j$ ,  $k < l$ , and  $i < k$ . Two edges cross in the projection of  $\tilde{K}_N$  if and only if they cross in the projection of  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ , which occurs if and only if  $i < k < j < l$ .

First, consider the case  $N = 2m+1$ . We can use Lemmas 2 and 3 to verify that:

- (1) If  $i < k \leq m+1$  or if  $m+2 \leq i < k$ , then  $(i, j)$  crosses over  $(k, l)$  in both  $\tilde{K}_N$  and  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ .
- (2) If  $i \leq m+1$  and  $k \geq m+2$ , then  $(k, l)$  crosses over  $(i, j)$  in both  $\tilde{K}_N$  and  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ .

Since there are no crossing changes between edges, the cycle  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  represents the same knot in both  $\tilde{K}_{2m+1}$  and  $\tilde{K}_{2m+2}$ .

Now suppose that  $N = 2m$ . Using Lemmas 2 and 3 we observe that:

- (1) If  $i < k \leq m$  or if  $m+2 \leq i < k$ , then  $(i, j)$  crosses over  $(k, l)$  in both  $\tilde{K}_N$  and  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ .
- (2) If  $i \leq m$  and  $k \geq m+2$ , then  $(k, l)$  crosses over  $(i, j)$  in both  $\tilde{K}_N$  and  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ .
- (3) If  $i = m+1$  or if  $k = m+1$  then a crossing change occurs between edges  $(i, j)$  and  $(k, l)$  when moving from  $\tilde{K}_N$  to  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ .

Notice that if  $i = m+1$  (or  $k = m+1$ ), then  $(i, j)$  (or, respectively,  $(k, l)$ ) is in the top sheet in  $\tilde{K}_N$  and the bottom sheet in  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$ . An edge in the bottom sheet of  $\tilde{K}_{N+1}$  is under *all* other edges and can be moved by an ambient isotopy so that it lies over all other edges. Thus, the only crossing changes that occur do not change the knot type, and the cycle  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  represents the same knot in both  $\tilde{K}_{2m}$  and  $\tilde{K}_{2m+1}$ .  $\square$

We also know that, if a Hamiltonian cycle with a certain knot type appears in  $\tilde{K}_n$ , then  $\tilde{K}_N$  must contain a Hamiltonian cycle with the same knot type for any  $N > n$ . The following theorem indicates one way to find such a cycle:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  denote an  $n$ -cycle through all the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n+1$  except  $i+1$  in  $\tilde{K}_{n+1}$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_k = i$  and  $\alpha_{k+1} = j$ . Then the Hamiltonian cycle  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, i+1, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to check that in  $\tilde{K}_n$  any edge  $(i, j)$  is at most one sheet apart from the edge  $(i+1, j)$ . This will guarantee that the edge  $(i, j)$  can be moved to the path  $(i, i+1, j)$  by an ambient isotopy, since if the edge  $(i+1, j)$  is one sheet level above or one below the edge  $(i, j)$  then the path  $(i, i+1, j)$  crosses the same edges as the edge  $(i, j)$  and in the same manner. In other words, no edge can pass through the triangle formed by the cycle  $(i, i+1, j)$ . Note that the top and bottom sheets can also be considered consecutive, since an edge on the bottom sheet can be deformed by ambient isotopy to be on top of all the sheets, and vice versa.

We will verify that edges  $(i, j)$  and  $(i+1, j)$  are at most one sheet apart when  $i < j$ . The proof for when  $i > j$  is similar, and is left to the reader. There are six cases to check.

*Case 1:  $i \geq m+1$  and there are an even number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 2](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{i-m}$ . The edge  $(i+1, j)$  is in  $S_{i+1-m}$ . Therefore, the edges are in consecutive sheets.

*Case 2:  $i \geq m+2$  and there are an odd number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 3](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{i-m-1}$ . The edge  $(i+1, j)$  is in  $S_{i+1-m-1}$  which equals  $S_{i-m}$ . Therefore, the edges are in consecutive sheets.

*Case 3:  $i \leq m$ ,  $j-i \leq m$  and there are an even number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 2](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_i$ . There are two possibilities for the sheet level of the edge  $(i+1, j)$ . First, if  $i < m$ , then  $i+1 \leq m$ , and

$$j-(i+1) = j-i-1 \leq m-1 \leq m.$$

Therefore, edge  $(i+1, j)$  is in  $S_{i+1}$ . Second, if  $i = m$ , then  $i+1 \geq m+1$  so edge  $(i+1, j)$  is in  $S_{i+1-m} = S_{m+1-m} = S_1$ . This would not change the knot type because the edge  $(i, j)$  was in the very last sheet and this edge is in the very first sheet. In both cases, the edges are in consecutive sheets.

*Case 4:  $i \leq m+1$ ,  $j-i \leq m+1$  and there are an odd number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 3](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_i$ . Again, there are two possibilities for the sheet level of edge  $(i+1, j)$ . First, if  $i < m+1$ , then  $i+1 \leq m+1$ , and so  $j-(i+1) = j-i-1 \leq m \leq m+1$  meaning this edge is found in  $S_{i+1}$ . This is one sheet level below the original edge. Second, if  $i = m+1$ , then  $i+1 \geq m+2$ . The edge  $(i+1, j)$  is therefore in  $S_{i+1-m-1} = S_{m+1+1-m-1} = S_1$ , the very first sheet. As in case 3, this means that the knot type remains unchanged.

*Case 5:  $i \leq m$ ,  $j-i \geq m+1$  and there are an even number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 2](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{j-m}$ . Note that, if  $i = m$ , then  $j \geq 2m+1$ , which is impossible, since there are only  $2m$  vertices. That leaves two possibilities for the sheet level of edge  $(i+1, j)$ . First, if  $i < m$  and  $j > i+m+1$ , then  $i+1 \leq m$  and  $j-(i+1) \geq m+1$ . This forces the edge to be in  $S_{j-m}$ , and so both edges are in the

same sheet. Second, if  $i < m$  and  $j = i + m + 1$ , then  $i + 1 \leq m$  and  $j - (i + 1) = m$ . This means the edge is in  $S_{i+1}$ , which is equivalent to  $S_{j-m}$  because  $j = i + m + 1$ . Again, both edges are in the same sheet.

*Case 6:  $i \leq m + 1$ ,  $j - i \geq m + 2$  and there are an odd number of vertices.* Refer to [Lemma 3](#). The edge  $(i, j)$  is in  $S_{j-m-1}$ . Note that, if  $i = m + 1$ , then  $j \geq 2m + 3$ , which is impossible, so we can assume  $i < m + 1$ . There are two possibilities for the sheet level of edge  $(i + 1, j)$ . First, if  $i < m + 1$  and  $j > i + m + 2$ , then  $i + 1 \leq m + 1$  and  $j - (i + 1) \geq m + 2$ . This means the edge is in  $S_{j-m-1}$ . Second, if  $i < m + 1$  and  $j = i + m + 2$ , then  $i + 1 \leq m + 1$  and  $j - (i + 1) = m + 1$ . Again, the edge is in  $S_{i+1} = S_{j-m-1}$ . Both edges are in the same sheet.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Suppose  $\alpha$  is a Hamiltonian cycle in  $\tilde{K}_n$  with the property that no edge of  $\alpha$  joins consecutively labeled vertices. Let  $N = n + k$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $\tilde{K}_N$  contains at least  $2^k \binom{N}{k}$  Hamiltonian cycles that are ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ .*


*Proof.* The subgraph induced by any  $n$  vertices of  $\tilde{K}_N$  is ambient isotopic to  $\tilde{K}_n$ , so there are at least  $\binom{N}{n}$   $n$ -cycles in  $\tilde{K}_N$  that are ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ . These cycles share the property that no edge joins consecutive vertices. Let  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be such an  $n$ -cycle. Choose the smallest integer  $j$  such that  $j = \alpha_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  but  $j + 1 \neq \alpha_l$  for any  $1 \leq l \leq n$ . (Note: if  $j = N$ , we interpret  $j + 1$  as 1.) By the proof of [Theorem 5](#), the cycles  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, j + 1, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, j + 1, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$  are both ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ . Repeat this step until all vertices in  $\tilde{K}_N$  are used. This gives  $2^k$  ways to extend each  $n$ -cycle, which produces  $2^k \binom{N}{k}$  distinct Hamiltonian cycles that are ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ , as claimed.  $\square$

This immediately implies that there are at least  $2^{N-7} \binom{N}{7}$  Hamiltonian cycles that are trefoil knots in  $\tilde{K}_N$  when  $N \geq 7$ . This bound is not sharp, however, as shown in the table in [Section 5](#).

### 3. Torus knots in the canonical book embedding

Recall that a *torus link* is a knot or link that can be embedded on the standard (unknotted) torus in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A  $(p, q)$  torus link can be deformed so that it crosses every meridian (a closed curve that bounds a topological disk that is “inside” the torus) of the torus  $p$  times and every longitude (a closed curve that bounds a topological disk that is “outside” the torus) of the torus  $q$  times. When  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, the link is a knot. See [[Adams 1994](#), Section 5.1] for a general description of  $(p, q)$  torus knots and links.

A  $(p, q)$  torus knot can also be described as the closure of a braid on  $p$  strands, with braid word  $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-1})^q$ . Recall that  $\sigma_i$  denotes that the  $i$ -th strand of the braid crosses over the  $(i + 1)$ -st strand of the braid, and equivalent braid words can



**Figure 3.** The cycle  $(1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 2, 4, 6, 8)$  in  $\tilde{K}_9$  is the knot  $5_1$ . It can be described by the braid word  $\sigma_1^4 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_1^3 \sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_1^5$ .

be obtained using the braid relations  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$  and  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$  when  $|i - j| \geq 2$ . See [Adams 1994, Section 5.4] or [Birman 1975] for references on braids.

Consider the Hamiltonian cycle  $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m + 1, 2, 4, \dots, 2m)$  in  $\tilde{K}_{2m+1}$ . This cycle forms the closure of a 2-strand braid with  $2m + 1$  crossings. See Figure 3. For each  $i \leq 2m - 2$ , we know from Lemma 3 that the edge  $(i, i + 2)$  crosses over the edge  $(i + 1, i + 3)$  except when  $i = m + 1$ . Edge  $(2m - 1, 2m + 1)$  crosses over edge  $(2m, 1)$ , edge  $(2m, 1)$  crosses over edge  $(2m + 1, 2)$ , and edge  $(2m + 1, 2)$  crosses under edge  $(1, 3)$ . The resulting braid word is

$$\sigma^m \sigma^{-1} \sigma^{(2m-2)-(m+1)} \sigma \sigma \sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{2m-3}.$$

Therefore, we see that  $\tilde{K}_{2m+1}$  contains a  $(2, 2m - 3)$  torus knot. When  $q$  is odd,  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains a  $(2, q)$  torus knot as one of its Hamiltonian cycles for all  $n \geq q + 4$ . (Note: when  $q$  is even, the same argument shows that  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains a  $(2, q)$  torus link.)

Suppose  $n > 6$  is not a multiple of 3, and consider the Hamiltonian cycle  $(1, 4, 7, \dots)$  in  $\tilde{K}_n$ . This cycle forms the closure of a 3-strand braid with word  $\prod_{i=1}^n (\sigma_1^{\delta_1(i)} \sigma_2^{\delta_2(i)})$  where  $\delta_1(i) = 1$  if the edge  $(i, i + 3)$  is over the edge  $(i + 1, i + 4)$  and  $-1$  otherwise, and  $\delta_2(i) = 1$  if the edge  $(i, i + 3)$  is over the edge  $(i + 2, i + 5)$  and  $-1$  otherwise. (The vertex labels are to be taken modulo  $n$ .)

Suppose  $n = 2m$  is even. (The case for when  $n$  is odd is similar, and omitted.) Then Lemma 2 implies that  $\delta_1(i) = -1$  if and only if  $i$  is  $m$  or  $n$ , and  $\delta_2(i) = -1$  if and only if  $i$  is one of  $m - 1, m, n - 1$  or  $n$ . The braid word becomes

$$(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^{m-2} \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^{m-2} \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1}.$$

Since the braid relations imply that

$$\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1},$$

we see that  $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2$  is the identity. Therefore the braid word can be reduced to  $(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{n-6}$ . This shows that  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains a  $(3, n-6)$  torus knot. For any  $n \geq q+6$ , the spatial representation  $\tilde{K}_n$  contains a  $(3, q)$  torus knot (or link, if  $q$  is a multiple of 3).

An extension of this argument leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $p, q$ , and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $p \leq q$  and  $n \geq q+2p$ . Then the canonical book representation of  $K_n$  contains a  $(p, q)$  torus knot (or link).*

*Proof.* By [Theorem 5](#), it suffices to prove this theorem when  $n = 2p+q$ . Consider the knot or link in  $\tilde{K}_{2p+q}$  consisting of all edges of the form  $(i, i+p)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where the vertex labels are taken modulo  $n$ . This knot or link can be described as a braid on  $p$  strands with braid word

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^n [\sigma_1^{\delta_1(i)} \sigma_2^{\delta_2(i)} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}^{\delta_{p-1}(i)}],$$

where

$$\delta_j(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if edge } (i, i+p) \text{ is over edge } (i+j, i+j+p), \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will use [Lemma 2](#) to prove the case when  $n$  is even. The case for  $n$  odd is left to the reader.

Suppose  $n = 2m$ . By [Lemma 2](#),

$$\delta_j(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m-j, \\ -1 & \text{if } m-j+1 \leq i \leq m, \\ 1 & \text{if } m+1 \leq i \leq n-j, \\ -1 & \text{if } n-j+1 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$


and this allows us to express  $w$  as

$$w = [(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-1})^{m-p+1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-2})\sigma_{p-1}^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-3})\sigma_{p-2}^{-1}\sigma_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}^{-1}]^2.$$

Next, observe that

$$(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-1})(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-2})\sigma_{p-1}^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-3})\sigma_{p-2}^{-1}\sigma_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}^{-1}$$

is equivalent to the identity. For example, when  $p = 4$ , we can use the braid relations



**Figure 4.** The braid word  $(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1})(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1})(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1})$  is equivalent to the identity.

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1})(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1})(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}) \\
 &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)(\sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1})(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1})(\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}) \\
 &= (\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2)(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}) \\
 &= \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

(See Figure 4.) This implies that the braid word simplifies to

$$w = (\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-1})^{2m-2p} = (\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{p-1})^q,$$

so we obtain a  $(p, q)$  torus link as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. Composite knots in the canonical book embedding


In this section we prove that the canonical book embedding of  $K_n$  contains a composite knot for all  $n \geq 12$ . We also show that, if we choose any two knotted Hamiltonian cycles contained in  $\tilde{K}_p$  and  $\tilde{K}_q$ , respectively, their composite will be a Hamiltonian cycle in  $\tilde{K}_{p+q+1}$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $n \geq 14$ . Then the cycle*

$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, \dots, n, 2, 4, 6)$$

*in the canonical book representation of  $K_n$  is the composite of two trefoils.*

*Proof.* We can find a composite knot in  $\tilde{K}_{14}$  by first finding trefoils in two disjoint subgraphs. The first subgraph is induced by vertices 1 through 7. The second subgraph is induced by vertices 8 through 14.



**Figure 5.** Cycle (1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 2, 4, 6) is ambient isotopic to cycle (1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 4, 6) since the edge (2, 7), shown as a dashed line, can be replaced by the path (2, 14, 7).

Any set of seven vertices of  $\tilde{K}_{14}$  induces a graph that is ambient isotopic to the canonical book representation of  $K_7$ . In  $\tilde{K}_7$  there is exactly one trefoil knot. Therefore, there is exactly one trefoil in each subgraph of  $\tilde{K}_{14}$  induced by seven vertices. The first subgraph has a trefoil in the cycle (1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 4, 6). Notice that this cycle is ambient isotopic to the cycle (1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 2, 4, 6) in  $\tilde{K}_{14}$ . See [Figure 5](#).

These cycles are ambient isotopic because the only edges of the cycles which intersect the path (2, 14, 7) and the edge (2, 7) are edges (1, 3) and (1, 6). Both of these edges lie in  $S_1$  meaning that any path or edge that crosses those two edges will fall in a lower sheet. This means that the edge (2, 7) can be replaced with the path (2, 14, 7) without changing the knot type.

The second subgraph (induced by vertices 8 through 14) has a trefoil in the cycle

$$(8, 10, 12, 14, 9, 11, 13).$$


Notice that this cycle is ambient isotopic to the cycle

$$(8, 10, 12, 14, 7, 9, 11, 13).$$


See [Figure 6](#).

These cycles are ambient isotopic because both the path (9, 7, 14) and the edge (9, 14) cross edges (8, 10) and (8, 13), which are both in  $S_1$ . Therefore, any edge or path that crosses these two edges will still remain under them, meaning that the path (9, 7, 14) can be replaced with the edge (9, 14) without affecting the knot type.

Place the two cycles on  $\tilde{K}_{14}$ . When these two cycles are layered they share the edge (7, 14). Removing edge (7, 14) (which is the shared edge that has no crossings), will create the composite of the two trefoils. See [Figure 7](#). The cycle



**Figure 6.** Cycle (8, 10, 12, 14, 7, 9, 11, 13) is ambient isotopic to cycle (8, 10, 12, 14, 9, 11, 13) since the edge (9, 14), shown as a dashed line, can be replaced by the path (9, 7, 14).



**Figure 7.** Composite knot in  $K_{14}$ . The dashed line is the edge removed from both factor knots to form the composite.

with the composite knot in  $\tilde{K}_{14}$  is


$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 8, 10, 12, 14, 2, 4, 6).$$

For  $n > 14$ , the fact that the cycle

$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, \dots, n, 2, 4, 6)$$

in the canonical book representation of  $K_n$  is the composite of two trefoils follows immediately from [Theorem 5](#). □

We can improve this result by finding a composite knot in  $\tilde{K}_{13}$ . Consider two subgraphs of  $\tilde{K}_{13}$ . Let the first subgraph of  $\tilde{K}_{13}$  be induced by vertices 1 through 7, and let the second subgraph be induced by vertices 7 through 13. Refer to [Figure 8](#).



**Figure 8.** Composite knot in  $K_{13}$ . On the left, the two cycles are layered with the dashed lines representing the edges to be removed. On the right is the composite formed by adding the bold edge  $(2, 7)$ .

Since each subgraph is ambient isotopic to  $\tilde{K}_7$ , each subgraph contains exactly one trefoil knot. The first subgraph has a trefoil knot in the cycle

$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 4, 6).$$

The second subgraph has a trefoil in the cycle


$$(7, 9, 11, 13, 8, 10, 12).$$

Place these two cycles together in  $\tilde{K}_{13}$ . Notice that 4 edges meet at vertex 7. Connect the knots by joining edges  $(5, 7)$  and  $(7, 9)$  and replacing the path  $(2, 7, 12)$  with the edge  $(2, 12)$ . This results in the cycle

$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 8, 10, 12, 2, 4, 6).$$

Note that edge  $(2, 12)$  crosses edges  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(1, 6)$ ,  $(8, 13)$  and  $(11, 13)$ . Edge  $(2, 12)$  is in sheet five, edges  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(1, 6)$ , and  $(8, 13)$  are in sheet one, and lastly, edge  $(11, 13)$  is in sheet four. This means that edge  $(2, 12)$  crosses completely under all edges. Since edges  $(2, 7)$  and  $(7, 12)$  also cross under all the edges that edge  $(2, 12)$  crosses, replacing the path  $(2, 7, 12)$  by the edge  $(2, 12)$  forms a composite of the two trefoil knots in  $\tilde{K}_{13}$ .

The smallest  $\tilde{K}_n$  that a composite knot can be found in is  $\tilde{K}_{12}$ ; refer to [Figure 9](#). To find this composite, once again we consider two subgraphs of  $\tilde{K}_{12}$  where the first subgraph is induced by the first 7 vertices and the second subgraph is induced by the last 7 vertices in the embedding of  $K_{12}$ .



**Figure 9.** Composite knot in  $K_{12}$ . On the left, two knotted trefoils are shown. The dashed edges are the ones that will be replaced. On the right is a cycle which is the composite of the two trefoils.

Each subgraph contains exactly one Hamiltonian cycle that is a trefoil knot. The first subgraph has a trefoil in the cycle

$$(1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 4, 6).$$

The second subgraph has a trefoil in the cycle

$$(6, 8, 10, 12, 7, 9, 11).$$

Place these two cycles with the trefoil knots on  $K_{12}$ . Notice that there are 4 edges that meet at vertex 6 and vertex 7. Removing the paths  $(8, 6, 11)$  and  $(2, 7, 5)$  and adding edges  $(2, 11)$  and  $(5, 8)$  forms a composite knot. This cycle is

$$(1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 7, 9, 11, 2, 4, 6).$$

Up to now we have shown how to find composites of trefoil knots. A similar method can be used to find other composite knots.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a Hamiltonian cycle in the canonical book representation of  $K_p$  and let  $\beta$  be a Hamiltonian cycle in the canonical book representation of  $K_q$ . Then  $\alpha \# \beta$  is a Hamiltonian cycle in the canonical book representation of  $K_{p+q+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $p \leq q$ . Consider the subgraph of  $\tilde{K}_{p+q+1}$  induced by vertices 1 through  $p$ , and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p)$ . Because we are dealing with a book representation, we know that there exists some edge  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})$  that is in a lower sheet than all other edges in the cycle. Change the orientation of the cycle if necessary so that  $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}$ . Edges  $(\alpha_i, p+q+1)$  and  $(\alpha_{i+1}, p+q)$  are also in lower sheets than any of the edges of  $\alpha$ , so the cycle  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, p+q+1, p+q, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \dots, \alpha_p)$  is ambient isotopic to  $\alpha$ .

$n$	knotted Hamiltonian cycles			out of
7	1 $3_1$ knot			1
8	21 $3_1$ knots			29
9	342 $3_1$ knots	9 $4_1$ knots	1 $5_1$ knot	577
10	5090 $3_1$ knots 20 $5_2$ knots	245 $4_1$ knots 1 $8_{19}$ knot	50 $5_1$ knots	9991
11	74855 $3_1$ knots 836 $5_2$ knots 1 $7_1$ knot	5335 $4_1$ knots 11 $6_1$ knots 56 $8_{19}$ knot	1375 $5_1$ knots 11 $6_2$ knots 1 $10_{124}$ knot	165102

**Table 1.** Knotted Hamiltonian cycles and total number of knotted cycles (rightmost column) in the canonical book embedding of  $K_n$ , for  $n \leq 11$ .

Similarly, we can find a cycle  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q)$  that is ambient isotopic to  $\beta$  using vertices  $p+1$  through  $p+q$ . We know such a cycle exists, because the subgraph induced by any  $q$  vertices is ambient isotopic to the canonical book representation of  $K_q$ . Suppose that  $\beta_j = p+q$ , and that the cycle is oriented so that  $\beta_{j-1} < \beta_{j+1}$ . Using the same argument used in the proof of [Theorem 5](#), we can extend  $\beta$  to an ambient isotopic cycle  $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{j-1}, p+q+1, p+q, \beta_{j+1}, \dots, \beta_q)$  that contains the edge  $(p+q, p+q+1)$ .

The cycles  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  meet along the edge  $(p+q, p+q+1)$ . The only crossing between disjoint edges in the two cycles is a single crossing between the edges  $(\alpha_{i+1}, p+q)$  and  $(\beta_{j-1}, p+q+1)$ . Since this crossing can be eliminated by flipping one of the components  $\tilde{\alpha}$  or  $\tilde{\beta}$ , the cycle

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, p+q+1, \beta_{j-1}, \beta_{j-2}, \dots, \beta_1, \beta_q, \beta_{q-1}, \dots, \beta_{j+1}, p+q, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_p)$$

is ambient isotopic to the composite knot  $\alpha \# \beta$ . □

### 5. Conclusion

In [Table 1](#), we have identified the knotted Hamiltonian cycles and the total number of knotted cycles in the canonical book embedding of  $K_n$  for  $7 \leq n \leq 11$ . These values were obtained using a computer program that identifies knots from their Dowker–Thistlethwaite code [[Toth and Walton 2010](#)].

The values in column 3 of [Table 1](#) are a consequence of the following:

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $f(n)$  be the number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in the canonical book representation of  $K_n$ . Then the total number of knotted cycles in  $\tilde{K}_n$*

is

$$\sum_{j=7}^n \binom{n}{j} f(j).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from Otsuki’s result that any subset of vertices induces a subgraph that is ambient isotopic to the canonical book representation.  $\square$

Hirano [2010] proved that all spatial embeddings of  $K_8$  must have at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles; however, no known example achieves that bound. Abrams and Mellor [2010, Proposition 28] proved that the minimum number of knotted cycles in  $K_8$  must be between 15 and 29. We conjecture the following:

**Conjecture 11.** *The canonical book representation of  $K_n$  contains the fewest total number of knotted cycles possible in any embedding of  $K_n$ .*

**Conjecture 12.** *The canonical book representation of  $K_n$  contains the fewest number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles possible in any embedding of  $K_n$ .*

Note that [Conjecture 12](#) implies [Conjecture 11](#). Both conjectures are true for  $n \leq 7$ .

### Acknowledgments

We thank David Toth and Michael Walton for helpful conversations and for their assistance writing code to count the number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in an embedding.

### References

- [Abrams and Mellor 2010] L. Abrams and B. Mellor, “Counting links and knots in complete graphs”, preprint, 2010. [arXiv 1008.1085](#)
- [Adams 1994] C. C. Adams, *The knot book*, W. H. Freeman, New York, 1994. [MR 94m:57007](#) [Zbl 0840.57001](#)
- [Bernhart and Kainen 1979] F. Bernhart and P. C. Kainen, “The book thickness of a graph”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **27**:3 (1979), 320–331. [MR 81f:05065](#) [Zbl 0427.05028](#)
- [Birman 1975] J. S. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **82**, Princeton University Press, 1975. [MR 51 #11477](#) [Zbl 0305.57013](#)
- [Conway and Gordon 1983] J. H. Conway and C. M. Gordon, “Knots and links in spatial graphs”, *J. Graph Theory* **7**:4 (1983), 445–453. [MR 85d:57002](#) [Zbl 0524.05028](#)
- [Fleming and Mellor 2009] T. Fleming and B. Mellor, “Counting links in complete graphs”, *Osaka J. Math.* **46**:1 (2009), 173–201. [MR 2010j:05109](#) [Zbl 1163.05008](#)
- [Hirano 2010] Y. Hirano, “Improved lower bound for the number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in spatial embeddings of complete graphs”, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **19**:5 (2010), 705–708. [MR 2011g:57002](#) [Zbl 1191.57003](#)
- [Kobayashi 1992] K. Kobayashi, “Standard spatial graph”, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **21**:1 (1992), 117–140. [MR 93e:57010](#) [Zbl 0765.57003](#)

[Otsuki 1996] T. Otsuki, “Knots and links in certain spatial complete graphs”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **68**:1 (1996), 23–35. [MR 97g:57013](#) [Zbl 0858.05038](#)

[Toth and Walton 2010] D. Toth and M. Walton, “Personal communication”, 2010.

Received: 2012-01-18      Accepted: 2012-08-02

[rowlandd@merrimack.edu](mailto:rowlandd@merrimack.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, Merrimack College,  
315 Turnpike Street, North Andover, MA 01845, United States*

[politanoa@merrimack.edu](mailto:politanoa@merrimack.edu)

*Merrimack College, 315 Turnpike Street,  
North Andover, MA 01845, United States*

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, [berenhks@wfu.edu](mailto:berenhks@wfu.edu)

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:colin.c.adams@williams.edu">colin.c.adams@williams.edu</a>	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:larson@math.tamu.edu">larson@math.tamu.edu</a>
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA <a href="mailto:baxley@wfu.edu">baxley@wfu.edu</a>	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA <a href="mailto:lenhart@math.utk.edu">lenhart@math.utk.edu</a>
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA <a href="mailto:benjamin@hmc.edu">benjamin@hmc.edu</a>	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:ckli@math.wm.edu">ckli@math.wm.edu</a>
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA <a href="mailto:bohner@mst.edu">bohner@mst.edu</a>	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:lund@clemson.edu">lund@clemson.edu</a>
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA <a href="mailto:boston@math.wisc.edu">boston@math.wisc.edu</a>	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand <a href="mailto:g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz">g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz</a>
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:budhiraj@email.unc.edu">budhiraj@email.unc.edu</a>	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA <a href="mailto:meyer@stat.colostate.edu">meyer@stat.colostate.edu</a>
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au">pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au</a>	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria <a href="mailto:eminchev@hotmail.com">eminchev@hotmail.com</a>
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA <a href="mailto:scott.chapman@shsu.edu">scott.chapman@shsu.edu</a>	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:frank.morgan@williams.edu">frank.morgan@williams.edu</a>
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:cooper@math.sc.edu">cooper@math.sc.edu</a>	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran <a href="mailto:moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir">moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir</a>
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA <a href="mailto:corcoran@colorado.edu">corcoran@colorado.edu</a>	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA <a href="mailto:znashed@mail.ucf.edu">znashed@mail.ucf.edu</a>
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA <a href="mailto:tdiagana@howard.edu">tdiagana@howard.edu</a>	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:ono@mathcs.emory.edu">ono@mathcs.emory.edu</a>
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA <a href="mailto:mdorff@math.byu.edu">mdorff@math.byu.edu</a>	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:tbriell@luc.edu">tbriell@luc.edu</a>
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:sever@matilda.vu.edu.au">sever@matilda.vu.edu.au</a>	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA <a href="mailto:orourke@cs.smith.edu">orourke@cs.smith.edu</a>
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE <a href="mailto:bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae">bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae</a>	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA <a href="mailto:peres@microsoft.com">peres@microsoft.com</a>
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam <a href="mailto:foisys@potsdam.edu">foisys@potsdam.edu</a>	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland <a href="mailto:petermann@math.unige.ch">petermann@math.unige.ch</a>
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:fulp@wfu.edu">fulp@wfu.edu</a>	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:plemmons@wfu.edu">plemmons@wfu.edu</a>
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA <a href="mailto:kgallian@d.umn.edu">kgallian@d.umn.edu</a>	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA <a href="mailto:carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu">carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu</a>
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA <a href="mailto:stephan.garcia@pomona.edu">stephan.garcia@pomona.edu</a>	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA <a href="mailto:vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu">vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu</a>
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA <a href="mailto:godbole@etsu.edu">godbole@etsu.edu</a>	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:poonen@math.berkeley.edu">poonen@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:rg@mathcs.emory.edu">rg@mathcs.emory.edu</a>	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA <a href="mailto:jpropp@cs.uml.edu">jpropp@cs.uml.edu</a>
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada <a href="mailto:andrew@dms.umontreal.ca">andrew@dms.umontreal.ca</a>	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA <a href="mailto:przytyck@gwu.edu">przytyck@gwu.edu</a>
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:griggs@math.sc.edu">griggs@math.sc.edu</a>	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA <a href="mailto:rrebarbe@math.unl.edu">rrebarbe@math.unl.edu</a>
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:sgupta@uncg.edu">sgupta@uncg.edu</a>	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA <a href="mailto:rwr@cs.uga.edu">rwr@cs.uga.edu</a>
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA <a href="mailto:jhaglund@math.upenn.edu">jhaglund@math.upenn.edu</a>	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:f_saidak@uncg.edu">f_saidak@uncg.edu</a>
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA <a href="mailto:johnny_henderson@baylor.edu">johnny_henderson@baylor.edu</a>	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA <a href="mailto:sellersj@math.psu.edu">sellersj@math.psu.edu</a>
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College <a href="mailto:jhoste@pitzer.edu">jhoste@pitzer.edu</a>	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor <a href="mailto:andy@ajsterge.com">andy@ajsterge.com</a>
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:nhritonenko@pvamu.edu">nhritonenko@pvamu.edu</a>	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA <a href="mailto:atrenk@wellesley.edu">atrenk@wellesley.edu</a>
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA <a href="mailto:hurlbert@asu.edu">hurlbert@asu.edu</a>	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:vakil@math.stanford.edu">vakil@math.stanford.edu</a>
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:crjohnso@math.wm.edu">crjohnso@math.wm.edu</a>	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy <a href="mailto:antonia.vecchio@cnr.it">antonia.vecchio@cnr.it</a>
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:kk@ces.clemson.edu">kk@ces.clemson.edu</a>	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA <a href="mailto:verma99@msn.com">verma99@msn.com</a>
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA <a href="mailto:gladas@math.uri.edu">gladas@math.uri.edu</a>	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:wierman@jhu.edu">wierman@jhu.edu</a>
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA <a href="mailto:zieve@umich.edu">zieve@umich.edu</a>

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2013 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2013

vol. 6

no. 1

Refined inertias of tree sign patterns of orders 2 and 3	1
D. D. OLESKY, MICHAEL F. REMPEL AND P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE	
The group of primitive almost pythagorean triples	13
NIKOLAI A. KRYLOV AND LINDSAY M. KULZER	
Properties of generalized derangement graphs	25
HANNAH JACKSON, KATHRYN NYMAN AND LES REID	
Rook polynomials in three and higher dimensions	35
FERYAL ALAYONT AND NICHOLAS KRZYWONOS	
New confidence intervals for the AR(1) parameter	53
FEREBEE TUNNO AND ASHTON ERWIN	
Knots in the canonical book representation of complete graphs	65
DANA ROWLAND AND ANDREA POLITANO	
On closed modular colorings of rooted trees	83
BRYAN PHINEZY AND PING ZHANG	
Iterations of quadratic polynomials over finite fields	99
WILLIAM WORDEN	
Positive solutions to singular third-order boundary value problems on purely discrete time scales	113
COURTNEY DEHOET, CURTIS KUNKEL AND ASHLEY MARTIN	