

involve

a journal of mathematics

Some investigations on a class of nonlinear integrodifferential
equations on the half-line

Mariateresa Basile, Woula Themistoclakis and Antonia Vecchio



Some investigations on a class of nonlinear integrodifferential equations on the half-line

Mariateresa Basile, Woula Themistoclakis and Antonia Vecchio

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We consider the second-order integrodifferential boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \nu(y)g(y) - \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x) dx [D(y)g'(y)]' = p(y) & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ g'(0) = 0, \quad g(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

arising from the kinetic theory of dusty plasmas, and we provide information on the existence and other qualitative properties of the solution that have been essential in the numerical investigation.

1. Introduction

In this paper we present an analytical study of a particular class of nonlinear integrodifferential equations given by

$$\begin{cases} \nu(y)g(y) - \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x) dx [D(y)g'(y)]' = p(y) & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ g'(0) = 0, \quad g(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

that is, a second-order boundary value problem on the half-line where the coefficients of the derivatives of the unknown function depend on the function itself by means of an integral over the semiaxis. This kind of problem arises from important applications such as kinetics of plasma, population dynamics and thermodynamical equilibrium [Laitinen and Tiihonen 1998; Ratynskaia et al. 2007; Ricci et al. 2001; de Angelis et al. 2006; Takeuchi et al. 2007; Cannon and Galiffa 2008; 2011; Junghanns et al. 2014]. However, the above mentioned dependence makes it difficult to approach both from an analytical and a numerical point of view.

The aim of the present investigation is a theoretical analysis of problem (1.1), which provides useful information about the solution itself and represents an essential preparation for the numerical approach to the problem [Basile et al. 2012].

MSC2010: 34B40, 34K05, 45J05.

Keywords: boundary value problems, nonlinear integrodifferential equations, half-line.

A complete analysis of some related problems has been performed in [Cannon and Galiffa 2008; 2011]. The problems taken into account in such papers are of the type

$$\alpha \left(\int_0^1 g(x) dx \right) g''(y) = p(y), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\alpha \left(\int_0^1 g(x) dx \right) g''(y) + (g(y))^{2\eta+1} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 < y < 1$, $g(0)$ and $g(1)$ are given, η is a nonnegative integer, and α is a given function. Although these problems contain the same peculiarity as problem (1.1), there are some different characteristics (for example, the function α in (1.2) and (1.3), the nonlinearity $g^{2\eta+1}$ in (1.3), and the presence of g' and the infinite domain of integration in (1.1)). Because of these differences, the existence and uniqueness results obtained in [Cannon and Galiffa 2008; 2011] cannot be directly applied to our case, and a specific analysis is carried out in the following sections. First we set

$$q = \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x) dx$$

and rewrite (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases} v(y)g(y) - q[D(y)g'(y)]' = p(y), & y \geq 0, \\ g'(0) = 0, & g(+\infty) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Of course the solution of (1.4) depends on q , and when we want to underscore this dependence we will use the notation $g(y, q)$ instead of $g(y)$. In Section 2 we will examine problem (1.4), taking $q > 0$ as a fixed parameter, and report results about existence, uniqueness, positiveness, regularity and boundedness of the solution. This section contains an elaboration of known results (see, for example, [Granas et al. 1978; 1986]) and will serve the investigations on the complete problem (1.1) carried out in Section 3, where we prove the existence of a nonnegative solution g that is uniformly bounded together with its derivatives. The properties of the solutions of (1.1) reported in that section are helpful in the comprehension of the problem itself and its numerical analysis.

2. Analysis of the solution of problem (1.4)

In this section we consider q fixed and positive so that our equation reduces to a classical Sturm–Liouville boundary value problem. We report some results on the existence and the uniqueness of the solution $g(y)$ of problem (1.4) along with the analysis of other useful properties such as the sign of g and the boundedness of $g(y)$, $g'(y)$ and $g''(y)$.

In order to make the aim of this paper clear, we want to specify that most of the results reported here are already known in the literature (and this will be evident throughout). However an effort has been made to fit them into the form of problem (1.4) in order to prepare the basis for the analysis of problem (1.1), which will be performed in the following section.

From now on we will consider boundary value problems of the kind (1.4) with q positive and fixed, and we make the following assumptions on the involved functions:

- (1) $D \in C^1([0, +\infty))$, $v, p \in C([0, +\infty))$,
- (2) $0 < D_{\inf} \leq D(y) \leq D_{\sup}$, $y \geq 0$,
- (3) $0 < \sup_{y \geq 0} |D'(y)/D(y)| < +\infty$,
- (4) $0 < v_{\inf} \leq v(y) \leq v_{\sup}$, $y \geq 0$,
- (5) $0 \leq p(y) \leq P$, $y \geq 0$,
- (6) $\int_0^{+\infty} p(y) dy < +\infty$,
- (7) $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} p(y) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume (1)–(7) are satisfied. Then for any $q > 0$, the boundary value problem (1.4) has a unique nonnegative solution $g \in C^2([0, +\infty))$.*

Proof. Following a standard procedure (see, for example, the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [Granás et al. 1986]), starting from the solutions g_n of

$$\begin{cases} v(y)g_n(y) - q[D(y)g'_n(y)]' = p(y) & \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq n, n \in N, \\ g'_n(0) = 0, & g_n(n) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and applying inductively the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem we get the existence of a solution $g \in C^2([0, +\infty))$ of (1.4) satisfying only the first boundary condition.

Then using hypotheses (2) and (4), we set

$$m := (qD_{\inf}v_{\inf})^{1/2},$$

and consider the function [Agarwal and O'Regan 2001]

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(y) := & \frac{1}{2m} e^{-\frac{m}{q} \int_0^y \frac{ds}{D(s)}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} p(\tau) e^{-\frac{m}{q} \int_0^\tau \frac{ds}{D(s)}} d\tau + \int_0^y p(\tau) e^{\frac{m}{q} \int_0^\tau \frac{ds}{D(s)}} d\tau \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2m} e^{\frac{m}{q} \int_0^y \frac{ds}{D(s)}} \int_y^{+\infty} p(\tau) e^{-\frac{m}{q} \int_0^\tau \frac{ds}{D(s)}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.8.3 in [Agarwal and O'Regan 2001], it can be proved that

$$0 \leq g(y) \leq \omega(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(y) = 0,$$

which ensures that the second boundary condition in (1.4) is satisfied too. Finally, the uniqueness of the solution of problem (1.4) can be proved by standard arguments showing that the homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution. \square

In order to show the boundedness of $g(y)$ and its first and second derivatives, we note that hypotheses (2)–(5) allow us to define the constants

$$A := \left\| \frac{D'}{D} \right\|_{\infty}, \quad B := \left\| \frac{D'}{D} \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{\nu}{qD} \right\|_{\infty} \left\| \frac{p}{\nu} \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{p}{qD} \right\|_{\infty}, \quad (2.5)$$

where, as usual, $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{y \geq 0} |f(y)|$. Moreover, we set

$$r_0 := \left\| \frac{p}{\nu} \right\|_{\infty}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$r_1 := \left[\frac{B}{A} (e^{2Ar_0} - 1) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$r_2 := \left\| \frac{D'}{D} \right\|_{\infty} r_1 + \left\| \frac{\nu}{qD} \right\|_{\infty} r_0 + \left\| \frac{p}{qD} \right\|_{\infty}. \quad (2.8)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Under the assumptions (1)–(7), for any fixed $q > 0$, the unique solution $g(y)$ of (1.4) satisfies the bounds*

$$g(y) \leq r_0 \quad \text{for } y \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$|g'(y)| \leq r_1 \quad \text{for } y \geq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$|g''(y)| \leq r_2 \quad \text{for } y \geq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. The boundedness of g follows by observing that $g(+\infty) = 0$ and that g cannot have a local maximum point $\bar{y} \geq 0$ such that $g(\bar{y}) > r_0$ (see, for example, [Agarwal and O'Regan 2001, page 18]). The remaining part of the proof is based on an idea developed in [Granas et al. 1978, page 71]. Therefore, we give here only a brief sketch of it. From (1.4) and hypothesis (2), we have

$$g''(y) = -\frac{D'(y)}{D(y)} g'(y) + \frac{\nu(y)}{qD(y)} g(y) - \frac{p(y)}{qD(y)} \quad \text{for } y \geq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Then we deduce the *Bernstein growth condition*

$$|g''(y)| \leq Ag'^2(y) + B \quad \text{for } y \geq 0,$$

which, multiplying both sides by $2A|g'(y)|$, gives

$$\frac{2Ag'(y)g''(y)}{Ag'^2(y) + B} \leq 2A|g'(y)| \quad \text{for } y \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

with A and B defined in (2.5).

Observe that any $y > 0$ such that $g'(y) \neq 0$ belongs to an interval $[a, b]$ where g' does not change sign and $g'(a) = 0$. Hence, (2.10) follows by integrating (2.13) from a to y and by recalling that $0 \leq g(y) \leq r_0$ for all $y \geq 0$.

Finally, from (2.9), (2.10), (2.12) and the hypotheses (2)–(5), we easily obtain (2.11). \square

Remark. The positiveness of the solution g arises from the positiveness of the right side p in (1.4). However, if no information on the sign of p is given, we can still say that a unique solution $g(y)$ of the problem (1.4) exists, and (2.9) becomes

$$|g(y)| < r_0 \quad \text{for } y \geq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Corollary 2.3. *Assume (1)–(7) hold. Then $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} g'(y) = 0$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 we know that g'' is bounded for any fixed value of the parameter q ; hence, g' is uniformly continuous for all $y \geq 0$. From here and Barbalat's lemma [Sun 2009], we have $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} g'(y) = 0$. \square

We now prove other useful properties of g . Denote by $BC^{(r)}[0, +\infty)$ the space of functions $f(x)$ with $f^{(j)}(x)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$, bounded and continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Then observe that if $g(y)$ is a solution of (1.4) it satisfies (2.12); hence, the proof of the following theorem is straightforward.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition to (1)–(7), assume $p, v \in BC^r[0, +\infty)$ and $D \in BC^{r+1}[0, +\infty)$. Then, for any fixed $q > 0$, the solution g of (1.4) is in $BC^{r+2}[0, +\infty)$. Moreover, for any $\bar{q} > 0$, the derivatives $g^{(j)}(y)$, $j = 0, \dots, r$, are uniformly bounded with respect to $q \in [\bar{q}, +\infty)$.*

All these properties together with the uniform continuity of g as function of q , that we are going to prove in the following section represent the basic material to deal with the difficult task of proving the existence of the solution of the original problem (1.1).

3. Existence of the solution of problem (1.1)

In this section, the focus of our attention will be the model (1.1), whose analysis requires all the results already described for (1.4). Whereas the results in Section 2 for problem (1.4) with fixed $q > 0$ are mainly obtained by elaborations of existing studies, the investigations we start in this section represent new contributions.

In Section 2, we showed that for any q fixed and positive there exists a unique solution $g(y, q)$ of (1.4). Thus, the function

$$F(q) := q - \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x, q) dx \quad \text{for } q > 0 \quad (3.15)$$

is well defined, where the kernel k is assumed to satisfy

- (8) $k \in C^2([0, +\infty))$,
 (9) $\int_0^{+\infty} k(x) dx < +\infty$,
 (10) $k(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, +\infty)$.

To prove the existence of a solution of (1.1), we show that there exists a solution of the equation $F(q) = 0$. Specifically, if F is continuous and there exist two positive values a and b such that $F(a)F(b) < 0$, then by the intermediate value theorem, equation $F(q) = 0$ has at least one solution q^* and the corresponding function $g(y, q^*)$ is the solution of (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that hypotheses (1)–(10) hold. Then $F(q)$ is uniformly continuous on $[\bar{q}, +\infty)$, for all $\bar{q} > 0$.*

Proof. Let us prove that $g(y, q)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $q \geq \bar{q}$ and $y \geq 0$; that is, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|g(y, q_1) - g(y, q_2)| < \epsilon \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \text{ such that } |q_1 - q_2| < \delta_\epsilon \text{ and } \forall y \geq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Let $q_1, q_2 \geq \bar{q}$ be arbitrarily fixed. Then the functions $g(y, q_1)$ and $g(y, q_2)$ satisfy, respectively,

$$\begin{cases} \nu(y)g(y, q_1) = q_1[D(y)g'(y, q_1)]' + p(y) & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ g'(0, q_1) = 0, \quad g(+\infty, q_1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{cases} \nu(y)g(y, q_2) = q_2[D(y)g'(y, q_2)]' + p(y) & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ g'(0, q_2) = 0, \quad g(+\infty, q_2) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Subtracting both sides of (3.17) and (3.18), we see that

$$e(y) = g(y, q_1) - g(y, q_2)$$

is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \nu(y)e(y) = q_1[D(y)e'(y)]' + (q_1 - q_2)[D(y)g'(y, q_2)]' & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ e'(0) = 0, \quad e(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

Hence, thanks to (2.14) the inequality

$$|e(y)| \leq |q_1 - q_2| \sup_{y \geq 0} \frac{|[D(y)g'(y, q_2)]'|}{\nu(y)} \quad (3.19)$$

holds, where, by using hypotheses (2) and (4) and Theorem 2.4, it comes out that

$$\sup_{y \geq 0} \frac{|[D(y)g'(y, q_2)]'|}{\nu(y)} \leq M_0, \quad (3.20)$$

with M_0 independent of the parameters $q_1, q_2 \geq \bar{q}$. Thus, we conclude that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_\epsilon = \epsilon/M_0 > 0$ such that (3.16) holds. The desired result on F is achieved by noting that from (2.9) and hypotheses (9) and (10), the improper integral $\int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x, q) dx$ is uniformly convergent with respect to q and we are allowed to take the limit under the integral. \square

In the following theorem, we find an interval $[a, b]$ where the function F changes its sign. In order to provide the explicit values for a and b , the additional hypotheses (11)–(14) are required.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F(q)$ be the function defined in (3.15), assume that hypotheses (1)–(10) hold, and that*

$$(11) \quad v \in C^2([0, +\infty)),$$

$$(12) \quad |v'(y)| < c \quad \text{for all } y \geq 0,$$

$$(13) \quad |k'(y)| < k_1 \quad \text{for all } y \geq 0,$$

$$(14) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \left[\left(\frac{k(y)}{v(y)} \right)' D(y) \right]' \right| dy = C_1 < \infty, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k(y)}{v(y)} p(y) dy = C_2 < \infty.$$

Then there exist $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $F(a)F(b) \leq 0$.

Proof. By (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &= q - \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x, q) dx \\ &= q \left(1 - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k(x)}{v(x)} (D(x)g'(x, q))' dx \right) - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k(x)}{v(x)} p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating twice by parts, by (11), (13) and Corollary 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k(x)}{v(x)} (D(x)g'(x, q))' dx \\ = \left[\frac{k}{v} \right]'(0)g(0)D(0) + \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\left(\frac{k(x)}{v(x)} \right)' D(x) \right]' g(x, q) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$F(q) \leq q[1 + r_0(C_0 + C_1)] - C_2, \tag{3.21}$$

where r_0 and C_1, C_2 are defined, respectively, in (2.6) and (14), and

$$C_0 = \left| \left[\frac{k}{v} \right]'(0) \right| D(0).$$

By (3.21), $F(q) \leq 0$ for any $q \leq a$ with

$$a := \frac{C_2}{1 + r_0(C_0 + C_1)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Finally observe that from (2.9) and hypothesis (10) we have

$$F(q) = q - \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x, q) dx \geq q - r_0 \int_0^{+\infty} k(x) dx, \quad (3.23)$$

which gives $F(q) \geq 0$ for any $q \geq b$ with

$$b := r_0 \int_0^{+\infty} k(x) dx, \quad (3.24)$$

completing the proof. \square

From Theorem 3.2, by using the intermediate value theorem, we get our main result.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that (1)–(14) hold. Then there exists at least one solution g of problem (1.1) such that*

$$a \leq \int_0^{+\infty} k(x)g(x) dx \leq b,$$

where a and b are defined in (3.22) and (3.24).

Observe that this theorem requires only the continuity of F , and it gives the existence but does not assure the uniqueness of the solution of (1.1). By exploiting the uniform continuity of F the following uniqueness result can be proved.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that (1)–(10) and*

$$(15) \quad M_0 \|k\|_1 \leq 1$$

hold, where M_0 is given in (3.20). Then problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. The statement follows easily from (3.19), (15) and the Banach fixed point theorem. \square

Since a solution of (1.1) is a solution of (1.4), it satisfies all the properties reported in Section 2. In particular, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and from (2.6), we define

$$r1_a := \left\{ \left[1 + \left(\left\| \frac{v}{aD} \right\|_\infty r_0 + \left\| \frac{p}{aD} \right\|_\infty \right) \left\| \frac{D'}{D} \right\|_\infty^{-1} \right] (e^{2\|D'/D\|_\infty r_0} - 1) \right\}^{1/2},$$

$$r2_a := \left\| \frac{D'}{D} \right\|_\infty r1_a + \left\| \frac{v}{aD} \right\|_\infty r_0 + \left\| \frac{p}{aD} \right\|_\infty.$$

Thus we have for $y \geq 0$

$$0 \leq g(y) \leq r_0, \quad |g'(y)| \leq r1_a, \quad |g''(y)| \leq r2_a.$$

Compared to (2.6)–(2.8) these bounds are independent of q and they turn to be useful in the numerical analysis of the problem that we carried out in [Basile et al. 2012].

References

- [Agarwal and O'Regan 2001] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Infinite interval problems for differential, difference and integral equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001. MR 2002g:34058 Zbl 0988.34002
- [de Angelis et al. 2006] U. de Angelis, G. Capobianco, C. Marmolino, and C. Castaldo, "Fluctuations in dusty plasmas", *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **48**:12B (2006), 91–98.
- [Basile et al. 2012] M. Basile, E. Messina, W. Themistoclakis, and A. Vecchio, "A numerical method for a class of non-linear integro-differential equations on the half line", *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**:7 (2012), 2354–2363. MR 2966871
- [Cannon and Galiffa 2008] J. R. Cannon and D. J. Galiffa, "A numerical method for a nonlocal elliptic boundary value problem", *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **20**:2 (2008), 243–261. MR 2009m:35121 Zbl 1149.65099
- [Cannon and Galiffa 2011] J. R. Cannon and D. J. Galiffa, "On a numerical method for a homogeneous, nonlinear, nonlocal, elliptic boundary value problem", *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**:5 (2011), 1702–1713. MR 2012b:65099 Zbl 1236.34028
- [Granas et al. 1978] A. Granas, R. B. Guenther, and J. W. Lee, "On a theorem of S. Bernstein", *Pacific J. Math.* **74**:1 (1978), 67–82. MR 57 #10068 Zbl 0377.34003
- [Granas et al. 1986] A. Granas, R. B. Guenther, J. W. Lee, and D. O'Regan, "Boundary value problems on infinite intervals and semiconductor devices", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **116**:2 (1986), 335–348. MR 87m:34013 Zbl 0594.34019
- [Junghanns et al. 2014] P. Junghanns, W. Themistoclakis, and A. Vecchio, "Fixed point iterations for a class of nonstandard Sturm–Liouville boundary value problems", *Nonlinear Analysis* (2014). To appear.
- [Laitinen and Tiihonen 1998] M. T. Laitinen and T. Tiihonen, "Integro-differential equation modelling heat transfer in conducting, radiating and semitransparent materials", *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **21**:5 (1998), 375–392. MR 98m:45016 Zbl 0958.80003
- [Ratynskaia et al. 2007] S. Ratynskaia, M. De Angeli, U. de Angelis, C. Marmolino, G. Capobianco, M. Lontano, E. Lazzaro, G. E. Morfill, and G. Gervasini, "Observation of the effects of dust particles on plasma fluctuation spectra", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**:7 (2007). Article ID # 075002.
- [Ricci et al. 2001] P. Ricci, G. Lapenta, U. de Angelis, and V. N. Tsytovich, "Plasma kinetics in dusty plasmas", *Phys. Plasmas* **8**:3 (2001), 769–776.
- [Sun 2009] M. Sun, "A Barbalat-like lemma with its application to learning control", *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **54**:9 (2009), 2222–2225. MR 2010i:93091
- [Takeuchi et al. 2007] Y. Takeuchi, Y. Iwasa, and K. Sato (editors), *Mathematics for life science and medicine*, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR 2008a:92006 Zbl 1103.92301

Received: 2012-12-03

Revised: 2013-02-27

Accepted: 2013-04-12

mariateresa.basile@unina.it

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni,
Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II",
Via Cintia, Monte San Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

woula.themistoclakis@cnr.it

*Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone",
CNR National Research Council of Italy,
Via P. Castellino, 111, I-80131 Napoli, Italy*

antonia.vecchio@cnr.it

*Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone",
CNR National Research Council of Italy,
Via P. Castellino, 111, I-80131 Napoli, Italy*

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tbriell@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA kgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2014 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$165/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2014

vol. 7

no. 1

Seriation algorithms for determining the evolution of <i>The Star Husband Tale</i>	1
CRISTA ARANGALA, J. TODD LEE AND CHERYL BORDEN	
A simple agent-based model of malaria transmission investigating intervention methods and acquired immunity	15
KAREN A. YOKLEY, J. TODD LEE, AMANDA K. BROWN, MARY C. MINOR AND GREGORY C. MADER	
Slide-and-swap permutation groups	41
ONYEBUCHI EKENTA, HAN GIL JANG AND JACOB A. SIEHLER	
Comparing a series to an integral	57
LEON SIEGEL	
Some investigations on a class of nonlinear integrodifferential equations on the half-line	67
MARIATERESA BASILE, WOULA THEMISTOCLAKIS AND ANTONIA VECCHIO	
Homogenization of a nonsymmetric embedding-dimension-three numerical semigroup	77
SEHAM ABDELNABY TAHA AND PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ	
Effective resistance on graphs and the epidemic quasimetric	97
JOSH ERICSON, PIETRO POGGI-CORRADINI AND HAINAN ZHANG	