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An $L(2, 1)$-labeling of a simple graph $G$ is a function $f : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that if $xy \in E(G)$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$, and if the distance between $x$ and $y$ is two, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 1$. $L(2, 1)$-labelings are motivated by radio channel assignment problems. Denote by $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ the smallest integer such that there exists an $L(2, 1)$-labeling of $G$ using the integers $\{0, \ldots, \lambda_{2,1}(G)\}$. We prove that $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2$, where $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, if the order of $G$ is no greater than $(\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)(\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1) - 1$. This shows that for graphs no larger than the given order, the 1992 “$\Delta^2$ conjecture” of Griggs and Yeh holds. In fact, we prove more generally that if $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$, $\Delta \geq 1$, and

$$|V(G)| \leq (L - \Delta) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1,$$

then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq L - 1$. In addition, we exhibit an infinite family of graphs with $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$.

1. Introduction

The channel assignment problem is the determination of assignments of channels (integers) to stations in such a way that those stations close enough to interfere receive distant enough channels. Hale [1980] formulated the problem in terms of $T$-colorings, which are integer colorings in which adjacent vertices’ colors cannot differ by a member of a set of integers $T$ with $\{0\} \subset T$. Roberts [1988] proposed a generalization in which closer transmitters would be required to have channels that differed by more than those of the slightly more distant transmitters, adding a condition for nonadjacent vertices as well. The $L(2, 1)$-labeling problem was first studied by Griggs and Yeh [1992] in response to Roberts’ proposal. An $L(2, 1)$-labeling of a graph $G$ is an integer labeling of $G$ in which two vertices at distance one from each other must have labels differing by at least 2, and those at distance two must differ by at least 1. Denote by $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ the smallest number such that there exists an $L(2, 1)$-labeling of $G$ with the difference $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ between the highest and
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lowest label. If there is no possibility for confusion, \( \lambda_{2,1}(G) \) is sometimes written \( \lambda_{2,1} \). The \( L(2, 1) \)-labeling problem has been studied extensively with the central goal of finding bounds on \( \lambda_{2,1} \). Griggs and Yeh bounded the \( \lambda_{2,1} \) number for cycles, paths, trees, and the \( n \)-cube. They also proved the bound \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta(G)^2 + 2\Delta(G) \), where \( \Delta(G) \) is the maximum degree over the set of degrees of vertices in \( V(G) \). In this paper, we will write \( \Delta \) when the meaning is clear from context. Chang and Kuo [1996] improved the bound to \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 + \Delta \), and by modifying their algorithm, Gonçalves [2007] reduced the bound to \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 + \Delta - 2 \). Bounds on the \( \lambda_{2,1} \) number have been found for many subclasses of graphs, such as Sakai’s bound [1991] of \((\Delta + 3)^2/4 \) for chordal graphs — graphs containing no induced cycle of length four. All examples tested have corroborated the conjecture Griggs and Yeh made in their 1992 paper:

\[ \Delta^2 \text{ conjecture.} \] If \( \Delta(G) \geq 2 \), then \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 \).

However, the conjecture remains unproven, and it is difficult to test the bound for graphs of any significant size. The largest step towards the proof of the conjecture was made by Havet, Reed, and Sereni [2012] who proved that the conjecture holds for all graphs with \( \Delta \) larger than some \( \Delta_0 \), but \( \Delta_0 \approx 10^{69} \). Consequently, \( \lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 + C \) for some absolute constant \( C \). The upper bound set by the conjecture, if proven, would be tight — the Moore graphs are known to satisfy \( \lambda_{2,1} = \Delta^2 \) [Griggs and Yeh 1992].

2. Preliminaries

The proof of Theorem 3 involves a classic result of Pósa about the existence of Hamilton cycles and paths in graphs of high degree (see [Kronk 1969]). In this respect, our argument has a similar flavor to the proof in [Griggs and Yeh 1992] that \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 \) for graphs of order less than \( \Delta^2 + 1 \). In addition, we will use the powerful result of Szemerédi and Hajnal [1970] on equitable colorings.

**Theorem** (Pósa). Let \( G \) have \( n \geq 3 \) vertices. If for every \( k \), \( 1 \leq k \leq (n - 1)/2 \) and \( |\{v : d(v) \leq k\}| < k \), then \( G \) is Hamiltonian.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( G \) have \( n \geq 2 \) vertices. If for every \( k \), \( 0 \leq k \leq (n - 2)/2 \) and \( |\{v : d(v) \leq k\}| \leq k \), then \( G \) has a Hamilton path.

**Proof.** The corollary follows easily by adding a dominating vertex to \( G \) and observing that by Pósa’s theorem the new graph is Hamiltonian.

**Theorem** (Szemerédi, Hajnal). If \( \Delta(G) \leq r \), then \( G \) can be equitably colored with \( r + 1 \) colors; that is, the sizes of the color classes differ by at most one.

See also [Kierstead et al. 2010; Kierstead and Kostochka 2008].
3. Main result

The following lemma is the key ingredient in the proof of the main result. The lemma requires a concept which we will call the square color graph. Let $G$ be a graph. Let $C_0, \ldots, C_{l-1}$ be the color classes of a proper coloring $C$ of $G^2$ with $l$ colors, where $G^2$ is the graph with $V(G^2) = V(G)$ and $E(G^2) = \{xy|d(x, y) \leq 2\}$. The square color graph of $C$, denoted $\mathcal{G}$, is the graph with

$$V(\mathcal{G}) = \{C_0, \ldots, C_{l-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\mathcal{G}) = \{C_iC_j \mid G[C_i \cup C_j] \text{ contains an edge of } G\}.$$

Here $G[C_i \cup C_j]$ denotes the induced subgraph formed by the vertices in $C_i \cup C_j$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $G$ be a graph, and let $C$ be a proper coloring of $G^2$ with $l$ colors. If the complement $\mathcal{G}^c$ of the square color graph of $C$ has a Hamilton path, then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq l - 1$.

**Proof.** By assumption, $\mathcal{G}^c$ has a Hamiltonian path $P = \{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{l-1}\}$. Recall that the vertices of $P$ are color classes partitioning $G$. Let $f : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined as $f : v \mapsto i$, where $i$ is the unique index such that $v \in p_i$. We now check that $f$ is an $(L(2, 1))$-labeling of $G$. If $d(x, y) = 2$, then $x$ and $y$ are given two different labels because $C$ is a coloring of $G^2$. If $d(x, y) = 1$, then $x$ and $y$ are in two distinct color classes $p_i$ and $p_j$ such that $p_ip_j \in E(\mathcal{G})$. Then $p_ip_j \notin E(\mathcal{G}^c)$, so $i \neq j \pm 1$ because otherwise $p_ip_j \in E(P)$. Therefore $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$, and $f$ is an $L(2, 1)$-labeling for $G$. \qed

**Theorem 3.** Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$, and let $L$ be an integer with $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq L - 1$ if

$$|V(G)| \leq (L - \Delta)\left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1\right) - 1.$$

Before the proof of Theorem 3, we will discuss two corollaries that have implications for the $\Delta^2$ conjecture.

**Corollary 4.** Let $G$ be a graph of with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2$ if

$$|V(G)| \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right)(\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1) - 1.$$

**Proof.** Using Theorem 3 with $L = \Delta^2 + 1$ gives the desired result. \qed

Corollary 4 significantly expands the known orders of graphs that satisfy the $\Delta^2$ conjecture; it does so more dramatically as $\Delta(G)$ increases. For $\Delta(G) = 3$, $|V(G)| \leq 13$ suffices as opposed to the previously known $|V(G)| \leq 10$ [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. For $\Delta(G) = 4$, we have $|V(G)| \leq 38$ as opposed to $|V(G)| \leq 17$ [loc. cit.]. If $G$ is the Hoffman–Singleton graph, then $\Delta(G) = 7$, $|V(G)| = 50 = \Delta^2 + 1$, and, in fact, $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = 49 = \Delta^2$ [loc. cit.]. It might seem productive to look
among minor variations of the Hoffman–Singleton graph for counterexamples to the $\Delta^2$ conjecture, but Corollary 4 suggests otherwise — the conjecture holds if $\Delta(G) = 7$ and $|V(G)| \leq 169$. The bounds on $|V(G)|$ established in Corollary 4 grow quickly with $\Delta$, as they are cubic in $\Delta$ rather than quadratic as in [loc. cit.].

For some $|V(G)|$, we can also use Theorem 3 to find upper bounds on $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ that are stronger than the best known bound of Gonçalves [loc. cit.]. The bound on $|V(G)|$ in the following corollary is larger than the bound in Theorem 3.

**Corollary 5.** Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 3$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) < 1^2 + 1 - \frac{2}{1} - 1$ if

$$|V(G)| \leq \left( \left\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \right)(\Delta^2 - 2) - 1.$$

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 3 with $L = \Delta^2 + \Delta - 2$. This gives

$$|V(G)| \leq \left( \left\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} + 1 - \frac{3}{2\Delta} \right\rceil + 1 \right)(\Delta^2 - 2) - 1.$$

Since we have assumed $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $0 \leq 1/2 - 3/(2\Delta) < 1/2$, so

$$\left\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} + 1 - \frac{3}{2\Delta} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rceil.$$

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be as in Theorem 3. We will show that for any integers $q, r$ with $q \geq 0$, $0 \leq r \leq L - 1$, and

$$Lq + r \leq M = (L - \Delta)\left( \left\lceil \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rceil + 1 \right) - 1,$$

if $|V(G)| = Lq + r$ and $\Delta(G) = \Delta$, then $G$ has an $L(2,1)$-labeling with span at most $L - 1$. This is sufficient to prove Theorem 3, as for any integer $n$, there exist unique integers $q \geq 0$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, L - 1\}$ with $Lq + r = n$. Suppose $|V(G)| = Lq + r$. Recall that $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1 \geq \Delta(G^2) + 1$. By the Szemerédi–Hajnal theorem, $G^2$ has an equitable coloring $C$ with $L$ color classes. For convenience, we will use all $L$ color classes even if several are empty. This means $L - r$ classes have $q$ vertices and $r$ classes have $q + 1$ vertices. Our goal is to prove that the complement of the square color graph of $C$, or $G^c$, has a Hamiltonian path. Note that $d_G(V) \leq \Delta|V|$ for all $V \in V(G)$. Write the degree of $V$ in $G^c$ as $d_c(V)$.

If $q \leq \lfloor (L - 1)/2\Delta \rfloor - 1$, then

$$\Delta(q + 1) \leq \Delta \left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{L}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

so that $\delta(G^c) \geq L - 1 - \lfloor (L - 1)/2 \rfloor \geq (L - 1)/2$, and the conditions of Corollary 1 are satisfied. Therefore $G^c$ has a Hamiltonian path.
Otherwise, \( q = \lfloor (L - 1)/2\Delta \rfloor \) and
\[
q + r = \lfloor (L - 1) - \Delta \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \rfloor \leq L - 1
\]
because otherwise \( Lq + r > M \).

Now suppose \( k \) is an integer with \( 0 \leq k \leq (L - 2)/2 \) as in Corollary 1. If \( d_c(V) \leq k \), then
\[
L - 2 \leq L - 1 - d_G(V) \geq L - 1 - \Delta|V|,
\]
so that \( |V| \geq (1/\Delta)(L - 1 - (L - 2)/2) = (L - 1)/2\Delta + 1/2 \Delta > q \). Therefore \( |V| = q + 1 \), so we know there are at most \( r \) vertices with \( d_c(V) \leq k \). For any such vertex \( V \),
\[
d_c(V) \geq L - 1 - (q + 1)\Delta = L - 1 - \Delta \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \geq r \geq 0.
\]

Now the conditions of Corollary 1 are satisfied, so \( G^c \) still has a Hamiltonian path. From Lemma 2, \( G^c \) having a Hamiltonian path implies that \( \lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq L - 1 \). As
\[
Lq + L - 1 - \Delta \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) = (L - \Delta) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1 = M,
\]
this argument works for any \( |V(G)| \leq M \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) with \( \Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1 \), and let \( L \) be an integer with \( L \geq \Delta^2 + 1 \). If
\[
n \leq (L - \Delta) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1,
\]
then there is an \( L(2, 1) \)-labeling of \( G \) with a span at most \( L - 1 \) that is equitable. If \( n \geq L \), the labeling is no-hole.

**Proof.** The proof follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 3. \( \square \)

The next corollary concerns algorithms involved in finding these labelings. In general, determining if \( \lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq k \) for positive integers \( k \geq 4 \) is NP-complete [Fiala et al. 2001].

**Corollary 7.** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) with \( \Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1 \) and \( L \geq \Delta^2 + 1 \). There is an algorithm with polynomial running time in \( n \) to compute an \( L(2, 1) \)-labeling of \( G \) with span at most \( L - 1 \) for all \( n \) and \( L \) such that
\[
n \leq (L - \Delta) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{L - 1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1.
\]
Proof. If \( L \geq 2n + 1 \), the appropriate labeling can be obtained by labeling the vertices 0, 2, \ldots, 2n in any order [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. This can clearly be done in polynomial time. Otherwise, in [Kierstead et al. 2010] there is shown to be an algorithm, polynomial in \( n \), to equitably color \( G^2 \) with \( L \) colors. Degree sequences satisfying the conditions of Pósa’s theorem also satisfy those of Chvátal’s theorem [Bondy and Chvátal 1976], and the paper’s authors exhibit an algorithm, polynomial in \( p \), to find Hamilton cycles in graphs of order \( p \) which satisfy the conditions of Chvátal’s theorem. From the proofs of Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, we see that to find the labeling, it is enough find a Hamilton cycle in a certain graph, namely \( G^c \) with a dominating vertex added, of order \( L + 1 \leq 2n + 2 \) that satisfies the conditions of Pósa’s theorem. From [Bondy and Chvátal 1976], we can do this with an algorithm that is polynomial in \( L + 1 \), which must also be polynomial in \( n \). These two algorithms in succession yield the desired algorithm. \( \square \)

4. Comments on diameter-2 graphs

It was previously known that diameter-2 graphs satisfy the \( \Delta^2 \) conjecture, and for other than a few exceptional graphs, \( \Delta^2 - 1 \) suffices to label diameter-2 graphs [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. In this section, we knock this bound down by one, showing that \( \Delta^2 - 2 \) suffices to label all but a finite handful of diameter-2 graphs.

Theorem 8 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. The \( \Delta^2 \) conjecture holds for diameter-2 graphs. In addition, \( \lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 - 1 \) for diameter-2 graphs with \( \Delta \geq 2 \) except for \( C_3 \), \( C_4 \), and the Moore graphs. For these exceptional graphs, \( \lambda_{2,1} = \Delta^2 \).

The proof of these facts rely on Brooks’ theorem and several results from Griggs and Yeh:

Theorem 9 (Brooks [Lovász 1975]). If \( G \) is an odd cycle or a complete graph, \( \chi(G) \leq \Delta + 1 \); otherwise, \( \chi(G) \leq \Delta \).

Lemma 10 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. \( \lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq |V(G)| + \chi(G) - 2 \).

Lemma 11 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. There exists an injective \( L(2,1) \)-labeling of a graph \( G \) with span \( |V(G)| - 1 \) if and only if the complement of \( G \) has a Hamilton path.

Theorem 12 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. Let \( C_n \) be a cycle on \( n \) vertices. Then \( \lambda_{2,1}(C_n) = 4 \).

We now proceed to prove Theorem 8.

Proof. If \( \Delta = 2 \), one can verify the theorem readily using Theorem 12. Suppose \( \Delta \geq 3 \). We now split into cases.

In the first case, suppose \( \Delta \geq (|V(G)|)/2 \). Lemma 10 implies

\[
\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq 2\Delta + \chi(G) - 2.
\]
If $G$ is a complete graph, then clearly $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = 2\Delta(G)$. As $\Delta \geq 3$, $G$ is not an odd cycle. Otherwise, $2\Delta + \chi(G) - 2 \leq 3\Delta - 2$ by Brooks’ theorem. Note that in both cases, $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ implies that $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 - 2$.

In the second case, suppose $\Delta \leq (|V(G)| - 1)/2$. Then $\delta(G^c) \geq (|V(G)| - 1)/2$. Also, we have assumed $G$ has $\Delta \geq 3$, so $|V(G)| \geq 7$. By Corollary 1, $G^c$ has a Hamilton path. By Lemma 11, there is an $(L(2, 1))$-labeling of $G$ with span $|V(G)| - 1$. As the Moore graphs are the only diameter-2 graphs with $|V(G)| = \Delta^2 + 1$, Theorem 8 holds.

In fact, we can do better by the following result:

**Theorem 13 [Erdős et al. 1980].** Except $C_4$, there is no diameter-2 graph of order $\Delta^2$.

This and the proof of Theorem 8 imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.** With the exception of $C_3$, $C_4$, $C_5$, and the Moore graphs, any diameter-2 graph with $\Delta(G) \geq 2$ has $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 - 2$.

We also have some comments on a special family of diameter-2 graphs that have large $\lambda_{2,1}$ number. In order to do this, we must define the points of the Galois plane, denoted $PG_2(n)$. Let $F$ be a finite field of order $n$. Let $P = F^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Define an equivalence relation $\equiv$ on $P$ by $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (y_1, y_2, y_3) \iff (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (cy_1, cy_2, cy_3)$ for some $c \in F$. The points of $PG_2(n)$ are the equivalence classes.

**Definition 15.** The polarity graph of $PG_2(n)$, denoted $H$, is the graph with the points of $PG_2(n)$ as vertices and with two vertices $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ adjacent if and only if $y_1x_1 + y_2x_2 + y_3x_3 = 0$.

By the properties of $PG_2(n)$, we know that the diameter of $H$ is two, $\Delta(H) = n + 1$, and its order is $n^2 + n + 1 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$ [Kárteszi 1976]. This implies that $\lambda_{2,1}(H) \geq \Delta^2 - \Delta$. In fact, Yeh showed that $\lambda_{2,1}(H) = \Delta^2 - \Delta$ [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. This is an infinite family of graphs, as finite fields exist for $n = p^k$ with $p$ prime.

However, we can improve this by one. This construction follows that of Erdős, Fajtlowicz and Hoffman [Erdős et al. 1980]. A vertex $(x, y, z)$ in $H$ has degree $n$ if and only if the norm $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is equal to 0. Suppose $F$ has characteristic 2 and the order of $F$ is $n$. If $(a, b, c)$ is in $H$ then it is adjacent to the point $(b + c, a + c, a + b)$, which has norm equal to 0 and is also in $H$. In other words, every vertex in $H$ is adjacent to a vertex of degree $n$. We proceed to find the number of points of degree $n$ in $H$. Since $F$ has characteristic 2, $f(x) = x^2$ is injective and hence surjective on $F$. This means we can choose $x^2$ and $y^2$ freely as long as one of them is nonzero, and then $z^2$ is determined. We must also eliminate proportional pairs, so in total this leaves $(n^2 - 1)/(n - 1) = n + 1$ vertices of degree $n$.\[\square\]
Now we can make an \((n+1)\)-regular, diameter-2 graph \(\tilde{H}(n)\) by adding a vertex that is adjacent to all vertices of degree \(n\). This graph is of order \(n^2 + n + 2 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 2\).

**Theorem 16.** The graph \(\tilde{H}(n)\) has \(\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1\).

**Proof.** Because \(\tilde{H}\) has diameter 2, \(\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) \geq \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1\). As \(\Delta \geq 3\), we have \(\Delta \leq (\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1)/2 = (|V(H)| - 1)/2\). By the proof of Theorem 8, \(\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) \leq |V(G)| - 1 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1\). □

Since \(\tilde{H}(n)\) exists for all \(n = 2^k\), this is an infinite family of graphs.
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