

a journal of mathematics

Normal forms of endomorphism-valued power series

Christopher Keane and Szilárd Szabó





Normal forms of endomorphism-valued power series

Christopher Keane and Szilárd Szabó

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We show for $n, k \ge 1$, and an n-dimensional complex vector space V that if an element $A \in \operatorname{End}(V)[[z]]$ has constant term similar to a Jordan block, then there exists a polynomial gauge transformation g such that the first k coefficients of gAg^{-1} have a controlled normal form. Furthermore, we show that this normal form is unique by demonstrating explicit relationships between the first nk coefficients of the Puiseux series expansion of the eigenvalues of A and the entries of the first k coefficients of gAg^{-1} .

Introduction

From Galois theory, we know that polynomials of degree greater than 4 are not solvable by radicals. So finding the eigenvalues of a companion matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \beta_{n-1} & \beta_{n-2} & \beta_{n-3} & \cdots & \beta_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

algebraically in terms of the β_i is not possible. If, however, the β_i have expansions $\beta_i(z)$ in terms of some other variable z with $\beta_i(0) = 0$, we may then ask to find the coefficients in the series expansions of these eigenvalues in terms of these $\beta_i(z)$.

In this paper, we work with a formal power series $A \in \operatorname{End}(V)[[z]]$ whose constant term is a regular nilpotent endomorphism. We want to compute the coefficients of the Puiseux expansion of the eigenvalues of A, but since this is not possible algebraically we search for some normal form obtained via conjugating by an invertible transformation. Clearly, conjugating does not modify the eigenvalues

MSC2010: primary 15A18, 15A21, 15A54; secondary 05E40.

Keywords: normal form, endomorphism, formal power series, Puiseux series.

This paper is the product of the Research Opportunities course at the Budapest Semesters in Mathematics program.

of A, and our aim is to conjugate A(z) to a simple shape that allows us to compute explicit relationships between coefficients of the series expansion of the eigenvalues and the coefficients of the conjugate.

In [Ivanics et al. 2016], this problem arose in taking an endomorphism of a vector bundle with some fixed local behavior and searching for the base locus of its corresponding spectral curves. They work with the special case of rank-2 vector bundles E and irregular Higgs fields $\theta(z)$, i.e., meromorphic sections of the endomorphism bundle of E tensored by the canonical bundle. Specifically, the endomorphism θ is assumed to have a single pole of order 4 at z = 0 with leading-order term having nontrivial nilpotent part, and the authors show that its polar part may be brought to a simple form up to applying some holomorphic gauge transformations. The authors also note that the case of endomorphisms having two distinct eigenvalues is much simpler. Let us point out that the rank-2 cases can be tackled algebraically due to the existence of the quadratic formula, but that method breaks down in higher-rank cases for the Galois-theoretic reason alluded to above. Another observation is that up to a shift of the index of summation, it is equivalent to consider power series or Laurent series with a fixed finite pole order. Therefore, in this paper we content ourselves with working with power series, however the role of the pole order (the number of terms in the normal form to be controlled) is played by our parameter k.

We cover the general rank-*n* case for endomorphism-valued power series where the leading-order term is a regular nilpotent endomorphism. That is, we maintain the assumptions of [Ivanics et al. 2016], aside from the pole of order 4 and the rank being equal to 2, extending their results to vector bundles of arbitrary rank and an arbitrary number of terms in the expansion of the endomorphism by presenting existence and uniqueness statements for the normal form of endomorphism-valued power series. This has the same consequence as in [Ivanics et al. 2016] concerning the base locus of generic irregular Higgs bundles with a regular nilpotent leading-order term.

This question is significantly more involved if the constant coefficient of A is a regular matrix with more than one eigenvalue, and even more so if the constant coefficient of A is not regular. The next step we would take to obtain future results would be to examine the case of the constant term of A being regular with more than one eigenvalue.

1. Preliminaries: endomorphisms, gauge transformations, Puiseux series

In this section we describe what kinds of endomorphisms and gauge transformations we plan to examine.

1A. *Constraints on endomorphisms.* We begin by putting constraints on the endomorphisms we want to examine. We remark that the results in this paper hold over

any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, but we will only be considering vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} of dimension n. Suppose that z is a complex variable, and let $A \in \operatorname{End}(V)[[z]]$, that is, A has the form

$$A(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z^m$$
, with $A_m \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$.

We observe $A_0 = A(0)$. We also place the following condition of regularity on A_0 .

Definition 1.1. For a vector space V over an algebraically closed field, an $n \times n$ matrix A_0 is *regular* if and only if its Jordan normal form is of the form

$$J_{d_1}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{d_s}(\lambda_s),$$

with $i \neq j \Longrightarrow \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, and where each $J_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ is a Jordan block of size d_i with corresponding eigenvalue λ_i .

More abstractly, this is equivalent to considering the space of complex $n \times n$ matrices as a Lie algebra and requiring that the centralizer of A_0 has minimal dimension. The importance of this will become clearer later with the discussion of the transformation applied to A.

1B. Constraints on gauge transformations. Consider $g \in \text{Aut}(V)[[z]]$, supposing that g has a power series expansion

$$g(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_m z^m$$
, with $g_m \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}), g_0 \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}).$

We call g an "analytic/formal gauge transformation" (according to whether the radius of convergence of the power-series is 0 or positive), and require that g_0 be invertible because we intend to conjugate A by g. It is a well-known fact about rings of formal power series that an element is invertible if and only if its constant term is invertible. Since g is a power series of matrices, this means we must have $g_0 \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ for g to be invertible.

We turn our attention to the conjugation of A by g, and rename it B:

$$g(z)A(z)g^{-1}(z) = B(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m z^m.$$
 (1-1)

Our first goal is to design g such that we may control any finite number of the matrix coefficients in the conjugation. Because eigenvalues are invariant under conjugation, transforming A into B will make computation of the eigenvalues of A simpler. We obtain the following theorem, which will be restated later as Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $k, n \ge 1$, V is an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} , and $A \in \operatorname{End}(V)[[z]]$. If A is such that A_0 is similar to a Jordan block with eigenvalue 0, then we may construct a polynomial gauge transformation g such that B_0 is an upper triangular Jordan block of dimension n and the first k coefficients B_1, \ldots, B_k of $gAg^{-1} = B$ are matrices with nonzero coefficients only in their n-th row.

The series B will be referred to as "the normal form" from now on. With the existence of this established, we move towards our second goal of determining explicit relationships between the eigenvalues of A and the entries of the coefficients of B. Let us enumerate the possibly nonzero entries of B_m from left to right as $b_{mn-n+1}, \ldots, b_{mn}$. We obtain the following result, which will be restated later as Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 1.3. Let B be the normal form of A as described in Theorem 1.2, and suppose that the bottom left coefficient b_1 of B_1 determined by the normal form is nonzero. The eigenvalues of A have a Puiseux expansion

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{m/n},$$

and for fixed $s \ge 1$, the first s coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_s of the Puiseux expansion explicitly determine and are determined by the first s entries b_1, \ldots, b_s of the matrices making up the normal form B.

In particular, this theorem tells us that for fixed k the normal form B of A is uniquely determined. In all cases we assume $A(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z^m$ is such that A_0 is similar to a Jordan block. Thus we may define $g_0 \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$B_0 = g_0 A_0 g_0^{-1}$$

has the desired Jordan block form. This is a constant transformation, which is notable since the final g will be a finite product of polynomials. Specifically, we will build g as a product of g_0 introduced above and nonconstant factors h_ℓ of the form

$$h_{\ell}(z) = I_n + g_{\ell} z^{\ell},$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $1 \le \ell \le k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This is an important point, because it means that g will be a polynomial, hence everywhere convergent, so applying them to A will not affect the convergence radius of A. This means that the portion of our results concerning gauge transformations will apply to rings of power series where convergence is a relevant concern. Furthermore, since we only consider the terms of A up to the k-th degree we will be applying k of these h_ℓ transformations, so instead of computing an explicit form for g^{-1} , we will only need that $h_\ell^{-1}(z) =$

 $I_n - g_\ell z^\ell + O(z^{\ell+1})$. Then conjugation of A by one of the factors h_ℓ looks like

$$h_{\ell}(z)A(z)h_{\ell}^{-1}(z) = (I_n + g_{\ell}z^{\ell}) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z^m\right) (I_n - g_{\ell}z^{\ell}) + O(z^{\ell+1})$$
$$= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\ell-1} A_m z^m\right) + (A_{\ell} - [A_0, g_{\ell}]) z^{\ell} + O(z^{\ell+1}),$$

where $[A_0, g_\ell] = A_0 g_\ell - g_\ell A_0$ represents the commutator. In this manipulation we see that g affects the ℓ -th term of A without changing the first $\ell - 1$ terms. This is important because we apply the transformations $I_n - g_\ell z^\ell$ iteratively for $1 \le \ell \le k$ for ℓ increasing, ultimately obtaining a polynomial transformation of the form

$$g(z) = h_k(z)h_{k-1}(z)\dots h_1(z)g_0$$

= $(I_n + g_k z^k)(I_n + g_{k-1} z^{k-1})\dots (I_n + g_1 z)g_0.$ (1-2)

Specifically, considering the map

$$ad_{A_0}: M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}), \quad g_{\ell} \mapsto [A_0, g_{\ell}] = A_0 g_{\ell} - g_{\ell} A_0,$$
 (1-3)

will tell us how to construct g to generate a normal form for the conjugated series.

1C. Factorization of the characteristic polynomial of A. We consider the eigenvalues of endomorphisms in the variable ζ . Let $A(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z^m$ be an element of $\text{End}(V)[\![z]\!]$. We have that the characteristic polynomial of A(z) has the form

$$\chi_{A(z)}(\zeta) = \chi_A(z,\zeta) = \det(\zeta I - A(z)) = \zeta^n + a_1(z)\zeta^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(z),$$
 (1-4)

with $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$. We then recall the following particular case of a result attributed to Puiseux and Newton.

Theorem 1.4 (Newton–Puiseux). *The characteristic polynomial* (1-4) *factors as*

$$\chi_A(w^n,\zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\zeta - \zeta_i(w)), \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}[\![w]\!].$$

This version of the theorem is taken from [Abhyankar 1990, Lecture 12], except for identifying the ramification index as n instead of some unspecified divisor of n!; this latter identification in turn follows from [Serre 1979, Chapter I, Proposition 17]. Indeed, according to the assumption $b_1 \neq 0$ the z-adic valuation of a_n is 1, on the other hand the coefficients $a_1(0), \ldots, a_{n-1}(0)$ clearly vanish as A_0 is a nilpotent endomorphism. These conditions mean that $\chi_{A(z)}$ is an Eisenstein polynomial in ζ , thus it is totally ramified, i.e., of ramification index n.

For us, the above theorem means that we may decompose the characteristic polynomial of A into linear factors, with the roots being represented by Puiseux series. Furthermore, we will be able to obtain each root of the polynomial by

considering all of the conjugates (in the Galois-theory sense) of a single root by multiplying $w = z^{1/n}$ by some power of a primitive *n*-th root of unity ω . Specifically, after a branch cut we may fix a choice $z^{1/n}$ of *n*-th root of *z*, and then all the roots of the characteristic polynomial are expressible in the form

$$\zeta_{i}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} (\omega^{i} z^{1/n})^{m}$$
 (1-5)

for i = 0, ..., n - 1. Different choices of $z^{1/n}$ only amount to a permutation of the n roots ζ_i .

2. Existence of the normal form

In this section we present the construction of a normal form for A where the dimension of the ambient vector space V is an arbitrary integer $n \ge 2$. Furthermore, we fix an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Theorem 2.1. Take V to be a vector space over \mathbb{C} of dimension n, and suppose that $A(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m z^m$ is an endomorphism of V such that A_0 is similar to a Jordan matrix with a single eigenvalue. Then for fixed $k \geq 1$ we may construct a gauge transformation g of the form (1-2) such that the coefficient B_0 of $gAg^{-1}(z) = B(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m z^m$ has the form

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the subsequent coefficients have the form

$$B_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b_{n(\ell-1)+1} & b_{n(\ell-1)+2} & \cdots & b_{n\ell-1} & b_{n\ell} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \le \ell \le k$.

Proof. We want to find a way to conjugate A into B such that $A_0 = B_0$ and the subsequent B_ℓ for $1 \le \ell \le k$ have the indicated form. So we consider the map $\mathrm{ad}_{A_0}: V \to V$ for an arbitrary matrix G given by $G \mapsto [A_0, G]$, with the bracket

representing the commutator of A_0 and G. To examine the image of this map, label the entries of G in the usual way and expand:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{n1} & \cdots & g_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} g_{21} & g_{22} - g_{11} & g_{23} - g_{12} & \cdots & g_{2,n} - g_{1,n-1} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} - g_{21} & g_{33} - g_{22} & \cdots & g_{3,n} - g_{2,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{n,1} & g_{n,2} - g_{n-1,1} & g_{n,3} - g_{n-1,2} & \cdots & g_{n,n} - g_{n-1,n-1} \\ 0 & -g_{n,1} & -g_{n,2} & \cdots & -g_{n,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Name the above matrix C, and name the entries in the usual way. Then see that we may write each entry in the last row as

$$c_{n,t} = -\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c_{n-j,t-j},$$

as t ranges from 1 to n. That is, each entry in the last row is the negative of the sum of entries along the diagonal up and to the left of $c_{n,t}$. We set $c_{n,1} = 0$ by convention. Now although we considered the matrix G to be arbitrary, we may pick the entries of G so that we can make $A_{\ell} - [A_0, G]$ have a desired form. Specifically, the dependence of the last row of G on the first G to the last row of G on the first G to the last row of G but this does not matter to us. Thus from the iterative process described at the end of Section 1B, we may find a polynomial of the form (1-2) that we may conjugate G by to turn the Gth coefficient of G into the form

$$B_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b_{n(\ell-1)+1} & b_{n(\ell-1)+2} & \cdots & b_{n\ell-1} & b_{n\ell} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $1 \le \ell \le k$. Turning A_0 into B_0 is much easier, since it is achieved by a constant transformation, and we are assuming that A_0 is similar to a matrix of the form B_0 . This is the desired normal form for the first k coefficients of B.

3. Uniqueness of the normal form

In this section we again fix an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and show that the coefficients b_i for $1 \le i \le kn$ are uniquely determined by the shape of the normal form B and the coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_{kn} of the Puiseux expansion of the eigenvalues of A. We begin the search for relationships between the series of eigenvalues and the entries of the B_ℓ with a lemma. For the remainder of this section we now suppose that $A_0 = B_0$ is as in Theorem 2.1 and that the first k coefficients of B(z) may have nonzero entries only in the n-th row.

Lemma 3.1. Let t be an integer with $n > t \ge 1$, and $w_1, \ldots, w_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$-n < w_1 < 0, \quad 0 < w_2, \dots, w_{t+1} < n, \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{t+1} w_\ell = 0.$$

Define ω to be a primitive n-th root of unity. Then we have that

$$\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_1 s_1 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} = (-1)^t n.$$

Proof. First, note the following basic identity regarding sums of powers of primitive n-th roots of unity: for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \nmid w$ we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega^{jw} = \frac{\omega^{wn} - 1}{\omega^w - 1} = 0.$$
 (3-1)

For our application below, let us point out that in the sum of the left-hand side the summation index j may equally be chosen to range from 1 to n without changing the value of the sum, because $\omega^{0w} = \omega^{nw}$. Then we proceed by induction on t. Starting with t=1, we see that we must have $w_2=-w_1$, since $w_1<0$, and $w_1+w_2=0$. Then see that

$$\frac{1}{1!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, s_2 \le n \\ s_1 \ne s_2}} \omega^{w_1(s_1 - s_2)},$$

and relabeling $u = (s_1 - s_2) \mod n$ gives

$$n \cdot \frac{1}{1!} \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} \omega^{w_1 u} = n \cdot \frac{1}{1!} \cdot (-1) = (-1)^1 \cdot n,$$

using (3-1) and observing each u is obtained in n possible ways. So the base case is proven.

Now suppose that the claim holds for $t - 1 \ge 1$. For t, we then have

$$\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \leq n \\ s_j \neq s_\ell, \forall \ell \neq j}} \omega^{w_1 s_1 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} = \frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_2, \dots, s_{t+1} \leq n \\ s_j \neq s_\ell, \forall \ell \neq j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1 = 1 \\ s_1 \notin \{s_2, \dots, s_{t+1}\}}}^n \omega^{w_1 s_1} \right)$$

and since $w_1 \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, we may rewrite the inner sum using (3-1):

$$\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_2, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1 = 1 \\ s_1 \notin \{s_2, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_1 s_1} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_2, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} (-\omega^{w_1 s_2} - \dots - \omega^{w_1 s_{t+1}}) \\
= -\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{(w_2 + w_1) s_2 + w_3 s_3 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} - \dots \\
-\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + (w_1 + w_3) s_3 + w_4 s_4 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} - \dots \\
-\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + (w_1 + w_3) s_3 + w_4 s_4 + \dots + w_{t+1} s_{t+1}} - \dots \\
-\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_{t+1} \le n \\ s_j \ne s_\ell, \forall \ell \ne j}} \omega^{w_2 s_2 + w_3 s_3 + \dots + w_t s_t + (w_1 + w_{t+1}) s_{t+1}}.$$
The each of the t terms in the final sum, we may relabel the indices $w' = w'$.

In each of the t terms in the final sum, we may relabel the indices $w_1', w_2', \ldots, w_{t+1}'$ such that $w_1' = w_1 + w_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \ldots, t+1$. The remaining w_j' are assigned lexicographically according to what is left; that is, if w_1' takes the ℓ -th spot in the list, then

$$w'_2 = w_2, \quad w'_3 = w_3, \dots, w'_{\ell-1} = w_{\ell-1}, \quad w'_\ell = w_{\ell+1}, \dots, w'_{t-1} = w_t, \quad w'_t = w_{t+1}.$$

These relabeled terms still satisfy $\sum_{u=1}^{t} w_s = 0$ since the original w terms satisfy this relation. They also satisfy $-n < w_1' < 0$ and $0 < w_2', \ldots, w_t' < n$. This is clear for w_j' with j > 1, and also holds for w_1' since we have

$$w'_1 = w_1 + w_\ell < \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} w_j = 0.$$

So we may apply the induction assumption to each of these sums to turn the last expression in the above manipulation to

$$-\frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{(t-1)!} (-1)^{t-1} (t-1)! \cdot n + \frac{1}{(t-1)!} (-1)^{t-1} (t-1)! \cdot n \right)$$

$$\cdots + \frac{1}{(t-1)!} (-1)^{t-1} (t-1)! \cdot n = (-1)^t \cdot n. \quad \Box$$

This lemma is crucial in determining the coefficients we're ultimately looking for. We now present the argument for the coefficient relationships of the rank-*n* case.

Let $k \ge 1$, $A \in \operatorname{End}(V)[[z]]$ have A_0 similar to a Jordan block and have normal form B as in Theorem 2.1 with $b_1 \ne 0$. Letting

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{m/n}$$

denote the Puiseux expansion of the eigenvalues of A, our aim is to show that the coefficients $\{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ determine and are determined by $\{b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$ for arbitrary $1 \le s \le kn$. More precisely, writing

$$s = n\ell - t \tag{3-2}$$

for a unique $1 \le \ell \le k$ and $0 \le t \le n - 1$, we have the following.

Theorem 3.2. With the above assumptions, there exist polynomials $P_{s,n} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_{s-1}]$ only depending on s, n such that we have

$$b_s = (-1)^t n a_1^t a_s + P_{s,n}(a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}).$$

Conversely, there exist rational functions of the form $Q_{s,n} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{s-1}]$ such that

$$a_s = \frac{(-1)^s}{n} b_1^{-s/n} b_s + Q_{s,n}(b_1^{1/n}, \dots, b_{s-1}).$$

In particular, for any given $A \in \text{End}(V)[[z]]$ and fixed k, the parameters $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{kn}\}$ appearing in Theorem 2.1 are uniquely determined.

Proof. Let ω be a primitive n-th root of unity and recall our notation (1-5) for the eigenvalues of A. The key idea is to compare two different representations for the characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_{B(z)}(\zeta) = \chi_{A(z)}(\zeta).$$

Namely, up to order k with respect to the variable z, the polynomial $\chi_{B(z)}$ can be read off directly from the form of the matrices B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_k given in Theorem 2.1. On the other hand, as we have seen in Theorem 1.4 we may expand $\chi_{A(z)}$ into linear factors $(\zeta - \zeta_i(z))$. This provides us the identity

$$\zeta^{n} + \zeta^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} b_{n\ell} z^{\ell} + O(z^{k+1}) \right) + \dots + \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} b_{n\ell-(n-1)} z^{\ell} + O(z^{k+1}) \right)$$
(3-3)
=
$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\zeta - \zeta_{i}(z) \right)$$

$$= \left(\zeta - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{m/n}\right) \left(\zeta - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (\omega z^{1/n})^m\right) \cdots \left(\zeta - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (\omega^{n-1} z^{1/n})^m\right). \quad (3-4)$$

The generic term of (3-3) is

$$\zeta^{n-1-t} \bigg(\sum_{\ell=1}^k b_{n\ell-t} z^{\ell} + O(z^{k+1}) \bigg).$$

We proceed now by comparing coefficients of (3-3) and (3-4), and to do this we apply induction on s.

Before starting the induction, we do some preliminary work in computing the coefficient in (3-4) of $\zeta^{n-1-t}z^{\ell}$, that is, the coefficient that corresponds to $b_{n\ell-t}$ in (3-3). We exclude the case where $\ell=1$ and t=n-1 (i.e., b_1), since this first nonzero term has simpler combinatorial structure than subsequent ones. We would like to have a general form for the subsequent terms.

To this end, we know that the coefficient of $\zeta^{n-1-t}z^{\ell}$ in (3-4) will be a complex linear combination of the products $a_{m_1} \dots a_{m_{t+1}}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} m_i = n\ell$, with constants given in terms of a sum of powers of ω . This is equivalent to noticing that the indices m_i partition $n\ell$ into t+1 nonempty parts. To explain why there are t+1 parts, we first see that n-1-t=n-(t+1), and in the expansion (3-4), each term will have n components. These components are formed by picking one term from each of the n factors in (3-4), and are thus split into those that are just ζ and those that come from the a_i . In the particular case of ζ^{n-1-t} we can imagine that we use n-1-t choices on ζ , and the remaining t+1 choices on various a_{m_i} . The correct coefficient in (3-4) to compare to $b_{n\ell-t}$ will be then those combinations of a_{m_i} such that the indices m_i sum to n times the exponent of z multiplying $b_{n\ell-t}$, that is, the m_i sum to $n\ell$. We see that the parts must be nonempty since any $m_i = 0$ would give us a factor of $a_0 = 0$ in the product of all a_{m_i} , thus annihilating the product.

So we need to consider the set of all partitions of the integer $n\ell$ as a sum of t+1 positive integers, say in decreasing order:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell,t} = \{m_1 > \ldots > m_{t+1} > 1 \mid m_1 + \cdots + m_{t+1} = n\ell\}.$$

With this notation, we can produce an initial expression for the general coefficient:

$$b_{n\ell-t} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}_{\ell,t}} a_{m_1} \dots a_{m_{t+1}} \mu_{m_1,\dots,m_{t+1}}, \tag{3-5}$$

where $\mu_{m_1,...,m_{t+1}}$ denotes a yet undetermined linear combination of powers of ω with rational coefficients that depends on the partition $(m_1, ..., m_{t+1})$.

The expression in (3-5) can be refined by noticing that we care only about the partitions with $m_1 = n\ell - t = s$, since this will be the highest possible index for a given s and given t, and the products coming from all partitions with $m_1 < n\ell - t$ will be absorbed in the polynomial $P_{s,n}(a_1^{1/n}, \ldots, a_{s-1})$. This assignment of m_1 then necessarily forces $m_2 = \cdots = m_{t+1} = 1$, since we still require that the partition contains t+1 nonempty parts and that the m_i sum to $n\ell$. Let us now introduce

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell,t}^{0} = \{ (m_1, \dots, m_{t+1}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell,t} \mid n\ell - t > m_1 \}.$$

This $\mathcal{P}_{\ell,t}^0$ captures all of the partitions whose m_1 index we do not need to keep track of, allowing us to rewrite (3-5). In rewriting, we suppress the m_i in the first term, instead presenting their actual values which we know to be $m_1 = n\ell - t$, $m_2 = \cdots = m_{t+1} = 1$:

$$a_1^t a_{n\ell-t} \mu_{n\ell-t,1,\dots,1} + \sum_{\mathcal{P}_{\ell,t}^0} a_{m_1} \dots a_{m_{t+1}} \mu_{m_1,\dots,m_{t+1}}.$$
 (3-6)

Again, as the indices m_i of each term in the sum are all strictly less than $n\ell - t$, the second term in this formula only contributes to $P_{s,n}$, hence we only need to specify the constants $\mu_{n\ell-t,1,...,1}$.

To gain a better understanding of the structure of the constant $\mu_{n\ell-t,1,...,1}$ appearing in the above expression, we describe a way of visualizing each partition that will give more structure to the enumeration of the constant's summands. Consider the partition of $n\ell$ into parts $n\ell-t, 1, \ldots, 1$ with 1 appearing t times. We align this partition with the combinatorial choice of picking a term out of each of the n factors of (3-4) by considering the m_i to be distributed among n boxes, not necessarily in increasing order. We label the positions of these m_i amongst the n boxes by the labels s_i for $i=1,\ldots,t+1$, such that $s_i\neq s_j$ for $i\neq j$. Observe however that since $m_2=\cdots=m_{t+1}$, any fixed set $\{s_2,\ldots,s_{t+1}\}$ of t distinct positions in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and any further position $s_1\notin\{s_2,\ldots,s_{t+1}\}$ give rise to a single term in (3-6) of the form $\omega^s a_1^t a_{n\ell-t}$ for some integer s (to be specified below), independently of the order of $\{s_2,\ldots,s_{t+1}\}$. So we may (and from now on, will) assume that the positions $\{s_2,\ldots,s_{t+1}\}$ are in increasing order:

$$s_2 < \cdots < s_{t+1}$$
;

however, we have no restriction about the position of s_1 relative to the above increasing sequence. This gives us a way of picturing all possible configurations of the m_i . An example of one of these configurations is

with $x_j = -a_{m_j} (\omega^{s_j-1} z)^{m_j}$ for all $1 \le j \le t+1$. We note that the -1 attached to each s_i in the exponents occurs since the expansion in (3-4) is indexed from 0 to n-1, but we were considering the s_i as elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This is a minor adjustment.

Computing $\mu_{m_1,...,m_{t+1}}$ involves writing an expression for μ that reflects the fixing of s_1 , the position of m_1 , outside of the strict ordering of the other labels. We express this now, adopting the standard notation $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$:

$$\mu_{n\ell-t,1,\dots,1} = \sum_{\substack{s_2,\dots,s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ 1 \le s_2 < \dots < s_{t+1} \le n}} \omega^{(s_2-1)} \cdots \omega^{(s_{t+1}-1)} \sum_{\substack{s_1 \in [n] \setminus \\ \{s_2,\dots,s_{t+1}\}}} \omega^{(s_1-1)(n\ell-t)}.$$
(3-7)

Now we manipulate (3-7) as follows, recognizing that since ω is an *n*-th root of unity, we may work with any of the sums in the exponents modulo *n*:

$$\sum_{\substack{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\ 1 \leq s_{2} < \dots < s_{t+1} \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{s_{1} \in [n] \setminus \\ \{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1}\}}} \omega^{s_{2} + \dots + s_{t+1} - t + s_{1} \ell n - s_{1} t - \ell n + t}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\ 1 \leq s_{2} < \dots < s_{t+1} \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{s_{1} \in [n] \setminus \{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1}\} \\ 1 \leq s_{2} < \dots < s_{t+1} \leq n}} \omega^{s_{2} + \dots + s_{t+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\ 1 \leq s_{2} < \dots < s_{t+1} \leq n}} \omega^{s_{2} + \dots + s_{t+1}} \sum_{\substack{s_{1} \in [n] \setminus \{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1}\} \\ s_{1} \in [n] \setminus \{s_{2}, \dots, s_{t+1}\}}} \omega^{-s_{1}t}. \quad (3-8)$$

We may recognize (3-8) as an ordered version of the sum examined by Lemma 3.1. Indeed, we have bounded weights that sum to zero and an exponent sum in t+1 terms, namely $w_1 = -t$, $w_2 = \cdots = w_{t+1} = 1$. In Lemma 3.1 we have t+1 unordered terms, but here we have t ordered terms and one independent term. Multiplying (3-8) by t! allows us to rewrite it without the ordering and allows us to apply the lemma, since we obtain sums over t+1 unordered terms. But then the lemma gives that dividing by t! again allows us to compute the sum, and so the sum from the lemma and the sum in (3-8) are equivalent. So we find

$$\sum_{\substack{s_2, \dots, s_{t+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ 1 \le s_2 < \dots < s_{t+1} \le n}} \omega^{s_2 + \dots + s_{t+1}} \sum_{\substack{s_1 \in [n] \setminus \\ \{s_2, \dots, s_{t+1}\}}} \omega^{-s_1 t} = (-1)^t n.$$

We conclude that the leading-index term for $b_{n\ell-t}$ is $(-1)^t na_1^t a_{n\ell-t}$.

Now we can start the induction on s, which will actually be a double induction, first on $\ell \in \{1, ..., k\}$ in increasing order then on $t \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ in decreasing order; see (3-2). We determine b_1 by inspection, and apply the above argument for $b_2, ..., b_n$. So we have

$$b_1 = a_1^n$$
, $b_2 = (-1)^{n-2} n a_1^{n-2} a_2$, ..., $b_p = (-1)^{n-p} n a_1^{n-p} a_p$, ..., $b_n = n a_n$.

We note that each of these b_i relations matches that in the theorem statement, depending on a_1 and a_i . These relationships are certainly invertible in terms of the a_i :

$$a_1 = \sqrt[n]{b_1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{(-1)^{2-n}b_2}{nb_1^{1-2/n}}, \quad \dots, \quad a_p = \frac{(-1)^{p-n}b_p}{nb_1^{1-p/n}}, \quad \dots, \quad a_n = b_n/n.$$

We fix an n-th root of b_1 here so that everything is uniquely determined. Changing the choice of the root is equivalent to multiplying a_1 by a primitive n-th root of unity, which then affects all subsequent coefficients a_k in the same way, eventually leading to a permutation of the roots $\zeta_j(z)$ in (3-4); thus, fixing an n-th root of b_1 is not a restrictive choice. Furthermore, we note that in one direction we have the desired polynomial relations, and in the other direction we have the desired rational relations. Thus, the statement holds for $\ell = 1$ and all t.

Then supposing that the claim holds for $2, \ldots, s-1$, we consider general s. From the earlier partition argument we also know that any terms a_i in the full expression for b_s that do not contain $a_{n\ell-t}$ will have indices at most $i \le n\ell - t - 1 = s - 1$, so applying the induction hypothesis gives

$$b_{n\ell-t} = (-1)^t n a_1^t a_{n\ell-t} + P_{s,n}(a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}),$$

since we have invertible relationships for the expressions contained in $P_{s,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1})$. This new set of relationships will also be invertible since the only new term is $(-1)^t n a_1^t a_{n\ell-t}$, which is a nonzero multiple of $a_{n\ell-t}$ since we are working over a field of characteristic zero with $a_1 \neq 0$. So $b_{n\ell-t}$ is determined explicitly by this expression, and vice versa. Thus we have shown that the claim holds for general s. \square

References

[Abhyankar 1990] S. S. Abhyankar, *Algebraic geometry for scientists and engineers*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **35**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990. MR Zbl

[Ivanics et al. 2016] P. Ivanics, A. I. Stipsicz, and S. Szabó, "Two-dimensional moduli spaces of irregular Higgs bundles", preprint, 2016. arXiv

[Serre 1979] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **67**, Springer, New York, 1979. MR Zbl

Received: 2016-07-17 Revised: 2016-08-31 Accepted: 2016-10-17

3203 SE Woodstock Blvd, Portland, OR 97202, United States

szabosz@math.bme.hu Department of Mathematics,

Budapest University of Technology and Economics,

Egry J. u. 1, H ep., Budapest, 1111, Hungary





INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology,	USA Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2018 is US \$190/year for the electronic version, and \$250/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers



On halving-edges graphs	1		
Tanya Khovanova and Dai Yang			
Knot mosaic tabulation	13		
HWA JEONG LEE, LEWIS D. LUDWIG, JOSEPH PAAT AND			
Amanda Peiffer			
Extending hypothesis testing with persistent homology to three or more groups Christopher Cericola, Inga Johnson, Joshua Kiers,	27		
MITCHELL KROCK, JORDAN PURDY AND JOHANNA TORRENCE			
Merging peg solitaire on graphs	53		
JOHN ENGBERS AND RYAN WEBER	33		
Labeling crossed prisms with a condition at distance two	67		
MATTHEW BEAUDOUIN-LAFON, SERENA CHEN, NATHANIEL KARST, JESSICA OEHRLEIN AND DENISE SAKAI TROXELL			
Normal forms of endomorphism-valued power series	81		
Christopher Keane and Szilárd Szabó			
Continuous dependence and differentiating solutions of a second order boundary			
value problem with average value condition			
JEFFREY W. LYONS, SAMANTHA A. MAJOR AND KAITLYN B. SEABROOK			
On uniform large-scale volume growth for the Carnot–Carathéodory metric on unbounded model hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^2	103		
ETHAN DLUGIE AND AARON PETERSON			
Variations of the Greenberg unrelated question binary model	119		
DAVID P. SUAREZ AND SAT GUPTA			
Generalized exponential sums and the power of computers FRANCIS N. CASTRO, OSCAR E. GONZÁLEZ AND LUIS A. MEDINA	127		
Coincidences among skew stable and dual stable Grothendieck polynomials	143		
Ethan Alwaise, Shuli Chen, Alexander Clifton, Rebecca	1.0		
PATRIAS, ROHIL PRASAD, MADELINE SHINNERS AND ALBERT ZHENG			
A probabilistic heuristic for counting components of functional graphs of	169		
polynomials over finite fields			
Elisa Bellah, Derek Garton, Erin Tannenbaum and			
NOAH WALTON			

