

involve

a journal of mathematics

Zeros of polynomials with four-term recurrence

Khang Tran and Andres Zumba



Zeros of polynomials with four-term recurrence

Khang Tran and Andres Zumba

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

Given real numbers $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we form the sequence of polynomials $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ satisfying the four-term recurrence

$$H_m(z) + cH_{m-1}(z) + bH_{m-2}(z) + zH_{m-3}(z) = 0, \quad m \geq 1,$$

with the initial conditions $H_0(z) = 1$ and $H_{-1}(z) = H_{-2}(z) = 0$. We find necessary and sufficient conditions on b and c under which the zeros of $H_m(z)$ are real for all m , and provide an explicit real interval on which $\bigcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is dense, where $\mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is the set of zeros of $H_m(z)$.

1. Introduction

Consider the sequence of polynomials $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ satisfying the finite recurrence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(z)H_{m-k}(z) = 0, \quad m \geq n, \quad (1-1)$$

where $a_k(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are complex polynomials. With certain initial conditions, one may ask for the locations of the zeros of $H_m(z)$ on the complex plane. There are two common approaches to answering this question. The first describes the asymptotic location of the zeros of the generated polynomials, while the second provides the exact location of these zeros (or at least for the zeros of $H_m(z)$ for $m \gg 1$). Recent works in the first direction include [Beraha et al. 1975; 1978; Borcea et al. 2006; Boyer and Goh 2007; 2008]. Results using the first approach prove useful when establishing the necessary condition for $H_m(z)$ to be hyperbolic, as we will see in Section 3.

When considering polynomials satisfying a generic recurrence such as (1-1), the task of finding an explicit curve where the zeros of the $H_m(z)$ must lie is difficult. For three-term recurrences with degree two and appropriate initial conditions, the curve containing zeros is given in [Tran 2014]. The corresponding curve for a three-term recurrence with degree n is given in [Tran 2015]. Among all possible

MSC2010: 30C15, 26C10, 11C08.

Keywords: generating functions, hyperbolic polynomials, recursive sequence.

curves containing the zeros of the $H_m(z)$, the real line plays an important role. We say that a polynomial is hyperbolic if all of its zeros are real. There are a lot of recent works on hyperbolic polynomials and on linear operators preserving hyperbolicity of polynomials; see for example [Bates and Yoshida 2016; Borcea and Brändén 2009; Bunton et al. 2015; Craven and Csordas 2004]. For studies of sequences of hyperbolic polynomials satisfying finite recurrences, see [Eğecioğlu et al. 2001; Forgács and Tran 2016].

The main result of this paper, [Theorem 2](#), is the identification of necessary and sufficient conditions on $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ under which the zeros of the sequence of polynomials $H_m(z)$ satisfying the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(z) + cH_{m-1}(z) + bH_{m-2}(z) + zH_{m-3}(z) &= 0, \quad m \geq 1, \\ H_0(z) &\equiv 1, \\ H_m(z) &\equiv 0, \quad m < 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1-2}$$

are real. We use the convention that the zeros of the constant zero polynomial are real.

Definition 1. The set of zeros of $H_m(z)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(H_m)$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be defined as in (1-2). The zeros of $H_m(z)$ are real for all m if and only if one of the two conditions below holds:*

- (i) $c = 0$ and $b \geq 0$.
- (ii) $c \neq 0$ and $-1 \leq b/c^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

In the first case, if $b > 0$, then $\bigcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is dense in $(-\infty, \infty)$. In the second case, $\bigcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is dense in the interval

$$c^3 \cdot \left(-\infty, \frac{1}{27}(-2 + 9b/c^2 - 2\sqrt{(1 - 3b/c^2)^3}) \right].$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we prove a sufficient condition for the zeros of all $H_m(z)$ to be real in the case $c \neq 0$. The case $c = 0$ follows from similar arguments whose key differences will be outlined in [Section 3](#). Finally, in [Section 4](#), we prove the necessary condition for the zeros of $H_m(z)$ to be real.

2. The case $c \neq 0$ and $-1 \leq b/c^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}$

We write the sequence $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ in (1-2) using its generating function

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1 + ct + bt^2 + zt^3}. \tag{2-1}$$

Substituting $t \rightarrow t/c$, $b/c^2 \rightarrow a$, and $z/c^3 \rightarrow z$, we will prove the following form of the theorem.

Theorem 3. Consider the sequence of polynomials $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ generated by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1+t+at^2+zt^3}, \quad (2-2)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If $-1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{3}$ then the zeros of $H_m(z)$ lie in the real interval

$$I_a = \left(-\infty, \frac{1}{27}(-2+9a-2\sqrt{(1-3a)^3})\right], \quad (2-3)$$

and $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is dense in I_a .

We will see later that the density of the union of zeros on I_a follows naturally from the fact that $\mathcal{Z}(H_m) \subset I_a$ and thus we focus on proving this claim. We note that each value of $a \in [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$ generates a sequence $\{H_m(z, a)\}_{m=0}^\infty$. The lemma below asserts that it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{Z}(H_m(z, a)) \subset I_a$ for all a in a dense subset of $[-1, \frac{1}{3}]$.

Lemma 4. Let S be a dense subset of $[-1, \frac{1}{3}]$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. If

$$\mathcal{Z}(H_m(z, a)) \subset I_a$$

for all $a \in S$, then

$$\mathcal{Z}(H_m(z, a^*)) \subset I_{a^*}$$

for all $a^* \in [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$.

Proof. Let $a^* \in [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$ be given. By the density of S in $[-1, \frac{1}{3}]$, we can find a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in S such that $a_n \rightarrow a^*$. For any $z^* \notin I_{a^*}$, we will show that $H_m(z^*, a^*) \neq 0$. We note that the zeros of $H_m(z, a_n)$ lie in the interval I_{a_n} whose right endpoint approaches the right endpoint of I_{a^*} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we let $z_k^{(n)}$, $1 \leq k \leq \deg H_m(z, a_n)$, be the zeros of $H_m(z, a_n)$ then

$$|H_m(z^*, a_n)| = \gamma^{(n)} \prod_{k=1}^{\deg H_m(z, a_n)} |z^* - z_k^{(n)}|,$$

where $\gamma^{(n)}$ is the leading coefficient of $H_m(z, a_n)$. Since $\deg H_m(z, a_n) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{3}n \rfloor$ (see Lemma 5), using this product representation and the assumption that $z^* \notin I_a$, we conclude that there is a fixed (independent of n) $\delta > 0$ so that $|H_m(z^*, a_n)| > \delta$ for all large n . Since $H_m(z^*, a)$ is a polynomial in a for any fixed z^* , we conclude that

$$H_m(z^*, a^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_m(z^*, a_n) \neq 0$$

and the result follows. \square

Lemma 4 allows us to ignore some special values of a . In particular, we may assume $a \neq 0$. In our main argument, we count the number of zeros of $H_m(z)$ on the interval I_a in (2-3) and show that this number is at least as big as

the degree of $H_m(z)$. To count the number of zeros of $H_m(z)$ on I_a , we write $z = z(\theta)$ as a strictly increasing function of a variable θ on the interval $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$. Then we construct a function $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ with the property that θ is a zero of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ if and only if $z(\theta)$ is a zero of $H_m(z)$ on I_a . From this construction, we count the number of zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$, which will be the same as the number of zeros of $H_m(z)$ on I_a by the monotonicity of the function $z(\theta)$.

We next obtain an upper bound for the degree of $H_m(z)$ and provide heuristic arguments for the formulas of $z(\theta)$ and $g_m(\theta)$.

Lemma 5. *The degree of the polynomial $H_m(z)$ defined by (2-2) is at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor$.*

Proof. We rewrite (2-2) as

$$(1 + t + at^2 + zt^3) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = 1.$$

By equating the coefficients in t of both sides, we see that the sequence $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$H_{m+3}(z) + H_{m+2}(z) + aH_{m+1}(z) + zH_m(z) = 0$$

and the initial conditions

$$H_0(z) \equiv 1, \quad H_1(z) \equiv -1, \quad \text{and} \quad H_2(z) \equiv 1 - a.$$

The lemma follows by induction. □

2.1. Heuristic arguments. We now provide heuristic arguments to motivate the formulas for two functions $z(\theta)$ and $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$. Let $t_0 = t_0(z)$, $t_1 = t_1(z)$, and $t_2 = t_2(z)$ be the three zeros of the denominator $1 + t + at^2 + zt^3$. We will show rigorously in Section 2.2 that t_0, t_1, t_2 are nonzero and distinct with $t_0 = \bar{t}_1$. We let $q = t_1/t_0 = e^{2i\theta}$, $\theta \neq 0, \pi$. We have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1 + t + at^2 + zt^3} = \frac{1}{z(t - t_0)(t - t_1)(t - t_2)}.$$

We apply partial fractions to rewrite the generating function given above as

$$(z(t - t_0)(t_0 - t_1)(t_0 - t_2))^{-1} + (z(t - t_1)(t_1 - t_0)(t_1 - t_2))^{-1} + (z(t - t_2)(t_2 - t_0)(t_2 - t_1))^{-1},$$

which can be expanded as a series in t as

$$- \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} \left(((t_0 - t_1)(t_0 - t_2)t_0^{m+1})^{-1} + ((t_1 - t_0)(t_1 - t_2)t_1^{m+1})^{-1} + ((t_2 - t_0)(t_2 - t_1)t_2^{m+1})^{-1} \right) t^m. \quad (2-4)$$

From this expression, we deduce that z is a zero of $H_m(z)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & ((t_0 - t_1)(t_0 - t_2)t_0^{m+1})^{-1} + ((t_1 - t_0)(t_1 - t_2)t_1^{m+1})^{-1} \\ & \quad + ((t_2 - t_0)(t_2 - t_1)t_2^{m+1})^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2-5)$$

After multiplying the left side of (2-5) by t_0^{m+3} , we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1 - t_1/t_0)(1 - t_2/t_0))^{-1} + ((t_1/t_0 - 1)(t_1/t_0 - t_2/t_0)(t_1/t_0)^{m+1})^{-1} \\ & \quad + ((t_2/t_0 - 1)(t_2/t_0 - t_1/t_0)(t_2/t_0)^{m+1})^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\zeta = t_2/t_0 e^{i\theta}$, we rewrite the left side as

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1 - e^{2i\theta})(1 - \zeta e^{i\theta}))^{-1} + ((e^{2i\theta} - 1)(e^{2i\theta} - \zeta e^{i\theta})(e^{2i\theta})^{m+1})^{-1} \\ & \quad + ((\zeta e^{i\theta} - 1)(\zeta e^{i\theta} - e^{2i\theta})(\zeta e^{i\theta})^{m+1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{2i\theta}(-2i \sin \theta)(e^{-i\theta} - \zeta))^{-1} + ((2i \sin \theta)(e^{i\theta} - \zeta)(e^{2i\theta})^{m+2})^{-1} \\ & \quad + ((\zeta - e^{-i\theta})(\zeta - e^{i\theta})(\zeta)^{m+1}(e^{i\theta})^{m+3})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply this expression by $(\zeta - e^{-i\theta})(\zeta - e^{i\theta})e^{i(m+3)\theta}$ and set the summation equal to zero to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{(\zeta - e^{i\theta})e^{i(m+1)\theta}}{2i \sin \theta} + \frac{e^{-i\theta} - \zeta}{(2i \sin \theta)e^{i(m+1)\theta}} + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{(\zeta - e^{i\theta})e^{i(m+1)\theta} - (\zeta - e^{-i\theta})e^{-i(m+1)\theta}}{2i \sin \theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{\zeta(e^{i(m+1)\theta} - e^{-i(m+1)\theta}) + e^{-i(m+2)\theta} - e^{i(m+2)\theta}}{2i \sin \theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{\zeta(2i \sin((m+1)\theta)) - 2i \sin((m+2)\theta)}{2i \sin \theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{2i \zeta \sin((m+1)\theta) - 2i \sin((m+1)\theta) \cos \theta - 2i \cos((m+1)\theta) \sin \theta}{2i \sin \theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{(\zeta - \cos \theta) \sin((m+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} - \cos((m+1)\theta) + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-6)$$

The last expression will serve as the definition of $g_m(\theta)$; see (2-15).

We next provide a motivation for the specific form of $z(\theta)$. Since t_0 , t_1 , and t_2 are the zeros of $D(t, z) = 1 + t + at^2 + zt^3$, they satisfy the three identities

$$t_0 + t_1 + t_2 = -\frac{a}{z}, \quad t_0 t_1 + t_0 t_2 + t_1 t_2 = \frac{1}{z}, \quad \text{and} \quad t_0 t_1 t_2 = -\frac{1}{z}.$$

If we divide the first equation by t_0 , the second by t_0^2 , and the third by t_0^3 then these identities become

$$1 + e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} = -\frac{a}{zt_0}, \quad (2-7)$$

$$e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta} = \frac{1}{zt_0^2}, \quad (2-8)$$

$$\zeta e^{3i\theta} = -\frac{1}{zt_0^3}. \quad (2-9)$$

We next divide (2-7) by (2-8), and (2-8) by (2-9) to obtain

$$\frac{1 + e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta}}{e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta}} = -at_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta}}{\zeta e^{3i\theta}} = -t_0,$$

from which we deduce that

$$(1 + e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})\zeta e^{3i\theta} = a(e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta})^2.$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta} + \zeta)\zeta e^{4i\theta} = a e^{4i\theta} (1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^2,$$

or simply

$$(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)\zeta = a(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)^2.$$

Lemma 6. For any $a \in [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$ and $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$, the zeros in ζ of the polynomial

$$(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)\zeta - a(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)^2 \quad (2-10)$$

are real and distinct.

Proof. We consider the discriminant of the above polynomial in ζ :

$$\Delta = (1 - 4a) \cos^2 \theta + a.$$

There are three possible cases depending on the value of a . If $\frac{1}{4} \leq a \leq \frac{1}{3}$, the inequality $\Delta > 0$ comes directly from

$$a \geq 4a - 1 > (1 - 4a) \cos^2 \theta.$$

If $0 \leq a < \frac{1}{4}$, the claim $\Delta > 0$ is trivial since $1 - 4a > 0$. Finally, if $a < 0$, we have

$$\Delta \geq \frac{1}{4}(1 - 4a) + a = \frac{1}{4}.$$

It follows that the zeros of (2-10) are real and distinct for any $a \in [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$ and $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$. \square

To obtain a formula for $z(\theta)$, we multiply (2-7) and (2-8) to get

$$(1 + e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})(e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta}) = -\frac{a}{z^2 t_0^3},$$

and divide (2-9) by this equation to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \frac{ae^{3i\theta}\zeta}{(1+e^{2i\theta}+\zeta e^{i\theta})(e^{2i\theta}+\zeta e^{i\theta}+\zeta e^{3i\theta})} \\ &= \frac{ae^{3i\theta}\zeta}{e^{3i\theta}(e^{-i\theta}+e^{i\theta}+\zeta)(1+\zeta e^{-i\theta}+\zeta e^{i\theta})} = \frac{a\zeta}{(2\cos\theta+\zeta)(1+2\zeta\cos\theta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-11)$$

2.2. Rigorous proof. Motivated by Section 2.1, we now rigorously prove Theorem 3. We start by defining the function $\zeta(\theta)$ according to (2-10).

Definition 7. The function $\zeta(\theta)$ is defined on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ as

$$\zeta = \zeta(\theta) = \frac{(2a-1)\cos\theta + \sqrt{(1-4a)\cos^2\theta + a}}{1-4a\cos^2\theta}. \quad (2-12)$$

Remark 8. From Lemma 6, $\zeta(\theta)$ is a real function on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ with a possible vertical asymptote at

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}\right) \quad (2-13)$$

when $\frac{1}{4} < a \leq \frac{1}{3}$. However, we note that the function $1/\zeta(\theta)$ is a real continuous function on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$.

Lemma 9. Let $\zeta(\theta)$ be defined as in (2-12). Then $|\zeta(\theta)| > 1$ for every $a \in (-1, \frac{1}{3})$ and every $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ with $1-4a\cos^2\theta \neq 0$.

Proof. From (2-10), we note that $\zeta_+ := \zeta(\theta)$ and

$$\zeta_- := \frac{(2a-1)\cos\theta - \sqrt{(1-4a)\cos^2\theta + a}}{1-4a\cos^2\theta}$$

are the zeros of

$$f(\zeta) := (2\cos\theta + \zeta)\zeta - a(1 + 2\zeta\cos\theta)^2.$$

Note that

$$f(-1)f(1) = (-1 + 2\cos\theta)(1 + 2\cos\theta)(4a^2\cos^2\theta - (a-1)^2).$$

If $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ and $a \in (-1, \frac{1}{3})$, this product is negative since

$$4a^2\cos^2\theta - (a-1)^2 \leq 4a^2 - (a-1)^2 = (a+1)(3a-1) < 0.$$

Thus exactly one of the zeros of the quadratic function $f(\zeta)$ lies outside the interval $[-1, 1]$. The claim follows from the fact that $|\zeta_+| > |\zeta_-|$. \square

Although one can prove Lemma 9 for the extreme values $a = -1$ or $a = \frac{1}{3}$, that will not be necessary by Lemma 4. Next, motivated by (2-11), we define the real function $z(\theta)$ as follows.

Definition 10. The function $z(\theta)$ is defined on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ as

$$z = z(\theta) := \frac{a\zeta}{(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)}. \tag{2-14}$$

Lemma 9 implies $1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta \neq 0$, and by (2-10), neither is $2 \cos \theta + \zeta$. Dividing the numerator and the denominator of (2-14) by $\zeta^2(\theta)$ and combining with the fact that $1/\zeta(\theta)$ is continuous on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$, we conclude that the possible discontinuity of $z(\theta)$ in (2-13) is removable. Finally, motivated by (2-6), we define the function $g_m(\theta)$ as follows.

Definition 11. The function $g_m(\theta)$ is defined on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ as

$$g_m(\theta) := \frac{(\zeta - \cos \theta) \sin((m + 1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} - \cos((m + 1)\theta) + \frac{1}{\zeta^{m+1}}. \tag{2-15}$$

We note that $g_m(\theta)$ has the same vertical asymptote as that of $\zeta(\theta)$ in (2-13) when $\frac{1}{4} < a \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

From **Lemma 9**, we see that the sign of the function $g_m(\theta)$ alternates at values of θ where $\cos(m + 1)\theta = \pm 1$. Thus by the intermediate value theorem, the function $g_m(\theta)$ has at least one root on each subinterval whose endpoints are solutions of $\cos(m + 1)\theta = \pm 1$. However, in the case $\frac{1}{4} \leq a \leq \frac{1}{3}$, one of the subintervals contains the vertical asymptote given in (2-13). The lemma below counts the number of zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on such a subinterval.

Lemma 12. Let $g_m(\theta)$ be defined as in (2-15). Suppose $\frac{1}{4} < a \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $m \geq 6$. Then there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\theta_{h-1} := \frac{h-1}{m+1}\pi < \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}\right) \leq \frac{h}{m+1}\pi =: \theta_h,$$

where $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}(m + 1) \rfloor + 1 \leq h - 1 < h \leq m + 1$. Furthermore, as long as

$$\cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}\right) \neq \frac{h}{m+1}\pi, \tag{2-16}$$

the function $g_m(\theta)$ has at least two zeros on the interval

$$\theta \in \left(\frac{h-1}{m+1}\pi, \frac{h}{m+1}\pi\right) := J_h \tag{2-17}$$

whenever h is at most m , and at least one zero when h is $m + 1$.

Proof. Suppose $a \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}]$. Since the function $\cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{x}))$ is decreasing on the interval $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}]$, we conclude that

$$\cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}\right) \geq \frac{5\pi}{6}.$$

The existence of h now follows directly from

$$\frac{\lfloor \frac{2}{3}(m+1) \rfloor + 1}{m+1} \pi < \frac{5\pi}{6},$$

when $m \geq 6$.

The vertical asymptote of $g_m(\theta)$ at $\cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$ divides the interval J_h in (2-17) into two subintervals. We will show that each subinterval contains at least one zero of $g_m(\theta)$ if $h \leq m$. In the case $h = m + 1$, only the subinterval on the left contains at least one zero of $g_m(\theta)$. We analyze these two subintervals in the two cases below.

We consider the first case when $\theta \in J_h$ and $\theta < \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. By Lemma 9 and (2-15) we see that the sign of $g_m(\theta_{h-1})$ is $(-1)^h$. We now show that the sign of $g_m(\theta)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$ when $\theta \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. From (2-12), we observe that $\zeta(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. Since $\theta \in J_h$, the sign of $\sin((m+1)\theta)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$ and consequently the sign of $g_m(\theta)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$ when $\theta \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$ by (2-15). By the intermediate value theorem, we obtain at least one zero of $g_m(\theta)$ in this case.

Next we consider the case when $\theta \in J_h$ and $\theta > \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. In this case the sign of $g_m(\theta_h)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$ if $h \leq m$ by Lemma 9. Since $\zeta(\theta) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$ and the sign of $\sin((m+1)\theta)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$, the sign of $g_m(\theta)$ is $(-1)^h$ as $\theta \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. By the intermediate value theorem, we obtain at least one zero of $g_m(\theta)$ in this case if $h \leq m$. \square

Note that as a consequence of Lemma 4, we may assume that none of the partitioning points under consideration are the points $\cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$. From the fact that the sign of $g_m(\theta)$ in (2-15) alternates when $\cos((m+1)\theta) = \pm 1$, we can find a lower bound for the number of zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ by the intermediate value theorem. We will relate the zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ to the zeros of $H_m(z)$ by (2-6). However to ensure that the partial fractions procedure preceding (2-6) is rigorous, we need the lemma below.

Lemma 13. *Let $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ be such that $\theta \neq \cos^{-1}(-1/(2\sqrt{a}))$ whenever $a > \frac{1}{4}$. The zeros in t of $1 + t + at^2 + z(\theta)t^3$ are*

$$t_0 = -\frac{e^{2i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta e^{3i\theta}}{\zeta e^{3i\theta}}, \quad t_1 = t_0 e^{2i\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad t_2/t_0 = \zeta e^{i\theta},$$

where $\zeta := \zeta(\theta)$ is given in (2-12).

Proof. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(t_0) &= 1 + t_0 + at_0^2 + zt_0^3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{\zeta e^{i\theta}} - e^{-2i\theta} + \frac{a}{\zeta^2 e^{2i\theta}} (1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^2 - \frac{z}{\zeta^3 e^{3i\theta}} (1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^3, \end{aligned}$$

where ζ is a root of the quadratic equation $(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)\zeta - a(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)^2 = 0$. We apply the identities

$$(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^2 = (1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)^2 = \frac{1}{a}(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)\zeta = \frac{1}{a}(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta} + \zeta)\zeta$$

and

$$z = \frac{a\zeta}{(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)} = \frac{\zeta^2}{(1 + 2\zeta \cos \theta)^3} = \frac{\zeta^2}{(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^3}, \quad (2-18)$$

to conclude that $P(t_0) = 0$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t_1) &= 1 + t_0 e^{2i\theta} + at_0^2 e^{4i\theta} + zt_0^3 e^{6i\theta} \\ &= -\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\zeta} - e^{2i\theta} + \frac{ae^{2i\theta}}{\zeta^2}(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^2 - \frac{ze^{3i\theta}}{\zeta^3}(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^3 \\ &= -\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\zeta} - e^{2i\theta} + \frac{ae^{2i\theta}}{\zeta^2} \frac{(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta} + \zeta)\zeta}{a} - \frac{e^{3i\theta}}{\zeta^3} \zeta^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} P(t_2) &= P(\zeta t_0 e^{i\theta}) \\ &= -\zeta e^{-i\theta} - \zeta e^{i\theta} + a(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^2 - z(1 + \zeta e^{-i\theta} + \zeta e^{i\theta})^3 \\ &= -\zeta e^{-i\theta} - \zeta e^{i\theta} + a \frac{1}{a}(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta} + \zeta)\zeta - \zeta^2 = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of [Lemma 13](#), if $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$, then the zeros of $1 + t + at^2 + z(\theta)t^3$ will be distinct and $t_1 = \bar{t}_0$ since $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ by [Lemma 6](#). Thus we can apply the partial fractions given in the beginning of [Section 2.1](#). From this partial fraction decomposition, we conclude that if θ is a zero of $g_m(\theta)$, then $z(\theta)$ will be a zero of $H_m(z)$. In fact, we claim that each distinct zero of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ produces a distinct zero of $H_m(z)$ on I_a . This is the content of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 14. *Let $\zeta(\theta)$ be defined as in (2-12). The function $z(\theta)$ defined as in (2-14) is increasing on $\theta \in (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$.*

Proof. [Lemma 13](#) gives

$$-z = \frac{1 + t_0 + at_0^2}{t_0^3} = \frac{1 + t_1 + at_1^2}{t_1^3}.$$

We differentiate the three terms and obtain

$$dz = \frac{3 + 2t_0 + at_0^2}{t_0^4} dt_0 = \frac{3 + 2t_1 + at_1^2}{t_1^4} dt_1, \quad (2-19)$$

where

$$dt_1 = d(t_0 e^{2i\theta}) = e^{2i\theta} dt_0 + 2it_0 e^{2i\theta} d\theta.$$

If we set

$$f(t_0) = \frac{3 + 2t_0 + at_0^2}{t_0^4}, \quad f(t_1) = \frac{3 + 2t_1 + at_1^2}{t_1^4},$$

then $f(t_0) = \overline{f(t_1)}$, and consequently $f(t_0)f(t_1) \geq 0$. Thus (2-19) implies

$$f(t_0)dt_0 = f(t_1)(e^{2i\theta} dt_0 + 2it_0e^{2i\theta} d\theta).$$

After solving this equation for dt_0 and substituting it into (2-19), we obtain

$$\frac{dz}{d\theta} = \frac{2if(t_0)f(t_1)t_0e^{2i\theta}}{f(t_0) - f(t_1)e^{2i\theta}}. \quad (2-20)$$

With $t_0 = \tau e^{-i\theta}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{f(t_0) - f(t_1)e^{2i\theta}}{2it_0e^{2i\theta}} = \frac{f(t_0)e^{-i\theta} - f(t_1)e^{i\theta}}{2it_0e^{i\theta}} = \frac{\Im(f(t_0)e^{-i\theta})}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} \Im\left(\frac{3 + 2t_0 + at_0^2}{t_0^4} e^{-i\theta}\right).$$

We now substitute $3 = -3t_0 - 3at_0^2 - 3zt_0^3$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(t_0) - f(t_1)e^{2i\theta}}{2it_0e^{2i\theta}} &= \frac{1}{\tau} \Im\left(\frac{-t_0 - 2at_0^2 - 3zt_0^3}{t_0^4} e^{-i\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\tau^4} \Im(-e^{2i\theta} - 2a\tau e^{i\theta} - 3z\tau^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau^4} (-\sin 2\theta - 2a\tau \sin \theta) = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{\tau^4} (-\cos \theta - a\tau). \end{aligned}$$

In the formula for t_0 in Lemma 13, we substitute $\tau = -1/\zeta - 2 \cos \theta$ and obtain

$$\frac{f(t_0) - f(t_1)e^{2i\theta}}{2it_0e^{2i\theta}} = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{\tau^4} (-\cos \theta + a/\zeta + 2a \cos \theta). \quad (2-21)$$

We finish this lemma by showing that $-\cos \theta + a/\zeta + 2a \cos \theta > 0$. This strict inequality implies that we cannot have $f(t_0) = f(t_1) = 0$ by (2-21), and the lemma follows from (2-20). To prove the inequality, we expand and divide both sides of (2-10) by ζ to get

$$\zeta(1 - 4a \cos^2 \theta) + 2 \cos \theta(1 - 2a) - a/\zeta = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\zeta(1 - 4a \cos^2 \theta) + \cos \theta(1 - 2a) = -\cos \theta + 2a \cos \theta + a/\zeta.$$

Finally, using the definition of ζ in (2-12) and Lemma 6, we calculate

$$\zeta(1 - 4a \cos^2 \theta) + \cos \theta(1 - 2a) = \sqrt{(1 - 4a) \cos^2 \theta + a} > 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 15. *The function $z(\theta)$ as defined in (2-14) maps the interval $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ onto the interior of I_a .*

Proof. Since $z(\theta)$ is a continuous increasing function on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$, we only need to evaluate the limits of $z(\theta)$ at the endpoints. Since $|\zeta| > 1$ by [Lemma 9](#), the formula of $\zeta(\theta)$ in [\(2-12\)](#) implies $\zeta(\theta) \rightarrow 1^+$ as $\theta \rightarrow (\frac{2\pi}{3})^+$. Consequently, [\(2-18\)](#) gives

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow (2\pi/3)^+} z(\theta) = -\infty.$$

Finally, the fact that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} \zeta(\theta) = \frac{1 - 2a + \sqrt{1 - 3a}}{1 - 4a},$$

together with [\(2-14\)](#), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} z(\theta) &= \frac{a(1 - 2a + \sqrt{1 - 3a})(1 - 4a)}{(-1 + 6a + \sqrt{1 - 3a})(-1 - 2\sqrt{1 - 3a})} \\ &= \frac{a(-1 + 4a)^2(-2 + 9a) + 2a(-1 + 3a)(-1 + 4a)^2\sqrt{1 - 3a}}{27(1 - 4a)^2a} \\ &= \frac{-2 + 9a - 2\sqrt{(1 - 3a)}^3}{27}, \end{aligned} \tag{2-22}$$

where we obtain [\(2-22\)](#) after multiplication and division by $(-1 + 6a - \sqrt{1 - 3a})(-1 + 2\sqrt{1 - 3a})$. \square

Before making the final arguments connecting the results of this section, we check the sign of $g_m(\theta)$ at one of the endpoints.

Lemma 16. *If $-1 \leq a < \frac{1}{4}$, then the sign of $g_m(\pi^-)$ is $(-1)^m$.*

Proof. Since $-1 \leq a < \frac{1}{4}$, one can check that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi^-} \zeta(\theta) = \frac{1 - 2a + \sqrt{1 - 3a}}{1 - 4a} \geq 1.$$

The result follows directly from [\(2-15\)](#) and the fact that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi^-} \frac{\sin((m+1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} = (m+1)(-1)^m. \quad \square$$

With all the lemmas at our disposal, we produce the final arguments to finish the proof of [Theorem 3](#). We consider the function $g_m(\theta)$ at the points

$$\theta_h = \frac{h\pi}{m+1} \in \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi\right), \quad \lfloor \frac{2}{3}(m+1) \rfloor + 1 \leq h \leq m.$$

We note that the number of such values of h is

$$m - \lfloor \frac{2}{3}(m+1) \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor,$$

where the equality can be checked by considering the residue classes of m modulo 3. From the formula of $g_m(\theta)$ in [\(2-15\)](#) and [Lemma 9](#), the sign of $g_m(\theta_h)$ is $(-1)^{h-1}$.

By the intermediate value theorem and [Lemma 12](#), there are at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor - 1$ zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$. In fact, we claim that there are at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor$ zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$. In the case $-1 \leq a < \frac{1}{4}$, we obtain one more zero of $g_m(\theta)$ from [Lemma 16](#). On the other hand, if $\frac{1}{4} < a \leq \frac{1}{3}$, then we obtain another zero of $g_m(\theta)$ by [Lemma 12](#). Using [Lemmas 14](#) and [15](#), we obtain at least $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on I_a . Since the degree of $H_m(z)$ is at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}m \rfloor$ by [Lemma 5](#), all the zeros of $H_m(z)$ lie in I_a . Recall that we can ignore the case $a = \frac{1}{4}$ by [Lemma 4](#). The density of $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}(H_m(z))$ in I_a comes from the density of $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}(g_m(\theta))$ in $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ and from $z(\theta)$ being a continuous map.

3. The case $c = 0$ and $b \geq 0$

It is trivial that if $c = 0$ and $b = 0$, then the zeros of $H_m(z)$ are real under the convention that the constant zero polynomial is hyperbolic. When $b > 0$, we make the substitution $t \rightarrow t/\sqrt{b}$ and reformulate the claim as follows.

Theorem 17. *The zeros of the sequence of polynomials $\{H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1+t^2+zt^3} \quad (3-1)$$

are real, and the set $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ is dense in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

The proof of [Theorem 17](#) follows from a similar procedure as that seen in [Section 2](#). We will point out some key differences. The following lemma comes directly from the recurrence relation

$$H_m(z) + H_{m-2}(z) + zH_{m-3}(z) = 0$$

and induction.

Lemma 18. *The degree of the polynomial $H_m(z)$ generated by (3-1) is at most*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}m & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(m-4) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(m-2) & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

We define the following three functions on the interval $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\theta) &= -\frac{1}{2 \cos \theta}, \\ g_m(\theta) &= \frac{-\sin((m+1)\theta)}{2 \cos \theta \sin \theta} (2 + \cos 2\theta) - \cos((m+1)\theta) + (-2 \cos \theta)^{m+1}, \\ z(\theta) &= \frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sqrt{(1-4 \cos^2 \theta)^3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-2)$$

The proof of the lemma below is similar to that of [Lemma 13](#). We leave the detailed computations to the reader.

Lemma 19. *Suppose $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $\zeta = \zeta(\theta)$, and $z = z(\theta)$ are defined by (3-2). The three zeros of $1 + t^2 + z(\theta)t^3$ are*

$$t_0 = -\frac{e^{-i\theta}}{z(2 \cos \theta + \zeta)}, \quad t_1 = t_0 e^{2i\theta}, \quad t_2/t_0 = \zeta e^{i\theta}.$$

Looking at $z'(\theta)$, one can check that $z(\theta)$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Using the partial fraction decomposition

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1 + t^2 + zt^3} = \frac{1}{z(t - t_0)(t - t_1)(t - t_2)},$$

we conclude that for each zero of $g_m(\theta)$ on the interval $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ we obtain two zeros $\pm z(\theta)$ of $H_m(z)$. We can also check by induction that $z = 0$ is a simple zero of $H_m(z)$ if m is odd, and $z = 0$ is not a zero of $H_m(z)$ when m is even. The formula of $g_m(\theta)$ implies that the sign of this function alternates when $\cos((m + 1)\theta) = \pm 1$, that is, when,

$$(m + 1)\theta = k\pi, \quad \frac{1}{3}(m + 1) < k < \frac{1}{2}(m + 1).$$

Since $g_m(\theta)$ is continuous on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we may apply the intermediate value theorem to compute the number of zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and the corresponding number of zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. We will see that this number is equal to the degree of $H_m(z)$, thereby proving [Theorem 17](#). We summarize the six arising cases, where θ^* denotes the smallest solution $(m + 1)\theta = k\pi$ on the interval $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Case 1: $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and m is even. There are

$$\frac{1}{2}m - \frac{1}{3}(m + 2) = \frac{1}{6}(m - 4)$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}(m - 4)$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 2: $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and m is odd. There are

$$\frac{1}{2}(m - 1) - \frac{1}{3}(m + 2) = \frac{1}{6}(m - 7)$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}(m - 7)$ nonzero zeros of $H_m(z)$. We add a simple zero $z = 0$ and obtain $\frac{1}{3}(m - 4)$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 3: $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and m is even. With the observation that $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi/3} g_m(\theta) = -3 < 0$ and $g_m(\theta^*) > 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}m - (\frac{1}{3}m + 1) + 1 = \frac{1}{6}m$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}m$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 4: $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and m is odd. With the observation that $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi/3} g_m(\theta) = 3 > 0$ and $g_m(\theta^*) < 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(m-1) - \left(\frac{1}{3}(m) + 1\right) + 1 = \frac{1}{6}(m-3)$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}(m-3)$ nonzero zeros of $H_m(z)$. We add a simple zero $z=0$ and obtain $\frac{1}{3}m$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 5: $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and m is even. With the observation that $g_m(\frac{\pi}{3}) = 0$, $g'_m(\frac{\pi}{3}) > 0$, and $g_m(\theta^*) < 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}m - \left(\frac{1}{3}(m+1) + 1\right) + 1 = \frac{1}{6}(m-2)$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}(m-2)$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 6: $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and m is odd. With the observation that $g_m(\frac{\pi}{3}) = 0$, $g'_m(\frac{\pi}{3}) < 0$, and $g_m(\theta^*) > 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(m-1) - \left(\frac{1}{3}(m+1) + 1\right) + 1 = \frac{1}{6}(m-5)$$

zeros of $g_m(\theta)$ on $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which give $\frac{1}{3}(m-5)$ nonzero roots of $H_m(z)$. We add a simple zero $z=0$ and obtain $\frac{1}{3}(m-2)$ zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

In all cases above the number of zeros of $H_m(z)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ corresponds to the degree of $H_m(z)$ and [Theorem 17](#) follows.

4. Necessary condition for the reality of zeros

To prove the necessary condition of [Theorem 2](#), we first show that if $c=0$ and $b < 0$ then not all polynomials $H_m(z)$ are hyperbolic. In fact, with the substitution $t \rightarrow it$, we conclude that all the zeros of $H_m(z)$ will be purely imaginary by [Theorem 17](#).

It remains to consider the sequence $H_m(z)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(z)t^m = \frac{1}{1+t+at^2+zt^3},$$

and to show that if $a \notin [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$ then there is an m such that not all the zeros of $H_m(z)$ are real. In fact, we will show if $a \notin [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$, then $H_m(z)$ is not hyperbolic for all large m . To prove this, let us introduce some definitions, discussed in [\[Sokal 2004\]](#), related to the root distribution of a sequence of functions

$$f_m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(z)\beta_k(z)^m,$$

where $\alpha_k(z)$ and $\beta_k(z)$ are analytic in a domain D . We say that an index k is dominant at z if $|\beta_k(z)| \geq |\beta_l(z)|$ for all l ($1 \leq l \leq n$). Let

$$D_k = \{z \in D : k \text{ is dominant at } z\}.$$

Let $\liminf \mathcal{Z}(f_m)$ be the set of all $z \in D$ such that every neighborhood U of z has a nonempty intersection with all but finitely many of the sets $\mathcal{Z}(f_m)$. Let $\limsup \mathcal{Z}(f_m)$ be the set of all $z \in D$ such that every neighborhood U of z has a nonempty intersection with all but infinitely many of the sets $\mathcal{Z}(f_m)$. We will need the following theorem from Sokal.

Theorem 20 [Sokal 2004, Theorem 1.5]. *Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C} , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ ($n \geq 2$) be analytic functions on D , none of which is identically zero. Let us further assume a “no-degenerate-dominance” condition: there do not exist indices $k \neq k'$ such that $\beta_k \equiv \omega\beta_{k'}$ for some constant ω with $|\omega| = 1$ and such that $D_k (= D_{k'})$ has nonempty interior. For each integer $m \geq 0$, define f_m by*

$$f_m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(z)\beta_k(z)^m.$$

Then $\liminf \mathcal{Z}(f_m) = \limsup \mathcal{Z}(f_m)$, and a point z lies in this set if and only if either

- (i) *there is a unique dominant index k at z , and $\alpha_k(z) = 0$, or*
- (ii) *there are two or more dominant indices at z .*

If $z^* \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the zeros in t of $1 + t + at^2 + z^*t^3$ are distinct then by the partial fractions given in (2-4) and Theorem 20, z^* will belong to $\liminf \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$ when the two smallest (in modulus) zeros of $1 + t + at^2 + z^*t^3$ have the same modulus. We also note that $t_0(z)$, $t_1(z)$, and $t_2(z)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of z^* by the implicit function theorem. If we let $\omega = e^{2i\theta}$, then the no-degenerate-dominance condition in Theorem 20 comes directly from equations (2-14) and (2-12) since θ is a fixed constant (and thus z is a fixed point which has empty interior).

Suppose $a \notin [-1, \frac{1}{3}]$. With the setup in the previous paragraph, our main goal is to find a $z^* \notin \mathbb{R}$ so that the zeros of $1 + t + at^2 + z^*t^3$ are distinct and the two smallest (in modulus) zeros of this polynomial have the same modulus. If we can find such a point, then $z^* \in \liminf \mathcal{Z}(H_m) = \limsup \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$. This implies that on a small neighborhood of z^* which does not intersect the real line, there is a nonreal zero of $H_m(z)$ for all large m by the definition of $\liminf \mathcal{Z}(H_m)$. Our choice of $z^* = z(\theta^*)$ comes from (2-14) for a special θ^* . Unlike in Section 2, θ^* will not belong to $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi)$ to ensure that $z^* \notin \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we consider the two cases $a < -1$ and $a > 3$.

The case $a < -1$. We select $0 \ll \theta^* < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}} \zeta(\theta) = i\sqrt{|a|},$$

see (2-12), we can pick $0 < \theta^* < \frac{\pi}{2}$ sufficiently close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ so that $\zeta := \zeta(\theta^*) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $|\zeta(\theta^*)| > 1$. By Lemma 13, we have $t_2 = \zeta t_0 e^{i\theta^*}$ and $t_1 = t_0 e^{2i\theta^*}$. The fact that

$|\zeta| > 1$ and $\theta^* \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ implies that the polynomial $1 + t + at^2 + z(\theta^*)t^3$ has distinct zeros and not all its zeros are real. We will show that $z(\theta^*) \notin \mathbb{R}$, by contradiction. Indeed, if $z(\theta^*) \in \mathbb{R}$ then the zeros of the polynomial $1 + t + at^2 + z(\theta^*)t^3 \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ satisfy $t_0 = \bar{t}_1$ and

$$t_2 = t_0 \zeta e^{i\theta^*} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This gives a contradiction because the first equation implies $t_0 e^{i\theta^*} \in \mathbb{R}$, while the second equation implies $t_0 e^{i\theta^*} \notin \mathbb{R}$ since $\zeta \notin \mathbb{R}$.

The case $a > \frac{1}{3}$. We select $\beta < \cos \theta^* \ll 1$, where $\beta = \sqrt{a/(4a-1)} < 1$. Once more,

$$\left| \lim_{\cos \theta \rightarrow \beta} \zeta(\theta) \right| = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\sqrt{4a^2 - a}}{1 - 2a} \right| & \text{if } a \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \infty & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where we can easily check that

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{4a^2 - a}}{1 - 2a} \right| > 1, \quad a > \frac{1}{3}.$$

Thus if $\cos \theta^*$ is sufficiently close to β , then $0 < \theta^* < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $|\zeta(\theta^*)| > 1$, and $|\zeta(\theta^*)| \notin \mathbb{R}$, where the last statement comes from (2-12) and the inequality

$$(1 - 4a) \cos^2 \theta^* + a < 0.$$

With $0 < \theta^* < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $|\zeta(\theta^*)| > 1$, and $|\zeta(\theta^*)| \notin \mathbb{R}$, we apply the same arguments given in the previous case to complete the proof.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Professor T. Forgács for his careful review of the paper and the reviewer for their helpful comments on the paper.

References

- [Bates and Yoshida 2016] R. Bates and R. Yoshida, “Quadratic hyperbolicity preservers and multiplier sequences”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **46**:1 (2016), 51–72. [MR](#)
- [Beraha et al. 1975] S. Beraha, J. Kahane, and N. J. Weiss, “Limits of zeroes of recursively defined polynomials”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **72**:11 (1975), 4209. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Beraha et al. 1978] S. Beraha, J. Kahane, and N. J. Weiss, “Limits of zeros of recursively defined families of polynomials”, pp. 213–232 in *Studies in foundations and combinatorics*, edited by G.-C. Rota, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. **1**, Academic Press, New York, 1978. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Borcea and Brändén 2009] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, “Pólya–Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170**:1 (2009), 465–492. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Borcea et al. 2006] J. Borcea, R. Bøgvad, and B. Shapiro, “On rational approximation of algebraic functions”, *Adv. Math.* **204**:2 (2006), 448–480. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Boyer and Goh 2007] R. Boyer and W. M. Y. Goh, “On the zero attractor of the Euler polynomials”, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **38**:1 (2007), 97–132. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Boyer and Goh 2008] R. Boyer and W. M. Y. Goh, “Polynomials associated with partitions: asymptotics and zeros”, pp. 33–45 in *Special functions and orthogonal polynomials*, edited by D. Dominici and R. S. Maier, *Contemp. Math.* **471**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bunton et al. 2015] A. Bunton, N. Jacobs, S. Jenkins, C. McKenry, Jr., A. Piotrowski, and L. Scott, “Nonreal zero decreasing operators related to orthogonal polynomials”, *Involve* **8**:1 (2015), 129–146. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Craven and Csordas 2004] T. Craven and G. Csordas, “Composition theorems, multiplier sequences and complex zero decreasing sequences”, pp. 131–166 in *Value distribution theory and related topics*, edited by G. Barsegian et al., *Adv. Complex Anal. Appl.* **3**, Kluwer, Boston, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Eğecioğlu et al. 2001] O. Eğecioğlu, T. Redmond, and C. Ryavec, “From a polynomial Riemann hypothesis to alternating sign matrices”, *Electron. J. Combin.* **8**:1 (2001), art. id. 36. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Forgács and Tran 2016] T. Forgács and K. Tran, “Polynomials with rational generating functions and real zeros”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **443**:2 (2016), 631–651. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sokal 2004] A. D. Sokal, “Chromatic roots are dense in the whole complex plane”, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **13**:2 (2004), 221–261. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tran 2014] K. Tran, “Connections between discriminants and the root distribution of polynomials with rational generating function”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **410**:1 (2014), 330–340. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tran 2015] K. Tran, “The root distribution of polynomials with a three-term recurrence”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **421**:1 (2015), 878–892. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received: 2017-03-14

Revised: 2017-06-07

Accepted: 2017-06-19

khangt@csufresno.edu

*Department of Mathematics, California State University,
Fresno, CA, United States*

andreszumba@mail.fresnostate.edu

*Department of Mathematics, California State University,
Fresno, CA, United States*

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Erin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2018 is US \$190/year for the electronic version, and \$250/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2018

vol. 11

no. 3

A mathematical model of treatment of cancer stem cells with immunotherapy	361
ZACHARY J. ABERNATHY AND GABRIELLE EPELLE	
RNA, local moves on plane trees, and transpositions on tableaux	383
LAURA DEL DUCA, JENNIFER TRIPP, JULIANNA TYMOCZKO AND JUDY WANG	
Six variations on a theme: almost planar graphs	413
MAX LIPTON, EOIN MACKALL, THOMAS W. MATTMAN, MIKE PIERCE, SAMANTHA ROBINSON, JEREMY THOMAS AND ILAN WEINSCHELBAUM	
Nested Frobenius extensions of graded superrings	449
EDWARD POON AND ALISTAIR SAVAGE	
On G-graphs of certain finite groups	463
MOHAMMAD REZA DARAFSHEH AND SAFOORA MADADY MOGHADAM	
The tropical semiring in higher dimensions	477
JOHN NORTON AND SANDRA SPIROFF	
A tale of two circles: geometry of a class of quartic polynomials	489
CHRISTOPHER FRAYER AND LANDON GAUTHIER	
Zeros of polynomials with four-term recurrence	501
KHANG TRAN AND ANDRES ZUMBA	
Binary frames with prescribed dot products and frame operator	519
VERONIKA FURST AND ERIC P. SMITH	