

## Classifying linear operators over the octonions Alex Putnam and Tevian Dray





### Classifying linear operators over the octonions

Alex Putnam and Tevian Dray

(Communicated by Jim Hoste)

We classify linear operators over the octonions and relate them to linear equations with octonionic coefficients and octonionic variables. Along the way, we also classify linear operators over the quaternions, and show how to relate quaternionic and octonionic operators to real matrices. In each case, we construct an explicit basis of linear operators that maps to the canonical (real) matrix basis; in contrast to the complex case, these maps are surjective. Since higher-order polynomials can be reduced to compositions of linear operators, our construction implies that the ring of polynomials in one variable over the octonions is isomorphic to the product of eight copies of the ring of real polynomials in eight variables.

#### 1. Introduction

The simplest equations are linear and homogeneous; think y = mx. However, even linear equations of this form become complicated over number systems other than the reals. What would happen if  $mx \neq xm$ , or  $m(nx) \neq (mn)x$ ? To address such questions, we analyze here multiplicative operators like mx over the four division algebras, namely the familiar real ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) and complex ( $\mathbb{C}$ ) numbers, and the less familiar quaternions ( $\mathbb{H}$ ), which are not commutative, and octonions ( $\mathbb{O}$ ), which are neither commutative nor associative.

In the real and complex cases, it is straightforward to rewrite such operators as real matrices. As explained in Section 2, we can generate all such matrices over the reals, but not over the complexes. However, it is initially somewhat surprising to discover that in the remaining cases we can again generate all such matrices, as discussed in Sections 2 and 3. Finally, we discuss some consequences of our work in Section 4, including the immediate generalization to higher-order polynomials.

So far as we are aware, there has not been much previous investigation of octonionic polynomials, linear or otherwise. Serôdio [2007; 2010] considered polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{O}$ , but only for real variables. Rodríguez-Ordónez [2010] classified products of linear equations over  $\mathbb{O}$ , and Datta and Nag [1987]

MSC2010: primary 17A35; secondary 15A06.

Keywords: octonions, quaternions, division algebras, linear operators, linear equations.



Figure 1. Octonionic multiplication in the Fano plane. Each of the seven oriented lines represents a quaternionic subalgebra; products of two elements on such a line yield  $\pm$  the third element, with the sign determined by the arrows.

analyzed the topology of the roots of (some) polynomials over  $\mathbb{O}$ . In this work, we provide a classification of all linear equations over  $\mathbb{O}$ , and discuss its consequences for polynomials.

Complex numbers can be thought of as a pair of real numbers, the real and imaginary parts; thus,  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^i$ , so that  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$  as a vector space. In addition,  $\mathbb{C}$  admits a product, defined by  $i^2 = -1$ . Similarly, the quaternions satisfy  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$ , with multiplication defined by

$$i^2 = j^2 = -1, \quad ji = -ij,$$
 (1)

from which it follows by associativity that k = ij also satisfies  $k^2 = -1$ . Multiplication of imaginary quaternions is much like the cross product, and in fact predates it historically. Finally, the octonions (see, e.g., [Dray and Manogue 2015]) satisfy  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H} \ell$ , where  $\ell^2 = -1$ ; the complete multiplication table can be represented via the oriented Fano plane, as shown in Figure 1. It is easy to check that the octonions are not associative; for instance,  $(ij)\ell = k\ell = -i(j\ell)$ .

Each of the number systems  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{O}$  is a *composition algebra*, admitting the operation of conjugation,

$$\bar{x} = 2\operatorname{Re}(x) - x \tag{2}$$

and an inner product

$$|x|^2 = x\bar{x} \tag{3}$$

satisfying

$$|xy| = |x| |y|.$$
(4)

Each  $\mathbb{K}$  is also a *division algebra*, that is, a vector space on which a compatible multiplication is defined, and in which all nonzero elements are invertible. Explicitly,

the multiplicative inverse of  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{K}$  is given by

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\bar{x}}{|x|^2}.$$
 (5)

The Hurwitz theorem [1922] asserts that these four algebras are the only (positivedefinite) composition algebras over the reals.

#### 2. Real, complex and quaternionic linear operators

We now explore certain linear operators over each division algebra  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  be the set of all multiplicative linear operators from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{K}$ , that is, all real-linear operators from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  that can be realized using multiplication (and addition) within  $\mathbb{K}$ . More precisely,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  is the group generated by the left and right translations

$$m_L : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}, \qquad m_R : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K},$$
  
$$x \mapsto mx, \qquad x \mapsto xm$$
(6)

for  $m \in \mathbb{K}$ . These translations are linear over  $\mathbb{R}$  by distributivity and the commutativity and associativity of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ . That is,

$$m_L(x+ry) = m_L(x) + rm_L(y) \tag{7}$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and similarly for  $m_R$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  must have a matrix representation

$$\pi_{\mathbb{K}}: \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}) \to M_{\dim(\mathbb{K})}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{8}$$

where  $M_k(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the set of  $k \times k$  real matrices.

Since elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  associate and commute, any linear operator over  $\mathbb{R}$  can be expressed in the form

$$x \mapsto mx,$$
 (9)

where  $m, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For reasons that will become obvious as we lose commutativity and associativity, we will refer to this linear operator as "mx"; that is, we use the image of the operator acting on a "place-holder" variable, x, (also) as the name of the operator. In this sense,  $mx \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ . Since elements of  $M_1(\mathbb{R})$  are matrices of the form M = (m), we have the natural definition

$$\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(mx) = (m). \tag{10}$$

Thus, the set of linear operators on  $\mathbb{R}$  is equivalent to the set of real  $1 \times 1$  matrices, and  $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the trivial map.

Complex numbers also commute and associate, so linear operators over  $\mathbb{C}$  can again be expressed in the form (9), where now  $m, x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Separating each complex

number into real and imaginary parts, e.g.,  $x = x_1 + x_2 i$ , and mapping  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the natural way,

$$x_1 + x_2 i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

and noting that

$$(m_1 + m_2 i)(x_1 + x_2 i) = m_1 x_1 - m_2 x_2 + (m_1 x_2 + m_2 x_1) i$$
(12)

brings the linear operator to the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} m_1 x_1 - m_2 x_2 \\ m_1 x_2 + m_2 x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(13)

so that

$$\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(mx) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_2 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (14)

Thus, our set of linear operators over  $\mathbb{C}$  has only two degrees of freedom, namely the real and imaginary parts of the coefficient *m*. On the other hand, the set  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a vector space with four (real) degrees of freedom. Therefore, there are real  $2 \times 2$ matrices that cannot be expressed as a (complex-)linear operator over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We have therefore shown that  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{R})$  cannot be a surjective map. Some simple examples of real  $2 \times 2$  matrices that are not in the image of  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$  are projections and complex conjugation.

If we look to the quaternions, we finally start to find more complicated linear operators. Since the quaternions do not commute, all multiplicative linear operators over  $\mathbb{H}$  are sums of terms of the form

$$x \mapsto pxq,$$
 (15)

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ . Since we can expand each quaternion p, q, in terms of a basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$  and then distribute over the expanded coefficients, we see that every linear operator over  $\mathbb{H}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$x \mapsto e_m x e_n \tag{16}$$

for distinct combinations  $e_m$ ,  $e_n \in \{1, i, j, k\}$ . Therefore, we only need to consider coefficients that are basis elements of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Expanding each quaternion with respect to our basis, e.g.,  $x = x_1 + x_2 i + x_3 j + x_4 k$ , and mapping  $\mathbb{H}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by analogy with (11) leads immediately to, for instance,

$$\pi_{\mathbb{H}}(px) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & -p_2 & -p_3 & -p_4 \\ p_2 & p_1 & -p_4 & p_3 \\ p_3 & p_4 & p_1 & -p_2 \\ p_4 & -p_3 & p_2 & p_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (17)

120

Although this particular operator has only four (real) degrees of freedom, it is now easy to verify [Putnam 2017] that  $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}$  maps the set of all 16 operators  $\{e_m x e_n\}$  to a basis of  $M_4(\mathbb{R})$ .<sup>1</sup> Thus,  $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}$  must be a bijection between the linear operators over  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $M_4(\mathbb{R})$ .

An explicit pairing of each elementary matrix in  $M_4(\mathbb{R})$  with a corresponding multiplicative linear operator over  $\mathbb{H}$  is given in [Putnam 2017]. Intriguing examples are

$$x - ixi - jxj - kxk = 4\operatorname{Re}(x), \tag{18}$$

$$x + ixi + jxj + kxk = -2\bar{x},\tag{19}$$

each of which can be verified (or discovered!) by applying  $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Conjugation is a linear map over  $\mathbb{H}$ !

#### 3. Octonionic linear operators

We are now ready to look at  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$ , the multiplicative linear operators over  $\mathbb{O}$ . If we consider operators of the form (15) with  $p, q, x \in \mathbb{O}$ , then, because  $\mathbb{O}$  is not associative, we are really considering two different operators, one of the form  $x \mapsto (px)q$ , and the other of the form  $x \mapsto p(xq)$ , unless p, q are in a complex subalgebra of  $\mathbb{O}$  (since the octonions are alternative). We can, however, continue to nest more coefficients outside of these two terms. Just as before, because we can distribute over the expanded form of  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ , we only need to consider linear operators with basis elements as coefficients. Mapping  $\mathbb{O}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^8$  again gives a natural definition of, for example,

$$\pi_{\mathbb{O}}(px) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - p_5 - p_6 - p_7 - p_8 \\ p_2 & p_1 - p_4 & p_3 - p_6 & p_5 & p_8 - p_7 \\ p_3 & p_4 & p_1 - p_2 & p_7 & p_8 - p_5 - p_6 \\ p_4 - p_3 & p_2 & p_1 & p_8 - p_7 & p_6 - p_5 \\ p_5 & p_6 - p_7 - p_8 & p_1 - p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_6 - p_5 - p_8 & p_7 & p_2 & p_1 - p_4 & p_3 \\ p_7 - p_8 & p_5 - p_6 - p_3 & p_4 & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_8 & p_7 & p_6 & p_5 - p_4 - p_3 - p_2 & p_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(20)$$

Because we can nest the coefficients of x, we need to count how many nestings we are likely to need to show whether  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}$  is surjective. If we consider operators of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alternatively, one can verify by direct computation that the matrix  $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}(\sum a_{m,n}e_m x e_n)$  is

$(a_{1,1}-a_{2,2}-a_{3,3}-a_{4,4})$	$-a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}+a_{3,4}-a_{4,3}$	$-a_{1,3}-a_{2,4}-a_{3,1}+a_{4,2}$	$-a_{1,4}+a_{2,3}-a_{3,2}-a_{4,1}$
$a_{1,2} + a_{2,1} + a_{3,4} - a_{4,3}$	$a_{1,1} - a_{2,2} + a_{3,3} + a_{4,4}$	$a_{1,4} - a_{2,3} - a_{3,2} - a_{4,1}$	$-a_{1,3}-a_{2,4}+a_{3,1}-a_{4,2}$
$a_{1,3} - a_{2,4} + a_{3,1} + a_{4,2}$	$-a_{1,4}-a_{2,3}-a_{3,2}+a_{4,1}$	$a_{1,1} + a_{2,2} - a_{3,3} + a_{4,4}$	$a_{1,2} - a_{2,1} - a_{3,4} - a_{4,3}$
$a_{1,4}+a_{2,3}-a_{3,2}+a_{4,1}$	$a_{1,3} - a_{2,4} - a_{3,1} - a_{4,2}$	$-a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}-a_{3,4}-a_{4,3}$	$a_{1,1}+a_{2,2}+a_{3,3}-a_{4,4}$

and then check that the 16 degrees of freedom (the matrix coefficients of  $a_{m,n}$ ) are independent.

the form  $x \mapsto pxq$  then at first sight we have  $8^2 = 64$  such operators. However, the lack of associativity means that there are  $2\binom{7}{2} = 42$  cases where we must count both possible orders of multiplication, resulting in 64 + 42 = 106 operators with distinct orderings of coefficients. Since dim  $M_8(\mathbb{R}) = 64$ , these 106 operators cannot be linearly independent, but it is not obvious whether they span  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$ . Since right multiplication can be expressed in terms of (nested) left multiplication [Conway and Smith 2003], we will instead consider operators with coefficients only on the left. We have the identity operator,  $x \mapsto x$ , and seven operators of the form  $x \mapsto e_n x$  with  $e_n \in \{i, j, k, i\ell, j\ell, k\ell, \ell\}$ . If we consider one nested coefficient, then we have the form  $x \mapsto e_n(e_m x)$ , again with  $e_n \neq 1 \neq e_m$  and  $\binom{7}{2} = 21$  new operators. These singly nested products were shown in [Manogue and Schray 1993] to generate the orthogonal group SO(7).

Next, we consider two nestings, which yields  $\binom{7}{3} = 35$  more operators. Amazingly, this process gives us a total of 1 + 7 + 21 + 35 = 64 distinct (representations of) operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$ ! It was shown in [Putnam 2017] that these 64 linear operators are in fact linearly independent; an explicit pairing with the canonical basis of  $M_8(\mathbb{R})$  was also given. Thus,  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}$  is surjective, and doubly nested representations are precisely enough to express all elements  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$ .

In the previous cases, we were only able to construct linear operators for dim( $\mathbb{K}$ )<sup>2</sup> different combinations of coefficients of basis elements, because each underlying space was associative. In  $\mathbb{O}$ , we can construct the same linear operators with different combinations of coefficients of basis elements. So, operators that appear to be different may have the same image  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}$ , and thus in fact correspond to different representations of the same element of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$ .<sup>2</sup> It is now straightforward to show that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$  forms a group under operator composition, and that the map  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O}) \to M_8(\mathbb{R})$  is a bijection. In particular, it then follows that right multiplication can be expressed in terms of left multiplication, thus verifying the result of [Conway and Smith 2003], and this can be done explicitly by finding a linear combination of the basis given in [Putnam 2017] that yields the same matrix.

Since  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}$  is a surjective map, there must exist elements  $f_{n,m} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$  such that  $f_{n,m}(x) = x_n e_m$  for  $1 \le n \le 8$  and  $e_m \in \{1, i, j, k, i\ell, j\ell, k\ell, \ell\}$ . Some other intriguing elements of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$  are given by x - i(j(kx)), which projects out the quaternionic part of x, and x - ixi, which projects out the complex part of x. It is a useful exercise to work out a representation of the latter operator in terms of nested left multiplication! Again, these assertions can be verified or discovered by applying  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>An alternative treatment, as in [Putnam 2017], would regard  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  as being freely generated by left and right translations, then define an equivalence relation  $L \sim M$  on elements  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  if  $\pi_{\mathbb{O}}(L) = \pi_{\mathbb{O}}(M)$ . The relation  $\sim$  is clearly an equivalence relation, since it is defined by equality of matrices, and what we here call  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})$  would instead be the quotient  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{O})/\sim$ .

#### 4. Conclusion

We have shown that the lack of commutativity of the quaternions, and the lack of associativity of the octonions, conspire to provide just enough degrees of freedom that multiplicative linear operators do indeed generate all real-linear maps in those cases — despite the fact that they do not do so in the complex case. In the quaternionic case, the extra degrees of freedom manifest themselves when considering two-sided operators, whereas in the octonionic case it is the nested nature of iterated multiplication that generates the necessary degrees of freedom. Along the way, we have verified the assertion stated without proof in Section 3 that right multiplication can be expressed in terms of nested left multiplication.

In the octonionic case, we have further shown that it takes precisely three iterated products to generate all 64 independent real-linear maps, noting that  $\binom{7}{0} + \binom{7}{1} + \binom{7}{2} + \binom{7}{3} = 64$ . This result has an intriguing application to the Clifford algebra Cl(6), which can be represented precisely as the 64-dimensional matrix algebra  $M_8(\mathbb{R})$ . As has been noted by Furey [2014], it is therefore possible to represent Cl(6) entirely in terms of octonionic multiplication, with possible applications to particle physics; see, e.g., [Dray and Manogue 2015].

Having classified multiplicative linear operators over  $\mathbb{O}$ , we could consider higher-degree terms, that is, octonionic polynomials. By the distributive law, and because real numbers commute and associate with octonions, we can expand each such term (both coefficients and variables) with respect to a basis. Just as there are  $8 = \binom{8}{1}$  (real-)independent components of x, and hence  $8 \times 8 = 64$  independent linear operators on  $\mathbb{O}$ , there are similarly  $\binom{8}{2} + 8 = 36$  quadratic "components" of  $x^2$ , where the last "8" counts coefficients that are squared. Thus, the most general quadratic operator maps x to a linear combination of the  $8 \times 36 = 288$  terms  $x_m x_n e_p$ , where  $1 \le m \le n \le 8$  and  $1 \le p \le 8$ . Furthermore, we can realize each such operator (in multiple ways) as a composition of the linear operators  $f_{m,n}$ , and hence in terms of octonionic multiplication. A similar process can be applied to higher-order terms. It is obvious that any polynomial over  $\mathbb{O}$  can be reinterpreted as eight real polynomials in eight variables; our construction shows that the converse is also true, so that  $\mathbb{O}[x] \cong (\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_8])^8$ .

#### Acknowledgments

This work is based on a paper submitted by Putnam in partial fulfillment of the degree requirements for his M.S. in Mathematics at Oregon State University [Putnam 2017].

#### References

<sup>[</sup>Conway and Smith 2003] J. H. Conway and D. A. Smith, *On quaternions and octonions: their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry,* A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2003. MR Zbl

- [Datta and Nag 1987] B. Datta and S. Nag, "Zero-sets of quaternionic and octonionic analytic functions with central coefficients", *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **19**:4 (1987), 329–336. MR Zbl
- [Dray and Manogue 2015] T. Dray and C. A. Manogue, *The geometry of the octonions*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2015. MR Zbl
- [Furey 2014] C. Furey, "Generations: three prints, in colour", J. High Energy Phys. 2014:10 (2014), art. id. 046.
- [Hurwitz 1922] A. Hurwitz, "Über die Komposition der quadratischen Formen", *Math. Ann.* 88:1-2 (1922), 1–25. MR Zbl
- [Manogue and Schray 1993] C. A. Manogue and J. Schray, "Finite Lorentz transformations, automorphisms, and division algebras", *J. Math. Phys.* **34**:8 (1993), 3746–3767. MR Zbl
- [Putnam 2017] A. Putnam, *Classifying octonionic-linear operators*, master's thesis, Oregon State University, 2017, available at http://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/xmlui/handle/1957/61707.
- [Rodríguez-Ordóñez 2010] H. Rodríguez-Ordóñez, "Homotopy classification of bilinear maps related to octonion polynomial multiplications", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432**:12 (2010), 3117–3131. MR Zbl
- [Serôdio 2007] R. Serôdio, "On octonionic polynomials", *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **17**:2 (2007), 245–258. MR Zbl
- [Serôdio 2010] R. Serôdio, "Construction of octonionic polynomials", *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **20**:1 (2010), 155–178. MR Zbl

Received: 2017-07-23	Revised	: 2018-01-04	Accepted: 20	018-02-14	
putnama@math.oregonsta	te.edu	Department of Corvallis, OR,	<sup>r</sup> Mathematics, United States	Oregon State	University,
tevian@math.oregonstate.e	edu	Department of Corvallis, OR,	<sup>c</sup> Mathematics, United States	Oregon State	University,

# involve

msp.org/involve

#### INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

#### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology,	USA Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$/year for the electronic version, and \$/year (+\$, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# 2019 vol. 12 no. 1

Optimal transportation with constant constraint	1
WYATT BOYER, BRYAN BROWN, ALYSSA LOVING AND SARAH TAMMEN	
Fair choice sequences	13
WILLIAM J. KEITH AND SEAN GRINDATTI	
Intersecting geodesics and centrality in graphs	31
Emily Carter, Bryan Ek, Danielle Gonzalez, Rigoberto Flórez and Darren A. Narayan	
The length spectrum of the sub-Riemannian three-sphere	45
DAVID KLAPHECK AND MICHAEL VANVALKENBURGH	
Statistics for fixed points of the self-power map	63
MATTHEW FRIEDRICHSEN AND JOSHUA HOLDEN	
Analytical solution of a one-dimensional thermistor problem with Robin boundary	79
condition	
VOLODYMYR HRYNKIV AND ALICE TURCHANINOVA	
On the covering number of $S_{14}$	89
RYAN OPPENHEIM AND ERIC SWARTZ	
Upper and lower bounds on the speed of a one-dimensional excited random walk	97
Erin Madden, Brian Kidd, Owen Levin, Jonathon Peterson,	
JACOB SMITH AND KEVIN M. STANGL	
Classifying linear operators over the octonions	117
Alex Putnam and Tevian Dray	
Spectrum of the Kohn Laplacian on the Rossi sphere	125
Tawfik Abbas, Madelyne M. Brown, Ravikumar Ramasami and Yunus E. Zeytuncu	
On the complexity of detecting positive eigenvectors of nonlinear cone maps	141
BAS LEMMENS AND LEWIS WHITE	
Antiderivatives and linear differential equations using matrices	151
YOTSANAN MEEMARK AND SONGPON SRIWONGSA	
Patterns in colored circular permutations	157
DANIEL GRAY, CHARLES LANNING AND HUA WANG	
Solutions of boundary value problems at resonance with periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions	171
ADO E. CAROLA AND JEEEDEV T. NEUCERAUER	

ALDO E. GARCIA AND JEFFREY T. NEUGEBAUER

