

Antiderivatives and linear differential equations using matrices Yotsanan Meemark and Songpon Sriwongsa





# Antiderivatives and linear differential equations using matrices

Yotsanan Meemark and Songpon Sriwongsa

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We show how to find the closed-form solutions for antiderivatives of  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$ and  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$  by using an idea of Rogers, who suggested using the inverse of the matrix for the differential operator. Additionally, we use the matrix to illustrate the method to find the particular solution for a nonhomogeneous linear differential equation with constant coefficients and forcing terms involving  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$  or  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$ .

# 1. Matrix inversion

The concepts of basis and matrix for a linear transformation relative to bases are fundamental in linear algebra. Rogers [1997] suggested an application of the inverse of the matrix for the differential operator on  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  relative to a given basis  $\mathcal{B}$  to obtain antiderivatives of functions in  $\mathcal{B}$ . This idea was used with Chevbyshev's polynomials and some binomial identities to get a formula for integrating the power of cosines [Meemark and Leela-apiradee 2011]. Also, the integrals of powers of sine and tangent were obtained by Matlak et al. [2014]. This idea provides a useful application of linear algebra to calculus.

Let *n* be a nonnegative integer and  $\mu = a + bi$  a nonzero complex number. In this work, we apply the idea of Rogers with the complex approach to find the antiderivatives of  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$  and  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ . More precisely,  $x^n e^{\mu x} = x^n e^{ax} \cos bx + ix^n e^{ax} \sin bx$ . The linearity of the integral operator and comparing the real and imaginary parts yield the desired integrals.

Consider the set of linearly independent functions

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{e^{\mu x}, x e^{\mu x}, \dots, x^n e^{\mu x}\}.$$

MSC2010: primary 15A09; secondary 34A30.

Keywords: differential operator, inverse of matrix, rectangular form.

Let V be the space with the basis  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\mathcal{D}: V \to V$  be the linear operator defined by  $\mathcal{D}(f) = f'$  for all  $f \in V$ . Since V contains no nonzero constant function,  $\mathcal{D}: V \to V$  is invertible. Note that for  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}(x^j e^{\mu x}) = \mu x^j e^{\mu x} + j x^{j-1} e^{\mu x}.$$

This yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The matrix for  $\mathcal{D}$  relative to the basis  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is

$$D_n = [\mathcal{D}]_{\mathcal{B}_n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 1 & & \\ & \mu & 2 & \\ & & \mu & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & n \\ & & & & & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

According to Rogers' technique [1997], we shall use the inverse of  $D_n$  to find the general formula for  $\int x^n e^{\mu x} dx$ . From the above theorem,  $D_n$  is invertible and  $D_n^{-1}$  is the upper triangular matrix given by

$$D_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{0,0} & c_{0,1} & \cdots & c_{0,n} \\ & c_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{1,n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & c_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Identifying  $\int x^n e^{\mu x} dx$  with the value  $D_n^{-1}(x^n e^{\mu x}) \in V$ , we get

$$\int x^n e^{\mu x} \, dx = \sum_{j=0}^n c_{j,n} x^j e^{\mu x}$$

where the  $c_{j,n}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ , satisfy the system of equations

$$\mu c_{0,n} + c_{1,n} = 0,$$
  

$$\mu c_{1,n} + 2c_{2,n} = 0,$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$\mu c_{n-1,n} + nc_{n,n} = 0,$$
  

$$\mu c_{n,n} = 1,$$

because the product of  $D_n$  and  $D_n^{-1}$  is the identity matrix. Clearly,  $c_{n,n} = 1/\mu$ . The back-substitution yields

$$c_{j,n} = c_{n-(n-j),n} = \left(\frac{-n}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{-(n-1)}{\mu}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{-(j-1)}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) = \left(\frac{n!}{j!}\right) \left(\frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{\mu^{n-j+1}}\right)$$

for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Hence, we have shown:

**Theorem 2.** *For each*  $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ *, we have* 

$$c_{j,n} = \left(\frac{n!}{j!}\right) \left(\frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{\mu^{n-j+1}}\right).$$

Note that the integration by parts provides the recursion

$$\int x^n e^{\mu x} \,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{\mu} x^n e^{\mu x} - \frac{n}{\mu} \int x^{n-1} e^{\mu x} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows that the algorithm presented in Theorem 2, requiring only the last column of  $D_n^{-1}$ , is more efficient than integration by parts, which requires the computation of the entire matrix  $D_n^{-1}$ .

# 2. Applications

We use the result from Theorem 2 to find the closed-form of  $\int x^n e^{ax} \sin bx \, dx$  and  $\int x^n e^{ax} \cos bx \, dx$ . Moreover, we also use the basis introduced in the above section to find the particular solution for a nonhomogeneous linear differential equation with constant coefficients and forcing terms involving  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$  or  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$ .

For real  $\mu$ , the general form of  $\int x^n e^{\mu x} dx$  derived in Theorem 2 is the final form. Now, we assume that  $\mu = a + ib$  with  $b \neq 0$ ; the rectangular form of  $\int x^n e^{\mu x} dx$  still remains to be computed. First, we express  $\int x^n e^{\mu x} dx = (p_n(x) - iq_n(x))e^{\mu x}$  for some polynomials  $p_n(x)$  and  $q_n(x)$  of degree n in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . Let  $\varrho = |\mu|$  and  $\varphi = \arg(\mu)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\varrho} e^{-i\varphi}$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\mu^{n-j+1}} = \frac{1}{\varrho^{n-j+1}} e^{-i\varphi(n-j+1)};$ 

hence

$$c_{j,n} = (-1)^{n-j} \left( \frac{n!}{j!} \right) (s_{n-j+1} - it_{n-j+1}),$$

where

$$s_m = \frac{1}{\varrho^m} \cos m\varphi$$
 and  $t_m = \frac{1}{\varrho^m} \sin m\varphi$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since

$$\int x^n e^{\mu x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{j=0}^n c_{j,k} x^j e^{\mu x} = (p_n(x) - iq_n(x)) e^{\mu x}$$

by comparing the real and imaginary parts, we have

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \left(\frac{n!}{j!}\right) s_{n-j+1} x^j \quad \text{and} \quad q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \left(\frac{n!}{j!}\right) t_{n-j+1} x^j.$$

Moreover,

$$\int x^n e^{\mu x} \, dx = (p_n(x) - iq_n(x))e^{\mu x} = (p_n(x) - iq_n(x))[e^{ax}(\cos bx + i\sin bx)]$$
$$= e^{ax}[p_n(x)\cos bx + q_n(x)\sin bx] - ie^{ax}[q_n(x)\cos bx - p_n(x)\sin bx]$$

and

$$\int x^n e^{\mu x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int x^n e^{ax} \cos bx \, \mathrm{d}x + i \int x^n e^{ax} \sin bx \, \mathrm{d}x$$

In conclusion, we obtain the antiderivatives of  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$  and  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$ .

**Theorem 3.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ ,

$$\int x^n e^{ax} \sin bx \, dx = -e^{ax} [q_n(x) \cos bx - p_n(x) \sin bx] + C,$$
$$\int x^n e^{ax} \cos bx \, dx = e^{ax} [p_n(x) \cos bx + q_n(x) \sin bx] + C,$$

where  $p_n(x)$  and  $q_n(x)$  are polynomials of degree n computed above.

Finally, we remark that to apply the idea of Rogers [1997] and obtain the same results, one may use the basis

$$C_n = \{e^{ax} \sin bx, e^{ax} \cos bx, xe^{ax} \sin bx, xe^{ax} \cos bx, x^2e^{ax} \sin bx, x^2e^{ax} \cos bx, \dots, x^ne^{ax} \sin bx, x^ne^{ax} \cos bx\}$$

instead of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  introduced above. But then the matrix for the differential operator relative to  $\mathcal{C}_n$  has the block matrix form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} A & I_2 & & \\ & A & 2I_2 & & \\ & & A & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & nI_2 \\ & & & & A \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $I_2$  is the 2×2 identity matrix, and the computation for the matrix  $D^{-1}$  is tedious. The use of the complex approach and the basis  $\mathcal{B}_n$  reduce the complexity of the computation. Moreover, our approach can be used to find the particular solution for a nonhomogeneous linear differential equation with constant coefficients and forcing terms involving  $x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$  or  $x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$  as follows.

Recall from Theorem 1 that the matrix for the differential operator relative to the basis  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is

$$D_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 1 & & \\ & \mu & 2 & & \\ & & \mu & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & n \\ & & & & & \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

154

It is immediate from the linearity of the differential operator that it suffices to find the particular solution of the equation

$$a_k y^{(k)} + \dots + a_0 y = x^n e^{\mu x} = (x^n e^{ax} \cos bx) + i(x^n e^{ax} \sin bx),$$

denoted by  $y_p$ . Note that  $[x^n e^{\mu x}]_{D_n} = (0, ..., 0, 1)^T$ . Let  $L = a_k D^k + \cdots + a_0 I$ . We shall find a solution of  $L[y_p]_{D_n} = (0, ..., 0, 1)^T$ . Then we get that  $y_1 = \operatorname{Re} y_p$ and  $y_2 = \operatorname{Im} y_p$  are the particular solutions for the equations  $a_k y^{(k)} + \cdots + a_0 y = x^n e^{ax} \cos bx$  and  $a_k y^{(k)} + \cdots + a_0 y = x^n e^{ax} \sin bx$ , respectively.

**Example.** Consider the equations  $y'' - 3y' + 2y = xe^x \sin x$  and  $y'' - 3y' + 2y = xe^x \cos x$ . As per the set-up above,

$$\mu = 1 + i, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^2 - 3\mu + 2 & 2\mu - 3 \\ 0 & \mu^2 - 3\mu + 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so the solution  $[y_p]_{D_1}$  of  $L[y_p]_{D_1} = (0, ..., 0, 1)^T$  is

$$\left(-\frac{2\mu-3}{(\mu^2-3\mu+2)^2},\frac{1}{\mu^2-3\mu+2}\right)^T.$$

Then

$$y_p = -\frac{2\mu - 3}{(\mu^2 - 3\mu + 2)^2}e^{\mu x} + \frac{1}{\mu^2 - 3\mu + 2}xe^{\mu x}.$$

Hence, the particular solution of the first equation is

$$y_1 = \text{Im } y_p = e^x \left( \left( -1 - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \sin x - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \cos x \right),$$

and the particular solution of the second equation is

$$y_2 = \operatorname{Re} y_p = e^x \left( \left( -1 - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \cos x + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \sin x \right).$$

# 3. Acknowledgments

This work grew out of an independent project while Sriwongsa was an undergraduate student at Chulalongkorn University. The project was funded by the Human Resource Development in Science Project (Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand, SAST).

# References

[Rogers 1997] J. W. Rogers, Jr., "Applications of linear algebra in calculus", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **104**:1 (1997), 20–26. MR Zbl

<sup>[</sup>Matlak et al. 2014] D. Matlak, J. Matlak, D. Slota, and R. Witula, "Differentiation and integration by using matrix inversion", *J. Appl. Math. Comput. Mech.* **13**:2 (2014), 63–71.

<sup>[</sup>Meemark and Leela-apiradee 2011] Y. Meemark and W. Leela-apiradee, "A change of basis matrix and integrals of power of cosine", *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **141**:3 (2011), 1319–1324. MR Zbl

# YOTSANAN MEEMARK AND SONGPON SRIWONGSA

Received: 2017-09-03	Revised: 2017-10-26 Accepted: 2017-12-14
yzm101@yahoo.com	Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
songpon@uwm.edu	Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, United States

msp

# involve

msp.org/involve

# INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology	, USA Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$/year for the electronic version, and \$/year (+\$, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# 2019 vol. 12 no. 1

Optimal transportation with constant constraint	1
WYATT BOYER, BRYAN BROWN, ALYSSA LOVING AND SARAH TAMMEN	
Fair choice sequences	13
WILLIAM J. KEITH AND SEAN GRINDATTI	
Intersecting geodesics and centrality in graphs	31
Emily Carter, Bryan Ek, Danielle Gonzalez, Rigoberto Flórez and Darren A. Narayan	
The length spectrum of the sub-Riemannian three-sphere	45
DAVID KLAPHECK AND MICHAEL VANVALKENBURGH	
Statistics for fixed points of the self-power map	63
MATTHEW FRIEDRICHSEN AND JOSHUA HOLDEN	
Analytical solution of a one-dimensional thermistor problem with Robin boundary	79
condition	
VOLODYMYR HRYNKIV AND ALICE TURCHANINOVA	
On the covering number of $S_{14}$	89
RYAN OPPENHEIM AND ERIC SWARTZ	
Upper and lower bounds on the speed of a one-dimensional excited random walk	97
Erin Madden, Brian Kidd, Owen Levin, Jonathon Peterson,	
JACOB SMITH AND KEVIN M. STANGL	
Classifying linear operators over the octonions	117
Alex Putnam and Tevian Dray	
Spectrum of the Kohn Laplacian on the Rossi sphere	125
Tawfik Abbas, Madelyne M. Brown, Ravikumar Ramasami and Yunus E. Zeytuncu	
On the complexity of detecting positive eigenvectors of nonlinear cone maps	141
BAS LEMMENS AND LEWIS WHITE	
Antiderivatives and linear differential equations using matrices	151
YOTSANAN MEEMARK AND SONGPON SRIWONGSA	
Patterns in colored circular permutations	157
DANIEL GRAY, CHARLES LANNING AND HUA WANG	
Solutions of boundary value problems at resonance with periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions	171
ALDO E. CAROLA AND LEEEDEV T. NEUCERALER	

ALDO E. GARCIA AND JEFFREY T. NEUGEBAUER

