

involve

a journal of mathematics

Leibniz algebras with
low-dimensional maximal Lie quotients

William J. Cook, John Hall, Vicky W. Klima and Carter Murray



Leibniz algebras with low-dimensional maximal Lie quotients

William J. Cook, John Hall, Vicky W. Klima and Carter Murray

(Communicated by Ravi Vakil)

Every Leibniz algebra has a maximal homomorphic image that is a Lie algebra. We classify cyclic Leibniz algebras over an arbitrary field. Such algebras have the 1-dimensional abelian Lie algebra as their maximal Lie quotient. We then give examples of Leibniz algebras whose associated maximal Lie quotients exhaust all 2-dimensional possibilities.

1. Introduction

The theory of Leibniz algebras has blossomed since the pioneering work [Loday 1993]. Transitioning from Lie to Leibniz algebras is similar to transitioning from commutative to noncommutative rings. Both transitions drop one defining property, leading to many new and interesting structures. In a Leibniz algebra we keep a version of the Jacobi identity but no longer assume that multiplication is alternating, and hence it is not necessarily skew-symmetric either. To truly understand an algebraic structure one needs a varied collection of illuminating examples. In this paper we seek to provide a small collection of examples of non-Lie (left) Leibniz algebras.

In [Scofield and Sullivan 2014] the authors provide a classification of cyclic Leibniz algebras over the complex field. We offer a variant of their proof which avoids the use of n -th roots and thus provides a complete classification of cyclic Leibniz algebras over arbitrary fields. In addition, we construct two classes of non-cyclic Leibniz algebras with nonisomorphic 2-dimensional maximal Lie quotients, exhausting all possibilities for such quotients.

The paper is structured as follows: after providing some background in [Section 2](#), we use [Section 3](#) to construct and classify all cyclic Leibniz algebras over an arbitrary field. The next two sections present examples of Leibniz algebras with both nonabelian ([Section 4](#)) and abelian ([Section 5](#)) 2-dimensional maximal Lie quotients.

MSC2010: primary 17A32; secondary 17A60.

Keywords: Leibniz algebra, cyclic Leibniz algebra, low-dimensional examples.

2. Background

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. For our purposes it suffices to consider only finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} .

Definition 2.1. Let L be a vector space equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$, called a *bracket*, such that for all $x, y, z \in L$ the (left) *Leibniz identity* $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]]$ holds. Then L is called a (left) *Leibniz algebra*.

Briefly, a (left) Leibniz algebra is an algebra whose left multiplication operators are derivations. Similarly we could assume that right multiplication operators are derivations and define the notion of a right Leibniz algebra. Just as with many other algebraic constructions our choice of left versus right is arbitrary. All of our results for left Leibniz algebras can easily be translated to results for right Leibniz algebras. For the remainder of the paper Leibniz algebra will mean left Leibniz algebra.

Notice that the Leibniz identity could replace the Jacobi identity in the definition of a Lie algebra. In fact, the left Leibniz identity, the corresponding right Leibniz identity $[[y, z], x] = [y, [z, x]] + [[y, x], z]$, and the Jacobi identity $[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0$ are all equivalent if we assume our bracket is bilinear and alternating, that is, $[x, x] = 0$ for all x . We refer the reader to [Demir et al. 2014] for more details concerning basic definitions related to Leibniz algebras.

Definition 2.2. For L a Leibniz algebra, $\text{Leib}(L) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[x, x] \mid x \in L\}$.

We have that L is a Lie algebra if and only if $\text{Leib}(L) = \{0\}$. Notice that $\text{Leib}(L)$ is a (two-sided) ideal of L . Moreover, $L/\text{Leib}(L)$ is the largest quotient of L that is a Lie algebra. Specifically, if I is any ideal of L such that L/I is a Lie algebra, then $\text{Leib}(L) \subseteq I$. Here we use the term *ideal* in the familiar Lie algebra sense: a subalgebra I of a Leibniz algebra L is a (two-sided) ideal of L if and only if $[L, I]$ and $[I, L]$ are both contained in I . We write $I \triangleleft L$ when I is an ideal of L .

Many other definitions extend directly from Lie to Leibniz algebras. As a second example, we say L is an *abelian* Leibniz algebra if and only if $[L, L] = \{0\}$, that is, if $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L$. The definitions of nilpotency and solvability also carry over without modification.

Definition 2.3. Recall that $L^1 = L$ and $L^{j+1} = [L, L^j]$ for $j \geq 1$ gives us the *lower central series*. L is *nilpotent* of class n if $L^{n+1} = \{0\}$ but $L^n \neq \{0\}$. In particular, L is *nilpotent* if $L^n = \{0\}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Likewise, $L^{(0)} = L$ and $L^{(j+1)} = [L^{(j)}, L^{(j)}]$ for $j \geq 0$ gives us the *derived series*. L is *solvable* if $L^{(n)} = \{0\}$ for some $n \geq 0$.

The proofs of many basic results given in introductory Lie algebra texts such as [Erdmann and Wildon 2006] apply just as well to Leibniz algebras. In particular, abelian implies nilpotent and nilpotent implies solvable. Recall that $\text{rad}(L)$ is the largest solvable ideal of L . As with Lie algebras, this is just the sum of all ideals I

of L such that I itself is a solvable algebra. Likewise, $\text{nil}(L)$ is the largest nilpotent ideal.

The notion of internal direct sum for Leibniz algebras also carries over from Lie theory. As with Lie algebras, if $L = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ is an internal direct sum of Leibniz algebras, each L_i is in fact an ideal of L and L is isomorphic to the external direct sum of Leibniz algebras L_1, \dots, L_n , defined in the obvious way.

Definition 2.4. Let L be a Leibniz algebra with subalgebras L_1, \dots, L_n . We write $L = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$, an internal direct sum of Leibniz algebras, if $L = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ as subspaces and $[x, y] = 0$ for any $x \in L_i$ and $y \in L_j$, where $i \neq j$.

It is not hard to show that for $I_j \triangleleft L_j$, we have

$$(L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n)/(I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n) \cong (L_1/I_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_n/I_n)$$

with the direct sum on the right an external direct sum. Likewise,

$$Z(L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) = Z(L_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z(L_n),$$

$$\text{Leib}(L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) = \text{Leib}(L_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Leib}(L_n),$$

$$[L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n, L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n] = [L_1, L_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus [L_n, L_n].$$

Some important definitions from Lie theory require minor modifications as we move to Leibniz algebras. For example, if we apply the Lie theory definitions of simple and semisimple algebras directly to Leibniz algebras, both simple and semisimple Leibniz algebra would necessarily be Lie and thus there would be nothing new to consider. We modify these definitions for Leibniz algebras as follows:

Definition 2.5. Let L be a Leibniz algebra. L is *simple* if and only if $[L, L] \neq \text{Leib}(L)$ and $\{0\}$, $\text{Leib}(L)$, and L are the only ideals of L . L is *semisimple* if and only if $\text{rad}(L) = \text{Leib}(L)$.

When L is also a Lie algebra, $\text{Leib}(L) = \{0\}$, so these definitions collapse back down to the usual definitions for a Lie algebra. In fact, these definitions guarantee that L is simple (resp. semisimple) as a Leibniz algebra if and only if $L/\text{Leib}(L)$ is simple (resp. semisimple) as a Lie algebra.

When working with Lie algebras, taking powers of elements is uninteresting: $x^1 = x$ and then $x^2 = [x, x] = 0$ because of the alternating axiom. In Leibniz algebras much more is possible. We fix the notation $x^1 = x$, $x^2 = [x, x]$, and in general, $x^{n+1} = [x, x^n]$ for $n \geq 1$. Consider the following basic, well known result:

Lemma 2.6. Let L be a Leibniz algebra and $x, y \in L$. Then $[[x, x], y] = 0$ and more generally $[x^n, y] = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Moreover, the only potentially nonzero n -th power of x is

$$x^n = \underbrace{[x, [x, \dots, [x, x] \cdots]]}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Proof. The Leibniz identity states that $[x, [x, y]] = [[x, x], y] + [x, [x, y]]$ so that $0 = [[x, x], y]$. Assume inductively that $[x^n, z] = 0$ for any $z \in L$ and some $n \geq 2$. The Leibniz identity states that $[x, [x^n, y]] = [[x, x^n], y] + [x^n, [x, y]]$. By our inductive hypothesis, we have $[x, 0] = [x^{n+1}, y] + 0$ so that $[x^{n+1}, y] = 0$.

Finally, the only first and second powers of x are $x^1 = x$ and $x^2 = [x, x]$. Third powers of x can be written either as x^3 or $[[x, x], x] = 0$. Assume that all k -th powers of x other than x^k are 0 where $1 \leq k < n$ and let w be some n -th power of x . Then $w = [u, v]$, where u and v are k -th and ℓ -th powers of x such that $k + \ell = n$. By induction, if $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$, we must have $u = x^k$ and $v = x^\ell$. So either $k \geq 2$ and thus $w = [u, v] = [x^k, v] = 0$ or $k = 1$ and we have $w = [u, v] = [x, x^\ell] = x^{\ell+1} = x^n$. \square

We can see that generally Leibniz algebras are not power associative. Notice that for a right Leibniz algebra we would have that the only potentially nonzero powers would be of the form $[[\cdot \cdots [x, x], \dots, x], x]$. This means that if an algebra was both a left and right Leibniz algebra, the only nonzero power could be $x^2 = [x, x]$. In fact, $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x, x^2\}$, where $[x, x] = x^2$, $[x, x^2] = [x^2, x] = [x^2, x^2] = 0$, gives an example of a simultaneously left and right Leibniz algebra which is not a Lie algebra.

3. Cyclic Leibniz algebras

A cyclic Leibniz algebra is a Leibniz algebra that can be generated from a single element. We do not consider cyclic Lie algebras since the only cyclic Lie algebras are either the trivial algebra $\{0\}$ or the 1-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Scofield and Sullivan [2014] have classified complex cyclic Leibniz algebras. In this section, we give a similar construction which allows us to classify cyclic (left) Leibniz algebras over an arbitrary field.

Definition 3.1. Let L be a Leibniz algebra. L is *cyclic* if and only if there exists some $x \in L$ such that $L = \langle x \rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^k \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\}$. If $L = \langle x \rangle$, we call x a *generator* of L .

The trivial algebra $\{0\} = \langle 0 \rangle$ is cyclic. Likewise, any 1-dimensional algebra is cyclic as it is generated by any nonzero element.

Let $L \neq \{0\}$ be a cyclic (left) Leibniz algebra and fix a generator $x \neq 0$. By definition $L = \langle x \rangle = \{x^k \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and since L is finite-dimensional, we must have that $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^{n+1}\}$ is linearly dependent for some $n \geq 1$. Let n be the smallest such power. This means that $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly independent and x^{n+1} can be written as a linear combination of $\{x, \dots, x^n\}$. Consequently all higher powers of x can be written as a linear combination of x, x^2, \dots, x^n . Thus $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is a basis for L and so $\dim(L) = n$.

We have $x^{n+1} \in L = \langle x \rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$. Let $x^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x^i$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$. When $\dim(L) = n > 1$, Lemma 2.6 guarantees $0 = [x, 0] = [x, [x^n, x]]$.

Applying the Leibniz identity and [Lemma 2.6](#) once more yields

$$0 = [x, [x^n, x]] = [[x, x^n], x] + [x^n, x^2] = [x^{n+1}, x] + 0 = c_1 x^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i [x^i, x] = c_1 x^2.$$

Since $\dim(L) = n > 1$, we conclude $x^2 \neq 0$ and thus $c_1 = 0$. Therefore, $x^{n+1} = \sum_{i=2}^n c_i x^i$, a summation that does not involve $i = 1$.

It turns out that the necessary condition $x^{n+1} = \sum_{i=2}^n c_i x^i$ for some $c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ is also sufficient for any n -dimensional cyclic Leibniz algebra $L = \langle x \rangle$.

Proposition 3.2. *Fix $n \geq 1$ and $c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and let $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ be an n -dimensional vector space. Define a bilinear operation on the basis $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ as follows: $[x, x^j] = x^{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < n$, $[x, x^n] = \sum_{i=2}^n c_i x^i$, and $[x^k, x^\ell] = 0$ for $k \geq 2, 1 \leq \ell \leq n$. Then $L = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic Leibniz algebra.*

Proof. Clearly L is a cyclic algebra equipped with a bilinear operation. It just remains to verify the Leibniz identity. It is enough to do so on our basis. We note that when $n = 1$, we have $x^{n+1} = x^2 = 0$ and the Leibniz identity is

$$[x, [x, x]] = [x, 0] = 0 = 0 + 0 = [0, x] + [x, 0] = [[x, x], x] + [x, [x, x]].$$

Assume $n > 1$ and let $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.

If $i \geq 2$, then

$$[x^i, [x^j, x^k]] = 0 = 0 + 0 = [0, x^k] + [x^j, 0] = [[x^i, x^j], x^k] + [x^j, [x^i, x^k]].$$

If $i = 1$ and $j = 1$, then

$$[x, [x, x^k]] = 0 + [x, [x, x^k]] = [x^2, x^k] + [x, [x, x^k]] = [[x, x], x^k] + [x, [x, x^k]].$$

If $i = 1$ and $2 \leq j < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [x, [x^j, x^k]] &= [x, 0] = 0 = 0 + 0 \\ &= [x^{j+1}, x^k] + [x^j, x^{k+1}] = [[x, x^j], x^k] + [x^j, [x, x^k]]. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 1$ and $j = n > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [x, [x^n, x^k]] &= [x, 0] = 0 \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^n c_m [x^m, x^k] = [x^{n+1}, x^k] + 0 = [[x, x^n], x^k] + [x^n, [x, x^k]]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that here we used the fact that our sum begins at $m = 2$ so $[x^m, x^k] = 0$. \square

For $n > 0$ fix a cyclic Leibniz algebra L with basis $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$. Next, we will further investigate the structure of this algebra by considering $\text{Leib}(L)$ and the derived series of L . Note that by definition $x^2 \in \text{Leib}(L)$. But then since $\text{Leib}(L)$ is an ideal of L , $x^j \in \text{Leib}(L)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Since brackets among

elements of L never result in an element involving x itself, we conclude $\text{Leib}(L) = \text{span}\{x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n\} = [L, L]$, an abelian Leibniz algebra of dimension $n - 1$. It quickly follows that the derived series for L is given by

$$L^{(0)} = L \supsetneq L^{(1)} = [L, L] = \text{span}\{x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n\} \supsetneq L^{(2)} = \{0\}.$$

The series goes to zero and thus cyclic Leibniz algebras are always solvable.

We next consider the lower central series of the cyclic Leibniz algebra $L = \langle x \rangle$ with basis $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ and $x^{n+1} = \sum_{i=2}^n c_i x^i$. First consider the case when $x^{n+1} = 0$, that is, when $c_2 = c_3 = \dots = c_n = 0$. Then keeping in mind that only left multiplication by x can yield a nonzero result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [L, \text{span}\{x^m, x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n\}] &= \text{span}\{[x, x^m], [x, x^{m+1}], \dots, [x, x^n]\} \\ &= \text{span}\{x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $L^j = \text{span}\{x^j, \dots, x^n\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $L^{n+1} = \{0\}$. In other words, L is nilpotent of class n .

Next assume that $x^{n+1} \neq 0$. In particular, assume $c_j = 0$ for all $j < k$ and $c_k \neq 0$. Let $1 \leq m \leq k$ and consider $[L, \text{span}\{x^m, \dots, x^n\}]$. Again, only left multiplication by x yields a nonzero result so that

$$[L, \text{span}\{x^m, \dots, x^n\}] = \text{span}\{x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}\}.$$

If $m < k$, we have $x^{n+1} = \sum_{\ell=k}^n c_\ell x^\ell \in \text{span}\{x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n\}$ so that

$$[L, \text{span}\{x^m, \dots, x^n\}] = \text{span}\{x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n\}.$$

If $m = k$, we have $x^{n+1} = c_k x^k + \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n c_\ell x^\ell$ with $c_k \neq 0$. Thus

$$\text{span}\{x^{m+1}, \dots, x^{n+1}\} = \text{span}\{x^{k+1}, \dots, x^{n+1}\} = \text{span}\{x^k, \dots, x^n\}$$

and in this case $[L, \text{span}\{x^k, \dots, x^n\}] = \text{span}\{x^k, \dots, x^n\}$. In particular,

$$[L, \text{span}\{x^m, \dots, x^n\}] = \text{span}\{x^{\min(k, m+1)}, \dots, x^n\}.$$

This means $L^m = \text{span}\{x^m, \dots, x^n\}$ for $1 \leq m < k$ and $L^k = L^{k+1} = \dots = \text{span}\{x^k, \dots, x^n\}$. [Proposition 3.3](#) summarizes our findings.

Proposition 3.3. *Let L be an n -dimensional cyclic Leibniz algebra. Then either L is nilpotent of class n or $L \supsetneq L^2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq L^k = L^{k+1} = \dots \neq \{0\}$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n$. In this case, we say that L is cyclic of type k . Moreover, let x be any generator for L . Then L is nilpotent if and only if $x^{n+1} = 0$. If L is not nilpotent and is of type k , then $x^{n+1} = \sum_{\ell=k}^n c_\ell x^\ell$ for some $c_k, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c_k \neq 0$. In particular, nilpotency and type do not depend on the choice of generator.*

As we turn our attention towards a classification of cyclic Leibniz algebras, again let $L \neq \{0\}$ be an n -dimensional cyclic Leibniz algebra generated by x with basis $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ and $x^{n+1} = \sum_{j=2}^n c_j x^j$. Using an approach introduced in [Batten Ray et al. 2014], we consider the left multiplication operator $\mathcal{L}_x : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $\mathcal{L}_x(z) = [x, z]$. We have $\mathcal{L}_x(x^j) = x^{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < n$ and $\mathcal{L}_x(x^n) = \sum_{j=2}^n c_j x^j$. Thus we get the following coordinate matrix relative to the basis β :

$$[\mathcal{L}_x]_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \ddots & 1 & 0 & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & c_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $[\mathcal{L}_x]_\beta$ is the companion matrix to the polynomial

$$p(t) = t^n - c_n t^{n-1} - \cdots - c_2 t$$

and thus the linear operator \mathcal{L}_x has characteristic polynomial $p(t)$. Note that the polynomial $p(t)$ is in direct correspondence with our defining relation for x^{n+1} .

Suppose that $y = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x^i \in L$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_y(x^j) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x^i, x^j \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i [x^i, x^j] = b_1 [x, x^j] = b_1 x^{j+1}$$

since $[x^i, x^j] = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. This means $[\mathcal{L}_y]_\beta = b_1 [\mathcal{L}_x]_\beta$. With only small, obvious modifications, the standard approach to determining the characteristic polynomial for a companion matrix, see, for example, [Hoffman and Kunze 1971, Theorem 1, page 228], shows that the matrix $[\mathcal{L}_y]_\beta$, and thus the linear operator \mathcal{L}_y , has characteristic polynomial

$$t^n - b_1 c_n t^{n-1} - b_1^2 c_{n-1} t^{n-2} - \cdots - b_1^{n-1} c_2 t.$$

Note that if y is a generator for L , using the correspondence between the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{L}_y and our defining relation for y^{n+1} , we see $y^{n+1} = \sum_{i=2}^n b_1^{n-i} c_i y^i$.

In summary for $n \geq 2$ and any $(c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ there is an n -dimensional cyclic Leibniz algebra L with generator x such that $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is a basis for L and $x^{n+1} = \sum_{j=2}^n c_j x^j$. If y is any other generator with $y = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x^i$ then $\{y, y^2, \dots, y^n\}$ is a basis for L and $y^{n+1} = \sum_{j=2}^n b_1^{n-j} c_j y^j$. For $n \geq 2$, define an equivalence relation on \mathbb{F}^{n-1} such that $(c_2, \dots, c_n) \sim (b^{n-1} c_2, b^{n-2} c_3, \dots, b c_n)$ for any $b \in \mathbb{F}$. Denote the equivalence classes as $[(c_2, \dots, c_n)]$. This equivalence relation allows a simple classification of cyclic Leibniz algebras.

Theorem 3.4. *Up to isomorphism the only cyclic Leibniz algebras of dimensions 0 and 1 are the trivial $\{0\}$ algebra and the 1-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. For $n \geq 2$, up to isomorphism there is exactly one n -dimensional cyclic Leibniz algebra associated with each equivalence class $[(c_2, \dots, c_n)]$, where $(c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$.*

The nilpotent cyclic Leibniz algebras are associated with the class $[(0, \dots, 0)] = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. Cyclic Leibniz algebras of type k are associated with the class $[(0, \dots, 0, c_k, \dots, c_n)]$ for some $c_k, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ with $c_k \neq 0$. In this case, $\dim(L^k) = n - k + 1$ and $L^k = L^{k+1} = \dots$.

The classification of complex cyclic Leibniz algebras obtained in [Scofield and Sullivan 2014] split isomorphism classes of cyclic Leibniz algebras into cases of nilpotent or type k . For algebras of type k , they insist on a normalized generator such that $c_k = 1$. Note that their equivalence class $[(c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n)]$ corresponds to our class $[(0, \dots, 0, 1, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n)]$. By avoiding this normalization we no longer need the existence of roots of unity and our equivalence relation is much simpler.

As in our construction, Batten Ray et al. [2014] identify the matrix for the left multiplication operator as a companion matrix to the polynomial $p(t)$. They use this observation as a tool to develop several important properties of cyclic Leibniz algebras. In particular they give a construction of the unique Cartan subalgebra for each cyclic Leibniz algebra, L , and in the process describe all maximal subalgebras of L as well as the minimal ideals of L and the unique maximal ideal of L .

4. A class of non-Lie, noncyclic Leibniz algebras

In this section we introduce a class of noncyclic Leibniz algebras and study their properties. Fix some $n \geq 1$ and let L be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space with basis $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y\}$. To determine a bilinear operation on L it is enough to specify how multiplication works on basis elements.

Example 4.1. Let L be the algebra with basis $\beta = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y\}$ and the bilinear bracket defined on the basis elements as follows:

- (1) $[x, x^j] = x^{j+1}$, $1 \leq j < n$.
- (2) $[x, x^n] = x^{n+1} = 0$.
- (3) $[x^k, x^j] = [x^k, y] = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (4) $[x, y] = x$, $[y, x^j] = -jx^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (5) $[y, y] = 0$.

To see that L is a Leibniz algebra, we need to verify that the Leibniz identity holds. First, notice that $\langle x \rangle = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ forms an n -dimensional cyclic, nilpotent Leibniz subalgebra. Likewise, $\langle y \rangle = \text{span}\{y\}$ forms a 1-dimensional cyclic

Leibniz subalgebra which is an abelian Lie algebra. Thus we only need to check the Leibniz identity among triples of basis elements which involve both x and y .

First, we consider triples that involve two occurrences of y :

- For $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[y, [y, x^j]] = 0 + [y, [y, x^j]] = [0, x^j] + [y, [y, x^j]] = [[y, y], x^j] + [y, [y, x^j]].$$

- For $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[x^j, [y, y]] = [x^j, 0] = 0 = 0 + 0 = [0, y] + [y, 0] = [[x^j, y], y] + [y, [x^j, y]],$$

and for $j = 1$,

$$[x, [y, y]] = [x, 0] = 0 = x - x = [x, y] + [y, x] = [[x, y], y] + [y, [x, y]].$$

- For $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[y, [x^j, y]] = [y, 0] = 0 = 0 + 0 = [0, y] + [x^j, 0] = [[y, x^j], y] + [x^j, [y, y]],$$

and for $j = 1$,

$$[y, [x, y]] = [y, x] = -x = -[x, y] + 0 = [-x, y] + [x, 0] = [[y, x], y] + [x, [y, y]].$$

Finally, we consider triples that involve one occurrence of y :

- Note that $[y, x^j] = -jx^j$ holds even when $j = n+1$ since $x^{n+1} = 0$. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$.

- For $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[y, [x^j, x^k]] = [y, 0] = 0 = -j[x^j, x^k] = [-jx^j, x^k] + 0 = [[y, x^j], x^k] + [x^j, [y, x^k]],$$

and for $j = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [y, [x, x^k]] &= [y, x^{k+1}] = -(k+1)x^{k+1} \\ &= [-x, x^k] + [x, -kx^k] = [[y, x], x^k] + [x, [y, x^k]]. \end{aligned}$$

- For $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[x^j, [y, x^k]] = 0 = 0 + 0 = [0, x^k] + [y, 0] = [[x^j, y], x^k] + [y, [x^j, x^k]],$$

and for $j = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [x, [y, x^k]] &= [x, -kx^k] = -kx^{k+1} = x^{k+1} - (k+1)x^{k+1} \\ &= [x, x^k] + [y, x^{k+1}] = [[x, y], x^k] + [y, [x, x^k]]. \end{aligned}$$

- For $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$[x^j, [x^k, y]] = 0 = 0 + [x^k, 0] = [[x^j, x^k], y] + [x^k, [x^j, y]],$$

and for $j = 1$ and $k \geq 2$,

$$[x, [x^k, y]] = [x, 0] = 0 = [x^{k+1}, y] + 0 = [[x, x^k], y] + [x^k, [x, y]].$$

When $j = k = 1$,

$$[x, [x, y]] = 0 + [x, [x, y]] = [[x, x], y] + [x, [x, y]].$$

We use the remainder of this section to investigate the structure of the Leibniz algebra L described in [Example 4.1](#). Let us begin by determining the lower central series of L , $\text{Leib}(L)$, and the derived series for L . Since none of the brackets output a y , $[L, L]$ must be contained in $\langle x \rangle = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$. We have seen that $[-y, x] = x \in [L, L]$ and therefore $\langle x \rangle \subseteq [L, L]$ and hence $L^2 = [L, L] = \langle x \rangle$. In fact, it follows by induction that $L^k = \langle x \rangle$ for $k \geq 2$. We then have the lower central series

$$L = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y\} \supsetneq L^2 = L^3 = \dots = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\} \neq \{0\},$$

and thus L is not nilpotent.

Next observe $B = \text{span}\{x^j \mid j \geq 2\}$ is an abelian ideal of codimension 2 in L so that $B \subseteq \text{Leib}(L)$. Also, L/B is a Lie algebra and thus $\text{Leib}(L) \subseteq B$. Therefore $\text{Leib}(L) = B = \text{span}\{x^j \mid j \geq 2\}$. Furthermore, since

$$[x + \text{Leib}(L), y + \text{Leib}(L)] = [x, y] + \text{Leib}(L) = x + \text{Leib}(L),$$

we have that $L/\text{Leib}(L)$ is the nonabelian 2-dimensional Lie algebra. In addition, the derived series is given by

$$L^{(0)} = L \supsetneq L^{(1)} = \langle x \rangle \supsetneq L^{(2)} = \text{Leib}(L) = \text{span}\{x^j \mid j \geq 2\} \supsetneq L^{(3)} = \{0\}$$

and thus L is solvable.

Could it be that L is simply a sum of cyclic Leibniz algebras? Recall that for a cyclic Leibniz algebra C , $C/\text{Leib}(C)$ is the 1-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Thus if $M = C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_\ell$ is a Leibniz algebra direct sum of cyclic Leibniz algebras C_1, \dots, C_ℓ , then

$$\begin{aligned} M/\text{Leib}(M) &= (C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_\ell)/(\text{Leib}(C_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Leib}(C_\ell)) \\ &\cong (C_1/\text{Leib}(C_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus (C_\ell/\text{Leib}(C_\ell)) \end{aligned}$$

and so $M/\text{Leib}(M)$ is a direct sum of 1-dimensional abelian Lie algebras. In other words, $M/\text{Leib}(M)$ is the ℓ -dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Since $L/\text{Leib}(L)$ is not abelian, L is neither cyclic nor a (Leibniz algebra) direct sum of cyclic subalgebras.

Also, since L is solvable, $L = \text{rad}(L)$ and so L is (unsurprisingly) not semisimple. Additionally, $\text{span}\{x^m, x^{m+1}, \dots, x^n\}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$ are easily seen to be ideals. In particular, $\text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is an ideal distinct from $\{0\}$, $\text{Leib}(L)$, and L so that L is not simple. In summary:

Theorem 4.2. *The Leibniz algebra $L = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y\}$ with bracket structure given in [Example 4.1](#) is not nilpotent, semisimple, or simple. But L is solvable. Its maximal Lie algebra homomorphic image, $L/\text{Leib}(L)$, is the nonabelian 2-dimensional Lie algebra. Consequently L is not a (Leibniz algebra) direct sum of cyclic Leibniz algebras.*

5. Adjoining a module

In this section we offer a second class of examples. By first extending the familiar Lie algebra construction of adjoining a module to an algebra to the context of Leibniz algebras and then considering adjoining a cyclic module to a nilpotent cyclic Leibniz algebra, we obtain a class of algebras with similar properties to those of the previous section except here we will have that the maximal Lie algebra homomorphic image is abelian.

Definition 5.1. Let L be a Leibniz algebra and M a vector space over \mathbb{F} equipped with bilinear maps $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times M \rightarrow M$ and $[\cdot, \cdot] : M \times L \rightarrow M$ (a left and a right action) such that for all $a, b \in L$ and $m \in M$ the following hold:

- (1) $[a, [b, m]] = [[a, b], m] + [b, [a, m]].$
- (2) $[a, [m, b]] = [[a, m], b] + [m, [a, b]].$
- (3) $[m, [a, b]] = [[m, a], b] + [a, [m, b]].$

We note that if L is a Lie algebra with L -module M and action $x \cdot m$ for $x \in L$ and $m \in M$, then the left action $[x, m] = x \cdot m$ and the right action $[m, x] = -x \cdot m$ turn M into a module viewing L as merely a Leibniz algebra.

Example 5.2. Let $L = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ be the n -dimensional nilpotent cyclic Leibniz algebra. Consider the vector space $M = \text{span}(\beta)$ with basis $\beta = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. Let $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ and define $[x^j, y_k] = 0$. When $k < n$, define $[x, y_k] = y_{k+1}$ and let $[x, y_n] = 0$. For convenience let $y_{n+1} = 0$ so that $[x, y_k] = y_{k+1}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Finally, let $[y_k, x^j] = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. In other words, the right action of L on M is trivial, whereas x acts in cyclic fashion on the left.

With these definitions, M is an L -module. To see this we must verify the relations in [Definition 5.1](#). In relation (1), all terms are zero unless $a = b = x$. In this case relation (1) becomes $[x, [x, m]] = [[x, x], m] + [x, [x, m]]$, which is clearly true since $[[x, x], m] = [x^2, m] = 0$. Relations (2) and (3) hold because all terms are zero as they each involve the trivial right action of L .

We show in the following proposition that for L a Leibniz algebra and M an L -module, the vector space direct sum $L \oplus M$ becomes a Leibniz algebra if for $x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$ we define $[x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2] = [x_1, x_2] + [x_1, m_2] + [m_1, x_2]$. Notice that in the definition of the bracket on $L \oplus M$, $[x_1, x_2]$ is the bracket in L , $[x_1, m_2]$ is the left action of L on M , and $[m_1, x_2]$ is the right action of L on M .

Proposition 5.3. *Let L be a Leibniz algebra and M an L -module. The vector space direct sum $L \oplus M$ becomes a Leibniz algebra if for $x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$ we define $[x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2] = [x_1, x_2] + [x_1, m_2] + [m_1, x_2]$. Moreover, L is a subalgebra and M is an abelian ideal of $L \oplus M$.*

Proof. It is obvious that the bracket on $L \oplus M$ is bilinear. We need to verify the Leibniz identity. Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$ and $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$. Consider the following brackets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underbrace{[x_1 + m_1, [x_2 + m_2, x_3 + m_3]]}_{\text{LM}_A} \\
 &= [x_1 + m_1, [x_2, x_3] + [x_2, m_3] + [m_2, x_3]] \\
 &= \underbrace{[x_1, [x_2, x_3]]}_{\text{Leibniz}_A} + \underbrace{[x_1, [x_2, m_3]]}_{1_A} + \underbrace{[x_1, [m_2, x_3]]}_{2_A} + \underbrace{[m_1, [x_2, x_3]]}_{3_A}, \\
 & \underbrace{[[x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2], x_3 + m_3]}_{\text{LM}_B} \\
 &= [[x_1, x_2], x_3 + m_3] + [[x_1, m_2], x_3 + m_3] + [[m_1, x_2], x_3 + m_3] \\
 &= \underbrace{[[x_1, x_2], x_3]}_{\text{Leibniz}_B} + \underbrace{[[x_1, x_2], m_3]}_{1_B} + \underbrace{[[x_1, m_2], x_3]}_{2_B} + \underbrace{[[m_1, x_2], x_3]}_{3_B}, \\
 & \underbrace{[x_2 + m_2, [x_1 + m_1, x_3 + m_3]]}_{\text{LM}_C} \\
 &= [x_2 + m_2, [x_1, x_3]] + [x_2 + m_2, [x_1, m_3]] + [x_2 + m_2, [m_1, x_3]] \\
 &= \underbrace{[x_2, [x_1, x_3]]}_{\text{Leibniz}_C} + \underbrace{[m_2, [x_1, x_3]]}_{2_C} + \underbrace{[x_2, [x_1, m_3]]}_{1_C} + \underbrace{[x_2, [m_1, x_3]]}_{3_C}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The module axioms 1, 2, and 3 for M guarantee that $1_A = 1_B + 1_C$, $2_A = 2_B + 2_C$, and $3_A = 3_B + 3_C$. The Leibniz identity for L guarantees that $\text{Leibniz}_A = \text{Leibniz}_B + \text{Leibniz}_C$. Putting these together we see that $\text{LM}_A = \text{LM}_B + \text{LM}_C$ and so the Leibniz identity holds on $L \oplus M$. \square

Taking L and M as defined in [Example 5.2](#), let

$$K = L \oplus M = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}.$$

We have that K is a Leibniz algebra using the above construction and can now investigate the structure of this algebra.

For $x \in L$ and $m \in M$, we have $[x + m, x + m] = [x, x] + [x, m] + [m, x]$. Therefore,

$$\text{Leib}(L \oplus M) = \text{Leib}(L) \oplus \text{span}\{[x, m] + [m, x] \mid x \in L \text{ and } m \in M\},$$

where \oplus represents a vector space direct sum. Furthermore, we know that $\text{Leib}(L) = \text{span}\{x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ and all brackets (i.e., actions) between L and M either output 0 or something in $\text{span}\{y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. In fact,

$$[x, y_k] + [y_k, x] = y_{k+1} + 0 = y_{k+1} \in \text{span}\{[x, m] + [m, x] \mid x \in L \text{ and } m \in M\}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore, $\text{Leib}(K) = \text{span}\{x^2, \dots, x^n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$.

Next we explicitly calculate the lower central series for K . First, looking at the brackets for K we see that they never output any power of x smaller than x^2 and never output y_1 . Thus $[K, K] \subseteq \text{span}\{x^2, \dots, x^n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. But by definition, $\text{Leib}(K) \subseteq [K, K]$. Therefore, $[K, K] = \text{Leib}(K) = \text{span}\{x^2, \dots, x^n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. We claim that $K^\ell = \text{span}\{x^\ell, \dots, x^n, y_\ell, \dots, y_n\}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ and $\{0\} = K^{n+1} = K^{n+2} = \dots$ so that K is nilpotent of class n . We proceed by induction; notice that $[x, K^\ell] = \text{span}\{x^{\ell+1}, \dots, x^{n+1}, y_{\ell+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}\}$, where for convenience we let $x^m = y_m = 0$ for $m > n$. Also, $[x^j, K^\ell] = [y, K^\ell] = \{0\}$ for $j \geq 2$. The result follows and from it we observe that L is nilpotent.

Note that we could forgo the explicit construction of the lower central series and still arrive at the nilpotency of K by applying a theorem of [Bosko et al. 2011]. Every left multiplication by an element of L on K is nilpotent and trivially left multiplication on K by elements from M is nilpotent. Therefore since $L \cup M$ is a Lie set (i.e., it is closed under brackets and spans K), Jacobson's refinement of Engel's theorem for Leibniz algebras [Bosko et al. 2011] shows $K = L \oplus M$ is nilpotent.

Next we examine the structure of the cyclic subalgebras of K . Let

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j \in K.$$

Then

$$z^2 = [z, z] = a_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x^{i+1} + a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j y_{j+1} = \sum_{i=2}^n a_1 a_{i-1} x^i + \sum_{j=2}^n a_1 b_{j-1} y_j,$$

$$z^3 = [z, z^2] = a_1 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a_1 a_{i-1} x^{i+1} + a_1 \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_1 b_{j-1} y_{j+1} = \sum_{i=3}^n a_1^2 a_{i-2} x^i + \sum_{j=3}^n a_1^2 b_{j-2} y_j.$$

In general,

$$z^\ell = \sum_{i=\ell}^n a_1^{\ell-1} a_{i-\ell+1} x^i + \sum_{j=\ell}^n a_1^{\ell-1} b_{j-\ell+1} y_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \ell \leq n \text{ and } z^\ell = 0 \text{ for } \ell > n.$$

As a consequence, if $a_1 = 0$, then $z^2 = 0$. If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ then the coefficient of x^ℓ in z^ℓ is $a_1^{\ell-1} a_{\ell-\ell+1} = a_1^\ell \neq 0$. In all cases $z^{n+1} = 0$ and thus by Proposition 3.3 all cyclic subalgebras, $\langle z \rangle$, are nilpotent. For $n > 1$ they are either trivial ($z = 0$), 1-dimensional ($z \neq 0$ but $a_1 = 0$), or n -dimensional ($a_1 \neq 0$). For $n = 1$, they are

either trivial or 1-dimensional. Our understanding of the cyclic subalgebras of K plays a key role in understanding the structure of this Leibniz algebra.

Theorem 5.4. *The Leibniz algebra $K = \text{span}\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ with brackets given in [Example 5.2](#) and [Proposition 5.3](#) is neither semisimple nor simple. But K is nilpotent of class n and solvable. Its maximal Lie algebra homomorphic image, $K/\text{Leib}(K)$, is the 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Also, for $n > 1$, K is not a (Leibniz algebra) direct sum of cyclic Leibniz algebras.*

Proof. We have already seen that K is nilpotent. Since K is nilpotent, it is also solvable. Referring back to definitions, it is obvious that K is neither simple nor semisimple. By definition,

$$K/\text{Leib}(K) = \text{span}\{x + \text{Leib}(K), y_1 + \text{Leib}(K)\}.$$

Notice that

$$[x + \text{Leib}(K), y_1 + \text{Leib}(K)] = [x, y_1] + \text{Leib}(K) = y_2 + \text{Leib}(K) = 0 + \text{Leib}(K),$$

since $y_2 \in \text{Leib}(K)$. Hence $K/\text{Leib}(K)$ is the 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

Suppose that K is a (Leibniz algebra) direct sum of cyclic Leibniz algebras. We have seen previously that if $C = C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_\ell$ is a direct sum of cyclic algebras then

$$C/\text{Leib}(C) = C_1/\text{Leib}(C_1) \oplus \dots \oplus C_\ell/\text{Leib}(C_\ell)$$

and that each $C_i/\text{Leib}(C_i)$ is the 1-dimensional abelian algebra. Thus if K is a (Leibniz algebra) direct sum of cyclic subalgebras, it must be a sum of exactly $\dim(K/\text{Leib}(K)) = 2$ subalgebras. Considering that cyclic subalgebras of K have dimensions 0, 1, and n and that $\dim(K) = 2n$, we must have two cyclic subalgebras of dimension n . Suppose that $K = \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle$, where

$$z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j, \quad z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x^i + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j y_j.$$

Since these are n -dimensional subalgebras we must have $a_1 \neq 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$. But then

$$[z_1, z_2] = a_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i x^{i+1} + a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j y_{j+1}.$$

Notice that the coefficient of x^2 in $[z_1, z_2]$ is $a_1 c_1 \neq 0$. Since $[z_1, z_2] \neq 0$, this is not a Leibniz algebra direct sum (contradiction). \square

Note that when $n = 1$, $K = \text{span}\{x, y_1\}$ where $[x, x] = [x, y_1] = [y_1, x] = [y_1, y_1] = 0$ so K is the 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra and is in this trivial situation a direct sum of cyclic subalgebras. For example, one such decomposition is $K = \langle x \rangle \oplus \langle y_1 \rangle$.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referee for excellent suggestions.

References

- [Batten Ray et al. 2014] C. Batten Ray, A. Combs, N. Gin, A. Hedges, J. T. Hird, and L. Zack, “Nilpotent Lie and Leibniz algebras”, *Comm. Algebra* **42**:6 (2014), 2404–2410. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bosko et al. 2011] L. Bosko, A. Hedges, J. T. Hird, N. Schwartz, and K. Stagg, “Jacobson’s refinement of Engel’s theorem for Leibniz algebras”, *Involve* **4**:3 (2011), 293–296. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Demir et al. 2014] I. Demir, K. C. Misra, and E. Stitzinger, “On some structures of Leibniz algebras”, pp. 41–54 in *Recent advances in representation theory, quantum groups, algebraic geometry, and related topics* (New Orleans, 2012), edited by P. N. Achar et al., *Contemp. Math.* **623**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Erdmann and Wildon 2006] K. Erdmann and M. J. Wildon, *Introduction to Lie algebras*, Springer, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hoffman and Kunze 1971] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze, *Linear algebra*, 2nd ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1971. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Loday 1993] J.-L. Loday, “Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz”, *Enseign. Math.* (2) **39**:3-4 (1993), 269–293. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Scofield and Sullivan 2014] D. Scofield and S. M. Sullivan, “Classification of complex cyclic Leibniz algebras”, preprint, 2014. [arXiv](#)

Received: 2018-08-31 Revised: 2018-10-09 Accepted: 2019-01-01

cookwj@appstate.edu

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, United States*

john.hall@uky.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, KY, United States*

klimavw@appstate.edu

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, United States*

murraycg@appstate.edu

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, United States*

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$195/year for the electronic version, and \$260/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2019

vol. 12

no. 5

Orbigraphs: a graph-theoretic analog to Riemannian orbifolds	721
KATHLEEN DALY, COLIN GAVIN, GABRIEL MONTES DE OCA, DIANA OCHOA, ELIZABETH STANHOPE AND SAM STEWART	
Sparse neural codes and convexity	737
R. AMZI JEFFS, MOHAMED OMAR, NATCHANON SUAYSOM, ALEINA WACHTEL AND NORA YOUNGS	
The number of rational points of hyperelliptic curves over subsets of finite fields	755
KRISTINA NELSON, JÓZSEF SOLYMOSSI, FOSTER TOM AND CHING WONG	
Space-efficient knot mosaics for prime knots with mosaic number 6	767
AARON HEAP AND DOUGLAS KNOWLES	
Shabat polynomials and monodromy groups of trees uniquely determined by ramification type	791
NAIOMI CAMERON, MARY KEMP, SUSAN MASLAK, GABRIELLE MELAMED, RICHARD A. MOY, JONATHAN PHAM AND AUSTIN WEI	
On some edge Folkman numbers, small and large	813
JENNY M. KAUFMANN, HENRY J. WICKUS AND STANISŁAW P. RADZISZOWSKI	
Weighted persistent homology	823
GREGORY BELL, AUSTIN LAWSON, JOSHUA MARTIN, JAMES RUDZINSKI AND CLIFFORD SMYTH	
Leibniz algebras with low-dimensional maximal Lie quotients	839
WILLIAM J. COOK, JOHN HALL, VICKY W. KLIMA AND CARTER MURRAY	
Spectra of Kohn Laplacians on spheres	855
JOHN AHN, MOHIT BANSIL, GARRETT BROWN, EMILEE CARDIN AND YUNUS E. ZEYTUNCU	
Pairwise compatibility graphs: complete characterization for wheels	871
MATTHEW BEAUDOUIN-LAFON, SERENA CHEN, NATHANIEL KARST, DENISE SAKAI TROXELL AND XUDONG ZHENG	
The financial value of knowing the distribution of stock prices in discrete market models	883
AYELET AMIRAN, FABRICE BAUDOIN, SKYLYN BROCK, BEREND COSTER, RYAN CRAVER, UGONNA EZEAKA, PHANUEL MARIANO AND MARY WISHART	