

involve

a journal of mathematics

On generalizing happy numbers to
fractional-base number systems

Enrique Treviño and Mikita Zhyllinski



On generalizing happy numbers to fractional-base number systems

Enrique Treviño and Mikita Zhylynski

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

Let n be a positive integer and $S_2(n)$ be the sum of the squares of its decimal digits. When there exists a positive integer k such that the k -th iterate of S_2 on n is 1, i.e., $S_2^k(n) = 1$, then n is called a happy number. The notion of happy numbers has been generalized to different bases, different powers and even negative bases. In this article we consider generalizations to fractional number bases. Let $S_{e,p/q}(n)$ be the sum of the e -th powers of the digits of n base $\frac{p}{q}$. Let k be the smallest nonnegative integer for which there exists a positive integer $m > k$ satisfying $S_{e,p/q}^k(n) = S_{e,p/q}^m(n)$. We prove that such a k , called the height of n , exists for all n , and that, if $q = 2$ or $e = 1$, then k can be arbitrarily large.

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and $S_2(n)$ be the sum of the squares of its decimal digits. It is well known (for a complete proof look at [Honsberger 1970]) that if you apply a sufficiently high iterate of S_2 to n , the result is either 1 or is in the cycle

$$4 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 37 \rightarrow 58 \rightarrow 89 \rightarrow 145 \rightarrow 42 \rightarrow 20 \rightarrow 4.$$

If the iteration reaches 1, we say n is *happy*. A natural generalization is to allow for any base- b representation of the digits, where $b \geq 2$, and to replace the sum of squares of digits with the sum of e -th powers of the digits for some integer $e \geq 1$. Let $S_{e,b}(n)$ be the sum of e -th powers of the digits of n when n is written in base b . If there exists an integer k such that $S_{e,b}^k(n) = 1$, we say n is an e -power b -happy number (when $e = 2$, we call n a b -happy number). Suppose that there exist integers k and m with $0 \leq k < m$ such that $S_{e,b}^k(n) = S_{e,b}^m(n)$; then the iterates of n under $S_{e,b}$ will cycle through the sequence $\{S_{e,b}^k(n), S_{e,b}^{k+1}(n), \dots, S_{e,b}^{m-1}(n)\}$. If $m - k$ is minimal, then we say that n reaches the cycle $(S_{e,b}^k(n), S_{e,b}^{k+1}(n), \dots, S_{e,b}^{m-1}(n))$. If k is the smallest nonnegative integer for which this is true, we say k is the *height* of n .

MSC2010: 11A63.

Keywords: happy numbers, fractional base, digital representation.

The study of which cycles can be reached for $e \in \{2, 3\}$ and $2 \leq b \leq 10$ was done in [Grundman and Teeple 2001]. The techniques in that paper can easily be used to find the cycles for other choices of e and b . Another generalization is to allow the base b to be a negative number. It turns out that for a positive integer n , there is a unique set of digits $0 \leq a_i \leq |b| - 1$ such that $n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i b^i$. Grundman and Harris [2018] found the cycles reached for $-2 \geq b \geq -10$ and $e = 2$. The authors also study in what cases there exist consecutive b -happy numbers in an arithmetic progression, generalizing [El-Sedy and Siksek 2000; Grundman and Teeple 2007].

Bland et al. [2017] addressed a series of questions regarding a generalization of happy numbers to fractional bases. For integers $p > q > 0$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, each positive integer n has a unique representation in base $\frac{p}{q}$. Namely, there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that for every integer $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ there exists an integer $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ with $a_r \neq 0$ and

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^i.$$

For our notation, we will say $n = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1 a_0}_{p/q}$. Let $S_{e,p/q}(n)$ be the sum of the e -th powers of the digits of n when written in fractional base $\frac{p}{q}$; i.e.,

$$S_{e,p/q}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i^e.$$

In [Bland et al. 2017], the authors studied the case when $e = 2$ and proved that there are no happy numbers greater than 1 for any fractional base. They mainly study the fractional base $\frac{3}{2}$, finding the possible cycles that $S_{2,3/2}$ can reach. They end the paper with several questions. The three we will focus on in this paper are the following:

- (1) Can we find the cycles reached by $S_{e,b}$ for different e -th powers when $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$?
- (2) Can we find the cycles reached by $S_{e,b}$ for different $\frac{p}{q}$ when we restrict to $e = 2$?
- (3) Are there positive integers n of arbitrarily large height?

In the case of positive integer bases, that there are numbers with arbitrary height is relatively simple to prove because you can find an n such that $S_{e,b}(n) = k$ for any positive integer k by having n be a number with k 1's in its base- b expansion. For example, let $a_1 = 10$; then a_1 has height 1 since $S_2(10) = 1$. Let

$$a_2 = \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{10}.$$

Since $S_2(a_2) = 10$, a_2 has height 2. Let

$$a_n = \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{a_{n-1}}.$$

Then a_n has height n . This simple process creates a sequence of numbers with larger and larger heights by attaching the appropriate number of 1's to a number. The problem with fractional bases is that not every choice of digits leads to an integer. For example $\overline{11}_{3/2}$ is not an integer, since $1 + \frac{3}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

We answer the three questions with two theorems. The first theorem answers two of the questions.

Theorem 1. *Let $p > q$ be positive integers with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, and let e be a positive integer. Then, for every positive integer n , the repeated iterations of the function $S_{e,p/q}$ on n will eventually reach a cycle. In particular, the possible cycles reached for $1 \leq e \leq 12$, $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$ can be found in [Table 2](#), answering the first question. Also, the possible cycles reached for $e \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $\frac{p}{q} \in \{\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{2}\}$ are in [Table 3](#), answering the second question.*

The second theorem answers the third question for a special class of fractional bases that includes $\frac{3}{2}$, and for all fractional bases when $e = 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $p > q$ be positive integers with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, and let e and H be positive integers. If $q = 2$ or $e = 1$, then there exists an integer n such that the height of n is H .*

In [Section 2](#), we will present useful background on fractional-base number systems. In [Section 3](#), we prove [Theorem 1](#). Finally, in [Section 4](#), we prove [Theorem 2](#).

2. Fractional-base number systems

As mentioned in the [Introduction](#), for any $\frac{p}{q}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $p > q$, for every positive integer n , there exist *fractional digits* a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r satisfying $0 \leq a_i < p$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ and $0 < a_r < p$ such that

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^i.$$

We will use the following notation to denote that a_i are the fractional digits of n base $\frac{p}{q}$:

$$n = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} a_{r-2} \dots a_2 a_1 a_0}_{p/q}.$$

For example base $\frac{3}{2}$ uses numbers 0, 1, 2 as digits. [Table 1](#) gives the base- $\frac{3}{2}$ representations of some decimal numbers.

It is easy to find n given its expansion in base $\frac{p}{q}$, but going the other way around is a little harder. Suppose we have the number n and we want to find its fractional digits base $\frac{p}{q}$. Let $n = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1 a_0}_{p/q}$. Then

$$n - a_0 = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1}_{p/q}.$$

n	n in base $\frac{3}{2}$	n	n in base $\frac{3}{2}$
0	$\bar{0}_{3/2}$	6	$\bar{210}_{3/2}$
1	$\bar{1}_{3/2}$	7	$\bar{211}_{3/2}$
2	$\bar{2}_{3/2}$	8	$\bar{212}_{3/2}$
3	$\bar{20}_{3/2}$	9	$\bar{2100}_{3/2}$
4	$\bar{21}_{3/2}$	10	$\bar{2101}_{3/2}$
5	$\bar{22}_{3/2}$	11	$\bar{2102}_{3/2}$

Table 1. The first 12 nonnegative integers in the $\frac{3}{2}$ -base number system.

The left side is an integer, so the right side is also an integer. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $q \mid \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1}_{p/q}$, and so $p \mid (n - a_0)$. Therefore $n \equiv a_0 \pmod p$. There is a unique a_0 in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ that is congruent to n modulo p . But we also have

$$\overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1}_{p/q} = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)(n - a_0).$$

We repeat the process and we can say that

$$n \equiv \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)(n - a_0) - a_1 \pmod p.$$

Therefore, we can find a_1 . We can repeat this process until we reach 0 and find all of the digits of n .

We can summarize the algorithm to translate numbers into the fractional base $\frac{p}{q}$ as follows:

- (1) Compute $n_0 = n \pmod p$.
- (2) Compute $n = (n - n_0)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$.
- (3) Repeat steps 1 and 2, until n is zero.

As an example, suppose we want to find the digits of 12 in base $\frac{3}{2}$. First we have $12 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, so $a_0 = 0$. Then we calculate $(12 - 0)\frac{2}{3} = 8$. We find $8 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, so $a_1 = 2$. Then we find $(8 - 2)\frac{2}{3} = 4$ and $4 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, so $a_2 = 1$. Then we find $(4 - 1)\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 2$ and $2 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, so $a_3 = 2$. Since the next step yields 0, we've found that $12 = \bar{2120}_{3/2}$.

3. The cycles formed when iterating $S_{e,3/2}$

An integer $n > 1$ cannot be *happy* in a fractional-base number system. Indeed suppose that n is e -power $\frac{p}{q}$ -happy; then $S_{e,p/q}^m(n) = 1$ for some minimal positive integer m . But then $k = S_{e,p/q}^{m-1}(n)$ must satisfy that the sum of the e -th powers of its digits is 1. Therefore the fractional-base expansion of k is $\overline{100 \dots 0}_{p/q}$. But that

e	cycles	n^*
1	(1), (2)	2
2	(1), (5, 8, 9)	8
3	(1), (9), (10), (17, 18)	32
4	(1), (51), (52)	77
5	(1), (131), (98, 99)	185
6	(1), (197, 260, 387, 323, 263, 450), (324, 131, 259)	419
7	(1), (771, 516, 643, 518)	1211
8	(1), (1539, 775, 1284), (1287, 1794, 1796, 2052), (1032), (1033)	2723
9	(1), (2566), (2565)	6557
10	(1), (10247)	13118
11	(1), (14342, 16388, 14344), (14341), (14340)	27968
12	(1), (28678), (28677)	62933

Table 2. Cycles reached when iterating $S_{e,3/2}$, and the value of n^* for different values of e .

means $k = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^r$ for some integer r . This number is not an integer unless $r = 0$, which would imply $k = 1$, but we assumed $k > 1$. While happiness is impossible, we can still search which cycles can be reached. For us to be able to prove that the determination of cycles is complete, we need to first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\frac{p}{q}$ satisfy $p > q$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, and let e be a positive integer. Then, there exists an n^* such that $S_{e,p/q}(n^*) \geq n^*$, and $S_{e,p/q}(n) < n$ for all $n > n^*$.*

The values of n^ for different values of e and $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$ can be found in the last column of Table 2. The values of n^* for $e \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $\frac{p}{q} \in \{\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{2}\}$ are in Table 3.*

Proof. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$n = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1 a_0}_{p/q} = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^i \geq a_r \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^r \geq \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^r,$$

so $r \leq \log_{p/q}(n)$. But then

$$S_{e,p/q}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i^e < \sum_{i=0}^r p^e = (r + 1)p^e \leq (\log_{p/q}(n) + 1)p^e.$$

Since p^e is a constant, for a large enough n

$$n > (\log_{p/q}(n) + 1)p^e > S_{e,p/q}(n). \tag{1}$$

Indeed, one can confirm with L'Hôpital's rule that $n/(C \log(n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any constant $C > 0$.

$\frac{p}{q}$	$e = 2$	$e = 3$	$e = 4$
$\frac{5}{2}$	(16, 6, 5, 4), (32, 24, 29) $n^* = 39$	(65), (163, 190, 73, 118, 64), (81), (80), (66), (17) $n^* = 239$	(371, 276, 275, 274), (355, 130, 113), (195, 353) $n^* = 1039$
$\frac{5}{3}$	(34, 50), (25), (26), (59), (23), (11), (10) $n^* = 59$	(100, 38, 64, 102, 46), (101, 39), (127, 107, 73, 135), (162), (193), (190, 166, 218), (199, 237) $n^* = 284$	(772, 804, 454, 788, 950, 658, 934, 1126, 1028, 1202, 868, 936, 390), (1027, 1137, 1125), (1122, 994), (1299), (101), (100) $n^* = 1324$
$\frac{5}{4}$	(66, 55), (50), (58, 75, 49, 56, 67), (74, 83), (51) $n^* = 74$	(311, 251, 247, 231, 371), (361), (417), (374), (360), (314), (424, 418, 436, 272, 328, 364) $n^* = 464$	(1786, 1880, 1403, 1594, 1659, 2011, 2075, 1579, 2057, 1947, 1688, 1229, 1641, 1676, 1946, 1673, 1851, 1592, 1419, 1974, 2058, 2012, 2090) $n^* = 2639$
$\frac{7}{2}$	(25, 52), (97) $n^* = 97$	(341, 591, 376, 143, 187, 216, 352, 25, 280, 244, 469, 63, 128, 44, 141, 161, 197, 73, 307, 467, 377, 234, 182, 91), (35), (288, 343, 9, 16, 72), (36), (189), (190), (468) $n^* = 615$	(914, 2065, 1953, 1538, 2819, 2690, 2210, 1507, 1491, 2610, 1856, 1348, 1666, 259, 1808, 2659, 3136, 1824), (1634, 1731, 994), (371, 34, 1313), (130, 354, 289, 1938, 3265, 2930, 1474, 1570), (451, 195, 2177, 1554, 179, 513, 2034, 2530) $n^* = 5417$

Table 3. Cycles reached when iterating $S_{e,p/q}$, and the value of n^* for different values of e and $\frac{p}{q}$.

Therefore, there is a maximum n^* such that $n^* < S_{e,p/q}(n^*)$.

To calculate the precise value of n^* , we use a computer to find an N for which (1) is satisfied. Then we evaluate $S_{e,p/q}(n)$ for all $n \leq N$ and record which one is the largest satisfying $n \leq S_{e,p/q}(n)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. To simplify notation, let $S(n) = S_{e,p/q}(n)$ for all positive integers n . Let n^* be as in Lemma 1. Now, for each $m \leq n^*$, compute $m, S(m), S(S(m)), \dots$ until it cycles. The process terminates because $S(n) < n$ for all $n > n^*$. Therefore, for $n > n^*$, there exists a positive integer k such that $S^k(n) \leq n^*$. This implies that the cycle n reaches is one that was already computed. Therefore, we need only find the cycles reached for $m \leq n^*$. The outcome of performing these calculations for different values of e and $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$ is recorded in Table 2. The outcome of performing these calculations on $e \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ with $\frac{p}{q} \in \{\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{2}\}$ is recorded in Table 3. □

4. Arbitrary heights in fractional-base number systems

The key to our proof of Theorem 2 is showing that for each sufficiently large k , there exists a positive integer n such that $S_{e,p/q}(n) = k$. The following lemma handles the case when $q = 2$.

Lemma 2. *Let $e \geq 1$ and $p > 2$ be an odd positive integer. For every integer $k \geq 2^e$, there exists an even integer n such that $S_{e,p/2}(n) = k$.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on k . To show that it is true for $k = 2^e$, consider the number 2. Since 2 is $\bar{2}_{p/2}$, we have $S_{e,p/2}(2) = 2^e$. Now let $k \geq 2^e$ and assume that there exists an even m such that $S_{e,p/2}(m) = k$. Let $m = 2^b c$, where $b \geq 1$ and c is odd. Write m in base $\frac{p}{2}$ as

$$m = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1 a_0}.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^b m + 1 = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_1 a_0 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{b-1} 1},$$

where there are $b - 1$ zero digits. Since $m = 2^b c$, we know $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^b m + 1$ is even. Furthermore, since it has the same digits as before with $b - 1$ zeroes added and one 1 added, the sum of the e -th powers of the digits is $k + 1$. □

The following lemma handles the $e = 1$ case.

Lemma 3. *Let $\frac{p}{q} > 1$ be written in lowest terms. For every integer $k \geq q$, there exists n such that $S_{1,p/q}(n) = k$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on t that for each $k \in \{q, q + 1, \dots, qt\}$, there exists an m_k such that $S_{1,p/q}(m_k) = k$. The fact that $S_{1,p/q}(q) = q$ proves the case of $t = 1$. Now, fix $t \geq 1$ and assume that for each $k \in \{q, q + 1, \dots, qt\}$, there exists an m_k such that $S_{1,p/q}(m_k) = k$. Write m_{qt} as $m_{qt} = q^\alpha b$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and b

relatively prime to q . Suppose $m_{qt} = \overline{a_r a_{r-1} \dots a_0}_{p/q}$. Then

$$\ell = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^\alpha m_{qt} = \overline{a_r \dots a_0 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_\alpha}.$$

We know $\ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod q$. Let w be the smallest positive integer such that $\ell + w \equiv 0 \pmod q$. Then $1 \leq w \leq q - 1 < p - 1$. But then

$$\ell + w = \overline{a_r \dots a_0 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{\alpha-1} w},$$

because $w < p - 1$. This implies that the digital sums base $\frac{p}{q}$ of the numbers $\ell+1, \ell+2, \dots, \ell+w$ are $qt+1, qt+2, \dots, qt+w$, respectively. Now $\ell+w$ is a multiple of q with $S_{1,p/q}(\ell + w) = qt + w \geq qt + 1$, and we have that for all $q \leq k \leq qt + w$ there exists m_k such that $S_{1,p/q}(m_k) = k$. Since $q \mid (\ell + w)$ and $\ell + w \geq qt + 1$, we have $\ell + w \geq q(t + 1)$. Therefore, we've proved that for every $q \leq k \leq q(t + 1)$, there is an m_k such that $S_{1,p/q}(m_k) = k$. \square

Using these two lemmas, we can now present the proof of [Theorem 2](#).

Proof of Theorem 2. We will prove it by induction. Let n^* be as defined in [Lemma 1](#). Since the cycles that are reached by iterations of $S_{e,p/q}$ are finite and there are finitely many of them, there is a largest integer K with height 0. Let n be an integer greater than $M = \max\{n^*, 2^e, q, K\}$. Since $n > K$, we know n has some height $h > 0$. Then, $S_{e,p/q}(n)$ has height $h - 1$, $S_{e,p/q}^2(n)$ has height $h - 2, \dots$, and $S_{e,p/q}^{h-1}(n)$ has height 1. Therefore, for every positive integer $i \leq h$, there exists an integer n of height i .

Let $H \geq h$. Suppose that there is an integer $n > K$ with height H . Since $n > 2^e$, by [Lemma 2](#), if $q = 2$, then there exists t such that $S_{e,p/2}(t) = n$. Since $n > q$, by [Lemma 3](#), if $e = 1$, then there exists t such that $S_{1,p/q}(t) = n$. Therefore, in either case ($q = 2$ or $e = 1$), there exists an integer t such that $S_{e,p/q}(t) = n$. But $t > n^*$, which implies that $n = S_{e,p/q}(t) < t$. Therefore $t > n > K$ and t has height $H + 1$. \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for making several suggestions which improved the clarity of the paper. We would also like to thank Lake Forest College for funding both authors.

References

[Bland et al. 2017] A. Bland, Z. Cramer, P. de Castro, D. Domini, T. Edgar, D. Johnson, S. Klee, J. Koblitz, and R. Sundaresan, “Happiness is integral but not rational”, *Math Horiz.* **25**:1 (2017), 8–11. [MR](#)

- [El-Sedy and Siksek 2000] E. El-Sedy and S. Siksek, “On happy numbers”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **30**:2 (2000), 565–570. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Grundman and Harris 2018] H. G. Grundman and P. E. Harris, “Sequences of consecutive happy numbers in negative bases”, *Fibonacci Quart.* **56**:3 (2018), 221–228. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Grundman and Teeple 2001] H. G. Grundman and E. A. Teeple, “Generalized happy numbers”, *Fibonacci Quart.* **39**:5 (2001), 462–466. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Grundman and Teeple 2007] H. G. Grundman and E. A. Teeple, “Sequences of consecutive happy numbers”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **37**:6 (2007), 1905–1916. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Honsberger 1970] R. Honsberger, *Ingenuity in mathematics*, New Mathematical Library **23**, Random House, New York, 1970. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received: 2018-09-30

Revised: 2019-06-12

Accepted: 2019-06-22

trevino@lakeforest.edu

Lake Forest College, Lake Forest, IL, United States

zhylinskim@mx.lakeforest.edu

Lake Forest College, Lake Forest, IL, United States

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Erin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA
Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA		

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$195/year for the electronic version, and \$260/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2019

vol. 12

no. 7

Asymptotic expansion of Warlimont functions on Wright semigroups MARCO ALDI AND HANQIU TAN	1081
A systematic development of Jeans' criterion with rotation for gravitational instabilities KOHL GILL, DAVID J. WOLLKIND AND BONNI J. DICHONE	1099
The linking-unlinking game ADAM GIAMBRONE AND JAKE MURPHY	1109
On generalizing happy numbers to fractional-base number systems ENRIQUE TREVIÑO AND MIKITA ZHYLINSKI	1143
On the Hadwiger number of Kneser graphs and their random subgraphs ARRAN HAMM AND KRISTEN MELTON	1153
A binary unrelated-question RRT model accounting for untruthful responding AMBER YOUNG, SAT GUPTA AND RYAN PARKS	1163
Toward a Nordhaus–Gaddum inequality for the number of dominating sets LAUREN KEOUGH AND DAVID SHANE	1175
On some obstructions of flag vector pairs (f_1, f_{04}) of 5-polytopes HYE BIN CHO AND JIN HONG KIM	1183
Benford's law beyond independence: tracking Benford behavior in copula models REBECCA F. DURST AND STEVEN J. MILLER	1193
Closed geodesics on doubled polygons IAN M. ADELSTEIN AND ADAM Y. W. FONG	1219
Sign pattern matrices that allow inertia \mathbb{S}_n ADAM H. BERLINER, DEREK DEBLIECK AND DEEPAK SHAH	1229
Some combinatorics from Zeckendorf representations TYLER BALL, RACHEL CHAISER, DEAN DUSTIN, TOM EDGAR AND PAUL LAGARDE	1241