

Sign pattern matrices that allow inertia  $S_n$ Adam H. Berliner, Derek DeBlieck and Deepak Shah





# Sign pattern matrices that allow inertia $S_n$

Adam H. Berliner, Derek DeBlieck and Deepak Shah

(Communicated by Chi-Kwong Li)

Sign pattern matrices of order *n* that allow inertias in the set  $S_n$  are considered. All sign patterns of order 3 (up to equivalence) that allow  $S_3$  are classified and organized according to their associated directed graphs. Furthermore, a minimal set of such matrices is found. Then, given a pattern of order *n* that allows  $S_n$ , a construction is given that generates families of irreducible sign patterns of order *n* + 1 that allow  $S_{n+1}$ .

# 1. Introduction

The *inertia* of a real matrix A of order n is an ordered triple  $i(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_0)$  of nonnegative integers summing to n, where  $n_+, n_-, n_0$  are the number of eigenvalues of A with positive, negative, and zero real parts, respectively.

A sign pattern matrix is a matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  of order *n* with entries in  $\{+, -, 0\}$ . The set  $Q(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the set of all real-valued matrices  $\mathcal{A}$  with corresponding sign pattern  $\mathcal{A}$ . Alternatively, we say that  $\mathcal{A} \in Q(\mathcal{A})$  is a *realization* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sign pattern of order *n*, then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  has inertia  $i(\mathcal{A}) = \{i(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \in Q(\mathcal{A})\}$ .

In a dynamical system, the presence of a zero eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix at an equilibrium may signal onset of instability. Varying a parameter may move eigenvalues from all having negative real parts to having a simple zero eigenvalue, which then moves to have a positive real part, while the other eigenvalues maintain negative real parts. Thus, the inertia begins at (0, n, 0), and with parameter variation, changes to (0, n - 1, 1) and then finally to (1, n - 1, 0).

With this motivation in mind, the inertia set  $S_n$  (for  $n \ge 2$ ) is defined as

$$S_n = \{(0, n, 0), (0, n - 1, 1), (1, n - 1, 0)\}.$$

We are particularly interested in studying irreducible sign patterns that *allow*  $S_n$ , i.e.,  $S_n \subseteq i(A_n)$ .

Introduced in [Bodine et al. 2012], the *refined inertia* of a matrix A is the 4-tuple  $ri(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p)$ , where  $n_+, n_-$  are defined as before,  $n_z$  is the number

MSC2010: primary 15B35, 15A18, 05C50; secondary 05C20.

Keywords: sign pattern, zero-nonzero pattern, inertia, digraph, Jacobian.

of zero eigenvalues, and  $2n_p$  is the number of nonzero pure imaginary eigenvalues. Using the notation of refined inertia,  $S_n = \{(0, n, 0, 0), (0, n-1, 1, 0), (1, n-1, 0, 0)\}$ . Several results regarding other sets of refined inertias can be found in [Gao et al. 2016a; 2016b; Garnett et al. 2013; 2014]. Many similar techniques and ideas are used in this paper.

For simplicity, we identify sign patterns up to *equivalence*. Any combination of transposition, permutation similarity, and signature similarity leaves the eigenvalues of a matrix unchanged. For our purposes, it is convenient to organize sign patterns by their *associated digraph*. For  $\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_{ij}]$  (or a realization  $A = [a_{ij}]$ ) of order *n*, its associated digraph  $D(\mathcal{A})$  is a directed graph on *n* vertices where there is an arc from vertex *i* to vertex *j* if and only if  $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$ . Two digraphs are equivalent if and only if their associated zero-nonzero patterns are equivalent via transposition and/or permutation similarity.

In order for a sign pattern  $\mathcal{A}$  to be irreducible, the associated digraph  $D(\mathcal{A})$  must be strongly connected. A sign pattern  $\mathcal{A}$  is sign singular if  $n_0 > 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ and is sign-nonsingular if  $n_0 = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus, in order for  $\mathcal{A}$  to allow  $\mathbb{S}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  can neither be sign singular nor sign-nonsingular. In particular, this means that the determinant expansion of  $\mathcal{A}$  must have at least two nonzero terms. A nonzero term in the determinant expansion of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to the existence of a generalized *n*-cycle in the associated digraph  $D(\mathcal{A})$  (that is, a disjoint collection of cycles that use all *n* vertices of  $D(\mathcal{A})$ ). Furthermore, any sign pattern  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $i(\mathcal{A}) = (0, n, 0)$ must have at least one negative diagonal entry. Thus, for our purposes, we need only consider strongly connected digraphs that contain at least one loop and at least two generalized *n*-cycles.

For n = 2, there are two nonequivalent sign patterns that allow  $S_2$ , namely  $\begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & + \end{bmatrix}$  (see [Olesky et al. 2013]). The first sign pattern requires  $S_2$ . The second pattern attains every possible spectrum allowed by a real matrix, and such a pattern is called *spectrally arbitrary*. A sign pattern  $\hat{A}$  is a *superpattern* of A if A can be obtained from  $\hat{A}$  by changing any number of nonzero entries to 0. In [Berliner et al. 2018], sufficient conditions for a sign pattern and all of its superpatterns to allow  $S_n$  are given. Suppose  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is a real matrix of order n having  $m \ge n$  nonzero entries and i(A) = (0, n - 1, 1). If the m nonzero entries  $a_{i_1,j_1}, \ldots, a_{i_m,j_m}$  are replaced by variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  to obtain the matrix X, the characteristic polynomial of X is

$$c_X(z) = z^n + p_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-1} z + p_n$$

with coefficients  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  depending on  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . The  $n \times m$  Jacobian matrix J of A has (i, j)-entry equal to  $\partial p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m) / \partial x_j$  evaluated at  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = (a_{i_1, j_1}, \ldots, a_{i_m, j_m})$ . If J has rank n, then A allows a Jacobian matrix of full rank. This definition, which uses a rectangular Jacobian matrix as in [Garnett and Shader 2013], is equivalent to the determinantal property that *A* "allows a nonzero Jacobian" as defined in [Cavers and Vander Meulen 2005]. The following theorem is proved in [Berliner et al. 2018, Theorem 2.2].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  sign pattern that allows inertia (0, n - 1, 1) and let  $A \in Q(A)$  with i(A) = (0, n - 1, 1). If A allows a Jacobian matrix of full rank, then every superpattern  $\hat{A}$  of A (including A itself) allows  $S_n$ .

In Section 2, we classify all nonequivalent sign patterns of order 3 that allow  $S_3$ . In Section 3, we give a construction that, using a sign pattern of order *m* that allows  $S_m$ , creates sign patterns of any order n > m that allow  $S_n$ . This construction allows us to use the sign patterns of order 3 that allow  $S_3$  to create sign patterns of order *n* > 3 that allow  $S_n$ .

# **2.** Sign patterns allowing $S_3$

In this section, we classify all sign patterns of order 3 that allow  $S_3$ . First, we may restrict our attention to sign patterns A whose associated digraph D(A) is strongly connected, has at least one loop, and contains two or more generalized 3-cycles. Without loops included, there are only five nonequivalent strongly connected digraphs of order 3, as shown in Figure 1. For these, we use the same digraph labeling as in [Berliner et al. 2017] (up to equivalence). Adding loops in and enforcing the generalized 3-cycle requirement, we then focus solely on sign patterns associated with the looped digraphs described in Table 1 (again up to equivalence).

If A is a sign pattern of order 3 having a realization with inertia (0, 2, 1) that allows a Jacobian of full rank, then by Theorem 1.1 any superpattern of A will



Figure 1. Strongly connected digraphs of order 3.

strongly connected digraph	nonequivalent loop locations
D1	123
D2	13, 123
D3	1, 13, 123
D4	1, 12, 13, 123
D5	1, 13, 123

**Table 1.** Nonequivalent strongly connected digraphs with two ormore generalized 3-cycles.

**Figure 2.**  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns.

automatically allow  $S_3$ . Thus, we will focus on  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns, i.e., patterns having a realization with inertia (0, 2, 1) that allows a Jacobian of full rank that are not superpatterns of a pattern having a realization with inertia (0, 2, 1) that allows a Jacobian of full rank.

Of the 200 nonequivalent sign patterns of order 3, 111 allow  $S_3$  and 13 of these are  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns (see Figure 2). Of the  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns, two have associated digraph D1, six are associated to D2, three are associated to D3, and two are associated to D4. All other nonequivalent sign patterns of order 3 that allow  $S_3$  are equivalent to a superpattern of one of these 13, and thus automatically allow  $S_3$ . These superpatterns can be found in Appendix A.

Below, we illustrate the method for one of the 13  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns.

**Example 2.1.** The sign pattern A and a realization  $A \in Q(A)$  (with associated digraph D2) are given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ - & 0 & - \\ 0 & + & - \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & b & -c \end{bmatrix},$$

with a, b, c > 0. Without loss of generality, one diagonal entry and two other entries in the digraph associated with A (corresponding to a spanning tree) can be set equal to  $\pm 1$ . The characteristic polynomial of A is

$$c_A(z) = z^3 + (1+c)z^2 + (b+c-a)z + (b-ac).$$

In order to realize inertia (0, 2, 1), we must have b = ac. If a = 1 and b = c = 2, then i(A) = (0, 2, 1), as desired. We now check if A allows a nonzero Jacobian. We replace the nonzero entries of A with variables to get

$$X_A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has characteristic polynomial

$$c_{X_A}(z) = z^3 - (x_1 + x_6)z^2 + (x_1x_6 - x_2x_3 - x_4x_5)z + (x_1x_4x_5 + x_2x_3x_6).$$

Calculating the Jacobian matrix of  $X_A$ , we get

$$J_{X_A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ x_6 & -x_3 & -x_2 & -x_5 & -x_4 & x_1 \\ x_4x_5 & x_3x_6 & x_2x_6 & x_1x_5 & x_1x_4 & x_2x_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

which when evaluated at  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = -1$ ,  $x_5 = 2$ ,  $x_6 = -2$  has full rank. By Theorem 1.1, A and all of its superpatterns allow  $S_3$ .

The other 89 nonequivalent sign patterns do not allow  $S_3$ . Several (57) of these sign patterns do not allow  $S_3$  because they are sign-nonsingular and cannot possibly allow the inertia (0, n - 1, 1). These patterns, for n = 3, can be found in Appendix B.1. The 32 remaining patterns (see Appendix B.2) are not sign-nonsingular, but nonetheless do not allow inertia (0, 2, 1) for other algebraic reasons. Here, we illustrate the method for one of the sign patterns that is not sign-nonsingular yet does not allow inertia (0, 2, 1).

**Example 2.2.** The sign pattern A and a realization  $A \in Q(A)$  (with associated digraph D3) are given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a & b & c \end{bmatrix},$$

with *a*, *b*, *c* > 0. Without loss of generality, one diagonal entry and two entries on the 3-cycle in the digraph associated with A can be set equal to  $\pm 1$ . The characteristic polynomial of *A* is

$$c_A(z) = z^3 + (1-c)z^2 + (-b-c)z + (a-b).$$

Since b, c > 0, it must be the case that -b - c < 0. However, in order to allow inertia (0, 2, 1), the quadratic and linear coefficients of  $c_A(z)$  must be able to be simultaneously positive. Thus A does not allow  $S_3$ .

## 3. The Jacobian and patterns of higher order

We begin with a sign pattern of order *n* that allows  $S_n$  and give a construction that yields a sign pattern that allows  $S_{n+1}$ . If A is a sign pattern of order *n*, we consider the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  sign pattern

$$\mathcal{A}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ \mathcal{A} & \vdots \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $(n_+, n_-, n_0) \in i(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $(n_+, n_- + 1, n_0) \in i(\mathcal{A}^-)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{A}^-$  allows  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  allows  $\mathbb{S}_n$ . An analogous result holds for  $n_+$  if

we create the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  sign pattern  $\mathcal{A}^+$  by replacing the lower-right corner entry of  $\mathcal{A}^-$  by +.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A and  $A^-$  be defined as above, where A has at least n nonzero entries. If A is a realization of A that allows a Jacobian of full rank for which  $i(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_0)$ , then there exists a realization B of  $A^-$  that allows a Jacobian of full rank and  $i(B) = (n_+, 1 + n_-, n_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* be a realization of *A* that has inertia  $(n_+, n_-, n_0)$  and allows a Jacobian of full rank. Then, replacing the  $m \ge n$  nonzero entries  $a_{i_1,j_1}, \ldots, a_{i_m,j_m}$  of *A* with variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ , the characteristic polynomial is

$$p_A(z) = z^n + p_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-1} z + p_n,$$

where  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  are functions of  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . Furthermore, we know the matrix  $J_{X_A} = [\partial p_i / \partial x_j]$  has full rank when evaluated at  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = (a_{i_1, j_1}, \ldots, a_{i_m, j_m})$ .

In order to obtain the Jacobian matrix for B, we replace the lower-right corner entry with variable  $\hat{x}$  and the other m entries with the same variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ as with A. Thus, we obtain the characteristic polynomial

$$p_B(z) = p_A(z)(z - \hat{x}) = (z^n + p_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-1} z + p_n)(z - \hat{x})$$
  
=  $z^{n+1} + (p_1 - \hat{x})z^n + (p_2 - \hat{x}p_1)z^{n-1} + \dots + (p_n - \hat{x}p_{n-1})z - \hat{x}p_n$ 

and we have

$$J_{X_B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_m} & -1 \\ \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_1} - \hat{x} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_m} - \hat{x} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_m} & -p_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_1} - \hat{x} \frac{\partial p_{n-1}}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_m} - \hat{x} \frac{\partial p_{n-1}}{\partial x_m} & -p_{n-1} \\ - \hat{x} \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & -\hat{x} \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_m} & -p_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

We sequentially perform n - 1 row operations on  $J_{X_B}$ , where the *i*-th row operation adds  $\hat{x}$  times row *i* to row i + 1. The resulting matrix is

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_m} & -1 \\ \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_m} & (-p_1 - \hat{x}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial x_m} & (-p_{n-1} - \hat{x} p_{n-2} - \cdots - \hat{x}^{n-2} p_1 - \hat{x}^{n-1}) \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-p_n - \hat{x} p_{n-1} - \cdots - \hat{x}^{n-1} p_1 - \hat{x}^n) \end{bmatrix}$$

which has the same rank as  $J_{X_B}$ . The leading principal  $n \times n$  submatrix of J has full rank. Furthermore, if we substitute the original values corresponding to the entries of A, the (n + 1, n + 1)-entry is a degree-n real polynomial in  $\hat{x}$ . Thus, there must exist b > 0 such that, if  $\hat{x} = -b$ , this entry is nonzero. Therefore, J has rank n + 1 after this evaluation. Since  $i(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_0)$ , it follows that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ A & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & -b \end{bmatrix}$$

is a realization of  $\mathcal{A}^-$  that allows a Jacobian of full rank and  $i(B) = (n_+, 1+n_-, n_0)$ .

Combining this result with Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let A and  $A^-$  be defined as above. If A allows  $S_n$  and has a realization A that allows a Jacobian of full rank and i(A) = (0, n-1, 1), then every superpattern of  $A^-$  (including  $A^-$  itself) allows  $S_{n+1}$ .

Although  $\mathcal{A}^-$  is a reducible matrix, adding at least one additional nonzero entry in the last row and last column of  $\mathcal{A}^-$  will yield irreducible patterns of order n + 1that allow  $S_{n+1}$ . We may repeatedly apply this construction to any matrix that allows  $S_m$  to create large families of irreducible sign patterns that allow  $S_n$  for n > m. Below is an example of a family created in such a way. In particular, all  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns were found in Section 2, and all such patterns have a realization A that allows a Jacobian of full rank for which i(A) = (0, 2, 1). Thus, many families may be created using these patterns as the starting point.

**Example 3.3.** Using the notation and results of [Berliner et al. 2018], the zerononzero pattern

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which corresponds to the digraph G16 with a loop at vertex 1, allows  $S_4$ . In fact, there is a corresponding sign pattern A that allows  $S_4$ . The sign pattern A and a realization  $A \in Q(A)$  are given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with *a*, *b*, *c* > 0. Without loss of generality, one diagonal entry and two other entries in the digraph associated with A (corresponding to a spanning tree) can be set equal to  $\pm 1$ . The characteristic polynomial of *A* is

$$c_A(z) = z^4 + z^3 + (b + ac)z^2 + bz + (abc - c).$$

In order to realize inertia (0, 3, 1), we must have c = abc. If a = b = c = 1, then i(A) = (0, 3, 1), as desired. We now check if A allows a nonzero Jacobian. We replace the nonzero entries of A with variables to get

$$X_A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & x_5 & 0 & x_6 \\ x_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has characteristic polynomial

$$c_{X_{\mathcal{A}}}(z) = z^4 - x_1 z^3 - (x_3 x_7 + x_4 x_5) z^2 + (x_1 x_4 x_5) z - (x_2 x_4 x_6 x_7 + x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7).$$

Calculating the Jacobian matrix of  $X_A$ , we get

$$J_{X_{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_{7} & -x_{5} & -x_{4} & 0 & -x_{3} \\ x_{4}x_{5} & 0 & 0 & x_{1}x_{5} & x_{1}x_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_{4}x_{6}x_{7} & x_{4}x_{5}x_{7} & x_{3}x_{5}x_{7} - x_{2}x_{6}x_{7} & x_{3}x_{4}x_{7} & -x_{2}x_{4}x_{7} & x_{3}x_{4}x_{5} - x_{2}x_{4}x_{6} \end{bmatrix},$$

which when evaluated at  $x_1 = x_3 = x_5 = -1$ ,  $x_2 = x_4 = x_6 = x_7 = 1$  has full rank. By Corollary 3.2, any  $n \times n$  sign pattern ( $n \ge 4$ ) of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 & - & \pm & \cdots & \cdots & \pm \\ 0 & 0 & + & 0 & \pm & & \vdots \\ 0 & - & 0 & + & \pm & & \vdots \\ + & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pm & & \vdots \\ \pm & \pm & \pm & \pm & - & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pm & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \pm \\ \pm & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \pm \end{bmatrix}$$

allows  $S_n$  (where the  $(\pm)$  entries may be any of +, -, or 0).

# Appendix A: Nonequivalent superpatterns of S<sub>3</sub>-minimal sign patterns

The following sign patterns are the nonequivalent superpatterns of the  $S_3$ -minimal sign patterns in Figure 2. All sign patterns with associated digraph D1 that allow

 $S_3$  are  $S_3$ -minimal. Thus, the patterns here are organized into four groups corresponding to their associated (loopless) digraph D2–D5. The use of the symbol  $\pm$  indicates that a particular entry could be either – or +.

Sign patterns with associated digraph D2:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ - & - & - \\ 0 & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & - & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - & 0 \\ + & + & - \\ 0 & + & - \end{bmatrix}$$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D3:

$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & - & \pm \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ \pm & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + \\ 0 & \pm \\ + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ + \\ + \\ - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & - \\ + & + & \pm \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & - \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$
---	--	---

Sign patterns with associated digraph D4:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -+& 0\\ +& 0& +\\ +& -& 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& 0& -\\ -& +& 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& -& 0\\ +& 0& +\\ +& +& \pm \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& 0& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& 0& +\\ -& -& +\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& 0& +\\ -& -& +\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& 0& +\\ -& -& +\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& +& \pm\\ +& -& 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ +& \pm& +\\ +& +& 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& +& 0\\ +& -& -\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& +& 0\\ -& -& +\\ +& -& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ -& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& -& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& -& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& -& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +& -& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -& +& 0\\ +& -& +\\ +& +& -\end{bmatrix}$$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D5:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & \pm \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & \pm \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & - \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & - \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & - \\ + & - & - \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \\ + & - & - \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & - & + \\ + & - & - \\ + & - &$$

# Appendix B: Nonequivalent sign-nonsingular sign patterns

Here, we list all nonequivalent sign patterns of order 3 that do not allow  $S_3$ . All of these patterns do not allow inertia (0, 2, 1).

**B.1.** *Sign-nonsingular sign patterns.* The following are the nonequivalent sign-nonsingular sign patterns of order 3. Since all realizations of these patterns must be invertible, these patterns do not allow  $S_3$  as their inertias cannot include (0, 2, 1).

The patterns here are organized into five groups corresponding to their associated (loopless) digraph D1–D5.

Sign patterns with associated digraph D1:

Sign patterns with associated digraph D2:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & 0 \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & - \\ - \\ 0 & -$$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D3:

$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & - & - \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & + & - \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D4:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ +&0&\pm\\ +&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&0&+\\ +&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&0&+\\ -&-&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ +&0&+\\ +&+&- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&0&+\\ -&-&- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&0&+\\ +&+&+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} --&0\\ -&0&+\\ +&+&+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} --&0\\ +&-&+\\ +&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ +&-&+\\ +&-&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ +&-&+\\ -&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ +&-&+\\ -&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ +&-&+\\ -&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ -&-&+\\ -&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&-&+\\ -&-&0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ +&-&+\\ +&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ +&-&+\\ -&-&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ++&0\\ -&-&+\\ -&+&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -+&0\\ -&-&+\\ -&-&0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D5:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & - & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}$$

**B.2.** Other sign patterns that do not allow (0, 2, 1). The following sign patterns are not sign-nonsingular, but nevertheless do not allow inertia (0, 2, 1). In the characteristic polynomial of a realization, it can be shown that it is impossible for the constant term to equal 0 when all of the other coefficients are positive. The patterns are organized into five groups corresponding to their associated (loopless) digraph D1–D5.

Sign pattern with associated digraph D1:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}$$

1238

Sign patterns with associated digraph D2:

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & 0 \\ - & 0 & - \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & \pm & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ - & - & - \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ - & - & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}$$

Sign patterns with associated digraph D3:

$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \\ \pm & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ + & \pm & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$
---	---

Sign patterns with associated digraph D4:

$\begin{bmatrix} - + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \\ \pm & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ - & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \pm & + & 0 \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & - & 0 \\ + & - & - \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ + & \pm & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$
---	---

Sign patterns with associated digraph D5:

$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & + \\ - & + & + \\ \pm & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & + \\ + & - & + \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$
---	---	---	---	---

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the St. Olaf College MSCS Department and CURI Program for their support of this research.

# References

- [Berliner et al. 2017] A. H. Berliner, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, "Sets of refined inertias of zero-nonzero patterns", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **516** (2017), 243–263. MR Zbl
- [Berliner et al. 2018] A. H. Berliner, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, "Inertia sets allowed by matrix patterns", *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **34** (2018), 343–355. MR Zbl
- [Bodine et al. 2012] E. Bodine, L. Deaett, J. J. McDonald, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, "Sign patterns that require or allow particular refined inertias", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437**:9 (2012), 2228–2242. MR Zbl
- [Cavers and Vander Meulen 2005] M. S. Cavers and K. N. Vander Meulen, "Spectrally and inertially arbitrary sign patterns", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **394** (2005), 53–72. MR Zbl
- [Gao et al. 2016a] W. Gao, Z. Li, and L. Zhang, "Characterization of star sign patterns that require  $\mathbb{H}_n$ ", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **499** (2016), 43–65. MR Zbl
- [Gao et al. 2016b] W. Gao, Z. Li, and L. Zhang, "Sign patterns that require  $\mathbb{H}_n$  exist for each  $n \ge 4$ ", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **489** (2016), 15–23. MR Zbl
- [Garnett and Shader 2013] C. Garnett and B. L. Shader, "The nilpotent-centralizer method for spectrally arbitrary patterns", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438**:10 (2013), 3836–3850. MR Zbl
- [Garnett et al. 2013] C. Garnett, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, "Refined inertias of tree sign patterns", *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **26** (2013), 620–635. MR Zbl
- [Garnett et al. 2014] C. Garnett, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, "A note on sign patterns of order 3 that require particular refined inertias", *Linear Algebra Appl.* **450** (2014), 293–300. MR Zbl
- [Olesky et al. 2013] D. D. Olesky, M. F. Rempel, and P. van den Driessche, "Refined inertias of tree sign patterns of orders 2 and 3", *Involve* **6**:1 (2013), 1–12. MR Zbl

# ADAM H. BERLINER, DEREK DEBLIECK AND DEEPAK SHAH

1240

Received: 2019-01-29	Revised: 2019-06-08	Accepted: 2019-06-22
berliner@stolaf.edu	Department of N Science, St. Olaf	Nathematics, Statistics, and Computer College, Northfield, MN, United States
derek.deblieck@gmail.com	St. Olaf College,	Northfield, MN, United States
kalopatthar@gmail.com	St. Olaf College,	Northfield, MN, United States

# involve

msp.org/involve

### INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

#### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, US	SA Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia M	Iohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K.B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA
Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA		

# PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$195/year for the electronic version, and \$260/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# 2019 vol. 12 no. 7

Asymptotic expansion of Warlimont functions on Wright semigroups	1081
Marco Aldi and Hanqiu Tan	
A systematic development of Jeans' criterion with rotation for	1099
gravitational instabilities	
KOHL GILL, DAVID J. WOLLKIND AND BONNI J. DICHONE	
The linking-unlinking game	1109
ADAM GIAMBRONE AND JAKE MURPHY	
On generalizing happy numbers to fractional-base number systems	1143
Enrique Treviño and Mikita Zhylinski	
On the Hadwiger number of Kneser graphs and their random subgraphs	1153
ARRAN HAMM AND KRISTEN MELTON	
A binary unrelated-question RRT model accounting for untruthful	1163
responding	
Amber Young, Sat Gupta and Ryan Parks	
Toward a Nordhaus–Gaddum inequality for the number of dominating sets	1175
LAUREN KEOUGH AND DAVID SHANE	
On some obstructions of flag vector pairs $(f_1, f_{04})$ of 5-polytopes	1183
HYE BIN CHO AND JIN HONG KIM	
Benford's law beyond independence: tracking Benford behavior in copula	1193
models	
REBECCA F. DURST AND STEVEN J. MILLER	
Closed geodesics on doubled polygons	1219
IAN M. ADELSTEIN AND ADAM Y. W. FONG	
Sign pattern matrices that allow inertia $S_n$	1229
ADAM H. BERLINER, DEREK DEBLIECK AND DEEPAK SHAH	
Some combinatorics from Zeckendorf representations	1241
Tyler Ball, Rachel Chaiser, Dean Dustin, Tom Edgar	
and Paul Lagarde	

