

# involve

a journal of mathematics

Covering numbers and schlicht functions

Philippe Drouin and Thomas Ransford





# Covering numbers and schlicht functions

Philippe Drouin and Thomas Ransford

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We determine upper and lower bounds for the minimal number of balls of a given radius needed to cover the space of schlicht functions.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. The  $\delta$ -covering number of  $X$ , denoted by  $N_X(\delta)$ , is the minimal number of closed balls of radius  $\delta$  needed to cover  $X$ . It may happen that  $N_X(\delta) = \infty$ , but if  $X$  is compact then always  $N_X(\delta) < \infty$ . The quantity  $N_X(\delta)$  arises in connection with the notion of the *box-counting dimension* of  $X$ , which is defined as

$$\dim_B(X) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log N_X(\delta)}{\log(1/\delta)},$$

whenever the limit exists; see, e.g., [Falconer 2014, §2.1]. The covering number also plays an important role in the theory of universal approximation; see, e.g., [Kalmes et al. 2012]. In the latter context, the metric space  $X$  is usually a function space.

Our aim is to estimate the covering number for a particular function space, namely the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of *schlicht functions*. This is the class of all holomorphic functions  $f$  on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $f$  is injective and satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . The class  $\mathcal{S}$  arises naturally in connection with the Riemann mapping theorem. It has been studied extensively and is the subject of several books, e.g., [Duren 1983; Goodman 1983a 1983b; Pommerenke 1975; Schober 1975].

## 2. Statement of main result

Let  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  denote the set of all holomorphic functions on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . It is a Fréchet space with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact

---

*MSC2010:* 30C45, 54E35.

*Keywords:* covering number, holomorphic function, schlicht function.

Ransford was supported by grants from NSERC and from the Canada Research Chairs program.

subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . There are many choices of metric that give rise to this topology. A very common one is to take

$$d(f, g) := \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j \min\{1, \max_{|z| \leq r_j} |f(z) - g(z)|\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $(\lambda_j)$  is a positive sequence with  $\sum_j \lambda_j < \infty$ , and  $r_j$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $r_j \rightarrow 1$ .

With respect to this metric, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is a compact subset of  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ , see, e.g., [Duren 1983, p.276], and therefore a compact metric space in its own right. Our aim is to estimate the covering number of this space. In order to do this, we need to impose some conditions on the sequences  $(\lambda_j)$  and  $(r_j)$ . We shall assume that there exist constants  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_j \asymp \lambda^j \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - r_j \asymp j^{-\alpha}. \quad (2)$$

These choices seem reasonable. In the literature,  $\lambda_j$  is nearly always taken to be  $2^{-j}$ . Usually  $r_j$  is not specified explicitly, but in [Duren 1983, §9.1] it is taken to be  $1 - 1/j$ .

Here and in what follows, we write  $a \lesssim b$  to signify that  $a, b$  are positive functions or sequences such that  $a/b$  remains bounded above and  $a \asymp b$  to signify that  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$  hold together.

The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $d$  be the metric on  $\mathcal{S}$  given by (1), where  $(\lambda_j)$  and  $(r_j)$  satisfy (2). Then*

$$\log^2(1/\delta) \lesssim \log N_{\mathcal{S}}(\delta) \lesssim \log^{2+\alpha}(1/\delta), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (3)$$

The lower bound in (3) is a generalization of a result obtained in [Kalmes et al. 2012]. It shows, in particular, that the box-counting dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$  is infinite. We believe that the upper bound in (3) is new.

### 3. Proof of the lower bound in Theorem 2.1

Our starting point is the following sufficient condition for membership in  $\mathcal{S}$ . The result is well known, but we include a short proof for convenience.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f(z) := z + \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k$ , where  $\sum_{k \geq 2} k|a_k| \leq 1$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose that at least one  $a_k$  is nonzero, otherwise the result is obvious. Let  $z, w$  be distinct points of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \geq |z - w| - \left| \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k - \sum_{k \geq 2} w^k \right|.$$

Now

$$\left| \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k - \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k w^k \right| \leq \sum_{k \geq 2} |a_k| |z^k - w^k| < \sum_{k \geq 2} k |a_k| |z - w| \leq |z - w|,$$

the middle inequality being strict because at least one  $a_k$  is nonzero. It follows that  $f(z) \neq f(w)$ . Thus  $f$  is injective, and so  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of functions  $f(z) := z + \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k$  such that  $\sum_{k \geq 2} k |a_k| \leq 1$ . By virtue of Proposition 3.1, we have  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , and therefore  $N_{\mathcal{S}}(\delta) \geq N_{\mathcal{A}}(2\delta)$ . Thus, to establish the lower bound in Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $d$  be the metric on  $\mathcal{A}$  given by (1), where  $(\lambda_j)$  and  $(r_j)$  satisfy (2). Then*

$$\log N_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta) \gtrsim \log^2(1/\delta), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (4)$$

To prove this result, it is first convenient to establish a lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , say  $f(z) := z + \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k$  and  $g(z) := z + \sum_{k \geq 2} b_k z^k$ . Then*

$$d(f, g) \geq \sum_{k \geq 2} (\lambda_k r_k^k / 2) |a_k - b_k|.$$

*Proof.* The standard Cauchy estimate for Taylor coefficients gives

$$|a_k - b_k| \leq \max_{|z| \leq r_k} \frac{|f(z) - g(z)|}{r^k}.$$

Also, since  $|a_k|, |b_k|, r_k \leq 1$ , we have  $|a_k - b_k| r_k^k / 2 \leq 1$ . Combining these observations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, g) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k \min\{1, \max_{|z| \leq r_k} |f(z) - g(z)|\} \\ &\geq \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k \min\{1, |a_k - b_k| r_k^k\} \geq \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k |a_k - b_k| r_k^k / 2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $K$  be a large integer depending on  $n$ , to be chosen later. Consider the collection of functions

$$f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{kn} \frac{t_k}{K} z^k, \quad t_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n.$$

All of these functions belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . There are  $K^{n-1}$  of them. Also, by Lemma 3.3, the  $d$ -distance between any two of them,  $f, g$  say, is at least

$$d(f, g) \geq \left( \min_{2 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\lambda_k r_k^k}{2} \right) \frac{1}{n^2 K}.$$

No ball of radius one third the right-hand side can contain more than one of these functions. It follows that

$$N_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\min_{2 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\lambda_k r_k^k}{2}\right) \frac{1}{n^2 K}\right) \geq K^{n-1}. \quad (5)$$

By our assumptions, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $1 - r_k \asymp k^{-\alpha}$ . It follows that  $r_k^k \geq e^{-ck^{1-\alpha}}$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Since also  $\lambda_k \geq C\lambda^k$  for some constant  $C > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(\min_{2 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\lambda_k r_k^k}{3}\right) \frac{1}{n^2} \geq \frac{C}{6} \exp(-n \log(1/\lambda) - cn^{1-\alpha} - 2 \log n).$$

If we choose  $\rho \in (0, \lambda)$ , then  $n \log(1/\lambda) + cn^{1-\alpha} + 2 \log n \leq n \log(1/\rho)$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , and for these  $n$  we then have

$$\left(\min_{2 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\lambda_k r_k^k}{6}\right) \frac{1}{n^2} \geq \rho^n. \quad (6)$$

Reducing  $\rho$ , if necessary, we can ensure that this inequality holds for all  $n$ , and also that  $1/\rho$  is an integer. Note that  $\rho$  may depend on the sequences  $(\lambda_k)$  and  $(r_k)$ , but it is independent of  $K$ . Combining the inequalities (5) and (6), we obtain

$$N_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^n / K) \geq K^{n-1}.$$

We now choose  $K$  to be  $K := \rho^{-n}$ . This gives

$$N_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho^{2n}) \geq \rho^{-n(n-1)}.$$

As this holds for each  $n \geq 2$ , we deduce that (4) holds.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the upper bound in Theorem 2.1

This time, our starting point is the famous result of [de Branges 1985] that proved the Bieberbach conjecture.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , say  $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k$ . Then  $|a_k| \leq k$  for all  $k$ .*

Special cases of this result had previously been established by various mathematicians for small values of  $k$ . Much earlier, Littlewood [1925] had proved the slightly weaker (but much easier) estimate  $|a_k| \leq ek$  valid for all  $k$ . In fact Littlewood's estimate would do for our purposes.

Again, it is convenient to introduce some notation. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the family of functions  $f(z) := \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k z^k$  such that  $|a_k| \leq k$  for all  $k$ . By virtue of Theorem 4.1, we have  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$ , and so  $N_{\mathcal{S}}(\delta) \leq N_{\mathcal{B}}(\delta/2)$ . Thus, to establish the upper bound in Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $d$  be the metric on  $\mathcal{B}$  given by (1), where  $(\lambda_j)$  and  $(r_j)$  satisfy (2). Then*

$$\log N_{\mathcal{B}}(\delta) \lesssim \log^{2+\alpha}(1/\delta), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (7)$$

For the proof, we need the following approximation lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  with the following property: for each  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , there is a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\deg p \leq n$  and*

$$d(f, p) \leq \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , say  $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k z^k$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  and set  $p(z) := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k$ . Clearly  $p \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\deg p \leq n$ . Also

$$d(f, p) \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j \max_{|z| \leq r_j} \left| \sum_{k \geq n+1} a_k z^k \right| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \left( \lambda_j \sum_{k \geq n+1} k r_j^k \right).$$

So, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \left( \lambda_j \sum_{k \geq n+1} k r_j^k \right) \leq \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .

Now, for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k \geq n+1} k r^k = r \frac{d}{dr} \left( \sum_{k \geq n+1} r^k \right) = r \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{r^{n+1}}{1-r} \right) \leq (n+2) \frac{r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \left( \lambda_j \sum_{k \geq n+1} k r_j^k \right) \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j (n+2) \frac{r_j^{n+1}}{(1-r_j)^2}.$$

Recalling that  $\lambda_j \asymp \lambda^j$  and  $1-r_j \asymp j^{-\alpha}$ , we see that there are constants  $C_1, c_1 > 0$ , independent of  $n$ , such that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j (n+2) \frac{r_j^{n+1}}{(1-r_j)^2} \leq C_1 n \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda^j j^{2\alpha} \exp(-c_1 n j^{-\alpha}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq n^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \lambda^j j^{2\alpha} \exp(-c_1 n j^{-\alpha}) &\leq \sum_{j \leq n^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \lambda^j n^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)} \exp(-c_1 n^{1/(1+\alpha)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} n^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)} \exp(-c_1 n^{1/(1+\alpha)}). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j > n^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \lambda^j j^{2\alpha} \exp(-c_1 n j^{-\alpha}) &\leq \sum_{j > n^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \lambda^j j^{2\alpha} \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{j \geq 1} \lambda^{j/2} j^{2\alpha} \right) \sum_{j > n^{1/(1+\alpha)}} \lambda^{j/2} \leq C_2 \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{n^{1/(1+\alpha)}}}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2$  is another constant. Combining all these inequalities, we see that (8) holds for any positive constant  $c < \min\{c_1, \log(1/\sqrt{\lambda})\}$ , at least for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Reducing  $c$  further, if necessary, we can ensure that (8) holds for all  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** The estimate in Lemma 4.3 is sharp. Indeed, consider the so-called Koebe function, namely  $f(z) := z/(1-z)^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} k z^k$ . This belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ , and even to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Also, for every polynomial  $p$  of degree  $n$ , whether it lies in  $\mathcal{B}$  or not, we have

$$\max_{|z| \leq r_j} |f(z) - p(z)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi r_j} \int_{|z|=r_j} |f(z) - p(z)|^2 |dz| \geq \sum_{k \geq n+1} k^2 r_j^{2k} \geq r_j^{2n+2}.$$

It follows that  $d(f, p) \geq \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j r_j^{n+1}$ . Retaining just the term with  $j = \lfloor n^{1/(1+\alpha)} \rfloor$ , we see that

$$d(f, p) \geq \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}),$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant independent of  $p$  and  $n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Let  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $K$  be a large integer depending on  $n$ , to be chosen later. Consider the collection of polynomials

$$q(z) := \sum_{k=1}^n k \frac{(s_k + i t_k)}{\sqrt{2}K} z^k, \quad s_k, t_k \in \{-K, -(K-1), \dots, K\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Clearly these polynomials all belong to  $\mathcal{B}$ , and there are  $(2K+1)^{2n}$  of them. Given an arbitrary polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\deg p \leq n$ , there is a member  $q$  of this collection whose coefficients all lie within  $n/K$  of the corresponding coefficients of  $p$ , and consequently

$$d(p, q) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j \min\{1, \max_{|z| \leq r_j} |p(z) - q(z)|\} \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j \frac{n^2}{K} = Cn^2/K,$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $n$ . Combining this inequality with the result of Lemma 4.3, we see that, if we set  $\delta := Cn^2/K + \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)})$ , then the  $\delta$ -balls around the polynomials  $q$  cover  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence

$$N_{\mathcal{B}}(Cn^2/K + \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)})) \leq (2K+1)^{2n}.$$

We now choose  $K$  to be  $K := \lceil Cn^2 \exp(cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}) \rceil$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathcal{B}}(2 \exp(-cn^{1/(1+\alpha)})) &\leq (2 \lceil Cn^2 \exp(cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}) \rceil + 1)^{2n} \\ &= \exp(2n \log(2 \lceil Cn^2 \exp(cn^{1/(1+\alpha)}) \rceil + 1)) \\ &\leq \exp(2n(\log(n^2) + cn^{1/(1+\alpha)} + O(1))) \\ &\leq \exp(2cn^{(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)} + O(n \log n)). \end{aligned}$$

From this it is straightforward to deduce that (7) holds.  $\square$

## 5. Concluding remarks and questions

(1) Among several well-known subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$ , there is the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of convex functions, namely the set of those  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is a convex set. Theorem 2.1 holds with  $\mathcal{S}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{C}$ . Indeed, the upper bound is obvious. As for the lower bound, it suffices to repeat the same proof, using the following result of [Goodman 1957] in place of Lemma 3.3: if  $f(z) := z + \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k z^k$ , where  $\sum_{k \geq 2} k^2 |a_k| \leq 1$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(2) Which, if either, of the bounds in (3) is sharp? The bounds are based on the inclusions  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . The spaces  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are easier to handle than  $\mathcal{S}$  because they resemble infinite cartesian products. However, to answer the question above, we probably need to use finer properties of  $\mathcal{S}$  to determine which of  $\mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  better approximates  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript, and for their corrections and helpful suggestions.

## References

- [de Branges 1985] L. de Branges, “A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture”, *Acta Math.* **154**:1-2 (1985), 137–152. MR Zbl
- [Duren 1983] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **259**, Springer, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Falconer 2014] K. Falconer, *Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications*, 3rd ed., Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2014. MR Zbl
- [Goodman 1957] A. W. Goodman, “Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 598–601. MR
- [Goodman 1983a] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions, I*, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Goodman 1983b] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions, II*, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Kalmes et al. 2012] T. Kalmes, M. Nieß, and T. Ransford, “Examples of quantitative universal approximation”, pp. 77–97 in *Complex analysis and potential theory* (Montréal, 2011), edited by

A. Boivin and J. Mashreghi, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **55**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012. MR Zbl

[Littlewood 1925] J. E. Littlewood, “On inequalities in the theory of functions”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **23**:7 (1925), 481–519. MR Zbl

[Pommerenke 1975] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Stud. Math. **25**, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, Germany, 1975. MR Zbl

[Schober 1975] G. Schober, *Univalent functions: selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. **478**, Springer, 1975. MR Zbl

Received: 2019-09-04      Revised: 2019-12-11      Accepted: 2020-06-14

philippe.drouin.10@ulaval.ca      *Département de mathématiques et de statistique,  
Université Laval, Quebec, QC, Canada*

thomas.ransford@mat.ulaval.ca      *Département de mathématiques et de statistique,  
Université Laval, Quebec, QC, Canada*

# involve

msp.org/involve

## INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

## MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

|                      |                                           |                        |                                           |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Colin Adams          | Williams College, USA                     | Robert B. Lund         | Clemson University, USA                   |
| Arthur T. Benjamin   | Harvey Mudd College, USA                  | Gaven J. Martin        | Massey University, New Zealand            |
| Martin Bohner        | Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA | Mary Meyer             | Colorado State University, USA            |
| Amarjit S. Budhiraja | U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA         | Frank Morgan           | Williams College, USA                     |
| Pietro Cerone        | La Trobe University, Australia            | Mohammad Sal Moslehian | Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran      |
| Scott Chapman        | Sam Houston State University, USA         | Zuhair Nashed          | University of Central Florida, USA        |
| Joshua N. Cooper     | University of South Carolina, USA         | Ken Ono                | Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville        |
| Jem N. Corcoran      | University of Colorado, USA               | Yuval Peres            | Microsoft Research, USA                   |
| Toka Diagana         | University of Alabama in Huntsville, USA  | Y.-F. S. Pétermann     | Université de Genève, Switzerland         |
| Michael Dorff        | Brigham Young University, USA             | Jonathon Peterson      | Purdue University, USA                    |
| Sever S. Dragomir    | Victoria University, Australia            | Robert J. Plemmons     | Wake Forest University, USA               |
| Joel Foisy           | SUNY Potsdam, USA                         | Carl B. Pomerance      | Dartmouth College, USA                    |
| Errin W. Fulp        | Wake Forest University, USA               | Vadim Ponomarenko      | San Diego State University, USA           |
| Joseph Gallian       | University of Minnesota Duluth, USA       | Bjorn Poonen           | UC Berkeley, USA                          |
| Stephan R. Garcia    | Pomona College, USA                       | József H. Przytycki    | George Washington University, USA         |
| Anant Godbole        | East Tennessee State University, USA      | Richard Rebarber       | University of Nebraska, USA               |
| Ron Gould            | Emory University, USA                     | Robert W. Robinson     | University of Georgia, USA                |
| Sat Gupta            | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA      | Javier Rojo            | Oregon State University, USA              |
| Jim Haglund          | University of Pennsylvania, USA           | Filip Saidak           | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA      |
| Johnny Henderson     | Baylor University, USA                    | Hari Mohan Srivastava  | University of Victoria, Canada            |
| Glenn H. Hurlbert    | Virginia Commonwealth University, USA     | Andrew J. Sterge       | Honorary Editor                           |
| Charles R. Johnson   | College of William and Mary, USA          | Ann Trenk              | Wellesley College, USA                    |
| K. B. Kulasekera     | Clemson University, USA                   | Ravi Vakil             | Stanford University, USA                  |
| Gerry Ladas          | University of Rhode Island, USA           | Antonia Vecchio        | Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy |
| David Larson         | Texas A&M University, USA                 | John C. Wierman        | Johns Hopkins University, USA             |
| Suzanne Lenhart      | University of Tennessee, USA              | Michael E. Zieve       | University of Michigan, USA               |
| Chi-Kwong Li         | College of William and Mary, USA          |                        |                                           |

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2020 is US \$205/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1444-4184 electronic, 1444-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2020

vol. 13

no. 3

|                                                                                                                                      |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Hyperbolic triangular prisms with one ideal vertex                                                                                   | 361 |
| GRANT S. LAKELAND AND CORINNE G. ROTH                                                                                                |     |
| On the sandpile group of Eulerian series-parallel graphs                                                                             | 381 |
| KYLE WEISHAAR AND JAMES SEIBERT                                                                                                      |     |
| Linkages of calcium-induced calcium release in a cardiomyocyte simulated by a system of seven coupled partial differential equations | 399 |
| GERSON C. KROIZ, CARLOS BARAJAS, MATTHIAS K. GOBBERT<br>AND BRADFORD E. PEERCY                                                       |     |
| Covering numbers and schlicht functions                                                                                              | 425 |
| PHILIPPE DROUIN AND THOMAS RANSFORD                                                                                                  |     |
| Uniqueness of a three-dimensional stochastic differential equation                                                                   | 433 |
| CARL MUELLER AND GIANG TRUONG                                                                                                        |     |
| Sharp sectional curvature bounds and a new proof of the spectral theorem                                                             | 445 |
| MAXINE CALLE AND COREY DUNN                                                                                                          |     |
| Qualitative investigation of cytolytic and noncytolytic immune response in an HBV model                                              | 455 |
| JOHN G. ALFORD AND STEPHEN A. MCCOY                                                                                                  |     |
| A Cheeger inequality for graphs based on a reflection principle                                                                      | 475 |
| EDWARD GELERNT, DIANA HALIKIAS, CHARLES KENNEY AND<br>NICHOLAS F. MARSHALL                                                           |     |
| Sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ determining $k$ taxicab distances                                                                             | 487 |
| VAJRESH BALAJI, OLIVIA EDWARDS, ANNE MARIE LOFTIN,<br>SOLOMON MCHARO, LO PHILLIPS, ALEX RICE AND BINEYAM<br>TSEGAYE                  |     |
| Total difference chromatic numbers of graphs                                                                                         | 511 |
| RANJAN ROHATGI AND YUFEI ZHANG                                                                                                       |     |
| Decline of pollinators and attractiveness of the plants                                                                              | 529 |
| LEILA ALICKOVIC, CHANG-HEE BAE, WILLIAM MAI, JAN<br>RYCHTÁŘ AND DEWEY TAYLOR                                                         |     |

