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Axially moving thin-walled structures exposed to sudden external thermal loads may experience severe vibrations. For accurate predictions of the thermally induced vibrations, this paper develops a spectral element model for the axially moving beam-plates subjected to sudden external thermal loads. The spectral element model is formulated from the frequency-dependent dynamic shape functions which satisfy the governing equations in the frequency domain. Thus, when compared with the conventional finite element model in which simple polynomials are used as the shape functions, the spectral element model provides exact solutions by treating a whole uniform structure member as a single finite element, regardless of its length. Numerical studies are conducted to evaluate the present spectral element model and also to investigate the dynamic characteristics of an axially moving beam-plate subjected to a sudden thermal load on its upper surface.

1. Introduction

A sudden thermal load applied to a structure may induce rapid movements in that structure, causing it to vibrate. These thermally induced vibrations may be encountered, for example, in high-speed modern aircraft subjected to aerodynamic heating, nuclear reactors in extremely high temperature and temperature gradient environments, high-speed propulsion units, and galvanized steel strips passing through a hot zinc tank.

The thermally induced vibration of a beam subjected to a suddenly applied heat flux distributed along its span was studied in [Boley 1956]. Since then many studies have been conducted for various thermoelastic structures such as beams [Boley and Barber 1957; Yu 1969; Manolis and Beskos 1980; Massalas and Kalpakidis 1984; Eslami and Vahedi 1989; Kidawa-Kukla 1997], laminated beams [Al-Huniti 2004], plates [Boley 1956; Kozlov 1972; Takeuti and Furukawa 1981; Massalas et al. 1982; Trajkovski and Čukić 1999; Verma 2001; Arafat et al. 2003; Al-Huniti 2004], laminated plates [Chandrashekhara and Tenneti 1994; Mukherjee and Sinha
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1996], panels [Sharma 2001; Oguamanam et al. 2004], cylinders [Takeuti et al. 1983], and so forth. [Lee 1985] conducted thermoelastic damping analysis for the beams, plates and shells undergoing flexural vibration, and [Kinra and Milligan 1994] considered thermoelastic damping for beams. [Tauchert 1991] presented an extensive review on the subject of thermally induced vibrations of plates, and [Thornton 1992] made a survey of the literature on thermal structures, mostly focusing on aerospace applications. To eliminate the paradox of infinite velocity of heat propagation in the classical theory of thermoelasticity [Biot 1956], some researchers such as [Lord and Shulman 1967] developed the generalized theory of thermoelasticity by introducing thermal relaxation times. However, as the thermal relaxation effect is very small at high temperatures [Lord and Shulman 1967], the classical theory of thermoelasticity will be adopted in this study. In the existing literatures, various solution techniques have been used: they include the Green function method [Kidawa-Kukla 1997], integral transformation method [Massalas et al. 1982; Trajkovski and Čukić 1999; Sharma 2001; Al-Huniti 2004], finite element method [Eslami and Vahedi 1989; Chandrashekhara and Tenneti 1994; Mukherjee and Sinha 1996; Oguamanam et al. 2004], and the modal analysis method [Lee 1985].

Existing studies on thermally induced vibration have focused mostly on stationary (not axially moving) thermoelastic structures. Recently, Al-Huniti [2004] considered the dynamics of a stationary laminated beam under the effect of a moving heat source. However, to our best knowledge, the dynamics of axially moving thermoelastic structures such as the galvanized steel strips passing through a hot zinc tank has not been investigated. Furthermore, the spectral element method (SEM) has not been applied to such axially moving thermoelastic structures. The SEM is an element method, like the finite element method (FEM). The fundamental differences from FEM are:

1. The SEM uses a spectral element matrix (exact dynamic stiffness matrix), which is formulated in the frequency domain by using the dynamic (frequency-dependent) shape functions exactly solved from governing equations.

2. The FFT algorithm is used to efficiently reconstruct the time domain response from the frequency domain solution. Because no approximation or assumption is made in the course of spectral element formulation, SEM indeed provides exact solutions and thus it is well recognized as an exact solution method [Lee and Leung 2000; Lee 2004].

In this paper we develop an SEM for an axially moving thermoelastic beam-plate and conduct a spectral element analysis to investigate the dynamic behavior of an axially moving beam-plate subjected to a sudden temperature change on its surface.
2. Derivation of the governing equations

2A. Equations of motion. Consider a thin beam-plate moving in the $x$ (axial) direction at speed $c$, as shown in Figure 1. The beam-plate has thickness $h$ and width $b$. The material properties of the beam-plate are given by Young’s modulus $E$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu$. Assume that the beam-plate has a small amplitude vibration and that its displacements don’t vary along the width direction as the word ‘beam-plate’ implies.

Using Kirchhoff’s hypothesis, one can write the displacements as

\[
W(x, t) = w(x, t), \\
U(x, z, t) = u(x, t) - zw'(x, t),
\]

where $w(x, t)$ is the displacement of the midplane of the beam-plate in the $z$ direction, $u(x, t)$ the displacement in the $x$ direction, $z$ is the transverse distance from the midplane to the point of interest on the cross-section of the beam-plate, and the prime denotes the derivative with respective to $x$. From (1), the strain in the $x$ direction can be readily obtained as

\[
\varepsilon_{xx} = U'(x, z, t) = u'(x, t) - zw''(x, t).
\]

The stress in the $x$ direction, taking into account the thermal stress, is given by [Ugral 1999]

\[
\sigma_{xx} = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2}\varepsilon_{xx} - \frac{E\alpha}{1-\nu}\Delta T(x, z, t),
\]
where $\Delta T(x, z, t)$ is the difference between the absolute temperature $T(x, z, t)$ and the reference, stress-free absolute temperature $T_0$ as

$$\Delta T(x, z, t) = T(x, z, t) - T_0.$$  

Using (2) and (3) we can derive the strain energy $P$ as

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_{xx} \varepsilon_{xx} b dz \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (Dw''^2 + EAu'^2 + M_Tw'' - N_Tu') \, dx, \quad (4)$$

where $L$ is the span between two simple supports (see Figure 1), $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the beam-plate, and the following definitions are used:

$$D = \frac{EI}{(1 - \nu^2)}, \quad I = \frac{bh^3}{12}, \quad EA = \frac{EA}{1 - \nu^2}. \quad (5)$$

In Equation (4), $M_T$ and $N_T$ are the thermal moment and thermal (axial) force, defined by

$$M_T(x, t) = \frac{Eab}{1 - \nu} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Delta T(x, z, t) \, dz,$$

$$N_T(x, t) = \frac{Eab}{1 - \nu} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Delta T(x, z, t) \, dz. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, by using (1), the kinetic energy $K$ can be derived as

$$K = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^L \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} ((c + \dot{U})^2 + (\dot{W} + cW')^2)b dz \, dx$$

$$= \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^L (A(c + \ddot{u})^2 + A(\dot{w} + c\dot{w}')^2 + I\dot{w}'^2) \, dx. \quad (7)$$

Finally, the virtual work is given by

$$\delta W = \int_0^L \left( p_x(x, t)\delta u(x, t) + p_z(x, t)\delta w(x, t) \right) \, dx$$

$$+ M_1(t)\delta \phi_1(t) + M_2(t)\delta \phi_2(t) + V(t)\delta w_1(t)$$

$$+ V_2(t)\delta w_2(t) + N_1(t)\delta u_1(t) + N_2(t)\delta u_2(t), \quad (8)$$

where $p_x(x, t)$ and $p_z(x, t)$ are distributed loads acting on the beam-plate in the $x$ and $z$ directions. $M_i$, $V_i$ and $N_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) represent the boundary moments, transverse shear forces and axial forces applied at $x = 0$ and $L$, respectively. The transverse displacement, axial displacement and slope ($\phi = \partial w/\partial x$) at boundaries
are defined by
\[ \begin{align*}
  w_1(t) &= w(0, t), & w_2(t) &= w(L, t), \\
  \phi_1(t) &= w'(0, t), & \phi_2(t) &= w'(L, t), \\
  u_1(t) &= u(0, t), & u_2(t) &= u(L, t).
\end{align*} \]

The equations of motion and the relevant boundary conditions of the beam-plate can be derived from Hamilton’s principle:
\[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta K - \delta P + \delta W) \, dt = 0. \]

Substituting (4), (7), and (8) into this equality and integrating by parts yields
\[ \begin{align*}
  &\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^L (-Dw'''' - \rho Ac^2w'' - 2\rho Ac \dot{w}' - \rho A \ddot{w} + \rho I \dddot{w} + \frac{1}{2} M'' + p_z) \, dw \, dx \, dt \\
  &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^L (-\rho A \dddot{u} + \overline{EAu}'' - \frac{1}{2} N'' + p_s) \, du \, dx \, dt \\
  &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( -M(x, t) \delta \phi \big|_0^L + M_1 \delta \phi_1 + M_2 \delta \phi_2 - V(x, t) \delta w \big|_0^L + V_1 \delta w_1 + V_2 \delta w_2 \right) \\
  &- N(x, t) \delta u \big|_0^L + N_1 \delta u_1 + N_2 \delta u_2 \, dt = 0, \quad (9)
\end{align*} \]

where the limits 0 and L refer to the variable \( x \), and where
\[ \begin{align*}
  M(x, t) &= Dw'' + \frac{1}{2} M_T, \\
  V(x, t) &= -Dw'' - \rho hc^2w' - \frac{1}{2} M_T' - \rho Ac \dot{w} + \rho I \ddot{w}, \\
  N(x, t) &= \overline{EAu} - \frac{1}{2} N_T.
\end{align*} \quad (10) \]

From the first two integrals in (9) we obtain the equations of motion:
\[ \begin{align*}
  \overline{EAu}'' - \rho A \dddot{u} &= -p_s(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} N_T', \quad (11) \\
  Dw''' + \rho Ac^2w'' + 2\rho Ac \dot{w}' - \rho A \ddot{w} + \rho I \dddot{w} &= \quad p_z(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} M_T''. \quad (12)
\end{align*} \]

The boundary conditions can be obtained from the last integral of (9) as
\[ \begin{align*}
  M(0, t) &= -M_1(t) \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(0, t) = \phi_1(t), \\
  M(L, t) &= M_2(t) \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(L, t) = \phi_1(t), \\
  V(0, t) &= -V_1(t) \quad \text{or} \quad u(0, t) = u_1(t), \\
  V(L, t) &= V_2(t) \quad \text{or} \quad u(L, t) = u_2(t), \\
  N(0, t) &= -N_1(t) \quad \text{or} \quad u(0, t) = u_1(t), \\
  N(L, t) &= N_2(t) \quad \text{or} \quad u(L, t) = u_2(t). \quad (13)
\end{align*} \]
2B. Heat conduction equation. The temperature field \( T(x, z, t) \) or \( \Delta T(x, z, t) \) is governed by the heat conduction equation. The heat conduction equation can be derived from the law of energy conservation as [Lee 1985; Özisik 1993]

\[
-q_x' - T_0 \alpha E \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = \rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the coefficient of thermal expansion and \( c_p \) is the specific heat at constant strain. The first two terms in the left of Equation (14) represent the net energy inflow and \( q_x \) and \( q_z \) are the heat fluxes per unit area in the \( x \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, defined by

\[
q_x(x, z, t) = -k T'_x(x, z, t) + \rho c_p \Delta T(x, z, t),
\]

\[
q_z(x, z, t) = -k T'_z(x, z, t),
\]

where \( k \) is the thermal conductivity of the medium. The circle (°) symbol denotes the derivative with respect to \( z \) and this notation will be used throughout. Notice that the effect of the moving speed \( c \) is taken into account in the heat flux \( q_x \) [Özisik 1993; Beck and McMasters 2004]. The term on the right in Equation (14) represents the energy stored in the structure. The third term on the left represents the rate of thermal energy generation due to elastic deformation, where \( e(x, z, t) \) is the dilatation defined by [Lee 1985; Ugral 1999]

\[
e = \varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz} \cong \left( \frac{1-2v}{1-v} \right)(u'' - zw') + \left( \frac{1+v}{1-v} \right) \alpha \Delta T \cong \left( \frac{1+v}{1-v} \right) \alpha \Delta T,
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}, \varepsilon_{zz} \) are the normal strains in the \( x, y, z \) directions. In (16), the strain \( \varepsilon_{yy} \) is neglected because we are considering a beam-plate. The dilatation due to the pure elastic deformation is also neglected in the last expression of (16) because its effect on the temperature change will be a small, secondary effect.

Substituting (15) and (16) in (14) gives the heat conduction equation as

\[
-k(T'' + T'^{cc}) + \rho c_p e T' + (T_0 \alpha^2 E_v + \rho c_p) \dot{T} \cong 0,
\]

where

\[
E_v = \frac{1 + v}{(1 - 2v)(1 - v)} E.
\]

In deriving the heat conduction equation, we have implicitly assumed that the heating of the plate will not exceed the limit where the material’s thermal and mechanical properties become temperature-dependent. In addition, we will assume that the beam-plate is subject to the thermal loads applied only on its top or bottom surface and that the thermal loads do not vary along the width direction, \( y \). Because of the geometry of beam-plate and the \( y \)-axis independence of thermal loads, the instantaneous temperature variation due to the sudden temperature change on the
top or bottom surface of beam-plate will be more significant in the thickness direction than in the in-plane direction. Accordingly, one may assume the temperature as the function of $z$ and $t$ to simplify (17) as follows:

$$kT^\infty - \left(T_0 \alpha^2 E_v + \rho c_p\right) \dot{T} = 0. \quad (19)$$

Once the proper thermal boundary conditions are specified for a given problem, one can readily solve Equation (18) for $T(z, t)$ and then apply the solution to Equation (11) to investigate the thermal-induced vibration of a beam-plate. Notice that, because the temperature will be assumed in this study as the function of $z$ and $t$ only, the thermal moment $M_T$ and thermal force $N_T$ defined by Equation (6) will be functions of $t$ only. In this case, the last terms in (11) will vanish and the thermal loads will affect the vibration of beam-plate through the boundary conditions, which can be guessed from Equations (10) and (13).

3. Spectral element formulation

3A. Brief review of DFT theory. (For more details, see for instance [Newland 1993].) In discrete Fourier transform (DFT) theory, a periodic function of time $x(t)$ with period $T$ can be always expressed by the Fourier series as

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_n e^{i\omega_n t}, \quad (20)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\omega_n = n(2\pi/T) = n\omega_1$ are the discrete frequencies, and $X_n$ are constant Fourier (or spectral) components given by

$$X_n = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t)e^{-i\omega_n t} \, dt \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty). \quad (21)$$

Equations (20) and (21) are the continuous Fourier transforms pair for a periodic function.

Although $x(t)$ is a continuous function of time $t$, it is often the case that only sampled values of the function are available, in the form of a discrete time series $\{x(t_r)\}$. If $N$ is the number of samples, all equally spaced with a time interval $\Delta = T/N$, the discrete time series are given by $x_r = x(t_r)$, where $t_r = r\Delta$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$. The integral in Equation (21) can be replaced with the summation as follows:

$$X_n = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} x(t_r)e^{-i\omega_n t_r} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1), \quad (22)$$
which is the DFT of the discrete time series \( \{x_r\} \). Any typical value \( x_r \) of the series \( \{x_r\} \) can be obtained from the synthesis equation

\[
x(t_r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n e^{i\omega_n t_r} \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1),
\]

which is the inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT). Thus Equations (22) and (23) represent the DFT-IDFT pair. Although (22) is an approximation of (20), it allows all discrete time series \( \{x_r\} \) to be regained \textit{exactly} [Newland 1993]. The Fourier components \( X_n \) in (23) can normally be arranged as

\[
X_{N-n} = X_n^* \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N/2),
\]

where \( \ast \) denotes complex conjugation. \( X_{N/2} \) corresponds to the highest frequency \( \omega_{N/2} = (N/2)\omega_1 \), the Nyquist frequency.

The fast Fourier transforms (FFT) is an ingenious computer algorithm that performs the synthesis analysis extremely efficiently, in time logarithmic rather than linear in \( N \). While the FFT-based spectral analysis uses a computer, it is not a numerical method in the usual sense, because the analytical descriptions of Equations (22) and (23) are retained.

3B. Formulation of the spectral element matrix. Based on DFT theory, assume the solutions of Equation (11) in spectral form are

\[
u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U_n(x) e^{i\omega_n t}, \quad w(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_n(x) e^{i\omega_n t},
\]

(24)

where \( U_n(x) \) and \( W_n(x) \), for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \), are the spectral components of the dynamic responses \( u(x, t) \) and \( w(x, t) \). The accuracy of dynamic responses may depend on how many spectral components are taken into account in the FFT-based spectral analysis, for a chosen time window \( T \). Similarly, one can express the external loads and thermal loads in the spectral forms as

\[
p_x(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_{xn}(x) e^{i\omega_n t}, \quad p_z(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_{zn}(x) e^{i\omega_n t},
\]

\[
N_T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} N_{Tn} e^{i\omega_n t}, \quad M_T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M_{Tn} e^{i\omega_n t},
\]

(25)

where \( P_{xn}(x), P_{zn}(x), N_{Tn}(x) \) and \( M_{Tn}(x) \), for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \), are the spectral components of \( p_x(x, t), p_z(x, t), N_T(t), \) and \( M_T(t) \). The spectral components \( M_{Tn} \) and \( N_{Tn} \) in Equation (25) are constant, rather than functions of \( x \), because the temperature is assumed to vary only in the thickness (z) direction.
Substituting (24) and (25) into (11), we get
\[
E A U''_n + \rho A \omega_n^2 U_n = F_{xn},
\]
\[
D W''''_n + (\rho A c^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) W''_n + 2i \rho A c \omega_n W'_n - \rho A \omega_n^2 W_n = F_{zn},
\]
where
\[
F_{xn}(x) = -P_{xn} + \frac{1}{2} N'_T n = -P_{xn}, \quad F_{zn}(x) = P_{zn} - \frac{1}{2} M''_T n = P_{zn}.
\]
Here the thermal force and moment terms do not appear because temperature is assumed to vary only in the thickness direction. In a similar way, the resultant moment, transverse shear force and axial force defined in (10) can be also expressed in spectral form as
\[
N_n(x) = E A U'_n - \frac{1}{2} N'_T n,
\]
\[
V_n(x) = -D W'''' + (\rho A c^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) W'_n - i \rho A c \omega_n W_n,
\]
\[
M_n(x) = D W'''' + \frac{1}{2} M''_T n.
\]
where \( N_n(x), V_n(x) \) and \( M_n(x) \) are the spectral components of \( N(x, t), V(x, t) \) and \( M(x, t) \), respectively.

The spectral element formulation begins with the governing equations without external forces [Lee and Leung 2000; Lee 2004]. Therefore, the homogeneous form of governing equations can be deduced from (26) as
\[
E A U''_n + \rho A \omega_n^2 U_n = 0,
\]
\[
D W''''_n + (\rho A c^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) W''_n + 2i \rho A c \omega_n W'_n - \rho A \omega_n^2 W_n = 0.
\]
The general solutions of (29) can be assumed to be
\[
U_n(x) = A_n e^{\kappa_n x}, \quad W_n(x) = B_n e^{\lambda_n x},
\]
where \( \kappa_n \) and \( \lambda_n \) are the wavenumbers for the axial and transverse vibration mode, respectively. Substituting these equalities into (29) yields the dispersion relations
\[
E A k_n^2 + \rho A \omega_n^2 = 0,
\]
\[
D \lambda_n^4 + (\rho A c^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) \lambda_n^2 + 2i \rho A c \omega_n \lambda_n - \rho A \omega_n^2 = 0.
\]
From this, two wavenumbers \( k_{nr} \) \((r = 1, 2)\) can be obtained for axial vibration modes and four wavenumbers \( \lambda_{nr} \) \((r = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) for the transverse vibration modes. By using the wavenumbers thus computed, the general solutions of the dispersion relations can expressed in summation form as
\[
U_n(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{2} A_{nr} e^{k_{nr} x}, \quad W_n(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{4} B_{nr} e^{\lambda_{nr} x},
\]
or in matrix-vector multiplication form as

\[
U_n(x) = [E_{U_n}(x; \omega_n)] [C_n],
\]

\[
W_n(x) = [E_{W_n}(x; \omega_n)] [C_n],
\]

where

\[
E_{U_n}(x; \omega_n) = \begin{bmatrix}
e^{k_n x} & 0 & 0 & e^{k_n x} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
E_{W_n}(x; \omega_n) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & e^{\lambda_n x} & e^{\lambda_n x} & 0 & e^{\lambda_n x}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
[C_n] = \{ A_n \ B_n \ B_n \ A_n \ B_n \ B_n \}^T.
\]

The constant vector \( [C_n] \) will be determined by the boundary conditions.

Now consider a finite beam-plate element of length \( l \) as shown in Figure 2. The corresponding spectral components of the nodal degree of freedom (DOF) are defined by

\[
U_{n1} = U_{n1}(0), \quad W_{n1} = W_{n1}(0), \quad \Phi_{n1} = \Phi_{n1}(0),
\]

\[
U_{n2} = U_{n2}(l), \quad W_{n2} = W_{n2}(l), \quad \Phi_{n2} = \Phi_{n2}(l).
\]

Applying these values to Equation (30) yields a relationship between the spectral nodal DOF vector \( [d_n] \) and the constant vector \( [C_n] \):

\[
[d_n] = [X_n(\omega_n)] [C_n],
\]

where \( [d_n] = \{ U_{n1} \ W_{n1} \ \Phi_{n1} \ U_{n2} \ W_{n2} \ \Phi_{n2} \}^T \) and

\[
[X_n] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n & 0 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n \\
e^{k_n l} & 0 & 0 & e^{k_n l} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{\lambda_n l} & e^{\lambda_n l} & 0 & e^{\lambda_n l} & e^{\lambda_n l} \\
0 & \lambda_n e^{k_n l} & \lambda_n e^{k_n l} & 0 & \lambda_n e^{k_n l} & \lambda_n e^{k_n l}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
One can use (31) to eliminate the constant vector \( \{C_n\} \) from Equation (30), obtaining

\[
U_n(x) = [E_{Un}][X_n]^{-1}\{d_n\} =  [N_{Un}(x; \omega_n)]\{d_n\},
\]

\[
W_n(x) = [E_{Wn}][X_n]^{-1}\{d_n\} = [N_{Wn}(x; \omega_n)]\{d_n\},
\]

where \([N_{Un}]\) and \([N_{Wn}]\) are the dynamic (frequency-dependent) shape function matrices.

In the following, the well-known variational approach [Reddy 2002] is used to formulate the spectral element matrix by using the displacement fields given by Equation (32) and the temperature field given in the next section. The weak form statements of the governing equations (26) are

\[
\int_0^l (EA'' U_n + \rho A \omega_n^2 U_n + P_{x_n}) \delta U_n \, dx = 0.
\]

\[
\int_0^l (DW'''' + (\rho Ac^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) W_n + 2i \rho Ac \omega_n W'_n - \rho A \omega_n^2 W_n - P_{z_n}) \delta W_n \, dx = 0.
\]

Substituting the loading terms from (27) into these equalities and integrating by parts yields

\[
\int_0^l (EA'' U_n \delta U_n - \rho A \omega_n^2 U_n \delta U_n) \, dx - \int_0^l P_{x_n} \delta U_n \, dx - \frac{1}{2} N_{Tn} \delta U_n |^l_0 - N_n \delta U_n |^l_0 = 0.
\]

\[
\int_0^l (DW'''' \delta W_n - (\rho Ac^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2) W_n \delta W_n + i \rho Ac \omega_n (W'_n \delta W_n - W_n \delta W'_n) - \rho A \omega_n^2 W_n \delta W_n) \, dx - \int_0^l P_{z_n} \delta W_n \, dx + \frac{1}{2} M_{Tn} \delta W_n |^l_0 - V_n \delta W_n |^l_0 - M_n \delta W'_n |^l_0 = 0,
\]

where Equation (28) has been used and where, as before, the limits 0 and \(l\) refer to the variable \(x\).

Substituting (32) into these two equalities yields

\[
\{\delta d_n\}^T ([S_{Un}]\{d_n\} - \{f_{Un}\}) = 0, \quad \{\delta d_n\}^T ([S_{Wn}]\{d_n\} - \{f_{Wn}\}) = 0, \quad \quad (33)
\]

where

\[
[S_{Un}] = \int_0^l (EA'[N'_{Un}]^T [N'_{Un}] - \rho A \omega_n^2 [N_{Un}]^T [N_{Un}]) \, dx,
\]

\[
[S_{Wn}] = \int_0^l (D[N'_{Wn}]^T [N''_{Wn}] - (\rho Ac^2 + \rho I \omega_n^2)[N'_{Wn}]^T [N'_{Wn}]
\]

\[
+ i \rho Ac \omega_n ([N_{Wn}]^T [N_{Wn}] - [N'_{Wn}]^T [N_{Wn}]) - \rho A \omega_n^2 [N_{Wn}]^T [N_{Wn}]) \, dx, \quad \quad (34)
\]
\[
\{ f_{Un} \} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{1n} & 0 & 0 & N_{2n} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T + \int_0^l P_{xn}(x)[N_{Un}]^T \, dx - \frac{1}{2} N_{Trn}[N_{Un}(l) - N_{Un}(0)]^T,
\]
\[
\{ f_{Wn} \} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V_{1n} & M_{1n} & 0 & V_{2n} & M_{2n} \end{bmatrix}^T + \int_0^l P_{zn}(x)[N_{Wn}]^T \, dx
+ \frac{1}{2} M_{Trn}[N_{Wn}'(l) - N_{Wn}'(0)]^T.
\]

The details of the matrices \([S_{Un}]\) and \([S_{Wn}]\) are given in the Appendix.

Since \(\{ \delta d_n \} \) is arbitrary, the spectral element equation can be deduced from (33):
\[
[S_n(\omega)] \{ d_n \} = \{ f_n \}. \tag{35}
\]

Here
\[
[S_n(\omega)] = [S_{Un}(\omega)] + [S_{Wn}(\omega)]
\]
is the frequency-dependent spectral element matrix and
\[
\{ f_n \} = \{ f_n \}_1 + \{ f_n \}_2
\]
is the spectral nodal force, where
\[
\{ f_n \}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} N_{1n} & V_{1n} & M_{1n} & N_{2n} & V_{2n} & M_{2n} \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
\[
\{ f_n \}_2 = \int_0^l P_{xn}(x)[N_{Un}]^T \, dx + \int_0^l P_{zn}(x)[N_{Wn}]^T \, dx
- \frac{1}{2} N_{Trn}[N_{Un}(l) - N_{Un}(0)]^T + \frac{1}{2} M_{Trn}[N_{Wn}'(l) - N_{Wn}'(0)]^T.
\]

All spectral elements can be assembled in a completely analogous way to that used in the conventional FEM. Assembling all spectral elements represented by (35) and then applying appropriate boundary conditions yields a global system equation in the form
\[
[S_n^G(\omega)] \{ d_n^G \} = \{ f_n^G \}.
\]

The natural frequencies \(\omega_{NAT}\) can be computed from the condition that the determinant of global spectral stiffness matrix \([S_n^G]\) should vanish at natural frequencies:
\[
\det[S_n^G(\omega_{NAT})] = 0.
\]

3C. Temperature in the frequency domain. The approximate temperature field is governed by Equation (18) and the thermal boundary conditions specified on the upper and lower surfaces of the beam-plate. As done in the preceding section for the displacements field, the temperature field can be also represented in the spectral form as
\[
T(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T_n(z)e^{i\omega_n t},
\]
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where $T_n$ are the spectral components of temperature field $T(z, t)$. Substituting this equality into the heat conduction equation (18) yields

$$T_n^{\infty} - i\omega_n \beta^2 T_n = 0,$$

(37)

where

$$\beta^2 = \frac{1}{k}(T_0\alpha^2 E\eta + \rho c_p).$$

The general solution of Equation (37) can be readily obtained as

$$T_n(z) = B_n^1 e^{-\tau_n z} + B_n^2 e^{\tau_n z},$$

(38)

where

$$\tau_n = \beta \sqrt{-i\omega_n \beta} = (1 + i)\beta \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{2}}.$$  

(39)

The constants $B_n^1$ and $B_n^2$ are determined by the thermal boundary conditions specified on the upper and lower surfaces of beam-plate. Once the spectral components of temperature $T$ are computed from (38), the corresponding spectral components of thermal moment $M_T$ and thermal force $N_T$ in (36) can be readily computed from (6) and (25).

4. Numerical results and discussion

As an illustrative example, a beam-plate which is axially moving over two simple supports of distance $L = 2$ m is considered. The beam-plate has thickness $h = 5$ mm, width $b = 0.5$ m, Young’s modulus $E = 73$ GPa, Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.33$, mass density $\rho = 2770$ kg/m$^3$, thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha = 23.0 \times 10^{-6}$/K, thermal conductivity $k = 177$ W/mK, and specific heat $c_p = 875$ J/kg·K. As shown in Figure 3, the temperature change is applied only to the middle part of the upper surface, while the remaining parts are allowed to remain at room temperature $T_0$.

First, to show the high accuracy of the present spectral element model, we compare in Table 1 the natural frequencies obtained for the beam-plate using the
present spectral element model (SEM), the finite element model (FEM), and the exact theory (only for stationary beam), for various speeds of the beam-plate. The number of finite elements used in the FEM varies from 10 to 100, while only one finite element is used for the SEM. The table shows that the SEM results are almost same as the exact values when $c = 0$, and the FEM values converge to the SEM values when $c \neq 0$ as the number of finite elements used in FEM is increased. This suggests that the present spectral element model is very accurate.

One more thing we can observe from Table 1 is that in general the magnitude of natural frequency (real part of eigenfrequency) decreases as the moving speed of beam-plate is increased. The first natural frequency becomes zero at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\omega_1^{(w)}$</th>
<th>$\omega_2^{(w)}$</th>
<th>$\omega_3^{(w)}$</th>
<th>$\omega_4^{(w)}$</th>
<th>$\omega_5^{(w)}$</th>
<th>$\omega_{15}^{(w)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Exact</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.329</td>
<td>27.743</td>
<td>49.321</td>
<td>77.066</td>
<td>679.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.329</td>
<td>27.742</td>
<td>49.317</td>
<td>77.057</td>
<td>679.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.331</td>
<td>27.758</td>
<td>49.403</td>
<td>77.371</td>
<td>680.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.330</td>
<td>27.744</td>
<td>49.327</td>
<td>77.087</td>
<td>679.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.329</td>
<td>27.743</td>
<td>49.322</td>
<td>77.067</td>
<td>679.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>12.329</td>
<td>27.743</td>
<td>49.322</td>
<td>77.067</td>
<td>679.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>12.197</td>
<td>27.626</td>
<td>49.210</td>
<td>76.955</td>
<td>679.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>12.198</td>
<td>27.643</td>
<td>49.298</td>
<td>77.274</td>
<td>680.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>12.197</td>
<td>27.628</td>
<td>49.220</td>
<td>76.985</td>
<td>679.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>12.197</td>
<td>27.627</td>
<td>49.215</td>
<td>76.966</td>
<td>679.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>12.197</td>
<td>27.627</td>
<td>49.215</td>
<td>76.965</td>
<td>679.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>27.277</td>
<td>48.889</td>
<td>76.649</td>
<td>679.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>11.792</td>
<td>27.296</td>
<td>48.983</td>
<td>76.982</td>
<td>680.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>27.280</td>
<td>48.899</td>
<td>76.681</td>
<td>679.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>27.279</td>
<td>48.893</td>
<td>76.660</td>
<td>679.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.248</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>27.279</td>
<td>48.893</td>
<td>76.659</td>
<td>679.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.012</td>
<td>26.631</td>
<td>48.297</td>
<td>76.087</td>
<td>679.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.015</td>
<td>26.654</td>
<td>48.402</td>
<td>76.446</td>
<td>680.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.012</td>
<td>26.634</td>
<td>48.307</td>
<td>76.120</td>
<td>679.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.012</td>
<td>26.633</td>
<td>48.301</td>
<td>76.098</td>
<td>679.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.012</td>
<td>26.633</td>
<td>48.301</td>
<td>76.097</td>
<td>679.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Natural frequencies (Hz) of a beam-plate obtained by the present SEM, the FEM and the exact theory [Blevins 1979]. $N$ is the number of finite elements used in the analysis, $c$ is the fluid velocity, and the superscripts $(w)$ and $(u)$ stand respectively for the transverse and axial displacement modes.
$c = 12.33 \text{ m/s}$, which is the divergence speed at which the divergence instability may occur. Figure 4 shows in detail how the eigenfrequency of beam-plate varies as the moving speed of beam-plate is increased. One can see from Figure 4 that the beam-plate will have divergence instability at $c = 12.33 \text{ m/s}$ and coupled-mode flutter instability at $c = 25.35 \text{ m/s}$.
To investigate the thermally induced vibration of the beam-plate, the temperature on the middle part of the upper surface of the beam-plate is suddenly elevated so that $\Delta T = 20 \text{ K}$ and the elevated temperature is sustained for 0.01 seconds from $t = 0$, as shown in Figure 5. Figure 6 shows the time history of the temperature distribution through the thickness of the beam-plate, and Figure 7
show the corresponding thermal moment $M_T$ and thermal force $N_T$ in the region $L_1 \leq x \leq L - L_2$. Notice that $L_1 = L_3 = 0.8$ m and $L_2 = 0.4$ m. Figure 6 shows that the temperature quickly spreads out to become symmetric with respect to the middle plane of the beam-plane. Accordingly the thermal moment $M_T$ disappears after about 0.05 seconds, while the thermal force $N_T$ converges to a small value.
Figure 8. Comparison of the frequency response functions of the axial and transverse displacements obtained by the present SEM and FEM when $c = 4 \text{ m/s}$ and $L_2 = 0.2L$.

Figure 8 compares the frequency response functions obtained by the present SEM and FEM. Similarly Figure 9 compares the corresponding time responses. It is clear from both figures that FEM results converge to SEM results as the number of finite elements used in FEM is increased, which also proves the high accuracy of the present spectral element model. Notice that the minimum number of finite
elements used in SEM is three, because the beam-plate has temperature discontinuities at \( x = L_1 \) and \( x = L_1 + L_2 \).

Figure 10 shows the time responses of transverse displacement at three different moving speeds of beam-plate. From Figure 10, one can observe that the period of time response increases as the moving speed increases. As previously discussed,
Figure 10. Transverse displacement versus moving speed $c$ when $L_2 = 0.2L$.

Figure 11. Transverse displacements when $c = 12.33$ m/s (divergence speed) and $c = 25.35$ m/s (flutter speed) when $L_2 = 0.2L$.
	his is because the natural frequencies decrease as the moving speed increases. Figure 11 shows the time responses at divergence speed $c = 12.33$ m/s and flutter speed $c = 25.35$ m/s. As expected, the divergence and flutter instabilities indeed occur at the divergence and flutter speeds, respectively.
Figure 12. Axial and transverse displacements versus size of $L_2$ on which thermal load is applied when $c = 4 \text{ m/s}$.

Figure 12 shows the time responses of axial and transverse displacements depending on the length of middle part ($L_2$) subjected to the sudden temperature change of Figure 5, when the moving speed of beam-plate is $c = 4 \text{ m/s}$. The time responses in both axial and transverse displacements tend to increase as the length of middle part becomes larger.
Figure 13. Axial and transverse displacements versus excitation frequency $f$ of the thermal load given by $\Delta T(x, t) = 10 \sin(2\pi ft) + 20(K)$ when $c = 4 \text{ m/s}$ and $L_2 = 0.2L$.

Figure 13 compares the axial and transverse displacements excited by a harmonic thermal load defined by $\Delta T(x, t) = 10 \sin(2\pi ft) + 20(K)$ when $c = 4 \text{ m/s}$ and $L_2 = 0.2L$. It is obvious from Figure 13 that the resonance in transverse vibration mode occurs when the excitation frequency $f$ is close to the first transverse natural frequency $2.886 \text{ Hz}$ (see Table 1).
Figure 14. Time histories of the thermal moment $M_T$ and thermal force $N_T$ in the region $L_1 \leq x \leq (L - L_2)$ versus duration of thermal load $\Delta t$ when $c = 4$ m/s.

Figure 14 shows the time histories of the thermal moments $M_T$ and thermal forces $N_T$ in the region $L_1 \leq x \leq (L - L_2)$ for different durations of thermal load, $\Delta t$, and Figure 15 shows the corresponding axial and transverse displacements. In general, it is shown that the amplitudes of vibration become larger as the duration of thermal load becomes larger.
Figure 15. Time responses of axial and transverse displacements versus duration of thermal load $\Delta t$ when $c = 4$ m/s.
5. Conclusions

We develop a spectral element model for an axially moving beam-plate subjected to external thermal loads. The model is formulated from frequency-dependent dynamic shape functions that are exact frequency-domain solutions of governing equations, and it is evaluated by comparison with the conventional finite element model. Numerical studies show the high accuracy of the present method and allow us to model the thermally induced vibration of an axially moving beam-plate subjected to a sudden temperature change on the upper surface of the beam-plate.

Appendix

Matrices \([S_{Un}]\) and \([S_{Wn}]\) in Equation (34).

\[ [S_{Un}] = [X_n^{-1}]^T [R_{Un}] [X_n^{-1}], \quad \text{where} \quad [R_{Un}] = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{n14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

and

\[ Y_{nij} = \frac{e^{(k_{ni}+k_{nj})l} - 1}{k_{ni} + k_{nj}} (E A k_{ni} k_{nj} - \rho A \omega_n^2). \]

\[ [S_{Wn}] = [X_n^{-1}]^T [R_{Wn}] [X_n^{-1}], \quad \text{where} \quad [R_{Wn}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_{n11} & X_{n12} & 0 & X_{n13} & X_{n14} \\ 0 & X_{n12} & X_{n22} & 0 & X_{n23} & X_{n24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_{n13} & X_{n23} & 0 & X_{n33} & X_{n34} \\ 0 & X_{n14} & X_{n24} & 0 & X_{n34} & X_{n44} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ X_{nij} = \frac{e^{(\lambda_{ni}+\lambda_{nj})l} - 1}{\lambda_{ni} + \lambda_{nj}} \left( D \lambda_{ni}^2 \lambda_{nj}^2 - R_n \lambda_{ni} \lambda_{nj} + i \rho A c_o \omega_n (\lambda_{ni} - \lambda_{nj}) - \rho A \omega_n^2 \right). \]

The finite element model

The finite element model used in this study is formulated by assuming the displacement fields within a finite beam-plate element of length \(l\) as follows:

\[ u(x, t) = [N_U(x)] [d(t)], \quad w(x, t) = [N_W(x)] [d(t)], \]

where \([d(t)]\) is the nodal DOF vector defined by

\[ [d(t)] = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) & w_1(t) & \phi_1(t) & u_2(t) & w_2(t) & \phi_2(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \]

and \([N_U(t)]\) and \([N_W(t)]\) are the shape function matrices defined by

\[ [N_U(x)] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \xi & 0 & 0 & \xi & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [N_W(x)] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & N_{W1} & N_{W2} & 0 & N_{W3} & N_{W4} \end{bmatrix}. \]
where \( \xi = x/l \) and
\[
N_{W1} = 2\xi^3 - 3\xi^2 + 1, \quad N_{W2} = l\xi(\xi - 1)^2, \quad N_{W3} = -2\xi^3 + 3\xi^2, \quad N_{W4} = l\xi(\xi^2 - \xi).
\]

Following the usual procedure [Reddy 2002], the finite element equation can be derived as
\[
[M][\ddot{d}] + [C][\ddot{d}] + [K][d] = \{f\},
\]
where \( \{f\} \) is the nodal force vector defined by
\[
\{f\} = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - N_T / 2 & V_1 & M_1 + M_T / 2 & N_2 + N_T / 2 & V_2 & M_2 - M_T / 2 \end{bmatrix}^T + \int_0^l (p_x[N_U]^T + p_z[N_W]^T) \, dx
\]
and the finite element matrices \([M],[C],[K]\) are given by
\[
[M] = \int_0^l \left( \rho A[N_U]^T[N_U] + \rho A[N_W]^T[N_W] - \rho I[N_{W}']^T[N_{W}'] \right) \, dx
\]
\[
= \frac{\rho Al}{420} \begin{bmatrix}
140 & 0 & 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 156 & 22l & 0 & 54 & -13l \\
0 & 22l & 4l^2 & 0 & 13l & -3l^2 \\
70 & 0 & 140 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 54 & 13l & 0 & 156 & -22l \\
0 & -13l & -3l^2 & 0 & -22l & 4l^2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
+C = \int_0^l (\rho Ac([N_W]^T[N_W'] - [N_{W}']^T[N_W])) \, dx = \frac{\rho Ac}{30} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 6l & 0 & 30 & -6l \\
0 & -6l & 0 & 0 & 6l & -l^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -30 & -6l & 0 & 0 & 6l \\
0 & 6l & l^2 & 0 & -6l & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
[K] = \int_0^l \left( E\bar{A}[N_{U}']^T[N_{U}'] + D[N_{W}']^T[N_{W}'] + \rho A\bar{c}^2[N_{W}']^T[N_{W}'] \right) \, dx
\]
\[
= \frac{E\bar{A}}{l} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} + \frac{D}{l^3} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 12 & 6l & 0 & -12 & 6l \\
6l & 4l^2 & 0 & -6l & 2l^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-12 & -6l & 0 & 12 & -6l & 0 \\
0 & 6l & 2l^2 & 0 & -6l & 4l^2 \\
\end{bmatrix} - \frac{\rho Ac^2}{30} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
$$- \frac{\rho A c^2}{30l} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3l & 3l & -36 & 3l & 0 \\ 0 & 3l & 4l^2 & 0 & -3l & -l^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -36 & -3l & 0 & 36 & -3l \\ 0 & 3l & -l^2 & 0 & -3l & 4l^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
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