

```

gap> g:= SymmetricGroup( 4 );
Sym( [ 1 .. 4 ] )
gap> tbl:= CharacterTable( g ); HasIrr( tbl );
i5 : betti(t,Weights=>{1,0})
false
      0 1 2 3 4
o5 = total: 1 4 13 14 4
      0: 1 . . .
      1: . 2 2 4 2
      2: . 2 5 6 .
      3: . . 4 . 2
      4: . . . 4 .
      5: . . 2 . .
gap> tblmod2:= CharacterTable( tbl, 2 );
BrauerTable( Sym( [ 1 .. 4 ] ), 2 )
gap> tblmod2 = CharacterTable( tbl, 2 );
true
gap> tblmod2 = BrauerTable( tbl, 2 );
true
gap> tblmod2 = BrauerTable( tbl, 2 );
true
o5 : BrauerTable
i6 : betti(t,Weights=>{0,1})
      0 1 2 3 4
o6 = total: 1 4 13 14 4
      0: 1 . . .
      1: . 2 2 4 2
      2: . 2 5 6 .
      3: . . 4 . 2
      4: . . . 4 .
      5: . . 2 . .
gap> libtbl:= CharacterTable( "M" );
CharacterTable( "M" )
gap> CharacterTableRegular( libtbl, 2 );
BrauerTable( "M" )
gap> BrauerTable( libtbl, 2 );
fail
gap> CharacterTable( "Symmetric", 4 );
CharacterTable( "Sym(4)" )
gap> ComputedBrauerTables( tbl );
[ , BrauerTable( Sym( [ 1 .. 4 ] ), 2 ) ]
ring r1 = 32003,(x,y,z),ds;
int a,b,c,t=11,5,3,0;
poly f = x^a+y^b+z^(3*c)+x^(c+2)*y^(c-1)+x^(c-2)*y^c*(y^2+t*x)^2;
option(noprot);
timer=1;
ring r2 = 32003,(x,y,z),dp;
poly f=imap(r1,f);
ideal j=jacob(f);
vdim(std(j));
==> 536
vdim(std(j+f));
==> 195
timer=0; // reset timer
o6 : BettiTally
i7 : t1 = betti(t,Weights=>{1,1})
gap> ComputedBrauerTables( tbl );
[ , BrauerTable( Sym( [ 1 .. 4 ] ), 2 ) ]
o7 = total: 0 1 2 3 4
      1 4 13 14 4
      0: 1 . . .
      1: . . . .
      2: . . . .
      3: . 2 . .
      4: . . . .
      5: . 2 . .
      6: . . 1 .
      7: . . 8 6 .
      8: . . 4 8 4
o7 : BettiTally
i8 : peek t1
o8 = BettiTally{(0, {0, 0}, 0) => 1 }
      (1, {2, 2}, 4) => 2
      (1, {3, 3}, 6) => 2
      (2, {3, 7}, 10) => 2
      (2, {4, 4}, 8) => 1
      (2, {4, 5}, 9) => 4
      (2, {5, 4}, 9) => 4
      (2, {7, 3}, 10) => 2
      (3, {4, 7}, 11) => 4
      (3, {5, 5}, 10) => 6
      (3, {7, 4}, 11) => 4
      (4, {5, 7}, 12) => 4
      (4, {7, 5}, 12) => 2

```

# Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry

## RationalMaps, a package for Macaulay2

C. J. BOTT, SEYED HAMID HASSANZADEH, KARL SCHWEDE AND DANIEL SMOLKIN

## RationalMaps, a package for Macaulay2

C. J. BOTT, SEYED HAMID HASSANZADEH, KARL SCHWEDE AND DANIEL SMOLKIN

**ABSTRACT:** This paper describes the `RationalMaps` package for Macaulay2. This package provides functionality for computing several aspects of rational maps.

**1. INTRODUCTION.** This package aims to compute several things about rational maps between varieties, including

- the base locus of a rational map,
- whether a rational map is birational,
- the inverse of a birational map,
- whether a map is a closed embedding.

Our functions have numerous options which allow them to run much more quickly in certain examples if configured correctly. Setting the option `Verbosity` to a value  $\geq 1$  will mean that functions will provide hints as to the best ways to run them. This paper discusses `RationalMaps` version 1.0.

A rational map  $\mathfrak{F} : X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  between projective varieties is presented by  $m + 1$  forms  $f = \{f_0, \dots, f_m\}$  of the same degree in the coordinate ring of  $X$ , denoted by  $R$ . The idea of looking at the syzygies of the forms  $f$  to detect the geometric properties of  $\mathfrak{F}$  goes back at least to [Hulek et al. 1992] in the case where  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{P}^m$  and  $m = n$  (see also [Semple and Tyrrell 1969]). Russo and Simis [2001] developed this method to handle the case  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $m \geq n$ . Simis [2004] pushed the method further to the study of general rational maps between two integral projective schemes in arbitrary characteristic by an extended ideal-theoretic method emphasizing the role of the Rees algebra associated to the ideal generated by  $f$ . Doria, Hassanzadeh, and Simis [Doria et al. 2012] applied these Rees algebra techniques to study the birationality of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Our core functions, particularly those related to computing inverse maps, rely heavily on this work.

---

Hassanzadeh was supported by CNPq-bolsa de Produtividade and by the MathAmSud project “ALGEO”. Schwede was supported in part by the NSF FRG Grant DMS #1265261/1501115, NSF CAREER Grant DMS #1252860/1501102, NSF Grants DMS #1840190 and #2101800. Smolkin was supported in part by the NSF FRG Grant DMS #1265261/1501115, NSF Career Grant DMS #1252860/1501102 and NSF Grant DMS #1801849.

*MSC2010:* 14E05, 14E25.

*Keywords:* rational map, birational map, inverse map, closed embedding.

`RationalMaps` version 1.0

**2. BASE LOCI.** We begin with the problem of computing the base locus of a map to projective space. Let  $X$  be a projective variety over any field  $k$  and let  $\mathfrak{F} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^m$  be a rational map from  $X$  to projective space. Then we choose a representative  $(f_0, \dots, f_m)$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , where each  $f_i$  is the  $i$ -th coordinate of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . A priori, each  $f_i$  is in  $K = \text{frac } R$ , where  $R$  is the coordinate ring of  $X$ . However, we can get another representative of  $\mathfrak{F}$  by clearing denominators. (Note that this does not enlarge the base locus of  $\mathfrak{F}$  since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is undefined whenever the denominator of any of the  $f_i$  vanishes.) Thus we assume that  $f_i \in R$  for all  $i$ , and that all the  $f_i$  are homogeneous of the same degree.

In this setting, one might naively think that the map  $\mathfrak{F}$  is undefined exactly when all of the  $f_i$  vanish, and thus the base locus is the vanishing set of the ideal  $(f_0, \dots, f_m)$ . However, this yields a base locus that is too big. Indeed, to find the base locus of a rational map, we must consider all possible representatives of the map and find where none of them are defined. To do this, we use the following result.

**Proposition 2.1** [Simis 2004, Proposition 1.1]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  be a rational map and let  $f = \{f_0, \dots, f_m\}$  be a representative of  $\mathfrak{F}$  with  $f_i \in R$  homogeneous of degree  $d$  for all  $i$ . Set  $I = (f_0, \dots, f_m)$ . Then the set of such representatives of  $\mathfrak{F}$  corresponds bijectively to the homogeneous vectors in the rank 1 graded  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R) \cong (R :_K I)$ .*

The bijection comes from multiplying our fixed representative  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  by  $h \in (R :_K I)$ . Now, in the setting of Proposition 2.1, let

$$\bigoplus_s R(-d_s) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R(-d)^{m+1} \xrightarrow{[f_0, \dots, f_m]} I \rightarrow 0$$

be a free resolution of  $I$ . Then we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, R) \rightarrow (R(-d)^{m+1})^\vee \xrightarrow{\varphi^t} \left( \bigoplus_s R(d_s) \right)^\vee$$

where  $\varphi^t$  is the transpose of  $\varphi$  and  $R^\vee$  is the dual module of  $R$ . Thus, we get that  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R) \cong \ker \varphi^t$ , and so each representative of  $\mathfrak{F}$  corresponds to a vector in  $\ker \varphi^t$ . The correspondence takes a representative  $(hf_0, \dots, hf_m)$  to the map that multiplies vectors in  $R^{m+1}$  by  $[hf_0, \dots, hf_m]$  on the left.

The base locus of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the intersection of the sets  $V(f_0^i, \dots, f_m^i)$  as  $f^i = (f_0^i, \dots, f_m^i)$  ranges over all the representatives of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The above implies that this is the same as the intersection of the sets  $V(w_0^i, \dots, w_m^i)$  as  $w^i = (w_0^i, \dots, w_m^i)$  ranges over the vectors in  $\ker \varphi^t$ . Now, given any  $a, f, g \in R$ , we have  $V(af) \supseteq V(f)$  and  $V(f+g) \supseteq V(f) \cap V(g)$ . Thus, it is enough to take a generating set  $w^1, \dots, w^n$  of  $\ker \varphi^t$  and take the intersection over this generating set.

The base locus of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is then the variety cut out by the ideal generated by all the entries of all of the  $w^i$ . Our function `baseLocusOfMap` returns this ideal. It can be applied either to our new type `RationalMapping` or to a `RingMap` between the homogeneous coordinate rings which represents the rational map.

```
i1 : loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i2 : R = QQ[x,y,z];
```

```

i3 : f = rationalMapping(R, R, {x^2*y, x^2*z, x*y*z})
o3 = Proj R - - - > Proj R   {x^2 y, x^2 z, x*y*z}
o3 : RationalMapping
i4 : baseLocusOfMap(f)
o4 = ideal (y*z, x*z, x*y)
o4 : Ideal of R

```

If the `SaturateOutput` option is set to `false`, our function `baseLocusOfMap` will not saturate the output.

**3. BIRATIONALITY AND INVERSE MAPS.** Again, a rational map  $\mathfrak{F} : X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  between projective spaces is defined by  $m + 1$  forms  $\mathbf{f} = \{f_0, \dots, f_m\}$  of the same degree in the coordinate ring of  $X$ , denoted by  $R$ .  $R$  is a standard graded ring in  $n + 1$  variables. Here we assumed the varieties are defined over a field  $k$  and  $\dim R \geq 1$ . Our goal is to find a ring theoretic criterion for birationality and, on top of that, to find the inverse of a rational map. To do this, we study the Rees algebra of the ideal  $I = (\mathbf{f})$  in  $R$ . To that end set  $R \simeq k[x_0, \dots, x_n] = k[\mathbf{X}]/\alpha$  with  $k[\mathbf{X}] = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  and  $\alpha$  a homogeneous ideal. The Rees algebra is defined by the polynomial relations among  $\{f_0, \dots, f_m\}$  in  $R$ . To this end, we consider the polynomial extension  $R[\mathbf{Y}] = R[Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$ . To keep track of the variables by degrees, we set the standard bigrading  $\deg(X_i) = (1, 0)$  and  $\deg(Y_j) = (0, 1)$ . Mapping  $Y_j \mapsto f_j t$  yields a presentation  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{R}_R((\mathbf{f}))$ , with  $\mathcal{J}$  a bihomogeneous *presentation ideal*.  $\mathcal{J}$  is a bigraded ideal that depends only on the rational map defined by  $\mathbf{f}$  and not on this particular representative.

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \mathcal{J}_{(p,q)},$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_{(p,q)}$  denotes the  $k$ -vector space of forms of bidegree  $(p, q)$ . Every piece of this ideal contains information about the rational map. For example,  $\mathcal{J}_{0,*}$  determines the dimension of the image of the map. For birationality, the following bihomogeneous piece is important:

$$\mathcal{J}_{1,*} := \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{J}_{1,q}$$

with  $\mathcal{J}_{1,q}$  denoting the bigraded piece of  $\mathcal{J}$  spanned by the forms of bidegree  $(1, q)$  for all  $q \geq 0$ . Now, a form of bidegree  $(1, *)$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=0}^n Q_i(\mathbf{Y}) x_i$ , for suitable homogeneous  $Q_i(\mathbf{Y}) \in R[\mathbf{Y}]$  of the same degree.

One then goes on to construct a matrix that measures the birationality of the map. The first step is to lift the polynomials  $Q_i(\mathbf{Y}) \in R[\mathbf{Y}]$  into  $k[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ . Since the  $\{y_0, \dots, y_m\}$  are indeterminates over  $R$ , each pair of such representations of the same form gives a syzygy of  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  with coefficients in  $k$ . This is where one must take into account whether  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is minimally embedded or not. To measure this, one can easily check the vector space dimension of  $\alpha_1$ , the degree-1 part of  $\alpha$ ; if it is zero then  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is nondegenerated.

Next, one can pick a minimal set of generators of the ideal  $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$  consisting of a finite number of forms of bidegree  $(1, q)$ , for various  $q$ 's. Let us assume  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is nondegenerated. Let  $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \subset k[X, Y]$  denote liftings of these bifurms and consider the Jacobian matrix of the polynomials  $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$  with respect to  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ . This is a matrix with entries in  $k[Y]$ . Write  $\psi$  for the corresponding matrix over  $S = k[Y]/\mathfrak{b}$ , the coordinate ring of  $Y$ . This matrix is called the *weak Jacobian dual matrix* associated to the given set of generators of  $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$ . Note that a weak Jacobian matrix  $\psi$  is not uniquely defined due to the lack of uniqueness in the expression of an individual form and to the choice of bihomogeneous generators. However, it is shown in [Doria et al. 2012, Lemma 2.13] that if the weak Jacobian matrix associated to one set of bihomogeneous minimal generators of  $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$  has rank over  $S$  then the weak Jacobian matrix associated to any other set of bihomogeneous minimal generators of  $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$  has rank over  $S$  and the two ranks coincide.

The following criterion is [Doria et al. 2012, Theorem 2.18]. In the package, we consider only the cases where  $X$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $R$  is a domain.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be nondegenerate. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is birational onto  $Y$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(\psi) = \text{edim}(R) - 1 (= n)$ . Moreover:*

- (i) *We get a representative for the inverse of  $\mathfrak{F}$  by taking the coordinates of any homogeneous vector of positive degree in the (rank 1) null space of  $\psi$  over  $S$  for which these coordinates generate an ideal containing a regular element.*
- (ii) *If, further,  $R$  is a domain, the representative of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in (i) can be taken to be the set of the (ordered, signed)  $(\text{edim}(R)-1)$ -minors of an arbitrary  $(\text{edim}(R) - 1) \times \text{edim}(R)$  submatrix of  $\psi$  of rank  $\text{edim}(R) - 1$ .*

As expected, the most expensive part of applying this theorem is computing the Rees ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ . In the package RationalMaps, we use ReesStrategy to compute the Rees equations. The algorithm is the standard elimination technique. However, we do not use the ReesAlgebra [Eisenbud 2018] package, since verifying birationality according to Theorem 3.1 only requires computing a small part of the Rees ideal, namely elements of first-degree 1. This idea is applied in the SimisStrategy. More precisely, if the given map  $\mathfrak{F}$  is birational, then the Jacobian dual rank will attain its maximum value of  $\text{edim}(R) - 1$  after computing the Rees equations up to degree  $(1, N)$  for  $N$  sufficiently large. This allows us to compute the inverse map. The downside of SimisStrategy is that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not birational, the desired number  $N$  cannot be found and the process never terminates. To provide a definitive answer for birationality, we use HybridStrategy, which is a hybrid of ReesStrategy and SimisStrategy. The default strategy is HybridStrategy.

HybridLimit is an option to switch SimisStrategy to ReesStrategy, if the computations up to degree  $(1, \text{HybridLimit})$  do not lead to  $\text{rank}(\psi) = \text{edim}(R) - 1$ . The default value for HybridLimit is 15. The change from SimisStrategy to ReesStrategy is done in such a way that the generators of the Rees ideal computed in the SimisStrategy phase are not lost; the program computes other generators of the Rees ideal while keeping the generators it found before attaining HybridLimit.

There is yet another method for computing the Rees ideal called `SaturationStrategy`. In this option, the whole Rees ideal is computed by saturating the defining ideal of the symmetric algebra with respect to a nonzero element in  $R$  (we assume  $R$  to be a domain). This strategy appears to be slower in some examples, though one might be able to improve this option in the future by stopping the computation of the saturation at a certain step.

Computing inverse maps is the most important function of this package and is done by the function `inverseOfMap` (or by running `RationalMap^(-1)`). According to [Theorem 3.1](#), there are two ways to compute the inverse of a map: (1) by finding any syzygy of the Jacobian dual matrix, and (2) by finding a submatrix of  $\psi$  of rank  $\text{edim}(R) - 1$ . Each way has its benefits. Method (1) is quite fast in many cases; however, method (2) is very useful if the rank of the Jacobian dual matrix  $\psi$  is relatively small compared to the degrees of the entries of  $\psi$ . Our function `inverseOfMap` starts by using the second method and later switches to the first method if the second method does not work. The timing of this transition from the first method to the second method is controlled by the option `MinorsLimit`. Setting `MinorsLimit` to zero will mean that no minors are checked and the inverse map is computed just by looking at the syzygies of  $\psi$ . If `MinorsLimit` is left as null (the default value), these functions will determine a value using a heuristic that depends on the varieties involved.

To improve the speed of the function `inverseOfMap`, we also have two other options, `AssumeDominant` and `CheckBirational`. If `AssumeDominant` is set to be true, then `inverseOfMap` assumes that the map from  $X$  to  $Y$  is dominant and does not compute the image of the map; this is time-consuming in certain cases as it computes the kernel of a ring map. However, this function goes through a call to `idealOfImageOfMap` which first checks whether the ring map is injective (at least if the target is a polynomial ring) using the method described in [\[Simis 2003, Proposition 1.1\]](#). Similarly, if `CheckBirational` is set to be false, `inverseOfMap` will not check birationality although it still computes the Jacobian dual matrix. The option `QuickRank` is available to many functions. At various points, the rank of a matrix is computed, and sometimes it is faster to compute the rank of an interesting-looking submatrix (using the tools of the package `FastMinors` [\[Martinova et al. 2020\]](#)). Turning `QuickRank` off will make showing that certain maps are birational slower, but will make showing that certain maps are *not* birational faster. There is a certain amount of randomness in the functions of `FastMinors`, and so occasionally rerunning a slow example will result in a massive speedup.

In general, as long as `Verbosity` is  $\geq 1$ , the function will make suggestions as to how to run it more quickly. For example:

```
i1 : loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i2 : Q=QQ[x,y,z,t,u];
i3 : f = map(Q,Q,matrix{{x^5,y*x^4,z*x^4+y^5,t*x^4+z^5,u*x^4+t^5}});
o3 : RingMap
i4 : phi=rationalMapping(f)
o4 = Proj Q - - - > Proj Q   {x5, x4y, y5 + x4z, z5 + x4t, t5 + x4u}
o4 : RationalMapping
```

```

i5 : time inverseOfMap(phi, CheckBirational=>false, Verbosity => 1);
inverseOfMapSimis: About to find the image of the map.
                   If you know the image, you may want to use the AssumeDominant
                   option if this is slow.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                   you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                   you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                   you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                   you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                   you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false.
inverseOfMapSimis: We give up. Using the previous computations,
                   we compute the whole Groebner basis of the Rees ideal.
                   Increase HybridLimit and rerun to avoid this.
inverseOfMapSimis: Looking for a nonzero minor.
                   If this fails, you may increase the attempts with MinorsLimit => #
inverseOfMapSimis: We found a nonzero minor.
                   -- used 0.189563 seconds

o5 : RationalMapping
i6 : ident = rationalMapping map(Q,Q);
o6 : RationalMapping
i7 : o5*phi == ident
o7 = true

```

Using the `RationalMap-1` syntax to compute inverses of maps will always suppress such output:

```

i6 : time phi^-1;
    -- used 0.192791 seconds
o6 : RationalMapping
i7 : o4 == o7
o7 = true

```

**4. EMBEDDINGS.** Our package also checks whether a rational map  $\mathfrak{F} : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed embedding. The strategy is quite simple:

- (a) We first check whether  $\mathfrak{F}$  is regular (by checking if its base locus is empty).
- (b) We next invert the map (if possible).
- (c) Finally, we check whether the inverse map is also regular.

If all three conditions are met, then the map is a closed embedding and the function returns `true`. Otherwise, `isEmbedding` returns `false`. In the following example which illustrates this, we take a plane quartic, choose a point  $Q$  on it, and take the map associated with the divisor  $12Q$ . This map is an embedding by [Hartshorne 1977, Chapter IV, Corollary 3.2], which we now verify:

```

i1 : needsPackage "Divisor"; --used to quickly define a map
i2 : C = ZZ/101[x,y,z]/(x^4+x^2*y*z+y^4+z^3*x);
i3 : Q = ideal(y,x+z);
o3 : Ideal of C

```

```

i4 : f2 = mapToProjectiveSpace(12*divisor(Q));
o4 : RingMap C <---  $\begin{matrix} \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{---} \\ 101 & 1 & 10 \end{matrix}$  [YY ..YY ]
i5 : needsPackage "RationalMaps";
i6 : time isEmbedding(f2)
isEmbedding: About to find the image of the map. If you know the image,
              you may want to use the AssumeDominant option if this is slow.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to check rank, if this is very slow,
                  you may want to try turning QuickRank=>false
inverseOfMapSimis: rank found, we computed enough of the Groebner basis.
                  -- used 0.140107 seconds
o6 = true

```

Notice that `MinorsLimit => 0` by default for `isEmbedding`. This is because the expressions defining the inverse map obtained from an appropriate minor frequently are more complicated than the expressions for the inverse map obtained via the syzygies. Complicated expressions can sometimes slow down the checking of whether the inverse map is regular.

**5. FUNCTIONALITY OVERLAP WITH OTHER PACKAGES.** We note that our package has some overlaps in functionality with other packages.

The [Parametrization \[Böhm 2010\]](#) package focuses mostly on curves, but also includes a function `invertBirationalMap` that has the same functionality as `inverseOfMap`. On the other hand, these two functions were implemented differently so sometimes one function can be substantially faster than the other.

The package [Cremona \[Staglianò 2018\]](#) focuses on fast probabilistic computation in general cases and fast deterministic computation for special kinds of maps from projective space. In particular, in Cremona,

- `isBirational` gives a probabilistic answer to the question of whether a map between varieties is birational. Furthermore, if the source is projective space, then `degreeOfRationalMap` with `MathMode=>true` gives a deterministic answer that can be faster than what our package provides with `isBirationalMap`;
- `inverseMap` gives a very fast computation of the inverse of a birational map if the source is projective space and the map has maximal linear rank. If this function is passed a map where the domain is not projective space, then it calls a modified, improved version of `invertBirationalMap` originally from [Parametrization](#). Even in some cases with maximal linear rank, our `inverseOfMap` function appears to be quite competitive, however.

The package [ReesAlgebra \[Eisenbud 2018\]](#) includes a function `jacobianDual` which computes the jacobian dual matrix. We also have a function `jacobianDualMatrix` which computes a weak form of this same matrix.

**6. COMMENTS AND COMPARISONS ON FUNCTION SPEEDS.** We begin with a comparison using examples with maximal linear rank where [Cremona](#) excels. These examples were executed using version 5.1 of [Cremona](#) and version 1.0 of [RationalMaps](#) running [Macaulay2 1.19.1.1](#) on [Ubuntu 20.04](#).

Indeed, in this example (taken from Cremona's documentation), Cremona is substantially faster.

```

i1 : loadPackage "Cremona"; loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i3 : ringP20=QQ[t_0..t_20];
i4 : phi=map(ringP20,ringP20,{t_10*t_15-t_9*t_16+t_6*t_20,t_10*t_14-t_8*t_16+t_5*t_20,
    t_9*t_14-t_8*t_15+t_4*t_20,t_6*t_14-t_5*t_15+t_4*t_16,
    t_11*t_13-t_16*t_17+t_15*t_18-t_14*t_19+t_12*t_20,
    t_3*t_13-t_10*t_17+t_9*t_18-t_8*t_19+t_7*t_20,
    t_10*t_12-t_2*t_13-t_7*t_16-t_6*t_18+t_5*t_19,
    t_9*t_12-t_1*t_13-t_7*t_15-t_6*t_17+t_4*t_19,
    t_8*t_12-t_0*t_13-t_7*t_14-t_5*t_17+t_4*t_18,t_10*t_11-t_3*t_16+t_2*t_20,
    t_9*t_11-t_3*t_15+t_1*t_20,t_8*t_11-t_3*t_14+t_0*t_20,
    t_7*t_11-t_3*t_12+t_2*t_17-t_1*t_18+t_0*t_19,t_6*t_11-t_2*t_15+t_1*t_16,
    t_5*t_11-t_2*t_14+t_0*t_16,t_4*t_11-t_1*t_14+t_0*t_15,t_6*t_8-t_5*t_9+t_4*t_10,
    t_3*t_6-t_2*t_9+t_1*t_10,t_3*t_5-t_2*t_8+t_0*t_10,
    t_3*t_4-t_1*t_8+t_0*t_9,t_2*t_4-t_1*t_5+t_0*t_6});
o4 : RingMap ringP20 <--- ringP20
i5 : time inverseOfMap(phi, Verbosity=>0);-- Function from "RationalMaps"
    -- used 0.118508 seconds
o5 : RationalMapping
i6 : time inverseMap phi;
    -- used 0.0370978 seconds
o6 : RingMap ringP20 <--- ringP20
i7 : o5 == rationalMapping o6
o7 = true

```

However, sometimes the RationalMaps function is faster, even in examples with maximal linear rank (a good source of examples where different behaviors can be seen can be found in the documentation of Cremona). We now include an example where the map does not have the maximal linear rank.

```

i1 : loadPackage "Cremona"; loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i3 : Q=QQ[x,y,z,t,u];
i4 : phi=map(Q,Q,matrix{{x^5,y*x^4,z*x^4+y^5,t*x^4+z^5,u*x^4+t^5}});
o4 : RingMap Q <--- Q
i5 : (time g = inverseOfMap(phi, Verbosity=>0));
    -- used 0.233111 seconds
i6 : (time f = inverseOfMap(phi, Verbosity=>0, MinorsLimit=>0));
    -- used 60.1969 seconds
i7 : (time h = inverseMap(phi)); -- Function from "Cremona"
    -- used 49.2842 seconds
o7 : RingMap Q <--- Q
i8 : f == rationalMapping h
o8 = true
i9 : g == rationalMapping h
o9 = true

```

In the previous example, setting MinorsLimit=>0 makes inverseOfMap much slower – approximately the same speed as the corresponding command from Cremona. The takeaway for the user should be that changing the options Strategy, HybridLimit, MinorSize, and QuickRank, can make a large difference in performance.

We conclude with discussions of the limits of this package. A work attributed to O. Gabber [Bass et al. 1982, Theorem 1.5] shows that if  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is defined by forms of degree  $d$ , then its inverse can be defined by forms of degree  $d^{n-1}$ . This bound is sharp, as the map

$$(x_0^d : x_1 x_0^{d-1} : x_2 x_0^{d-1} - x_1^d : \cdots : x_n x_0^{d-1} - x_{n-1}^d)$$

has inverse given by forms of degree  $d^{n-1}$ ; see [Hassanzadeh and Simis 2017]. Thus we might expect that this family of maps would be good to explore to see the limits of RationalMaps. We ran these examples with the following code:

```
R = ZZ/101[x_0..x_n];
L = {x_0^d, x_1*x_0^(d-1)} | toList(apply(2..n, i -> (x_i*x_0^(d-1) + x_(i-1)^d)));
psi = map(R, R, L);
time inv = inverseOfMap(psi, AssumeDominant=>true, CheckBirational=>false, Verbosity=>0);
```

For  $n = 3$  (we are working on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ), we include a table showing the computation time, in seconds, to find the inverse map for various values of  $d$ . The degrees are those we would expect in this example (when  $d = 100$ , the degree of the forms in the inverse is 10000). Note that Cremona has very similar performance for these examples in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  ( $n = 3$ ), but seems substantially slower than RationalMaps as we increase the dimension:

$d$	5	10	20	40	60	80	100
seconds	0.0925	0.0958	0.1402	1.0667	7.2652	37.4577	135.915

However, as the size of projective space increases, this becomes much slower. Here is a table for  $n = 4$ :

$d$	5	8	10	11	12	13	14	15
seconds	0.1523	1.3115	7.4682	14.9912	28.8554	57.1229	120.778	217.706

We conclude with a table for  $n = 5$ :

$d$	3	4	5	6
seconds	0.2619	4.8770	134.424	2713.56

Note the  $d = 6$  case took more than 45 minutes.

Finally, Zhuang He and Lei Yang, working under the direction of Ana-Maria Castravet, communicated to us that they used RationalMaps to help understand and compute the inverse of a rational map from  $\mathbb{P}^3$  to  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ; see [He and Lei  $\geq$  2022]. Quoting Zhuang He, this rational map is “induced by a degree 13 linear system with the base locus at 6 very general points in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and 9 lines through them”. From a computational perspective, this map was given by 4 degree 13 forms, with 485, 467, 467, and 467 terms respectively. Computing the inverse of this map took several hours, but it was successful.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** Much of the work on this package was completed during the Macaulay2 workshop held at the University of Utah in May 2016. We especially thank David Eisenbud, Aron Simis, Greg Smith, Giovanni Staglianò, and Mike Stillman for valuable conversations. We also thank the referees for numerous helpful comments on both the package and the paper.

SUPPLEMENT. The [online supplement](#) contains version 1.0 of RationalMaps.

## REFERENCES.

- [Bass et al. 1982] H. Bass, E. H. Connell, and D. Wright, “The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7**:2 (1982), 287–330. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Böhm 2010] J. Böhm, *Parametrisierung rationaler Kurven*, Ph.D. thesis, 2010, <https://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~boehm/diplom%20janko%20boehm.pdf>.
- [Doria et al. 2012] A. V. Doria, S. H. Hassanzadeh, and A. Simis, “A characteristic-free criterion of birationality”, *Adv. Math.* **230**:1 (2012), 390–413. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Eisenbud 2018] D. Eisenbud, “The ReesAlgebra package in Macaulay2”, *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.* **8** (2018), 49–60. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, 1977. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hassanzadeh and Simis 2017] S. H. Hassanzadeh and A. Simis, “Bounds on degrees of birational maps with arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay graphs”, *J. Algebra* **478** (2017), 220–236. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [He and Lei  $\geq$  2022] Z. He and Y. Lei, “The Mori dream space property of blow-ups of projective spaces at points and lines”, preprint.
- [Hulek et al. 1992] K. Hulek, S. Katz, and F.-O. Schreyer, “Cremona transformations and syzygies”, *Math. Z.* **209**:3 (1992), 419–443. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martinova et al. 2020] B. Martinova, M. Robinson, K. Schwede, and Y. Yao, “FastMinors package for Macaulay2”, 2020. [arXiv 2002.05758](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.05758)
- [Russo and Simis 2001] F. Russo and A. Simis, “On birational maps and Jacobian matrices”, *Compositio Math.* **126**:3 (2001), 335–358. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Semple and Tyrrell 1969] J. G. Semple and J. A. Tyrrell, “The Cremona transformation of  $S_6$  by quadrics through a normal elliptic septic scroll  ${}^1R^7$ ”, *Mathematika* **16** (1969), 89–97. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Simis 2003] A. Simis, “Two differential themes in characteristic zero”, pp. 195–204 in *Topics in algebraic and noncommutative geometry* (Luminy, MD, 2001), Contemp. Math. **324**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Simis 2004] A. Simis, “Cremona transformations and some related algebras”, *J. Algebra* **280**:1 (2004), 162–179. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Staglianò 2018] G. Staglianò, “A Macaulay2 package for computations with rational maps”, *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.* **8** (2018), 61–70. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

RECEIVED: 29 Aug 2016

REVISED: 15 Mar 2022

ACCEPTED: 17 Jul 2022

C. J. BOTT:

[cbott2@math.tamu.edu](mailto:cbott2@math.tamu.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, United States

SEYED HAMID HASSANZADEH:

[hamid@im.ufrj.br](mailto:hamid@im.ufrj.br)

Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

KARL SCHWEDE:

[schwede@math.utah.edu](mailto:schwede@math.utah.edu)

Department of Mathematics, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, United States

DANIEL SMOLKIN:

[smolkin@math.utah.edu](mailto:smolkin@math.utah.edu)

Department of Mathematics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States