


NISSUNA UMANA INVESTIGAZIONE SI PUO DIMANDARE VERA SCIENZA
S'ESSA NON PASSA PER LE MATEMATICHE DIMOSTRAZIONI
LEONARDO DA VINCI



vol. 4

no. 3-4


2016

MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
of
Complex Systems

FIORELLA BARONE AND SANDRO GRAFFI

A REMARK ON EIGENVALUE PERTURBATION THEORY
AT VANISHING ISOLATION DISTANCE





A REMARK ON EIGENVALUE PERTURBATION THEORY AT VANISHING ISOLATION DISTANCE

FIORELLA BARONE AND SANDRO GRAFFI

Let T be a self-adjoint operator in a separable Hilbert space X , admitting compact resolvent and simple eigenvalues with possibly vanishing isolation distance, and let V be symmetric and bounded. Consider the self-adjoint operator family $T(g) : g \in \mathbb{R}$ in X defined by $T + gV$ on $D(T)$. A simple criterion is formulated ensuring, for any eigenvalue of $T(g)$, the existence to all orders of its perturbation expansion and its asymptotic nature near $g = 0$, with estimates independent of the eigenvalue index. An application to a class of Schrödinger operators is described.

1. Introduction and formulation of the result

The standard Rellich–Kato regular perturbation theory [Kato 1976] applies to *isolated* eigenvalues of finite multiplicity of a densely defined, closed operator T in a Banach space X . We consider here only the particular case in which

- X is a separable Hilbert space,
- T is a self-adjoint operator in X with compact resolvent and simple spectrum, and
- the perturbation is symmetric, regular and linear on the perturbation parameter.

Let the operator $V : D(V) \rightarrow X$ be symmetric and T -bounded with relative bound b ; i.e., let $D(T) \subset D(V)$, and let there exist $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$\|Vu\| \leq b\|Tu\| + a\|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in D(T). \quad (1-1)$$

With $g \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the operator family in X defined as

$$g \mapsto T(g) := Tu + gVu, \quad D(T(g)) = D(T). \quad (1-2)$$

Then $T(g)$ is closed with nonempty resolvent set for $|g| < 1/b$ and $T(g)^* = T(\bar{g})$ so that $T(g) = T(g)^*$ if $g \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be an isolated eigenvalue of T (simple by the above assumption), with isolation distance $d(\lambda) > 0$. Here, let us recall that

$$d(\lambda) := \text{dist}(\text{Spec}(T) \setminus \{\lambda\}, \lambda) > 0. \quad (1-3)$$

Communicated by Raffaele Esposito.

MSC2010: 81Q05, 81Q10, 81Q15.

Keywords: isolation distance, eigenvalue perturbation theory.

Then for $|g|$ suitably small, $T(g)$ has one and only one simple eigenvalue $\lambda(g)$ such that $\lim_{g \rightarrow 0} \lambda(g) = \lambda$ (see, e.g., [Kato 1976, §§VII.2–3] or [Reed and Simon 1978, §XII.1]). The function $g \mapsto \lambda(g)$ is holomorphic in a disk centered at the origin because its Taylor expansion at $g = 0$

$$\lambda(g) = \lambda + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\ell} g^{\ell} \tag{1-4}$$

exists and converges for $|g| < r_d(\lambda)$, with $r_d(\lambda) > 0$. The coefficients λ_{ℓ} are generated by (Rayleigh–Schrödinger) perturbation theory. This existence and convergence result depends in a critical way on the positivity of $d(\lambda)$ and therefore does not apply to nonisolated eigenvalues.

To the best of our knowledge, a simple, explicit criterion ensuring existence, let alone convergence, of (Rayleigh–Schrödinger) perturbation theory when $r_d(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ is still missing, even under much stronger assumptions such as $r_d(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ only if $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and boundedness of V . (For related questions involving the behavior of $r_d(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we refer the reader to [Reed and Simon 1978, §XIII.5] and to [Brownell and Clark 1961; McLeod 1961; Tamura 1974]). Within this last class of Hilbert space operators, we formulate and prove here a similar criterion, working out the necessary estimates on the behavior of λ_{ℓ} uniform with respect to the eigenvalue index \underline{n} . Under more restrictive assumptions on the vanishing of $r_d(\lambda)$, the explicit dependence on \underline{n} of the above estimates is actually determined.

Our hypotheses are formulated as follows.

- (A1) T is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator in the separable Hilbert space X , with compact resolvent and simple spectrum. Its eigenvalues are denoted by $\{\lambda_{\underline{n}} : \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s\}$, $s \geq 1$, and the corresponding (normalized) eigenvectors by $\{\psi_{\underline{n}} : \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s\}$.
- (A2) $d(\underline{m}, \underline{n}) := |\lambda_{\underline{m}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $|\underline{m} - \underline{n}| \rightarrow \infty$. Here $|n| := n_1 + \dots + n_s$, $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.
- (A3) There are $\Lambda > 0$ and $\gamma > s - 1$ such that

$$|\lambda_{\underline{m}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|^{-1} \leq \Lambda |\underline{m} - \underline{n}|^{\gamma}, \quad \underline{m} \neq \underline{n}. \tag{1-5}$$

Here $|\underline{x}|^{\gamma} := x_1^{\gamma} + \dots + x_s^{\gamma}$.

- (A4) $V : X \rightarrow X$ is symmetric and bounded (hence self-adjoint). Moreover, there exist $A > \alpha$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\langle \psi_{\underline{m}}, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle| \leq A e^{-\alpha |\underline{m} - \underline{n}|}, \quad |\underline{m} - \underline{n}| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1-6}$$

Since V is bounded, it is a fortiori T -bounded with T -bound 0. Thus, the operator family $g \mapsto T(g) = T + gV$ with $D(T(g)) = D(T)$ is type-A real-holomorphic in the sense of Kato [1976, §VII.2] for all $g \in \mathbb{C}$.

Consider now the Rayleigh–Schrödinger perturbation expansion near any eigenvalue $\lambda_{\underline{n}}(g)$ of $H(g)$. The initial point of $\lambda_{\underline{n}}(g)$ is the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\underline{n}}$ of T , $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Thus,

$$\lambda_{\underline{n}}(g) = \lambda_{\underline{n}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} B_{\ell}(\underline{n})g^{\ell}. \tag{1-7}$$

The expansion (1-7) has positive radius of convergence $r_{\underline{n}}$, $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, by the boundedness of V , which implies

$$r_{\underline{n}} \geq \frac{d(\lambda_{\underline{n}})\|V\|}{2}, \quad d(\lambda_{\underline{n}}) = \text{dist}(\text{Spec}[(T) \setminus \{\lambda_{\underline{n}}\}], \lambda_{\underline{n}}). \tag{1-8}$$

The vanishing of the convergence radius $r(\underline{n}) \downarrow 0$ as $d(\lambda_{\underline{n}}) \downarrow 0$ not only may cause the divergence of the perturbation expansion but may prevent its very existence also for a bounded perturbation V ; see Remarks 1.3 and 2.1. Then the purpose of this paper is to explicit determine, under the above assumptions, the dependence of the perturbation series on the vanishing rate of the isolation distance $d(\lambda_{\underline{n}})$ by proving the following quantitative estimate.

Theorem 1.1. *Let T and V fulfill assumptions (A1)–(A3). Set*

$$R(\Lambda, \alpha, \gamma) := \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha^{\gamma}}. \tag{1-9}$$

Then the following \underline{n} -independent estimate holds:

$$|B_{\ell}(\underline{n})| < R(\Lambda, \alpha, \gamma)^{\ell} (4\ell)^{\ell+1} [\gamma(\ell - 1)]! \quad \text{for all } \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s. \tag{1-10}$$

The uniform estimate (1-10) makes it possible to establish the uniform asymptotic nature to all orders of the perturbation expansion.

Corollary 1.2. *The perturbation expansion $\lambda_{\underline{n}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} B_{\ell}(\underline{n})g^{\ell}$ represents an asymptotic expansion to all orders of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\underline{n}}(g)$ uniformly with respect to $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$; i.e., for any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\lim_{|g| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\lambda_{\underline{n}}(g) - \sum_{\ell=1}^N B_{\ell}(\underline{n})g^{\ell}|}{|g|^N} = 0 \tag{1-11}$$

uniformly with respect to $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.

Remark 1.3. The very existence of perturbation theory at the vanishing of the isolation distance, i.e., at the limit $\underline{n} \rightarrow \infty$ in the present case, requires the validity of estimates independent of \underline{n} on the coefficients $B_{\ell, \underline{n}}$. The conditions (1-5) and (1-6) imply the existence of $\bar{g}(\underline{n}) > 0$ such that

$$|g| \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z}^s \\ m \neq \underline{n}}} \frac{|\langle \psi_m, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle|}{|\lambda_m - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|} < 1, \quad |g| < \bar{g}(\underline{n}). \tag{1-12}$$

Now

$$\sup_{\underline{m} \neq \underline{n}} \frac{1}{|\lambda_{\underline{m}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|} \leq \frac{2}{d_{\underline{n}}}.$$

Moreover, since V is bounded and symmetric,

$$\|V\| = \max\left(\sup_{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \sum_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} |\langle \psi_{\underline{m}}, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle|, \sup_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \sum_{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} |\langle \psi_{\underline{m}}, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle|\right),$$

whence

$$\sum_{\substack{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \\ \underline{m} \neq \underline{n}}} \frac{|\langle \psi_{\underline{m}}, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle|}{|\lambda_{\underline{m}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|} \leq \frac{2}{d_{\underline{n}}} \|V\|.$$

Thus, if \underline{n} is fixed, i.e., if $\lambda_{\underline{n}}$ is *isolated* with isolation distance $d_{\underline{n}} > 0$, the standard convergence criterion valid for the perturbation series of the isolated eigenvalue $\lambda_{\underline{n}}$ under the bounded perturbation V (see, e.g., [Kato 1976, §VII.2])

$$\frac{2|g|}{d_{\underline{n}}} \|V\| < 1 \tag{1-13}$$

implies a fortiori the inequality (1-12). On the other hand, as $\underline{n} \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $d_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow 0$, in general the inequality (1-13) has a meaning only for $g = 0$, while (1-12) can be rewritten (again by (1-5) and (1-6), which are an adaptation of the small-denominator conditions of classical perturbation theory) in the form of an inequality independent of \underline{n} :

$$|g|A\Lambda \left[\frac{d^\gamma}{d\alpha^\gamma} (2e^{\alpha\gamma}) \right]^s < 1. \tag{1-14}$$

This inequality is the starting point for the \underline{n} -independent estimates of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.4 (notation). The underlining operation always transforms into vector indices with s components the corresponding scalar ones. Namely,

$$\underline{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s, \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s. \tag{1-15}$$

The star operation transforms a positive integer index into a nonnegative one; i.e., $q \in \mathbb{N}_*$ means $q = 0, 1, \dots$. Furthermore, $|\underline{m}|$ denotes the length of the multi-index \underline{m} :

$$|\underline{m}| := |m_1| + \dots + |m_s|. \tag{1-16}$$

Products and powers of multi-indices abbreviate products and powers of the composing indices:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{m}! &:= m_1! \cdots m_s!, \\ \underline{z}^{\underline{m}} &:= z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_s^{m_s}, \\ \Gamma(\alpha \underline{z}) &:= \Gamma(\alpha z_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha z_s), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{1-17}$$

Example 1.5. Let T be the Schrödinger operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ with domain and action defined as

$$D(T) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^s) \cap L^2_2(\mathbb{R}^s),$$

$$Tu = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^s [\omega_k^2 x_k^2 - s/2]u, \quad u \in D(T). \tag{1-18}$$

T is the self-adjoint, compact-resolvent Schrödinger operator generated by the p -dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator, with frequencies $1 \geq \omega_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, s$. Thus, condition (A1) is fulfilled.

The rescaling map $(U_\omega f)(\underline{x}) = (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_s)^{1/2} f(\underline{\omega x}), \underline{\omega x} := (\omega_1 x_1, \dots, \omega_s x_s)$, is unitary in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, and by an abuse of notation, we still denote by T the unitary image $U_\omega T U_\omega^{-1}$. Hence, the action Tu becomes

$$Tu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^s \omega_k \left[-\frac{d^2 u}{dx_k^2} + x_k^2 u - Iu \right].$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{\underline{n}}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^s \omega_k n_k := \langle \underline{\omega}, \underline{n} \rangle, \quad \underline{n} \in (\mathbb{N}_*)^s. \tag{1-19}$$

Since $\omega_i > 0$ and $n_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, s$, the difference $|\lambda_{\underline{r}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}| = \langle \underline{\omega}, (\underline{r} - \underline{n}) \rangle$ can vanish only if $|\underline{r} - \underline{n}| \rightarrow \infty$, with at least two of the components $r_j - n_j, j = 1, \dots, s$, having different sign. Hence, condition (A2) is fulfilled. Assume now irrational independence of the frequencies, i.e.,

$$\omega_1 v_1 + \cdots + \omega_s v_s = 0, \quad v_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{if and only if } v_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, s. \tag{1-20}$$

Then all eigenvalues $\lambda_{\underline{n}}(\omega)$ are simple, and condition (A3) is equivalent to requiring the *diophantine condition*

$$|\langle \underline{\omega}, \underline{v} \rangle| > \Lambda^{-1} |\underline{v}|^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > s - 1, \quad \underline{m} - \underline{n} := \underline{v} \neq 0 \tag{1-21}$$

on the frequencies $\underline{\omega}$. The set of the diophantine values of $\underline{\omega}$ is dense in $[0, 1]^s$.

By condition (1-20), the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\underline{n}}(\omega)$ are simple. The corresponding normalized eigenvectors are

$$\psi_{\underline{n}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} e^{-\underline{x}^2/2} H_{\underline{n}}(\underline{x}) := |\underline{n}\rangle, \tag{1-22}$$

where $x \mapsto H_n(x), n = 0, 1, \dots$, is the n -th Hermite polynomial in \mathbb{R} and

$$\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s, \quad 2^{\underline{n}} = 2^{n_1 + \cdots + n_s},$$

$$e^{-\underline{x}^2} = e^{-x_1^2 - \cdots - x_s^2}, \quad H_{\underline{n}}(\underline{x}) = H_{n_1}(x_1) \cdots H_{n_s}(x_s).$$

Now let $2 < q < 6$. Consider the function $\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^s; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}) = e^{-|\underline{x}|^q} \Phi(\underline{x}), \quad \sup_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s} |\Phi(\underline{x})| \leq 1, \quad |\underline{x}|^q := |x_1|^q + \dots + |x_s|^q. \quad (1-23)$$

Denote by $V(\underline{x})$ the maximal multiplication operator by $\mathcal{V}(\underline{x})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then $\|V\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq 1$. Thus, the operator $H = T + V$ defined on $D(T)$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ with compact resolvent. In Proposition 2.6 below we will prove the estimate

$$|\langle \psi_m, V \psi_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)}| \leq e^{-\Sigma(|m|+|n|)},$$

with $\Sigma > 0$ independent of $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$. Hence, (A4) is fulfilled in this example.

2. Proof of the result

We recall the basic definitions of (Rayleigh–Schrödinger) eigenvalue perturbation theory [Kato 1976, §II.1.5 and §§VII.1–3] in this context. Consider an eigenvalue $\lambda_n, \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, of T corresponding to the (normalized) eigenvector ψ_n , henceforth abbreviated $|\underline{n}\rangle$.

- P_n denotes the (one-dimensional) orthogonal projection operator from X to the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $|\underline{n}\rangle$.
- S denotes the reduced resolvent of T , i.e., $S(\lambda) := \sum_{k \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{P_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda}$.
- S_n is the reduced resolvent evaluated at $\lambda = \lambda_n$, i.e., $S_n := \sum_{k \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{P_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n}$.
- $[S_n]^\ell := \sum_{k \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{P_k}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_n)^\ell}, \quad \ell \geq 1, \quad [S_n]^0 = P_n. \quad (2-1)$

In this situation we can use the explicit expressions (2.32)–(2.33) in [Kato 1976, Chapter II] for the coefficients $B_\ell(\underline{n})$ of the perturbation series (1-7):

$$B_\ell(\underline{n}) = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^p}{p} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = p-1 \\ k_i = 0, 1, \dots \\ i=1, \dots, p-1}} \text{Tr}[V[S_n]^{k_1} V \dots V[S_n]^{k_p}]. \quad (2-2)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [S_n]^{k_p} |\underline{n}\rangle &= 0, \quad k_p > 0, & [S_n]^0 |\underline{n}\rangle &= |\underline{n}\rangle, \\ \text{Tr}[V[S_n]^{k_1} V \dots V[S_n]^{k_p}] &= \langle n, V[S_n]^{k_1} V \dots V^{k_p} n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2-3)$$

(2-2) becomes

$$B_\ell(\underline{n}) = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^p}{p} B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n}), \tag{2-4}$$

where

$$B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n}) = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_{p-1}=p-1} \langle \underline{n}, V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_1} V \dots V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_{p-1}} V \underline{n} \rangle. \tag{2-5}$$

Remark 2.1. For $\ell = 2$, we have $p = 2$ and the above formulas yield the standard second-order term of the Rayleigh–Schrödinger expansion:

$$B_{2,2}(\underline{n}) = \sum_{\underline{k} \neq \underline{n}} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V \underline{k} \rangle|^2}{\lambda_{\underline{k}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}}.$$

In the absence of a condition controlling the vanishing of $|\lambda_{\underline{k}} - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|$ as $|\underline{k} - \underline{n}| \rightarrow \infty$, the above series can of course diverge even if

$$\sup_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{k} \rangle^2 < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, this last inequality is implied by the standard Schur condition ensuring the boundedness of V^2 and hence of V .

We can rewrite in more detail the factor $\langle \underline{n}, V S_{\underline{n}}^{k_1} \dots V S_{\underline{n}}^{k_{p-1}} V \underline{n} \rangle$ making explicit all factors with at least one $k_i = 0$. Namely, consider the q -partition

$$p - 1 = j_1 + \dots + j_q, \quad j_s \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p - 1. \tag{2-6}$$

The number of the q -partitions is (see, e.g., [Andrews 1976]) $N(p, q) = \binom{p-1}{q-1}$. Furthermore, let $m(p, q) = p - 1 - q$ be the total number of zeros in the q -partition of (k_1, \dots, k_{p-1}) . We can thus rearrange the sequence (k_1, \dots, k_{p-1}) as

$$k_1 + \dots + k_{p-1} = p - 1 = m_1 + j_1 + m_2 + j_2 + m_q + j_q, \quad m = m_1 + \dots + m_q. \tag{2-7}$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_1} \dots V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_{p-1}} V &= \sum_{q=1}^{(p-1)} N(p, q) \prod_{h=1}^{m_1} [V P_{\underline{n}}]^h V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{j_1} \\ &\times \prod_{h=1}^{m_2} [V P_{\underline{n}}]^h \cdot V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{j_2} \dots \prod_{h=1}^{m_q} [V P_{\underline{n}}]^h \cdot V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{j_q} V, \end{aligned} \tag{2-8}$$

whence:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_q, j_1, \dots, j_q$ be related through (2-7). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n}) &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_{p-1}=p-1} \langle \underline{n}, V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_1} \dots V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_{p-1}} V \underline{n} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p,q) \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{n} \rangle^{m_1+\dots+m_q} \sum_{\substack{r_1 \neq \underline{n}, \dots, r_q \neq \underline{n} \\ j_1+\dots+j_q=p-1}} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_1} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_1} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_1}} \cdot \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_2} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_2} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_2}} \dots \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_q} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_q} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_q}} \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p,q) \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{n} \rangle^{p-1-q} \sum_{\substack{r_1 \neq \underline{n}, \dots, r_q \neq \underline{n} \\ j_1+\dots+j_q=p-1}} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_1} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_1} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_1}} \cdot \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_2} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_2} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_2}} \dots \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_{r_q} \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_q} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The product (2-8) is unchanged if $P_{\underline{n}}$ is replaced by $P_{\underline{n}}^2$. Recalling that

$$S^j = \sum_{r \neq \underline{n}}^{\infty} \frac{P_r}{(\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^j}$$

and denoting

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_1(m, j; n) &:= \prod_{h=1}^m [V P_{\underline{n}}^2]^h V S^j, \\
 \Omega_2(m-1, j; n) &:= \prod_{h=1}^{m-1} [V P_{\underline{n}}^2]^h V P_{\underline{n}} P_{\underline{n}} V S^j, \\
 \Omega_3(m-1, j; n, r) &:= \prod_{h=1}^{m-1} [V P_{\underline{n}}^2]^h V P_{\underline{n}} P_{\underline{n}} V P_r P_r,
 \end{aligned}$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n}) &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p,q) \langle \underline{n}, \Omega_1(m_1, j_1; n) \dots \Omega_1(m_q, j_q; n) V \underline{n} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p,q) \left\langle \underline{n}, \prod_{h=1}^{m_1-1} \Omega_2(m_1-1, j_1; n) \dots \Omega_2(m_q-1, j_q; n) V \underline{n} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p,q) (\lambda_{r_1} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{-j_1} \cdot (\lambda_{r_2} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{-j_2} \dots (\lambda_{r_q} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{-j_q} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{r_1 \neq \underline{n}, \dots, r_q \neq \underline{n} \\ j_1+\dots+j_q=p-1}} \langle \underline{n}, \Omega_3(m_1-1, j_1; n, r_1) \dots \Omega_3(m_q-1, j_q; n, r_q) V \underline{n} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2-8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_{p-1}=p-1} \langle n, V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_1} \dots V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_{p-1}} Vn \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p, q) \left\langle \underline{n}, \prod_{h=1}^{m_1-1} [VP_{\underline{n}}^2]^h VP_{\underline{n}} P_{\underline{n}} VP_{r_1} P_{r_1} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{h=1}^{m_2-1} [VP_{\underline{n}}^2]^h VP_{\underline{n}} P_{\underline{n}} \cdot VP_{r_2} P_{r_2} \dots \prod_{h=1}^{m_q} VP_{r_q} P_{r_q} V \underline{n} \left. \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p, q) \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{n} \rangle^{m_1} \dots \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{n} \rangle^{m_q} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{r_1 \neq \underline{n}, \dots, r_q \neq \underline{n}} \frac{\langle \underline{n}, Vr_1 \rangle \langle r_1, V \underline{n} \rangle}{(\lambda_{r_1} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_1}} \cdot \frac{\langle \underline{n}, Vr_2 \rangle \langle r_2, V \underline{n} \rangle}{(\lambda_{r_2} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_2}} \dots \frac{\langle \underline{n}, Vr_q \rangle \langle r_q, V \underline{n} \rangle}{(\lambda_{r_q} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_q}} \\
 &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} N(p, q) \langle \underline{n}, V \underline{n} \rangle^{p-1-q} \sum_{\substack{r_1 \neq \underline{n}, \dots, r_q \neq \underline{n} \\ j_1 + \dots + j_q = p-1}} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, Vr_1 \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_1} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_1}} \cdot \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, Vr_2 \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_2} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_2}} \dots \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, Vr_q \rangle|^2}{(\lambda_{r_q} - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^{j_q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

The first step in estimating the coefficients $B_\ell(n)$ is therefore estimating the fractions $|\langle \underline{n}, Vr \rangle|^2 / (\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^j$. In turn, this requires an analysis of the vanishing mechanism of the denominators $(\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}})^j$. A preliminary remark is:

Lemma 2.3. *With the assumptions of Lemma 2.2,*

$$\sum_{r \neq \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, Vr \rangle|^2}{|\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|^j} \leq \frac{pA}{\alpha^p} \left(\frac{p\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma} \right)^j (\gamma j)!. \tag{2-9}$$

Proof. Equation (2-9) is a direct consequence of assumptions (A3) and (A4) because

$$\sum_{r \neq \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, Vr \rangle|^2}{|\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|^j} \leq A\Lambda^j \sum_{r \neq \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} |r - \underline{n}|^{\gamma j} e^{-\alpha|r-\underline{n}|} = A\Lambda^j \sum_{\underline{x} \neq 0} |\underline{x}|^{\gamma j} e^{-\alpha|\underline{x}|},$$

where

$$\sum_{\underline{x} \neq 0} |\underline{x}|^{\gamma j} e^{-\alpha|\underline{x}|} := \sum_{x \neq 0} [|x_1|^\gamma + \dots + |x_p|^\gamma]^j e^{-\alpha|x|} \leq p^j (|x_1|^\gamma + \dots + |x_p|^\gamma) e^{-\alpha|x|}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\underline{x} \neq 0} |\underline{x}|^{\gamma j} e^{-\alpha|\underline{x}|} \leq p^{j+1} \sum_{x_1 \neq 0} |x_1|^{\gamma j} e^{-\alpha|x|} \leq \frac{p^{j+1}(\gamma j)!}{\alpha^{\gamma j+p}}$$

and summing up we get

$$\sum_{r \neq \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \frac{|\langle \underline{n}, V_r \rangle|^2}{|\lambda_r - \lambda_{\underline{n}}|^j} \leq \frac{pA}{\alpha^p} \left(\frac{p\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma} \right)^j (\gamma j)!,$$

and this proves the lemma. □

Corollary 2.4. *Recalling that $k_1 + \dots + k_{p-1} = p - 1$, $p = 2, \dots$, in the assumptions of Lemma 2.3, the following bounds hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n})| &\leq \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{p-1} = p-1} |\langle \underline{n}, V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_1} \dots V[S_{\underline{n}}]^{k_{p-1}} Vn \rangle| \\ &\leq p \left(\frac{2A}{\alpha} \right)^p \left(\frac{p\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma} \right)^{p-1} \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} p^q \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_q = p-1} (\gamma j_1)! \dots (\gamma j_q)!. \end{aligned} \quad (2-10)$$

Proof. It is enough to insert (2-9) in the statement of Lemma 2.2 on account of the bounds $N(p, q) < 2^p$, $|\langle \underline{n}, Vn \rangle| \leq 1$ and the fact that $j_1 + \dots + j_q = p - 1$. □

We can now state and prove the main estimate.

Proposition 2.5. *Under assumptions (A1)–(A3),*

$$|B_\ell(\underline{n})| \leq (4\ell^2)^{\ell+1} R(\Lambda, \alpha, \gamma)^\ell [\gamma(\ell - 1)]!. \quad (2-11)$$

Proof. We have, by (2-4),

$$|B_\ell(\underline{n})| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n})}{p}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_q = p-1} (\gamma j_1)! \dots (\gamma j_q)! \leq (p - 1)[\gamma(p - 1)]!.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{p-1} p^q \leq p^p.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.4,

$$|B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n})| < (2p)^p (p - 1) p \left(\frac{2A}{\alpha} \right)^p \left(\frac{p\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma} \right)^{p-1} [\gamma(p - 1)]!,$$

whence since $A < \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\ell(\underline{n})| &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} B_{\ell,p}(\underline{n}) \leq (2\ell)^\ell \ell^3 2^\ell \ell^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma} \right)^{\ell-1} [\gamma(\ell - 1)]! \\ &\leq (4\ell^2)^{\ell+1} R(\Lambda, \alpha, \gamma)^\ell [\gamma(\ell - 1)]!, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(\Lambda, \alpha, \gamma) := \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha^\gamma}. \tag{2-12}$$

Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The assertion is just (2-11). □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. The validity of (1-11) is a direct consequence of the existence of the perturbation expansion for all $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^p$. The uniformity with respect to \underline{n} follows from the \underline{n} -independent bound (1-10). □

Example 1.5 (continued). Consider again the normalized eigenvectors of T :

$$\psi_{\underline{n}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^s \psi_{n_k}(x_k), \quad \psi_n(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} e^{-x^2/2} H_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2-13}$$

where $H_n(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is the n -th Hermite polynomial. The vectors $\{\psi_{\underline{n}}(\underline{x}) : \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s\}$ form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}) := e^{-|\underline{x}|^q} \Phi(\underline{x}).$$

Consequently, considering the potential $\mathcal{V}(\underline{x})$ and the corresponding maximal multiplication operator V in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, we have:

Proposition 2.6. *Condition (A4) is fulfilled in this example; i.e., there is $\Sigma(q) > 0$ such that*

$$\langle \psi_{\underline{m}}, V \psi_{\underline{n}} \rangle := \langle \underline{m}, \mathcal{V}(\underline{x}) \underline{n} \rangle \leq e^{-\Sigma[|\underline{m}|+|\underline{n}|]}. \tag{2-14}$$

Proof. Consider first the case $s = 1$. Recall the formula

$$H_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k (2x)^{n-2k}}{k! (n-2k)!},$$

where as usual $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ is the integer part of n . We can thus write

$$\psi_m(x) \psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2^{-(m+n)/2} m! n!} \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{h+k} (2x)^{m-2h} (2x)^{n-2k}}{h! (m-2h)! k! (n-2k)!},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle m, \mathcal{V} n \rangle| &\leq \sqrt{2^{(m+n)/2} m! n!} \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{m+n-2(h+k)} e^{-|x|^q} dx}{h! (m-2h)! k! (n-2k)!} \\ &< \sqrt{2^{(m+n)/2} m! n!} \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma([m+n-2(h+k)+1]/q)}{h! (m-2h)! k! (n-2k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{0 \leq h \leq [m/2]} [h!(m-2h)!] &= \Gamma(m/3 + 1)^2, \\ \min_{0 \leq k \leq [n/2]} [h!(n-2k)!] &= \Gamma(n/3 + 1)^2, \\ \max_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq [m/2] \\ 0 \leq k \leq [n/2]}} [\Gamma([m+n-2(h+k)+1]/q)] &= \Gamma([m+n+1]/q), \end{aligned}$$

and this implies

$$|\langle m, \mathcal{V}n \rangle| \leq \sqrt{2^{(m+n)/2} m! n!} \cdot \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \frac{\Gamma([m+n+1]/q)}{\Gamma(m/3+1)^2 \cdot \Gamma(n/3+1)^2}. \quad (2-15)$$

Now apply the Stirling formula. Since

$$6\pi 2^{(m+n)/4} e^{-(m+n)/2} e^{-[m+n+1]/q} e^{(m+n)/3} \cdot \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \leq 1$$

for $m+n$ large enough,

$$|\langle m, \mathcal{V}n \rangle| \leq \frac{((m+q)/q)^{(m+q)/q}}{m^{m/6} n^{n/6}}.$$

Without loss, we can take $m = n+k$, $k \geq 0$. Then

$$|\langle m, \mathcal{V}n \rangle| = |\langle n, \mathcal{V}(n+k) \rangle| \leq \frac{[(2n+k)/q]^{(2n+k)/q}}{(n+k)^{(n+k)/6} n^{n/6}}.$$

Now $(n+k) > (2n+k)/q > (n+k)/6$ if $2 < q < 6$ and hence there is $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$|\langle n, \mathcal{V}(n+k) \rangle| \leq \frac{[(2n+k)/q]^{(n+k)/6}}{(n+k)^{(n+k)/6} n^{n/6}} < \frac{L^{n+k}}{n^{n/6}} = \frac{L^m}{n^{n/6}},$$

whence, a fortiori, with $L = e^{-\Sigma}$

$$|\langle m, \mathcal{V}n \rangle| \leq e^{-\Sigma(m+n)}.$$

This concludes the proof for $s = 1$. The general case follows through an immediate product argument. \square

References

- [Andrews 1976] G. E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge University, 1976.
- [Brownell and Clark 1961] F. H. Brownell and C. W. Clark, "Asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of the lower part of the Schrödinger operator spectrum", *J. Math. Mech.* **10**:1 (1961), 31–70.
- [Kato 1976] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **132**, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [McLeod 1961] J. B. McLeod, "The distribution of the eigenvalues for the hydrogen atom and similar cases", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **11** (1961), 139–158.

[Reed and Simon 1978] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, IV: Analysis of operators*, Academic, San Diego, 1978.

[Tamura 1974] H. Tamura, “The asymptotic distribution of the lower part eigenvalues for elliptic operators”, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), 185–187.

Received 26 Oct 2015. Revised 28 Oct 2015. Accepted 9 May 2016.

FIORELLA BARONE: fiorella.barone@uniba.it

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bari, 70122 Bari, Italy

SANDRO GRAFFI: sandro.graffi@unibo.it

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy



MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS

msp.org/memocs

EDITORIAL BOARD

ANTONIO CARCATERRA	Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
ERIC A. CARLEN	Rutgers University, USA
FRANCESCO DELL'ISOLA	(CO-CHAIR) Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
RAFFAELE ESPOSITO	(TREASURER) Università dell'Aquila, Italia
ALBERT FANNJIANG	University of California at Davis, USA
GILLES A. FRANCFORT	(CO-CHAIR) Université Paris-Nord, France
PIERANGELO MARCATI	Università dell'Aquila, Italy
JEAN-JACQUES MARIGO	École Polytechnique, France
PETER A. MARKOWICH	DAMTP Cambridge, UK, and University of Vienna, Austria
MARTIN OSTOJA-STARZEWSKI	(CHAIR MANAGING EDITOR) Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
PIERRE SEPPECHER	Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France
DAVID J. STEIGMANN	University of California at Berkeley, USA
PAUL STEINMANN	Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany
PIERRE M. SUQUET	LMA CNRS Marseille, France

MANAGING EDITORS

MICOL AMAR	Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
CORRADO LATTANZIO	Università dell'Aquila, Italy
ANGELA MADEO	Université de Lyon-INSA (Institut National des Sciences Appliquées), France
MARTIN OSTOJA-STARZEWSKI	(CHAIR MANAGING EDITOR) Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

ADVISORY BOARD

ADNAN AKAY	Carnegie Mellon University, USA, and Bilkent University, Turkey
HOLM ALTENBACH	Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, Germany
MICOL AMAR	Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
HARM ASKES	University of Sheffield, UK
TEODOR ATANACKOVIĆ	University of Novi Sad, Serbia
VICTOR BERDICHEVSKY	Wayne State University, USA
GUY BOUCHITTÉ	Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France
ANDREA BRAIDES	Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Italia
ROBERTO CAMASSA	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA
MAURO CARFORE	Università di Pavia, Italia
ERIC DARVE	Stanford University, USA
FELIX DARVE	Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, France
ANNA DE MASI	Università dell'Aquila, Italia
GIANPIETRO DEL PIERO	Università di Ferrara and International Research Center MEMOCS, Italia
EMMANUELE DI BENEDETTO	Vanderbilt University, USA
BERNOLD FIEDLER	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
IRENE M. GAMBA	University of Texas at Austin, USA
DAVID Y. GAO	Federation University and Australian National University, Australia
SERGEY GAVRILYUK	Université Aix-Marseille, France
TIMOTHY J. HEALEY	Cornell University, USA
DOMINIQUE JEULIN	École des Mines, France
ROGER E. KHAYAT	University of Western Ontario, Canada
CORRADO LATTANZIO	Università dell'Aquila, Italy
ROBERT P. LIPTON	Louisiana State University, USA
ANGELO LUONGO	Università dell'Aquila, Italia
ANGELA MADEO	Université de Lyon-INSA (Institut National des Sciences Appliquées), France
JUAN J. MANFREDI	University of Pittsburgh, USA
CARLO MARCHIORO	Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
GÉRARD A. MAUGIN	Université Paris VI, France
ROBERTO NATALINI	Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "M. Picone", Italy
PATRIZIO NEFF	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany
ANDREY PIATNITSKI	Narvik University College, Norway, Russia
ERRICO PRESUTTI	Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy
MARIO PULVIRENTI	Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
LUCIO RUSSO	Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Italia
MIGUEL A. F. SANJUAN	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain
PATRICK SELVADURAI	McGill University, Canada
ALEXANDER P. SEYRANIAN	Moscow State Lomonosov University, Russia
MIROSLAV ŠILHAVÝ	Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
GUIDO SWEERS	Universität zu Köln, Germany
ANTOINETTE TORDSILLAS	University of Melbourne, Australia
LEV TRUSKINOVSKY	École Polytechnique, France
JUAN J. L. VELÁZQUEZ	Bonn University, Germany
VINCENZO VESPRI	Università di Firenze, Italia
ANGELO VULPIANI	Università di Roma La Sapienza, Italia

MEMOCS (ISSN 2325-3444 electronic, 2326-7186 printed) is a journal of the International Research Center for the Mathematics and Mechanics of Complex Systems at the Università dell'Aquila, Italy.

Cover image: "Tangle" by © John Horigan; produced using the *Context Free* program (contextfreeart.org).

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Special issue in honor of
Lucio Russo

Lucio Russo: A multifaceted life Raffaele Esposito and Francesco dell'Isola	197
The work of Lucio Russo on percolation Geoffrey R. Grimmett	199
"Mathematics" and "physics" in the science of harmonics Stefano Isola	213
From quantum to classical world: emergence of trajectories in a quantum system Rodolfo Figari and Alessandro Teta	235
Propagation of chaos and effective equations in kinetic theory: a brief survey Mario Pulvirenti and Sergio Simonella	255
What decides the direction of a current? Christian Maes	275
A remark on eigenvalue perturbation theory at vanishing isolation distance Fiorella Barone and Sandro Graffi	297
Some results on the asymptotic behavior of finite connection probabilities in percolation Massimo Campanino and Michele Gianfelice	311
Correlation inequalities for the Potts model Geoffrey R. Grimmett	327
Quantum mechanics: some basic techniques for some basic models, I: The models Vincenzo Grecchi	335
Quantum mechanics: some basic techniques for some basic models, II: The techniques Vincenzo Grecchi	353
On stochastic distributions and currents Vincenzo Capasso and Franco Flandoli	373
A note on Gibbs and Markov random fields with constraints and their moments Alberto Gandolfi and Pietro Lenarda	407
Quantum mechanics: light and shadows (ontological problems and epistemic solutions) Gianfausto Dell'Antonio	423
Lucio Russo: probability theory and current interests Giovanni Gallavotti	461
An attempt to let the "two cultures" meet: relationship between science and architecture in the design of Greek temples. Claudio D'Amato	471

MEMOCS is a journal of the International Research Center for
the Mathematics and Mechanics of Complex Systems
at the Università dell'Aquila, Italy.

