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We present a Boltzmann equation for mixtures of three species of particles reducing to the Kermack–McKendrick (SIR) equations for the time evolution of the density of infected agents in an isolated population. The kinetic model is potentially more detailed and might provide information on space mixing of the agents.

1. Boltzmann–SIR equations

Consider a population of identical individuals (particles) moving in physical space and interacting upon contact. One (or several) of the individuals, say particle 1, has an infected status at time zero. As the dynamics runs, the infection can be transmitted, at the interaction times, to the individuals entering in contact with 1 or with the newly infected individuals. A cluster \( \{i_1, i_2, \ldots \} \) of infection grows in time, determined by the particle evolution: an individual is potentially infected at time \( t > 0 \) if it is involved, directly or indirectly, in the forward-in-time dynamics of 1. The “forward cluster of particle 1” (in the terminology of [Aoki et al. 2015; Pulvirenti and Simonella 2020b]) is represented symbolically in the picture below:

For concreteness, we may want to fix an idealized mechanical setting. Let us then proceed, as is customary in kinetic theory, by looking at \( N \) hard spheres of unit mass and diameter \( \varepsilon > 0 \). The balls move in \( \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \), \( d = 2, 3 \), and interact
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through elastic collisions. Each particle flies freely with constant velocity, and when two hard spheres collide with positions $x, x_*$ at distance $\varepsilon$ and incoming velocities $v, v_*$, the latter are instantaneously transformed to outgoing velocities $v', v_*'$ by the relations

$$\begin{align*}
v' &= v - \omega[\omega \cdot (v - v*)], \\
v_*' &= v_* + \omega[\omega \cdot (v - v*)],
\end{align*}$$

where $\omega$ is the normalized relative distance $\omega = (x - x_*)/|x - x_*| = (x - x_*)/\varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

We shall mimic the basic model in the mathematical theory of epidemics [Kermack and McKendrick 1927], by means of several assumptions. There are three different species of particles, $S, I,$ and $R$, which stand for susceptible, infected, and recovered, respectively. Upon collision between a particle of type $S$ and a particle of type $I$, the reaction

$$S + I \rightarrow I + I$$

occurs instantaneously with rate $\beta \in [0, 1]$. All the other collisions do not change the particle type, but in addition, a decay

$$I \rightarrow R$$

occurs with rate $\gamma \in [0, 1]$. Note that the population size is fixed (no deaths) and that the infection implies complete immunity. Finally for simplicity, we shall assume that $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are constants (they do not depend on time).

We are relying on the idea that the details of the interactions should not be of crucial importance (see [Stevens 2020] for a recent popular article simulating a similar system of particles). The main features are instead the following:

- The interactions are binary and localized.
- The number of interactions per unit time is expected to be finite.
- The qualitative behavior is independent of the number of particles $N$, provided that this is large in a suitable scaling limit.
- A statistical description is appropriate.

Under these assumptions, the Boltzmann equation for rarefied gases provides a tool of investigation.

Let us perform the so-called Boltzmann–Grad limit [Grad 1949] on the hard-sphere system under consideration. Denoting the one-particle distribution functions by

$$f_S = f_S(t, x, v),$$
$$f_I = f_I(t, x, v),$$
$$f_R = f_R(t, x, v)$$
for the three species of particles, we obtain the set of equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_S &= Q(f_S, f_S) + Q(f_S, f_R) + (1 - \beta) Q(f_S, f_I) - \beta Q(f_R, f_I), \\
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_I &= Q(f_I, f) + \beta Q(f_S, f_I) - \gamma f_I, \\
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_R &= Q(f_R, f) + \gamma f_I,
\end{aligned}
\]

(1-2)

where

\[
f = f_S + f_I + f_R
\]

and \(Q\) is Boltzmann’s operator (expressed in asymmetric form)

\[
Q = Q_+ - Q_-, \quad Q_+(f, g)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} B(\omega; v - v_*) f(v') g(v_*') d\omega dv_*,
\]

\[
Q_-(f, g)(v) := f(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} B(\omega; v - v_*) g(v_*) d\omega dv_*.
\]

Note that the sum \(f = f_S + f_I + f_R\) satisfies the classical Boltzmann equation

\[
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f = Q(f, f).
\]

Here we chose \(B(\omega; v - v_*) = (\omega \cdot (v - v_*)) 1(\omega \cdot (v - v_*) \geq 0)\), corresponding to the hard-sphere cross section. However, as said above, conclusions drawn from the kinetic model should not be very sensitive to the interaction rule; e.g., we shall consider as well different kernels \(B(\omega; v - v_*) \geq 0\) such that

\[
\int_{S^{d-1}} B(\omega; v - v_*) d\omega = |v - v_*|^b,
\]

for some \(b \geq 0\).

In the second part of this exposition we will give more details on the passage from the particle dynamics to (1-2). Before that, we make a few elementary remarks on the equations themselves.

1.1. Maxwell collisions: Kermack–McKendrick equations. Averaging (1-2) over velocities, the \(Q\) operators vanish (because \(\int Q_+ = \int Q_-\)), and in the spatially homogeneous case (no dependence on \(x\)), the expected fractions of individuals of the species \(A \in \{S, I, R\}\), \(A(t) = \int f_A(t, v) dv\), satisfy the equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} &= -\beta \int |v - v_*|^b f_S(v) f_I(v_*) dv dv_*, \\
\dot{I} &= \beta \int |v - v_*|^b f_S(v) f_I(v_*) dv dv_* - \gamma I, \\
\dot{R} &= \gamma I.
\end{aligned}
\]
These equations are not closed, except when dealing with “Maxwellian molecules” (case $b = 0$ [Bobylev 1988]), for which we get

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{S} &= -\beta IS, \\
\dot{I} &= \beta SI - \gamma I, \\
\dot{R} &= \gamma I,
\end{align*}$$

namely the epidemiology model of [Kermack and McKendrick 1927] in the case of time-independent rates. This model has been analyzed and used extensively, and several generalizations have been conceived; see, e.g., [Anderson and May 1979; Brauer and Castillo-Chávez 2001; Murray 2002; Harko et al. 2014]. The kinetic equation (1-2) stands as an extension accounting for dependence on space and velocity of the individuals.

To remind the reader of the original motivations for such SIR models [Ross 1916; Kermack and McKendrick 1927], we recall that an epidemic is not necessarily terminated by the exhaustion of the susceptible individuals, nor by the extinction of the virulence. This is apparent from (1 -3), over a threshold value of the density. Setting indeed $A_\infty := \lim_{t \to \infty} A(t), A_0 = A(0),$ and $R(t) = R_0 + \gamma \int_0^t I(\tau) d\tau$ (showing that $I(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$), one has that $\frac{dS}{dR} = -\left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)S$ and hence (by $R_\infty + S_\infty = 1$ and the assumption $R_0 = 0$) $S_\infty = S_0 e^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)(1-S_\infty)}$, or

$$e^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)S_\infty} \frac{\beta}{\gamma} S_\infty = S_0 \frac{\beta}{\gamma} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}. \quad (1-4)$$

Since $\max ye^{-y} = 1/e$, given a value of $\beta/\gamma$ one can find nonvanishing solutions for $S_\infty$.

1.2. Confinement. The model can be easily adapted to investigate several different situations. Examples might be boundary conditions or external potentials, imposing internal spatial constraints or local enhancing of density. There has been recent intense interest in the effects of isolation of individuals, and of the reduction of social mixing, by means of physical distancing measures [Li et al. 2020; Prem et al. 2020]. At the level of (1-2), the energy can be used as a simple parameter regulating the interaction rate.

Here we give an example of one adaptation of (1-2), intended to model a confinement effect. Following [Stevens 2020] we assume that, for each species, there are two types of particles: wandering and confined. We denote by $g_A, A \in \{S, I, R\}$, the distribution of confined particles, while we maintain the notation $f_A$ for the wandering particles. The distribution of the species $A$ is $h_A := f_A + g_A$ and $f = \sum_A h_A$. Wandering particles have mass $m_w = 1$, while confined particles have mass $m_c = +\infty$ and zero velocity. The distribution $g_A$ is proportional to a Dirac delta in velocity. Confined particles are frozen, and their total distribution is
We comment next on a few other problems arising naturally.

Again, the above equations reduce to a standard SIR model in the case of Maxwellian collisions:

\[ g_S(t, x) + g_I(t, x) + g_R(t, x) = \text{const.} \quad \text{for all } t. \]

The collision law becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
  v' = v - (2m_*/(m + m_*))\omega \cdot (v - v_*), \\
  v'_* = v_* + (2m/(m + m_*))\omega \cdot (v - v_*),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( m, m_* \) are the masses of the incoming particles, and (1-2) is replaced by

\[
\begin{align*}
  (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)f_S &= Q(f_S, h_S) + Q(f_S, h_R) + (1 - \beta)Q(f_S, h_I) - \beta Q_-(f_S, h_I), \\
  (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)f_I &= Q(f_I, f) + \beta Q_+(f_S, h_I) - \gamma f_I, \\
  (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)f_R &= Q(f_R, f) + \gamma f_I, \\
  \dot{g}_S &= -\beta Q_-(g_S, f_I), \\
  \dot{g}_I &= \beta Q_+(g_S, f_I) - \gamma g_I, \\
  \dot{g}_R &= \gamma g_I.
\end{align*}
\]

In the spatially homogeneous case, integrating (1-5) in \( v \), calling \( A_w = \int f_A d v \) and \( A_c = \int g_A d v \), \( A = S, I, R \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{S}_w &= -\beta \int |v - v_*|^b f_S(v)h_I(v_*) d v d v_*, \\
  \dot{I}_w &= \beta \int |v - v_*|^b f_S(v)h_I(v_*) d v d v_* - \gamma I_w, \\
  \dot{R}_w &= \gamma I_w, \\
  \dot{S}_c &= -S_c \int |v_*|^b f_I(v_*) d v_*, \\
  \dot{I}_c &= S_c \int |v_*|^b f_I(v_*) d v_* - \gamma I_c, \\
  \dot{R}_c &= \gamma I_c.
\end{align*}
\]

Again, the above equations reduce to a standard SIR model in the case of Maxwellian molecules:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{S}_w &= -\beta S_w(I_w + I_c), \\
  \dot{I}_w &= \beta S_w(I_w + I_c) - \gamma I_w, \\
  \dot{R}_w &= \gamma I_w, \\
  \dot{S}_c &= -S_c I_w, \\
  \dot{I}_c &= S_c I_w - \gamma I_c, \\
  \dot{R}_c &= \gamma I_c.
\end{align*}
\]

1.3. Related problems. The kinetic model presented above should be interpreted as a remark in the vein of mathematical physics: we do not pretend that it can be of use in epidemiology. It is more detailed than the classical SIR, insofar as it includes space and velocities of the agents. Presumably, its main potential interest in applications is the identification of spatial patterns having an impact on the history of epidemics. Moreover, a dynamical representation in terms of forward (or backward) clusters would provide information on the tracing of the infection. We comment next on a few other problems arising naturally.
The typical question concerning SIR equations is determining the long-time behavior in relation with the parameters $\beta$, $\gamma$ and its dependence on local characteristics of the initial data. We are interested in masses but also in local densities in the presence of spatial inhomogeneities. From the mathematical side, little can be done, but the problem is suited to numerical investigation. In analogy to gas dynamics, it is natural to use stochastic methods, as we will discuss in the next section.

At the theoretical level, it would be interesting to detect large-scale limits and derive, starting from (1-2), equations for locally conserved quantities. Equation (1-2) can be useful in fact for limited amounts of time. Preliminarily, one should characterize the equilibria. Let $F_A = \lim_{t \to 0} f_A$ be the asymptotic distributions. Then we expect $F_I = 0$, and the other two distributions should satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
Q(F_S, F_S) + Q(F_S, F_R) &= 0, \\
Q(F_R, F_R) + Q(F_R, F_S) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The latter equation is satisfied if both $F_S$ and $F_R$ are Maxwellians

\[
F_A = A_\infty \frac{e^{-(v-u)^2/(2\sigma^2)}}{(2\pi \sigma^2)^{d/2}}
\]

for some constants $S_\infty$ and $R_\infty$, with $\sigma$ and $u$ determined by the initial conditions. $A_\infty$ would be obtained as in (1-4). Notice that, when $f = f_S + f_I + f_R$ is a global equilibrium, a solution $(f_S, f_I, f_R)$ of (1-2) for $b = 0$ is given by the same global equilibrium with densities $S(t)$, $I(t)$, $R(t)$ driven by (1-3).

2. Particle systems

2.1. Stochastic particle system. In this section we introduce a particle system yielding, in a suitable scaling limit, kinetic equations of type (1-2). The interest of this dynamics is twofold. First, it can be considered a microscopic model to be accepted through phenomenology, covering a large variety of kernels $B$. It would be somewhat funny to believe that the laws of Newton can be used to efficiently describe the interaction among individuals. On the other hand, we do not know so much concerning the details of such interactions; thus, a stochastic collision appears to be more robust than a deterministic one. Secondly, the particle scheme corresponds numerically to the direct simulation Monte Carlo method, widely used to approximate rarefied gas dynamics. There are several variants of such methods [Bird 1994; Rjasanow and Wagner 2005]. Below, we will deal with an inhomogeneous Kac model [1956] for three species with reactions.
We start by regularizing the collision operator (1-2). The strictly local interaction is smeared as

\[ Q^h = Q^h_+ - Q^h_-, \]

\[ Q^h_+(f, g)(x, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} B(\omega; v - v_*) h(|x - y|) f(x, v') g(y, v_*') d\omega dv_* dy, \]

\[ Q^h_-(f, g)(x, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} B(\omega; v - v_*) h(|x - y|) f(x, v) g(y, v) d\omega dv_* dy, \]

where \( h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a smooth approximation of the delta function.

To simplify the notation, we limit ourselves to the case of (1-2) with \( \beta = 1 \), with the more general cases being a trivial extension. We therefore consider

\[
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_S &= Q^h(f_S, f_S) + Q^h(f_S, f_R) - Q^h_-(f_S, f_I), \\
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_I &= Q^h(f_I, f) + Q^h_+(f_S, f_I) - \gamma f_I, \\
(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f_R &= Q^h(f_R, f) + \gamma f_I.
\end{aligned}
\]

(2-1)

We can pass to the limit \( Q^h \to Q \) inside (2-1), whenever we have a smooth solution of the initial value problem.

We shall indicate by \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) the (random) disjoint sets of particles of types \( A = S, I, R \), respectively. They form a partition of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \), so that the process \( Z_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathcal{X}, Z_N = Z_N(t) = (z_1(t), \ldots, z_N(t)), z_i = (x_i, v_i), \) takes values in

\[ \mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}), \quad \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}) = \{(Z_\mathcal{S}, Z_\mathcal{I}, Z_\mathcal{R})\}, \]

with

\[ |\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{I}| + |\mathcal{R}| = N \]

and \( z_i \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}^d \). Here \( |\mathcal{A}| \) denotes the cardinality of the set \( \mathcal{A} \). The configurations of particles in the three species are \( Z_\mathcal{S} = (z_{s1}, z_{s2}, \ldots), Z_\mathcal{I} = (z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots), \) and \( Z_\mathcal{R} = (z_{r1}, z_{r2}, \ldots), \) respectively.

Let us define the time evolution. Particles move freely for a random time, exponentially distributed with intensity scaling like \( N \). Then two particles are randomly chosen, say particles \( j \) and \( k \), according to \( \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h(|x_j - x_k|) d\omega, \) and their velocities are updated as in (1-1) with \( \omega \sim B(\cdot; v_j - v_k) \). If the pair of colliding particles is of type \((A, A)\) or \((S, R)\) or \((I, R)\), the particles do not change their species. If the pair is of type \((S, I)\), then the outgoing pair is of type \((I, I)\). We abbreviate from now on \( h_{j,k} = h(|x_j - x_k|) \), and we denote by \( J_{j,k} \) the linear operator transforming the velocities \( j \) and \( k \) to a postcollisional pair with scattering vector \( \omega \). The generator of the process reads

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_i + \mathcal{L}_d \]
where $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum v_i \cdot \nabla x_i$ is the generator of the free motion,

$$
\mathcal{L}_i \phi(Z_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} 
\times (J_{jk} \phi(Z_{\mathcal{I} \setminus \{j\}}, Z_{\mathcal{I} \cup \{j\}}, Z_{\mathcal{R}}) - \phi(Z_N)) d\omega
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}} \right) \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} (J_{jk} \phi(Z_N) - \phi(Z_N)) d\omega
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}, j,k \in \mathcal{A} \setminus i} \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} (J_{jk} \phi(Z_N) - \phi(Z_N)) d\omega, \quad (2-2)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{L}_d \phi(Z_N) = \gamma \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \phi(Z_{\mathcal{I} \setminus \{i\}}, Z_{\mathcal{I} \cup \{i\}}) - \phi(Z_N) \right). \quad (2-3)
$$

We choose now test functions of the form

$$
\phi_A(Z_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{A}} \varphi(z_\ell)
$$

and focus, for instance, on the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}$. We have that $\mathcal{L}_d \phi_S = 0$. Evaluating (2-2) in $\phi_S$ we notice that, given $j$ and $k$, all the terms with $\ell \neq j, k$ cancel out. In the second line of (2-2) we find

$$
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} J_{jk} \varphi(z_\ell) - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi(z_\ell) = -\varphi(z_j).
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{L}_i \phi_S(Z_N) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} \varphi(z_j) d\omega
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}} \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} (\varphi(x_j, v'_j) - \varphi(z_j)) d\omega
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{j,k \in \mathcal{I}, j \neq k} \int B(\omega; v_j - v_k) h_{j,k} (\varphi(x_j, v'_j) + \varphi(x_k, v'_k) - \varphi(z_j) - \varphi(z_k)) d\omega. \quad (2-4)
$$

Next, we introduce a probability measure with density $W^N : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, assumed to be symmetric in the exchange of the particle labels within each one of the species. An example is provided by the fully factorized (chaotic) state, which we shall assume, to fix ideas, as the initial distribution of the particle process: $W^N(0) = f_0^N$ with $f^0 = \sum_A f^0_A$, $A = (S, I, R)$, where $f^0_A$ are the initial data for (2-1). We further
denote by \( f_A^N = f_A^N(z) \) the one-particle marginals of \( W^N \), defined as
\[
\int f_A^N(z) \varphi(z) \, dz = \int W^N(Z_N) \phi_A(Z_N) \, dZ_N.
\]
It is the probability density of finding a particle of type \( A \) in \( z \). Similarly, \( f_{A_1,A_2}^N(z_1, z_2) \) denotes the two-particle marginal, namely the probability density of finding two particles of type \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) in \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \):
\[
\int f_{A_1,A_2}^N(z_1, z_2) \varphi(z_1, z_2) \, dz_1 \, dz_2 = \int W^N(Z_N) \phi_{A_1,A_2}(Z_N) \, dZ_N
\]
for
\[
\phi_{A_1,A_2}(Z_N) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}_1} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{j\}} \varphi(z_j, z_k).
\]
Even though the initial measure is factorized, the time-evolved density \( W^N(t) \) is not, due to correlations generated by the dynamics. The factorization is however recovered in the limit \( N \to \infty \) and
\[
f_{A_1,A_2}^N(z_1, z_2) \approx f_{A_1}^N(z_1) f_{A_2}^N(z_2). \tag{2-5}
\]
We are ready to compute
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int W^N(t) \phi_S = \int W^N(t) \mathcal{L} \phi_S.
\]
Using (2-4), the definition of marginal, and (2-5), we deduce that, as \( N \to \infty \),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int f_S^N(t) \varphi \approx \int f_S^N(v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) + \int Q^h(f_S^N, f_S^N) \varphi + \int Q^h(f_S^N, f_R^N) \varphi - \int Q^h(f_S^N, f_I^N) \varphi,
\]
that is, the first equation of (2-1) in weak formulation.

The other two equations can be recovered similarly. For \( A = I \), (2-3) yields
\[
\mathcal{L}_d \phi_I(Z_N) = \frac{\gamma}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{i\}} \varphi(z_\ell) - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \varphi(z_\ell) \right) = -\frac{\gamma}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \varphi(z_i),
\]
while in the second line of (2-2) we find
\[
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{j\}} J_{jk} \varphi(z_\ell) - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \varphi(z_\ell) = J_{j,k} \varphi(z_j) + (J_{j,k} \varphi(z_k) - \varphi(z_k))
\]
so that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int f_i^N(t) \approx \int f_i^N(v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) + \int Q^h(f_i^N, \sum_A f_A^N) \varphi \\
+ \int Q^h(f_S^N, f_i^N) \varphi - \gamma \int f_i^N \varphi,
\]
which is the second equation of (2-1).

2.2. Mechanical system. We briefly come back to the deterministic particle model, which was our starting point, that is, \(N\) hard spheres of diameter \(\varepsilon\) moving in physical space and colliding elastically, with reactions simulating infection and recovery. We call this system “mechanical” as the interaction is deterministic. Clearly there is still stochasticity in the reactions and, strictly speaking, we are dealing again with a stochastic process.

We can easily adapt to this case the formal arguments of the previous section. The process \(Z_N\) still takes values in \(\mathcal{H}\), but in addition the strict exclusion \(\min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j| > \varepsilon\) is imposed. In the generator (2-2), \(1/N\) is replaced by \(\varepsilon^{d-1}\), \(B\) is the hard-sphere kernel \((\omega \cdot (v_j - v_k)) \mathbb{1}(\omega \cdot (v_j - v_k) \geq 0)\), \(h_{j,k}\) is absent, and the operator \((J_{j,k} - 1)\) is replaced by \((\delta(x_k - x_j - \omega \varepsilon)J_{j,k} - \delta(x_k - x_j + \omega \varepsilon))\). Following [Pulvirenti and Simonella 2020a, §2.1] and assuming the chaos property (2-5), (1-2) is obtained in the limit \(N \to \infty, \varepsilon \to 0\) with \(\varepsilon^{d-1} N = 1\).

2.3. Rigorous results. We have formally derived the kinetic equations under proper scaling limits, presenting only the basic ideas. A rigorous approach is possible, based on existing literature. In the case of the stochastic system, one can apply martingale techniques as in [Wagner 1992], or the hierarchy of equations for the family of the marginals [Pulvirenti et al. 1994], or coupling techniques [Graham and Méléard 1997]. In the case of the mechanical model, one can resort to the validity techniques for the Boltzmann equation, leading to a short-time result; see [Lanford 1975] and subsequent works [Illner and Pulvirenti 1989; Spohn 1991; Cercignani et al. 1994; Gallagher et al. 2013; Pulvirenti et al. 2014; Pulvirenti and Simonella 2017; Denlinger 2018].
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