Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory Vol. 8 no. 2

Embeddings of weighted graphs in Erdős-type settings

David M. Soukup





Embeddings of weighted graphs in Erdős-type settings

David M. Soukup

Many recent results in combinatorics concern the relationship between the size of a set and the number of distances determined by pairs of points in the set. One extension of this question considers configurations within the set with a specified pattern of distances. In this paper, we use graph-theoretic methods to prove that a sufficiently large set *E* must contain at least $C_G |E|$ distinct copies of any given weighted tree *G*, where C_G is a constant depending only on the graph *G*.

1. Introduction

Many questions in combinatorics involve the behavior of the distance set $\Delta(E)$ of a set E, defined as $\Delta(E) = \{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in E\}$ for some distance function d. For instance, Erdős' celebrated distinct distances problem conjectured that for finite sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $|\Delta(E)| \gtrsim |E|^{1-\epsilon}$ for any positive ϵ . This conjecture was proven in this form by Guth and Katz [2015]. Distance problems where the ambient space is a finite vector space have also been a subject of much research [Bourgain et al. 2004; Iosevich and Rudnev 2007; Koh and Shen 2012; Vu 2008].

A natural question follows: under what conditions on E can we find not just pairs of points a specified distance apart, but groups of points with some specified pattern of distances? In other words, given some weighted graph G where the edge weights correspond to distances between points, when can we find a "copy" of G inside E? Bennett, Chapman, Covert, Hart, Iosevich, and Pakianathan proved a result in this direction for the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{Z}_p^d and path graphs in [Bennett et al. 2016], and McDonald [2016] gave a similar result for dot products in \mathbb{Z}_p^d . In this paper, we give an answer to this question for wide classes of graphs, distances, and ambient sets. Moreover, we show that these questions, and other similar ones, are part of a much more general framework.

These previous results depended on ad hoc methods which made use of Fourier analytic techniques which do not generalize easily. Here, we show that elementary combinatorial arguments can be used to expand results which are only about distances into results which describe larger patterns.

Definition 1.1. Given an ambient set *X* and some set *D* of possible distances, a *symmetric distance function* is a function $d : X \times X \to D$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_2, x_1)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Such a function is *K*-surjective if, for every $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| \ge K$, the restriction of *d* to $Y \times Y$ is surjective. In other words, every set of size at least *K* determines every distance.

In other words, a K-surjective distance function has its distances well-mixed enough that one cannot construct large sets missing a particular distance. This means that we cannot avoid patterns of distances simply by constructing a set which does not exhibit some of the specified distances.

MSC2010: 52C10.

Keywords: finite point configurations, distance sets, graphs.

Some motivation for this definition is provided by the following theorem, which will allow us to apply the results of this paper to a common setting for distance problems. Note that what we refer to as a "distance function" does not need to encode distances in a natural sense; we do not require the function to obey a triangle inequality, nor do we require our distances to be real numbers. We call it a distance function in order to make it explicit how our result corresponds to known results. Throughout, we will use \mathbb{F}_q to refer to the unique finite field with q elements for some prime power q, and we will let \mathbb{F}_q^d be a d-dimensional vector space over this field.

Theorem 1.2 (A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev [2007]). Let $X = \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $D = \mathbb{F}_q$, and define $d(\{x_i\}, \{y_i\}) = \sum (x_i - y_i)^2$. Then *d* is *K*-surjective with $K = Cq^{(d+1)/2}$ for some constant *C* independent of *q*.

Now we just need to define a graph embedding, which is done in the natural way:

Definition 1.3. Suppose we have a space *X*, a set of distances *D*, and a symmetric distance function *d*. Then for a weighted graph *G* with edge weights in *D*, an *embedding* of *G* into *X* is an injective function $f: V(G) \rightarrow X$ such that for every edge $(v_1, v_2) \in E(G)$ with weight *t*,

$$d(f(v_1), f(v_2)) = t.$$

We will typically identify such an embedding with its image in X. A collection of such embeddings $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is *disjoint* if all its images are disjoint subsets of X.

Results. Now we are ready to state our main theorem:

Theorem 1.4 (main theorem). Let X be a set with a symmetric distance function d to a set of distances D, let d be K-surjective, and let $E \subseteq X$ with |E| = rK for some positive real number r. Then for any weighted tree G with edge weights in D, there exists a disjoint collection A_G of embeddings of G into E with

$$|A_G| \ge \left(\frac{r}{\sigma(G)} - 1\right) K,$$

where $\sigma(G)$ is a constant depending only on the graph *G*.

Corollary 1.5. If $|E| \ge \sigma(G)K$, then there is at least one embedding of G into E.

We will exhibit an explicit constant $\sigma(G)$, which we define as follows:

Definition 1.6. Let G be a finite nonempty connected graph; let the degrees of the vertices of G be d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n , ordered so that $d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$. Then the *stringiness* of G, denoted by $\sigma(G)$, is defined to be

$$\sigma(G) = (d_1+1)\prod_{i=2}^n d_i.$$

For example, the stringiness of the Petersen graph (or any other 10-vertex 3-regular graph) is $4 \cdot 3^9 =$ 78732. The following estimate gives bounds on the stringiness of a tree:

Theorem 1.7. Let G be a nonempty tree with n edges. Then

$$n+1 \le \sigma(G) \le 2^n.$$

The lower bound is sharp (the star graph $K_{1,n}$ attains it) while the upper bound is sharp up to a constant (the path graph P_{n+1} has stringiness $3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$).

Combining our main result with Theorem 1.2 gives the following result in \mathbb{F}_q^d with respect to a specific distance function:

Corollary 1.8. Let G be a weighted tree with edge weights in \mathbb{F}_q . Then there exists a constant C independent of q and G such that every subset E of \mathbb{F}_q^d with $|E| \ge C\sigma(G)q^{(d+1)/2}$ contains an embedding of G with respect to the distance function $d(\{x_i\}, \{y_i\}) = \sum (x_i - y_i)^2$.

The motivation for Corollary 1.8 comes from comparing this result to the following result in the literature:

Theorem 1.9 (Bennett, Chapman, Covert, Hart, Iosevich, Pakianathan [Bennett et al. 2016]). Let G be a weighted path or star graph with edge weights in \mathbb{F}_q , and suppose G has k edges. Then there exists a constant C independent of q and G such that every subset E of \mathbb{F}_q^d with $|E| \ge Ckq^{(d+1)/2}$ contains an embedding of G.

This result is very similar to Corollary 1.8 in the star graph case, but the constant is much stronger than that of Corollary 1.8 in the path graph case. This shows the difference between the Fourier-analytic techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1.9 and the elementary combinatorial arguments used here.

The main gain of Corollary 1.8, however, is the fact that it applies to all trees and not just to the two specific types in Theorem 1.9. The methods used in Theorem 1.9 do not generalize easily to more complex structures. Moreover, Corollary 1.8 also gives similar results for other distances.

The idea of this paper is to show that results of the type of Theorem 1.9 are instances of a more general phenomenon. Corollary 1.8 is an example of this phenomenon applied to the standard distance on \mathbb{F}_q^d ; it enables these results to be extended to general trees for which no results existed. We also note that proof of Theorem 1.4 is very modular, so it is possible to (for instance) use Theorem 1.9 to get slightly better bounds for embeddings of more complicated trees in \mathbb{Z}_q^d . This is because we build embeddings of larger graphs inductively from embeddings of smaller graphs, so if we are able to show better bounds for smaller graphs, this will automatically give better bounds for larger graphs.

We proceed as follows: for illustrative purposes, we first state and prove Theorem 2.2, a weaker version of Theorem 1.4, using Lemma 2.1. We then give the very similar proof of Theorem 1.4, which relies on the analogous Lemma 2.5. Finally we prove Theorem 1.7.

2. Graph embeddings

An easier case of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is by induction; it is convenient to state the base case as a separate lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a set with a symmetric distance function d to a set of distances D, let d be K-surjective, and let $E \subseteq X$ with |E| = rK. Then for any fixed $t \in D$, there are at least $\frac{1}{2}(r-1)K$ disjoint pairs $\{e_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$ in E such that $d(e_i, f_i) = t$ for all $i \in I$.

Proof. Since E is finite, let I be an index set of maximal size. Let F be the union of all the pairs, that is,

$$F = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{e_i, f_i\}.$$

Then by maximality of *I*, $E \setminus F$ cannot contain any pair of points with distance *t*. By *K*-surjectivity, this means $|E \setminus F| < K$; so $|F| \ge (r-1)K$, giving $|I| = \frac{1}{2}|F| \ge \frac{1}{2}(r-1)K$ as required.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a set with a symmetric distance function d to a set of distances D, let d be K-surjective, and let $E \subseteq X$ with |E| = rK. Then for any weighted nonempty tree G with edge weights in D, suppose G has n edges. Then there exists a disjoint collection A_G of embeddings of G into E with

$$|A_G| \ge \left(\frac{r+1}{2^n} - 1\right) K.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on *n*. The case n = 0 is tautological, and the case n = 1 is equivalent to Lemma 2.1.

So assume $n \ge 2$, which means G must have a leaf. Fix any leaf; call it v, its associated edge e, the unique vertex adjacent to it w, and the edge weight t. Then G - v is a tree with strictly fewer edges; so by the inductive hypothesis we have a disjoint collection A_{G-v} of embeddings of G - v into E with

$$|A_{G-v}| \ge \left(\frac{r+1}{2^{n-1}} - 1\right) K.$$

Consider the set $W = \{f(w) \mid f \in A_{G-v}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist at least $\frac{1}{2}(|W|/K-1)K$ disjoint pairs of points $\{f(w), f'(w)\}$ in W with d(f(w), f'(w)) = t. But for each of these pairs we can consider the function $g: V(G) \to E$ given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f'(w), & x = v, \\ f(x), & x \neq v. \end{cases}$$

By construction, these are disjoint embeddings of *G* into *E*, and there are at least $\frac{1}{2}(|W|/K-1)K$ of them; but by disjointness of A_{G-v} we have $|W| = |A_{G-v}|$ so there are at least

$$\frac{|A_{G-v}|/K-1}{2}K \ge \frac{((r+1)/2^{n-1}-1)-1}{2}K = \left(\frac{r+1}{2^n}-1\right)K$$

disjoint embeddings of G as required.

Corollary 2.3. If $|E| \ge 2^{n+1}K$, there is at least one embedding of G into E.

Note that this proof would have worked equally well even if d were not symmetric, which will not carry over to Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we will state a governing lemma (Lemma 2.5); the structure will be identical except that we are building our graph G out of stars instead of working purely with edges. For technical reasons, the application of the *K*-surjectivity assumption is more difficult in this case, so we will first state an auxiliary lemma, Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4. Let X be a set with a symmetric distance function d to a set of distances D, let d be K-surjective, and let $E \subseteq X$ with |E| = rK. Then for any fixed $t \in D$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there are at most sK points $e \in E$ for which there are fewer than s other distinct points $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_s \in E$ such that $d(e, e_i) = t$ for all i.

Proof. Create a graph H whose vertices are the points of E and where two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if the corresponding points of E are distance t. Then consider the subgraph H^* of H generated by only those vertices of degree < s. By construction, the maximum degree of vertices in H is less than s, which means H^* can be s-colored by the standard greedy algorithm, that is, partitioned into s independent sets. Since the K-surjectivity condition guarantees that an independent set in H (and thus in H^*) has size < K, it follows that $|H^*| < sK$. This means that at most sK of the vertices of H have degree < s, proving the lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Let X be a set with a symmetric distance function d to a set of distances D, let d be K-surjective, and let $E \subseteq X$ with |E| = rK. Then for any weighted nonempty star graph $G \cong K_{1,n}$ with edge weights in D, the set E contains at least K(r - n)/(n + 1) disjoint embeddings of G.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, let

$$I = \{\{g_{1,0}, g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,n}\}, \dots, \{g_{m,0}, g_{m,1}, \dots, g_{m,n}\}\}$$

be a maximal (with respect to m) set of embeddings of G into E, and define F to be the union of all the embeddings contained in I, that is,

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{g_{i,0}, g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,n}\}.$$

Then $E \setminus F$ must have no embeddings of G by maximality of I.

Suppose the set of edge weights of G is $\{t_1, t_2, ..., t_a\}$ appearing with multiplicities $\{m_1, m_2, ..., m_a\}$ respectively. Then by Lemma 2.4, for each fixed *i* there are at most $m_i K$ points of $E \setminus F$ which are not distance t_i from at least m_i other points of $E \setminus F$. Summing over *i* we get that there are at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} m_i K = n K$$

points of $E \setminus F$ which are not distance t_i from at least m_i other points of $E \setminus F$ for every *i*. But if $x \in E \setminus F$ is in fact distance t_i from at least m_i other points of $E \setminus F$ for every *i*, then there exists an embedding of *G* into $E \setminus F$ where *x* corresponds to the nonleaf vertex of *G*. Thus $|E \setminus F| \le nK$; so

$$|I| = \frac{|F|}{n+1} \ge \frac{rK - nK}{n+1} = \frac{r-n}{n+1}K$$

as required.

Note that when n = 1, this is exactly the statement of Lemma 2.1, but when $n \ge 2$ we may have to deal with repeated edge weights, which adds the extra complexity.

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem, Theorem 1.4:

Proof. The proof proceeds by strong induction on the number of edges in G. If G contains no edges, $\sigma(G) = 1$ and the theorem is clearly true; if G is a star graph $K_{1,n}$, then $\sigma(G) = n + 1$ and the theorem is just Lemma 2.5.

So assume G is not a star graph. Then we can always find a vertex $w \in G$ such that:

(1) w is not a leaf of G (equivalently, $\deg_G w \ge 2$).

 \square

- (2) All but one of the vertices connected to w are leaves (call these leaves v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_y , and the associated distances t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_y , where $y = \deg_G w 1$.)
- (3) There exists a vertex $v \neq w$ in G such that $\deg_G v \ge \deg_G w$.

To see this, let L be the set of leaves of G. Then since G is a tree which is not a star graph, G - L is a tree which contains at least one edge; any leaf of G - L satisfies conditions (1) and (2), and since G - L has at least two leaves, at least one of these must satisfy condition (3).

Define the graph *H* to be $G - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_y\}$. By conditions (1) and (2), *H* is a tree with fewer edges than *G*; by condition (3), $\sigma(H) = \sigma(G)/(y+1)$ (since we can reorder the product in Definition 1.6 to make *v* correspond to d_1 , and all we lose by deleting these leaves is a factor of deg_{*G*} *w*). By the inductive hypothesis we have a disjoint collection A_H of embeddings of *H* into *E* with

$$|A_H| \ge \left(\frac{r}{\sigma(H)} - 1\right) K.$$

Consider the set $W = \{f(w) \mid f \in A_H\}$. By Lemma 2.5, there exist at least (|W|/K - y)/(y + 1) disjoint sets of points $\{f(w), f_1(w), \dots, f_y(w)\}$ contained in W with $d(f(w), f_i(w)) = t_i$ for every *i* (i.e., embedddings of a particular star graph). But for each of these sets we can consider the function $g: V(G) \rightarrow E$ given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(w), & x = v_i, \\ f(x), & x \notin \{v_1, \dots, v_y\} \end{cases}$$

By construction, these are disjoint embeddings of *G* into *E*, and there are at least K(|W|/K - y)/(y+1) of them; but by disjointness of A_H , we have $|W| = |A_H|$ so there are at least

$$\frac{|A_H|/K - y}{y + 1}K \ge \frac{(r/\sigma(H) - 1) - y}{y + 1}K = \left(\frac{r}{(y + 1) \cdot \sigma(H)} - 1\right)K = \left(\frac{r}{\sigma(G)} - 1\right)K$$

disjoint embeddings of G as required.

Note that in view of Theorem 1.7, this is stronger than Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.7.

Proof. Let G have n edges.

For the lower bound on $\sigma(G)$, a simple inductive argument suffices. If n = 1 then $\sigma(G) = 2$ since there is only one possible graph with one edge. If n > 1 then deleting a leaf must decrease the stringiness since the degree of the other vertex decreases, so for a graph with n edges, $\sigma(G) > \sigma(G - v) \ge n$. Thus $\sigma(G) \ge n + 1$ (since $\sigma(G) \in \mathbb{Z}$).

For the upper bound, write the degrees of the vertices of G as $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n+1}$; without loss of generality say $d_{n+1} = 1$. Then by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$((d_1+1) \cdot d_2 \cdot d_3 \cdots d_n)^{1/n} \leq \frac{d_1+1+d_2+d_3+\cdots+d_n}{n}$$
$$\sigma(G)^{1/n} \leq \frac{\sum d_i}{n}$$
$$\sigma(G)^{1/n} \leq \frac{2n}{n}$$
$$\sigma(G) \leq 2^n.$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Alex Iosevich and Steven Senger for their help, support and feedback.

References

- [Bennett et al. 2016] M. Bennett, J. Chapman, D. Covert, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and J. Pakianathan, "Long paths in the distance graph over large subsets of vector spaces over finite fields", *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **53**:1 (2016), 115–126. MR Zbl
- [Bourgain et al. 2004] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, and T. Tao, "A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14**:1 (2004), 27–57. MR Zbl
- [Guth and Katz 2015] L. Guth and N. H. Katz, "On the Erdős distinct distances problem in the plane", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **181**:1 (2015), 155–190. MR Zbl
- [Iosevich and Rudnev 2007] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev, "Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**:12 (2007), 6127–6142. MR Zbl
- [Koh and Shen 2012] D. Koh and C.-Y. Shen, "The generalized Erdős–Falconer distance problems in vector spaces over finite fields", *J. Number Theory* **132**:11 (2012), 2455–2473. MR Zbl
- [McDonald 2016] B. McDonald, *Hinges in* \mathbb{Z}_p^d and applications to pinned distance sets, undergraduate thesis, University of Rochester, 2016, available at http://web.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/iosevich/mcdonaldhonorsthesis16.pdf.
- [Vu 2008] V. H. Vu, "Sum-product estimates via directed expanders", Math. Res. Lett. 15:2 (2008), 375–388. MR Zbl

Received 5 Mar 2018. Revised 10 Aug 2018.

DAVID M. SOUKUP:

soukup@math.ucla.edu Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, United States



Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory

msp.org/moscow

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Yann Bugeaud	Université de Strasbourg (France)
	bugeaud@math.unistra.fr
Nikolay Moshchevitin	Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia) moshchevitin@gmail.com
Andrei Raigorodskii	Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia) mraigor@yandex.ru
Ilya D. Shkredov	Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia) ilya.shkredov@gmail.com

EDITORIAL BOARD

Iskander Aliev	Cardiff University (United Kingdom)
Vladimir Dolnikov	Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia)
Nikolay Dolbilin	Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia)
Oleg German	Moscow Lomonosov State University (Russia)
Michael Hoffman	United States Naval Academy
Grigory Kabatiansky	Russian Academy of Sciences (Russia)
Roman Karasev	Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia)
Gyula O. H. Katona	Hungarian Academy of Sciences (Hungary)
Alex V. Kontorovich	Rutgers University (United States)
Maxim Korolev	Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia)
Christian Krattenthaler	Universität Wien (Austria)
Antanas Laurinčikas	Vilnius University (Lithuania)
Vsevolod Lev	University of Haifa at Oranim (Israel)
János Pach	EPFL Lausanne(Switzerland) and Rényi Institute (Hungary)
Rom Pinchasi	Israel Institute of Technology - Technion (Israel)
Alexander Razborov	Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy (France)
Joël Rivat	Université d'Aix-Marseille (France)
Tanguy Rivoal	Institut Fourier, CNRS (France)
Damien Roy	University of Ottawa (Canada)
Vladislav Salikhov	Bryansk State Technical University (Russia)
Tom Sanders	University of Oxford (United Kingdom)
exander A. Sapozhenko	Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia)
József Solymosi	University of British Columbia (Canada)
Andreas Strömbergsson	Uppsala University (Sweden)
Benjamin Sudakov	University of California, Los Angeles (United States)
Jörg Thuswaldner	University of Leoben (Austria)
Kai-Man Tsang	Hong Kong University (China)
Maryna Viazovska	EPFL Lausanne (Switzerland)
Barak Weiss	Tel Aviv University (Israel)
PRODUCTION	
Silvio Levy	(Scientific Editor)

Levy (Scientific Editor) production@msp.org

Cover design: Blake Knoll, Alex Scorpan and Silvio Levy

Al

See inside back cover or msp.org/moscow for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$310/year for the electronic version, and \$365/year (+\$20, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory (ISSN 2640-7361 electronic, 2220-5438 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

MJCNT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory

A simple proof of the Hilton–Milner theorem PETER FRANKL	97
On the quotient set of the distance set ALEX IOSEVICH, DOOWON KOH and HANS PARSHALL	103
Embeddings of weighted graphs in Erdős-type settings DAVID M. SOUKUP	117
Identity involving symmetric sums of regularized multiple zeta-star values TOMOYA MACHIDE	125
Matiyasevich-type identities for hypergeometric Bernoulli polynomials and poly-Bernoulli polynomials KEN KAMANO	137
A family of four-variable expanders with quadratic growth MEHDI MAKHUL	143
The Lind–Lehmer Constant for $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \times \mathbb{Z}_4^s$ MICHAEL J. MOSSINGHOFF, VINCENT PIGNO and CHRISTOPHER PINNER	151
Lattices with exponentially large kissing numbers SERGE VLĂDUŢ	163
A note on the set $A(A + A)$ PIERRE-YVES BIENVENU, FRANÇOIS HENNECART and ILYA SHKREDOV	179
On a theorem of Hildebrand CARSTEN DIETZEL	189