

Model Theory

no. 2

vol. 2

2023

MAT

Rigid differentially closed fields

David Marker



Rigid differentially closed fields

David Marker

Using ideas from geometric stability theory we construct differentially closed fields of characteristic 0 with no nontrivial automorphisms.

1. Introduction

Our goal is to construct countable differentially closed fields of characteristic 0 (DCF_0) with no nontrivial automorphisms. We refer to such fields as *rigid*. This answers a question posed by Russell Miller. I will say something about Miller's motivation in my closing remarks. This may at first seem surprising. One often, naively, thinks that differentially closed fields should behave like algebraically closed fields, where there are always many automorphisms. Also, differential closures of proper differential subfields always have nontrivial automorphisms. We sketch the proof of this using ideas from Shelah's proof [18] of the uniqueness of prime models for ω -stable theories (see [12, §6.4] or [21, §9.2]). This is a well-known construction.

Proposition 1.1. *Let k be a differential field with differential closure $K \supset k$. Then there are nontrivial automorphisms of K/k .*

Proof. First note that if $\mathbf{d} \in K^n$ and $k\langle \mathbf{d} \rangle$ is the differential field generated by \mathbf{d} over k , then K is a differential closure of $k\langle \mathbf{d} \rangle$. This follows from the fact that in an ω -stable theory \mathcal{M} is prime over $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ if and only if \mathcal{M} is atomic over A and there are no uncountable sets of indiscernibles (see [21, Theorem 9.2.1]).

Let $a \in K \setminus k$. Since K is the differential closure of k , $\text{tp}(a/k)$ is isolated by some formula $\phi(v)$ with parameters from k . If a is the only element of K satisfying ϕ , then a is in $\text{dcl}(k) = k$, a contradiction. Thus there is $b \in K$ such that $a \neq b$ and $\phi(b)$.

Since a and b realize the same type over k , there are $L \models \text{DCF}_0$ with $k\langle b \rangle \subseteq L$ and $\sigma : K \rightarrow L$ an isomorphism such that $\sigma \upharpoonright k$ is the identity and $\sigma(a) = b$.

The author was partially supported by the Fields Institute for Research in the Mathematical Sciences.
MSC2020: 03C45, 03C60, 12H05.

Keywords: model theory, differential fields, geometric stability theory.

K is a differential closure of both $k\langle a \rangle$ and $k\langle b \rangle$. Thus L is a differential closure of $k\langle b \rangle$ and, by uniqueness of differential closures, there is an isomorphism $\tau : L \rightarrow K$ that is the identity on $k\langle b \rangle$. Then $\tau \circ \sigma$ is an automorphism of K sending a to b . \square

Remarks. • This argument really shows that if T is an ω -stable theory, A is a definably closed substructure of a model of T that is not a model of T and \mathcal{M} is a prime model extension of A , then there is a nontrivial automorphism of \mathcal{M} fixing A pointwise.

- While this argument guarantees the existence of a nontrivial automorphism of K/k , it is possible that there is only one. If k is a model of Singer’s theory of *closed ordered differential fields* [20], then $k^{\text{diff}} = k(i)$ and complex conjugation is the only nontrivial automorphism of k^{diff}/k .

Omar León Sánchez pointed out that the construction of rigid differentially closed fields gives the first known examples of differentially closed fields K such that $K \neq k(i)$ for any closed ordered differential field $k \subset K$.

- [Proposition 1.1](#) tells us that the rigid differentially closed fields we construct are not the differential closure of any proper differential subfield.

Our construction of rigid differentially closed fields uses ideas from geometric stability theory and work on strongly minimal sets in differentially closed fields of Rosenlicht [17] and Hrushovski and Sokolović [9]. We describe the results we need in [Section 2](#) and construct rigid differentially closed fields in [Section 3](#). We begin [Section 3](#) with a warm up constructing arbitrarily large rigid models and then give the more subtle construction of rigid countable models. We refer the reader to [\[15\]](#) for unexplained model theoretic concepts.

2. Preliminaries

We work in $\mathbb{K} \models \text{DCF}$, a monster model of the theory of differentially closed fields of characteristic zero with a single derivation. The constant field C is $\{x \in \mathbb{K} : x' = 0\}$. If k is a differential field and $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is definable over k , we let $X(k)$ denote the k -points of X , i.e., $X(k) = k^n \cap X$. Of course, by quantifier elimination, X is quantifier-free definable over k .

Our main tool will be the strongly minimal sets known as *Manin kernels* of elliptic curves. Manin kernels arose in Manin’s proof [10] of the Mordell conjecture for function fields in characteristic zero and were central to both Buium’s [2] and Hrushovski’s [8] proofs of the Mordell–Lang conjecture for function fields in characteristic zero. The model theoretic importance of Manin kernels was developed in the beautiful unpublished preprint of Hrushovski and Sokolović [9]. Proofs of the results from [9] that we will need all appear in Pillay’s survey [16],

and [11] is another survey on the construction and some of the basic properties of Manin kernels.

For $a \in K$, let E_a be the elliptic curve $Y^2 = X(X-1)(X-a)$. Let E_a^\sharp be the minimal definable differential subgroup of E . E_a^\sharp is the closure of $\text{Tor}(E_a)$ in the Kolchin topology.

Theorem 2.1 (Hrushovski–Sokolović). (i) *If $a' \neq 0$, then E_a^\sharp is a nontrivial locally modular strongly minimal set.*

(ii) *The Manin kernels E_a^\sharp and E_b^\sharp are nonorthogonal if and only if E_a and E_b are isogenous. In particular, if a and b are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} then E_a^\sharp and E_b^\sharp are orthogonal.*

In particular, Manin kernels are orthogonal to the field of constants $C = \{x : x' = 0\}$.

More generally, if A is a simple abelian variety that is not isomorphic to an abelian variety defined over the constants we can construct a Manin kernel A^\sharp which is the Kolchin closure of the torsion of A and a minimal infinite definable subgroup of A . A^\sharp is nontrivial locally modular strongly minimal and Hrushovski and Sokolović also showed that if X is any nontrivial locally modular strongly minimal subset of a differentially closed field, then $X \not\perp A^\sharp$ for some abelian variety A .

The other building blocks of our construction are strongly minimal sets introduced by Rosenlicht [17] in his proof that the differential closure of a differential field k need not be minimal.

Let $f(X) = X/(1+X)$. For $a \neq 0$, let $X_a = \{x : x' = af(x), x \neq 0\}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Rosenlicht). (i) *If $a \in k$ and $x \in X_a \setminus k$, then $C(k) = C(k\langle x \rangle)$.*

(ii) *Suppose $k \subset K$ are differential fields, with $C(K) \subseteq C(k)^{\text{alg}}$. Suppose $a, b \in k^\times$, $x \in X_a(K)$, $y \in X_b(K)$ and x and y are algebraically dependent over k . Then x, y are algebraic over k or $x = y$. In particular, if $a \neq b$, then X_a and X_b are orthogonal.*

Part (i) follows from Proposition 2 of [17] while (ii) is a slight generalization of Proposition 1 of [17] and Gramain [5]. These results appear as Theorems 6.12 and 6.2 of [13].

Corollary 2.3. *Each X_a is a trivial strongly minimal set.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2(i), X_a is orthogonal to the constants. If X_a were nontrivial, then $X_a \not\perp A^\sharp$, the Manin kernel of a simple abelian variety. But if $x \in X_a \setminus k^{\text{alg}}$, then $k\langle x \rangle = k(x)$ is a transcendence degree 1 extension. But by results of Buium [2], Manin kernels, or anything nonorthogonal to one, give rise to extensions of transcendence degree at least 2. Thus X_a is trivial. \square

3. Constructing rigid differentially closed fields

Warm up.

Proposition 3.1. *There are arbitrarily large rigid differentially closed fields.*

For this construction we only need Rosenlicht strongly minimal sets. Let κ be a cardinal with $\kappa = \aleph_\kappa$. We construct a differentially closed field K of cardinality κ such that $|X_a(K)| \neq |X_b(K)|$ for each nonzero $a \neq b$, guaranteeing there is no automorphism sending $a \mapsto b$.

We build a chain of differentially closed fields $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_\alpha \subset \dots$ for $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $|K_\alpha| = \aleph_\alpha$. We simultaneously build an injective enumeration $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\alpha, \dots$ of K^\times , where $K = \bigcup K_\alpha$.

We construct K as follows.

- (i) $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}^{\text{diff}}$.
- (ii) Given K_α and $a_\alpha \in K_\alpha$, build $K_{\alpha+1}$ by adding $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ new independent elements of X_{a_α} and taking the differential closure.
- (iii) If α is a limit ordinal, let $K_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} K_\beta$.¹

Since $X_{a_\alpha} \perp X_{a_\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta$, adding new elements to X_{a_β} and taking the differential closure adds no new elements to X_{a_α} . Thus $X_{a_\alpha}(K) = X_{a_\alpha}(K_{\alpha+1})$. In particular, $|X_{a_\alpha}(K)| = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$. Thus there is no automorphism of K with $a_\alpha \mapsto a_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

One might worry that we have contradicted [Proposition 1.1](#). Let B_α be all of the independent realizations of X_{a_α} that we added at stage α . Then K is the differential closure of $k = \mathbb{Q}\langle B_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$. But, if $b \in X_{a_\alpha}$, then $a_\alpha = b'(b+1)/b \in \mathbb{Q}\langle b \rangle$. Thus $k = K$.

The countable case. To construct a countable differentially closed field with no automorphisms, we need a more subtle mixture of Rosenlicht extensions with extensions of Manin kernels.

Suppose $b \notin C$. Let $\dim E_b^\sharp(k)$ be the number of independent realizations in k of the generic type of E_b^\sharp over $\mathbb{Q}\langle b \rangle$. Manin kernels are useful to us as they can have any countable dimension. We build a countable $K \models \text{DCF}_0$ such that for each $a \neq 0$, there is a natural number

$$n_a = \max_{b \in X_a(K)} \dim E_b^\sharp(K)$$

such that $n_a \neq n_b$ for $a \neq b$. This guarantees that there is no automorphism with $a \mapsto b$.

¹To build the desired enumeration, let a_0, a_1, \dots be an injective enumeration of K_0 and, at stage $\alpha + 1$, let $(a_\gamma : \omega_\alpha \leq \gamma < \omega_{\alpha+1})$ be an injective enumeration of $K_{\alpha+1} \setminus K_\alpha$.

Freitag and Scanlon [4], and more generally, Casale, Freitag and Nagloo [3], have given constructions of trivial strongly minimal sets which can take on any countable dimension. Presumably these could be used in an alternative construction.

We build a chain $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots$, an injective enumeration a_0, a_1, \dots of $K^\times = \bigcup K_n^\times$ and a sequence of natural numbers $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ such that

- (1) $C(K_i) = C(K_0)$;
- (2) $X_{a_i}(K) = X_{a_i}(K_{i+1})$;
- (3) if $b \in X_{a_i}(K)$, then $E_b^\sharp(K) = E_b^\sharp(K_{i+1})$;
- (4) $n_{i+1} = \max_{b \in X_{a_i}(K)} \dim E_b^\sharp$.²

If we can do that we will have guaranteed that there are no automorphisms of K .

Let $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}^{\text{diff}}$. At stage s we choose a new $a_s \in K_s$. Let b_s be an element of X_{a_s} generic over K_s , let \mathbf{x} be $n_{s-1} + 1$ independent realizations of the generic of $E_{b_s}^\sharp$ over $K_s \langle b_s \rangle = K_s(b_s)$ and let $K_{s+1} = K_s \langle b_s, \mathbf{x} \rangle^{\text{diff}}$.

By orthogonality considerations, it's clear that conditions (1)–(3) hold, as after stage $i+1$ we only add realizations of types orthogonal to X_{a_i} and E_b^\sharp , for $b \in X_{a_i}(K)$. To prove (4) we need to show that there is $n_s = \max_{d \in X_{a_s}} \dim E_d^\sharp(K_{s+1})$. We have arranged things so that if there is a bound n_s then $n_s > n_{s-1}$.

We need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *If $b' \neq 0$, then $\dim E_{b'}^\sharp(\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle^{\text{diff}}) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in E_{b'}^\sharp(\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle^{\text{diff}})$. All torsion points of E_b are in $\mathbb{Q}(b)^{\text{alg}}$, so we can suppose x is a nontorsion point. But x realizes an isolated type over $\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle$. Let ψ isolate the type of x over $\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle$. No torsion point can satisfy ψ . Thus by strong minimality ψ defines a finite set and $x \in \mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle^{\text{alg}}$. \square

Although we do not need it, we can say more in the special case that $\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle = \mathbb{Q}(b)$, such as if $b \in X_a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. In this case Manin's theorem of the kernel [10] implies that $E_b^\sharp(\mathbb{Q} \langle b \rangle^{\text{alg}}) = \text{Tor}(E_b)$; see [1, Corollary K.3].

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose K is a differentially closed field, $b, d \in K$ and E_b and E_d are isogenous. Then $\dim E_b^\sharp(K) = \dim E_d^\sharp(K)$.*

Proof. If E_d and E_b are isogenous, then d and b are interalgebraic over \mathbb{Q} and the isogeny f is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(d)^{\text{alg}} = \mathbb{Q}(b)^{\text{alg}}$. Since $f : \text{Tor}(E_d) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(E_b)$ is finite-to-one and the torsion is Kolchin dense in a Manin kernel, $f : E_d^\sharp \rightarrow E_b^\sharp$ is finite-to-one. It follows that $\dim E_d^\sharp(K) = \dim E_b^\sharp(K)$. \square

The next lemma shows that we have the necessary bounds.

²Building the enumeration takes a bit more bookkeeping in this case. Let $d_{0,0}, d_{0,1}, \dots$ be an injective enumeration of K_0 and let $d_{i,0}, d_{i,1}, \dots$ be an injective enumeration of $K_i \setminus K_{i-1}$. Start our enumeration of K by letting $a_0 = d_{0,0}$. Suppose we start stage i with the partial enumeration a_0, \dots, a_M . Then for $j = 0, \dots, i$, let $a_{M+j+1} = d(i, i-j)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose K is a differentially closed field constructed in a finite iteration $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{diff}} = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset \cdots \subset k_m = K$, where either*

- (1) $k_{i+1} = k_i \langle a \rangle^{\text{diff}}$, where a realizes a trivial type over k_i , or
- (2) $k_{i+1} = k_i \langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle^{\text{diff}}$, where \mathbf{x}_i consists of n_i independent realizations of the generic type of a Manin kernel $E_{b_i}^{\sharp}$, where $b_i \in k_i$ and $E_{b_i}^{\sharp} \perp E_{b_j}^{\sharp}$ for $i \neq j$.

If $d \in K \setminus C$, then $\dim E_d^{\sharp}(K) = n_i$ for some i .

Proof. We first argue that this is true for each $E_{b_t}^{\sharp}$. Define $l_0 \subseteq l_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq l_t$ such that $l_i = k_i \langle b_t \rangle^{\text{diff}}$. Note that $l_t = k_t$

By Lemma 3.2, $\dim E_{b_t}^{\sharp}(l_0) = 0$. As we construct l_1, \dots, l_t we are either doing nothing (if a_i or $\mathbf{x}_i \in l_{i-1}$) or adding realizations of types orthogonal to $E_{b_t}^{\sharp}$. Thus $\dim E_{b_t}^{\sharp}(k_t) = 0$ and $\dim E_{b_t}^{\sharp}(k_{t+1}) = n_t$. Since for $i > t$ all a_i and \mathbf{x}_i realize types orthogonal to $E_{b_t}^{\sharp}$, $\dim E_{b_t}^{\sharp}(K) = n_t$.

Suppose $d \in K \setminus C$. If E_d is isogenous to some E_{b_i} , then, by Lemma 3.3, $\dim E_d^{\sharp}(K) = \dim E_{b_i}^{\sharp}(K) = n_i$. So we may assume $E_d^{\sharp} \perp E_{b_i}^{\sharp}$ for all i . We claim that in this case, $\dim E_d^{\sharp}(K) = 0$. For $i \leq m$, we let $l_i = k_i \langle d \rangle^{\text{diff}}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\dim E_d^{\sharp}(l_0) = 0$. As we continue the construction, as above, at each stage we either do nothing or realize types that are orthogonal to E_d^{\sharp} . Thus we add no new elements of E_d^{\sharp} and $\dim E_d^{\sharp}(K) = 0$. \square

We can interweave a many models construction. In [9] the authors noted that Manin kernels could be used to show that DCF_0 has eni-dop and concluded that there are 2^{\aleph_0} nonisomorphic countable differentially closed fields. An explicit version of this construction coding graphs into models is used in [14]. We can fold that coding into our construction of a rigid model.

Theorem 3.5. *There are 2^{\aleph_0} nonisomorphic countable rigid differentially closed fields. Each of these fields is not the differential closure of a proper differential subfield.*

Consider $X = X_1(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{diff}})$. This is an infinite set of algebraically independent elements. Let $G = (X, R)$ be a graph with vertex set X and edge relation R . Let $(\{u_i, v_i\} : i = 0, 1, \dots)$ be an enumeration of two element subsets of X . We modify our construction such that at stage s we also add a generic element of $E_{u_i+v_i}^{\sharp}$ if and only if $(u_i, v_i) \in R$. We can still apply Lemma 3.4 and our construction will produce a rigid differentially closed field K . From K we can recover the graph in an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -definable way. Thus nonisomorphic graphs give rise to nonisomorphic rigid differentially closed fields.

Similarly, we could interweave graph coding steps in the proof of Proposition 3.1 and build 2^{κ} nonisomorphic rigid differentially closed fields of cardinality κ when $\kappa = \aleph_{\kappa}$.

4. Remarks and Questions

In [6; 7] the authors introduce the notion of computable and Borel functors between classes of countable structures. For example, in [Theorem 3.5](#), recovering the graph from the differentially closed field is a Borel functor from differentially closed fields to graphs. Miller wondered if there could be invertible functors between these classes. If there is an invertible functor F from graphs to differentially closed fields, then the authors show that the corresponding automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(G)$ and $\text{Aut}(F(G))$ would be isomorphic. Miller’s original idea was that, since there are rigid graphs, one could show there was no such functor by showing that there are no rigid differentially closed fields. While our construction shows that this idea does not work, nevertheless, one can show there is no such functor by looking at possible automorphism groups. It is easy to construct a countable graph with an automorphism of order $n > 2$. But no differentially closed field can have an automorphism of order $n > 2$. Suppose K is differentially closed and σ is an automorphism of order $n > 2$. Let F be the fixed field of σ . Then K/F is an algebraic extension of order $n > 2$. By the Artin–Schreier theorem, this is impossible for K algebraically closed.

Question 1. Is there a differentially closed field K where $|\text{Aut}(K)| = 2$? If so, is the fixed field a model of CODF? More generally, if K is a real closed differential field and $K(i)$ is differentially closed, must K be a model of CODF?

Question 2. Are there rigid differentially closed fields of cardinality \aleph_1 ?

The construction of such a model would require a new strategy. Perhaps it would help to assume the set theoretic principle \diamond ? Or perhaps one could use the methods of [19].

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Russell Miller for bringing this question to my attention and to Zoé Chatzidakis, Jim Freitag, Omar León Sánchez and the referee for remarks on earlier drafts.

I am pleased to submit this paper in honor of Udi Hrushovski’s belated 60th birthday. The main result relies heavily on his work.

References

- [1] D. Bertrand and A. Pillay, “A Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem for semi-abelian varieties over function fields”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**:2 (2010), 491–533. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] A. Buium, *Differential algebra and Diophantine geometry*, Hermann, Paris, 1994. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] G. Casale, J. Freitag, and J. Nagloo, “Ax–Lindemann–Weierstrass with derivatives and the genus 0 Fuchsian groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **192**:3 (2020), 721–765. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [4] J. Freitag and T. Scanlon, “Strong minimality and the j -function”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **20**:1 (2018), 119–136. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] F. Gramain, “Non-minimalité de la clôture différentielle, II: La preuve de M. Rosenlicht”, exposé 5 in *Groupe d’étude de théories stables (Bruno Poizat)*, 3, 1980/1982, Univ. Paris VI, Paris, 1983. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [6] M. Harrison-Trainor, A. Melnikov, R. Miller, and A. Montalbán, “Computable functors and effective interpretability”, *J. Symb. Log.* **82**:1 (2017), 77–97. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] M. Harrison-Trainor, R. Miller, and A. Montalbán, “Borel functors and infinitary interpretations”, *J. Symb. Log.* **83**:4 (2018), 1434–1456. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] E. Hrushovski, “The Mordell–Lang conjecture for function fields”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9**:3 (1996), 667–690. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] E. Hrushovski and Z. Sokolović, “Minimal subsets of differentially closed fields”, preprint, 1994.
- [10] Y. I. Manin, “Proof of an analogue of Mordell’s conjecture for algebraic curves over function fields”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **152** (1963), 1061–1063. In Russian. [MR](#)
- [11] D. Marker, “Manin kernels”, pp. 1–21 in *Connections between model theory and algebraic and analytic geometry*, edited by A. Macintyre, Quad. Mat. **6**, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [12] D. Marker, *Model theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. **217**, Springer, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] D. Marker, “Model theory of differential fields”, pp. 41–113 in *Model theory of fields*, 2nd ed., Lect. Notes in Logic **5**, Association for Symbolic Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] D. Marker and R. Miller, “Turing degree spectra of differentially closed fields”, *J. Symb. Log.* **82**:1 (2017), 1–25. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] A. Pillay, *Geometric stability theory*, Oxford Logic Guides **32**, Oxford Univ. Press, 1996. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [16] A. Pillay, “Differential algebraic groups and the number of countable differentially closed fields”, pp. 114–134 in *Model theory of fields*, 2nd ed., Lect. Notes in Logic **5**, Association for Symbolic Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] M. Rosenlicht, “The nonminimality of the differential closure”, *Pacific J. Math.* **52** (1974), 529–537. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] S. Shelah, “Differentially closed fields”, *Israel J. Math.* **16** (1973), 314–328. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] S. Shelah, “Models with second order properties, IV: A general method and eliminating diamonds”, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **25**:2 (1983), 183–212. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] M. F. Singer, “The model theory of ordered differential fields”, *J. Symbolic Logic* **43**:1 (1978), 82–91. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] K. Tent and M. Ziegler, *A course in model theory*, Lect. Notes in Logic **40**, Association for Symbolic Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 17 Jan 2022. Revised 16 Dec 2022.

DAVID MARKER:

marker@uic.edu

Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States

Model Theory

msp.org/mt

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

- Martin Hils Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (Germany)
hils@uni-muenster.de
- Rahim Moosa University of Waterloo (Canada)
rmoosa@uwaterloo.ca

EDITORIAL BOARD

- Sylvy Anscombe Université Paris Cité (France)
sylvy.anscombe@imj-prg.fr
- Alessandro Berarducci Università di Pisa (Italy)
berardu@dm.unipi.it
- Emmanuel Breuillard University of Oxford (UK)
emmanuel.breuillard@gmail.com
- Artem Chernikov University of California, Los Angeles (USA)
chernikov@math.ucla.edu
- Charlotte Hardouin Université Paul Sabatier (France)
hardouin@math.univ-toulouse.fr
- François Loeser Sorbonne Université (France)
francois.loeser@imj-prg.fr
- Dugald Macpherson University of Leeds (UK)
h.d.macpherson@leeds.ac.uk
- Alf Onshuus Universidad de los Andes (Colombia)
aonshuus@uniandes.edu.co
- Chloé Perin The Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Israel)
perin@math.huji.ac.il

PRODUCTION

- Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)
production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/mt for submission instructions.

Model Theory (ISSN 2832-904X electronic, 2832-9058 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

MT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY
 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<https://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Model Theory

no. 2 vol. 2 2023

Celebratory issue on the occasion of
Ehud Hrushovski's 60th Birthday

Introduction	133
ASSAF HASSON, H. DUGALD MACPHERSON and SILVAIN RIDEAU-KIKUCHI	
Mock hyperbolic reflection spaces and Frobenius groups of finite Morley rank	137
TIM CLAUSEN and KATRIN TENT	
Rigid differentially closed fields	177
DAVID MARKER	
Definable convolution and idempotent Keisler measures, II	185
ARTEM CHERNIKOV and KYLE GANNON	
Higher amalgamation properties in measured structures	233
DAVID M. EVANS	
Residue field domination in some henselian valued fields	255
CLIFTON EALY, DEIRDRE HASKELL and PIERRE SIMON	
Star sorts, Lelek fans, and the reconstruction of non- \aleph_0 -categorical theories in continuous logic	285
ITAÏ BEN YAACOV	
An improved bound for regular decompositions of 3-uniform hypergraphs of bounded VC ₂ -dimension	325
CAROLINE TERRY	
Galois groups of large simple fields	357
ANAND PILLAY and ERIK WALSBERG	
Additive reducts of real closed fields and strongly bounded structures	381
HIND ABU SALEH and YA'ACOV PETERZIL	
Remarks around the nonexistence of difference closure	405
ZOÉ CHATZIDAKIS	
An exposition of Jordan's original proof of his theorem on finite subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$	429
EMMANUEL BREUILLARD	
Higher internal covers	449
MOSHE KAMENSKY	